

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Singh, Vedant; Kumar, Akshay; Singh, Tej

Article

Impact of TQM on organisational performance: The case of Indian manufacturing and service industry

Operations Research Perspectives

Provided in Cooperation with: Elsevier

Suggested Citation: Singh, Vedant; Kumar, Akshay; Singh, Tej (2018) : Impact of TQM on organisational performance: The case of Indian manufacturing and service industry, Operations Research Perspectives, ISSN 2214-7160, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Vol. 5, pp. 199-217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp.2018.07.004

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/246350

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Operations Research Perspectives

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/orp

Impact of TQM on organisational performance: The case of Indian manufacturing and service industry



Vedant Singh^{a,*}, Akshay Kumar^b, Tej Singh^c

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, DR. B. R. Ambedkar NIT Jalandhar, PB 144011, India

^b Department of Mechanical Engg, Abhilashi University, Mandi 175028, H.P., India

^c Mechanical Engineering, Manav Bharti University, Solan-173229, H.P., India

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords: Total quality management (TQM) Small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) Organizational performance Indian manufacturing and service firms Structural equation modelling (SEM) This study is principally focused on the implementation of Total Quality Management (TQM) in Indian industries and to study its influence on the organizational performance. The study has been conducted in five manufacturing and three service companies in north India. The selected companies are listed in Confederation of Indian Industries (CII). The data used for this study was the combination of primary and secondary data and the focus was on examining the extent of TQM implementation in Indian industries. The hypotheses and conceptual framework were designed in accordance with Indian context. The completely useful 236 samples were collected from eight small and medium-sized Indian (SME) manufacturing and service companies. The data was classified into two categories i.e. Managers and Workers. The data collected then analyzed using SPSS-AMOS 24. All the hypotheses were positively fit with the conceptual model and hence showed the positive impact of TQM on organizational performance (OP). All the values were significant and consistent with previous studies. It was found that there is no such difference of literacy about TQM among the two groups and TQM elements are positively related to the performance factors of the Indian organizations. Finally, the findings of this study provides a valuable knowledge regarding TQM practices from Indian manufacturing and service sector perspective.

1. Introduction

Indian manufacturing sector is growing day by day and India is one of the fastest developing economies of the world. For the past 20 years, Indian industry has seen a huge growth. Indian economy used to be a close type economy but the government in early 90's changed their policy and stepped forward to open it for foreign direct investment (FDI). Indian manufacturing sector grew at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 9.87% between fiscal years 2012-2017 and 9.33% in the fiscal year 2017 [44]. The Indian Manufacturing sector currently presents 16-17% to growth domestic product (GDP) and different studies have analyzed that every job generated in manufacturing has a multiplier effect in generating 2-3 jobs in the Indian services sector [19]. As a result, Indian industry sector made an astonishing progress both in its economy and technology. The government of India wants to expand the share of manufacturing sector to the GDP to 25% by 2022 [44]. Most of the industries in India have implemented TQM and gained ISO certification [55]. Therefore, a large brigade of customers necessitates manufacturers to strongly focus on quality. The Indian government is trying to increase the quality of products by implementing various programs. A company cannot maintain the quality of products without properly adopting strategic and planning instruments of quality management practices. However, Indian manufacturing and the service sector are still lacking in a clear TQM strategy [79,118].

This analytical study investigates the extent of TQM adopted by Indian manufacturing sector and its impact and relationships with business performance. For this, different TQM elements and organizational performances were investigated and their result was reviewed to find out the degree to which they are adopted. To achieve this goal, the TQM elements such as organizational leadership, customer satisfaction and relationships, human resource focus, strategic planning and development and supplier management were studied. In addition, the relationships between TQM elements and organizational performance were analyzed using structural equation modelling approach (SEM).

In the next section, this study provided the literature review and research background. This is followed by theoretical basis for hypotheses and present our conceptual research model in Section 3. Then, a brief description of our research methods is provided in Section 4.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: er.vedu@gmail.com (V. Singh).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orp.2018.07.004

Received 6 August 2017; Received in revised form 17 July 2018; Accepted 17 July 2018 Available online 29 July 2018 2214-7160/ © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).

Table 1

TQM constructs and performance measures	Supporting literature for TQM constructs and performance measures	Description of TQM constructs and performance measures
Organizational leadership	Samson and Terziovski (1999), Sun (2000), Wilson and Collier (2000), Brah et al. (2002), Claver et al. (2003), Kaynak (2003), Lee et al. (2003), Prajogo and Sohal (2003), [99], Lau et al. (2004), Prajogo and Sohal (2004), Agus (2004), Prajogo (2005), Lin et al. (2005), Seth & Tripathi (2005), Yeung et al. (2005), Prajogo and Brown (2006), Prajogo and Sohal (2006), Lakhal et al. (2006), Feng et al. (2006), [34], Brah and Lim (2006), Hoang et al. (2006), Lin and Chang (2006), Sila (2007), Tari et al. (2007), [101], [126], Appiah Fening et al. (2008), Kaynak and Hartley (2008), [132], Pinho (2008), Bou-Llusar et al. (2009), Bou- Llusar et al. (2009), Fotopoulos and Psomas (2009), Kumar et al. (2009), Salaheldin (2009), Fotopoulos and Psomas (2009), Kumar et al. (2009), Salaheldin (2009), [32], Sadikoglu and Zehir (2010), Miyagawa and Yoshida (2010), Xiang et al. (2010), Zakuan et al. (2010), Kumar et al. (2011), [43], Phan et al. (2011), Kim et al. (2012), [46], Lam et al. (2012), Sitki Ilkay & Aslan (2012), Wang et al. (2012), Zehir et al. (2012), Hassan et al. (2013), [68], Talib et al. (2013), Asbella et al. (2014), Delic et al. (2015), Anil & Satish (2016), Al-Dhaafri et al. (2016), Rana & Bhola (2016), Sinha et al. (2016), Vasantharayalu & Surjit (2016), Aquilani et al. (2017), Ebrahimi & Rad (2017), Panuwatwanich & Nguyen (2017), Shafiq et al. (2017), Farish et al. (2017), Xiong et al. (2017), Mehralian et al. (2017), Pradhan (2017), Qasrawi et al. (2017),	Top management communication, commitment, planning, interaction, leadership, responsibility, evaluation, and anticipation
Customer satisfaction and relationship	 Keinan & Karugu (2018), Omar et al. (2018) Dow et al. (1999), Samson and Terziovski (1999), Brah et al. (2000), Sun (2000), Agus & Abdullah (2000), Das et al. (2000), Brah et al. (2002), De Ceiro (2003), [36], Claver et al. (2003), Lai (2003), Lee et al. (2003), Prajogo and Sohal (2003), Sanchez-Rodríguez and Martínez-Lorente (2004), Lau et al. (2004), [33], Prajogo and Sohal (2004), Agus (2004), Lai and Cheng (2005), Rahman and Bullock (2005), Prajogo (2005), Lin et al. (2006), Rahman and Bullock (2005), Prajogo (2005), Lin et al. (2006), Lakhal et al. (2006), Fraj et al. (2006), Prajogo and Sohal (2006), Lakhal et al. (2006), Hoang et al. (2006), Prajogo and Sohal (2006), Tari et al. (2007), Yusuf et al. (2007), Appiah Fening et al. (2006), Tari et al. (2007), Yusuf et al. (2007), Appiah Fening et al. (2008), Kaynak and Hartley (2008), Sharma and Gadenne (2008), [130], Arumugam et al. (2008), Fotopoulos and Psomas (2009), Salaheldin (2009), Fotopoulos & Psomas (2010), Sadikoglu and Zehir (2010), Miyagawa and Yoshida (2011), Khan (2011), Sánchez-Rodríguez and Martínez-Lorente (2011), Phan et al. (2011), Kumar et al. (2012), Kim et al. (2012), Jaafreh & Al-abedallat (2012), Lam et al. (2012), Sitki Ilkay & Aslan (2012), Mass et al. (2013), Laosirihongthong et al. (2013), Talib et al. (2013), Akgun et al. (2014), Delic et al. (2014), Al-Ettayyem & Zu'bi (2015), del Alonso-Almeida (2015), Jaca & Psomas (2015), Psomas & Jaca (2016), Kana & Bhola (2016), Swies et al. (2016), Vasantharayalu & Surjit (2016), Aquilani et al. (2017), Ebrahimi & Rad (2017), Patyal et al. (2017), Keinan & Karugu (2018) Omar et al. (2018), Qasrawi et al. (2017), Keinan & Karugu (2018) Omar et al. (2018), Qasrawi et al. (2017), 	Customer requirements, customer oriented strategies, customer relationships, customers' requirements, customer satisfaction feedbacks, customer complaints, concessions for defective parts/ products
Human resource focus	Sun (2000), Wilson and Collier (2000), Brah et al. (2002), De Ceiro (2003), Lee et al. (2003), Lau et al. (2004), Seth & Tripathi (2005), Brah and Lim (2006), Sila (2007), Tari et al. (2007), Appiah Fening et al. (2008), Kumar et al. (2009), Miyagawa and Yoshida (2010), Xiang et al. (2010), Zakuan et al. (2010), Khan (2011), Lam et al. (2012), Sitki İlkay & Aslan (2012), Talib et al. (2013), Sabella et al. (2014), Al-Dhaafri et al. (2016), Rana & Bhola (2016), Aquilani et al. (2017), Ebrahimi & Rad (2017), Farish et al. (2017), Mehralian et al. (2017), Pradhan (2017), Omar et al. (2018)	Recruitment procedure, proper and efficient training, health and safety practices, career development training
Strategic planning and development	Samson and Terziovski (1999), Curkovic et al. (2000), Wilson and Collier (2000), Prajogo and Sohal (2003), Lai (2003), Lee et al. (2003), Lau et al. (2004), Prajogo and Sohal (2004), Prajogo (2005), Lai and Cheng (2005), Lin et al. (2005), Seth & Tripathi (2005), Kannan and Tan (2005), Prajogo and Brown (2006), Prajogo and Sohal (2006), Feng et al. (2006), Brah and Lim (2006), Hoang et al. (2006), Sila (2007), Appiah Fening et al. (2008), Macinati (2008), Fotopoulos and Psomas (2009), Kumar et al. (2009), Fotopoulos & Psomas (2010), Xiang et al. (2010), Zakuan et al. (2010), Phan et al. (2011), Parast et al. (2011), Jaafreh & Al-abedallat (2012), Lam et al. (2012), Hassan et al. (2013), Laosirihongthong et al. (2013), Talib et al. (2013), Akgun et al. (2014), Sadikoglu & Olcay (2014), Sabella et al. (2014), Al-Dhaafri et al. (2016),	Study and planning for improvement, inspection, control and improvement of processes, data collection and improvement process

Table 1 (continued)

TQM constructs and performance measures	Supporting literature for TQM constructs and performance measures	Description of TQM constructs and performance measures
Supplier quality management	Rana & Bhola (2016), Parvadavardini et al. (2016), Anil & Satish (2016), Vasantharayalu & Surjit (2016), Aquilani et al. (2017), Ebrahimi & Rad (2017), Farish et al. (2017), Mehralian et al. (2017), Pradhan (2017), Qasrawi et al. (2017), Omar et al. (2018) Brah et al. (2000), Cua et al. (2001), Hasan and Kerr (2003), Kaynak (2003), Lin and Chang (2006), Demirbag et al. (2006), Kaynak and Hartley (2008), Macinati (2008), Salaheldin (2009), Zakuan et al. (2010), Parast et al. (2011), Phan et al. (2011), Baird et al. (2011), Kumar et al. (2011), Kim et al. (2012), Jaafreh & Al-abedallat (2012), Abusa and Gibson (2013), Sadikoglu & Olcay (2014), Sinha et al. (2016), Parvadavardini et al. (2016), Ebrahimi & Rad (2017), Panuwatwanich & Nguyen (2017), Samson (2017), Xiong et al. (2017), Farish et al. (2017),	Quality importance, certification to suppliers and routine audits, periodically visit to suppliers to inspect for quality improvement, supplier detailed information, suppliers feedback
Satisfaction results	Mehralian et al. (2017), Pradhan (2017), Omar et al. (2018) [4], Das et al. (2000), Agus & Abdullah (2000), Claver et al. (2003), Agus (2004), Rahman and Bullock (2005), Lin et al. (20,050, Sila (2007), Santos- Vijande et al. (2007), Yusuf et al. (2007), Sharma and Gadenne (20,080, Macinati (2008), Bou-Llusar et al. (2009), Fotopoulos and Psomas (2009), Kumar et al. (2009), Zakuan et al. (2010), Sıtki İlkay & Aslan (2012), Wang et al. (2012), Delic et al. (2014), Sadikoglu & Olcay (2014), Sabella et al. (2014), Jaca & Psomas (2015), Al-Dhaafri et al. (2016), Psomas & Jaca (2016), Xiong et al. (2017), Qasrawi et al.	Production percentage, employees morale and satisfaction, customer satisfaction, product quality,
Business results	Samson and Terziovski (1999), Brah et al. (2000), Curkovic et al. (2000), Sun (2000), Wilson and Collier (2000), Agus & Abdullah (2000), [27], Huarng and Chen (2002), Claver et al. (2003), Lai (2003), Sanchez-Rodríguez and Martínez-Lorente (2004), Lau et al. (2004), Fuentes-Fuentes et al. (2004), Lin et al. (2005), Yeung et al. (2005), Lakhal et al. (2006), Fuentes-Fuentes et al. (2006), Demirbag et al. (2006), Shrivastava et al. (2006), Molina et al. (2007), Sila (2007), Yusuf et al. (2007), Kaynak and Hartley (2008), Sharma and Gadenne (2008), Zu et al. (2008), Macinati (2008), Pinho (2008), Fotopoulos and Psomas (2009), Gadenne and Sharma (2009), Kumar et al. (2009), Salaheldin (2009), Zakuan et al. (2010), Koc (2011), Lam et al. (2012), Stki İlkay & Aslan (2012), Wang et al. (2012), Laosirihongthong et al. (2013), Akgun et al. (2014), Herzallah et al. (2014), Sadikoglu & Olcay (2014), Sabella et al. (2014), Al-Ettayyem & Zu'bi (2015), Cetindere et al. (2015), del Alonso-Almeida (2015), Kafetzopoulos et al. (2015), Jaca & Psomas (2015), Al-Dhaafri et al. (2016), Parvadavardini et al. (2016), Psomas & Jaca (2016), Shafiq et al. (2017), Xiong et al. (2017), Farish et al. (2017), Qasrawi et al. (2017)	Sales volume, exports volume, profit

Note: TQM- Total Quality Management

After this, the Section 5 presented the results of our analyses and briefly discussed those results. Finally, this study has offered some conclusions, future avenues of research, and recommendations for policymakers in the Indian manufacturing and service companies.

2. Literature review and research background

The researchers have done an extensive study and empirical work on TQM since last 30 years. They have tried to find out each question related to the TQM implementation. An extensive literature review TQM literature have revealed what comprises TQM and what are the critical measures for the success of TQM. The review of TQM literature identified various TQM measures. However, as shown in Table 1, a number of these measures could be measured by five general categories of measures including organizational leadership, customer satisfaction and relationship, human resource focus, strategic planning and development, and supplier quality management. Explanation of these measures and the supporting literature for them are given in Table 1. In addition, the review of literature also indicated that the studies differed in terms of conceptualizing TQM practices and firm performance. Performance was also conceptualized distinctly across various researches such as quality performance [6,47,49,80,89,93,118,124,129], operaperformance ([23,47,49,89,97,121]), business tional results [80,89,112,124], customer satisfaction [89,104,111,113,122,124,127], and overall organisational performance [52,77,87,90,91,116].

In general, researchers have investigated a direct relationship

Table 2 Respondent's

Respondent's profile (Sample description; N = 236).

Characteristic	Count	Approximate percentage (%)
1. Industry type		
manufacturing	6	75
service	2	25
2. Designation		
Manager's (Senior, middle, low)	116	50.8
Worker's (Technicians)	120	49.15
3. Education level		
Diploma and equivalent	137	58
Degree and equivalent	99	42
4. Working experience level (years)		
0–5	90	38
6–10	85	36
11 and above	61	26
5. ISO Certification		
ISO 9001	28	12
ISO 9002	21	9
ISO 9001:2000	35	15
ISO 9001:2008	36	15
ISO 9001:2015	31	13
ISO/TS-16,949	66	28
6. Company's current capital		
10 Million and less	0	0
20-500 Million	90	38
.51–1 Billion	90	38
1.01 Billion and above	56	24

between TQM practices and performance ([6,17,23,47,77,80,91, 97,112,116], Hung et al. 2011, [59,65,86]). At the same time, there is also evidence of indirect relations among TQM practices and performance in extant literature [9,21,50,90,111]. Some of the researchers ([8,40,70,98,104], Arumugam et al. 2008, [1,48,49,94,118,125,129]) have considered combined (direct and indirect) relationships among them. In addition, researchers [6,39,51,95,100,122] have analyzed the mediating effects of some TQM practices on performance. Several research studies [2,49,123,127] have analyzed the presence of contextual variables in the relationship between TQM practices and performance. However, based on these direct and indirect relations among TQM practices and performance lack generalized compatibility among researchers.

Table 1 shows the reviewed literature of TQM practices and organisation performance (OP). However, the researches on TQM and OP in Indian context have not addressed the key issue of relationship between implementation factors and performance. Seth & Tripathi [103] analysed the relationship between the TQM practices, total productive maintenance and organisational performance. However, in this study some of the performance measures such as business results and customer satisfaction were not considered. Kumar et al. [58] have identified the TQM success factors in North Indian manufacturing and service industries. This study has not found any relationships between TQM success factors and performance measures. Sinha et al. [105] analysed the effect of TQM principles on performance of Indian small and medium enterprises (SMEs). This study has considered only three indicators such as customer results, process results, and employee results. Farish et al. [29] investigated the effect of TQM practices on financial performance. This study has neglected the non-financial outcomes of performance. Sahoo and Yadav [96] examined the relationships between TQM dimensions and organisational performance in Indian manufacturing SMEs. This study has not considered the important TQM practices such as leadership, strategic planning and development, and human resource focus etc. In addition, studies of Shrivastava et al. [114], Talib et al. [118], Parvadavardini et al. [80], Anil & Satish [7], Rana & Bhola [91] and Patyal et al. [81] have also investigated the relationships between the TQM and OP in Indian firms. These studies have identified different sets of practices for the success of TQM implementation and performance measure in Indian context but as such, no study has identified a common set of practices for successful implementation of TQM and performance measure. Further, until recently, only a few researches could be found on TQM operationalization and performance measure in Indian context. In addition, to our best of knowledge the moderating effect between TQM and OP factors on the basis of two different group respondents has not been found in Indian context. Moreover, most of studies in Indian context have not considered both financial and non-financial measures together to analyse the effect of TQM practices and organisational performance. The purpose of this study is to empirically investigate the relationships between TQM practices and OP in Indian manufacturing and service organizations and to statistically analyse the results to finally yield an integrated model that explains the interrelationships. In addition, to examine the moderation effects of respondent type on the relationship between TQM practices and organisation performance

3. Research hypotheses and conceptual research framework

This section presents the different TQM elements considered in the study and proposed conceptual research model.

3.1. TQM elements

TQM element categorisation has always been a concept of debate as researchers find it a tedious task to classify elements for further investigation [97,104,118]. The TQM elements in this study were extracted from comprehensively reviewed literature, as shown in Table 1,

and also by combining different quality awards such as Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award, European Quality Award, The Deming Prize and Kanji Business Excellence Model. The TQM practices identified in the questionnaire were organizational leadership, customer satisfaction and relationships, human resource focus, strategic planning and development, and supplier quality management. Although not exhaustive, these TQM practices have often been considered the critical practices of TQM (e.g., [15,18,50,59,63,64,85,87,93,98,104,115, 123,127], and [81]). These practices were briefly explained in the following section.

3.1.1. Organizational leadership

The review of empirical TQM studies show that organization leadership is an important TQM factor [51,82,123,124,127]. Top management leadership actively involved in communication and planning of organizational goals [51,67,87,93,98,123]. Management leadership provides significant means (resources) to improve and maintain quality [15,31,91,95,104,115]. In addition, top management views quality more important than production and they takes quality as their responsibility [24,62,67,85,87]. Furthermore, management can interact with their concerned departments to anticipate changes and make plans to accommodate it. Finally, studies analysed that top-management commitment significantly affects the organisation performance [14,28,37,50,51,53,54,56,67,71,75,76,78,81,83,85,89,91,98,104,105, 118,120]. Accordingly, it is proposed that:

H1: Organizational leadership for TQM practices is positively correlated with organisational performance in Indian manufacturing and service companies.

3.1.2. Customer satisfaction and relationship

For any organization customer satisfaction and relationship is the most important factor, while in TQM it is regarded the core issue for better business results [14,53,75,76,104,113,118]. In this construct of TQM practices, the key customer requirements are identified and customer oriented strategies are built and reviewed for further improvements [13,22,26,65,88,98,104,116,127]. Customer satisfaction feedbacks are taken after a regular interval and customer complaints are properly recoded and reviewed to maintain our quality standards [28,29,51,78,104,105]. In addition, encouragement is provided to partnerships with customers to make better relations [56,71,83,120]. Furthermore, concessions are provided for defective parts/products if delivered [51]. Therefore, customer satisfaction and relationship is an important element of TQM construct and it helps in upgrading business performance. Thus the proposed research hypothesis is:

H2: Customer satisfaction and relationship for TQM practices is positively correlated with organisational performance in Indian manufacturing and service companies.

3.1.3. Human resource focus

Human resource is one of the main assets of any organization and it plays a vital role for the betterment of quality and business [10,28,60,65,87,98,127]. In addition, human resource is a critical factor of TQM construct that includes a variety of organisational development practices such as efficient training, recruitment procedure, health and safety practices, involvement, empowerment, recognition, teamwork, etc. Moreover, well-trained, satisfied, and committed human resources enhance the organizational performance. Rahman and Bullock [90], Molina et al. [74], Tari et al. [120], Zakuan et al. [127], Talib et al. [118], Farish et al. [29], Mehralian et al. [71], Pradhan [83], and Omar et al. [76] suggested that human resource focus was significantly and positively correlated with organizational performance. Accordingly, the next hypothesis relates to human resource focus and organizational performance. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis is:

H3: Human resource focus for TQM practices is positively correlated with organisational performance in Indian manufacturing and service companies.

3.1.4. Strategic planning and development

In TQM, strategic planning and development element also has a major role in achieving a satisfied quality and increased performance as suggested by researchers [6,21,30,75,83,93,115,123]. It includes the quality policy, mission statements, improvement processes, use of quality control and other management tools. Strategic planning and development is essential to examine how a firm evolves, executes and refines its strategy and policy to achieve better performance [88,118]. The studies of Lai [60], Prajogo and Sohal [88], Lin et al. [67], Prajogo and Brown [85], Feng et al. [30], Zakuan et al. [127], Phan et al. [82], Lam et al. [63], Talib et al. [118], Sabella et al. [93], Sadikoglu & Olcay [94], Parvadavardini et al. [80], Al-Dhaafri et al. [6], Aquilani et al. [10], Ebrahimi & Rad [28], Farish et al. [29], Mehralian et al. [71], and Omar et al. [76] found that strategic planning and development has a significant impact on organisational performance. Therefore the proposed hypothesis for this element is:

H4: Strategic planning and development for TQM practices is positively correlated with organisational performance in Indian manufacturing and service companies.

3.1.5. Supplier quality management

Effective supplier quality management supports a cooperative and long-term relationship with suppliers [50,127], gives them an opportunity to get involved in product design and production processes to improve the quality of their materials and/or services [123,20,50,61], helps companies to attain competitive advantages [25,104], and improves organisational performance [31,50]. The findings of Brah et al. [13], Sun [115], Agus & Abdullah [3], Cua et al. [20], Tan [119], Huarng and Chen [42], Kaynak [50], Lai and Cheng [61], Lin and Chang [66], Demirbag et al. [25], Sila [104], Kaynak and Hartley [51], Macinati [70], Fotopoulos and Psomas [31], Salaheldin [45], Miyagawa and Yoshida [73], Zakuan et al. [127], Khan [54], Baird et al. [11], Kim et al. [56], Zehir et al. [128], Abusa and Gibson [2], Talib et al. [118], Delic et al. [24], Sadikoglu & Olcay [94], Rana & Bhola [91], Samson [97], Farish et al. [29], Mehralian et al. [71], Pradhan [83], Omar et al. [76] noted that effective supplier management improves organisational performance. Therefore, a hypothesis to test this relationship is as follows:

H5: Supplier quality management for TQM practices is positively correlated with organisational performance in Indian manufacturing and service companies.

3.2. TQM and OP construct

Several studies analysed the relationship between TQM in each of its forms and OP [2,13,24,50,67,88,94,98,104,105,118,127,128]. The implementation of TQM practices enhance business performance [67,104,105,127]. Kaynak [50] analysed that TQM leads to organisational quality performance and has been significantly connected to financial and non-financial performance. Bou-Llusar et al. [12] reported that improved TQM practices guides to better business results. Similar, the studies of Talib et al. [118], Akgun et al. [5], Sadikoglu & Olcay [94], Anil & Satish [7], Sinha et al. [105], Psomas & Jaca [89], Rana & Bhola [91], Patyal et al. [81], Xiong et al. [124], Pradhan [83], Shafiq et al. [112], Keinan & Karugu [53], Omar et al. [76], and Qasrawi et al. [75] have found a significant and positive relationship with OP. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis is:

H6: The TQM implementation as a set of practices has a direct and positive effect on OP.

According to Richard et al. [92], overall organizational performance includes three specific areas of firm outcomes: (a) financial performance (profits, return on assets, return on investment, etc.), (b) product market performance (sales, market share, etc.), and (c) shareholder return (total shareholder return, economic value added, etc.). A comprehensive review of past empirical studies on organisational performance revealed that there are wide ranges of performance measures, as shown in Section 2. In this study, organisational performance will be measured through two factors, which are satisfaction level, and business results following Lin et al. [67], Sharma and Gadenne [113], Fotopoulos & Psomas [31], and Zakuan et al. [127] suggestion. Satisfaction level in organisational performance included four items, namely production achieved, employee satisfaction, customer satisfaction, and product quality, while business results for organisational performance included three items: sale, export, and profitability.

3.2.1. Satisfaction results

A satisfied employee works for the betterment of the quality and increases the productivity, better quality enhances the trust and loyalty of the customers and satisfied customer increases the growth rate of a company [12,104,120]. The studies of Lin et al. [67], Sharma and Gadenne [113], Fotopoulos & Psomas [31], Miyagawa & Yoshida [73], Zakuan et al. [127], Farish et al. [29], and Qasrawi et al. [75] has found positive correlation of satisfaction results with organizational performance. Therefore, the hypothesis for this construct of OP is:

H7: Satisfaction results for TQM practices is positively correlated with organizational performance.

3.2.2. Business results

Business results are related to financial terms that are sales, exports and profit. TQM significantly increases the business performance of any firm and it is related to financial and non-financial both performances [25,95]. The studies of Dow et al. [26], Sun [115], Brah et al. [15], Lee et al. [65], Lau et al. [64], Prajogo [84], Lin et al. [67], Prajogo and Brown [85], Sila [104], Tari et al. [120], Arumugam et al. (2008), Zakuan et al. [127], Sánchez-Rodríguez and Martínez-Lorente [100], Talib et al. [118], Farish et al. [29], and Qasrawi et al. [75] has positively correlated the business results with organizational performance. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis for the performance constructs is:

H8: Business results for TQM practices is positively correlated with organizational performance.

From the above literature review and hypotheses development, this study has managed to develop our own conceptual research framework according to Indian manufacturing and service industries. This conceptual model as shown in Fig. 1 indicates the impact of TQM implementation on organizational performance.

4. Research methodology

After reviewing the research background, it was easy to choose the methodology for our research. The first part was to determine the strategies for the research. Strategies helped in building the structure of the research. Most of the ideas were chosen from the previous researches of Cua et al. [20], Claver et al. [18], Kaynak [50], Prajogo and Sohal [87], Rahman and Bullock [90], Kannan and Tan [52], Brah and Lim [14], Sila [104], Kaynak and Hartley [51], Bou-Llusar et al. [12], Zakuan et al. [127], Talib et al. [118], and Patyal et al. [81], which enabled us to define the questionnaire to survey to collect the raw data. The data was then analysed with SPSS-AMOS software. The analysis was carried out in the three phases namely, the data screening or descriptive statistics, factor analysis and the SEM. All the research methodology was based on the previous studies of Singh [106], Singh et al. (2016), and Singh & Sharma [108,109].

4.1. Questionnaire structure

The questionnaire was having two parts (See Appendix A). The first part was demographic information and the second part was survey questionnaire. The survey questionnaire was divided into two parts A and B. Part A questions were about the TQM constructs and part B questions were the about performance constructs. TQM constructs are Organizational leadership (OL), customer satisfaction and relationship (CSR), human resource focus (HRF), strategic planning & development



Fig. 1. Conceptual research framework linking the TQM and OP.

(SPD), supplier quality management (SQM) and OP constructs are satisfaction results (SR) and business results (BR). These constructs and their items were selected from previously tested scales by the researchers as shown in Table 1. All the questions were in five point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree, disagree, undecided, agree and strongly agree.

4.2. Study population

The study was conducted in North Indian industries (both in manufacturing as well as service industries) from the month of January to June in 2017. This study has selected 14 industries and from which only eight have taken part in the survey with a response rate of 57%. The survey was conducted via self-administration as well as via e-mails and managed to get 256 samples from the participating workers. The questionnaire were made available in both Hindi and English. Out of 256 samples, only 236 were usable. This study has categorized the whole structure of organizations into two levels i.e., managers and workers. The manager's level has included the senior managers, middle manager, and low-level managers, while worker's level has included technicians. In addition, a stratified random sampling was used [106,118], to increase the precision in TQM and OP research and reduce the sample variation and error.

4.3. Data screening

The collected data was coded and entered in SPSS-AMOS 24 version for analysis. The data was then checked for missing values, and normality (skewness and kurtosis) as suggested by researchers Hair et al. [35] and Singh and Sharma [109]. If the absolute kurtosis and skewness is less than \pm 2, the dependent variables normality is acceptable [109]. In this study, kurtosis and skewness range values were less than \pm 2 as shown in Appendix B. Thus, the normality of the dependent variables is acceptable, and hence, the normality assumption is satisfied. After preparing the data, an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was conducted, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), and SEM analysis as discussed in the next section.

4.4. Statistical techniques

This research was carried out in three stages by using EFA, CFA and

SEM techniques. In the first stage, EFA was used to provide the grouping of factors that emphasize the complete set of items based upon the strong correlation [69,108]. A hypothesized model can be developed using EFA techniques and can be further tested using CFA. In the second stage, the CFA was used to define and figure one or more hypothetical models of factor structure, each of which suggests a set of unobserved variables to account for covariance within a set of observed variables [35]. Lastly, in the third stage, the SEM technique was used and empirically tested the relationships between TQM practices and OP. SEM is a multivariate technique that allows the concurrent estimation of multiple equations [106]. Moreover, SEM is also a statistical modeling technique that can manage dependent and independent variables and, therefore, explains all relationships [35].

5. Analysis and results

The EFA, CFA, and SEM analysis were performed to investigate the relationships among TQM practices and OP. All these are given below, defining themselves with the help of Tables and Figures.

5.1. Response rate

The data for this study has been collected from the north Indian service and manufacturing industries, as discussed in the previous section. It has taken almost five months to conduct the survey in 14 industries but only 8 has taken part with a response rate of 57%. The 500 questionnaires were sent to these industries and 256 of them have responded back with a response rate of 51.2%. The data collected had some incomplete responses, therefore, they were not counted. The final sample had 236 responses and these were large enough to use it for an SEM analysis purpose.

5.2. Sample characteristics

A number of variables have been used in order to describe the sample characteristics as shown in Table 2. The demographic information was about industry type, designation of respondent, work experience with the organization, educational qualification, currently the quality system of the company, wish to implement quality system and current capital of the company.

Table 3

Exploratory	Factor	Analysis	(EFA).
-------------	--------	----------	--------

Constructs	Factor Loadings	Eigen Values	% of Variance	KMO	Chronbach's Alpha
TQM Constructs					
1 Organizational Leadershin (OL)		4 612	65 881	893	913
OI-11	0.739				
OI-1	.873				
OI2	.799				
OL-3	.822				
OL-4	.841				
OL-5	.863				
OL-6	.733				
Approx. Chi-Square-1071.376. df- 21. p- 0.000					
2. Customer Satisfaction & Relationship (CSR)		5.185	74.074	.924	.926
CSB-1	801	01100	, 110, 1	.,	1720
CSR-2	.889				
CSR-3	.853				
CSR-4	.879				
CSR-5	.869				
CSR-6	.854				
CSR-7	.876				
Approx Chi-Square-1393 132 df- 21 p- 0 000	1070				
3. Human Resource Focus		2.544	63.601	.787	.808
HRF-1	.796				
HRF-2	.833				
HRF-3	.798				
HRF-4	.761				
Approx. Chi-Square-296.298. df- 6. p- 0.000					
4. Strategic Planning & Development		3,160	79.002	.838	.909
SPD-1	.852				
SPD-2	.907				
SPD-3	.913				
SPD-4	.883				
Approx. Chi-Square-646.044, df- 6, p- 0.000					
5. Supplier Quality Management		3.070	61.404	.824	.836
SOM-1	.794				
SOM-2	.807				
SOM-3	.832				
SOM-4	.807				
SOM-5	.668				
Approx. Chi-Square-485.206, df- 10, p- 0.000					
Performance Constructs					
1. Satisfaction Results		2.837	70.925	.801	.860
SR-1	.777				
SR-2	.891				
SR-3	.904				
SR-4	.789				
Approx. Chi-Square-470.502, df- 6, p- 0.000					
2. Business Results		2.713	90.418	.768	.947
BR-1	.958				
BR-2	.941				
BR-3	.954				
Approx. Chi-Square-680.878, df- 3, p- 0.000					

5.3. Results of EFA

Exploratory factor analysis was performed using maximum likelihood of Varimax rotation to check the validity and reliability criteria of variables is satisfactory and correlated. Principle Component Analysis (PCA) is important in checking the goodness of fit test for factors. PCA also provides the correlation between factors with unique variance of items.

5.3.1. Adequacy

The suitability of questionnaire can be evaluated by performing Bartlett's test of sphericity and Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy. For good factor analysis the value of KMO should always be higher than 0.60 [117]. The items with low communality (< 0.400) were eliminated from the questionnaires. Factor loadings that are higher than 0.50 considered good and significant for the analysis [35]. The Chi-square values of each factor have been given below in the Table 3.

5.3.2. Validity

The factor structure in which convergent and discriminate validity are evident by the high loadings within factors, and no cross-loadings between factors. All the factors have loadings above 0.60 and eigen values above 1.00. The variance explained of each factor is given is between 61.404 and 90.418 (see Table 3).

5.3.3. Reliability

The reliability of the factors was measured through Chronbach's Alpha. The permissible value of Chronbach's Alpha was above 0.7 [35,110] and the values of the all seven factors were between 0.808 and 0.947 (Table 3).

5.4. Results of CFA

CFA is a way to assess the adequacy of hypothesised model and the measurement properties of all the factors and their items. The results of CFA are given below.



Fig. 2. Measurement model of TQM and OP.

5.4.1. Model fit

All the constructs, have significant loading above 0.50 and for the determination of improvement of model, the modification indices were consulted. Accordingly, the error terms were co varied between e4–e5, Fig. 2 shows the measurement model of TQM and OP. Table 4 shows that the goodness of fit for our measurement model is sufficient.

5.4.2. Validity and reliability

The standardised factor loadings should be at least 0.50 for construct validity [35]. In our study, the factor loadings of standardised items have exceeded the minimum value. In the AMOS, the t value is the critical ratio, which denotes the estimated parameter divided by its standard error. Values more than 1.96 or less than -1.96 implies statistical significance [16,69,102]. In this study, all the t values exceeded the minimum limit of 1.96 at the 0.000 level of significance as shown in Table 5.

The average variance extracted (AVEs) was calculated from the Table 6 and is between 0.551 and 0.857, which confirms the convergent validity (CV) is above minimum value. The maximum shared variance

(MSVs) are relatively lower than AVEs, which shows the evidence of discriminate validity by the constructs of study. Composite reliability (CR) was above the minimum value (0.70) ranging from 0.785 to 0.923 in all the cases, indicating the reliability of our constructs.

5.5. SEM & testing of hypotheses

After the above analysis, the study need to confirm the validity of our proposed hypotheses and examine the structural equation model of TQM and OP. Table 7 and Fig. 3 shows the results of the hypotheses testing and SEM analysis. In H1, the relationship between OL for TQM practices with OP was proposed as positive and the results are admitting the validity of hypothesis. The value of path coefficient, $\beta = 0.77$ at a significant p < 0.001. The results are consistent with the previous studies of Lam et al. [63] and Vijande & Gonzalez [101]. This implies that the results are strongly supporting the hypothesis. In H2, the positive relationship of CSR for TQM practices and OP was proposed. The path coefficient (0.71) and *t*-value (4.880) at a significance of p < 0.001 strongly supports the hypothesis. The results are consistent with the previous studies of Zakuan et al. [127], and Sadikoglu & Zehir [95].

Table 4

Goodness of fit statistics in CFA.

Indices	Abbreviation	Observed values	Recommended criteria	References
Chi-square	χ^2	620.689 at p = 0.000	p < 0.05	Hair et al. (2010) Singh and Sharma (2016) [57] [41] Byrne (2013), [107]
Normed chi-square	χ^2 /df	1.5	$1 < \chi^2 / df < 3$	-
Goodness-of-fit index	GFI	0.85	>0.80	
Adjusted GFI	AGFI	0.82	>0.80	
Normed fit index	NFI	0.88	>0.90	
Comparative fit index	CFI	0.96	>0.95	
Tucker-Lewis index	TLI	0.96	0 < TLI < 1	
Root mean square error of approximation	RMSEA	0.04	<0.05 good fit < 0.08 acceptable fit	

Table 5

Confirmatory factor analysis of TQM and OP.

Factors and items	Standardized factor Loadings	Standard error	t -value	p value
a) Total Quality Management 1. Organizational Leadershin (OL)	nt (TQM)			
OI 1	845			
	769	066	13 742	***
01.3	809	064	14 662	***
OL 4	787	071	13 856	***
01.5	.815	.070	14.531	***
OL 6	.668	.072	11.117	***
2. Customer satisfaction				
and Relationship				
CSR 1	777			
CSR_1	.///	074	15 202	***
CSR 3	839	073	14 200	***
CSR 4	882	064	14 929	***
CSB 5	807	077	13 319	***
3. Human Resource Focus (HRF)		.077	10.017	
HRF 1	.703			
HRF_2	.825	.120	9.328	***
HRF_3	.692	.115	8.806	***
4. Strategic Planning and Development (SPD)				
SPD 1	.785			
SPD 2	.876	.077	15.061	***
SPD 3	.893	.066	14.971	***
SPD_4	.842	.071	14.041	***
5. Supplier Quality Management (SQM)				
SOM 1	.762			
SQM_2	.757	.090	11.455	***
SQM_3	.768	.085	11.382	***
SQM_4	.743	.099	10.480	***
b) Organizational Performant 1. Satisfaction Results	nce (OP)			
(3K) CD 1	690			
SK_1 SR_2	.009	104	11 000	***
SR_2	.8/1	.104	11.823	***
SR_5	.009	106	0.749	***
SK_4	.098	.106	9.748	
 DUSITIESS RESULTS (DK) RR 1 	948			
BR 2	807	040	23 860	***
BR_3	.932	.037	26.693	***
-				

Hence, CSR is an important aspect of TQM practices to enhance the organisation performance.

In H3, the proposed hypothesis shows the positive relation between HRF for TQM practices and OP. The values obtained after analysis are confirming the hypothesis and hence supporting it. The path coefficient $\beta = 0.47$ at significance p < 0.001 and is purely positive relation. The results are also consistent with previous studies Zakuan et al. [127], and Sila [104]. In H4, the positive relation between SPD for TQM practices and OP was proposed. With the path coefficient value 0.48 at significance p < 0.001, shows the validity of hypothesis. The results are consistent with the previous studies of Zakuan et al. [127], and Talib et al. [118]. Therefore, the results conclude that strategic planning is an important element of TQM and has a positive relationship.

In H5, this study had proposed a positive relationship between SQM for TQM practices with OP. Since values obtained after analysis are significantly supporting the hypothesis ($\beta = 0.74$ at a significance of p < 0.001). The results are consistent with the previous studies of Abusa & Gibson [2], and Kaynak & Hartley [51]. Therefore, it is concluded that SQM is an integral element of the TQM to enhance the business performance.

The positive relationships TQM practices and OP was proposed. For that, three hypotheses had been proposed which were H6, H7, and H8. In H6, TQM and OP are strongly supported as $\beta = 0.89$ at a significance of p < 0.001. In H7, the SR are strongly supported with the path coefficient value $\beta = 0.63$ at a significance of p < 0.001, shows the validity of hypothesis. Therefore, this construct of OP is supporting the hypothesis. In H8, the BS is another construct of OP and has $\beta = 0.19$ at a significance of p = 0.33. Since p < 0.05 [35], hence it supports the hypothesis. These results are consistent with the previous studies of Shafiq et al. [112], Cetindere et al. [17], Zakuan et al. [127], and Sila [104]. Therefore, after discussing the results of H6, H7, and H8, this is concluded that the TQM has a positive impact on the organizational performance and hence TQM implementation increases the performance of the companies significantly.

5.6. Multi-group moderation of respondent type

Multi-group moderation tests were conducted using the full model. The effects of TQM on OP between managers and workers were compared. To test respondents type differences, the critical ratio (CR) test (> \pm 1.96, p < 0.05) was used to achieve the CR statistics for the differences among regression weights of managers and workers. The CR of an estimate pair was utilized to test the hypothesis to confirm the equality of the two factors. According to the results presented in Table 8 and in Figs 4 and 5, there seems to be a significant difference in only two of the relationships between both manager and worker groups. Figs 4 and 5 shows the structural models for managers and workers respondents in manufacturing and service companies. Finally, it can be concluded that there are significant relationship among all the constructs of TQM practices and OP for the both type of respondents. However, a non-significant relationship (p > 0.05) between the OP and BR (Fig. 5) was observed for workers model as compared with managers' model. This implies that the workers were not well aware of the OP aspects of companies such as sales, exports, and profits as compared with the managers. Therefore, different levels of working positions of respondents can yield markedly different results. Nevertheless, when respondents are

Table 6 Validity and reliability in CFA.

	CR	AVE	MSV	ASV	SQM	CSR	OL	SPD	SR	BR	HRF
SQM	0.843	0.574	0.429	0.189	0.758						
CSR	0.923	0.707	0.350	0.162	0.498	0.841					
OL	0.905	0.615	0.350	0.186	0.520	0.592	0.784				
SPD	0.912	0.723	0.174	0.093	0.287	0.325	0.417	0.850			
SR	0.869	0.628	0.429	0.127	0.655	0.357	0.368	0.217	0.792		
BR	0.947	0.857	0.018	0.012	0.133	0.106	0.132	0.099	0.120	0.926	
HRF	0.785	0.551	0.171	0.089	0.297	0.357	0.414	0.373	0.085	0.059	0.742

Note: For Composite reliability (CR > 0.70); Convergent validity (CR > AVE > 0.50); Discriminate validity (MSV < AVE); MSV = Maximum shared variance; ASV = Average shared variance (Hair et al., 2010)

Table 7 Hypotheses testing.

Hypothesis	Path coefficient	t –value	P-value	Result
TQM→OL	.77	5.079	***	Supported
TQM→CSR	.71	4.880	***	Supported
TQM→HRF	.47	4.278	***	Supported
TQM→SPD	.48	4.319	***	Supported
TQM→SQM	.74	4.674	***	Supported
OP→SR	.63	4.095	***	Supported
OP→BR	.19	2.128	.033*	Supported
TQM→OP	.89	6.128	***	Supported

Note; ***p < 0.001, * p < 0.05

divided according to their level of position, it is necessary to consider a source of bias—those users with high level of position will have clearer attitude towards TQM practices and OP. Therefore, the model for worker's respondents is somewhat different from manager's respondents.

Table 8 Comparison of analysis of two groups (manager and employee respondents).

Path	Overall	Respondent's type				
	model	Manager's	CR (Manager's)	Worker's	CR (Worker's)	
TQM→OL	.77	.78	3.568	.78	3.768	
TQM→CSR	.71	.77	3.524	.81	3.413	
TQM→HRF	.47	.46	3.047	.56	3.221	
TQM→SPD	.48	.52	3.051	.45	3.233	
TQM→SQM	.74	.74	3.252	.73	3.633	
OP→SR	.63	.75	3.385	.27	2.716	
OP→BR	.19	.26	1.781	.08	1.197	
TQM→OP	.89	.77	3.521	.69	3.152	

6. Conclusions, managerial implications and future research directions

6.1. Conclusions

This study primarily focused on the implementation of TQM in SME



Fig. 3. Structural equation model of TQM and OP.







Fig. 5. TQM and OP model for worker's (N = 120).

Indian manufacturing and service industries and its impact on the OP. Overall, the results of this study indicated that the TQM practices were found to be correlated with OP. From Table 8, it is concluded that OL ($\beta = 0.77$) has the highest impact on TQM practices, SQM ($\beta = 0.74$) has the second highest impact on TQM practices, whereas CSR ($\beta = 0.71$) and supplier quality ($\beta = 0.48$) have the third and fourth highest impact on TQM practices, respectively, and finally HRF ($\beta = 0.47$) also has an impact on TQM practices. In addition, SR ($\beta = 0.63$) has the highest impact on OP than BR ($\beta = 0.19$). The results highlighted the crucial role played by OL, CSR, HRF, SPD, and SQM in the OP of the firm. Furthermore, the results also highlighted the relative significance and interlinkage of TQM practices, and OP.

The results of the study show that OL provides significant means to improve and maintain quality. Top leadership provides significant means to improve and maintain quality. In addition, top leadership can anticipate changes and make plans to accommodate it. However, quality could not be successfully implemented if there is a lack of commitment from the top management. The results also have shown that CSR is positively related to OP. Systematic analysis of customer feedback and its use in the product or process improvement, and developing customer-oriented strategies can improve SR. Furthermore, design, development and delivery of products according to the requirements of customers can also improve the OP. The findings have also been found that HRF for TQM practices positively relates to the OP. Effective training, good health and safety practices, and treating workers as a valuable resource can increases the firm performance. In has been also found that SPD for TQM practices is positively related to OP. Effective SPD is critical factor to the success of quality improvement programs. Planning for improvement of all its products and processes, frequent inspection of product quality and process, and control and improvement of processes can improve the OP. Finally, it has been also found that SQM for TQM practices is positively related to OP. Effective SQM motivates a cooperative, long-term relationship with suppliers which helps them to get involved in product or service design. The results of this study also indicate that TQM has a strong positive and significant effect on OP. These results support the argument of TQM exponents that companies can attain better results by implementing the TQM practices. The success of any TQM strategy rely on leadership style of businessman, senior managers, who should mainly focus upon designing an organizational culture that supports TQM implementation. The study confirms positive relationship between TQM and OP.

This study has compared two groups' managers and workers and examined the SEM models by comparing them. The findings have revealed that both the models were almost of equal critical ratios and path coefficients. However, a stronger and significant relationship between the TQM and HRF for workers' than managers shows that the workers respondents were more concerned about their training, recruitment procedure, career development, and health & safety benefits. While, a weaker and significant relationship between the OP and SR was observed for workers than the managers' shows that managers' were more concerned with the customer satisfaction, employee satisfaction, product quality, and production achieved. Therefore, it is concluded that in organizations different levels of working positions can yield markedly different results on the relationships between TQM and OP. Moreover, the managers had the clearer attitude towards TQM practices and OP relationship than employee respondents. The workers should be made aware about the OP aspects of companies such as sales, exports, and profits, which could result in better performance. This empirical work will help Indian and international researchers to understand the scenario of TQM implementation.

6.2. Managerial implications

The positive relationship among TQM practices and organisation performance measures indicates the importance of each of these practices to improve firm business. Managers can use this developed model periodically to analyse where their firm stands in the quality management journey. They can also analyse the effects of TQM practices on performance measures: business results and satisfaction results in order to assess the success of TQM practices.

The positive relationships between TQM practices and organization performance measures can motivate the top management of the firms to involve in the better planning of organisations goals, to arrange resources in time, effort, and capital to the implementation of TQM practices in pursuit of improved quality, employee, and firm performances. Our results show that firms can combine customer satisfaction, human resources, strategic planning, and supplier quality management to improve organization performance. In addition, survey techniques like customer feedback and complaint analysis should be tackled at regular interval to ensure the satisfaction of customer needs and expectations, which will boost the level of business performance. Finally, the results of this study provides valuable knowledge regarding TQM practices from Indian manufacturing and service sector perspective. The Indian manufacturing and service sector has to focus on the implementation of TQM practices if they really want to upgrade the quality of their products and to be competitive in the international market. The results can help academics, policy makers, managers, and firms that would like to encourage and support TOM practices in India.

6.3. Future research directions

The mediating relationships between TQM practices and various performance measures can also be analyzed in future studies. Performance measure such as innovation performance, social performance, and project performance can be included in future studies. The moderating effects of contextual factors such as firm size, firm income, firm type, scope of operations, degree of competition, managerial knowledge, and ISO certification can be studied to analyzed complex relationships among these parameters as well. The multi-group comparison can be made between service and manufacturing organization in future studies. The results, of this study were based on cross-sectional data from a relevant Indian manufacturing and service organization. Future research may emphasize on a longitudinal design of survey. In addition, the results of this study are limited to Indian manufacturing and service organizations, but the similar study may be carried out in other developing countries to analyze if the structural model fits into their systems, which in turn give further validation of the proposed model.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.orp.2018.07.004.

Appendix A

Part 1: Demographic information

Part 1: Demographic Information

1.	Industry type	Manufacturing- Service -		
2.	Your designation in the organization	Managers - [Senior manager-] Middle manager-] Low-level manager-]]		
3.	Working experience with this organization (years)	0-5 6 - 10 _ 11 and above		
4.	Education Level	Diploma and equivalent -		
		Degree and equivalent-		
5.	Specify the quality	ISO 9001 -		
	system of your	ISO 9002 -		
	company	ISO 9003 - 🗌		
		ISO 9001: 2000 - 🗌		
		ISO 9001: 2008 - 🗌		
		ISO 9001: 2015 - 🗌		
		ISO/TS: 16949 -		
		None - 🗌		
		Other, please specify		
6.	Is your company	1) Yes ()		
	wishing to	(2) No (□)		
	obtain/implement ISO			
	quality system in near			
	future?			
7.	(1) Current capital of	10 Million and less- 20-500 Million- .51-1.0 Billion-		
	the company	1.01 Billion and above		

Part 2: Survey Questionnaire

You are requested to kindly weight these items on five point Likert scale for developing an instrument to				
measure the level of Total Quality Management (TQM) implementation and its influence on OP in Indian				
industries.				
Key: (1) Strongly Disagree (2) Disagree (3) Undecided (4) A	gree (5) Strongly Agree			
(1) TQM Elements				
Factors/Items	Strongly Disagrect Strongly			
	Agree			
(1) (A) Organizational Leadership				
1. Top management actively involved in communication	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
and planning of organizational goals.				
2. Top leadership provides significant means (resources)	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
to improve and maintain quality.				
3. Top leadership views quality more important than	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
production (means quality has more importance than				
production schedules)				
4. Management at the top takes quality as their	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
responsibility.				
5. Top executives routinely interact with their concerned	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
departments (Quality as well as other).				
6. Top management is evaluated on quality performance	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (
7. Top leadership anticipate changes and make plans to	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (
accommodate it.				
(2) (B) Customer Satisfaction and Relationships				
1. The key customer requirements are identified (product	$(1) \square (2) \square (3) \square (4) \square (5)$			
specifications detected and fulfilled).				
2. Customer oriented strategies are built and reviewed for	$(1) \square (2) \square (3) \square (4) \square (5)$			
further improvements.				
3. Encouragement provided to partnerships with	$(1) \square (2) \square (3) \square (4) \square (5)$			
customers to make relations better.				
4. Design, development and delivery of products is	$(1) \square (2) \square (3) \square (4) \square (5)$			
according to the requirements of Customers.				
5. Customer satisfaction feedbacks are taken after a	$(1) \square (2) \square (3) \square (4) \square (5)$			
regular interval.				
6. Customer complaints are properly recoded and	$(1) \square (2) \square (3) \square (4) \square (5)$			
reviewed to maintain our quality standards.				
7. Concessions are provided for defective parts/products	$(1) \Box (2) \Box (3) \Box (4) \Box (5)$			

(if delivered).	
(C) Human Resource Focus	
1. Recruitment procedure is such that, "right person is	
selected for right job".	
2. Proper and efficient training is provided to newly	$(1) \square (2) \square (3) \square (4) \square (5)$
selected personnel.	\Box
*	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
3. Health and safety practices are excellent.	
4. Career development training to employees is provided	$\begin{array}{c c} - \\ \hline (1) & (2) & (3) & (4) & (5) \end{array}$
by the company (both inside and outside of the company).	
(3) (D) Strategic Planning and Development	
1. The company encourages study and planning for	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
improvement of all its products and processes.	
2. There is frequent inspection of product quality and	
process takes place.	
3. The company employs seven tools of quality to plan,	
control and improvement of processes.	
4. We collect data first and then we make decisions for the	
improvement of process, after reviewing it.	
improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management	
improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more	
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 	
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers 	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality 	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our 	Image: 1 minipage of the state of the s
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for 	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. 	Image: 1 minipage of the state of the s
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. 4. Our company has the detailed information about our 	Image: 1 to the structure Image: 2 to the structure Image: 3 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure (1) Image: 2 to the structure Image: 3 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure (1) Image: 2 to the structure Image: 3 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure Image: 4 to the structure (1) Image: 4 to the structure Image: 4 to the
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. 4. Our company has the detailed information about our suppliers and their performances. 	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $$
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. 4. Our company has the detailed information about our suppliers and their performances. 5. Our suppliers regularly take feedback from us, so as to 	Image: 1 Image: 2 Image: 3 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4 Image: 1 Image: 2 Image: 3 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4 Image: 1 Image: 2 Image: 3 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4 Image: 1 Image: 2 Image: 3 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4 Image: 1 Image: 2 Image: 3 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4 Image: 1 Image: 2 Image: 3 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4 Image: 2 Image: 3 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4 Image: 5 Image: 4
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. Our company has the detailed information about our suppliers and their performances. Our suppliers regularly take feedback from us, so as to maintain quality standards. 	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $$
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. 4. Our company has the detailed information about our suppliers and their performances. 5. Our suppliers regularly take feedback from us, so as to maintain quality standards. 2. Organizational Performance (OP) 	
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. 4. Our company has the detailed information about our suppliers and their performances. 5. Our suppliers regularly take feedback from us, so as to maintain quality standards. 2. Organizational Performance (OP) (A) Satisfaction Results 	(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
 improvement of process, after reviewing it. (E) Supplier Quality Management 1. The company regards quality of products more important than price for selecting a supplier. 2. The company has provided certification to our suppliers and routine audits take place to maintain the quality standards. 3. The company's employees periodically visit our suppliers to inspect and evaluate the products for improving quality. 4. Our company has the detailed information about our suppliers and their performances. 5. Our suppliers regularly take feedback from us, so as to maintain quality standards. 2. Organizational Performance (OP) (A) Satisfaction Results 1. There is an increase in production percentage 	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $ & $$

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2. Employees have high morale and are fully satisfied					
3. Our customers are fully satisfied.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
4. Our product quality is very high (zero defects ensured).	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
(B) Business Results					
1. There is increase in sales (in comparison to previous	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
years).					
2. There is increase in exports (in comparison to	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
previous years).					
3. There is increase in profit (in comparison to	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
previous years).					

Thank you for providing your valuable time for this survey.

Appendix B. Descriptive statistics of TQM and OP (data screening)

Variables	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
Total Quality Management (TQM)			
(a). Organizational Leadership (OL)			
OL_11	.847	.310	-0.484
OL_1	.907	.108	-0.741
OL_2	.901	.278	-0.341
OL_3	.892	-0.226	-0.803
OL_4	.956	-0.165	- 0.955
OL_5	.959	-0.169	-0.912
OL_6	.913	-0.043	-0.716
(b). Customer Satisfaction & Relationship (CSR)			
CSR_1	.955	-0.332	-0.388
CSR_2	.932	-0.458	-0.145
CSR_3	.920	-0.625	.263
CSR_4	.808	-1.008	1.458
CSR_5	.945	-0.261	-0.414
CSR_6	.857	-0.571	.067
CSR_7	.861	-0.534	.114
(c). Human Resource Focus (HRF)			
HRF_1	.989	.181	-0.985
HRF_2	.946	-0.496	-0.679
HRF_3	1.020	.377	-0.525
HRF_4	1.017	.232	-1.001
(d). Strategic Planning & Development (SPD)			
SPD_1	.945	.946	.398
SPD_2	.986	.731	.003
SPD_3	.822	.942	.996
SPD_4	.883	.816	.362
(e). Supplier Quality Management (SQM)			
SQM_1	.658	-0.917	1.948
SQM_2	.683	-0.615	.735
SQM_3	.633	-0.880	2.534
SQM_4	.698	-0.864	1.738
SQM_5	.781	-0.652	.892
Organizational Performance (OP)			
(a). Satisfaction Results (SR)			
SR_1	.771	-0.773	1.213
SR_2	.747	-0.802	1.443
SR_3	.753	-0.531	.601
SR_4	.786	-0.646	.643

(b). Business Results (BR)			
BR_1	.886	-0.149	-0.868
BR_2	.894	-0.075	-0.862
BR_3	.887	-0.069	-0.813

References

- Abdullah MMB, Tarí JJ. The influence of soft and hard quality management practices on performance. Asia Pac Manag Rev 2012;17(2):177–93.
- [2] Abusa FM, Gibson P. TQM implementation in developing countries: a case study of the Libyan industrial sector. Benchmarking An Int J 2013;20(5):693–711.
- [3] Agus A, Abdullah M. The mediating effect of customer satisfaction on TQM practices and financial performance. Singap Manag Rev 2000;22(2):55.
- [4] Ahire SL, Dreyfus P. The impact of design management and process management on quality: an empirical investigation. J Oper Manag 2000;18(5):549–75.
- [5] Akgün AE, Ince H, Imamoglu SZ, Keskin H, Kocoglu İ. The mediator role of learning capability and business innovativeness between total quality management and financial performance. Int J Prod Res 2014;52(3):888–901.
- [6] Al-Dhaafri HS, Al-Swidi AK, Yusoff RZB. The mediating role of total quality management between the entrepreneurial orientation and the organizational performance. TQM J 2016;28(1):89–111.
- [7] Anil AP, Satish KP. Investigating the relationship between TQM practices and firm's performance: a conceptual framework for Indian organizations. Proc Technol 2016;24:554–61.
- [8] Appiah Fening F, Pesakovic G, Amaria P. Relationship between quality management practices and the performance of small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2008;25(7):694–708.
- [9] Augusto MG, Lisboa JV, Yasin MM. Organizational performance and innovation in the context of a total quality management philosophy: an empirical investigation. Total Qual Manag Bus Excel 2014;25(9–10):1141–55.
- [10] Aquilani B, Silvestri C, Ruggieri A, Gatti C. A systematic literature review on total quality management critical success factors and the identification of new avenues of research. TQM J 2017;29(1):184–213.
- [11] Baird K, Jia Hu K, Reeve R. The relationships between organizational culture, total quality management practices and operational performance. Int J Oper Prod Manag 2011;31(7):789–814.
- [12] Bou-Llusar JC, Escrig-Tena AB, Roca-Puig V, Beltrán-Martín I. An empirical assessment of the EFQM excellence model: evaluation as a TQM framework relative to the MBNQA model. J Oper Manag 2009;27(1):1–22.
- [13] Brah SA, Li Wong J, Madhu Rao B. TQM and business performance in the service sector: a Singapore study. Int J Oper Prod Manag 2000;20(11):1293–312.
- [14] Brah SA, Ying Lim H. The effects of technology and TQM on the performance of logistics companies. Int J Phys Distrib Logist Manag 2006;36(3):192–209.
- [15] Brah SA, Tee SS, Madhu Rao B. Relationship between TQM and performance of Singapore companies. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2002;19(4):356–79.
- [16] Byrne BM. Structural equation modeling with AMOS: basic concepts, applications, and programming. Routledge, London: Structural equation modeling; 2013.
- [17] Cetindere A, Duran C, Yetisen MS. The effects of total quality management on the business performance: an application in the province of Kütahya. Proc Econ Financ 2015;23:1376–82.
- [18] Claver E, Tari JJ, Molina JF. Critical factors and results of quality management: an empirical study. Qual Manag Bus Excell 2003;14(1):91–118.
- [19] Confederation of Indian Industries (CII), (2017). Manufacturing, Confederation of Indian Industries (CII).
- [20] Cua KO, McKone KE, Schroeder RG. Relationships between implementation of TQM, JIT, and TPM and manufacturing performance. J Oper Manag 2001:19(6):675–94.
- [21] Curkovic S, Melnyk S, Calantone R, Handfield R. Validating the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award framework through structural equation modelling. Int J Prod Res 2000;38(4):765–91.
- [22] Das A, Handfield RB, Calantone RJ, Ghosh S. A contingent view of quality management-the impact of international competition on quality. Decis Sci 2000;31(3):649–90.
- [23] del Alonso-Almeida MM, Bagur-Femenías L, Llach J. The adoption of quality management practices and their impact on business performance in small service companies: the case of Spanish travel agencies. Serv Bus 2015;9(1):57–75.
- [24] Delić M, Radlovački V, Kamberović B, Maksimović R, Pečujlija M. Examining relationships between quality management and organisational performance in transitional economies. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2014;25(3–4):367–82.
- [25] Demirbag M, Lenny Koh SC, Tatoglu E, Zaim S. TQM and market orientation's impact on SMEs' performance. Ind Manag Data Syst 2006;106(8):1206–28.
- [26] Dow D, Samson D, Ford S. Exploding the myth: do all quality management practices contribute to superior quality performance? Prod Oper Manag 1999;8(1):1–27.
- [27] Douglas TJ, Judge WQ. Total quality management implementation and competitive advantage: the role of structural control and exploration. Acad Manag J 2001;44(1):158–69.
- [28] Ebrahimi ZF, Rad RH. The relationship between TQM practices and role stressors. Int J Manag Pract 2017;10(3):295–325.
- [29] Farish K, Anil AP, Satish K. Effect of TQM Practices on Financial Performance through Innovation Performance-In Indian Manufacturing Context. Int Res J Eng

Technol 2017;4(7):2649-55.

- [30] Feng J, Prajogo DI, Chuan Tan K, Sohal AS. The impact of TQM practices on performance: a comparative study between Australian and Singaporean organizations. Eur J Innov Manag 2006;9(3):269–78.
- [31] Fotopoulos CB, Psomas EL. The impact of "soft" and "hard" TQM elements on quality management results. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2009;26(2):150–63.
- [32] Fotopoulos CV, Psomas EL. The structural relationships between TQM factors and organizational performance. TQM J 2010;22(5):539–52.
- [33] Fuentes-Fuentes MM, Albacete-Sáez CA, Lloréns-Montes FJ. The impact of environmental characteristics on TQM principles and organizational performance. Omega 2004;32(6):425–42.
- [34] Fuentes MMP, Montes FJL, Fernández LMM. Total quality management, strategic orientation and organizational performance: the case of Spanish companies. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2006;17(3):303–23.
- [35] Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE. Multivariate data analysis: a global perspective. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 2010.
- [36] Hasan M, Kerr RM. The relationship between total quality management practices and organisational performance in service organisations. TQM Mag 2003;15(4):286–91.
- [37] Hassan S, Shaukat S, Nawaz MS. Relationship between TQM elements and organizational performance: an empirical study of manufacturing sector of Pakistan. Pak J Commer Soc Sci 2013;7(1):1–18.
- [38] Herzallah AM, Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez L, Munoz Rosas JF. Total quality management practices, competitive strategies and financial performance: the case of the Palestinian industrial SMEs. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2014;25(5–6):635–49.
- [39] Ho DCK, Duffy VG, Shih HM. Total quality management: an empirical test for mediation effect. Int J Prod Res 2001;39(3):529–48.
- [40] Hoang D, Igel B, Laosirihongthong T. The impact of total quality management on innovation: findings from a developing country. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2006;23(9):1092–117.
- [41] Hu LT, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. Struct Equ Model Multidiscip J 1999;6(1):1–55.
- [42] Huarng F, Chen YT. Relationships of TQM philosophy, methods and performance: a survey in Taiwan. Ind Manag Data Syst 2002;102(4):226–34.
- [43] Idris F. Total quality management (TQM) and sustainable company performances: examining the relationship in Malaysian firms. Int J Bus Soc 2011;12(1):31.
 [44] Indian Brand Equity Foundation (IBEF), (2017). Manufacturing, Indian Brand
- Equity Foundation (IBEF).pp 1–37.
- [45] Ismail Salaheldin S. Critical success factors for TQM implementation and their impact on performance of SMEs. Int J Prod Perform Manag 2009;58(3):215–37.
- [46] Jaafreh AB, Al-abedallat AZ. The effect of quality management practices on organizational performance in Jordan: an empirical study. Int J Financ Res 2012;4(1):93.
- [47] Jaca C, Psomas E. Total quality management practices and performance outcomes in Spanish service companies. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2015;26(9–10):958–70.
- [48] Jiménez-Jiménez D, Martinez-Costa M, Martínez-Lorente AR, Rabeh HAD. Total quality management performance in multinational companies: a learning perspective. TQM J 2015;27(3):328–40.
- [49] Kafetzopoulos DP, Psomas EL, Gotzamani KD. The impact of quality management systems on the performance of manufacturing firms. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2015;32(4):381–99.
- [50] Kaynak H. The relationship between total quality management practices and their effects on firm performance. J Oper Manag 2003;21(4):405–35.
- [51] Kaynak H, Hartley JL. A replication and extension of quality management into the supply chain. J Oper Manag 2008;26(4):468–89.
- [52] Kannan VR, Tan KC. Just in time, total quality management, and supply chain management: understanding their linkages and impact on business performance. Omega 2005;33(2):153–62.
- [53] Keinan AS, Karugu J. Total quality management practices and performance of manufacturing firms in Kenya: Case of Bamburi Cement Limited. Int Acad J Hum Resour Bus Adm 2018;3(1):81–99.
- [54] Khan MA. Total quality management and organizational performance-moderating role of managerial competencies. Int J Acad Res 2011;3(5):453–8.
- [55] Khanna HK. Quality management in Indian manufacturing organizations: Some observations and results from a pilot survey. Brazilian Journal of Oper Prod Manag 2010;7(1):141–62.
- [56] Kim DY, Kumar V, Kumar U. Relationship between quality management practices and innovation. J Oper Manag 2012;30(4):295–315.
- [57] Kline RB. Principles and practice of structural equation modeling 2015.
- [58] Kumar R, Garg D, Garg TK. TQM success factors in North Indian manufacturing and service industries. TQM J 2011;23(1):36–46.
- [59] Kumar U, Kumar V, de Grosbois D, Choisne F. Continuous improvement of performance measurement by TQM adopters. Qual Manag 2009;20(6):603–16.
- [60] Lai KH. Market orientation in quality-oriented organizations and its impact on their performance. Int J Prod Econ 2003;84(1):17–34.

- [61] Lai KH, Cheng TE. Effects of quality management and marketing on organizational performance. J Bus Res 2005;58(4):446–56.
- [62] Lakhal L, Pasin F, Limam M. Quality management practices and their impact on performance. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2006;23(6):625–46.
- [63] Lam SY, Lee VH, Ooi KB, Phusavat K. A structural equation model of TQM, market orientation and service quality: evidence from a developing nation. Manag Serv Qual Int J 2012;22(3):281–309.
- [64] Lau RSM, Zhao X, Xiao M. Assessing quality management in China with MBNQA criteria. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2004;21(7):699–713.
- [65] Lee SM, Rho BH, Lee SG. Impact of Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award criteria on organizational quality performance. Int J Prod Res 2003;41(9):2003–20.
- [66] Lin C, Chang S. Exploring TQM's impact on the causal linkage between manufacturing objective and organizational performance. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2006;17(04):465–84.
- [67] Lin C, Chow WS, Madu CN, Kuei CH, Yu PP. A structural equation model of supply chain quality management and organizational performance. Int J Prod Econ 2005;96(3):355–65.
- [68] Laosirihongthong T, Teh PL, Adebanjo D. Revisiting quality management and performance. Ind Manag Data Syst 2013;113(7):990–1006.
- [69] Lu CS, Lai KH, Cheng TE. Application of structural equation modeling to evaluate the intention of shippers to use Internet services in liner shipping. Eur J Oper Res 2007;180(2):845–67.
- [70] Macinati MS. The relationship between quality management systems and organizational performance in the Italian National Health Service. Health Policy 2008;85(2):228–41.
- [71] Mehralian G, Nazari JA, Nooriparto G, Rasekh HR. TQM and organizational performance using the balanced scorecard approach. Int J Prod Perform Manag 2017;66(1):111–25.
- [72] Parast Mellat, M. Adams, G. S, Jones EC. Improving operational and business performance in the petroleum industry through quality management. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2011;28(4):426–50.
- [73] Miyagawa M, Yoshida K. TQM practices of Japanese-owned manufacturers in the USA and China. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2010;27(7):736–55.
- [74] Molina LM, Lloréns-Montes J, Ruiz-Moreno A. Relationship between quality management practices and knowledge transfer. J Oper Manag 2007;25(3):682–701.
- [75] Qasrawi BT, Almahamid SM, Qasrawi ST. The impact of TQM practices and KM processes on organisational performance: aan empirical investigation. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2017;34(7):1034–55.
- [76] Omar SS, Ariffin ZZ, Abdullah AN, Mahadi N, Mokhtar FS, Azman NA. Conceptualising the influence of total quality management and organizational performance in Klang Valley Service Industry. Adv Sci Lett 2018;24(5):3240–3.
 [77] Panuwatwanich K, Nguyen TT. Influence of total quality management on perfor-
- mance of Vietnamese construction firms. Proc Eng 2017;182:548–55.
- [78] Parast MM, Adams SG. Corporate social responsibility, benchmarking, and organizational performance in the petroleum industry: a quality management perspective. Int J Prod Econ 2012;139(2):447–58.
- [79] Parshuram H. Total quality management as applied to service sector with relevance to Indian situations. J Manag Res Anal 2015;2(2):127–32.
- [80] Parvadavardini S, Vivek N, Devadasan SR. Impact of quality management practices on quality performance and financial performance: evidence from Indian manufacturing companies. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2016;27(5-6):507–30.
- [81] Patyal VS, Patyal VS, Koilakuntla M, Koilakuntla M. The impact of quality management practices on performance: an empirical study. Benchmarking Int J 2017;24(2):511–35.
- [82] Phan AC, Abdallah AB, Matsui Y. Quality management practices and competitive performance: Empirical evidence from Japanese manufacturing companies. Int J Prod Econ 2011;133(2):518–29.
- [83] Pradhan BL. Confirmatory factor analysis of TQM implementation constructs: evidence from Nepalese manufacturing industries. Manag Rev Int J 2017;12(1):26.
- [84] Prajogo DI. The comparative analysis of TQM practices and quality performance between manufacturing and service firms. Int J Serv Ind Manag 2005;16(3):217–28.
- [85] Prajogo DI, Brown A. Approaches to adopting quality in SMEs and the impact on quality management practices and performance. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2006;17(5):555–66.
- [86] Prajogo DI, Sohal AS. The integration of TQM and technology/R&D management in determining quality and innovation performance. Omega 2006;34(3):296–312.
- [87] Prajogo DI, Sohal AS. The relationship between TQM practices, quality performance, and innovation performance: an empirical examination. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2003;20(8):901–18.
- [88] Prajogo DI, Sohal AS. The multidimensionality of TQM practices in determining quality and innovation performance—an empirical examination. Technovation 2004;24(6):443–53.
- [89] Psomas EL, Jaca C. The impact of total quality management on service company performance: evidence from Spain. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2016;33(3):380–98.
- [90] Rahman SU, Bullock P. Soft TQM, hard TQM, and organisational performance relationships: an empirical investigation. Omega 2005;33(1):73–83.
- [91] Rana Basu, Bhola Prabha. Impact of quality management practices on performance stimulating growth: empirical evidence from Indian IT enabled service SMEs. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2016;33(8):1179–201.
- [92] Richard PJ, Devinney TM, Yip GS, Johnson G. Measuring organizational performance: towards methodological best practice. J Manag 2009;35(3):718–804.
- [93] Sabella A, Kashou R, Omran O. Quality management practices and their relationship to organizational performance. Int J Oper Prod Manag

2014;34(12):1487-505.

- [94] Sadikoglu E, Olcay H. The effects of total quality management practices on performance and the reasons of and the barriers to TQM practices in Turkey. Adv Decis Sci 2014:17 pages. 2014, Article ID 537605.
- [95] Sadikoglu E, Zehir C. Investigating the effects of innovation and employee performance on the relationship between total quality management practices and firm performance: An empirical study of Turkish firms. Int J Prod Econ 2010;127(1):13–26.
- [96] Sahoo S, Yadav S. Total quality management in Indian manufacturing SMEs. Proc Manuf 2018;21:541–8.
- [97] Samson KK. Effects of total quality management (TQM) on firm's operational performance (South Korea). http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2922208; 2017.
- [98] Samson D, Terziovski M. The relationship between total quality management practices and operational performance. J Oper Manag 1999;17(4):393–409.
- [99] Sanchez-Rodriguez C, Martínez-Lorente ÁR. Quality management practices in the purchasing function: an empirical study. Int J Oper Prod Manag 2004;24(7):666–87.
- [100] Sanchez-Rodriguez C, Rafael Martinez-Lorente A. Effect of IT and quality management on performance. Ind Manag Data Syst 2011;111(6):830–48.
- [101] Santos-Vijande ML, Alvarez-Gonzalez LI. TQM and firms performance: an EFQM excellence model research based survey. Int J Bus Sci Appl Manag 2007;2(2):21–41.
- [102] Segars AH, Grover V. Strategic information systems planning success: an investigation of the construct and its measurement. MIS Q 1998:139–63.
- [103] Seth D, Tripathi D. Relationship between TQM and TPM implementation factors and business performance of manufacturing industry in Indian context. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2005;22(3):256–77.
- [104] Sila I. Examining the effects of contextual factors on TQM and performance through the lens of organizational theories: An empirical study. J Oper Manag 2007;25(1):83–109.
- [105] Sinha N, Garg AK, Dhall N. Effect of TQM principles on performance of Indian SMEs: the case of automotive supply chain. TQM J 2016;28(3):338–59.
- [106] Singh V. Perceptions of emission reduction potential in air transport: a structural equation modeling approach. Environ Syst Decis 2016;36(4):377–403.
- [107] Singh V, Chauhan SC, Tejyan S. Greenhouse gas emission reduction potentials in air transport: a structural equation modelling-based multi-group analysis. Technol Anal Strat Manag 2017;29(4):442–61.
- [108] Singh V, Sharma SK. Evolving base for the fuel consumption optimization in Indian air transport: application of structural equation modeling. Eur Transp Res Rev 2014;6(3):315–32.
- [109] Singh V, Sharma SK. Analyzing the moderating effects of respondent type and experience on the fuel efficiency improvement in air transport using structural equation modeling. Eur Transp Res Rev 2016;8(2):12.
- [110] Singh V, Verma A. Influence of respondent type on relationships between safety climate and safety performance in manufacturing firm. Proceedings of the institution of mechanical engineers, Part O: Journal of risk and reliability 2018. https://doi.org/10.1177/1748006X18780773.
- [111] Sıtkı İlkay M, Aslan E. The effect of the ISO 9001 quality management system on the performance of SMEs. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2012;29(7):753–78.
- [112] Shafiq M, Lasrado F, Hafeez K. The effect of TQM on organisational performance: empirical evidence from the textile sector of a developing country using SEM. Total Qual Manag Bus Excell 2017. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2017. 1283211. Accepted.
- [113] Sharma B, Gadenne D. An empirical investigation of the relationship between quality management factors and customer satisfaction, improved competitive position and overall business performance. J Strat Market 2008;16(4):301–14.
- [114] Shrivastava RL, Mohanty RP, Lakhe RR. Linkages between total quality management and organisational performance: an empirical study for Indian industry. Prod Plan Control 2006;17(1):13–30.
- [115] Sun H. A comparison of quality management practices in Shanghai and Norwegian manufacturing companies. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2000;17(6):636–60.
- [116] Sweis RJ, Saleh RA, Al-Etayyem RH, Qasrawi BT, Mahmoud AMA. Total quality management practices and organisational performance in Jordanian courier services. Int J Prod Qual Manag 2016;19(2):258–76.
- [117] Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using multivariate statistics. Pearson New International Edition; 2013.
- [118] Talib F, Rahman Z, Qureshi MN. An empirical investigation of relationship between total quality management practices and quality performance in Indian service companies. Int J Qual Reliab Manag 2013;30(3):280–318.
- [119] Tan KC. A structural equation model of new product design and development. Decis Sci 2001;32(2):195–226.
- [120] Tari JJ, Molina JF, Castejon JL. The relationship between quality management practices and their effects on quality outcomes. Eur J Oper Res 2007;183(2):483–501.
- [121] Vasantharayalu, Surjit P. An empirical study of total quality management (TQM) practices on operational performance of Indian manufacturing and service firms. Int J Manag (IJM) 2016;7(6):192–202.
- [122] Wang CH, Chen KY, Chen SC. Total quality management, market orientation and hotel performance: the moderating effects of external environmental factors. Int J Hosp Manag 2012;31(1):119–29.
- [123] Wilson DD, Collier DA. An empirical investigation of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award causal model. Decis sci 2000;31(2):361–83.
- [124] Xiong J, Xiong J, He Z, He Z, Deng Y, Deng Y, Zhang Z. Quality management practices and their effects on the performance of public hospitals. Int J Qual Serv Sci 2017;9(3/4):383–401.
- [125] Yong Xiang J, He Z, Ho Suh Y, Young Moon J, Fen Liu Y. An empirical

investigation of the China quality award causal model. Asian J Qual 2010;11(1):49–68.

- [126] Yusuf Y, Gunasekaran A, Dan G. Implementation of TQM in China and organisation performance: an empirical investigation. Total Qual Manag 2007;18(5):509–30.
- [127] Zakuan NM, Yusof SM, Laosirihongthong T, Shaharoun AM. Proposed relationship of TQM and organisational performance using structured equation modelling. Total Qual Manag 2010;21(2):185–203.
- [128] Zehir C, Ertosun ÖG, Zehir S, Müceldilli B. Total quality management practices' effects on quality performance and innovative performance. Proc Soc Behav Sci 2012;41:273–80.
- [129] Zeng J, Phan CA, Matsui Y. The impact of hard and soft quality management on quality and innovation performance: An empirical study. Int J Prod Econ 2015;162:216–26.
- [130] Zu X, Fredendall LD, Douglas TJ. The evolving theory of quality management: the role of Six Sigma. J Oper Manag 2008;26(5):630–50.
- [131] Hung RYY, Lien BYH, Yang B, Wu CM, Kuo YM. Impact of TQM and organizational learning on innovation performance in the high-tech industry. International business review 2011;20(2):213–25.
- [132] Arumugam V, Ooi KB, Fong TC. TQM practices and quality management performance: An investigation of their relationship using data from ISO 9001: 2000 firms in Malaysia. The TQM Journal 2008;20(6):636–50.