

Chekenya, Nixon S.

**Article**

## Maximum likelihood estimation of stock volatility using jump-diffusion models

Cogent Economics & Finance

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Taylor & Francis Group

*Suggested Citation:* Chekenya, Nixon S. (2019) : Maximum likelihood estimation of stock volatility using jump-diffusion models, Cogent Economics & Finance, ISSN 2332-2039, Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, Vol. 7, Iss. 1, pp. 1-17,  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2019.1582318>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/245203>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

# Maximum likelihood estimation of stock volatility using jump-diffusion models

Nixon S. Chekenya |

To cite this article: Nixon S. Chekenya | (2019) Maximum likelihood estimation of stock volatility using jump-diffusion models, Cogent Economics & Finance, 7:1, 1582318, DOI: [10.1080/23322039.2019.1582318](https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2019.1582318)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2019.1582318>



© 2019 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.



[View supplementary material](#)



Published online: 20 Mar 2019.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 1351



[View related articles](#)



[View Crossmark data](#)



Received: 10 October 2018  
Accepted: 06 February 2019  
First Published: 18 February 2019

\*Corresponding author: Nixon S. Chekenya, Midland State University, Zimbabwe  
E-mail: [chekenyan@gmail.com](mailto:chekenyan@gmail.com)

Reviewing editor:  
Maggie Chen, Cardiff University, UK

Additional information is available at the end of the article

## FINANCIAL ECONOMICS | RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Maximum likelihood estimation of stock volatility using jump-diffusion models

Nixon S. Chekenya\*

**Abstract:** We investigate whether there are systematic jumps in stock prices using the Brownian motion approach and Poisson processes to test diffusion and jump risk, respectively, on Johannesburg Stock Exchange and whether these jumps cause asset return volatility. Using stock market data from June 2002 to September 2016, we hypothesize that stocks with high positive (negative) slopes are more likely to have large positive (negative) jumps in the future. As such, we expect to observe salient properties of volatility on listed stocks. We also conjecture that it is valid to use maximum likelihood procedures in estimating jumps in stocks.

**Subjects:** Financial Mathematics; Mathematical Finance; Quantitative Finance

**Keywords:** Merton jump diffusion model; Black scholes volatility (IV) curves; Weiner process; maximum likelihood estimation

**Subjects:** C12; C18

### 1. Introduction

We explore asset return volatility in actively traded stocks. Specifically, we investigate whether there are systematic jumps in stock returns using the Brownian motion and Poisson processes to test diffusion and jump risk, respectively, on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange and whether these jumps cause asset return volatility. Our hypothesis is that stocks with high positive (negative) slopes are more likely to have large positive (negative) jumps in the future.



Nixon S. Chekenya

### ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Nixon S. Chekenya is an investment analyst at Steward Bank Limited and a research fellow at the Institute for New Economic Thinking at the Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford. Nixon has 1 year investment banking experience. He completed his honors degrees in mathematics, economics and actuarial science from Ghent University, Midlands State University. Nixon casually teaches in the economics department at Midlands State University. He studies under the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries, United Kingdom and the CFA Institute. His thesis "Selection Bias and the Performance of Black Fund Managers in South Africa", investigates the role of diversity in the investment industry. He has been an invited speaker at academic conferences in South Africa, Kenya, Nigeria, Belgium, Germany and the United States among other places.

### PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

This paper investigates whether there are systematic jumps in stock prices using the Brownian motion approach and Poisson processes to test diffusion and jump risk, respectively, on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange and whether these jumps cause asset return volatility. We develop and test sufficient conditions for a model when stock returns follow a jump-diffusion process. Based on daily stock price data, our results are that the stock market returns contain a jump component, although its magnitude is small. We measure the jump component over larger intervals in time (monthly interval) and find that the weekends and holidays tend to cover up the small jump component. The economic intuition is that jump risk is not diversifiable.

Asset return volatility is central to finance. High-frequency data exhibit fat-tailed distributions (excess kurtosis), skewness and volatility clustering. Excess kurtosis of stock can be attributed to jumps-sudden but infrequent movements-of large magnitude in stock prices (Campbell, Giglio, Polk, & Turley, 2018; Maheu & McCurdy, 2004; Yan, 2011). A number of studies document the presence of jump in stock returns in developed countries' contexts (Bollerslev, Todorov, & Li, 2013; Cremers, Halling, & Weinbaum, 2015; Jarrow & Rosenfeld, 1984; and Jorion, 1989). Systematic jumps in stock prices in developing countries have rarely been tested. Our study begs the question of whether there are jumps in stock prices using stock returns data from the Johannesburg Stock Exchange. Closest in spirit with our paper are those by Hanson, Westman, and Zhu (2004) which develops jump-diffusion stock-returns models and investigates the log-uniform distributed jump-amplitudes in the jump-diffusion model for fitting financial market distributions and Trautmann and Beinert (1995) whose study employs ML-techniques to estimate a Poisson type jump-diffusion model that describes the return behavior of actively traded German stocks and the DAX stock index as a proxy of aggregate wealth, respectively.

Randomness and persistence are two salient properties of stock volatility. Stocks with high positive (negative) slopes are more likely to have large positive (negative) jumps in the future. The statistical properties of stock returns have long been of curiosity to financial decision makers and academics (see Eraker, 2004). Positive kurtosis implies concave, U-shaped implied Black-Scholes volatility (IV) curves.

Diffusion processes are widely used to describe the evolution of asset returns over time. In option pricing, they enable the use of Black and Scholes-type formulae to price European options on stocks, foreign currencies, commodities, interest rates, and futures. The Jump Diffusion model is chosen in this study because it can potentially explain stock returns more accurately at the expense of making the market incomplete, since jumps in the stock prices cannot be hedged using trade securities.

One purpose of this paper is to provide answers to the question of whether there are systematic jumps in stock prices using stock returns data in developing countries, Johannesburg Stock Exchange in particular. We do this by extending Merton's (1973, 1975) jump-diffusion model and intertemporal asset pricing model.

The remainder of our paper is organized as follows. The next section generalizes the Merton Jump Diffusion (MJD) model to a developing country's case. Empirical tests for the presence of jump components in the stock returns are contained in section 3. Section 4 concludes the paper.

## 2. Theory

Our assumed model is similar to those contained in Jarrow and Rosenfeld (1984) and Merton (1975). It contains a finite number of assets and traders. There is one good which serves as a numeraire. The basic assumptions we make are:

- (1) No transaction costs, no taxes, and frictionless markets.
- (2) Competitive markets (traders are price takers)
- (3) Continuous trading at equilibrium prices
- (4) There are  $m$  risky assets whose prices satiate<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{dS_j}{S_j} = \alpha_j dt + \sigma_j dZ_j + (-\lambda_j K_j dt + \pi_j dY_j) \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (1)$$

where  $S_j(t)$  is the price of an asset  $j$  at time  $t$ ;  $\alpha_j$ ,  $\sigma_j$ ,  $\lambda_j$ , and  $K_j$  are constants;  $dZ_j$  is a Wiener process;  $dY_j$  is a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda_j$ ;  $\pi_j$  is the jump amplitude with expected value equal to  $K_j$ ; and  $dZ_j$ ,  $dY_j$ , and  $\pi_j$  are independent.

- (1) Traders have standardized opinions over  $\{\alpha_j, \sigma_j, \lambda_j, K_j, j = 1, \dots, m\}$
- (2) Traders' tastes are represented by a von Neumann-Morgenstern utility function which is strictly increasing and strictly concave.

Assumptions 1–3, 5, and 6 are standard in the literature (See Jarrow & Rosenfeld, 1984, Merton, 1975). Assumption number 4 is the key conjecture in our analysis.

It is fitting at this time to rewrite assumption 4 in an alternate but equivalent form which separates systematic and unsystematic risk components.

Consider the diffusion part of assumption 4,

$$dD_j = \alpha_j dt + \sigma_j dZ_j, j = 1, \dots, m. \tag{2}$$

Employing a comparable argument to Ross (1976, p.272), expression (2) implies that there exists  $\{u_j, f_j, g_j, d\emptyset, dW_j\} j = 1, \dots, m$ , such that

$$dD_j = \alpha_j dt + f_j d\emptyset + g_j dW_j, \tag{3a}$$

where  $f_j^2 + g_j^2 = \sigma_j^2$ ;  $d\emptyset, dW_j$  are Wiener processes;  $E(d\emptyset dW_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m$ ; and

$$\sum_{j=1}^m u_j = 1, (x + a)^n = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j (g_j dW_j) = 0, = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j \alpha_j > r. \tag{3b}$$

It is always likely to decompose a restricted number of normal arbitrary variables into a common factor,  $d\emptyset$ , and error terms,  $dW_j$ , which are normally distributed. The key property of normal returns employed is that covariance of zero implies numerical independence. This same assumption is confirmed in Fama (1973). Note that  $d\emptyset, dW_i$  will be independent of  $dY_i$  and  $\pi_i$  by assumption 4. This disintegration gives  $d\emptyset$  the interpretation of being the unsystematic risk factor.

Substitution of expression (3) into (1) gives assumption 5a:

There are  $m$  risky assets whose prices satisfy

$$\frac{dS_j}{S_j} = \alpha_j dt + f_j d\emptyset + g_j dW_j + (-\lambda_j K_j dt + \pi_j dY_j), j = 1, \dots, m, \tag{4}$$

where  $S_j(t)$  is the price of asset  $j$  at time  $t$ ;  $\alpha_j, f_j, g_j, \lambda_j, K_j$  are constants;  $d\emptyset, dW_j$  are Wiener processes;  $dY_j$  is a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda_j$ ;  $\pi_j$  is the jump amplitude with expected value equal to  $K_j$ ; and  $d\emptyset, dW_j, dY_j, \pi_j$  are independent.

The jump component in expression (4),  $(-\lambda_j K_j dt + \pi_j dY_j)$ , infers that stock returns can have discontinuous ample paths. This generalizes existing models.

### 3. Data

The section tests for the stock returns to see if they contain jumps. If no jump component is present, then this would be consistent with the proposition of the previous deduction and the satisfaction of an instantaneous Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). This section performs the following hypothesis tests:

$H_0$ , jump risk is diversifiable

$H_1$ , jump risk is non-diversifiable

We will survey the sample path of the stock price returns. To advance the testing procedure, note that under expression (4) the stock market returns dynamics are given by:

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j \alpha_j dt + \left( \sum_{j=1}^m s_j \beta_j \right) d\mathcal{O} + \sum_{j=1}^m s_j (g_j dW_j - \lambda_j K_j dt \pi_j dY_j) + \log V_j \quad (5)$$

where  $S = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j S_j$ ,  $\log V_j \sim$  i.i.d.  $N(\alpha, \sigma^2)$ , normally distributed and models jumps in stocks.<sup>2</sup>

Under the null hypothesis, expression (5) reduces to

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \alpha dt + \sigma d\mathcal{O} \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \alpha_j$  and  $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \beta_j$ .

Under the alternative hypothesis, expression (5) reduces to:

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \alpha' dt + \sigma d\mathcal{O} + dq \quad (7)$$

where  $dq = \pi dY$  denotes a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda$ ,  $\pi =$  jump amplitude with estimated value equal to  $K$ , and  $\alpha' = \alpha - \lambda K$ .

To complete the method, another hypothesis is added to (7), that is,  $(\pi)$  has a lognormal distribution with parameters  $(a, b^2)$ . This assumption is added in order that the Maximum Likelihood Estimation procedure developed in Rosenfeld can be used to estimate the parameters of Equations (6) and (7).

For ease of reference, we re-write the hypothesis to be tested:

$H_0$ : jump risk is diversifiable,

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \alpha dt + \sigma d\mathcal{O}; \quad (8)$$

$H_1$ : jump risk not diversifiable,

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \alpha' dt + \sigma d\mathcal{O} + dq; \quad (9)$$

and  $(\pi)$  is dispersed lognormal  $(a, b^2)$ .

To properly test the null hypothesis, a likelihood ratio test can be used:  $A = -2(\ln L_c - \ln L_u)$ , where  $L_c$  signifies the likelihood value for the reserved density function (i.e., the null hypothesis, Equation (8)) and  $L_u$  represents the likelihood function for the unconstrained density function (i.e., the alternative hypothesis, Equation (9)).<sup>3</sup>

We perform empirical tests on one index the index consists of daily observations for the stock market's total return index on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange from June 2002 to September 2016.

Table 1 presents estimates of parameters of the diffusion-only process for diverse observation intervals and time periods. The results suggest that the total return and volatility of the market are not constant over time. The total standard deviation of return on the market is measured by the Johannesburg Stock Exchange total return index over a 14-year period.

#### 4. Methodology

Throughout this paper (as in Jarrow & Rosenfeld, 1984; Merton, 1976) we assume that  $S_t$  is the price of a financial asset whose return dynamics are given by;

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = (\mu - \lambda k) dt + \sigma dB_t + [e^J - 1] dN_t \quad (10)$$

**Table 1. Summary statistics**

Column1	Column2
Constant drift	0.10%
Standard deviation of drift	1.00%
Jump probability	3.00%
Jump mean	2.00%
Jump standard deviation	4.00%

Table 1 presents estimates of parameters of the diffusion-only process for diverse observation intervals and time periods.

where  $\mu$  is the instantaneous expected return per unit time, and  $\sigma$  is the instantaneous volatility per unit time. The stochastic process  $B_t$  is a standard Wiener process under the market measure  $P$ . The process  $N_t$  is a Poisson process, independent of the jump-sizes  $J$  and the Wiener process  $B_t$ , with arrival intensity  $\lambda$  per unit time under the measure  $P$ , so that its increments satisfy;

$$dN_t = (1 \text{ with probability } \lambda dt, 0 \text{ with probability } 1 - \lambda dt) \tag{11}$$

The expected proportional jump size is;

$$\kappa \equiv EP[e^J - 1] \tag{12}$$

Jumps arriving at different times are assumed to be independent of each other. A filtered probability measure space  $(\Omega, F, \{F_t\}, P)$  is assumed where the filtration  $\{F_t\}$  is the natural filtration generated by the Wiener process  $B_t$ .

In the jump-diffusion model, the stock price  $S_t$  follows the random process;

$dS_t/S_t = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t + (J-1)dN_t$ . The first two terms are familiar from the Black-Scholes model: drift rate  $\mu$ , volatility  $\sigma$ , and random walk (Wiener process)  $W_t$ . The last term represents the jumps:  $J$  is the jump size as a multiple of stock price while  $N(t)$  is the number of jump events that have occurred up to time  $t$ .  $N(t)$  is assumed to follow the Poisson process;

$$P(N(t) = k) = \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} E^{-\lambda t}$$

where  $\lambda t$  is the average number of jumps per unit time.

The jump size may follow any distribution, but a common choice is a log-normal distribution;

$$J \sim m \exp\left(\frac{v^2}{2}\right) + yN(0, 1)$$

where  $N(0,1)$  is the standard normal distribution,  $m$  is the average jump size, and  $v$  is the volatility of jump size. The three parameters  $\lambda, m, v$  characterize the jump-diffusion model.

**5. Model**

We use the basic excel spreadsheet to model the effects of jump-diffusion on stock prices.<sup>4</sup> Our equation is as follows:

$$r_t = \alpha + \varepsilon_t + I_t u_t$$

where  $r_t$  is the log return,  $\alpha$  is the mean drift,  $\varepsilon$  is the diffusion which follows a normal distribution calculated as  $\sigma \cdot \text{NORMSINV}(\text{RAND}())$ , where  $\sigma$  (sigma) is the standard deviation of the jumps,  $I$  is equal to 0 (no jump) or 1 (presence of a jump). The value is determined by the jump probability;  $u_t$  is the value of the jump. This follows a normal distribution and is determined by  $E[u] + \sigma_u \cdot \text{NORMSINV}(\text{RAND}())$ , where  $E[u]$  and  $\sigma_u$  are the mean and standard deviation of the jump, respectively.

**Table 2. Stock price data statistics and jump estimation (Source: Author's computations)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
0					4.61	100.00	
1	0.94%	0	-1.67%	1.04%	4.62	101.04	
2	-0.24%	0	-0.29%	-0.14%	4.61	100.90	
3	-1.27%	0	0.95%	-1.17%	4.60	99.73	
4	0.02%	0	6.89%	0.12%	4.60	99.84	
5	-2.06%	0	3.46%	-1.96%	4.58	97.91	
6	-1.41%	0	0.31%	-1.31%	4.57	96.63	
7	0.87%	0	4.65%	0.97%	4.58	97.58	
8	-2.30%	0	1.50%	-2.20%	4.56	95.45	
9	-0.44%	0	3.74%	-0.34%	4.56	95.12	
10	-1.24%	0	2.49%	-1.14%	4.54	94.04	
11	-0.32%	0	-0.61%	-0.22%	4.54	93.83	
12	-0.09%	0	1.97%	0.01%	4.54	93.84	
13	1.61%	0	6.28%	1.71%	4.56	95.45	
14	0.47%	0	-2.45%	0.57%	4.56	96.00	
15	0.33%	0	0.19%	0.43%	4.57	96.42	
16	-0.04%	0	0.78%	0.06%	4.57	96.47	
17	-0.03%	0	2.30%	0.07%	4.57	96.54	
18	0.21%	0	-0.72%	0.31%	4.57	96.84	
19	0.13%	0	-1.33%	0.23%	4.58	97.07	
20	-1.18%	0	-4.81%	-1.08%	4.56	96.03	
21	1.30%	0	4.83%	1.40%	4.58	97.39	
22	-0.61%	0	3.83%	-0.51%	4.57	96.89	
23	-0.89%	0	-4.19%	-0.79%	4.57	96.13	
24	-0.43%	0	-1.39%	-0.33%	4.56	95.81	

(Continued)



**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
25	0.33%	0	-3.11%	0.43%	4.57	96.22	
26	0.70%	0	7.98%	0.80%	4.57	96.99	
27	-1.92%	0	-1.31%	-1.82%	4.56	95.25	
28	1.21%	0	5.57%	1.31%	4.57	96.51	
29	-1.32%	0	3.95%	-1.22%	4.56	95.34	
30	0.50%	0	6.07%	0.60%	4.56	95.91	
31	-1.20%	0	1.14%	-1.10%	4.55	94.86	
32	0.74%	1	4.52%	5.37%	4.61	100.09	100.0943
33	0.35%	0	-2.17%	0.45%	4.61	100.55	
34	-2.03%	0	2.03%	-1.93%	4.59	98.63	
35	-0.68%	0	9.19%	-0.58%	4.59	98.06	
36	0.94%	0	4.07%	1.04%	4.60	99.08	
37	-0.42%	0	-1.22%	-0.32%	4.59	98.76	
38	1.91%	0	-1.56%	2.01%	4.61	100.77	
39	-0.71%	0	2.43%	-0.61%	4.61	100.15	
40	-0.65%	0	-9.42%	-0.55%	4.60	99.61	
41	-0.22%	0	-1.01%	-0.12%	4.60	99.49	
42	-0.75%	0	-0.97%	-0.65%	4.59	98.85	
43	0.75%	0	-0.30%	0.85%	4.60	99.69	
44	2.33%	0	2.27%	2.43%	4.63	102.14	
45	-0.07%	0	1.62%	0.03%	4.63	102.17	
46	0.28%	0	7.79%	0.38%	4.63	102.56	
47	-0.66%	0	-0.03%	-0.56%	4.62	101.99	
48	-0.23%	0	-2.71%	-0.13%	4.62	101.85	
49	0.60%	0	9.75%	0.70%	4.63	102.57	

(Continued)

**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
50	0.89%	0	0.85%	0.99%	4.64	103.59	
51	1.44%	0	-0.72%	1.54%	4.66	105.20	
52	-0.01%	0	-1.15%	0.09%	4.66	105.29	
53	-1.56%	0	3.69%	-1.46%	4.64	103.76	
54	-0.36%	0	3.44%	-0.26%	4.64	103.50	
55	-1.14%	0	-0.18%	-1.04%	4.63	102.43	
56	0.14%	0	3.94%	0.24%	4.63	102.68	
57	-1.55%	0	8.55%	-1.45%	4.62	101.20	
58	1.43%	0	-7.36%	1.53%	4.63	102.76	
59	-0.35%	0	-4.24%	-0.25%	4.63	102.50	
60	0.61%	0	6.77%	0.71%	4.64	103.24	
61	-0.02%	0	4.44%	0.08%	4.64	103.32	
62	0.81%	0	3.97%	0.91%	4.65	104.27	
63	0.75%	0	3.64%	0.85%	4.66	105.15	
64	-2.60%	0	-3.75%	-2.50%	4.63	102.55	
65	0.68%	0	4.61%	0.78%	4.64	103.36	
66	-0.54%	0	1.08%	-0.44%	4.63	102.90	
67	0.19%	0	-1.24%	0.29%	4.64	103.20	
68	1.48%	0	2.21%	1.58%	4.65	104.84	
69	-1.21%	0	-0.64%	-1.11%	4.64	103.68	
70	2.07%	0	4.90%	2.17%	4.66	105.95	
71	0.29%	0	3.72%	0.39%	4.67	106.37	
72	-0.62%	0	-1.32%	-0.52%	4.66	105.81	
73	-0.67%	0	-6.91%	-0.57%	4.66	105.21	
74	1.63%	0	-3.88%	1.73%	4.67	107.04	

(Continued)

**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
75	0.14%	0	-1.70%	0.24%	4.68	107.30	
76	-1.34%	0	5.97%	-1.24%	4.66	105.97	
77	-0.63%	0	-3.70%	-0.53%	4.66	105.42	
78	1.06%	0	6.91%	1.16%	4.67	106.65	
79	-0.09%	0	-0.79%	0.01%	4.67	106.66	
80	-0.84%	0	5.31%	-0.74%	4.66	105.87	
81	0.87%	0	3.75%	0.97%	4.67	106.90	
82	-0.76%	0	0.48%	-0.66%	4.67	106.20	
83	0.52%	0	-3.06%	0.62%	4.67	106.86	
84	-1.82%	0	-3.71%	-1.72%	4.65	105.04	
85	-1.13%	0	0.17%	-1.03%	4.64	103.97	
86	-1.38%	0	7.88%	-1.28%	4.63	102.65	
87	-0.01%	0	2.77%	0.09%	4.63	102.74	
88	-0.03%	0	3.98%	0.07%	4.63	102.82	
89	-0.65%	0	5.66%	-0.55%	4.63	102.25	
90	1.49%	0	3.11%	1.59%	4.64	103.88	
91	-0.74%	0	-1.93%	-0.64%	4.64	103.22	
92	-1.29%	0	6.48%	-1.19%	4.62	102.00	
93	-0.89%	0	-0.41%	-0.79%	4.62	101.19	
94	-1.04%	0	-1.12%	-0.94%	4.61	100.24	
95	-1.80%	0	1.99%	-1.70%	4.59	98.56	
96	0.42%	0	5.81%	0.52%	4.60	99.07	
97	0.90%	0	-1.44%	1.00%	4.61	100.06	
98	-1.29%	0	-1.21%	-1.19%	4.59	98.88	
99	2.25%	0	-2.17%	2.35%	4.62	101.23	

(Continued)

**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
100	0.13%	0	0.08%	0.23%	4.62	101.47	
101	-1.08%	0	-0.46%	-0.98%	4.61	100.48	
102	1.60%	0	1.96%	1.70%	4.63	102.21	
103	-0.10%	1	4.56%	4.56%	4.67	106.97	106.9745
104	0.19%	0	6.63%	0.29%	4.68	107.29	
105	-1.60%	0	4.03%	-1.50%	4.66	105.70	
106	0.57%	0	4.90%	0.67%	4.67	106.40	
107	0.45%	0	0.71%	0.55%	4.67	106.99	
108	-0.61%	0	1.27%	-0.51%	4.67	106.44	
109	-0.10%	0	2.23%	0.00%	4.67	106.45	
110	0.49%	0	-4.42%	0.59%	4.67	107.07	
111	0.13%	0	-0.46%	0.23%	4.68	107.32	
112	-0.47%	0	-1.19%	-0.37%	4.67	106.92	
113	0.74%	0	5.13%	0.84%	4.68	107.81	
114	-1.09%	0	2.02%	-0.99%	4.67	106.75	
115	0.07%	0	2.82%	0.17%	4.67	106.93	
116	0.14%	0	4.42%	0.24%	4.67	107.19	
117	-0.84%	0	-2.71%	-0.74%	4.67	106.41	
118	-0.25%	0	-0.66%	-0.15%	4.67	106.25	
119	0.75%	0	5.23%	0.85%	4.67	107.15	
120	0.31%	0	11.25%	0.41%	4.68	107.59	
121	1.20%	0	7.98%	1.30%	4.69	108.99	
122	0.41%	0	-1.45%	0.51%	4.70	109.55	
123	0.32%	1	2.30%	2.72%	4.72	112.57	112.57
124	0.12%	0	9.92%	0.22%	4.73	112.82	

(Continued)

**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
125	-0.83%	0	-0.67%	-0.73%	4.72	111.99	
126	-0.33%	0	5.35%	-0.23%	4.72	111.74	
127	-0.03%	0	3.83%	0.07%	4.72	111.82	
128	-0.12%	0	-1.90%	-0.02%	4.72	111.79	
129	0.31%	0	-4.65%	0.41%	4.72	112.26	
130	-0.24%	0	2.88%	-0.14%	4.72	112.10	
131	1.14%	0	6.67%	1.24%	4.73	113.50	
132	0.44%	0	2.82%	0.54%	4.74	114.11	
133	1.30%	0	0.83%	1.40%	4.75	115.71	
134	1.08%	0	2.47%	1.18%	4.76	117.08	
135	0.49%	0	-3.66%	0.59%	4.77	117.77	
136	0.52%	0	-0.53%	0.62%	4.77	118.50	
137	-0.88%	0	-1.24%	-0.78%	4.77	117.58	
138	0.30%	0	2.99%	0.40%	4.77	118.05	
139	0.39%	0	3.42%	0.49%	4.78	118.63	
140	0.69%	0	-2.11%	0.79%	4.78	119.58	
141	-2.65%	0	5.90%	-2.55%	4.76	116.56	
142	-0.60%	0	6.53%	-0.50%	4.75	115.98	
143	0.90%	0	-0.19%	1.00%	4.76	117.14	
144	-1.81%	0	5.01%	-1.71%	4.75	115.16	
145	-0.99%	0	6.71%	-0.89%	4.74	114.14	
146	0.72%	0	8.05%	0.82%	4.75	115.07	
147	1.27%	0	3.45%	1.37%	4.76	116.66	
148	-1.92%	0	13.91%	-1.82%	4.74	114.55	
149	-0.75%	0	5.23%	-0.65%	4.73	113.81	

(Continued)

**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
150	-0.09%	0	5.59%	0.01%	4.73	113.82	
151	-0.92%	0	0.86%	-0.82%	4.73	112.89	
152	0.80%	0	8.61%	0.90%	4.74	113.92	
153	0.88%	0	-0.84%	0.98%	4.75	115.04	
154	-0.07%	0	-7.57%	0.03%	4.75	115.07	
155	-0.76%	1	1.19%	0.53%	4.75	115.67	115.6747
156	0.07%	0	-2.03%	0.17%	4.75	115.87	
157	0.06%	0	1.18%	0.16%	4.75	116.06	
158	0.57%	0	3.64%	0.67%	4.76	116.83	
159	1.55%	0	1.28%	1.65%	4.78	118.78	
160	-0.40%	0	-0.66%	-0.30%	4.77	118.43	
161	-0.68%	0	5.14%	-0.58%	4.77	117.75	
162	1.26%	0	3.93%	1.36%	4.78	119.36	
163	-0.50%	0	-0.46%	-0.40%	4.78	118.89	
164	-0.64%	0	5.03%	-0.54%	4.77	118.25	
165	-0.77%	0	-5.14%	-0.67%	4.77	117.46	
166	-0.98%	0	2.85%	-0.88%	4.76	116.44	
167	-1.00%	0	3.21%	-0.90%	4.75	115.40	
168	0.88%	0	3.25%	0.98%	4.76	116.53	
169	0.70%	0	-4.89%	0.80%	4.77	117.47	
170	-0.64%	0	3.08%	-0.54%	4.76	116.84	
171	1.85%	0	-1.65%	1.95%	4.78	119.14	
172	-0.27%	0	6.15%	-0.17%	4.78	118.93	
173	-1.02%	0	-2.56%	-0.92%	4.77	117.85	
174	0.39%	0	-3.06%	0.49%	4.77	118.43	

(Continued)

**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
175	0.00%	0	-0.16%	0.10%	4.78	118.55	
176	2.18%	0	1.24%	2.28%	4.80	121.28	
177	-1.12%	0	4.21%	-1.02%	4.79	120.04	
178	1.06%	0	5.18%	1.16%	4.80	121.44	
179	-0.05%	0	6.86%	0.05%	4.80	121.50	
180	2.48%	0	0.98%	2.58%	4.83	124.67	
181	-0.30%	0	7.20%	-0.20%	4.82	124.43	
182	-1.34%	0	0.66%	-1.24%	4.81	122.89	
183	-1.53%	0	-3.02%	-1.43%	4.80	121.15	
184	0.95%	0	-3.21%	1.05%	4.81	122.43	
185	1.08%	0	2.39%	1.18%	4.82	123.89	
186	-0.77%	0	4.59%	-0.67%	4.81	123.06	
187	-1.48%	0	8.92%	-1.38%	4.80	121.37	
188	1.33%	0	8.50%	1.43%	4.81	123.12	
189	0.19%	0	4.31%	0.29%	4.82	123.48	
190	-0.83%	0	9.01%	-0.73%	4.81	122.58	
191	1.32%	0	2.85%	1.42%	4.82	124.33	
192	-1.97%	0	6.24%	-1.87%	4.80	122.02	
193	0.40%	0	-0.14%	0.50%	4.81	122.63	
194	-0.39%	0	0.98%	-0.29%	4.81	122.27	
195	0.03%	0	2.33%	0.13%	4.81	122.43	
196	-0.37%	0	0.13%	-0.27%	4.80	122.10	
197	-0.82%	0	-2.06%	-0.72%	4.80	121.22	
198	-1.17%	0	4.98%	-1.07%	4.79	119.93	
199	1.78%	0	-0.08%	1.88%	4.81	122.21	

(Continued)

**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
200	2.11%	0	7.93%	2.21%	4.83	124.93	
201	-0.54%	0	-0.39%	-0.44%	4.82	124.39	
202	-0.63%	0	-0.41%	-0.53%	4.82	123.73	
203	0.31%	0	0.77%	0.41%	4.82	124.24	
204	-0.19%	0	-5.61%	-0.09%	4.82	124.14	
205	-0.26%	0	-1.61%	-0.16%	4.82	123.94	
206	-0.76%	1	-4.58%	-5.24%	4.77	117.61	117.6123
207	1.09%	0	3.25%	1.19%	4.78	119.02	
208	0.75%	0	9.19%	0.85%	4.79	120.03	
209	-0.36%	0	5.35%	-0.26%	4.79	119.72	
210	-0.37%	0	1.00%	-0.27%	4.78	119.40	
211	-0.21%	0	-3.45%	-0.11%	4.78	119.26	
212	2.27%	0	0.03%	2.37%	4.80	122.12	
213	-1.39%	0	7.67%	-1.29%	4.79	120.56	
214	0.10%	0	-0.21%	0.20%	4.79	120.79	
215	0.60%	0	7.84%	0.70%	4.80	121.65	
216	0.83%	0	7.64%	0.93%	4.81	122.78	
217	-0.01%	1	-0.64%	-0.55%	4.80	122.10	122.1049
218	-0.50%	0	6.48%	-0.40%	4.80	121.61	
219	-1.98%	0	-0.01%	-1.88%	4.78	119.35	
220	-1.86%	0	-3.40%	-1.76%	4.76	117.27	
221	-1.86%	0	0.14%	-1.76%	4.75	115.23	
222	0.12%	0	0.23%	0.22%	4.75	115.48	
223	1.42%	0	-3.16%	1.52%	4.76	117.24	
224	-0.48%	0	6.99%	-0.38%	4.76	116.80	

(Continued)



**Table2. (Continued)**

Time Period t	Diffusion $\epsilon$	Jump?	Jump Value u	log(Return)	log(Price)	Price	Jump
225	0.78%	0	-0.29%	0.88%	4.77	117.83	
226	0.59%	0	3.70%	0.69%	4.78	118.65	
227	-0.13%	0	2.40%	-0.03%	4.78	118.61	
228	0.02%	0	3.80%	0.12%	4.78	118.75	
229	0.04%	0	1.44%	0.14%	4.78	118.91	
230	-0.97%	0	-0.17%	-0.87%	4.77	117.88	
231	0.03%	0	6.92%	0.13%	4.77	118.03	
232	0.24%	0	2.44%	0.34%	4.77	118.44	
233	-0.27%	0	1.36%	-0.17%	4.77	118.24	
234	1.37%	1	2.11%	3.58%	4.81	122.55	122.5533
235	-0.43%	0	2.58%	-0.33%	4.81	122.15	
236	1.68%	0	2.32%	1.78%	4.82	124.34	
237	1.68%	0	5.75%	1.78%	4.84	126.58	

We then enter our parameters in the excel sheet to calculate the path of the stock prices. We then click on the recalculate button to generate a new path.

## 6. Conclusion

This paper develops and tests sufficient conditions for a model when stock returns follow a jump-diffusion process. Based on daily stock price data, our results are that the stock market returns contain a jump component, although its magnitude is small. We measure the jump component over larger intervals in time (monthly interval) and find that the weekends and holidays tend to cover up the small jump component. The economic intuition is that jump risk is not diversifiable.

### Supplementary material

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed [here](#).

### Acknowledgements

We thank the editor and an anonymous referee for comments that substantially improved the paper. We greatly appreciate the generous financial assistance of Francis and Mercy Dzanya throughout the research process. We also thank seminar and conference participants at the 27th Southern Africa Finance Association Annual Conference University of Cape Town and Actuarial and Financial Mathematics Conference, Brussels, Belgium for helpful comments and discussions. This paper benefited from financial support from the Graduate School of Business, Midlands State University. Further thanks to all institutions the author was affiliated with while working on this project: Midlands State University, Ghent University, Confederation of Zimbabwe Industries and University of Cape Town. All remaining errors are mine alone.

### Funding

This work was supported by Francis and Mercy Dzanya [001].

### Author details

Nixon S. Chekenya  
E-mail: [chekenyan@gmail.com](mailto:chekenyan@gmail.com)  
Midland State University, Gweru, Zimbabwe.

### Citation information

Cite this article as: Maximum likelihood estimation of stock volatility using jump-diffusion models, Nixon S. Chekenya, *Cogent Economics & Finance* (2019), 7: 1582318.

### Notes

1. The random variable  $\tau_j$  must satisfy certain technical requirements in order that a solution to (1) exists. (See Benaim & Friz, 2009; Johannes, Eraker, & Polson, 2000; Kushner, 1967; Jarrow & Rosenfeld, 1984).
2. To follow the general literature of jump-diffusion modeling, our variable is assumed to be an independent and identically distributed random variable. In this paper, we characterize stock returns as i.i.d in order to estimate jumps in stocks without violating the assumption of i.i.d and randomness in the variable (see, for example, Andersen, Bollerslev, & Dobrev, 2007; Camara & Li, 2008; Jiang, 1999).
3. The likelihood ratio statistic “A” is a Chi-Square distribution with 3 degrees of freedom.
4. We conjecture that stock prices are normally dictated by a Brownian drift.

### References

Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T., & Dobrev, D. (2007). No-arbitrage semi-martingale restrictions for continuous-time volatility models subject to leverage effects, jumps and

iid noise: Theory and testable distributional implications. *Journal of Econometrics*, 138(1), 125–180. doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2006.05.018

Benaim, S., & Friz, P. (2009). Regular variation and smile asymptotics. *Mathematical Finance: an International Journal of Mathematics, Statistics and Financial Economics*, 19(1), 1–12. doi:10.1111/mafi.2008.19.issue-1

Bollerslev, T., Todorov, V., & Li, S. Z. (2013). Jump tails, extreme dependencies, and the distribution of stock returns. *Journal of Econometrics*, 172(2), 307–324. doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2012.08.014

Camara, A., & Li, W. (2008). *Jump-diffusion option pricing without IID jumps*. SSRN.

Campbell, J. Y., Giglio, S., Polk, C., & Turley, R. (2018). An intertemporal CAPM with stochastic volatility. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 128(2), 207–233. doi:10.1016/j.jfineco.2018.02.011

Cremers, M., Halling, M., & Weinbaum, D. (2015). Aggregate jump and volatility risk in the cross-section of stock returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 70(2), 577–614. doi:10.1111/jofi.12220

Eraker, B. (2004). Do stock prices and volatility jump? Reconciling evidence from spot and option prices. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(3), 1367–1403.

Fama, E. F. (1970). Multiperiod consumption-investment decisions. *American Economic Review*, 60(3), 163–174.

Fama, E. F. (1973). A note on the market model and the two-parameter model. *Journal of Finance*, 48, 1181–1185. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6261.1973.tb01449.x

Hanson, F. B., Westman, J. J., & Zhu, Z. (2004). Market parameters for stock jump-diffusion models. In *Mathematics of Finance: Proceedings of an AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference on Mathematics of Finance*, June 22–26, Snowbird, Utah (Vol. 351, p. 155). American Mathematical Soc. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp041956

Jarrow, R. A., & Rosenfeld, E. R. (1984). Jump risks and the intertemporal capital asset pricing model. *Journal of Business*, 57(3), 337–351.

Jiang, G. J. (1999). Stochastic volatility and jump-diffusion — Implications on option pricing. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 2(04), 409–440. doi:10.1142/S0219024999000212

Johannes, M. S., Eraker, B., & Polson, N. (2000). *The impact of jumps in volatility and returns*. SSRN.

Jorion, P. (1989). Asset allocation with hedged and unhedged foreign stocks and bonds. *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 15(4), 49.

Kushner, H. J. (1967). *Stochastic stability and control*. New York, NY: Academic Press.

Maheu, J. M., & McCurdy, T. H. (2004). News arrival, jump dynamics, and volatility components for individual stock returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(2), 755–793. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6261.2004.00648.x

Merton, R. C. (1973). An intertemporal capital asset pricing model. *Econometrica*, 41(5), 83. doi:10.2307/1913811

- Merton, R. C. (1975). Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in a continuous-time model. In *Stochastic Optimization Models in Finance* (pp. 621–661). Academic Press.
- Merton, R. C. (1976). Option pricing when underlying stock returns are discontinuous. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3, 125–144. doi:10.1016/0304-405X(76)90022-2
- Ross, S. A. (1976). Options and efficiency. *The Quarterly Journal Of Economics*, 90(1), 75–89.
- Trautmann, S., & Beinert, M. (1995). *Stock price jumps and their impact on option valuation*. Lehrstuhl f. Finanzwirtschaft, Univ. Mainz. SSRN.
- Yan, S. (2011). Jump risk, stock returns, and slope of implied volatility smile. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 99(1), 216–233. doi:10.1016/j.jfineco.2010.08.011



© 2019 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format.

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

No additional restrictions

You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.



***Cogent Economics & Finance* (ISSN: 2332-2039) is published by Cogent OA, part of Taylor & Francis Group.**

**Publishing with Cogent OA ensures:**

- Immediate, universal access to your article on publication
- High visibility and discoverability via the Cogent OA website as well as Taylor & Francis Online
- Download and citation statistics for your article
- Rapid online publication
- Input from, and dialog with, expert editors and editorial boards
- Retention of full copyright of your article
- Guaranteed legacy preservation of your article
- Discounts and waivers for authors in developing regions

**Submit your manuscript to a Cogent OA journal at [www.CogentOA.com](http://www.CogentOA.com)**

