

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Alrabiah, Abdulrahman

Article

Optimal regulation of banking system's advanced credit risk management by unified computational representation of business processes across the entire banking system

Cogent Economics & Finance

Provided in Cooperation with:

Taylor & Francis Group

Suggested Citation: Alrabiah, Abdulrahman (2018) : Optimal regulation of banking system's advanced credit risk management by unified computational representation of business pro- cesses across the entire banking system, Cogent Economics & Finance, ISSN 2332-2039, Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, Vol. 6, Iss. 1, pp. 1-23, https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2018.1486685

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/245146

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.









Cogent Economics & Finance

ISSN: (Print) 2332-2039 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/oaef20

Optimal regulation of banking system's advanced credit risk management by unified computational representation of business processes across the entire banking system

Abdulrahman Alrabiah |

To cite this article: Abdulrahman Alrabiah | (2018) Optimal regulation of banking system's advanced credit risk management by unified computational representation of business processes across the entire banking system, Cogent Economics & Finance, 6:1, 1486685, DOI: 10.1080/23322039.2018.1486685

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2018.1486685

9	© 2018 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.	Published online: 16 Aug 2018.
	Submit your article to this journal 🛽 🖉	Article views: 1426
ď	View related articles 🗷	View Crossmark data 🗹
ආ	Citing articles: 3 View citing articles 🗹	



FINANCIAL ECONOMICS | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Optimal regulation of banking system's advanced credit risk management by unified computational representation of business processes across the entire banking system

Abdulrahman Alrabiah

Cogent Economics & Finance (2018), 6: 1486685









Received: 27 June 2017 Accepted: 31 May 2018 First Published: 19 July 2018

*Corresponding author: Abdulrahman Alrabiah, School of Information and Communication Technology, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia E-mail: abdul.alrabiah@griffithuni. edu.au

Reviewing editor: Mohammed M Elgammal, Finance and Economics, University of Qatar, QATAR

Additional information is available at the end of the article

FINANCIAL ECONOMICS | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Optimal regulation of banking system's advanced credit risk management by unified computational representation of business processes across the entire banking system

Abdulrahman Alrabiah¹*

Abstract: The impetus for this paper came after the financial crisis of 2007–2008, its global consequences and specifically how incomplete information "information asymmetry" between local banks and regulators extremely affected the banking sector. Financial institutions and regulators are—from a technical point of view-not yet fully integrated and standardised. The inaccuracy in banks' data and the long (quarterly) intervals between reports to the regulators leads to delayed interventions by local supervisory regulators. Most regional banks use an internal ratingsbased approach (IRB) that allows them to use their own methods to calculate credit risks, which makes it difficult for regulators to verify and validate the banks' data without a standardised procedure and the benefit of fully automated connectivity for the regulatory reporting system through sophisticated IT tools. The importance of this issue, for the central banks, motivates the researcher to investigate and seek technology solutions in the interests of maximising the technical efficiency of the regulatory banking system. This paper is focused on the banking regulatory reporting system that uses IRB approach to evaluate credit risk. Due to the importance and the sensitivity of IRB approach on the banking credit risk assessments, a case study is examined and a tailored regulatory reporting system framework is proposed. The proposed framework integrates a private cloud computing network with standardised, automated and integrated features that would provide regulators and practitioners with a new method to enhance the regulatory reporting system.



Abdulrahman Alrabiah





ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Abdulrahman Alrabiah is a PhD candidate in

Information and Communication Technology

School at Griffith University in Australia. He holds

an MSc in Business Process Management from

QUT and a B. Eng. in Industrial Electronics from

KSA. His research interests are business process

management, business process change, regula-

tory policy implementation including computa-

tional models and governance frameworks.

© 2018 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

This research paper investigates the shortcomings in the regulatory reporting system between local banks and regulators, with the impetus being the global financial crisis of 2007–2008. The paper proposes a framework solution that uses an elastic banking assessment tool to evaluate credit risk, integrating a private cloud computing network with automated features. The solution reinforces the regulator's integrity and jurisdiction system with a consolidated platform suitable for all local banks. In short, this paper provides insights into one of the critical issues that led to the financial crisis and explains how it can be tackled. Subjects: Systems Integration; Economics; Finance; Business and Industry; Corporate Finance; Banking

Keywords: Advanced credit risk management; internal ratings-based; business process; business rules; bank management; process integration; regulatory reporting system

1. Introduction

The last financial crisis (2007-2008), which overwhelmed the entire world, completely changed the mindset of the regulators (Central Banks). This shift in mindset is mainly attributed to the intensity of the crisis, its great momentum from one country to another, and the ill-timed information acquired by the regulators regarding the health of the banks, as measured in terms of the three pillars of the Basel II accord: minimum capital requirements, supervisory review and market discipline. These impediments mainly arose because the regulators did not have the right information at the right time "information asymmetry" to optimise proactive intervention and deter the impact of the financial crisis. This was attributed to the bank regulator reporting period, which was mainly on a guarterly basis; the business processes of both the banks and the regulators were hardwired for this type of information exchange model. As such, even when regulators made a vain attempt to change the information exchange model, it was impeded by the disintegration of the business processes of both parties. To address this phenomenon, this paper firstly examined and evaluated the opinions of academics and experts by reviewing some of the major elements of internal ratings-based approach (IRB) data quality and timeliness that might restrict the realisation of Basel II/III. Such challenges include obstacles, impacts, efficiencies and integrations. Secondly, the business process value chain that includes bank procedures approval and the regulatory reporting system was redesigned as a to-be model and evaluated and compared with a new system that offers solutions for the existing system. Finally, the researcher proposed a full automation and integration of regulatory business processes between the Central Bank (SAMA, Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency) and the banks in Saudi Arabia, including framework and business rules systems.

The advanced credit risk management is an important issue for the central banks, because of the current use of credit risk approaches (standardised and foundation) are incapable to reflect impact on locals and banks' growth which motivates the researcher to investigate and seek technical solutions in the interests of maximising the technical efficiency of the banking system. Further, offering innovative banking products would support entrepreneurial activities, help firms to grow and provide a more sustainable economy.

1.1 Paper focus

To examine the situation of the regulatory reporting system, this paper concentrates on the following aspects:

- review literature on the financial crisis (2007–2008), the Basel II, III regulations;
- review factors impeding the implementation of Basel II and III;
- evaluate the current system and the proposed system in two workshops;
- a framework solution that integrates a private cloud computing network with automated and integrated features.

2. Literature review

The Basel II accord (set of standards), announced in 2004, is aimed at managing banking supervision and the regulatory framework released by BCBS (Basel Committee on Banking Supervision) as an international banking standard (BCBS, 2006). This framework improved upon and replaced Basel I, providing standards to help regulate banks' capital adequacy supervision and to minimise risk failures in banking and financial institutions (Ozun, 2007). Many firms and regulators were struggling and in decline during the last financial crisis, primarily due to failure to comply with standardisations (Demirguc-Kunt, Detragiache, & Merrouche, 2013; Narain, Ötker, & Pazarbasioglu, 2012). This paper will focus on regulatory reporting mechanisms in relation to data quality and timeliness.

The last financial crisis disclosed various frailties in the banking regulation supervisory system (IMF, 2013a). It raised many important challenges, such as accuracy, data quality, validation, consistency and timeliness in fulfilling Basel II/III regulators' requirements (Rattaggi, 2017; Tarca & Rutkowski, 2016). These challenges, combined with other factors, resulted in a massive impact on the regulation system as well as the worldwide economy (Chen, Ribeiro, & Chen, 2016; Large, 2015). In this literature review, the paper will explore some relevant issues and elucidate this picture.

2.1. The financial crisis (2007-2008) and banks regulation

The last financial crisis originated from the US subprime mortgage market, when the Federal Reserve lowered the interest rate to supplement the economy to help it recover from recession after the terror attacks in 2001. Household lending for the subprime mortgage market was opened virtually without limitation, driving up house prices, fuelling credit growth and thereby igniting the crisis (Joseph, 2013). According to Naudé (2009), about US\$1.3 trillion was loaned in subprime mortgages in a short period. Subsequently, home owners unable to repay, house prices plummeted, banks left with worthless properties, investors lost faith: liquidity crisis. The fast-growing economy and incentive salaries led executives to ignore the warning signals, as stated by Citigroup Chairman, Chuck Prince, after the crisis. Large (2015) claimed that many executives were just enjoying the show. Thus, the spark started with the mortgages, then spread to credit and local and interbank stock markets; the exposure to interbank loans worsened the case globally. Consequently, the global financial market rapidly spread the fear, causing recession and shrinking investments (Frankel & Saravelos, 2010; Joseph, 2013; Levine, 2012). Naudé (2009) summarised the reasons for the crisis as "easy credit, bad loans, weak regulation and supervision of complex financial instruments, debt defaulting, insolvency of key financial institutions, a loss of credibility and trust, and financial panic and mass selling-off of stocks and a hoarding of cash by banks and individuals". Hildebrand (2008) pointed out that using leverage excessively amplified the shock to the financial system and was the main participator in the last financial crisis. Therefore, it is necessary to revise leverage policies, reevaluate risk-weighted capital requirements and protect banks with a minimum capital buffer. Frankel and Saravelos (2010) examined and concluded in a valuable paper the most significant factors linked to the crisis. The global reserves and the overestimation of the actual exchange rate are recognised as the obvious indicators of the latest financial crisis, among other factors that have not yet been proved (Rey, 2015; Terazi & SEnel, 2011). In fact, the disaster appears to have affected all economic sectors due to inaccurate and backward information "information asymmetry" and lack of integration and standardisation among the banking systems worldwide (Boyle & MacCarthaigh, 2011; Resti, 2016a). According to Narain et al. (2012) the majority of big financial firms failed to ingest the impact and that due to regulators' support and tolerance, miscalculate of capital ratio, hesitate to send negative signs to the markets and wait for the regulator to inject money to the banking sector to recover the losses in a later stage.

The paper points out the impact on banking sectors and where the defects and the solutions should be. Shedding light on the problems, from a technical viewpoint, will give us the opportunity to control and avoid similar situations in the future. Since the crisis arose, banking sectors worldwide, from large to small banks, have been affected in various ways (Bekaert, Ehrmann, Fratzscher, & Mehl, 2014). Loan payments, SME and employment were deeply affected by banking investment during the crisis (Ivashina & Scharfstein, 2010). The bankruptcy of big names and interdependent global markets is shrinking the economy with low cash flow (Demirguc-Kunt et al., 2013), thus corporate loans for firms are heavily damaged and fall back more than those for households, where the problem originated, which indicates the dimension of the crisis and further aggravation to the economy (Cull & Peria, 2013). Therefore, asset quality and less corporate income will decrease bank capital (Resti, 2016a). The

impact of the financial crisis went beyond loans and credits to loss of confidence in the worldwide market (Coglianese, 2012; Large, 2015; Maurer, Escaith, & Auboin, 2010).

2.2. Internal ratings-based approach challenges

The new IRB approach in accord with the Basel Committee's purposes and achieves individual banks' and supervisors' requirements and aims, in terms of credit risk, to high-quality profiles and lower capital charges (Joseph, 2013; Saidenberg & Schuermann, 2003). The Committee designed IRB approach to expand banks' capabilities of credit risk management and to measure capital requirements (Alexander, 2012). The approach is subject to minimum conditions and need supervisory approval to quantify risk components to calculate capital requirements (BCBS, 2006). The IRB framework includes three key elements: risk components, risk-weight functions and minimum requirements. The risk components have four key parameters to measure credit risks: PD, the Probability of Default of a borrower in one year; LGD, the Loss Given Default to measure exposure at default (percentage); EAD, Exposure at Default (amount); and M, Maturity (Saidenberg & Schuermann, 2003; Tarca & Rutkowski, 2016). The IRB approach proposed to cover a wider range of borrowers in high assessment quality (EBA, 2016). The Committee expanded the credit risk to "Foundation" and "Advanced" approaches to encourage banks to continuously improve their risk management and measurement capacities (BCBS, 2006; Khlifa, 2017). However, individual financial institutions using the foundation-internal ratings-based (F-IRB) or the advanced internal ratings-based (A-IRB) model can decide which methods to apply to calculate the credit risk, and which gives faulty data and increases variability among the banks (Amorello, 2016; Blundell-Wignall & Atkinson, 2010; Khlifa, 2017). Thus, with the absence of a holistic approach to validate and verify banks data, as consequence, this gave the banks the chance to manipulate by using this defect to minimise their capital requirements. (Mariathasan & Merrouche, 2014). As a result, regulators were conned and the data were published as real information, deceiving the public users. In fact, regulatory systems in most countries all over the world were not sufficiently controlled to act equivalently in a heterogeneous banking environment and handle their supervision policies (Alessandrini, Fratianni, Papi, & Zazzaro, 2016; FSB, 2017; Resti, 2016b). Inadequacies in the international regulatory framework and the lack of standardisations led to the rapid propagation and development of a cross-border crisis (Avadi, Ferri, & Pesic, 2016). In addition, there was an intensive growth in "shadow banking systems" just before the crisis, which presented more competitiveness to improve investments without monitoring or control from any government agency (FSB, 2017; IMF, 2017; United Nations, 2010). As a result, the effects of the crisis were felt worldwide and resulted in bankruptcy, recession and loss of trust.

Thus, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision responded to the financial crisis by presenting key measurements to strengthen the regulation, supervision and risk management of the banking sector (BIS, 2010):

- Raise the quality, consistency and transparency of the Tier 1 capital base.
- Introduce a leverage ratio as a supplementary measure to the Basel II risk-based framework with a view to migrating to a Pillar 1 treatment based on appropriate review and calibration.
- Introduce a minimum global standard for funding liquidity that includes a stressed liquidity coverage ratio requirement, underpinned by a longer term structural liquidity ratio.
- Introduce a framework for countercyclical capital buffers above the minimum requirement and review an appropriate set of indicators, such as earnings and credit-based variables.
- Issue recommendations to reduce the systemic risk associated with the resolution of crossborder banks.

However, Amorello (2016); Mariathasan and Merrouche (2014) argued that due to the complex requirements, Basel III created more problems instead of solving banks' misuses of IRB approaches. Therefore, financial institutions anticipated this rally by using the (A-IRB) approach

excessively to reserve more liquidity without evaluating the risk and preparing for precaution arrangements.

The assessment of credit risk components, that is the Probability of Default, the Loss Given Default, Exposure at Default usually depends on the attributes of each situation which make the validation of banks A-IRB models more complicated with the current regulators' techniques (Engelmann & Rauhmeier, 2014; Miu & Ozdemir, 2017; Moges, Dejaeger, Lemahieu, & Baesens, 2013). Further, the A-IRB approach still faces challenges to comply with the international standards (Basel) and regulators' requirements (FSB, 2017). The regulators' validation processes undergo multi-layered validations, that is, initial validation, stress testing, on reporting model verification "component" and banking system-level validation (Engelmann & Rauhmeier, 2014; Kiff, Nowak, & Schumacher, 2012; Miu & Ozdemir, 2017). However, these steps are currently executed manually or partially disregarded due to the difficulty in obtaining the resources or adopting innovative technologies. Thus, the proposed framework optimally can help to automate the entire regulatory value chain that is required to implement the A-IRB approach.

2.3. Saudi Arabian banks and regulatory reporting problems

Currently, many supervisory(s) and banking regulatory reporters are non-integrated, which clearly identifies one of the causes behind the crisis (Boyle & MacCarthaigh, 2011; Petacchi, 2015). SAMA, Saudi Arabian Supervisory, and local banks are examples of non-integrated business processes within the regulatory reporting system where most of the transmissions, preparations and communications of regulatory reporting are lacking integration and standardisation (IMF, 2013b). Usually, acquiring banking information requires a great deal of time to be submitted to the supervisory regulator, thus delaying decision makers' ability to intervene to prevent worsening of individual cases (Khlifa, 2017). One reason for this is the lack of a common network and standardised format that offers exchange data and instant pull-push information or a standard framework for all banks to automate systems that can offer simultaneous access of information by both sides for interaction and updating of data (Kern, 2012). On the other hand, regulatory reporting system faces intra-organisational challenges that immobilise and prevent improvements to the system (Large, 2015). The different perspectives of each department involve the completion of tasks, and the absence of procedures and roles impels the overlap of competencies and responsibilities, which are factors in loss of professionalism in improving regulatory reporting system (Central Bank of Ireland, 2017; Papazoglou, Traverso, Dustdar, & Leymann, 2007). Another issue is the loss of productivity due to employees with insufficient skills being in responsible positions in organisational departments. For instance, in SAMA, our case study, the regulatory reporting system are monitored by different departments, that is Banking Supervision, Banking Policy, Monetary Policy and Financial Stability and the Information Technology, which usually results in interference and poor use of employees' expertise, leading to inefficient processing of daily tasks.

The advanced credit risk approaches and practices in the banking systems have great demands worldwide (Miu & Ozdemir, 2017), especially in Saudi Arabia (Ramady, 2015). Therefore, banks are under pressure to offer innovative products to their customers to capture the demands and stimulate the economic growth while complying with regulators' constraints (Ramady, 2015; Sagraves & Connors, 2017; Scannella, 2013). Currently, A-IRB practices aren't fully auto-validated nor real-time monitored via the regulatory verification processes (Engelmann & Rauhmeier, 2014). Therefore, regulators need to use advanced computing features to securely enable the banks to the use A-IRB approach.

The regulatory reporting system in SAMA is still not optimum and needs a technology solution to standardise and integrate all the required regulatory information in a central platform (Kern, 2012; Ramady, 2015). The regulatory reporting issues summarised in incomplete information *"Asymmetric information"*, long analysis and validation process, delay in action and intervention, manual process coordination and others. The proposed framework it would help all the involved

departments to view, validate, verify and share banks' reporting data internally and then compute and execute its policies externally to the local banks.

2.4. Factors affecting accuracy

The conflict of interest between local banks and the regulators is a common challenge in relation to statistical measurement in credit risk and adequate capital (BCBS, 2006; IMF, 2017). Specifically, prejudices and overstated calculations by financial institutions to minimise credit risk affect the reporting system (Amorello, 2016; Joseph, 2013). The last financial crisis reflected how financial institutions in the US behaved to attract borrowers, which finally led to the crisis. When corporations did not grasp the escalation in the market and tried to aggregate asset ratios and minimise risks, it created deceptive information and misperceptions (IMF, 2009). When banks intend to adjust the capital ratio (tier1 \ge 6%) to be in the range of 6% to gain more liquidity, this behaviour affects the regulators' accuracy and surely reduces the quality of decision making in financial organisations (Tarca & Rutkowski, 2016).

Cadiou and Mars (2009); Resti (2016a) stated that allocating independent and competent people to validate the information was an important issue in completing the regulatory requirements of the authority. Particularly, allocating tasks to the appropriate staff was the solution to facilitating the work. Murphy and Westwood (2010) studied the last financial crisis and suggested that combined comprehensive information transmitted to the regulators and supported by a sophisticated system would be beneficial in mitigating the consequences of an economic disaster. SAS (2016) highlights that the most challenging factor in improving the reporting system would be placing standardisation first and then enhancing the implementation of technology to assist in the distribution of reports. A case study done by Fujitsu (n.d.) on the Bank of Spain indicates that using designated tools, such as XBRL or Web services, would accelerate the process of communicating to regulators and other financial institutions. However, regulators struggle to validate banks' regulatory reporting and credit risk data due to heterogeneous banking environment, such having different objectives, strategies and systems (FSB, 2017).

2.5. Factors affecting timeliness

Consolidating and integrating the information throughout the system reduces the interruption of the processes and effectively validates the data (Murphy & Westwood, 2010; Resti, 2016a). The Bank of England (2014) considers:

"Other things being equal, the sooner the data are published the more valuable for users, and in this sense, timeliness is a simple indicator of data quality. However, there will be a trade-off between the benefits of greater timeliness for users and of more time for reporters and compilers to ensure fuller coverage and more thorough plausibility checking".

The key factors to produce timely high-quality reports are through fixed interval reporting that interacts globally with different institutions and regulators and smooths the progress of knowledge transfer throughout the organisation (Large, 2015; Mohammad, 2007). Use of developed technology, such as XBRL, Web services and innovative technology, is a good solution to support the reporting process and reserve resources (Kotamarthi, Wang, Grossmann, Sheng, & Indrakanti, 2015; PWC, n.d.; Rattaggi, 2017). In addition, re-engineering the processes by changing the static forms and manual processes to offer dynamic applications that ensure proactive analysis and can accelerate the transmission of the report is recommended (Kotamarthi et al., 2015). According to IFC (2010), technology plays an important role in improving financial services, monitoring, standardisation, integration and more. However, if people use only technology to accommodate information then the information will be corrupted: standardised multipurpose software is the answer for a regulatory reporting system.

2.6. Using BPM techniques in Basel II/III

During the financial crisis, many of the banks' reporting regulatory systems provided inaccurate and *"asymmetric information"*; as well, they were unable to provide timely information due to lack of

appropriate mechanisms (Boyle & MacCarthaigh, 2011; Large, 2015; Petacchi, 2015; Resti, 2016a). Therefore, regulators and supervisors were not able to intervene and share the same information to respond to the crisis (Rattaggi, 2017). As a contemporary tool, business process management (BPM) can solve some of the problems experienced in banks' reporting system and enhance automated processes to efficiently comply with Basel II/III regulatory policies and prevent any new crises that might occur. In fact, the business process approach can measure and distinguish the performances of the available processes, which provide management with a best practice tool to evaluate outcomes.

The BPM lifecycle offers process identification, modelling, analysis, improvement, implementation, execution and monitoring/controlling (Brocke & Rosemann, 2014). The BPM approach helps organisations to build and choose their organisational strategy, manage processes and continually improve in a contemporary and effective way (Dumas, La Rosa, Mendling, & Reijers, 2013). The phases improve the automation of regulatory reporting system in such a way that enhances the accuracy of the quality of the information that will be delivered. For example, during the analysis stage, firms can identify the best and most efficient processes and eliminate any waste (Van Der Aalst, 2013). Even during the implementation and execution stages, it is still easy to redesign and evaluate the entire process, which gives the organisation full control to include or omit processes at any time. Another important feature is the flexibility and dynamics of the business process framework, which offers the ability to work on or change any phase without affecting the other processes (Harmon, 2015). The main problem between regulators (supervisors) and regulatory reporters is that the traditional methods for transmission of data are disintegrated and inefficient in accommodating the reports (Barth, Caprio, & Levine, 2012). The lack of fully automated platforms to handle the transmission of information leads to inaccuracy and delay (EBA, 2016; Wharmby, 2001). Therefore, proposing a sufficient methodology or framework to integrate the two parties is important in preventing any misunderstandings or faulty interpretation of the data and, at the same time, to consolidate all reporters into one system.

Banks provide services to their customers on business-to-business networks, which is known as e-business in the public sector (Turban et al., 2018). On the other hand, regulators use private internal networks for their internal banking services to ensure efficient management and control of the economy, such as placing and updating rules and monitoring the business activities contained in e-business; this is known as e-government (Bessis, 2015). The merging of these two frameworks to automate and improve the regulatory reporting system would be beneficial for both regulators and banks.

2.7. Business rule engine solution

Business Rule Engines (BRE) are the pluggable software components that execute business rules that have been externalised from application code as part of a business rules approach (Kotamarthi et al., 2015). This externalisation of business rules allows business users to modify the rules frequently without IT intervention (Kholkar, Sunkle, & Kulkarni, 2017; Sadiq & Governatori, 2015). Therefore, BRE can play a key role in controlling and managing bank data, and verifying and validating in a multiple data model fully integrated with the bank's system (Gong & Janssen, 2013). Business rule engines can be applied specifically in the banking/financial sector for applications such as Basel II/III credit risk management (Schumm, Leymann, Ma, Scheibler, & Strauch, 2010). Any bank or financial institution can model its business rules based on Basel II credit risk management policies and processes. Business rules can be designed to define probabilities of default, credit rating, loss given default and internal credit history (Weigand, van den Heuvel, & Hiel, 2011). Credit risk business rules can further be enhanced using neutral network and artificial intelligence authoring techniques, thus guaranteeing accurate business rules and the identification of the best possible decisions with total compliance to Basel II principles (Rattaggi, 2017; Spies, 2010). BRE's robust architecture would allow banks to do credit risk calculations on the customer's native data, consolidation of data from multiple data models and categorisation of data by prescribed Basel II

categories. This architecture would be easy to integrate with the current legacy banking systems, thus enabling efficiency in operation.

2.8. Enterprise service bus role in regulatory systems

As the focus of this paper is to identify solutions and technologies for improving the banking regulatory reporting system, the enterprise service bus (ESB) features are worthy of mention. The ESB is a middle-ware infrastructure product that works as an abstraction layer to facilitate communications between services and help to reduce complex IT infrastructures in an organisation (Davidsen, 2007; Keen et al., 2004). It has the flexibility and functionality to be integrated into multiple areas, such as architecture, connection, mediation, orchestration and change and control (Chappell, 2004). The open-source supports web service communication types including Services Business Process Execution Language (BPEL) to process orchestration and other multi protocols (Sarkar, 2015). In addition, ESB can serve as integrated routing for messaging secure content, work as an adapter for applications and control process execution (Menge, 2007). ESB facilitates the connectivity and interface that is required for the regulatory reporting system to link applications or services and enable faster transmission of the data (Sarkar, 2015).

3. Research methodology

The research has conducted mixed methods of data collection to explore and identify the issues in the regulatory reporting system between SAMA and the local banks. The methods consist of three instruments: surveys, interviews and workshops. The surveys involve all local banks and SAMA's departments, i.e. Banking Supervision, Banking Policy, Monetary Policy and Financial Stability and Information Technology. The interview phase aimed to justify and confirm the answers from the surveys. Since Basel II was introduced in 2006, continuous amendments have been made by the Basel Committee to meet dynamic changes in the banking sector that need innovative products to capture the market trends, boost profits and satisfy customers. These constraints led SAMA to rely on experts and consulting firms due to the absence of documenting credit risk issues and solutions to capture these changes and comply with Basel regulations. The workshop evaluation technique was designed to choose participants, from banks and different departments in SAMA, who have been dealing closely with the regulatory system. Therefore, the selected people had practiced in this field for more than ten years. The selected people are categorised as department managers, senior staff, analysts and consultants. The two workshops' activities consisted of evaluating the current system and the proposed solutions. Fifteen criteria (see Appendix 7.2) were extracted and formularised from the surveys and interviews and used to evaluate the proposed solution. The purpose of the evaluation of the current regulatory reporting system and the proposed solution is to measure the outcomes from the suggested solution and to determine whether they meet expectations.

4. Analysis and solutions

The source of problems in the regulatory reporting system is non-value adding activities (waste). Waste stems from activities that are not utilised properly and from process variations that lack control. The sources of waste in the banking systems can be classified and summarised as: 1) data entry operator jobs that due to manual data entry, insufficient knowledge and outliers due to the lack of comparison historic data. 2) organisational and managerial issues on the regulators side and the banks due to lack of activating and auditing the Service Level Agreement, and loss of effective SAMA's staff who are required to travel away to solve banks' problems and cover for shortages. The knowledge gap between seniors, analysts and technical staffs leads to misunderstandings and delays processes, while inappropriate staff structuring leads to loss of skilled staff and increases turnover. The source of variation can be summarised as: absence of sophisticated controls to monitor the auto-validation of the data, high employment turnover affecting performance and productivity, nonexistence of plan and knowledge management procedures, the distribution of roles and responsibilities among different departments resulting in a lack of capacity, less productivity and delayed processes, absence of a fully automated and integrated system that offers standardisation and consolidation for all banks in one platform, and the absence of a rigorous inter- and intra-organisational Service Level Agreement that clearly defines and controls the operation of the business process.

The main constraints that were identified as affecting and aggravating the domain were: firstly, the general business rules validations were incapable of handling all the various rules sufficiently, in particular, the F-IRB and the A-IRB banks' procedures approval process was problematic due to the flexibility that allowed the banks to create their own method of calculating capital requirements, thereby complicating the validations of the process by the supervisory and inspection processes. The second issue was data quality problems, including accuracy, consistency and believability of the information available. Thirdly, there was a lack of integration in the system which delayed the verification and conciliation of banks' data and thus distressed the banking activity. Consequently, to solve the regulatory reporting impediments, the research proposed the regulatory reporting business process value chain outlined in the next section.

4.1. Basel reporting value chain business process

The business process value chain has two phases: The Bank Procedures Approval and the Regulatory Reporting System. The processes of the regulatory reporting system consist of three stages that allow the banks to comply with the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) regulations and SAMA rules for banking operations and reporting data. As the scope of the research project is limited to propose a technical solution to improve the banks' credit risks reporting, the process phases outlined below will deal with the following:



4.2. IRB bank procedures approval (BPA)

This is considered the initial stage for a bank to get approval of a business rule that requires developing a method to calculate the credit risk, using the bank requirements that were elicited from the Bank Procedures Approval Modelling as in Figure 1.

The as to-be process model (Figure 1) illustrates the bank's business rules approval procedures and explains the related process specifications, roles and responsibilities.

4.2.1. Package generation (PG)

The package generation process is the source of the business rules that each bank is obligated to act upon for the regulatory reporting system. Once they decide to implement new business rules or to change an existing one, SAMA initiates the package. The processes involved in the package generation are depicted on the Package Generation Process modelling (See Figure 3).

The as to-be process model (Figure 3) illustrates the package generation and explains process specifications, roles and responsibilities.



Figure 1. Bank's business rules approval procedures.

chain.

4.2.2. Bank package processing (BPP)

This stage allows the bank to process the package by completing the required data and the process steps as in Figure 4.

The as to-be process model (Figure 4) illustrates the bank package processing and explains process specifications, roles and responsibilities.

4.2.3. Evaluation of the current system and the proposed solution

The results of the evaluation of the current system overall were below the acceptable level which was previously set at "manageable = 3". That result was due to the various obstacles and problems that exist in the current system. To examine the results of the evaluation and point out the causes of the impediments in the current system, the researcher studied the answers given for each criterion and explicated the outcomes briefly. The researcher then compared these with the answers given for the evaluation of the proposed solution, with a view to ascertain the best solutions. Figure 5 shows the result of the comparison between the current system and the proposed solution.

4.2.4. Framework architecture of the basel II & III regulatory system

Figure 6 shows the proposed framework solution that extended the model of (Adem, 2010) used in the banking international regulatory system to solve the issues with the Basel II and III Regulatory Reporting System. The model is implemented as follows:

- The architecture framework encompasses the solution components.
- Models two BPM processes engineering for IRB Procedure approval, and Basel Reporting Value Chain to standardise the banks' processes. It also offers agility and improvement to the reporting system.
- Proposes a Data Quality Regulator that can check and validate the accuracy of the data and believability in the new reporting system.





Figure 3. Package generation.

Figure 4. Bank package processing.

Figure 5. Comparison of the current system & the proposed solution.



Figure 6. Framework architecture of the Basel II & III regulatory system, extended from (Adem, 2010).



- Offers Business Rule Engine that facilitates the transmission of the package by allowing the banks to validate their embedded data in the BRE and enables SAMA (Central Bank) to verify the data using the banks' procedures that are stored in the BRE.
- Offers Knowledge Management system that interacts with the Business Process Management System and handles the variations that occur due to the execution of processes by different people. High turnover rate problems are handled by using closed loop organisational automated learning as well as by supporting the banks and SAMA staff with the standardised information.
- Suggests SLA Lifecycle Manager that communicates with the BPM system to enforce the operational policies and to intervene quickly in a case of any anomalies. Ensures that there is a consolidated automated auditing system for all banks on a standardised platform.
- Proposes Enterprise Service Bus solution to enable the banks to enter the initial data from their core IT infrastructure, thereby decreasing data entry errors and decreasing data entry time.

5. Conclusion

The economic crisis of 2007–2008 was an overwhelming catastrophe that led to the revaluation of many financial regulations throughout the world. The Basel II and III regulations are among the most important banking regulations in effect, due to the high number of financial institutions working with Basel II. In fact, most of the literature on credit risk management or on deliberated solutions has come from researchers with an economic background. Although the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision strives to amend and enhance credit risk assessments, the heterogeneity and complexity of the banking environment diminishes their efforts (Amorello, 2016; Resti, 2016b; Scannella, 2013). The literature reviewed here attests to the paucity of innovative technological solutions.

Consequently, there is a demand to implement new credit rating models based on the A-IRB to provide innovative financial products that are constrained by the dynamic economic trends and

growths. Banks need to capture the demand and offer competitive products to satisfy customers and gain profit. Regulators need initially to validate and conduct multiple validations to proof the A-IRB models through the banks' reports. Currently, regulators cannot optimally validate banks' credit risk approaches, and they do not have the resources and time to perform these tasks using their existing operational model. Accordingly, automating the proposed framework will resolve the regulatory bottlenecks, thereby enabling the banks by providing innovative products. Further, these innovative financial products will help to create and develop new businesses and sustain existing businesses.

Hence, the paper proposed an operational framework (Figure 6) with a high degree of process standardisation and integration. The proposed framework consolidates the regulatory reporting system to that effect and provides a standardised and integrated system for all banks to deal efficiently with the heterogeneous banking environment. The researcher found that the reasons for the current problems with timely availability of data (timeliness) were rooted in the concepts of waste, and performance variation. Mainly banks use different credit risk methods (F-IRB and A-IRB) to aggregate data, which complicates the validation of the process by the regulators and probably serves to distort information and affect decision making. Use of insufficient resources, involvement of different departments, inexperience, staff turnover and lack of automated systems are examples of these problems. Furthermore, the inaccuracy of the available data mostly stems from human mistakes and the absence of standardised and automated auditing systems that verify the data instantly. These defects can be addressed by using the proposed framework to standardise and integrate these processes.

This paper described a single case study to present a technical solution for a regulatory reporting system where standardisation and integration was inefficient (Resti, 2016a). Since many regulators share a similar lack of full standardisation and integration, there is potential to apply the technology solution to a broader sphere.

The proposed framework solution in Figure 6 aims to solve the credit risk problems that are inherent in regulatory reporting systems. By thoroughly investigating the root causes of the sources of performance variation and waste, the proposed Basel II and III Regulatory system model can be extended to accommodate the constraints imposed by other Basel II and III pillars. Strict information security measures should be implemented, entailing authentication, authorisation, non-repudiation, data integrity, privacy and accountability of the regulatory system. These measures will maintain sustainability, creditability and productivity of the system. The proposed solution is tailored for the explored case study, SAMA; therefore, the applicability of this solution awaits further evaluation and testing to narrow the literature gap in this field.

This research paper investigates the shortcomings in the regulatory reporting system between local banks and regulators, with the motive being the global financial crisis of 2007-2008. The paper proposes a framework solution that uses a new banking assessment tool to evaluate credit risk, integrating a private cloud computing network with automated features. The solution strengthens the regulator's integrity and jurisdiction system with a consolidated platform suitable for all local banks. In short, this paper provides insights into one of the critical issues that led to the financial crisis and explains how it can be tackled.

Note

An earlier version of this article was originally published in another journal on 15th March 2016, without peer review, without consent from the authors, and without the completion of an author publishing agreement.

The authors and their institution requested for the article to be taken down. However, the article is still available online.

The full citation of the original publication is: Al Saghier, H, & Alrabiah, A. (2016.) Cross organizational standardized business process integration and its application in Saudi Arabian banking system regulation: A case study of advanced IRB regulation. *Global Journal of Information Technology: Emerging Technologies*, 6(1). doi: 10.18844/gjit.v6i1.388

In 2017 the article was submitted to *Cogent Economics & Finance*. It was then peer reviewed and was accepted for publication on 31st May 2018.

Funding

The author received no direct funding for this research.

Author details

Abdulrahman Alrabiah¹ E-mail: rabiah2@gmail.com

E-mail: abdul.alrabiah@griffithuni.edu.au

School of Information and Communication Technology, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia.

Citation information

Cite this article as: Optimal regulation of banking system's advanced credit risk management by unified computational representation of business processes across the entire banking system, Abdulrahman Alrabiah, *Cogent Economics & Finance* (2018), 6: 1486685.

Cover image

Source: Abdulrahman Alrabiah.

References

- Adem, H. (2010). Ontology driven regulatory interaction protocol and its application in international banking regulation. Riyadh. Retrieved from http://www.sama.gov.sa/ en-US/Pages/default.aspx
- Alessandrini, P., Fratianni, M., Papi, L., & Zazzaro, A. (2016). Banks, regions and development after the crisis and under the new regulatory system. Credit and Capital Markets–Kredit Und Kapital, 49(4), 535– 561. doi:10.3790/ccm.49.4.535
- Alexander, K. (2012). Rebuilding international financial regulation and Basel III. In Recent advances in the analysis of competition policy and regulation (pp. 327-342). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Amorello, L. (2016). Beyond the horizon of banking regulation: What to expect from Basel IV? *Harvard International Law Journal*, 58(3), 3-28.
- Ayadi, R., Ferri, G., & Pesic, V. (2016). Regulatory arbitrage in EU banking: Do business models matter? Montreal: International Research Centre on Cooperative Finance.
- Bank of England. (2014). Data quality framework. Retrieved from http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/sta tistics/Documents/about/dqf.pdf
- Barth, J. R., Caprio, G., & Levine, R. (2012). Guardians of finance: Making regulators work for us (Vol. 1, 1 ed.). Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.
- BCBS. (2006). Basel committee issues guidance on sound credit risk assessment and valuation for loans. Banking & Financial Services Policy Report, 25(8), 11.
- Bekaert, G., Ehrmann, M., Fratzscher, M., & Mehl, A. (2014). The global crisis and equity market contagion. The Journal of Finance, 69(6), 2597–2649. doi:10.1111/ jofi.12203
- Bessis, J. (2015). Risk management in banking. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons.
- BIS. (2010). The Basel Committee's response to the financial crisis: report to the G20. Basel, Switzerland. Retrieved from: http://www.bis.org/ publ/bcbs179.pdf
- Blundell-Wignall, A., & Atkinson, P. (2010). Thinking beyond basel iii. OECD Journal: Financial Market Trends, 2010(1), 9–33.
- Boyle, R., & MacCarthaigh, M. (2011). Fit for purpose? Challenges for Irish public administration and priorities for public service reform. Dublin: Institute of Public Administration.
- Brocke, J., & Rosemann, M. (2014). Handbook on business process management 2: Strategic alignment, governance, people and culture. Verlag GmbH: Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated.
- Cadiou, C., & Mars, M. (2009). Basel II Pillar 3: Challenges for banks. The Journal Global Perspectives on Challenges and Opportunities, 30, 35.
- Central Bank of Ireland. (2017). Ireland: Thematic inspection of regulatory reporting by international banks published. ENP Newswire. Retrieved from

http://link.galegroup.com.libraryproxy.griffith.edu.au/ apps/doc/A489364340/EAIM?u=griffith&sid= EAIM&xid=9b188a7c

- Chappell, D. (2004). *Enterprise service bus*: Theory in practice (1st ed.). Sebastopol: O'Reilly.
- Chen, N., Ribeiro, B., & Chen, A. (2016). Financial credit risk assessment: A recent review. Artificial Intelligence Review, 45(1), 1–23. doi:10.1007/s10462-015-9434-x
- Coglianese, C. (2012). Measuring regulatory performance evaluating the impact of regulation and regulatory policy. OECD Expert Paper No. 1, 1–59.
- Cull, R., & Peria, M. S. M. (2013). Bank ownership and lending patterns during the 2008–2009 financial crisis: Evidence from Latin America and Eastern Europe. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 37(12), 4861–4878. doi:10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.08.017
- Davidsen, L. (2007). Building an ESB without limits. IBM Software Workgroup.
- Demirguc-Kunt, A., Detragiache, E., & Merrouche, O. (2013). Bank capital: Lessons from the financial crisis. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 45(6), 1147–1164. doi:10.1111/jmcb.2013.45.issue-6
- Dumas, M., La Rosa, M., Mendling, J., & Reijers, H. A. (2013). Fundamentals of business process management (Vol. 1). Berlin: Springer.
- EBA. (2016). THE EBA'S REGULATORY REVIEW OF THE IRB APPROACH. Retrieved from https://www.eba.europa. eu/documents/10180/1360107/EBA+Report+on+the +regulatory+review+of+the+IRB+Approach.pdf
- Engelmann, B., & Rauhmeier, R. (2014). The Basel II risk parameters: Estimation, Validation. Stress Testing with Applications to Loan Risk Management. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Frankel, J. A., & Saravelos, G. (2010). Are leading indicators of financial crises useful for assessing country vulnerability? Evidence from the 2008-09 global crisis. Cambridge, MA: National bureau of economic research.
- FSB. (2017). Global Shadow Banking Monitoring Report 2016. May, Basel.
- Fujitsu. (n.d.). Bank of Spain implements Interstage XWand to support a Master Plan for XBRL adoption. Retrieved from https://www.fujitsu.com/global/docu ments/about/resources/case-studies/interstage/ Fujitsu-Interstage-Bank-of-Spain-CS.pdf
- Gong, Y., & Janssen, M. (2013). An interoperable architecture and principles for implementing strategy and policy in operational processes. *Computers in Industry*, 64(8), 912–924. doi:10.1016/j. compind.2013.06.018
- Harmon, P. (2015). The scope and evolution of business process management. In J. v. Brocke & M. Rosemann (Eds.), Handbook on business process management 1 (2nd ed., pp. 37-80). Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer Verlag.
- Hildebrand, P. M. (2008). Is Basel II enough?: The benefits of a leverage ratio. London: Swiss National Bank.
- IFC. (2010). Initiatives to address data gaps revealed by the financial crisis. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Fifth IFC Conference, Basel.
- IMF. (2009). The financial crisis and information gaps. International Monetary Fund. Retrieved from https:// www.imf.org/external/np/g20/pdf/102909.pdf
- IMF. (2013a). The financial crisis and information gaps: Fourth Progress Report on the Implementation of the G-20 Data Gaps Initiative. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund Publication & Financial Stability Board. Retrieved from http://www.imf.org/ external/np/g20/pdf/093013.pdf
- IMF. (2013b). Saudi Arabia: Financial sector assessment program update—detailed assessment of observance

of the basel core principles for effective banking supervision, Washington, DC. (13/213). Retrieved from https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2016/ 12/31/Saudi-Arabia-Financial-Sector-Assessment-Program-Update-Detailed-Assessment-of-Observance-of-40794

- IMF. (2017). Global Financial Stability Report, April 2017: Getting the Policy Mix Right, Washington, DC. Retrieved from http://www.elibrary.imf.org/view/ IMF082/23925-9781475564563/23925-9781475564563/23925-9781475564563.xml
- Ivashina, V., & Scharfstein, D. (2010). Bank lending during the financial crisis of 2008. Journal of Financial Economics, 97(3), 319–338. doi:10.1016/j. jfineco.2009.12.001
- Joseph, C. (2013). Advanced credit risk analysis and management. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons.
- Keen, M., Acharya, A., Bishop, S., Hopkins, A., Milinski, S., Nott, C., ... Verschueren, P. (2004). Patterns: Implementing an SOA using an enterprise service bus. In *IBM Redbooks* (p. 380). Retrieved from https:// www.redbooks.ibm.com/redbooks/pdfs/sg246346. pdf
- Kern, S. (2012). GCC financial markets: Long-term prospects for finance in the Gulf region (pp. 14). Current Issues Global Financial Markets, Deutsche Bank Research.
- Khlifa, S. H. (2017). predicting default risk of SMEs in developing economies: Evidence from Morocco. *Journal of WEI Business and Economics-December*, *6*, 3.
- Kholkar, D., Sunkle, S., & Kulkarni, V. (2017). Towards automated generation of regulation rule bases using MDA. Paper presented at the MODELSWARD.
- Kiff, J., Nowak, S. B., & Schumacher, L. (2012). Are rating agencies powerful? In An investigation into the impact and accuracy of sovereign ratings. IMF Working Paper, 12(23), 35.
- Kotamarthi, K., Wang, X., Grossmann, G., Sheng, Q. Z., & Indrakanti, S. (2015). A framework towards model driven business process compliance and monitoring. Paper presented at the 2015 IEEE 19th International Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Workshop (EDOCW), Adelaide, Australia.
- Large, A. (2015). Financial stability governance today: A job half done: Ongoing question for policymakers. Washington, D.C: Group of Thirty.
- Levine, R. (2012). The governance of financial regulation: Reform lessons from the recent crisis. *International Review of Finance*, 12(1), 39–56. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2443.2011.01133.x
- Mariathasan, M., & Merrouche, O. (2014). The manipulation of basel risk-weights. Journal of Financial Intermediation, 23(3), 300–321. doi:10.1016/j.jfi.2014.04.004
- Maurer, A., Escaith, H., & Auboin, M. (2010). Trade collapse, data gaps and the impact of the financial crisis on official statistics. *IFC Bulletin*, 25, 480.
- Menge, F. (2007). Enterprise service bus. Paper presented at the Free and open source software conference.
- Miu, P., & Ozdemir, B. (2017). Adapting the Basel II advanced internal-ratings-based models for International Financial Reporting Standard 9.
- Moges, H.-T., Dejaeger, K., Lemahieu, W., & Baesens, B. (2013). A multidimensional analysis of data quality for credit risk management: New insights and challenges. *Information & Management*, 50(1), 43–58. doi:10.1016/j.im.2012.10.001
- Mohammad, A. (2007). GDP estimates: Timeliness and acceptability considerations. *Journal of the Department of Statistics*, 1(1), 15–34.

- Murphy, G., & Westwood, R. (2010). Data gaps in the UK financial sector: Some lessons learned from the recent crisis. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the IFC Basel.
- Narain, A., Ötker, M. I., & Pazarbasioglu, C. (2012). Building a more resilient financial sector: Reforms in the wake of the global crisis. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.
- Naudé, W. (2009). The financial crisis of 2008 and the developing countries (No. 2009/01). WIDER Discussion Papers. World Institute for Development Economics (UNU-WIDER).
- Ozun, A. (2007). EFFECTS OF THE BASEL II ACCORD ON THE INTEGRATION PROCESS OF THE EMERGING MARKETS INTO THE EU MARKETS. Banks and Bank Systems, 2(3), 73.
- Papazoglou, M. P., Traverso, P., Dustdar, S., & Leymann, F. (2007). Service-oriented computing: State of the art and research challenges. *Computer*, 40 (11), 38-45. doi:10.1109/MC.2007.400
- Petacchi, R. (2015). Information asymmetry and capital structure: Evidence from regulation FD. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 59(2-3), 143–162. doi:10.1016/j.jacceco.2015.01.002
- PWC. (n.d.). Trusted and Efficient Financial Reporting. Retrieved from https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/xbrl/ assets/pwc trusted efficient financial reporting.pdf
- Ramady, M. A. (2015). Effective regulatory regimes: A comparative analysis of GCC financial regulators. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 23(1), 2–17. doi:10.1108/JFRC-09-2013-0032
- Rattaggi, M. L. (2017). Regulatory reform in banking 10 years after the financial crisis. Journal of Risk Management in Financial Institutions, 10(3), 296–302.
- Resti, A. (2016a). Bank internal ratings: Are capital floors a suitable tool to restore their credibility? *Journal of Financial Management, Markets and Institutions*, 4(2), 179–194.
- Resti, A. (2016b). Banks' internal ratings models-Time for a change? The "system of floors" as proposed by the Basel Committee (978-92-846-0197-4). European Union: European Parliament. Retrieved from http:// www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/IDAN/ 2016/587366/IPOL_IDA(2016)587366_EN.pdf.
- Rey, H. (2015). Dilemma not trilemma: The global financial cycle and monetary policy independence. Cambridge, MA. Retrieved from http://www.nber.org/papers/ w21162
- Sadiq, S., & Governatori, G. (2015). Managing regulatory compliance in business processes. In J. v. Brocke & M. Rosemann (Eds.), Handbook on business process management 2: Strategic alignment, governance, people and culture (2 ed., pp. 159-175). Berlin, Heidelberg.: Springer
- Sagraves, A., & Connors, G. (2017). Capturing the value of data in banking. Applied Marketing Analytics, 2(4), 304–311.
- Saidenberg, M., & Schuermann, T. (2003). The new Basel accord and questions for research. Wharton Financial Institutions Center Working Paper No. 03-14, 35.
- Sarkar, P. (2015). Data as a service: A framework for providing reusable enterprise data services (1st ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- SAS. (2016). Best practices in credit risk management: challenges to and opportunities for rebuilding trust. Retrieved from http://www.sas.com/content/dam/ SAS/en_us/doc/whitepaper1/best-practices-in-creditrisk-management-104180.pdf

- Scannella, E. (2013). Bank lending in project finance: The new regulatory capital framework. International Journal of Economics and Finance, 5(1), 218-227.
- Schumm, D., Leymann, F., Ma, Z., Scheibler, T., & Strauch, S. (2010). Integrating compliance into business processes: Process fragments as reusable compliance controls. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Multikonferenz Wirtschaftsinformatik, MKWI'10, University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spies, M. (2010). An ontology modelling perspective on business reporting. *Information Systems*, 35(4), 404– 416. doi:10.1016/j.is.2008.12.003
- Tarca, S., & Rutkowski, M. (2016). Assessing the Basel II internal ratings-based approach: Empirical evidence from Australia. Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 24(2), 106–139. doi:10.1108/JFRC-05-2015-0024
- Terazi, E., & ŞEnel, S. (2011). The effects of the global financial crisis on the central and eastern European Union countries. *International Journal of Business* and Social Science, 2, 17.

Appendix

(A) Business Process Modelling References

- Turban, E., Outland, J., King, D., Lee, J. K., Liang, T.-P., & Turban, D. C. (2018). Business-to-Business E-Commerce. In *Electronic Commerce 2018* (pp. 123– 166). Cham: Springer.
- United Nations (2010). United Nations E-Government Survey 2010: Leveraging e-government at a time of financial and economic crisis (Vol. 2). New York: United Nations Publications.
- Van Der Aalst, W. M. (2013). Business process management: A comprehensive survey. *ISRN Software Engineering*, 2013(507984/1), 37. doi:10.1155/2013/ 507984
- Weigand, H., van den Heuvel, W.-J., & Hiel, M. (2011). Business policy compliance in service-oriented systems. Information Systems, 36(4), 791–807. doi:10.1016/j.is.2010.12.005
- Wharmby, S. (2001). Recent developments in statistical requirements for financial stability, and in their use-the perspective of a central bank of a developed country. *IFC Bulletin No*, *9*, 14–17.

A.1. Business Rules						
BR ID	Description	Context	Rule	Source		
BR-01	Basel II Credit Risk validation rules that is approved by SAMA	Operations	NA	SAMA		
BR-02	Basel II Operational Risk validation rules that is approved by SAMA	Operations	NA	SAMA		
BR-03	Basel II Market Risk validation rules that is approved by SAMA	Operations	NA	SAMA		
BR-04	Basel II Systematic Risk validation rules that is approved by SAMA	Operations	NA	SAMA		
BR-05	The data should be consistent with previous reported data. This is accomplished using time series analysis, and outliers	Compliance Dept.	NA	Bank		
BR-04	The report should be signed by the CFO before posting to SAMA	Operations	NA	Bank		

A.1. Risk					
Risk ID	Description	Source	Severity Level	Status	Resolution
RSK-01	Delay in posting the regulatory data	SAMA	High	partial	Implementing escalation
RSK-02	Data Quality Problems	SAMA	High	Partial	Using Data Quality Indicators, and enforcing accountability.

A.3. KPI						
Name	Acronym	Description	Context	Importance	Soft Threshold	Hard threshold
KPI-001	TTR	Time to Resolve is the average time required to resolve an incident or a problem	Technical	High	1 H	3 H
KPI-002	BRT	Bank Response time is the average time for the bank to response to SAMA call	Technical	High	15 S	60 S
KPI-003	PGT	Package generation Time is the average time for SAMA to prepare the package	Technical	High	1 H	5 H
KPI-004	RSR	Re-Send Rate is the average time required for the banks to resend the data in case of any failure	Technical	High	15 Min	1 H
KPI-005	DET	Data Entry Time per form is the average time for the bank to input the data in the system	Technical	High	5 Min	10 Min

A.4. Quality Attribute	
QA ID	Description
QA—01	Interoperability
QA—02	Reliability
QA—03	Availability
QA—04	Usability
QA—05	Security
QA—06	Performance
QA—07	Scalability
QA—08	Extensibility
QA—09	Adaptability
QA—10	Testability
QA—11	Auditability
QA—12	Operability & Deployability
QA-13	Modifiability.

A.5. Data (Quality Dimension	
DQ ID	Dimension	Description
DQ-01	Accuracy	Accuracy of Data
DQ-02	Believability	The extent to which information is regarded as true and credible.
DQ—03	Reputation	The extent to which information is highly regarded in terms of its source or content.
DQ—04	Objectivity	The extent to which information is unbiased, unprejudiced and impartial.
DQ—05	Free-of-Error	The extent to which information is correct and reliable.
DQ—06	Value Added	The extent to which information is beneficial and provides advantages from its use.
DQ—07	Relevance	The extent to which information is applicable and helpful for the task at hand.
DQ—08	Completeness	The extent to which information is not missing and is of sufficient breadth and depth for the task at hand.
DQ-09	Timeliness	The extent to which information is sufficiently up-to-date for the task at hand.
DQ-10	Appropriate Amount	The extent to which the volume of information is appropriate for the task at hand.
DQ-11	Understandability	The extent to which information is easily comprehended.
DQ—12	Interpretability	The extent to which information is appropriate languages, symbols, and units and the definitions are clear.
DQ—13	Concise Representation	The extent to which information is compactly represented.
DQ-14	Consistent representation	The extent to which information is firmly represented

A.6. Agent Context Matrix							
Agent Name	Acronym	Description	Context				
Bank Data Entry Operator	BDEO	This is the Data Entry Operator of the Bank	Bank Side				
Bank CFO	BCFO	This is the Bank Chief Financial Officer	Bank Side				
SAMA IT Technical Administrator	SITA	This is SAMA IT Technical Administrator	SAMA Side				
SAMA Supervision Dept. Director	SSDD	This is the Director of the Supervision Dept.	SAMA Side				
SAMA Supervision Dept. Analyst	SSDA	This is the Analyst of the Supervision Dept.	SAMA Side				
SAMA IT Director	SITD	This is the director of the Information Technology Department	SAMA Side				

B. Evaluation of the proposed solution								
Criteria Acronym	Participants R	Rating	Based	l on Cu	urrent Sys	stem		Average
	Criteria	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	
C1	Data Entry Errors	3	2	3	4	2	2	2.67
C2	Data Entry Time	1	1	1	2	1	1	1.17
С3	Business Rule Verification	3	4	2	2	3	1	2.50
C4	Data Validation Process	4	2	2	3	2	2	2.50
C5	Foundation IRB And Advanced IRB Validity	3	3	2	3	1	2	2.33
C6	Compliance with Basel II For PD/LGD Approval Procedures	2	2	1		1	1	1.40
С7	Timely Intervention to Resolve Issues with The Bank	1	1	1		1	2	1.20
C8	Predictability of The Entire Bank Processes	2	2	3	3		1	2.20
С9	Banks Staff Turnover or Absences	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00
C10	SAMA Staff Turnover or Absences	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00
C11	Predictability of The Entire SAMA Processes	3	3	2	3	3	2	2.67
C12	Bank Side System Maintenance	1	2	1	2	1	1	1.33
C13	Bank Side System Failures	1	1	2	3	1	1	1.50
C14	Delay Due to Resending of Package	2	3	2	1	2	1	1.83
C15	Timely Enforcement the Regulatory System Operational Policies	2	3	4	2	1	2	2.33
Criteria Acronym	Participants Ratio	ng Bas	sed on	The P	roposed	Solution	I	Average
	Criteria	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	
C1	Data Entry Errors	5	4	4	3	4	4	4.00
C2	Data Entry Time	4	3	4	2	3	3	3.17
С3	Business Rule Verification	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00
C4	Data Validation Process	4	5	5	5	5	3	4.50
C5	Foundation IRB And Advanced IRB Validity	5	4	4	5	3	3	4.00
C6	Compliance with Basel II For PD/LGD Approval Procedures	3	3	3	4	3	4	3.33
C7	Timely Intervention to Resolve Issues with The Bank	2	4	2	4	4	3	3.17
C8	Predictability of The Entire Bank Processes	4	3	5	4	5	4	4.17
С9	Solution for Banks Staff Turnover or Absences	2	2	2	3	2	3	2.33
C10	Solution for SAMA Staff Turnover or Absences	3	2	2	3	3	3	2.67
C11	Predictability of The Entire SAMA Processes	4	3	4	5	4	4	4.00
C12	Bank Side System Maintenance	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00

(Continued)

B. (Continued)								
Criteria Acronym	Participants Rati	ng Bas	sed on	The P	roposed	Solution		Average
C13	Bank Side System Failures	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00
C14	Delay Due to Resending of Package	4	5	5	5	4	5	4.67
C15	Enforcing the Regulatory System Operational Policies	2	4	4	4	4	3	3.50

C. Description of Evaluation Criteria Articles						
Criteria Acronym	Terminology	Description				
C1	DATA ENTRY ERRORS	The error caused by the bank data entry operator while entering the regulatory data into the system				
C2	DATA ENTRY TIME	The time that spent while entering the regulatory data				
C3	BUSINESS RULE VERIFICATION	Bank process to verify their business rules that entered by the bank staff				
C4	DATA VALIDATION PROCESS	Supervisory (SAMA) process to validate the bank data				
C5	FOUNDATION IRB AND ADVANCED IRB VALIDITY	Credit Risk approaches procedures that validated by the supervisory				
C6	COMPLIANCE WITH BASEL II FOR PD/LGD APPROVAL PROCEDURES	Supervisory process to approve banks' business rules procedures for Basel II regulations compliancy				
C7	TIMELY INTERVENTION TO RESOLVE ISSUES WITH THE BANK	SAMA staff response to help the bank to resolve issues				
C8	PREDICTABILITY OF THE ENTIRE BANK PROCESSES	The extent of the bank processes explicitness and understanding				
C9	BANKS STAFF TURNOVER OR ABSENCES	The rate of the bank staff movement and deficiencies				
C10	SAMA STAFF TURNOVER OR ABSENCES	The rate of the SAMA staff movement and deficiencies				
C11	PREDICTABILITY OF THE ENTIRE SAMA PROCESSES	The extent of SAMA processes explicitness and understanding				
C12	BANK SIDE SYSTEM MAINTENANCE	Rate the response of the banks' system maintenance				
C13	BANK SIDE SYSTEM FAILURES	Rate the volume banks' system failures				
C14	DELAY DUE TO RESENDING OF PACKAGE	Identify the extent of the delay of resend the data				
C15	TIMELY ENFORCEMENT THE REGULATORY SYSTEM OPERATIONAL POLICIES	The actions to enforce the regulatory operational policies				

D. Glossary/Acronyms	
Terminology	Description
A-IRB	Advanced Internal Ratings-Based
BR	Business Rules
BRE	Business Rule Engine
BRVE	Business Rules Verification Engine
BCBS	Basel Committee on Banking Supervision
BIS	Bank of International Settlements
BPM	Business Process Management
BPMM	Business Process Maturity Model
BPMN	Business Process Modelling Notation
BPEL	Business Process Execution Language
CTQ	Critical to Quality
EAD	Exposure at Default
EIS	SAMA Executives Information System
ESB	Enterprise Service Bus
EXML	Expanded eXtensible Mark-up Language
OPI	Operational Performance Indicator
IDE	Integrated Development Environment
IFC	International Finance Corporation
IRB	Internal Ratings-Based
IMF	International Monetary Fund
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
KM	Knowledge Management
LGD	Loss Given Default
RMA	Rule Management Application
PBB	Process Building Block
PD	Probability of Default
SAMA	Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency
SJN	SAMA Joint Network
SLAM	Service Level Agreement Management
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SLR	Service Level Requirement
SOA	Service Oriented Architecture
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
SBBL	Semantic Building Block-based Language
XBRL	eXtensible Business Reporting Language



© 2018 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format. Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially. The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms. Under the following terms: Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. No additional restrictions You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

Cogent Economics & Finance (ISSN: 2332-2039) is published by Cogent OA, part of Taylor & Francis Group. Publishing with Cogent OA ensures:

- Immediate, universal access to your article on publication
- High visibility and discoverability via the Cogent OA website as well as Taylor & Francis Online
- Download and citation statistics for your article
- Rapid online publication
- Input from, and dialog with, expert editors and editorial boards
- Retention of full copyright of your article
- Guaranteed legacy preservation of your article
- Discounts and waivers for authors in developing regions

Submit your manuscript to a Cogent OA journal at www.CogentOA.com