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# Markedly more women on executive boards of large companies; inclusion requirement seemingly already having an effect 

By Anja Kirsch, Virginia Sondergeld, and Katharina Wrohlich


#### Abstract

There was a significant increase in the number of women on executive boards of large companies in Germany from 2020 to 2021 after years of slow progress: In fall 2021, there were 139 women on the executive boards of the 200 largest companies, 38 more than in 2020. This is an increase of a good three percentage points to almost 15 percent, the largest seen since the beginning of the DIW Berlin Women Executives Barometer in 2006. There were also markedly more female executive board members on average in the DAX companies. The inclusion requirement for executive boards (Beteiligungsgebot für Vorstände), which was adopted in 2021 and comes into effect in August 2022, has clearly resulted in anticipation effects: The share of female executive board members of companies subject to the requirement has increased from a good 14 percent to a good 19 percent. In contrast, the share of female executive board members of companies not subject to the requirement only increased from 11 percent to around 14 percent. Similar to the gender quota for supervisory boards, this shows that statutory requirements are an effective instrument for increasing the share of women on boards. The momentum in supervisory boards, however, was markedly weaker in 2021 than in previous years.


For over fifteen years, DIW Berlin's Women Executives Barometer has been documenting the share of women on management boards and among managing directors (hereafter referred to as "executive boards") as well as on supervisory, administrative, and advisory boards as well as boards of trustees (hereafter "supervisory boards") of the largest companies in Germany. ${ }^{1}$ It is also documented to what extent women hold executive board chair and executive board spokesperson positions (hereafter "CEO") as well as supervisory board chair positions. These shares of women are reported here for the 200 largest companies in Germany (measured by revenue), ${ }^{2}$ all DAX companies, ${ }^{3}$ all companies with govern-ment-owned shares, ${ }^{4}$ the 100 largest banks (measured by balance sheet total), ${ }^{5}$ and the 60 largest insurance companies (measured by revenue from contributions). ${ }^{6}$ Furthermore, the share of women on executive boards and supervisory boards is reported separately for the companies${ }^{7}$ subject to the gender quota on supervisory boards and for the companies subject to the 2021 inclusion requirement for executive boards. Altogether, the 2022 DIW Berlin Women Executives Barometer includes information on around 500 companies in Germany. The figures published here were researched

[^1]Table 1
Women on executive and supervisory boards in Germany's 200 largest companies ${ }^{1}$ (without financial sector)

|  | Largest 200 companies |  |  |  |  |  |  | Largest 100 companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2010 | 2013 | 2016 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2006 | 2010 | 2013 | 2016 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Executive/management boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| With composition data | 195 | 195 | 195 | 200 | 197 | 193 | 197 | 97 | 95 | 97 | 100 | 98 | 96 | 97 |
| With women on executive board | 9 | 22 | 35 | 61 | 78 | 81 | 101 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 35 | 45 | 48 | 60 |
| Percentage share | 4.6 | 11.3 | 17.9 | 30.5 | 39.6 | 42.0 | 51.3 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 19.6 | 35.0 | 45.9 | 50.0 | 61.9 |
| Total number of members | 953 | 906 | 906 | 931 | 907 | 878 | 944 | 531 | 490 | 484 | 498 | 484 | 468 | 482 |
| Men | 942 | 877 | 866 | 855 | 813 | 777 | 805 | 530 | 479 | 461 | 455 | 428 | 404 | 403 |
| Women | 11 | 29 | 40 | 76 | 94 | 101 | 139 | 1 | 11 | 23 | 43 | 56 | 64 | 79 |
| Percentage share of women | 1.2 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 10.4 | 11.5 | 14.7 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 13.7 | 16.4 |
| Total number of chairpersons ${ }^{2}$ | 195 | 195 | 194 | 176 | 192 | 183 | 176 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 94 | 97 | 95 | 90 |
| Men | 195 | 193 | 190 | 171 | 182.5 | 176 | 162 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 94 | 94.5 | 91 | 84 |
| Women | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9.5 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.5 | 4 | 6 |
| Percentage share of women | 0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 8.0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 6.7 |
| Supervisory boards/administrative boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| With composition data | 170 | 166 | 157 | 154 | 164 | 154 | 160 | 87 | 86 | 86 | 81 | 88 | 83 | 86 |
| With women on supervisory board | 110 | 117 | 123 | 138 | 150 | 145 | 149 | 65 | 61 | 71 | 74 | 83 | 79 | 81 |
| Percentage share | 64.7 | 70.5 | 78.3 | 89.6 | 91.5 | 94.2 | 93.1 | 74.7 | 70.9 | 82.6 | 91.4 | 94.3 | 95.2 | 94.2 |
| Total number of members | 2,500 | 2,293 | 2,159 | 2,160 | 2,177 | 2,074 | 2,183 | 1,389 | 1,263 | 1,231 | 1,198 | 1,321 | 1,252 | 1,317 |
| Men | 2,304 | 2,050 | 1,834 | 1,671 | 1,563 | 1,453 | 1,519 | 1,270 | 1,142 | 1,044 | 922 | 932 | 866 | 910 |
| Women | 196 | 243 | 325 | 489 | 614 | 621 | 664 | 119 | 121 | 187 | 276 | 389 | 386 | 407 |
| Percentage share of women | 7.8 | 10.6 | 15.1 | 22.6 | 28.2 | 29.9 | 30.4 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 15.2 | 23.0 | 29.4 | 30.8 | 30.9 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 170 | 167 | 160 | 153 | 162 | 153 | 160 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 80 | 87 | 83 | 86 |
| Men | 167 | 165 | 156 | 150 | 156 | 145 | 150 | 85 | 85 | 83 | 78 | 84 | 79 | 81 |
| Women | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Percentage share of women | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 5.8 |

1 The figures for 2021 were researched from November 28 to December 7, 2021.
2 In cases of dual leadership, the chairperson is counted as two half positions.
Figures for every year since 2006 and the names of all women on the executive boards of the top-200 companies are available online: www.diw.de/managerinnen
Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.
from November 28 to December 7, 2021. ${ }^{8}$ The data are drawn from online company profiles, annual reports, and financial statements for 2020. This report also includes information from German Federal Gazette publications as well as specific data requests made to the companies by DIW Berlin.

## Top 200 companies

Notable increase in the share of women on executive boards

In the fourth quarter of 2021, the share of women on the executive boards of the 200 largest companies (excluding the financial sector) was almost 15 percent; in 2020, it was 11.5 percent. This is an additional 38 women ( 139 in total),

[^2]the strongest annual increase of this indicator since data collection for the Women Executives Barometer began in 2006 (Table 1, Figure 1). However, due to increases in the size of executive boards, the number of executive board members in this group has increased overall. There was also a strong increase in the share of women at the top 100 companies, which have consistently had higher shares of women on their executive boards since 2016. As of late fall 2021, it was over 16 percent; in 2020, it was almost 14 percent.

There was also a significant increase in the share of female CEOs in these groups compared to 2020: In the top 200 companies, this figured doubled compared to the previous year and by the fourth quarter of 2021, was eight percent ( 14 women compared to seven in 2020). There was steady growth in the top 100 companies as well, with almost seven percent of CEO positions being held by women (compared to a good four percent in 2020). This is an increase of two

women (from four to six) who are in charge of one of the 100 largest companies in Germany in the fourth quarter of 2021.9

## Share of women on supervisory boards barely increasing

The share of women on supervisory boards is still significantly greater than the share of women on executive boards. However, over the past year, this share has developed less dynamically. Nevertheless, in the top 200 companies, a 30-percent share of women was achieved for the first time: The share of women on the supervisory boards of these companies increased by 0.5 percentage points to a good 30 percent. In contrast, the share of women on supervisory boards of the top 100 companies stagnated. As in 2020, the share of women in 2021 was almost 31 percent.

However, the number of women heading supervisory boards has also increased, even if still at a low level. In the top 200 companies, the number of chairwomen increased from eight in 2020 to ten in 2021, which is a little over six percent of all supervisory board chairs. In the top 100 companies, five

9 Manon van Beek (Tennet TSO GmbH), Anna Maria Braun (B. Braun SE), Dr. Stephanie Coßmann (Lanxess AG), Belén Garijo (Merck KGaA), Tanja Gönner (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH), Dr. Marianne Janik (Microsoft Deutschland GmbH), Christina Johansson (Bilfinger SE), Carla Kriwet (BSH Hausgeräte GmbH), Dr. Nicola Leibinger-Kammüller (Trumpf Gruppe), Martina Merz (Thyssenkrupp AG), Tina Müller (Douglas GmbH), Dr. Sabine Nikolaus (Boehringer Ingelheim Deutschland GmbH), Aline Seifert (Alliance Healthcare Deutschland AG) and Dr. Susanna Zapreva (Enercity AG).
women were supervisory board chairs (up from four in 2020) in the fourth quarter of 2021, a share of almost six percent. ${ }^{10}$

## Listed companies

More women on executive boards almost everywhere; especially stark increase in the DAX 40 companies

The share of women on the executive boards of the publicly listed companies (DAX 40, MDAX, SDAX, and TecDAX) examined here has-like the top 200 companies-increased strongly compared to previous years. In late fall 2021, the share was a little over 14 percent on average for all DAX companies, an increase of three percentage points compared to 2020 (Table 2). Such a large annual increase has not occurred since the beginning of the observation period in 2011. The share of female CEOs has also increased to almost six percent. ${ }^{11}$

10 Filiz Albrecht (Bosch Rexroth AG), Dr. Simone Bagel-Trah (Henkel GmbH), Beate Bockelt (Sa-nofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH), Anna Borg (Vattenfall GmbH), Catharina Claas-Mühlhauser (Claas $\mathrm{KGaA} \mathrm{mbH})$, Yvonne van der Laan (Basell Polyolefine GmbH), Doreen Nowotne (Brenntag SE), Monika Standziak-Koresh (Orlen Deutschland GmbH), Cristina Stenbeck (Zalando SE), and Bettine Würth (Würth-Gruppe).

11 Dr. Stephanie Coßmann (Lanxess AG), Melissa Di Donato (SUSE S.A.), Belén Garijo (Merck KGaA), Dr. Britta Giesen (Pfeiffer Vacuum Technology AG), Christina Johansson (Billinger SE), Martina Merz (Thyssenkrupp AG), Petra von Strombeck (New Work SE), Sonja Wärntges (DIC Asset AG), and Maria Zesch (Takkt AG).

Women on executive and supervisory boards in selected listed companies ${ }^{1}$ in Germany

|  | Subject to the gender quota for supervisory boards ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | Average of the DAX groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2018 | 2020 | 2021 | $2011^{4}$ | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Executive/management boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 106 | 104 | 107 | 103 | 130 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| With composition data | 106 | 104 | 107 | 103 | 130 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| With women on executive board | 26 | 34 | 50 | 57 | 17 | 37 | 35 | 43 | 56 | 64 | 81 |
| Percentage share | 24.5 | 32.7 | 46.7 | 55.3 | 13.1 | 23.1 | 21.9 | 26.9 | 35.2 | 40.3 | 50.9 |
| Total number of members | 447 | 483 | 485 | 476 | 569 | 681 | 658 | 697 | 706 | 686 | 689 |
| Men | 446 | 442 | 424 | 405 | 549 | 639 | 620 | 647 | 640 | 610 | 592 |
| Women | 31 | 41 | 61 | 71 | 20 | 42 | 38 | 50 | 66 | 76 | 97 |
| Percentage share of women | 6.5 | 8.5 | 12.6 | 14.9 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 14.1 |
| Total number of chairpersons ${ }^{2}$ | 103 | 104 | 107 | 103 | 130 | 160 | 158 | 155 | 157 | 157 | 158 |
| Men | 102 | 102 | 104 | 97 | 129 | 159 | 158 | 150 | 152.5 | 152 | 149 |
| Women | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | 9 |
| Percentage share of women | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 5.7 |
| Supervisory boards/administrative boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 106 | 104 | 107 | 103 | 130 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| With composition data | 105 | 104 | 107 | 103 | 130 | 160 | 158 | 160 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| With women on supervisory board | 105 | 104 | 107 | 103 | 82 | 119 | 130 | 137 | 136 | 141 | 144 |
| Percentage share | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 63.1 | 74.4 | 81.3 | 85.6 | 85.5 | 88.7 | 90.6 |
| Total number of members | 1,562 | 1,511 | 1,621 | 1,552 | 1,406 | 1,668 | 1,653 | 1,761 | 1,698 | 1,703 | 1,677 |
| Men | 1,134 | 1,016 | 1,045 | 1,002 | 1,228 | 1,384 | 1,284 | 1,284 | 1,167 | 1,150 | 1,126 |
| Women | 428 | 495 | 576 | 550 | 178 | 286 | 369 | 477 | 531 | 553 | 557 |
| Percentage share of women | 27.4 | 32.8 | 35.5 | 35.4 | 12.7 | 17.1 | 22.3 | 27.1 | 31.3 | 32.4 | 33.2 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 104 | 104 | 107 | 103 | 130 | 158 | 158 | 160 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| Men | 100 | 100 | 102 | 99 | 129 | 154 | 152 | 155 | 151 | 152 | 151 |
| Women | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Percentage share of women | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 |

1 The figures for 2021 were researched from November 28 to December 7, 2021
2 In cases of dual leadership, the chairperson is counted as two half positions.
3 Companies according to the Women-on-Board-Index 2021 by FidAR.
4 Calculations do not include TecDAX companies.
Figures for every year since 2006 and the names of all women on the executive boards of listed companies are available online: www.diw.de/managerinnen
Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.

A more detailed look at the individual DAX groups (Table 3) shows that the largest listed companies represented in the DAX 40 index remained in the lead regarding the share of women on executive boards in the fourth quarter of 2021 (17.5 percent). However, it must be taken into account that the leading index of the German stock exchange was increased from 30 to 40 companies in September 2021. The composition of the top DAX companies has significantly changed as a result, and thus, the share of women on the boards of the DAX 30 companies in fall 2020 are only comparable with those on the boards of the DAX 40 companies in fall 2021 to a limited extent. When viewing only the companies that were in the DAX 30 in 2020, it can be seen that they have a higher share of women on their boards (just under 19 percent) than the DAX 40 group in fall 2021. This is an increase of a good four percentage points compared to the previous year.

The MDAX was also affected by the change to the stock indices: Since September 2021, ten fewer companies are included
in this index. Comparing the new version of the MDAX in fall 2021 with the 2020 MDAX group shows that the share of women on the executive boards stagnated at around 12 percent. Stagnation is also apparent when viewing developments in the companies that were in the MDAX group in 2020 only.

In contrast, there was a noticeable increase in the share of women on the executive boards of the SDAX and TecDAX companies: from almost eight to almost 13 percent for the SDAX and from almost 11 to almost 14 percent for the TecDAX companies (Table 4).

In regards to female CEOs, there were increases in all DAX groups with the exception of the MDAX companies (still with two female CEOs ${ }^{12}$ ). There was again one female CEO, Belén Garijo (Merck), among the DAX 40 companies in fall 2021. In the SDAX companies, the number of female CEOs

[^3]Table 3
Women on executive and supervisory boards in DAX 30/DAX 40 and MDAX companies ${ }^{1}$


The figures for 2021 were researched from November 28 to December 7, 2021.
2 Since September 20,2021, the group of the largest listed companies has included 40 instead of 30 companies.
3 In contrast to the expansion of the DAX 30 to the DAX 40, the MDAX was reduced by ten companies in fall 2021.
4 Deutsche Wohnen SE was acquired by Vonovia SE in 2021 and is therefore no longer included.
5 In cases of dual leadership, the chairperson is counted as two half positions.
Figures for every year since 2006 are available online: www.diw.de/managerinnen
Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations
increased from three to six, and in the TecDAX companies, from one to two. ${ }^{13}$

## Share of women on supervisory boards only increased at SDAX and TecDAX companies

The share of women on the supervisory boards of DAX companies had already reached 30 percent in 2018 (Table 2). Growth has been less dynamic since then; there were even slight declines in some groups recently. On average across all DAX groups, the share of women on supervisory boards in late fall 2021 was a good 33 percent, one percentage point higher than in 2020.

In past years, the largest listed companies always achieved the highest share of women on supervisory boards. The

[^4]share of women was almost 35 percent in the DAX 40 group in the fourth quarter of 2021, which is greater than the other DAX groups (Table 3). However, the value is below the 2020 value, even when considering the restructuring of the index.

While the share of women on supervisory boards has stagnated among the MDAX companies at 33 percent, the share increased by three percentage points each among the SDAX and TecDAX companies to almost 32 and almost 35 percent, respectively. Thus, the share of women on supervisory boards was above 30 percent in all DAX groups for the first time in late fall 2021.

Companies with government-owned shares: share of women on executive boards stagnating at a relatively high level

As many companies with government-owned shares are small, they can only be compared to the other groups of companies examined here to a limited extent. In addition,

Table 4
Women on executive and supervisory boards in SDAX and TecDAX companies ${ }^{1}$

|  | SDAX |  |  |  |  |  |  | TecDAX |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Executive/management boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| With composition data | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| With women on executive board | 6 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 19 | 30 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 10 |
| Percentage share | 12.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 21.4 | 27.1 | 42.9 | 26.7 | 10.0 | 16.7 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 33.3 |
| Total number of members | 168 | 170 | 165 | 172 | 259 | 258 | 262 | 107 | 101 | 117 | 131 | 120 | 118 |
| Men | 160 | 157 | 154 | 163 | 244 | 238 | 229 | 98 | 98 | 111 | 119 | 107 | 102 |
| Women | 8 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 20 | 33 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 13 | 16 |
| Percentage share of women | 4.8 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 12.6 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 13.6 |
| Total number of chairpersons ${ }^{2}$ | 50 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 69 | 69 | 70 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
| Men | 49 | 50 | 50 | 46 | 67 | 66 | 64 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 28.5 | 29 | 28 |
| Women | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 |
| Percentage share of women | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 8.6 | 0 | 0 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| Supervisory boards/administrative boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| With composition data | 50 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| With women on supervisory board | 21 | 27 | 33 | 35 | 53 | 57 | 60 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 27 |
| Percentage share | 42.0 | 54.0 | 67.3 | 70.0 | 75.7 | 81.4 | 85.7 | 63.3 | 79.3 | 83.3 | 86.7 | 86.7 | 90.0 |
| Total number of members | 346 | 388 | 365 | 399 | 636 | 622 | 612 | 207 | 201 | 241 | 259 | 266 | 269 |
| Men | 309 | 337 | 302 | 309 | 455 | 443 | 418 | 174 | 153 | 187 | 178 | 182 | 176 |
| Women | 37 | 51 | 63 | 90 | 181 | 179 | 194 | 33 | 48 | 54 | 81 | 84 | 93 |
| Percentage share of women | 10.7 | 13.1 | 17.3 | 22.6 | 28.5 | 28.8 | 31.7 | 15.9 | 23.9 | 22.4 | 31.3 | 31.6 | 34.6 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 50 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Men | 50 | 50 | 48 | 49 | 66 | 67 | 66 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 |
| Women | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Percentage share of women | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 10.0 |

1 The figures for 2021 were researched from November 28 to December 7, 2021 .
2 In cases of dual leadership, the chairperson is counted as two half positions.
Figures for every year since 2006 are available online: www.diw.de/managerinnen
Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.
in contrast to the private sector, supervisory board seats in these companies are often tied to a leading position in public administration or to political mandates. The share of women in senior public administration positions and political offices affects the share of women on the supervisory boards of these companies due to this fact.

To date, companies with government-owned shares have had a higher share of women on executive boards than private sector companies. In particular, there were marked increases in this group of companies from 2018 to 2020: The share of female executive board members increased from almost 18 percent in 2018 to almost 28 percent in fall 2020. Recently, this growth has not continued: In late fall 2021, the share of women on executive boards of companies with government-owned shares was again almost 28 percent (Table 5). Although there were three more female executive board members compared to 2020 (totaling 45), the share did not change because there were more companies with government-owned shares and thus more executive board positions overall.

Contrary to the trend in the large private sector companies, the share of women on supervisory boards of companies with government-owned shares continued to increase by around 1.5 percentage points to around 39 percent. This group now has a higher share than the DAX 40 group (Figure 1). Women are much more frequently supervisory board chairs in companies with government-owned shares than in other groups of companies: In the fourth quarter of 2021, this was the case for every third company. ${ }^{14}$

[^5]
## Table 5

## Women on executive and supervisory boards in companies with government-owned shares ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2010 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Executive/management boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 61 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 66 |
| With composition data | 60 | 60 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 63 | 66 |
| With women on executive board | 9 | 14 | 20 | 22 | 28 | 32 | 33 |
| Percentage share | 15.0 | 23.3 | 32.8 | 36.7 | 45.2 | 50.8 | 50.0 |
| Total number of members | 152 | 143 | 144 | 140 | 150 | 151 | 162 |
| Men | 142 | 125 | 122 | 115 | 117 | 109 | 117 |
| Women | 10 | 18 | 22 | 25 | 33 | 42 | 45 |
| Percentage share of women | 6.6 | 12.6 | 15.3 | 17.9 | 22.0 | 27.8 | 27.8 |
| Total number of chairpersons ${ }^{2}$ | 54 | 56 | 37 | 41 | 49 | 46 | 37 |
| Men | 51 | 51 | 33 | 36 | 41 | 35 | 30 |
| Women | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 7 |
| Percentage share of women | 5.6 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 12.2 | 16.3 | 23.9 | 18.9 |
| Supervisory boards/administrative boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 61 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 66 |
| With composition data | 54 | 51 | 55 | 51 | 56 | 56 | 59 |
| With women on supervisory board | 46 | 41 | 53 | 50 | 56 | 56 | 59 |
| Percentage share | 85.2 | 80.4 | 96.4 | 98.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total number of members | 577 | 553 | 595 | 530 | 601 | 602 | 626 |
| Men | 472 | 453 | 431 | 368 | 393 | 380 | 385 |
| Women | 105 | 100 | 164 | 162 | 208 | 222 | 241 |
| Percentage share of women | 18.2 | 18.1 | 27.6 | 30.6 | 34.6 | 36.9 | 38.5 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 53 | 47 | 55 | 51 | 54 | 56 | 59 |
| Men | 45 | 39 | 48 | 41 | 43 | 42 | 40 |
| Women | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 19 |
| Percentage share of women | 15.1 | 17.0 | 12.7 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 25.0 | 32.2 |

[^6]Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.

## Banks and insurance companies

Strong increases in the share of women on executive boards, but almost no increase in supervisory boards

The share of women on the executive boards of the 100 largest banks in Germany has increased strongly, as it has in the top 200 companies in the other sectors of the private sector, albeit at a lower level. It was a good 13 percent in fall 2021, an increase of almost three percentage points compared to 2020 (Table 6). The share of women on the executive boards of the 60 largest insurance companies also increased to over 13 percent from almost 12 percent in fall 2020. However, there were eight women, two fewer than in 2020, among the CEOs of the 100 largest banks in the fourth quarter of 2021, and the corresponding share of women decreased from just over ten to just over eight percent. ${ }^{15}$ In contrast, there was a strong

15 Kristine Braden (Citigroup Global Markets Europe AG), Isabelle Chevelard (Targobank AG), Ines Dietze (SWN Kreissparkasse Waiblingen), Karin-Brigitte Göbel (Stadtsparkasse Düsseldorf), Tanja Müller-Ziegler (Landesbank Berlin AG), Christine Novakovic (UBS Europe SE), Silke Schneider-Wild (Sparda-Bank München e.G.), and Edith Weymayr (Landeskreditbank Baden-Württemberg-Förderbank (L-Bank)).
increase in insurance companies: five women CEOs (a good eight percent), over twice as many in 2020 (two women, a good three percent). ${ }^{16}$

There has been a moderate increase in the share of women over the past year in the supervisory boards of the financial sector. In the 100 largest banks, the share of women on supervisory boards increased by one percentage point to almost 25 percent; in the 60 largest insurance companies, it rose by one percentage point to a good 25 percent. There was an increase in the share of female supervisory board chairs at insurance companies too: from almost seven to ten percent (six women instead of four). ${ }^{17}$ There were still seven women serving as supervisory board chair at the 100 largest banks, which is a share of around seven percent. ${ }^{18}$

## Share of women on executive boards increasing

 in all types of banks, but the share of women on supervisory boards only increasing in the public sector banksOut of all types of banks, the private sector banks have the largest share of women on executive boards by far (17 percent), followed by the cooperative banks ( 12 percent) and the public sector banks (around 11 percent) (Table 7). In all bank types, however, the share of female executive board members increased markedly compared to 2020. This is in contrast to the number of female CEOs: With four women and one woman in the public sector and cooperative banks, respectively, this figure has remained the same. As of late fall 2021, the private sector banks even had two fewer female CEOs compared to $2020 .{ }^{19}$

When it comes to women on supervisory boards, there was only an increase in the public sector banks: The share of women increased by around two percentage points to a good 24 percent. The value declined for the private sector banks (from almost 29 to a good 28 percent) as well as for the cooperative banks (from around 24 to almost 23 percent).

At public sector banks, the number of female supervisory board chairs has decreased (from four to two) - in contrast to the positive trend regarding the number of women on supervisory boards in this group of banks. However, the number

16 Heike Bähner (Volkswohl Bund Lebensversicherung a.G.), Zeliha Hanning (Württembergische Lebensversicherung AG und Württembergische Versicherung AG), Katharina Jessel (Bayerische Beamtenkrankenkasse AG), and Nina Klingspor (Allianz Private Krankenversicherungs-AG).

17 Prof. Dr. Liane Buchholz (Westfälische Provinzial Versicherung AG), Cosima Ingenschay (DEVK Allgemeine Versicherungs-AG), Silke Lautenschläger (DKV Deutsche Krankenversicherung AG), Ulrike Lubek (Provinzial Rheinland Versicherung AG), Alison Martin (ZURICH Insurance plc, NL f. Deutschland), and Isabella Pfaller (Bayerische Beamtenkrankenkasse AG).

18 Dr. Ingrun-Ulla Bartölke (Volkswagen Bank GmbH), Anke Beckemeyer (Sparda-Bank West e.G.), Katrin Lange (Investitionsbank des Landes Brandenburg), Mónica López-Monis Gallego (Santander Consumer Bank AG), Ramona Pop (IBB Investitionsbank Berlin), Gitta Wild (Sparda-Bank Südwest e.G.), and Clare Woodman (Morgan Stanley Europe SE).

19 Public sector banks: Karin-Brigitte Göbel (Stadtsparkasse Düsseldorf), Tanja Müller Ziegler (Landesbank Berlin AG), Edith Weymayr (Landeskreditbank Baden-Württemberg-Förderbank (L-Bank), Ines Dietze (SWN Kreissparkasse Waiblingen). Cooperative banks: Silke Schnei-der-Wild (Sparda-Bank München e.G.). Private sector banks: Kristine Braden (Citigroup Global Markets Europe AG), Isabelle Chevelard (Targobank AG) and Christine Novakovic (UBS Europe SE).

Women on the executive and supervisory boards of large banks and insurance companies in Germany ${ }^{1}$

|  | Banks |  |  |  |  |  |  | Insurance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2010 | 2013 | 2016 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2006 | 2010 | 2013 | 2016 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Executive/management boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $99^{2}$ | 63 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| With composition data | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 63 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 60 |
| With women on executive board | 10 | 10 | 24 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 39 | 10 | 10 | 29 | 31 | 26 | 32 | 32 |
| Percentage share | 10.0 | 10.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 39.4 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 48.3 | 52.5 | 44.1 | 54.2 | 53.3 |
| Total number of members | 442 | 408 | 396 | 404 | 410 | 392 | 403 | 394 | 399 | 396 | 357 | 327 | 338 | 348 |
| Men | 431 | 396 | 371 | 371 | 370 | 351 | 350 | 384 | 389 | 362 | 322 | 291 | 298 | 302 |
| Women | 11 | 12 | 25 | 33 | 40 | 41 | 53 | 10 | 10 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 40 | 46 |
| Percentage share of women | 2.5 | 2.9 | 6.3 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 13.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 13.2 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 95 | 63 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 60 |
| Men | 98 | 98 | 97 | 94 | 93 | 88 | 87 | 63 | 62 | 59 | 58 | 57 | 57 | 55 |
| Women | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Percentage share of women | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 10.2 | 8.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 8.3 |
| Supervisory boards/administrative boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $99^{2}$ | 63 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| With composition data | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 97 | 63 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 58 | 58 | 60 |
| With women on supervisory board | 89 | 88 | 89 | 95 | 95 | 97 | 94 | 46 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 52 | 51 | 52 |
| Percentage share | 89.0 | 88.0 | 89.0 | 96.9 | 96.0 | 98.0 | 96.9 | 73.0 | 77.4 | 83.3 | 88.1 | 89.7 | 87.9 | 86.7 |
| Total number of members | 1633 | 1548 | 1485 | 1520 | 1564 | 1585 | 1428 | 812 | 732 | 683 | 639 | 581 | 588 | 605 |
| Men | 1387 | 1295 | 1230 | 1194 | 1208 | 1206 | 1074 | 720 | 645 | 572 | 498 | 452 | 445 | 453 |
| Women | 246 | 253 | 255 | 326 | 356 | 379 | 354 | 92 | 87 | 111 | 141 | 129 | 143 | 153 |
| Percentage share of women | 15.1 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 23.9 | 24.8 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 16.3 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 24.3 | 25.3 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 97 | 63 | 62 | 60 | 59 | 58 | 58 | 60 |
| Men | 97 | 97 | 97 | 91 | 95 | 92 | 90 | 63 | 61 | 59 | 58 | 57 | 54 | 54 |
| Women | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| Percentage share of women | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 6.9 | 10.0 |

1 The figures for 2021 were researched from November 28 to December 7, 2021 .
2 Deutsche Hypothekenbank AG merged with Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale and is therefore no longer included.
Figures for every year since 2006 and the names of all women on the executive boards of banks and insurance companies are available online: www.diw.de/managerinnen
Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.
of female supervisory board chairs increased for private sector and cooperative banks (from two to three and from one to two, respectively). ${ }^{20}$

At the beginning of the observation period in the mid-2000s, the 100 largest banks had higher shares of women on their supervisory and executive boards than the 100 largest other private sector companies. However, they have been overtaken by the other sectors both in terms of the share of women on supervisory boards (in 2016) and with regard to the share of women on executive boards (in 2018) (Figure 2). The top 100 banks now lag far behind the top 100 companies in terms of the shares of women on supervisory and executive boards. ${ }^{21}$

[^7]
## Legal requirement for the representation of women and men on supervisory and executive boards is having a positive effect

Several international comparison studies have shown that statutory gender quotas have a significantly greater impact than non-binding recommendations or voluntary commitments; ${ }^{22}$ an inner-German comparison also shows the effectiveness of this measure. In 2015, Germany introduced a statutory gender quota of 30 percent for supervisory boards of listed companies that also have employee representation on their supervisory boards (full co-determination) as a part of the Equal Participation of Women and Men in Leadership Positions in the Private and Public Sectors Act (Gesetz für die gleichberechtigte Teilhabe von Frauen und Männern an Führungspositionen in der Privatwirtschaft und im offentlichen

[^8]Table 7
Women on the executive and supervisory boards of private banks, public banks, and cooperative banks in Germany ${ }^{1}$

|  | Public banks |  |  |  |  | Private banks ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | Cooperative banks |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Executive/management boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 52 | 52 | 54 | 54 | $50^{3}$ | 36 | 31 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| With composition data | 52 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 50 | 36 | 31 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| With women on executive board | 3 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Percentage share | 5.8 | 26.9 | 29.6 | 24.1 | 32.0 | 13.9 | 32.3 | 37.0 | 51.9 | 55.2 | 16.7 | 23.5 | 31.6 | 31.6 | 35.0 |
| Total number of members | 203 | 203 | 204 | 196 | 190 | 157 | 128 | 129 | 122 | 138 | 48 | 63 | 77 | 74 | 75 |
| Men | 199 | 187 | 185 | 180 | 170 | 151 | 118 | 115 | 105 | 114 | 46 | 59 | 70 | 66 | 66 |
| Women | 4 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 17 | 24 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Percentage share of women | 2.0 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 7.8 | 10.9 | 13.9 | 17.4 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 12.0 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 52 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 49 | 36 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Men | 52 | 51 | 50 | 49 | 45 | 34 | 28 | 25 | 21 | 24 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Women | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Percentage share of women | 0 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 19.2 | 11.1 | 0 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| Supervisory boards/administrative boards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of companies | 52 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 50 | 36 | 31 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| With composition data | 52 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 50 | 36 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| With women on supervisory board | 48 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 49 | 29 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 20 |
| Percentage share | 92.3 | 100 | 98.0 | 100 | 98.0 | 80.6 | 86.2 | 92.3 | 96.2 | 92.6 | 91.7 | 94.1 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 100 |
| Total number of members | 960 | 933 | 957 | 985 | 829 | 396 | 311 | 308 | 295 | 288 | 192 | 274 | 299 | 305 | 311 |
| Men | 802 | 725 | 754 | 763 | 627 | 333 | 239 | 224 | 210 | 207 | 160 | 231 | 230 | 233 | 240 |
| Women | 158 | 208 | 203 | 222 | 202 | 63 | 73 | 84 | 85 | 81 | 32 | 43 | 69 | 72 | 71 |
| Percentage share of women | 16.5 | 22.3 | 21.2 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 15.9 | 23.5 | 27.3 | 28.8 | 28.1 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 23.1 | 23.6 | 22.8 |
| Total number of chairpersons | 52 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 50 | 36 | 29 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| Men | 49 | 47 | 52 | 50 | 48 | 36 | 28 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 18 |
| Women | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Percentage share of women | 5.8 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 0 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 11.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |

The figures for 2021 were researched from November 28 to December 7, 2021.
2 Two of the private banks are independent savings banks.
3 Deutsche Hypothekenbank AG merged with Norddeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale and is therefore no longer included.
Figures for every year since 2006 are available online: www.diw.de/managerinnen
Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations

Dienst, FüPoG I). ${ }^{23}$ As of fall 2021, the quota applied to 103 companies.

Within the group of the top 200 companies, a comparison of those companies that are subject to the supervisory board quota with those companies to which it does not apply shows that there has been a significantly stronger increase in the share of women in the quota companies, especially from 2014 to 2019 (Figure 3). ${ }^{24}$ Over this period, the share of female supervisory board members for companies subject to the quota increased by almost 15 percentage points (from around

[^9]20 to over 34 percent), while it increased by only a good seven percentage points (from almost 16 to a good 23 percent) in the companies not subject to the quota. The share caught up somewhat in 2020 and 2021, but it still lags behind that of companies to which the quota applies ( 35 percent) significantly, at just over 27 percent.

FüPoG I contained no legal requirements for executive boards. The inclusion requirement was first introduced as a part of the Second Act for Equal Participation of Women and Men in Leadership Positions in the Private and Public Sectors (Zweites Gesetz fuir die gleichberechtigte Teilhabe von Frauen und Männern an Führungspositionen in der Privatwirtschaft und im öffentlichen Dienst, FüPoG II), which was passed in August 2021 and applies to appointments from August 2022. ${ }^{25}$ This

[^10]law states that publicly listed companies with full co-determination and an executive board with at least four members must fill the next available board position with a woman if the executive board does not have any female members. Unlike the 30 percent gender quota for supervisory boards determined in FüPoG I, FüPoG II requires a minimum number of women and men instead of a quota. This design is understandable, as executive boards are much smaller than supervisory boards (Figure 4). The companies subject to the gender quota for supervisory boards have a supervisory board with 15.1 members on average and an executive board with 4.6 members on average. For the companies subject to both the supervisory board quota and the inclusion requirement for executive boards, the average executive board size is 5.5 members. Thus, the requirement of at least one woman on executive boards corresponds to a gender quota of 20 percent on average. For companies with a four-person executive board, this is a quota of 25 percent.

Although this new law has only been publicly known for just over a year and will only apply to board appointments from August 2022, significant anticipation effects of this law can already be seen: ${ }^{26}$ In the top 200 companies subject to the new inclusion requirement, women accounted for just over 19 percent of executive board members in late fall 2021 (Figure 5), almost five percentage points more than in 2020. There has not been a comparably large increase in the past five years. On average, the share of female executive board members of these companies only increased by one percentage point per year from 2013 to 2020. In the top 200 companies not subject to the inclusion requirement, the share of female executive board members has increased by almost three percentage points to around 14 percent since fall 2020.

Of the 66 companies subject to the inclusion requirement, 16 have more female executive board members than they did in 2020 (two companies have fewer women). Twelve companies that did not have any female executive board members in 2020 appointed one woman to their executive board in 2021.

Generally, the size of a company's executive board remains constant over time. However, ten companies increased the size of their executive board over the course of appointing a woman.

In the companies subject to the quota for supervisory boards (of them, a subgroup is also subject to the inclusion requirement for executive boards), the executive board has averaged five members over the past years. Compared to 2020, somewhat fewer companies (29) had an executive board with three or fewer members (2020: 33 companies). However, these developments do not indicate that the companies are attempting to circumvent the inclusion requirement by shrinking the size of their executive board.

Figure 2

## Percentage share of women on executive and supervisory boards of the top 100 banks and top 100 companies (excluding the financial sector)

In percent


Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.
© DIW Berlin 2022
The largest banks lag significantly behind the largest non-financial companies in terms of shares of women on boards.

## Figure 3

Share of women on top decision-making bodies of the top 200 companies with and without a gender quota for supervisory boards
In percent


Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.
© DIW Berlin 2022
Companies that are subject to the gender quota on supervisory boards also have significantly more women on their executive boards than other companies.

[^11]Figure 4
Board sizes of companies subject to the gender quota for supervisory boards
Number of companies and share of all companies in percent


Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.
© DIW Berlin 2022
Executive boards are significantly smaller bodies than supervisory boards.

## Conclusion: Stark increases in the shares of women in executive boards; legal requirements causing anticipation effects

The share of women on the executive boards of the largest companies in Germany increased strongly in 2021 compared to 2020. In the 200 largest companies, the three-per-centage-point increase to just under 15 percent was over four times greater than the average annual growth since the beginning of the DIW Women Executives Barometer in 2006. There were also markedly more women on executive boards in publicly listed companies, banks, and insurance companies.

While the share of women on supervisory boards has often grown much more dynamically than the share of women on executive boards in past years, the situation was reversed in 2021: There were smaller increases in the supervisory boards,

## Figure 5

Shares of women on executive boards of the top 200 companies with and without the inclusion requirement for the executive board In percent


Sources: Authors' own surveys and calculations.
© DIW Berlin 2022
The share of women has recently increased more strongly at companies that will be subject to the new inclusion requirement for executive boards from August 2022.
albeit at a higher level. In the top 200 companies, the share of female supervisory board members only increased slightly from just below to just above 30 percent; in the top 100 companies, it stagnated around 31 percent. Only the companies with government-owned shares experienced a significant increase to around 39 percent.

The major increases in the executive boards are best viewed in context: In summer 2021, the inclusion requirement for executive boards was passed. From August 2022 onward, publicly listed companies with full co-determination and at least four executive board members must have at least one female board member (or, in the reverse case of an allwoman board, one man). Although the law does not come into effect until August 2022, it has caused strong anticipation effects. Of the-as of January 2022-66 companies subject to the inclusion requirement, twelve companies that did not have a woman on the board in fall 2020 have since appointed a female board member. Only 19 of the 66 companies still do not have a woman on their executive board. In 2020, 31 of 64 companies did not have any female executive board members. These developments show that legal requirements have a powerful impact.

There is also the hope that the stark increase in the number of women on executive boards will lead to further improvements in gender equality. Female executive board members are able to directly influence a company's culture, salaries, and personnel policies. Through their influence, positive
effects on gender equality in pay and promotion could develop in the respective companies. ${ }^{27}$ Additionally, women on executive boards-unlike women on supervisory boardsare very visible to employees. As role models, they show that women can make it all the way to the top levels of management. They serve as inspiration for other women and demonstrate the behavior and strategies needed to be successful. ${ }^{28}$

27 Pallab Kumar Biswas, Helen Roberts, and Kevin Stainback, "Does women's board representation affect non-managerial gender inequality?" Human Resource Management 60, no. 4 (2021): 659-680; Pallab Kumar Biswas et al., "Board Gender Diversity and Women in Senior Management," Journal of Business Ethics (2021).
28 Thekla Morgenroth, Michelle K. Ryan, and Kim Peters, "The Motivational Theory of Role Modeling: How Role Models Influence Role Aspirants' Goals," Review of General Psychology 19, no. 4 (2015): 465-483.
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Furthermore, as more cooperation between women and men occurs at the top management levels, prejudices and doubts about women's leadership qualities are reduced. ${ }^{29}$ This can lead to the gradual dismantling of gender stereotypes, prejudices, and discrimination across society, thereby increasing equal opportunities for women and men in the labor market in the long term.

29 Thomas F. Pettigrew and Linda R. Tropp, "A meta-analytic test of intergroup contact theory," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 90, no. 5 (2006): 751-783.
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