

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Saha, Kunal; Madhavan, Vinodh; Chandrashekhar, G. R.

Article

Pitfalls in long memory research

Cogent Economics & Finance

Provided in Cooperation with:

Taylor & Francis Group

Suggested Citation: Saha, Kunal; Madhavan, Vinodh; Chandrashekhar, G. R. (2020): Pitfalls in long memory research, Cogent Economics & Finance, ISSN 2332-2039, Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, Vol. 8, Iss. 1, pp. 1-14,

https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2020.1733280

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/245288

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Cogent Economics & Finance



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/oaef20

Pitfalls in long memory research

Kunal Saha, Vinodh Madhavan & Chandrashekhar G. R. |

To cite this article: Kunal Saha, Vinodh Madhavan & Chandrashekhar G. R. | (2020) Pitfalls in long memory research, Cogent Economics & Finance, 8:1, 1733280, DOI: 10.1080/23322039.2020.1733280

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2020.1733280

9	© 2020 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.
	Published online: 02 Mar 2020.
	Submit your article to this journal 🗷
hh	Article views: 633
Q ¹	View related articles 🗹
CrossMark	View Crossmark data ☑
2	Citing articles: 2 View citing articles 🗷







Received: 08 December 2019 Accepted: 15 February 2020

*Corresponding author: Kunal Saha, IFMR GSB, University of Madras, Chennai, India E-mail: kunal.saha@ifmr.ac.in

Reviewing editor: David McMillan, University of Stirling, UK

Additional information is available at the end of the article

FINANCIAL ECONOMICS | REVIEW ARTICLE

Pitfalls in long memory research

Kunal Saha^{1*}, Vinodh Madhavan² and Chandrashekhar G. R.³

Abstract: This paper offers a multifaceted perspective of the literature on long memory. Although the research on long memory has played an instrumental role in elevating the level of scholarly discourse on market efficiency, the authors believe that the issue of the prevalence of long memory or lack thereof remains unsettled. While long memory models should be in the econometrician's toolbox, their use should be governed by an initial exploratory analysis of the data being studied and the context of the research questions being addressed. Mere fixation on the presence/absence of long memory without taking due cognisance of other confounding factors would pave way for confirmation bias. Consequently, this paper pinpoints the possible pitfalls and potential trade-offs in modeling long memory in asset prices. While not a comprehensive meta-analysis of the literature on long memory, this paper offers a selective bibliography of prior works on long memory that is geared to nudge researchers to exercise caution and judgement while exploring long memory in asset prices.

Subjects: Quantitative Finance; Statistics for Business, Finance & Economics; Econometrics

Keywords: Long memory; efficiency; measures; review JEL Classification: C18; C58; G14

1. Introduction

While studies grounded in Long Memory constitute a notable strand of literature disputing market efficiency, such studies are not devoid of caveats. Long Memory gained traction in scholarly discourse due to Mandelbrot's work on asset prices using rescaled range estimation techniques

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Kunal Saha is a PhD student at the IFMR GSB, University of Madras, Chennai.

Vinodh Madhavan currently serves as an Associate Professor at Amrut Mody School of Management, Ahmedabad University. He completed his DBA from Golden Gate University (GGU), San Francisco. Dr. Vinodh Madhavan has served as an Adjunct Faculty at GGU, as Malcolm S. M. Watts III Research Fellow at Technical Securities Analysts Association of San Francisco, and as a tenure-track faculty at IIT Kharagpur, IIM Lucknow, IFMR and at IMT Ghaziabad.

G. R. Chandrashekhar is a Professor at IFMR GSB, Krea University, Chennai. He completed his doctoral program from IIM Lucknow. Post his doctoral studies, Prof. Chandrashekhar has worked in industry for a decade, and has been an academic for more than a decade at XLRI, IIM Indore, and IIM Ranchi.

PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

The weak-form of Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) essentially states that the future prices of financial assets cannot be predicted based on historical prices. The presence of long memory in financial time series goes against weak-form EMH. Having said so, the prevalent literature on long memory is not without caveats, for long memory is potentially confounded by other statistical factors such as structural breaks, temporal and cross-sectional aggregation. Prior literature reviews on long memory were either anchored on the mathematical underpinnings of different measures of long memory or were subsumed within the broader contours of market efficiency. This paper breaks away from this trend and in turn (a) pinpoints the possible pitfalls and potential trade-offs in modeling long memory in asset prices, and (b) nudges researchers to exercise requisite caution before drawing definitive inferences on long memory.









(Mandelbrot & Van Ness, 1968; Mandelbrot & Wallis, 1968). A lot of water has flown under the bridge since then. Meanwhile, the literature on long memory has become multi-dimensional in nature. While the initial works on re-examining market efficiency using methodologies that are theoretically grounded in long memory offered the much-needed contrast to a somewhat homogeneous literature on market efficiency; inferences from such studies on the prevalence of long memory or lack thereof have not been unequivocal.

Although there are a few review papers that discuss long memory (Baillie, 1996; Guégan, 2005; Lim & Brooks, 2011), literature seems wanting on bringing the various arguments for and against the observation of long memory together. In this backdrop, the authors believe a snapshot of prevailing literature on long memory in asset prices, without losing sight of the attendant contexts behind such studies, is the need of the hour. Such a snapshot would aid researchers to take stock of the various facets of the discourse on long memory, in a manner that would nudge them to exercise requisite caution before drawing any definitive inference on long memory in asset prices in their future research endeavours.

While prior studies on long memory have significantly broadened the literature landscape on market efficiency, a definitive takeaway from such literature on long memory that is oblivious to other confounding factors which can manifest as long memory would be short-sighted and self-fulfilling. In short, this is as much an attempt to sensitize researchers about the pitfalls in research on long memory, as highlighting the prominence of long memory in the context of revisiting market efficiency.

2. Definition, measures and methodologies

2.1. Definition

For a second-order stationary process X_t with an auto-covariance function $\gamma_X(k)$, X_t has

a) Short Memory, if

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_X(k) < \infty$$

b) Anti-Persistence, if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_X(k) = 0$$

c) Long Memory, if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{X}(k) \to \infty$$

Long memory (or persistence) implies that a positive or negative movement is more likely to be followed by another move in the same direction. On the other hand, for an anti-persistent process, a positive movement is more likely to be followed by a move in the opposite direction. In other words, a persistent process is trending whereas an anti-persistent process shows mean reversion.

Beran, Feng, Ghosh, and Kulik (2016) provides a detailed review of various definitions of long memory and the conditions in which these can be used interchangeably. The different measures of long memory are as follows.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Hurst exponent

The most popular measure for long memory is the "Hurst Exponent" (denoted as H). This measure gained traction owing to Mandelbrot and Wallis's pioneering work on operational hydrology (Mandelbrot &

Wallis, 1968). There are several methodologies that are used to calculate the Hurst Exponent. The classical-rescaled range (R/S) analysis proposed by Hurst (1951) and its subsequent variants, such as Modified R/S analysis and Rescaled Variance (V/S) analysis, are the most prominent ones.

When 0.5 < H < 1, the autocovariances are positive at all lags and the time series process is called persistent. When 0 < H < 0.5, the autocovariances at all lags are negative and the time series process is called anti-persistent.

2.2.2. Fractional order of integration

Another popular approach to ascertain long memory or lack thereof is to measure the fractional order of integration (denoted as *d*) of a time series. This paved the way for ARFIMA-FIGARCH models, which were designed to explicitly model long memory in the first and second moments (Baillie, Bollerslev, & Mikkelsen, 1996; Granger & Joyeux, 1980; Hosking, 1981).

2.2.3. Fractal dimension

Gneiting and Schlather (2004) describe the fractal dimension, D, of a surface as a roughness measure with $D \in [n, n+1)$ for a surface in \mathbb{R}^n where higher values can be interpreted as rougher surfaces. Technically, Fractal dimension(D) and Hurst exponent(H) are independent of each other. Fractal dimension is a local property, while Hurst exponent is a global property, which is used to characterize the long-memory dependence in a time series. For self-affine processes, local properties are reflected in global ones, which lead to the relationship D + H = n + 1 between D and H for a self-affine surface in n-dimensional space.

Table 1 offers a snapshot of the above stated measures of long memory.

2.3. Methodologies

Over the years, a number of methodologies have been proposed by researchers for measuring long memory. While in many cases, long memory in conditional mean and variance are studied independently, unified approaches to study long memory are also present (Teyssière, 1997).

Several popular heuristic methods to measure long memory in the first and second moments include the Rescaled range (R/S) method, Rescaled variance (V/S) method and Detrended Fluctuation Analysis (DFA). Prominent semi-parametric estimators of long memory include the Log Periodogram estimator (Geweke & Porter-Hudak, 1983), and Local Whittle estimator. These estimators were defined based on linear time series models and should be used to measure long memory in the first moment. It was found that the GPH estimator can be downward biased when used to measure long memory in volatility (Deo & Hurvich, 2001). The Whittle estimator is found to be more robust in measuring the long memory in volatility (Hurvich & Ray, 2003).

In terms of parametric modeling-based approaches, Mandelbrot and Van Ness (1968) introduced the fractional brownian motion. This was a generalisation of the standard brownian motion by incorporating a self-similar parameter $d \in (-0.5, 0.5)$ and provides the most basic framework for studying long memory. Another important approach of modeling long memory is the ARFIMA—FIGARCH class of models. While ARFIMA model is used to model long memory in the first moment (return time series), FIGARCH is used for modeling the long memory in volatility.

Table 1. Summary of measures of Long Memory				
Type of Persistence	Н	d=H-0.5	D= 2 -H	
Short Memory	0.5	0	1.5	
Anti-persistence (Mean Reversion)	(0,0.5)	(-0.5,0)	(1.5,2)	
Long Memory (Persistence)	(0.5,1)	(0,0.5)	(1,1.5)	



The ARFIMA (p, d, q) model, which was introduced by Granger (1980); Granger and Joyeux (1980) and Hosking (1981), is defined as

$$\phi(L)(1-L)^d y_t = \theta(L)\epsilon_t$$

where $\phi(L)$ and $\theta(L)$ are lagged polynomials of orders p and q respectively, with ϵ_t being white noise. For the ARFIMA model, the fractional parameter d lies between -0.5 and 0.5. An ARFIMA process depicts long memory when 0 < d < 0.5, anti-persistence for -0.5 < d < 0, short memory for d = 0, and infinite memory (random walk) for d = 1.

The incorporation of long memory in GARCH models was introduced by Robinson (1991) and built upon by Baillie et al. (1996); Ding and Granger (1996) and others. Among these approaches, the more popular FIGARCH(p, d, q) model (introduced by Baillie et al. (1996)) is defined as

$$\phi(L)(1-L)^{d}\epsilon_{t}^{2} = \alpha_{0} + [1-\beta(L)]\nu_{t}$$

where 0 < d < 1, $\nu_t = \epsilon_t^2 - h_t$ with h_t being the conditional variance. In ARFIMA model, the long memory operator is applied to unconditional mean (μ) of y_t , whereas in the case of FIGARCH model, it is applied to squared errors. However, the FIGARCH model has its own nuances that need to be remembered during application. The memory parameter of FIGARCH is actually -d and increases as $d \to 0$. This happens due to the fact that the memory parameter acts on the squared errors in FIGARCH. Consequently, the Hyperbolic GARCH (HYGARCH) model was proposed by Davidson (2004).

Among other popular models on long memory include the Fractional Exponential (FEXP) model by Beran (1993) which is an extension of the ARFIMA model, long-memory stochastic volatility models (Breidt, Crato, & Lima, 1998; Harvey, 1998) and the Multi-Fractal model (MMAR model) by Mandelbrot, Fisher, and Calvet (1997).

The following Table 2 offers a snapshot of the notable methodologies pertaining to long memory along with their original sources. These popular long memory methodologies possess theoretical antecedents in diverse areas.

3. Evidence on the presence of long memory

Financial Literature is divided on the presence of long memory. Long memory has been observed in most economic variables (Hassler & Wolters, 1995; Koustas & Veloce, 1996), bonds (Backus & Zin, 1993), stocks (Hiemstra & Jones, 1997), indices (Bollerslev & Mikkelsen, 1996; Sadique & Silvapulle, 2001), currencies (Cheung, 1993), commodities (Cai, Cheung, & Wong, 2001; Elder & Serletis, 2008), derivatives (Barkoulas, Labys, & Onochie, 1999; Helms, Kaen, & Rosenman, 1984) and other specialized instruments (Madhavan & Arrawatia, 2016).

However, this evidence of long memory varies across variables and markets. While asset returns have been shown to have weak to no evidence of long memory (especially in developed markets) (Floros, Jaffry, & Valle Lima, 2007; Henry, 2002), asset volatility has been found to show strong evidence of long memory (Bollerslev & Mikkelsen, 1996; Fleming & Kirby, 2011; Mighri, Mansouri, 2014). In contrast, recent studies with high-frequency data have shown the presence of antipersistence in volatility (Gatheral, Jaisson, & Rosenbaum, 2018). Literature on trading volume seems to be consistently in support of long memory (Lillo & Farmer, 2004; Lux & Kaizoji, 2007). Studies on developing markets also show conflicting results. While prior studies showed stronger presence of long memory in developing markets (Hull & McGroarty, 2014; McMillan & Thupayagale, 2009), recent studies show that some developing markets have become more efficient than a few developed ones since the 2008 financial crisis (Mensi, Tiwari, & Al-Yahyaee, 2019; Sensoy & Tabak, 2016).



Sl. No.	Methodology	Reference	
1	Classical Rescaled Range Estimation (R/S Analysis)	Hurst (1951); Mandelbrot and Wallis (1968)	
2	Modified R/S Analysis	Lo (1991)	
3	V/S Analysis	Giraitis, Kokoszka, Leipus, and Teyssière (2003)	
4	Log Periodogram Estimator	Geweke and Porter-Hudak (1983); Robinson (1994)	
5	Gaussian Semi-parametric Estimator	Robinson (1995)	
6	Smoothed Periodogram Estimator	Reisen (1994)	
7	Detrended Fluctuation Analysis	Peng et al. (1994); Kantelhardt et al. (2002)	
8	Wavelet Analysis	Mallat (1989)	
9	Whittle Estimation	Whittle (1951); Hou and Perron (2014)	
10	Fractional Brownian Motion	Mandelbrot and Van Ness (1968)	
11	ARFIMA Model	Granger (1980); Granger and Joyeux (1980)	
12	Fractionally Integrated GARCH (FIGARCH)	Baillie et al. (1996)	
13	Hyperbolic GARCH (HYGARCH)	Davidson (2004)	
14	Fractional Exponential (FEXP) Model	Beran (1993)	
15	Long Memory Stochastic Volatility	Breidt et al. (1998); Harvey (1998)	
16	Heterogeneous Long Memory (HAR)	Müller et al. (1997a); Corsi (2009)	
17	Time-Varying Hidden Markov Model	Bulla and Bulla (2006); Nystrup, Madsen, and Lindström (2017)	
18	Bayesian Long Memory	Koop, Ley, Osiewalski, and Steel (1997); Ravishanker and Ray (1997)	
19	Multi-Fractal Long Memory	Mandelbrot et al. (1997); Calvet and Fisher (2002)	
20	Detrended Cross-Correlation Analysis	Podobnik and Stanley (2008)	
21	Fractional Cointegration	Granger (1986); Johansen (2008)	

3.1. Plausible causes of true long memory

While the evidence on long memory is based on statistical and heuristic tests, the discussion remains incomplete without pointing out plausible causes of true long memory.

3.1.1. News flow and its interpretation

Long Memory can be a manifestation of the interaction between many diverse information processes and hence is inherent to the returns process (Andersen & Bollerslev, 1997). This goes against the argument of structural breaks leading to the hyperbolic decay of autocorrelations.

The arrival of news is seen as a driver for markets. Lillo and Farmer (2004) explain that the news could be classified as external or internal. Externals news are events outside of control of market participants (e.g., natural calamities). Such events are known to have power-law distributions. While internal news comes under the purview of market players, the ability to understand and act on them can be complicated due to the social dynamics such as herding behaviour. Moreover, limited attention and comprehension ability of humans coupled with their changing preferences towards fundamental and technical analysis can generate long memory in financial time series (Kirman & Teyssiere, 2002).

These aspects can be better understood in the framework of Adaptive Market Hypothesis (AMH) (Lo, 2004, 2005). Human decisions are usually made under incomplete information and are delayed due to other factors. Such time lags in responding to news arrival can lead to autocorrelations in order inflow.



3.1.2. Market microstructure issues and other factors

Various market microstructure-based factors can lead to long memory. For example, iceberg orders wherein large orders are split into many smaller ones before being sent to the exchange, might lead to power-law based autocorrelations in order flow mechanism (Lillo, Mike, & Farmer, 2005). Similarly, simulation studies suggest that the observed long memory in order flow, volume and volatility can be attributed to the inherent imitative and adaptive behaviour of various market participants (LeBaron & Yamamoto, 2007, 2008).

Other notable explanatory factors pertaining to long memory include bid-ask spreads, non-synchronous trading (Campbell, Lo, & MacKinlay, 1997), influence of institutional investors (Gabaix, Gopikrishnan, Plerou, & Stanley, 2006), extent of market openness (Lim & Brooks, 2010) and speed of price adjustment (Zheng, Liu, & Li, 2018). Lastly, long memory has also been attributed to the economic and institutional differences between emerging and developed markets (Liow, 2009).

4. Long memory: beware of false positives

Empirical research seems divided on the debate on differentiating true and spurious long memory in economic variables. While the prevalence of long memory runs contrary to market efficiency, this section discusses several known pitfalls that can cause false positives in long memory analysis.

4.1. Structural breaks

Potter (1979) argued that long memory may be an artifact of non-homogeneity in the data. He referred to several studies on precipitation and concluded that studies with homogeneous data did not support the presence of long memory. This was also proved in other studies. For example, introducing a trend to a stationary time series can create long memory bhattacharya1983hurst. (Bhattacharya, Gupta, & Waymire, 1983). Simulations based on incorporating breaks in a data generating process (DGP) provide evidence of spurious long memory (Diebold & Inoue, 2001). Various empirical studies using financial market data have also shown the confounding effect structural breaks can have on long memory in returns (Granger & Hyung, 2004) and volatility (Liu, 2000).

However, distinguishing between long memory and the structural break is mathematically difficult. This is similar to the confusion between unit root and structural breaks. For true long memory processes, several tests for structural change may show structural break when there should be none (Kuan & Hsu, 1998). On the other hand, long memory estimators will be biased towards long memory for stationary processes with level shifts (Perron & Qu, 2010). Literature provides several avenues to check for the confounding effect of structural breaks on long memory and also to incorporate both phenomena in models to measure their individual effects.

Quite a few statistical tests exist to check for this confounding effect. Most are hypothesis tests based on LM, LR, Wald and CUSUM tests for breaks in mean (Hidalgo & Robinson, 1996; Mayoral, 2012; Perron & Qu, 2010; Qu, 2011; Shao, 2011; Shimotsu, 2006; Wenger, Leschinski, & Sibbertsen, 2018b; Wright, 1998). Similarly, tests for differentiating structural break from long memory in volatility are also available (Hwang & Shin, 2015). Recently, Sibbertsen, Leschinski, and Busch (2018) proposed a multivariate approach to differentiate between structural breaks and long memory.

Model-specific studies are also available. For example, ARFIMA-based models that are robust to structural breaks have been proposed (Baillie & Morana, 2012; Shi & Ho, 2015). Similarly, attempts to capture and differentiate structural breaks from long memory in volatility have led to pertinent improvisations of FIGARCH (Baillie & Morana, 2009), Markov Switching GARCH (Charfeddine, 2014) and HAR-RV (Hwang & Shin, 2018) class of models. Volatility specific structural break tests like the ICSS test (Inclan & Tiao, 1994) and its variants can be used with FIGARCH models to differentiate between structural breaks and long memory (Walther, Klein, Thu, & Piontek, 2017). Lastly, Long Memory Estimators that are robust to structural breaks have also been proposed by Hou and Perron (2014).



For a review of the literature of tests that aid in differentiating structural breaks from long memory, the readers may refer to Sibbertsen (2004), Banerjee and Urga (2005) and Wenger, Leschinski, and Sibbertsen (2018a).

4.2. Temporal aggregation

Temporal Aggregation refers to the transformation of a time series data at a frequency lower than the original DGP. In some cases, data is also analyzed after aggregation over a longer duration to remove seasonal fluctuations. A typical example is data on industrial production where data is only available quarterly. While this aids in smoothening the data points as well as filtering out the high frequency noise, it can also manifest as spurious long memory. For example, LeBaron (2001) showed that a temporally aggregated series created by adding up just three short memory linear time series of different time scales can show spurious long memory.

Having said so, evidence in support of true long memory, notwithstanding temporal aggregation is also available. For instance, Andersen and Bollerslev (1997) showed that true volatility persistence can be attributed to temporal aggregation of heterogeneous inflow of news over time. Their work lends credence to long memory dependence being an inherent feature of the DGP and not a spurious manifestation of temporal aggregation (Mcmillan & Speight, 2008). A notable result in this school of thought was brought forward by Souza (2008) where it was shown that for true long memory series, temporal aggregation does not change the estimated memory parameter.

This association between temporal aggregation and long memory has also formed the basis of a specific class of volatility models called Heterogeneous Autoregressive models (Corsi, 2009; Müller et al., 1997b). These models draw motivation from the Heterogeneous Market Hypothesis (Müller et al., 1993) and also the "Mixture of Distribution Hypothesis" of Andersen and Bollerslev (1997).

To assist researchers, there are several tests to differentiate between true long memory and spurious long memory owing to temporal aggregation (Davidson & Rambaccussing, 2015; Frederiksen & Nielsen, 2013; Kuswanto, 2011; Ohanissian, Russell, & Tsay, 2008).

4.3. Cross-sectional aggregation

Just like temporal aggregation, cross-sectional aggregation can also lead to spurious observations of long memory in time series variables. A large number of AR(1) processes can be added to produce a time series that would show a long memory under certain assumptions (Granger, 1980).

Studies on inflation data have attributed the observed long memory to cross-sectional aggregation since inflation is measured via aggregating various sectoral sub-indices that possess only short memory (Altissimo et al., 2009; Balcilar, 2004). On the other hand, prior works such as Kang, Cheong, and Yoon (2010) uncover evidence of long memory in the stock index as well as the underlying constituent stocks.

Cross-sectional aggregation also requires the count of individual series (N) to be very large. Granger (1980) postulated this case for $N \to \infty$. However, this count also varies across studies depending on other assumptions used for the Monte Carlo simulations. While Zaffaroni (2004) used a dataset with N > 1500 to reproduce the theoretical results, Leccadito, Rachedi, and Urga (2015) simulated long memory with N = 500 components only. Another study by Haldrup and Valdés (2017) showed that N seems to depend on the extent of long memory in the individual series. If the individual series have a high long memory, an aggregate of just 250 such series can mimic that inherent long memory. However, for individual series with low levels of persistence, even an aggregate of N = 10,000 such series did not have a similar level of long memory. In addition, it was proved that when such a composite series is fractionally differenced, the autocorrelation function of its residuals still exhibits hyperbolic decay. This inability of ARFIMA models to suitably capture the long memory of the true DGP caused by cross-sectional aggregation calls for better models.



Long Memory can also be observed when a number of linear and homogeneous subsystems with short memory are connected to create a network structure (Schennach, 2018). This provides another possible reason for long memory without non-linearity, heterogeneity, unit roots or structural breaks. Several economic examples that can be modeled using these approach are firms in an industry and supply chain time series.

4.4. Biases in the estimation process and related issues

Differentiating true and spurious long memory calls for researchers to exercise judgement while choosing the estimation method. Not all estimators are equally suitable in all cases. Various studies have commented on the properties of popular R/S statistic and its many variants in terms of size and power (Lo, 1991; Teverovsky, Taqqu, & Willinger, 1999). Similarly, notable prior works offer a critical review of small sample properties of other estimators, such as, but not limited to, GPH (Agiakloglou, Newbold, & Wohar, 1993), Local Whittle (Hurvich & Ray, 2003), Higuchi, Peng and Wavelet estimators (Rea, Oxley, Reale, & Brown, 2013).

Applying an AR-GARCH filter on returns would significantly reduce the spurious long memory effect, for such a filter would, to a larger extent obviate the confounding effect of short memory (Lo, 1991). Further, the use of low-frequency data can cause a downward bias on the long memory estimates (Bollerslev & Wright, 2000; Souza & Smith, 2002). Also, the choice of proxies to measure volatility has an effect on long memory estimates (Wright, 2002).

Various characteristics of data also need to be reviewed before choosing methodologies. For instance, emerging markets, having higher levels of volatility, maybe more suitable for wavelet-based estimation (Ozun & Cifter, 2008). In general, Local Whittle estimators are observed to be the most stable among other long memory estimators (Hassler, 2011; Taqqu, Teverovsky, & Willinger, 1995). In addition, cyclical and seasonal patterns in data can lead to the observation of long memory in the squared return series (Lobato, 1997). Many long memory tests assume unconditional homoscedasticity. Tests that allow for heteroscedasticity (Harris & Kew, 2017) should be used for financial time series. Similarly, the absence of higher-order moments also manifest as long memory (Lobato & Savin, 1998).

While actual datasets may not show these exact pathologies, issues such as the presence of heavy tails are very much real. Consequently, it is advised to ascertain the model assumptions before employing them. If required, more robust models whose assumptions are closer to the empirical properties of the time series should be used.

5. Impact of long memory on the quality of forecasts

The most practical benefit of using long memory models is their better forecasting ability. Literature supports this finding across all approaches of modeling long memory, such as, fractionally integrated models (Bhardwaj & Swanson, 2006), HAR models (Corsi, 2009; Wen, Gong, & Cai, 2016), Fractal theory (Liu, Demirer, Gupta, & Wohar, 2019) among others. In addition, modeling long memory along with other stylizations of the underlying data, such as periodicity (Franses & Ooms, 1997), heteroscedasicity and structural breaks (Ma, Lu, Yang, & Zhang, 2019) have shown to improve forecasting performance. Similarly, model generalization, such as FIGARCH to hyperbolic GARCH (Davidson, 2004; Li, Li, & Li, 2015) or incorporating a multivariate framework (Balcilar, Gupta, & Jooste, 2017; Harris & Nguyen, 2013), also significantly increase forecasting accuracy.

Since volatility estimation and forecasting are essential in the context of risk management of financial portfolios, Value-at-Risk (VaR) calculations also stand to benefit from long memory models (Batten, Kinateder, & Wagner, 2014; Kinateder & Wagner, 2014; Meng & Taylor, 2018). Allowing for long memory in the cross-section of asset returns can help create specific trading strategies that can generate significant gains (Nguyen, Prokopczuk, & Sibbertsen, 2019).

Notwithstanding the above stated developments on the modeling front, prior studies also nudge researchers to exercise caution while modeling long memory. Notable studies have shown that similar

forecasting results can also be approximately matched by using standard ARIMA models of very high orders (Ray, 1993). In addition, the forecasting error pertaining to over-differencing, is significantly lesser than the forecasting error pertaining to under-differencing 1 (Smith & Yadav, 1994). There are more nuances to be considered here. If the AR/MA coefficients are negative (especially for low values of d), standard ARMA models will provide similar short-term predictability. ARFIMA models would be better only for time series with strong persistence ($d \lesssim 0.5$) or longer-term prediction (Andersson, 2000; Man, 2003). Moreover, Granger and Hyung (2004) found that modeling a time series with only structural breaks and separately with only long memory can provide similar predictive performance with long memory model having a slight edge. Similar findings were reported for many extensions of ARFIMA models. Hence, the model specifications would depend on the researcher's choice between parsimonious fractional models and the over-parametrized standard ARIMA models.

Another primary motive for employing long memory models is to study the impact of shocks to volatility on asset prices. If the impact of such shocks are short lived and modest, it calls into question the significance of employing long memory models for examining the DGP as has been illustrated by Christensen and Nielsen (2007).

6. Conclusion

If true long memory can be established, many modeling exercises should change. For instance, CAPM can be modified to include fractional returns (Raei & Mohammadi, 2008) and a persistent error term (Amano, Kato, & Taniguchi, 2012). Similarly, modeling exercises involving endogenous variables should be geared towards adequately capturing long memory. This would call for refinement of popular multivariate frameworks such as Granger Causality tests (Chen, 2006, 2008), VAR-MGARCH (Dark, 2018; Zhao, Liu, Duan, & Li, 2019) and Cointegration methods (Granger, 1986; Johansen, 2008). In addition, implied volatility models based on options pricing would be incomplete without incorporating long memory (Cardinali, 2012).

While it cannot be denied that long memory models should be in the econometrician's toolbox, their use should be governed by an initial exploratory analysis of the data and the context of the research questions. The researcher should keep in mind that to a man with a hammer, everything looks like a nail. Multiple confounding factors, such as, but not limited to, structural breaks and aggregation, can manifest as spurious long memory. This review hopes to nudge researchers to exercise judgement while choosing appropriate long memory models, for inferences derived from misspecified models can lead to misleading policy recommendations.

Author details

Kunal Saha¹

E-mail: kunal.saha@ifmr.ac.in

ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9627-0851

Vinodh Madhavan²

E-mail: vinodh.madhavan@hotmail.com

ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2542-6089

Chandrashekhar G. R.³

E-mail: grchandra@ifmr.ac.in

- ¹ IFMR GSB, University of Madras, Chennai, India.
- ² Amrut Mody School of Management, Ahmedabad University, Ahmedabad, India.
- ³ IFMR GSB, Krea University, Chennai, India.

Citation information

Cite this article as: Pitfalls in long memory research, Kunal Saha, Vinodh Madhavan & Chandrashekhar G. R., *Cogent Economics & Finance* (2020), 8: 1733280.

Note

Over-differencing refers to first differencing a time series whose DGP is closer to I(d). Under-differencing refers to fractionally differencing a time series who DGP is closer to random walk (I(1)).

References

Agiakloglou, C., Newbold, P., & Wohar, M. (1993). Bias in an estimator of the fractional difference parameter. Journal of Time Series Analysis, 14(3), 235–246. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.1993.tb00141.x

Altissimo, F., Mojon, B., & Zaffaroni, P. (2009). Can aggregation explain the persistence of inflation? *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 56(2), 231–241. doi:10.1016/j. imoneco.2008.12.013

Amano, T., Kato, T., & Taniguchi, M. (2012). Statistical estimation for capm with long-memory dependence. Advances in Decision Sciences, 2012, 1–12. doi:10.1155/2012/571034

Andersen, T. G., & Bollerslev, T. (1997). Heterogeneous information arrivals and return volatility dy- namics: Uncovering the long-run in high frequency returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 52(3), 975–1005. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6261.1997.tb02722.x

Andersson, M. K. (2000). Do long-memory models have long memory? International Journal of Forecast-Ing, 16(1), 121–124. doi:10.1016/S0169-2070(99) 00040-0

Backus, D. K., & Zin, S. E. (1993). Long-memory inflation uncertainty: Evidence from the term structure of



- interest rates. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 25(3), 681-700. doi:10.2307/2077735
- Baillie, R. T. (1996). Long memory processes and fractional integration in econometrics. *Journal of Econometrics*, 73(1), 5–59. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(95)01732-1
- Baillie, R. T., Bollerslev, T., & Mikkelsen, H. O. (1996). Fractionally integrated generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 74(1), 3–30. doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(95)01749-6
- Baillie, R. T., & Morana, C. (2009). Modelling long memory and structural breaks in conditional vari- ances: An adaptive figarch approach. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 33(8), 1577–1592. doi:10.1016/j.jedc.2009.02.009
- Baillie, R. T., & Morana, C. (2012). Adaptive arfima models with applications to inflation. *Economic Modelling*, 29 (6), 2451–2459. doi:10.1016/j.econmod.2012.07.011
- Balcilar, M. (2004). Persistence in inflation: Does aggregation cause long memory? *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 40(5), 25–56. doi:10.1080/1540496X.2004.11052583
- Balcilar, M., Gupta, R., & Jooste, C. (2017). Long memory, economic policy uncertainty and forecasting us inflation: A bayesian varfima approach. *Applied Economics*, 49(11), 1047–1054. doi:10.1080/00036846.2016.1210777
- Banerjee, A., & Urga, G. (2005). Modelling structural breaks, long memory and stock market volatility: An overview. *Journal of Econometrics*, 129(1–2), 1–34. doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2004.09.001
- Barkoulas, J. T., Labys, W. C., & Onochie, J. I. (1999). Long memory in futures prices. *Financial Review*, 34(1), 91–100. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6288.1999.tb00446.x
- Batten, J. A., Kinateder, H., & Wagner, N. (2014). Multifractality and value-at-risk forecasting of exchange rates. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 401, 71–81. doi:10.1016/j. physa.2014.01.024
- Beran, J. (1993). Fitting long-memory models by generalized linear regression. *Biometrika*, 80(4), 817–822. doi:10.1093/biomet/80.4.817
- Beran, J., Feng, Y., Ghosh, S., & Kulik, R. (2016). Longmemory processes. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-3-642-35512-7
- Bhardwaj, G., & Swanson, N. R. (2006). An empirical investigation of the usefulness of arfima models for predicting macroeconomic and financial time series. Journal of Econometrics, 131(1–2), 539–578. doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2005.01.016
- Bhattacharya, R. N., Gupta, V. K., & Waymire, E. (1983). The hurst effect under trends. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 20(3), 649–662. doi:10.2307/3213900
- Bollerslev, T., & Mikkelsen, H. O. (1996). Modeling and pricing long memory in stock market volatility.

 Journal of Econometrics, 73(1), 151–184. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(95)01736-4
- Bollerslev, T., & Wright, J. H. (2000). Semiparametric estimation of long-memory volatility dependen- cies: The role of high-frequency data. *Journal of Econometrics*, 98(1), 81–106. doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(99)00079-2
- Breidt, F., Crato, N., & Lima, P. D. (1998). The detection and estimation of long memory in stochastic volatility. *Journal of Econometrics*, 83(1–2), 325–348. doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(97)00072-9
- Bulla, J., & Bulla, I. (2006). Stylized facts of financial time series and hidden semi-markov models.

- Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 51(4), 2192–2209. doi:10.1016/j.csda.2006.07.021
- Cai, J., Cheung, Y.-L., & Wong, M. C. (2001). What moves the gold market? Journal of Fu- Tures Markets: Futures, Options, and Other Derivative Products, 21(3), 257–278. doi:10.1002/1096-9934(200103)21:3¡C257:: AID-FUT4¿E3.0.CO;2-W
- Calvet, L., & Fisher, A. (2002). Multifractality in asset returns: Theory and evidence. Review of Economics and Statistics, 84(3), 381–406. doi:10.1162/ 003465302320259420
- Campbell, J. Y., Lo, A. W., & MacKinlay, A. C. (1997). The econometrics of financial markets. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Cardinali, A. (2012). Estimating volatility from atm options with lognormal stochastic variance and long memory. *Applied Financial Economics*, 22(9), 733–748. doi:10.1080/09603107.2011.624082
- Charfeddine, L. (2014). True or spurious long memory in volatility: Further evidence on the energy futures markets. *Energy Policy*, 71, 76–93. doi:10.1016/j.eppol.2014.04.027
- Chen, W. D. (2006). Estimating the long memory granger causality effect with a spectrum estimator. *Journal of Forecasting*, 25(3), 193–200. doi:10.1002/for.981
- Chen, W. D. (2008). Is it a short-memory, long-memory, or permanently granger-causation influence? *Journal of Forecasting*, 27(7), 607–620. doi:10.1002/for.1075
- Cheung, Y. W. (1993). Long memory in foreign-exchange rates. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 11 (1), 93–101. doi:10.2307/1391309
- Christensen, B. J., & Nielsen, M. Ø. (2007). The effect of long memory in volatility on stock market fluctuations. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 89(4), 684–700. doi:10.1162/rest.89.4.684
- Corsi, F. (2009). A simple approximate long-memory model of realized volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, 7(2), 174–196. doi:10.1093/jjfinec/nbp001
- Dark, J. (2018). Multivariate models with long memory dependence in conditional correlation and volatility. Journal of Empirical Finance, 48, 162–180. doi:10.1016/j.jempfin.2018.06.011
- Davidson, J. (2004). Moment and memory properties of linear conditional heteroscedasticity models, and a new model. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 22(1), 16–29. doi:10.1198/ 073500103288619359
- Davidson, J., & Rambaccussing, D. (2015). A test of the long memory hypothesis based on self-similarity. Journal of Time Series Econometrics, 7(2), 115–141. doi:10.1515/jtse-2013-0036
- Deo, R. S., & Hurvich, C. M. (2001). On the log periodogram regression estimator of the mem- ory parameter in long memory stochastic volatility models.

 Econometric Theory, 17(4), 686–710. doi:10.1017/S0266466601174025
- Diebold, F. X., & Inoue, A. (2001). Long memory and regime switching. *Journal of Econometrics*, 105(1), 131–159. doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(01)00073-2
- Ding, Z., & Granger, C. W. (1996). Modeling volatility persistence of speculative returns: A new approach. Journal of Econometrics, 73(1), 185–215. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(95)01737-2
- Elder, J., & Serletis, A. (2008). Long memory in energy futures prices. *Review of Financial Economics*, 17(2), 146–155. doi:10.1016/j.rfe.2006.10.002
- Fleming, J., & Kirby, C. (2011). Long memory in volatility and trading volume. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 35 (7), 1714–1726. doi:10.1016/j.jbankfin.2010.11.007
- Floros, C., Jaffry, S., & Valle Lima, G. (2007). Long memory in the portuguese stock market. Studies in Economics



- and Finance, 24(3), 220–232. doi:10.1080/09603100010025733
- Franses, P. H., & Ooms, M. (1997). A periodic long-memory model for quarterly UK inflation. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 13(1), 117–126. doi:10.1016/S0169-2070(96)00715-7
- Frederiksen, P., & Nielsen, F. S. (2013). Testing for long memory in potentially nonstationary perturbed fractional processes. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, 12(2), 329–381. doi:10.1093/jjfinec/nbs027
- Gabaix, X., Gopikrishnan, P., Plerou, V., & Stanley, H. E. (2006). Institutional investors and stock market volatility. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 121(2), 461–504. doi:10.1162/qjec.2006.121.2.461
- Gatheral, J., Jaisson, T., & Rosenbaum, M. (2018). Volatility is rough. *Quantitative Finance*, 18(6), 933–949. doi:10.1080/14697688.2017.1393551
- Geweke, J., & Porter-Hudak, S. (1983). The estimation and application of long memory time series models. Journal of Time Series Analysis, 4(4), 221–238. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.1983.tb00371.x
- Giraitis, L., Kokoszka, P., Leipus, R., & Teyssière, G. (2003).

 Rescaled variance and related tests for long memory in volatility and levels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 112 (2), 265–294. doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(02)00197-5
- Gneiting, T., & Schlather, M. (2004). Stochastic models that separate fractal dimension and the hurst effect. SIAM Review, 46(2), 269–282. doi:10.1137/S0036144501394387
- Granger, C. W. (1980). Long memory relationships and the aggregation of dynamic models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 14(2), 227–238. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(80)90092-5
- Granger, C. W. (1986). Developments in the study of cointegrated economic variables. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 48(3), 213–228. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0084.1986.mp48003002.x
- Granger, C. W., & Hyung, N. (2004). Occasional structural breaks and long memory with an ap-plication to the s&p 500 absolute stock returns. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 11(3), 399–421. doi:10.1016/j. jempfin.2003.03.001
- Granger, C. W. J., & Joyeux, R. (1980). An introduction to long-memory time series models and fractional differencing. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 1(1), 15–29. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.1980.tb00297.x
- Guégan, D. (2005). How can we define the concept of long memory? An econometric survey. *Econometric Reviews*, 24(2), 113–149. doi:10.1081/ETC-200067887
- Haldrup, N., & Valdés, J. E. V. (2017). Long memory, fractional integration, and cross-sectional aggrega- tion. Journal of Econometrics, 199(1), 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.03.001
- Harris, D., & Kew, H. (2017). Adaptive long memory testing under heteroskedasticity. *Econometric Theory*, 33 (3), 755–778. doi:10.1017/S0266466615000481
- Harris, R. D., & Nguyen, A. (2013). Long memory conditional volatility and asset allocation. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 29(2), 258–273. doi:10.1016/j.ijforecast.2012.09.003
- Harvey, A. C. (1998). Forecasting volatility in the financial markets. In Forecasting volatility in the financial markets chapter long memory in stochastic volatility (pp. 351–363). Butterworth-Heinemann. doi:10.1016/B978-075066942-9.50018-2
- Hassler, U. (2011). Estimation of fractional integration under temporal aggregation. *Journal of Economet-Rics*, 162(2), 240–247. doi:10.1016/j. jeconom.2011.01.003
- Hassler, U., & Wolters, J. (1995). Long memory in inflation rates: International evidence. *Journal of Business &*

- Economic Statistics, 13(1), 37-45. doi:10.1080/07350015.1995.10524577
- Helms, B. P., Kaen, F. R., & Rosenman, R. E. (1984).

 Memory in commodity futures contracts. *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 4(4), 559. doi:10.1002/fut.3990040408
- Henry, O. T. (2002). Long memory in stock returns: Some international evidence. Applied Financial Economics, 12(10), 725-729. doi:10.1080/09603100010025733
- Hidalgo, J., & Robinson, P. M. (1996). Testing for structural change in a long-memory environment. *Journal of Econometrics*, 70(1), 159–174. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(94)01687-9
- Hiemstra, C., & Jones, J. D. (1997). Another look at long memory in common stock returns. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 4(4), 373–401. doi:10.1016/S0927-5398(96)00016-3
- Hosking, J. R. M. (1981). Fractional differencing. *Biometrika*, 68(1), 165–176. doi:10.1093/biomet/
 68.1.165
- Hou, J., & Perron, P. (2014). Modified local whittle estimator for long memory processes in the presence of low frequency (and other) contaminations. *Journal of Econometrics*, 182(2), 309–328. doi:10.1016/j. jeconom.2014.05.004
- Hull, M., & McGroarty, F. (2014). Do emerging markets become more efficient as they de- velop? Long memory persistence in equity indices. *Emerging Markets Review*, 18, 45–61. doi:10.1016/j. ememar.2013.11.001
- Hurst, H. (1951). Long-term storage capacity of reservoirs. Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, 116(1), 770–799.
- Hurvich, C. M., & Ray, B. K. (2003). The local whittle estimator of long-memory stochastic volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, 1(3), 445–470. doi:10.1093/jjfinec/nbq018
- Hwang, E., & Shin, D. W. (2015). A CUSUMSQ test for structural breaks in error variance for a long memory heterogeneous autoregressive model. Statistics & Probability Letters, 99, 167–176. doi:10.1016/j. spl.2015.01.013
- Hwang, E., & Shin, D. W. (2018). Tests for structural breaks in memory parameters of long-memory heterogeneous autoregressive models. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 47(21), 5378–5389. doi:10.1080/03610926.2017.1408827
- Inclan, C., & Tiao, G. C. (1994). Use of cumulative sums of squares for retrospective detection of changes of variance. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89(427), 913–923.
- Johansen, S. (2008). A representation theory for a class of vector autoregressive models for fractional processes. *Econometric Theory*, 24(3), 651–676. doi:10.1017/S0266466608080274
- Kang, S. H., Cheong, C., & Yoon, S.-M. (2010). Contemporaneous aggregation and long-memory property of returns and volatility in the korean stock market. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 389(21), 4844–4854. doi:10.1016/j. physa.2010.06.040
- Kantelhardt, J. W., Zschiegner, S. A., Koscielny-Bunde, E., Havlin, S., Bunde, A., & Stanley, H. E. (2002). Multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis of non-stationary time series. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 316(1–4), 87–114. doi:10.1016/S0378-4371(02)01383-3
- Kinateder, H., & Wagner, N. (2014). Multiple-period market risk prediction under long memory: When var is higher than expected. The Journal of Risk Finance, 15 (1), 4–32. doi:10.1108/JRF-07-2013-0051



- Kirman, A., & Teyssiere, G. (2002). Microeconomic models for long memory in the volatility of financial time series. Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics, 5(4). doi:10.2202/1558-3708.1083
- Koop, G., Ley, E., Osiewalski, J., & Steel, M. F. (1997). Bayesian analysis of long memory and persistence using arfima models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 76 (1–2), 149–169. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(95)01787-9
- Koustas, Z., & Veloce, W. (1996). Unemployment hysteresis in canada: An approach based on long-memory time series models. Applied Economics, 28(7), 823–831. doi:10.1080/000368496328263
- Kuan, C.-M., & Hsu, -C.-C. (1998). Change-point estimation of fractionally integrated processes. *Journal of Time* Series Analysis, 19(6), 693-708. doi:10.1111/1467-9892.00117
- Kuswanto, H. (2011). A new simple test against spurious long memory using temporal aggregation. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 81(10), 1297–1311. doi:10.1080/00949655.2010.483231
- LeBaron, B. (2001). Stochastic volatility as a simple generator of apparent financial power laws and long memory. *Quantitative Finance*, 1(6), 621–631. doi:10.1088/1469-7688/1/6/304
- LeBaron, B., & Yamamoto, R. (2007). Long-memory in an order-driven market. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 383(1), 85–89. doi:10.1016/j. physa.2007.04.090
- LeBaron, B., & Yamamoto, R. (2008). The impact of imitation on long memory in an order-driven market. Eastern Economic Journal, 34(4), 504–517. doi:10.1057/eej.2008.32
- Leccadito, A., Rachedi, O., & Urga, G. (2015). True versus spurious long memory: Some theoretical results and a monte carlo comparison. *Econometric Reviews*, 34 (4), 452–479. doi:10.1080/07474938.2013.808462
- Li, M., Li, W. K., & Li, G. (2015). A new hyperbolic garch model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 189(2), 428–436. doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2015.03.034
- Lillo, F., & Farmer, J. D. (2004). The long memory of the efficient market. Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics, 8(3). doi:10.2202/1558-3708.1226
- Lillo, F., Mike, S., & Farmer, J. D. (2005). Theory for long memory in supply and demand. *Physical Review E*, 71 (6), 066122. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.71.066122
- Lim, K.-P., & Brooks, R. D. (2010). Why do emerging stock markets experience more persistent price deviations from a random walk over time? A country-level analysis. *Macroeconomic Dynamics*, 14(S1), 3–41. doi:10.1017/S1365100509090397
- Lim, K.-P., & Brooks, R. D. (2011). The evolution of stock market efficiency over time: A survey of the empirical literature. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 25(1), 69–108. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6419.2009.00611.x
- Liow, K. H. (2009). Long-term memory in volatility: Some evidence from international securitized real estate markets. The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics, 39(4), 415. doi:10.1007/s11146-008-9120-8
- Liu, M. (2000). Modeling long memory in stock market volatility. *Journal of Econometrics*, 99(1), 139–171. doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(00)00033-6
- Liu, R., Demirer, R., Gupta, R., & Wohar, M. (2019).
 Volatility forecasting with bivariate multifractal models. *Journal of Forecasting*. doi:10.1002/for.2619
- Lo, A. W. (1991). Long-term memory in stock market prices. *Econometrica*, 59(5), 1279–1313. doi:10.2307/2938368
- Lo, A. W. (2004). The adaptive markets hypothesis. The Journal of Portfolio Management, 30(5), 15–29. doi:10.3905/jpm.2004.442611

- Lo, A. W. (2005). Reconciling efficient markets with behavioral finance: The adaptive markets hypothesis. Journal of Investment Consulting, 7(2), 21–44.
- Lobato, I. N. (1997). Semiparametric estimation of seasonal long memory models: Theory and an application to the modeling of exchange rates.

 Investigaciones Economicas, 21(2), 273–295.
- Lobato, I. N., & Savin, N. E. (1998). Real and spurious long-memory properties of stock-market data. Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, 16(3), 261–268. doi:10.2307/1392497
- Lux, T., & Kaizoji, T. (2007). Forecasting volatility and volume in the tokyo stock market: Long mem- ory, fractality and regime switching. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 31(6), 1808–1843. doi:10.1016/j.jedc.2007.01.010
- Ma, F., Lu, X., Yang, K., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Volatility fore-casting: Long memory, regime switching and heteroscedasticity. *Applied Economics*, 51(38), 4151–4163. doi:10.1080/00036846.2019.1589645
- Madhavan, V., & Arrawatia, R. (2016). Relative efficiency of g8 sovereign credit default swaps and bond scrips: An adaptive market hypothesis perspective. Studies in Microeconomics, 4(2), 127–150. doi:10.1177/ 2321022216649479
- Mallat, S. G. (1989). A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition: The wavelet representation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence*, 11(7), 674–693. doi:10.1109/34.192463
- Man, K. S. (2003). Long memory time series and short term forecasts. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 19(3), 477–491. doi:10.1016/S0169-2070(02)00060-2
- Mandelbrot, B. B., Fisher, A. J., & Calvet, L. E. (1997).

 A multifractal model of asset returns (Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper, 1164). Retrieved from https://users.math.yale.edu/~bbm3/web_pdfs/Cowles1164.pdf
- Mandelbrot, B. B., & Van Ness, J. W. (1968). Fractional brownian motions, fractional noises and applica-tions. SIAM Review, 10(4), 422–437. doi:10.1137/1010093
- Mandelbrot, B. B., & Wallis, J. R. (1968). Noah, joseph, and operational hydrology. Water Resources Research, 4 (5), 909–918. doi:10.1029/WR004i005p00909
- Mayoral, L. (2012). Testing for fractional integration versus short memory with structural breaks. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 74(2), 278–305. doi:10.1111/i.1468-0084.2011.00645.x
- Mcmillan, D. G., & Speight, A. E. (2008). Long-memory in high-frequency exchange rate volatility under temporal aggregation. *Quantitative Finance*, 8(3), 251–261. doi:10.1080/14697680601150699
- McMillan, D. G., & Thupayagale, P. (2009). The efficiency of african equity markets. Studies in Economics and Finance, 26(4), 275–292. doi:10.1108/10867370910995726
- Meng, X., & Taylor, J. W. (2018). An approximate long-memory range-based approach for value at risk estimation. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 34 (3), 377–388. doi:10.1016/j.ijforecast.2017.11.007
- Mensi, W., Tiwari, A. K., & Al-Yahyaee, K. H. (2019). An analysis of the weak form efficiency, multi- fractality and long memory of global, regional and european stock markets. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 72, 168–177. doi:10.1016/j. gref.2018.12.001
- Mighri, Z., Mansouri, F. (2014). Modeling international stock market contagion using mul- tivariate fractionally integrated aparch approach. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 2(1), 963632. doi:10.1080/ 23322039.2014.963632



- Müller, U. A., Dacorogna, M. M., Davé, R. D., Olsen, R. B., Pictet, O. V., & Von Weizsäcker, J. E. (1997a). Volatilities of different time resolutions—analyzing the dynamics of market components. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 4(2–3), 213–239. doi:10.1016/ S0927-5398(97)00007-8
- Müller, U. A., Dacorogna, M. M., Davé, R. D., Olsen, R. B., Pictet, O. V., & Von Weizsäcker, J. E. (1997b). Volatilities of different time resolutions—analyzing the dynamics of market components. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 4(2–3), 213–239. doi:10.1016/ S0927-5398(97)00007-8
- Müller, U. A., Dacorogna, M. M., Davé, R. D., Pictet, O. V., Olsen, R. B., & Ward, J. R. (1993). Fractals and intrinsic time – A challenge to econometricians. In 39th International AEA Confer- ence on Real Time Econometrics. Retrieved from http://finance.martinse well.com/stylized-facts/scaling/Muller-etal1993.pdf
- Nguyen, D. B. B., Prokopczuk, M., & Sibbertsen, P. (2019). The memory of stock return volatility: Asset pricing implications. *Journal of Financial Markets*. doi:10.1016/j.finmar.2019.01.002
- Nystrup, P., Madsen, H., & Lindström, E. (2017). Long memory of financial time series and hidden markov models with time-varying parameters. *Journal of* Forecasting, 36(8), 989–1002. doi:10.1002/for.2447
- Ohanissian, A., Russell, J. R., & Tsay, R. S. (2008). True or spurious long memory? A new test. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 26(2), 161–175. doi:10.1198/073500107000000340
- Ozun, A., & Cifter, A. (2008). Modeling long-term memory effect in stock prices: A comparative analysis with gph test and daubechies wavelets. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 25(1), 38–48. doi:10.1108/10867370810857559
- Peng, C.-K., Buldyrev, S. V., Havlin, S., Simons, M., Stanley, H. E., & Goldberger, A. L. (1994). Mosaic organization of DNA nucleotides. *Physical Review E*, 49(2), 1685. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.49.1685
- Perron, P., & Qu, Z. (2010). Long-memory and level shifts in the volatility of stock market return indices. Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, 28(2), 275–290. doi:10.1198/jbes.2009.06171
- Podobnik, B., & Stanley, H. E. (2008). Detrended cross-correlation analysis: A new method for analyzing two nonstationary time series. *Physical Review Letters*, 100(8), 084102. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.100.084102
- Potter, K. W. (1979). Annual precipitation in the northeast united states: Long memory, short memory, or no memory? Water Resources Research, 15(2), 340–346. doi:10.1029/WR015i002p00340
- Qu, Z. (2011). A test against spurious long memory. Journal of Business & Economic Statistics, 29(3), 423–438. doi:10.1198/jbes.2010.09153
- Raei, R., & Mohammadi, S. (2008). Fractional return and fractional capm. Applied Financial Economics Letters, 4(4), 269–275. doi:10.1080/17446540701720527
- Ravishanker, N., & Ray, B. K. (1997). Bayesian analysis of vector arfima processes. *Australian Journal of Statistics*, 39(3), 295–311. doi:10.1111/j.1467-842X.1997.tb00693.x
- Ray, B. K. (1993). Modeling long-memory processes for optimal long-range prediction. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 14(5), 511–525. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.1993.tb00161.x
- Rea, W., Oxley, L., Reale, M., & Brown, J. (2013). Not all estimators are born equal: The empirical properties of some estimators of long memory. *Mathematics*

- and Computers in Simulation, 93, 29–42. doi:10.1016/j.matcom.2012.08.005
- Reisen, V. A. (1994). Estimation of the fractional difference parameter in the arima (p,d,q) model us- ing the smoothed periodogram. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 15(3), 335–350. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.1994.tb00198.x
- Robinson, P. M. (1991). Testing for strong serial correlation and dynamic conditional heteroskedasticity in multiple regression. *Journal of Econometrics*, 47(1), 67–84. doi:10.1016/0304-4076(91)90078-R
- Robinson, P. M. (1994). Semiparametric analysis of long-memory time series. *The Annals of Statistics*, 22 (1), 515–539. doi:10.1214/aos/1176325382
- Robinson, P. M. (1995). Gaussian semiparametric estimation of long range dependence. *The Annals of Statistics*, 23 (5), 1630–1661. doi:10.1214/aos/1176324317
- Sadique, S., & Silvapulle, P. (2001). Long-term memory in stock market returns: International evidence. International Journal of Finance & Economics, 6(1), 59–67. doi:10.1002/ijfe.143
- Schennach, S. M. (2018). Long memory via networking. *Econometrica*, 86(6), 2221–2248. doi:10.3982/ FCTA11930
- Sensoy, A., & Tabak, B. M. (2016). Dynamic efficiency of stock markets and exchange rates. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 47, 353–371. doi:10.1016/j.irfa.2016.06.001
- Shao, X. (2011). A simple test of changes in mean in the possible presence of long-range dependence. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 32(6), 598–606. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9892.2010.00717.x
- Shi, Y., & Ho, K.-Y. (2015). Long memory and regime switching: A simulation study on the markov regime-switching arfima model. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 61, S189–S204. doi:10.1016/j. jbankfin.2015.08.025
- Shimotsu, K. (2006). Simple (but effective) tests of long memory versus structural breaks. (*Queen's Economics Department Working Paper*). Retrieved from https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/ 189377/1/qed wp 1101.pdf
- Sibbertsen, P. (2004). Long memory versus structural breaks: An overview. Statistical Papers, 45(4), 465–515. doi:10.1007/BF02760564
- Sibbertsen, P., Leschinski, C., & Busch, M. (2018).

 A multivariate test against spurious long memory.

 Journal of Econometrics, 203(1), 33–49. doi:10.1016/j.
 jeconom.2017.07.005
- Smith, J., & Yadav, S. (1994). Forecasting costs incurred from unit differencing fractionally integrated processes. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 10(4), 507–514. doi:10.1016/0169-2070(94)90019-1
- Souza, L. R. (2008). Why aggregate long memory time series? *Econometric Reviews*, 27(1–3), 298–316. doi:10.1080/07474930701873408
- Souza, L. R., & Smith, J. (2002). Bias in the memory parameter for different sampling rates. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 18(2), 299–313. doi:10.1016/ S0169-2070(01)00160-1
- Taqqu, M. S., Teverovsky, V., & Willinger, W. (1995). Estimators for long-range dependence: An empirical study. Fractals, 3(4), 785–798. doi:10.1142/ S0218348X95000692
- Teverovsky, V., Taqqu, M. S., & Willinger, W. (1999).

 A critical look at lo's modified r/s statistic. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, 80(1–2), 211–227. doi:10.1016/S0378-3758(98)00250-X



- Teyssière, G. (1997). Double long-memory financial time series. Queen Mary and Westfield College:

 Department of Economics.
- Walther, T., Klein, T., Thu, H. P., & Piontek, K. (2017). True or spurious long memory in european non-emu currencies. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 40, 217–230. doi:10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.01.003
- Wen, F., Gong, X., & Cai, S. (2016). Forecasting the volatility of crude oil futures using har-type models with structural breaks. *Energy Economics*, 59, 400–413. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2016.07.014
- Wenger, K., Leschinski, C., & Sibbertsen, P. (2018a). Change-in-mean tests in long-memory time series: A review of recent developments. Advances in Statistical Analysis, 103(2), 237–256. doi:10.1007/s10182-018-0328-5
- Wenger, K., Leschinski, C., & Sibbertsen, P. (2018b).

 A simple test on structural change in long-memory time series. *Economics Letters*, 163, 90–94. doi:10.1016/j.econlet.2017.12.007
- Whittle, P. (1951). Hypothesis testing in time series analysis. Uppsala, Sweden: Almqvist & Wiksells.

- Wright, J. H. (1998). Testing for a structural break at unknown date with long-memory disturbances. Journal of Time Series Analysis, 19(3), 369–376. doi:10.1111/1467-9892.00097
- Wright, J. H. (2002). Log-periodogram estimation of long memory volatility dependencies with condition- ally heavy tailed returns. *Econometric Reviews*, 21(4), 397–417. doi:10.1081/ETC-120015382
- Zaffaroni, P. (2004). Contemporaneous aggregation of linear dynamic models in large economies. *Journal of Econometrics*, 120(1), 75–102. doi:10.1016/S0304-4076(03)00207-0
- Zhao, L.-T., Liu, K., Duan, X.-L., & Li, M.-F. (2019). Oil price risk evaluation using a novel hybrid model based on time-varying long memory. *Energy Economics*, 81, 70–78. doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2019.03.019
- Zheng, M., Liu, R., & Li, Y. (2018). Long memory in financial markets: A heterogeneous agent model perspective. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 58, 38–51. doi:10.1016/j.irfa.2018.04.001



© 2020 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format.

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:



Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. No additional restrictions

You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

Cogent Economics & Finance (ISSN: 2332-2039) is published by Cogent OA, part of Taylor & Francis Group. Publishing with Cogent OA ensures:

- Immediate, universal access to your article on publication
- High visibility and discoverability via the Cogent OA website as well as Taylor & Francis Online
- · Download and citation statistics for your article
- · Rapid online publication
- · Input from, and dialog with, expert editors and editorial boards
- · Retention of full copyright of your article
- · Guaranteed legacy preservation of your article
- Discounts and waivers for authors in developing regions

Submit your manuscript to a Cogent OA journal at www.CogentOA.com

