

Kabuye, Frank; Bugambiro, Nicholas; Akugizibwe, Irene; Nuwasiima, Sharon;  
Naigaga, Sharon

## Article

The influence of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of risk management practices in the financial services sector

Cogent Business & Management

## Provided in Cooperation with:

Taylor & Francis Group

*Suggested Citation:* Kabuye, Frank; Bugambiro, Nicholas; Akugizibwe, Irene; Nuwasiima, Sharon; Naigaga, Sharon (2019) : The influence of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of risk management practices in the financial services sector, Cogent Business & Management, ISSN 2331-1975, Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, Vol. 6, pp. 1-24, <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2019.1704609>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/244772>

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

### Terms of use:

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

# The influence of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of risk management practices in the financial services sector

Frank Kabuye, Nicholas Bugambiro, Irene Akugizibwe, Sharon Nuwasiima & Sharon Naigaga |

To cite this article: Frank Kabuye, Nicholas Bugambiro, Irene Akugizibwe, Sharon Nuwasiima & Sharon Naigaga | (2019) The influence of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of risk management practices in the financial services sector, Cogent Business & Management, 6:1, 1704609, DOI: [10.1080/23311975.2019.1704609](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2019.1704609)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2019.1704609>



© 2019 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.



Published online: 30 Dec 2019.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 2072



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles [↗](#)



Received: 18 September 2019  
 Accepted: 09 December 2019  
 First Published: 16 December 2019

\*Corresponding author: Frank Kabuye, Accounting, Makerere University Business School, Uganda.  
 E-mail: [fkabuye@mubs.ac.ug](mailto:fkabuye@mubs.ac.ug)

Reviewing editor:  
 Collins G. Ntim, Accounting,  
 University of Southampton,  
 Southampton UK

Additional information is available at  
 the end of the article

## ACCOUNTING, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE & BUSINESS ETHICS | RESEARCH ARTICLE

# The influence of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of risk management practices in the financial services sector

Frank Kabuye<sup>1\*</sup>, Nicholas Bugambiro<sup>1</sup>, Irene Akugizibwe<sup>1</sup>, Sharon Nuwasiima<sup>1</sup> and Sharon Naigaga<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** The purpose of this study is to examine the contribution made by the tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of risk management practices (RMPs) in the financial services sector. This study is cross-sectional and correlational, and it uses firm-level data that were collected by means of a questionnaire survey from a sample of 62 financial services firms in Uganda. Results suggest that the tone at the top management level and internal audit quality are both significant predictors of effective RMPs. However, the predictive potential of tone at the top management level towards effective RMPs reduces when internal audit quality is present. These results support the idea that in terms of agency theory, top management should oversee and review the organization's risks as a way of spearheading effective RMPs. Similarly, internal auditors should sufficiently and appropriately review and coordinate risk management efforts in the organization, since high-quality internal



Frank Kabuye

### ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Frank Kabuye is a Lecturer in the Department of Accounting, Makerere University Business School. He holds a degree of Master of Science in Accounting and Finance and Bachelor of Business Administration of Makerere University. His research interests are in the areas of auditing, accounting, risk management and finance.

Nicholas Bugambiro is a Teaching assistant in the Department of Accounting, Makerere University Business School. He holds a degree of Bachelor of Science in Accounting of Makerere University.

Irene Akugizibwe, Sharon Naigaga and Sharon Nuwasiima are also Lecturers in the Department of Accounting, Makerere University Business School. They are all holders of a degree of Master of Science in Accounting and Finance of Makerere University. Their research interests are in the areas of corporate governance, taxation, financial reporting, risk management and sustainability reporting.

Frank Kabuye, Nicholas Bugambiro and Sharon Nuwasiima are also certified public accountants.

### PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

To date, organizations across the world continue to seek for determinants of effective risk management practices. This is because of the increasing risks in organizations irrespective of the different efforts by management, those charged with governance and third parties such as the regulators to combat risks. Risks unfavourably affect the organizational activities and as a result, organization's fail to achieve their desired goals and objectives. In the Ugandan financial services sector, risks such as the credit risk, operational risk, compliance risk and fraud risks are common and lead to huge annual financial and non-financial losses. This has prompted the organization's top managers, scholars and regulators to continue seeking for ways of increasing the effectiveness of the multitudes of risk management practices in the organizations. Therefore, this study suggests that appropriate tone at the top management level and internal audit quality are significant determinants of effective risk management practices in the financial services sector.

audits lead to effective RMPs. Top managers of financial services firms should encourage periodic reviews of the appropriateness and effectiveness of risk management systems and controls. At the same time, regulators should ensure that top managers of financial services firms have adequate risk management expertise, with no conflict of interest and apply mechanisms that detect significant risks in time. The study contributes to the strategic risk management position by showing that the tone at the top management level and internal audit quality sets pace for an organization culture towards effective RMPs.

**Subjects:** Business, Management and Accounting; Accounting; Auditing; Risk Management

**Keywords:** financial services firms; tone at the top management level; internal audit quality; risk management practices

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the contribution made by the tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of RMPs. As it becomes crucial for financial services firms to effectively manage prevalent risks such as credit risk, cyber risk, operational risk, compliance risk and fraud risks, implementation of effective RMPs become inevitable (PWC, 2017). The necessity to implement effective RMPs by financial services firms is ingrained in the need to enhance risk prevention, detection and reporting (Bezzina, Grima, & Mamo, 2014; Kim, 2019). Globally, the growth of profit adjusted for risk costs for financial services firms has slowed from 16 basis points overall in 2015 to 11 basis points in 2016, this is stalling economic recovery of the financial industry following five consecutive years of improvement in the aftermath of the 2007–2008 global financial crisis (Grasshoff et al., 2018). Similarly, Ernest and Young global banking outlook (2018) indicates that 85% of banks are citing proper management of evolving risks as one of the critical drivers for sustainable success. Thus, financial services firms still need effective RMPs and reforms to contain the adverse effects of risk(s) (Ahmad, Ibrahim, & Minai, 2018; Chornous & Ursulenko, 2013; Safari, Shateri, Baghiabadi, & Hozhabrnejad, 2016).

In Uganda, financial services firms are continually experiencing prevalent risks. For example, in 2017 banks recorded more than 60% of non-performing loans due to factors like the diversion of funds by borrowers away from their intended use (PWC, 2017). Financial services firms in Uganda also lose between \$1-10 m to fraud and cyber risks annually (Deloitte, 2013a; KPMG, 2015). The above risks and others have even led to the closure of banks like global trust bank and crane bank in 2016 and 2017 respectively (Bank of Uganda, 2017). This is regardless of global initiatives towards effective RMPs like the Basel committee on banking supervision's new international regulations designed to minimize the possibility of risks causing the next large-scale financial crisis (Chornous & Ursulenko, 2013; Grasshoff et al., 2018). Questions thus continue to rise on how financial services firms can ensure effective RMPs.

A number of studies have been conducted on the determinants of effective RMPs but most of these studies have focused on the aspects of risk management process such as understanding risk and risk management (URM), risk identification (RI), risk analysis and assessment (RAA), credit risk management (CRM), and risk monitoring (RM) (Abu Hussain & Al-Ajmi, 2012; Khalid & Amjad, 2012; Rosman, 2009). The call for further studies by previous scholars is also common, for example, Khalid & Amjad (2012) called for additional research on further understanding RMPs of banks through studying risk management techniques used to mitigate risk exposure, this has not been widely addressed to date. Similarly, the above studies have mainly focused on Islamic banking. This study focuses on the conventional financial institutions in a developing country which are even more risky. Khalid & Amjad (2012) carried out a study to evaluate the degree to which Islamic banks in Pakistan use RMPs and techniques in dealing with different types of risk. Their findings were that Islamic banks are

somewhat reasonably efficient in managing risk where URM, RM and CRM are the most influencing variables in RMPs. In addition, Khalid & Amjad (2012) found that RMPs are determined by the extent to which managers understand risk and risk management, efficient risk identification, risk assessment analysis, risk monitoring and credit risk analysis.

There are hardly any studies that have directly linked tone at the top management level and risk management practices. Available scanty studies have linked tone at the top management level in terms of corporate cultures, ethical communication, operating models and organizational constructs, leadership and governance, as well as the more traditional talent management practices and processes (Cheese, 2016; Dresch-Langley, 2009; Huang, 2004; Ssekiziyivu, Mwesigwa, Joseph, & Nkote Nabeta, 2017). Correspondingly, Kim (2019) findings suggest that individual factors (behavioural belief about risk management, social pressure and risk management knowledge) positively influence risk management intention; organizational factors (such as organizational risk management support) positively affect managers' risk management knowledge; and both individual and organizational factors are affected by organizational environment and/or risk management championship. Furthermore, Law (2011), indicates that tone at the top managerial level, and managerial ethical guidelines and policies are positively associated with a lack of fraud risks within organizations. Nevertheless, these studies do not suggest how the strategic gap in establishing effective RMPs in an entity can be closed through appropriate tone at top management level efforts. As far as internal audit quality is concerned, Zwaan, Stewart, and Subramaniam (2011) found that a high involvement in enterprise risk management impacts the perceptions of internal auditors' willingness to report a breakdown in risk procedures to the audit committee. Also, internal audit should be alert to the whole process of implementation of the systems for managing operational risks in entities (Laviada, 2007). On the contrary, though internal auditors are believed to have a role to play but concerns are expressed about their expertise and independence (Fraser & Henry, 2007) which may limit the quality of their audits in discovering and reporting risks.

In this study, we define RMPs as the procedures of understanding and managing the risks that the entity is inevitably subject to in attempting to achieve its corporate objectives (Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA) (2009)). By enlisting the views of the chief finance officers, internal audit managers, and risk managers, we find that there are no significant differences in the way these interest groups perceive the influence of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on effective RMPs. The perceived tone at the top management level, internal audit quality and effective RMPs are all measured by perceptions of 62 financial services firms in Uganda. Hierarchical regression analysis is employed to test the contribution made by the tone at the top management level, and internal audit quality on effective RMPs.

The results in this paper are particularly important for several reasons. First, whilst there are a number of studies that have investigated the link between tone at the top management level, internal audit quality and risk management (e.g. Battaglia, Fiordelisi, & Ricci, 2016; Bezzina et al., 2014; Kim, 2019; Parisi, Clements, & Cornejo, 2016), studies focusing on the financial services sector and developing economies like Uganda are limited. Existing literature has also focused on motivating risk managers in the risk management processes (Kim, 2019; Parisi et al., 2016). This study looks at how self-inspired risk management initiatives among top managers and internal auditors can enhance effective RMPs in their organizations in order to close the strategic gap towards risk management. Therefore, this study contributes to existing body of knowledge by showing that when an organization has effective tone at the top management level, and with quality internal audits they are likely to enhance the effectiveness of RMPs. This is important for regulators like the central bank to require the effectiveness and efficiency of senior management and internal auditors to be elevated.

Second, the research results are also timely and important for policy recommendations to improve the effectiveness of RMPs in the Ugandan financial services sector. This is especially given that the Bank of Uganda's annual supervisory reports (2013–2017) have over the past five years indicated the inadequacy of effective RMPs in the financial services sector. Specifically, according to the Bank of

Uganda (2019), a number of commercial banks in Uganda have weaknesses in the composition of board committees, succession planning for board members and senior management, and delays in addressing vacancies in key positions of banks' organizational structures which end up derailing the effectiveness of RMPs. This also creates a strategic gap in risk management efforts as the tone at the top management level and internal audit quality in those banks is a warning. Thus, the results suggest that both tone at the top management level and internal audit quality are significant in establishing, evaluating and coordinating effective RMPs in an organization.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews literature and develops hypotheses. This is followed by a discussion of the research methodology in Section 3. Section 4 presents and discusses results. The final section is summary and conclusion.

## 2. Literature review and hypotheses development

### 2.1. Theoretical foundation

In this study, we use the agency theory to explain the contribution of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on effective RMPs. According to agency theory, top managers (Agents) in the organization act on behalf of the shareholders (Principals) in dealing with other people and running the organization. Thus, top management has a duty to design, implement and maintain adequate and effective RMPs in order to achieve the goals of the shareholders such as profit maximization, wealth maximization, business continuity, business expansion and growth. Nevertheless, the conflict of interests between the top management and shareholders tend to derail the presupposed agency relationship which leads to agency conflicts/problems. For example, top managers usually earn most of their income from the company they work for. They are therefore interested in the stability of the company, because this will protect their job and their future income. This means that management might be risk averse, and reluctant to invest in higher-risk projects. Contrarily, shareholders might want the organization to take bigger risks, if the expected returns are sufficiently high. Shareholders often invest in a portfolio of different companies; therefore, it matters less to them if an individual company takes risks. Since, top management is unwilling to take risks it may not implement and maintain effective RMPs which may lead to huge financial and non-financial losses due to the failure to manage risks by the top managers as the agents. In the context of this study, the appropriate tone at the top management level can help in reducing goal incongruence between top managers and the shareholders towards implementing effective RMPs.

Internal auditors are employed to monitor the agents (the managers); however, quite often they have not achieved their objectives due to poor-quality audits. The poor-quality internal audits are mainly attributed to limited independence of the internal auditors, inadequate management support, staff expertise, scope of services, and ineffective communication (Roussy & Brivot, 2016). Hitherto researchers such as Chambers and Odar (2015) have advocated the extended role and quality of the internal auditors in order to help in ensuring effective RMPs. Thus, as per the agency theory, internal auditors are expected to remain alert and help in ensuring effective RMPs as a way of adding value to the entity.

### 2.2. Tone at the top management level

Tone at the top is the degree of commitment by management and those charged with governance of the entity (board of directors) to having an open, direct, honest, and ethically correct corporate culture (Law, 2011). Tone at the top management level is a key element of an organization's risk management framework, since proper and adequate support from the top is likely to provide a robust foundation for effective RMPs. From prior studies, top management is believed to have a strong influence in setting the overall risk governance within the organization (Cohen et al., 2002 & Horton, 2002). This role extends to the identification, assessment, designing and implementing controls, and mitigating risks, guiding the development and implementation of internal policies and procedures and ensuring that activities are consistent with goals and objectives (Gatzert & Schmit, 2016). Cheese (2016), argue that in order to manage and mitigate risk, organizations need to understand corporate cultures, operating

models and organizational constructs, leadership and governance, as well as the more traditional talent management practices and processes. Similarly, Sheedy and Lubojanski (2018) report that appropriate risk management behaviour at the employee level includes compliance, speaking up, thoughtful engagement with and accountability for the risk management framework. Thus, older workers as well as those with greater seniority are more likely to report desirable risk management behaviour. Correspondingly, Law (2011), indicates that tone at the top managerial level and managerial ethical guidelines and policies are positively associated with a lack of risks within organizations. Therefore, top management must instil values in employees in order to achieve a consistently ethical environment and to avoid risk (Johari, Alam, & Said, 2018; Law, 2011).

Contrarily, Horton (2002) indicates that in 200 cases of purported financial fraud risks that had been investigated by the SEC, five out of the six involved the CEO, the CFO, or both. Meaning that, if there is no appropriate tone at the top management level, risks are likely to increase in the organization. Relatedly, there is a significant negative association between individual risk tolerance and desirable risk management behaviour (Gyensare, Arthur, Twumasi, & Agyapong, 2019; Sheedy & Lubojanski, 2018). Nevertheless, Fraser and Henry (2007) argue that while parent boards have ultimate responsibility, the ownership of risks must reside with management at lower levels. Consequently, management's effectiveness in terms of moral and ethical behaviour is integral to the organization's control systems (Kabuye, Kato, Akugizibwe, & Bugambiro, 2019). Risk management committees tend to exist in organizations with an independent board chairman and larger boards. In comparison to organizations with a combined risk management committee and audit committee, those with a separate risk management committee are more likely to have larger boards, higher financial reporting risk and lower organizational complexity (Subramaniam, McManus, & Zhang, 2009). Given that many boards formulate strategy and manage risk separately (through the risk management committee), organizations can gain a competitive advantage by managing them in an integrative fashion. Boards that integrate strategy formulation and risk management should achieve higher stakeholder returns and be more adaptive than rivals (Sheehan, 2009). At the same time, evaluating the effectiveness of the board risk management committee must include characteristics of the entire board as well as individual contributions of directors (Carretta, Farina, & Schwizer, 2010). Also, for risk management of organizational records to be effective, it needs to be incorporated into the decision-making process of the organization, making it central to all activities (Egbuji, 1999); thus, risk management needs to be proactive, not reactive.

Overly, the board risk management committee oversees operational risk management systems, practices and procedures including risk identification, management, monitoring and control. The committee also monitors legal suits against the organization and their materiality and reports on risk assessment levels. Subordinately, the operational risk committee of executive management is responsible for risk assessment, management and reporting matters arising from discussions of existing and potential operational risk within the various units across the entire organization (Centenary Bank, 2017). Thus, the combined role of the risk management committee and the operational risk committee is very substantial in the overall risk management (Bananuka, Tumwebaze, & Orobia, 2018; Stanbic Bank, 2017; Tumwebaze, Mukyala, Ssekiziyivu, Tirisu, & Tumwebonire, 2018). Besides, when a board of directors takes formal responsibility for the overall health of its company's reputation, two things generally happen: one is that the various board sub-committees look for the impact on corporate reputation of their decisions. The other is that corporate reputation becomes a key performance indicator of the company's executive management team (Dowling, 2006; Sherafatmand & Yazdani, 2014). Majorly, the risk management function (and/or committee) facilitates and monitors the implementation of effective RMPs and assists risk owners in defining the target risk exposure and reporting adequate risk-related information throughout the organization (IIA, 2013a). Therefore,

$H_1$ : Tone at the top management level is positively and significantly related with effective risk management practices.

### 2.3. Internal audit quality

Internal audit quality involves the internal audit activity's conformance with the definition of internal auditing and the standards and an evaluation of whether internal auditors apply the Code of ethics (Coetzee, Fourie, & Burnaby, 2015). Internal audit quality is further demonstrated by the internal auditor's capability to provide useful audit findings and recommendations (Mihret & Yismaw, 2007). Zwaan et al. (2011), indicate that a high involvement in enterprise risk management (ERM) impacts the perceptions of internal auditors' willingness to report a breakdown in risk procedures to the audit committee. Similarly, internal audit is a rich resource for organizations as it monitors the adequacy and effectiveness of management's internal control framework and contributes to the integrity of corporate governance; risk assessment; and financial, operating, and IT systems (Burnaby & Hass, 2009). Besides, internal audit is more proactive in the implementation of ERM in smaller organizations, and is more important in the finance industry and the private sector (Castanheira, Rodrigues, & Craig, 2009; IIA, 2014b). Though risk management is primarily the responsibility of directors and senior managers. Internal auditors also have a role in consulting and providing assurance on risk management (Stewart & Subramaniam, 2010). This role for internal auditors is predicted to increase in importance in the future (Burnaby & Hass, 2009). The change of systems and processes in organizations is too big for traditional compliance-based internal auditing to absorb, the fact that leads to the necessity that internal auditing includes a risk management focus (Spira & Page, 2003). Therefore, it is important that internal auditors understand well their role in the risk management process. Coetzee (2016) also confirms that internal auditing should play a prominent role in risk-related activities to ensure that the risks threatening the organization are reduced to acceptable levels. Internal audit should be alert to the whole process of implementation of the systems for managing operational risks in organizations (Kabuye, Nkundabanyanga, Opiso & Nakabuye, 2017; Laviada, 2007).

Despite the fact that internal audit quality is perceived to have a significant role in enhancing the effectiveness of RMPs, Fraser and Henry (2007) argue that this role is most times weakened by inadequate expertise and independence of internal auditors. They thus recommend a split of the internal audit and risk management functions to preserve internal audit independence and clarify internal audit roles. Stewart and Kent (2006) indicate there is also a strong association between internal audit and the level of commitment to risk management. Consequently, the need to have strong internal control and risk management systems and to reduce both internal and external agency costs drives companies to have an internal audit function (Ismail & Roberts, 2018). In the Belgian cases, internal auditors' focus on acute shortcomings in the risk management system create opportunities to demonstrate their value. Internal auditors are playing a pioneering role in the creation of a higher level of risk and control awareness and a more formalized risk management system. In the US cases, internal auditors' objective evaluations and opinions are a valuable input for the new internal control review and disclosure requirements mentioned in the Sarbanes Oxley Act (Sarens & De Beelde, 2006).

Contrarily, the role of internal auditors in risk management in banks in Jordan was found to be limited. The risks that internal auditors were most involved in managing were those related to compliance, while the risks least dealt with by internal auditors included those related to the Jordanian economy and culture (Abdullatif & Kawuq, 2015). Therefore, a more formalized risk environment would foster a stronger risk-aware culture and hence provide a strong foundation for internal audit to implement risk-based auditing. However, internal audit experience, size of internal audit function, audit committee qualifications, and internal control system are not found to be significant predictors of the presence of risk-based auditing (Hafizah & Abidin, 2016). Therefore, internal audit quality is arguably a function of extensive staff expertise; reasonableness of the scope of service; and effective planning, execution and communication of internal audits. Consequently, we believe that:

$H_2$ : Internal audit quality is positively and significantly associated with effective risk management practices.

#### **2.4. Control variables**

Bartov et al. (2000) suggest that failure to control for confounding variables could lead to falsely rejecting the hypothesis when in fact it should be accepted. As such, firm type, ownership and size are controlled in this study. A number of studies have found that firm type (public/private limited) determine the risk management efforts and requirements in an organization (Beasley, Clune, & Hermanson, 2005; Eng & Mak, 2003; Hassan, 2009; Ho & Shun Wong, 2001). Studies by Elshandidy and Neri (2014) and Subramaniam et al. (2009) explicitly indicate that corporate governance councils (regulators) set guidelines for risk management within public listed organizations and the board of directors are seen to hold the primary responsibility over the establishment and implementation of a proper risk management system. Relatedly, firm size is associated with effective RMPs (Collier, Haughwout, Kunreuther, Michel-Kerjan, & Stewart, 2016; Subramaniam et al., 2009). For instance, small firms which are exposed to a myriad of risks do not have the capability to avoid, transfer, diversify all the risky events; thus, they accept more risks which they ultimately fail to effectively manage. Thus, smaller firms inexplicably bear the costs of risk (Collier et al., 2016). Nonetheless, other studies have indicated inconsistent results for the relationship between firm size and risk management (Law, 2011). Besides, firm ownership is a determinant of corporate risk management (Eng & Mak, 2003; Gul & Leung, 2004; Ho & Shun Wong, 2001; Nordin & Hamid, 2013; Samaha, Dahawy, Hussainey, & Stapleton, 2012).

### **3. Methodology**

#### **3.1. Research setting**

This study gathered data from financial services firms in Uganda. Financial services firms in Uganda primarily comprise financial institutions, insurance and investments subsectors. The Ugandan financial services firms are relatively well developed, and they have been helpful not only in fostering investment and growth but also in mobilizing resources and enabling poor people to have some control over risks in their lives through increased access to financial services (Bank of Uganda, 2017; PWC, 2017). Nevertheless, there are huge concerns of increasing incidences of risk in the financial services firms which have aroused concern among the public and the policymakers (Bank of Uganda, 2019; Deloitte, 2013b). This is also significantly affecting the achievement of the desired growth and strategic objectives of the financial services firms (Consultative Group to Assist the Poor [CGAP], 2015). There are a number of security measures and regulations that have been put in place to manage risks in the Ugandan financial services firms, such as, the financial institutions act of 2004 (amended in 2016); the Financial Service firms Regulations of 2005 and the Anti-money Laundering Act of 2013, Basel III and IV recommendations. Nonetheless, a number of high-profile risks, for example, credit risk, cyber risk, liquidity risk, market risk, operational risk, compliance risk, taxation risk, reputation risk and business/strategic risk have continued to affect the financial services firms leading to high actual financial losses, business failure, and reduced investor confidence (Centenary Bank, 2017; Deloitte, 2013b; Stanbic Bank, 2017). The high-risk prevalence in the financial services firms has similarly been attributed to tone at the top management level ineffectiveness, and low-quality internal audits which lead to performance of insufficient tasks and activities to minimize and mitigate risks (Bank of Uganda, 2019; Deloitte, 2013a; IIA Uganda Chapter, 2015). Given the above discussion, this study setting provides a wealthy basis to examine the contribution of tone at the top management level, and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of RMPs in financial services sector in Uganda.

#### **3.2. Design, population and sample**

The research design for this study is cross-sectional and correlational. The population of interest is the financial service firms in Uganda. Specifically, the population includes 89 financial services firms obtained from the three main subsectors of the financial services sector that is financial institutions,

**Table 1. Distribution of firms in the sample and response rate**

Sub-sector	Firms	Population	Sample size	Response
Financial institutions subsector	Commercial banks	24	20	20
	Credit institutions	5	4	4
	Micro finance deposit taking institutions	5	4	4
	Development banks	2	2	2
Capital markets subsector	Capital markets advisors/brokerage firms	29	23	15
Insurance sub sector	Insurance companies	24	20	17
Total number of firms		89	73	62

**Source:** Primary data.

capital markets advisors and brokerage firms and insurance companies operating in Uganda. We determine the sample size using Krejcie and Morgan (1970) and generate a sample size of 73 financial service firms proportionately (Table 1). Proportionate stratified random sampling was used to select financial services firms from each stratum thus reducing bias (Berger & Zhang, 2005). The unit of enquiry is three people involved in risk management (the Chief Finance Officer, Internal Audit Manager, and Risk manager) in each of the sample firms. The respondents are selected purposively by virtue of their position, knowledge and experience (McEvily & Marcus, 2005; Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2012). Only 62 firms responded to our questionnaire with 160 completed questionnaires. The responses were aggregated using a firm as a breaking variable.

### 3.3. Questionnaire and variable measurement

A six-point likert scale questionnaire ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree designed to measure the opinion or attitude of a respondent is utilized to obtain self-reported information using closed-ended questions. The questionnaire design is based on our review of relevant literature regarding tone at the top management level, internal audit quality and risk management practices. The questionnaire was used because, data are provided to the researcher by the respondent directly without going through any third party, thus retaining the confidentiality of the data. Similarly, the questionnaire is suitable for data collection from firms in several locations and for this study, data were collected from multiple financial services firms in different locations in Uganda. Besides, a questionnaire is appropriate for descriptive and explanatory research (Saunders et al., 2012). In this study, we therefore relied on responses obtained through a questionnaire survey.

The dependent variable for this study is risk management practices, which is operationalized in terms of risk prevention, risk detection and risk response (Coetzee, 2016; Safari et al., 2016; Vinnari & Skærbæk, 2014; Zwaan et al., 2011; Fraser & Henry, 2007; Sarens & De Beelde, 2006; Spira & Page, 2003). Thus, respondents were asked to indicate their perception of the effectiveness of risk management practices using fifteen items which were anchored on a six-point Likert scale with 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree. As a measurement of risk management practices, risk prevention is defined as the process of avoiding risk or reducing the probability and impact of risk (Rooney & Cuganesan, 2015). For example, through issuing a risk policy outlining the entity's position on risk. We define risk detection as the process of identifying potential entity risks and their characteristics (Abdullatif & Kawuq, 2015). For risk response, it is the process of developing strategic options, and determining actions, to enhance opportunities and reduce threats to the organizational goals and objectives (Laviada, 2007).

The independent variables in this study are; tone at the top management level and internal audit quality. Tone at the top management level is measured using internal processes (inclusive of internal controls), objectivity, and experience and expertise (Cohen et al., 2002; Law, 2011; Cheese, 2016; Fraser & Henry, 2007). Thus, respondents were asked to indicate their perception of the effectiveness of tone at the top management level using thirteen items which were anchored on a six-point Likert scale with 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree. Internal audit quality with its dimensions of scope of service, independence, staff expertise, effective communication and management support (Al Twaijry et al., 2003; Arena & Azzone, 2009; Badara & Saidin, 2013; Coetzee, Fourie & Burnaby, 2015; Cohen & Sayag, 2010; Soh & Martinov-Bennie, 2011; Endaya & Hanefah, 2013; Feizizadeh, 2012; Goodwin, 2004; Karagiorgos et al., 2011; Mihret & Yismaw, 2007; Mihret et al., 2010; Roussy & Brivot, 2016) was measured using the respondents' mean rank of the seventeen items of information included in the questionnaire on a six-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree).

To control for non-response bias, each questionnaire was accompanied by a letter providing explanations and assurances that all individual responses would be treated confidentially. Aware that non-response manifests in two types, namely, item and unit nonresponse, where item non-response is when certain questions in a survey are not answered by a respondent and unit non-response is when a randomly sampled individual cannot be contacted or they refuse to participate in a survey, we kept a short survey length, ensured a clear and concise wording of the questions (also utilized the results of content validity analysis), practical and appealing, placed multiple follow-up calls or email reminders up to a maximum of three for those delaying to answer the questionnaire. In this case, the 73 financial services firms in the sample had three units of enquiry responding (Table 8).

To control for item non-response, we carried out simple frequency runs and found that item non-response (missing values) was less than 1 per cent of all the questions, and thus trivial to suppress the standard deviation (Field, 2009). However, even with this, the present study carried out a missing values analysis because missing data may reduce the precision of calculated statistics because there is less information than originally planned. Indeed, a common concern when faced with multivariate data with missing values is whether the missing data are missing completely at random (MCAR); that is whether the missing data depend on the variables in the data set (Little, 1988). Using the E-M (expectation-maximization), the MCAR, was not significant (Little's MCAR test: chi-square = 122.730, df = 130, Significance = 0.662). This meant that data were missing completely at random. As the missing values were for cases on different variables, it was deemed necessary to not delete those cases (because a lot of data could be lost) but instead replaced them by using linear interpolation for its simplicity.

### **3.4. Tests of factorability, validity, reliability and assumptions of parametrical data**

We used exploratory factor analysis (EFA) based on principal components and Cronbach's  $\alpha$  (Tables 2–4) to examine the validity and reliability of the scales as measures of tone at the top management level, internal audit quality and effective RMPs in the financial services firms. EFA was also performed to identify patterns in data and to reduce data to a manageable level (Field, 2009). To establish convergent validity, the principal components for each variable were extracted by running principal component analysis using varimax rotation method, and factor loadings below 0.5 coefficients were suppressed to avoid extracting factors with weak loadings. Prior to performing the principal component analysis for scales, we assessed the suitability of the data for factor analysis based on sample size adequacy, the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett tests. The results show the KMO values: tone at the top management level = 0.756, internal audit quality = 0.684 and RMPs = 0.821. Bartlett's test of sphericity in all scales reached statistical significance ( $p < 0.05$ ) (significant value was 0.00 for each scale). Collectively, these results support the factorability of the correlation matrices because our correlation matrices are significantly different from the identity matrices in which the variables would not correlate with each other. The determinants for all the three matrices were greater than 0.01, implying that there were no multicollinearity or singularity between variables.

**Table 2. Factor structure of tone at the top management level**

Item	Component		
	Internal processes	Objectivity	Experience and expertise
Our management has designed and maintained effective internal controls	0.786		
We have management that ensures that we always comply with the laws	0.62		
We have management that focuses on risk issues	0.613		
We have management with individuals who are knowledgeable in risk management	0.597		
We have management with individuals who are experienced in our nature of business	0.588		
There is proper assignment of risk management responsibilities within the organization	0.586		
Our top managers do not have any conflict of interest with the company		0.838	
We have managers who always act in the best interest of the company		0.800	
We have a clear separation of roles between the management and the board		0.686	
Management has allocated appropriate resources for training and the development of an enhanced risk awareness by all stakeholders			0.745
Management has gained efficiencies through technology adoption			0.686
Our management has implemented corrective actions to address process and control deficiencies.			0.648
There is commitment from the chief executive and executive management of the organization towards risk management			0.621
Engen values	4.334	1.494	1.414
Percentage of variance	20.29	18.038	17.38
Cumulative percentage	20.29	38.328	55.707
KMO measure of sampling adequacy			0.756
Bartlett's test of sphericity			409.727

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis; Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser normalization.

Source: Primary data.

To obtain the content validity index (CVI), we dichotomized the rating scale through a duo split of the scores such that rating scores 1–3 = measure not useable, 4–6 = measure useable. The CVI was computed by obtaining the proportion of items assessed as useable divided by the total number of items (Field, 2009). The CVI for each variable was above 0.7 (Tone at the top management level = 0.817, internal audit quality = 0.890, and RMPs = 0.889). Thus, the instrument attained content validity. To determine the internal consistency (reliability) of our scales we computed Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficients for the study variables. The standardized  $\alpha$  coefficients for all the scales were found to be 0.70 and above (Tone at the top management level = 0.850, internal audit quality = 0.868, and RMPs = 0.868).

The Likert scale (six-point) used in this study was analysed using parametric tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient. Parametric tests of normality and homogeneity of variance were performed before carrying out tests of hypotheses in order to avoid coming to the wrong conclusions (Norman, 2010). We checked our data for normality to determine the applicability of parametric tests. This was done by use of skewness and kurtosis statistics. The skewness scores for all variables were close to 0,

**Table 3. Factor structure of internal audit quality**

Item	Component				
	Scope of service	Independence	Staff expertise	Effective communication	Management support
Internal audit reviews the management of key risks	.785				
Internal audit evaluates the risk management processes	.748				
Internal audit coordinates the enterprise risk management framework activities	.680				
Our internal audit gives assurance on the risk management processes	.612				
Internal audit evaluates the reporting of key risks	.604				
Internal audit administratively reports to executive management		.834			
Internal audit has an independent budget approved by audit committee		.741			
Internal audit functionally reports directly to the audit committee		.727			
Internal auditors are trained to acquire the necessary skills to perform their duties			.842		
The internal audit complies with the approved audit plans			.780		
Internal audit has full knowledge of the transaction systems of the entity			.759		
Internal audit maintains an unbiased mindset with regard to all audit engagements				.768	
Internal auditors are normally appraised basing on set targets				.642	
The internal audit reports are clear and well presented				.572	
Internal auditors have the ability to analyse complex information to discover risks				.519	
Internal audit staff assignments are rotated periodically whenever it is practicable.					.798

(Continued)

**Table 3. (Continued)**

Item	Component				
	Scope of service	Independence	Staff expertise	Effective communication	Management support
The internal audit has access to records as necessary					.610
Engen values	4.832	2.108	1.683	1.297	1.145
Percentage of variance	15.301	13.859	13.493	12.708	9.732
Cumulative percentage	15.301	29.16	42.653	55.361	65.093
KMO measure of sampling adequacy					0.684
Bartlett's test of sphericity					663.304

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis; Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser normalization.

Source: Primary data.

**Table 4. Factor structure of risk management practices**

Item	Component		
	Prevention	Detection	Response
We have issued a risk policy outlining the entity's position on risk	.829		
There is ongoing monitoring of employees' activities in high risk departments	.766		
The entity ensures that adequate risk awareness activities and training	.729		
Responsibilities related to risk management are clearly defined within our company	.629		
We have technology solutions with trigger mechanisms that flag irregular activities	.561		
Our entity ensures that adequate employment screening proceedings are implemented	.547		
The entity uses the relevant government investigation standards when conducting investigations		.802	
We have established lines of communication with police for further investigations of detected risks in our organization		.729	
We have developed a risk control plan to minimize the impact and likelihood of identified risks		.709	
We have disclosure procedures in place for evidence relating to detected risk.		.635	
Our entity has a formal process in place for communicating the outcomes of completed risk investigations		.620	
Risks faced by our organization are managed on enterprise wide basis		.542	
Our risk reporting mechanisms are easily accessible by internal and external parties			.797
The entity uses internal audit to actively review its detective control environment			.727
Our entity provides sufficient information to enable employees recognize the possible red flags or early warning signs of risk activity			.639
Engen values	5.436	1.748	1.323
Percentage of variance	21.234	21.2	14.284
Cumulative percentage	21.234	42.434	56.717
KMO measure of sampling adequacy			0.821
Bartlett's test of sphericity			595.075

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis; Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser normalization.

Source: Primary data.

and kurtosis results were all within the range of  $-2$  and  $+2$ ; besides standard errors for each of the variables were not very different from their respective Skewness and kurtosis scores, and therefore, normality assumption was not violated (Garson, 2012; Field, 2009). Levene's test (Levene, 1960) was used to test for homogeneity of variance because it is the most commonly used test for each group (Garson, 2012). The test results are non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) for all the predictor variables, and thus homogeneity of variance for the categorical variables in relation to the outcome variable is not violated (Field, 2009). In addition to the parametric tests, the Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to analyse the multiple-item Likert scales to report the bivariate relationships that were hypothesized in this study.

The problem with univariate analyses is that they do not control for other factors, thus making the interpretation of results difficult. We, therefore, extend the analysis to a multivariate setting. We first examine correlations among our independent variables to determine whether multicollinearity problems exist. Field (2009) suggests that multicollinearity becomes a problem only when correlations exceed 0.80 or 0.90. As Table 9 shows, none of the correlations between independent variables is close to these threshold values.

#### 3.4.1. Model

The study utilizes a hierarchical regression model in investigating the contribution of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on effectiveness of RMPs. To examine the contribution of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on effective RMPs, we specify the following regression models (see Table 5):

$$RMPs = \beta_0 + \beta_1 FT + \beta_2 OWN + \beta_3 FS + \epsilon_j \quad (1)$$

$$RMPs = \beta_0 + \beta_1 TTML + \beta_2 FT + \beta_3 OWN + \beta_4 FS + \epsilon_j \quad (2)$$

$$RMPs = \beta_0 + \beta_1 IAQ + \beta_2 TTML + \beta_3 FT + \beta_4 OWN + \beta_5 FS + \epsilon_j \quad (3)$$

where; RMPs are Risk management practices, FT is Firm size, OWN is Ownership, FS is Firm size, TTML is Tone at the top management level, IAQ is internal audit quality,  $\theta_0$  is a constant and  $\epsilon_j$  is the error term.

### 4. Empirical findings

#### 4.1. Descriptive statistics

Table 6 shows the mean scores of the study variables. Risk management practices had the lowest mean score of 4.9905 with a standard deviation of 0.42912. Internal audit quality had the highest mean score 5.1744 with a standard deviation of 0.30250. As standard deviations relative to mean values are small; the calculated means highly represent the observed data (Field, 2009). The data also indicate that predictor variables are rated high towards risk

**Table 5. Description of the model**

Variable	Acronym	Variable description
Outcome variable		
Risk management practices	RMPs	Measured by average rating on a six-point Likert scale of questions on risk prevention, risk detection and risk response
Predictor variables		
Tone at the top management level	TTML	Measured by average rating on a six-point Likert scale of questions on internal processes (inclusive of internal controls), objectivity, and experience and expertise
Internal audit quality	IAQ	Measured by average rating on a six-point Likert scale of questions on scope of service, independence, staff expertise, effective communication and management support
Control Variables		
Firm type	FT	A dummy variable coded as 0 if the firm is domestically owned, 1 if the firm is foreign owned.
Ownership	OWNP	A dummy variable coded as 0 if the firm is domestically owned, 1 if the firm is foreign owned.
Firm size	FS	A dummy variable coded as 0 if the firm is public limited, 1 if the firm is private limited.
	$\theta_0$	Constant
	$\epsilon_j$	Error term

Source: Primary data.

**Table 6. Descriptive statistics for dependent, independent and control variables**

Variables	n	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Tone at the top management level (1)	62	4.27	5.92	5.1503	.30730
Internal audit quality (2)	62	4.21	5.76	5.1744	.30250
Risk management practices (3)	62	3.87	5.73	4.9905	.42912
Firm type (4)	62	0.00	1.00	.2742	.44975
Ownership (5)	62	0.00	1.00	.5645	.49987
Firm size (6)	62	0.00	1.00	.6129	.49106
Valid n (listwise)	62				

**Source:** Primary data.

management in the financial service firms. This implies that effective tone at the top management level, risk management committee and internal audit quality are key towards managing risk in the organization.

To determine whether the firm differences influenced the study variables, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the impact of firm sector on the study variables. The results of the one-way ANOVA presented in Table 7 show that the p-values for all study variables are above 0.05; also, the actual difference in mean scores between the groups on each of the global variables are reasonably small, indicating that the various group differences between firms did not significantly influence their responses on the study variables. Similarly, results in Table 8 suggest that the overall differences between respondents did not bias the results of this study.

#### 4.2. Correlation analysis results

We present Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis of the study variables. Correlations from Table 9 indicate a significant positive relationship between tone at the top management level and risk management practices ( $r = 0.792^{**}$  and  $p < 0.01$ ). Meaning that Tone at the top management level leads to enhanced risk management practices. Thus,  $H1$  is supported. There is also a significant positive relationship between internal audit quality and risk management practices ( $r = 0.719^{**}$  and  $p < 0.01$ ). This means that an increase in internal audit quality leads to improved risk management practices. Therefore,  $H2$  is also supported. The correlation analysis results also show that control variables, that is, firm type, ownership and size are not significantly related at the 1 per cent level. This implies that control variables do not confound the results of testing for the relationship between tone at the top management level, internal audit quality and effective RMPs in the financial service firms. Consequently, the relationship between tone at the top management level effectiveness, risk internal audit quality and effective RMPs is not affected by the control variables.

#### 4.3. Hierarchical regression analysis results

To further test for the sensitivity of the results to the control variables and the contribution of each predictor variable, we performed hierarchical regression analysis as a means of statistical control and for examining incremental validity. Study variables were entered simultaneously within each hierarchical group (Field, 2009; Aiken & West 1991) as shown in Table 10. Standardized versions of the  $\beta$  values were used because they are easier to interpret and are not dependent on the units of measurement of the variables (Field, 2009). The standardized beta values also tell us the number of standard deviations that the outcome will change as a result of one standard deviation change

**Table 7. Global variables and financial institution category**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Firm subsector</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>Significance</b>
Tone at the top management level	Financial institution	30	5.1556	0.21306	2	0.092	0.912
	Insurance companies	15	5.1214	0.39533	59		
	Capital markets sub sector	17	5.1667	0.37400	61		
	Total	62	5.1503	0.30730			
Internal audit quality	Financial institution	30	5.2441	0.19867	2	2.198	0.12
	Insurance companies	15	5.1699	0.40105	59		
	Capital markets sub sector	17	5.0554	0.33526	61		
	Total	62	5.1744	0.30250			
Risk management practices	Financial institution	30	5.0185	0.34746	2	0.595	0.555
	Insurance companies	15	4.8852	0.58523	59		
	Capital markets sub sector	17	5.0340	0.41191	61		
	Total	62	4.9905	0.42912			

**Source:** Primary data.

**Table 8. Global variables and position of respondent's in the firm**

Variables	Respondent's position	n	Mean	SD	df	F	Significance
Tone at the top management level	Chief Finance Officer	54	5.0869	0.47089	2	1.062	0.348
	Internal Audit Manager	55	5.1622	0.54043	157		
	Risk Manager	51	5.2217	0.39930	159		
	Total	160	5.1558	0.47567			
Internal audit quality	Chief Finance Officer	54	5.1340	0.45984	2	0.447	0.640
	Internal Audit Manager	55	5.2096	0.43592	157		
	Risk Manager	51	5.1984	0.44968	159		
	Total	160	5.1805	0.44692			
Risk management practices	Chief Finance Officer	54	5.0012	0.56234	2	0.151	0.860
	Internal Audit Manager	55	4.9782	0.64751	157		
	Risk Manager	51	5.0418	0.59239	159		
	Total	160	5.0063	0.59903			

**Source:** Primary data.

**Table 9. Pearson correlations between the dependent, independent and control variables**

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
Tone at the top management level (1)	1					
Internal audit quality (2)	.748**	1				
Firm type (3)	-.080	-.091	1			
Ownership (4)	.196	.227	.102	1		
Firm size (5)	.144	.017	-.031	.237	1	
Risk management practices (6)	.792**	.719**	-.028	.320*	.248	1

Notes:  $n = 62$ . \*\*indicate that correlation is significant at 0.01 level (one tailed).

Source: Primary data.

in the predictor; thus, they are directly comparable and provide a better insight into the importance of each predictor in the model (Field, 2009).

The hierarchical regression results in Table 10 indicate that Model 1 reports the baseline model with only control variables. The results show that control variables do not explain any significant variance in RMPs. This suggests that the models in this study are not sensitive to confounding factors and the models are highly acceptable (Field, 2009). Results in Models 2 and 3 show that the  $F$  is significant at the 1 per cent level or better with tone at the top management level (standardized  $\beta = 0.694$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) as significant in model 2. Essentially, Model 3 presents the combined effect of all the predictor variables on the outcome variable, and the results show that internal audit quality is the best and significant predictor variable of effective RMPs (standardized  $\beta = 0.529$ \*\*), and tone at the top management level has the least predictive potential of the variance of RMPs in the general model (standardized  $\beta = 0.299$ »). This means that  $H1$  and  $H2$  are both further supported at this level of analysis. This means that when an organization's tone at the top management level is effective and with high-quality internal audits, effective RMPs are likely to be designed, implemented and maintained. Taken together, the predictor variables explain about 67.7 per cent of the variance in RMPs in financial service firms in Uganda. Generally, the results suggest that Model 3 in Table 10 is the most plausible model. The incremental validity in adjusted  $R^2$  in Models 1–3 in Table 10 suggests a better fitting model which develops as tone at the top management level and internal audit quality are successively introduced (Field, 2009) because in

**Table 10. Hierarchical regression results**

Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Constant	4.771	−0.276	−1.149
Tone at the top management level		0.694**	0.299**
Internal audit quality			0.529**
Control variables			
Firm type	−0.051	0.031	0.035
Ownership	0.283	0.11	0.111
Firm size	0.179	0.211	0.141
Model $F$	3.051*	20.126**	26.629**
Adjusted $R^2$	0.092	0.556	0.677
$F$ change	3.051*	61.764**	22.406**
$R^2$ change	0.136	0.449	0.118
Durbin–Watson statistic			1.707

Notes: \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ .

Source: Primary data.

all the cases but Model 1, the F change is significant. Durbin–Watson test was carried out to test for serial correlations between errors in regression models. As a very conservative rule of thumb, values lesser than 1 or greater than 3 are definitely cause for concern, but, the closer to 2 the value is, the better it is (Field, 2009). For this study, the Durbin–Watson statistic was 1.707, which justifies the assumption of independent errors or no serial correlation.

#### 4.4. Discussion

The main theme arising out of this study is that effective RMPs are significantly influenced by appropriate tone at the top management level and high internal audit quality. This infers that agency theory is well suited to explain the relevance of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality in ensuring effective RMPs since most principal–agency associations can be identified among internal stakeholders of an organization (Nalukenge, Nkundabanyanga, & Ntayi, 2018). For example, the results indicate that when management and those charged with governance of the entity design, implement and maintain effective internal controls and there is commitment from the chief executive and executive management of the organization towards RMPs, there is likely to be effective RMPs in the organization. This is consistent with the suggestion that tone at the top management level is crucial in ensuring effective RMPs and responsible for maintaining effective internal controls and for executing risk and control procedures in risk firms (IIA, 2013a) such as financial services firms, making agency theory a relevant framework for understanding variances in RMPs. Further still, the current study concurs with Cheese (2016) on the need to manage and mitigate risks effectively, through understanding corporate cultures, operating models and organizational constructs, leadership and governance, as well as the more traditional talent management practices and processes. At the same time, since tone at the top management level's scope of activities includes the identification, assessment, control, and mitigation of risks (Fraser & Henry, 2007), these roles make it lead the way to overall risk management in the organization. However, the efforts of top managers will be enhanced if contemporaneously they are knowledgeable in risk management, do not have any conflict of interest with the organization and focus on risk issues. That way the top manager's role in managing risk is improved by the enhanced expertise and objectivity. The results also suggest that executive management should allocate appropriate resources for training and the development of an enhanced risk awareness by all stakeholders. In this regard, tone at the top managerial level is seen as an elevator of the risk management efforts in the organization which seems to concur with Sheedy and Lubojanski (2018) observation that older workers as well as those with greater seniority are more likely to report desirable risk management behaviour. Thus, senior staff are expected to play a greater role in promoting risk management in firms that are committed to risk culture. Overall, adequate internal processes, objectivity and experience and expertise are key considerations for tone at the top management level in influencing effective RMPs.

The results further suggest that the individual contribution of internal audit quality to effective RMPs is very vital. It is highly likely that if the organization has an effective tone at the top management level, this will help internal auditors to perform their duties independently. Besides, with adequate management support, internal auditors will be able to access all records as necessary and acquire training of the necessary skills to perform their duties competently to prevent, detect and report the risks. This corroborates with Coetzee (2016) who confirms that internal auditing should play a prominent role in risk-related activities to ensure that the risks threatening an organization are reduced to acceptable levels. Other corroborative studies have indicated that internal auditors are sensitive to factors that may lead to risk disclosures and that when they encounter such factors, internal auditors may be more likely to design tests to search for risk, which in turn can increase the likelihood of detection and reporting (Abdullatif & Kawuq, 2015; Chambers & Odar, 2015; Zwaan et al., 2011). However, our results contradict the findings of Fraser and Henry (2007) who recommended a split of the internal audit and risk management functions to preserve internal audit independence and clarify internal audit roles. Nonetheless, in this study, we suggest that even though a separate risk management function is established in the organization, internal audit remains with the primary role of assisting the top management to

meet the strategic and operational objectives of the organization, by providing an independent and objective evaluation of the adequacy and effectiveness of risk management, controls and governance processes. As a result, the organization's internal audit approach must be aligned with the organization's risk management function by focusing on key strategic, financial, operational, compliance and information technology risks. This links well with the studies of Stewart and Kent (2006) and Ismael and Roberts (2018). The empirical results presented herein further confirm the contemporary literature in this regard, thus contributing to the internal audit quality and effective RMPs literature, particularly that focusing on the financial services sector.

## 5. Summary and conclusion

The purpose of this paper was to examine the contribution made by the tone at the top management level effectiveness and internal audit quality on effective RMPs in the financial services firms. We surveyed 62 financial services firms and we find that tone at the top management level and internal audit quality are significant predictors of effective RMPs. Once the organization has effective tone at the top management level, it is likely to aid internal auditors perform quality audits to enhance effective risk management practices.

This study offers several implications. We explore the role played by the tone at the top management level and internal audit quality in enhancing the effectiveness of RMPs, meaning that organization managers who design, implement and maintain effective internal controls and do not have any conflict of interest with the organization are likely to enhance risk prevention, detection and reporting. For policymakers like the central bank (e.g. Bank of Uganda), the findings of this study will help them in prescribing the operating standards for financial services firms, composition and expertise of the risk management committee and qualifications for internal auditors. Besides, internal auditors should be independent, competent, get adequate support from management and perform their new and expanded activities as per the institute of internal auditors (IIA, 2013a; IIA, 2014a) to ensure quality audits. The results are important for risk management policy development, for example, in terms of prescribing the role of tone at the top management level, and internal audit quality in spearheading risk management in the financial service firms.

Despite the contributions and implications, this study focused on financial services firms in Uganda to determine the contribution of tone at the top management level effectiveness and internal audit quality on risk management. It is possible that these results are only applicable to financial service firms unlike other service firms. The study also used more of quantitative data which sometimes misses certain information and limits the respondent's opinions on the study variables. While care was taken to control for response bias, it is unlikely it could be ruled out completely. However, this study clearly brought out the overall contribution of tone at the top managerial level, and internal audit quality in risk prevention, detection and reporting as necessary.

### Funding

The authors received no direct funding for this research.

### Author details

Frank Kabuye<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [fkabuye@mubs.ac.ug](mailto:fkabuye@mubs.ac.ug)  
ORCID ID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9367-9950>  
Nicholas Bugambiro<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [nbugambiro@mubs.ac.ug](mailto:nbugambiro@mubs.ac.ug)  
Irene Akugizibwe<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [iakugizibwe@mubs.ac.ug](mailto:iakugizibwe@mubs.ac.ug)  
Sharon Nuwasiima<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [snuwasiima@mubs.ac.ug](mailto:snuwasiima@mubs.ac.ug)  
Sharon Naigaga<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [snaigaga@mubs.ac.ug](mailto:snaigaga@mubs.ac.ug)  
<sup>1</sup> Department of Accounting, Makerere University Business School, Kampala, Uganda.

### Citation information

Cite this article as: The influence of tone at the top management level and internal audit quality on the effectiveness of risk management practices in the financial services sector, Frank Kabuye, Nicholas Bugambiro, Irene Akugizibwe, Sharon Nuwasiima & Sharon Naigaga, *Cogent Business & Management* (2019), 6: 1704609.

### References

- Abdullatif, M., & Kawuq, S. (2015). The role of internal auditing in risk management: Evidence from banks in Jordan. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 31(1), 30–50. doi:10.1108/JEAS-08-2013-0025
- Abu Hussain, H., & Al-Ajmi, J. (2012). Risk management practices of conventional and islamic banks in Bahrain. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 13(3), 215–239.

- Ahmad, U., Ibrahim, Y., & Minai, M. S. (2018). Malaysian public-private partnerships: Risk management in build, lease, maintain and transfer projects. *Cogent Business & Management*, 5(1), 1550147. doi:10.1080/23311975.2018.1550147
- Aiken, L.S., & West, S.G. (1991). *Multiple regression: testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Al-Twaijry, A. A., Brierley, J. A., & Gwilliam, D. R. (2003). The development of internal audit in Saudi Arabia: an institutional theory perspective. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 14(5), 507–531.
- Arena, M., & Azzone, G. (2009). Identifying organizational drivers of internal audit effectiveness. *International Journal of Auditing*, 13(1), 43–60.
- Badara, M. S., & Saidin, S. Z. (2013). The journey so far on internal audit effectiveness: a calling for expansion. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 3(3), 240–351. doi: 10.6007/IJARAFMS/v3-i3/225
- Bananuka, J., Tumwebaze, Z., & Orobio, L. A. (2018). The adoption of integrated reporting: A developing country perspective. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*. doi:10.1108/JFRA-09-2017-0089
- Bank of Uganda. (2017). *Annual supervision report, Issue Number 8*. Kampala: Author. Retrieved from <https://islamicmarkets.com/publications/bank-of-uganda-annual-supervision-report-2017>
- Bank of Uganda. (2019, August 20). *Bank of Uganda financial stability report June 2019, Issue number 11*. Kampala: Author. Retrieved from [https://www.bou.or.ug/bou/bouwebsite/bouwebsitecontent/FinancialStability/financial\\_stability/Rpts/All/Financial-Stability-Report-June-2019-final-2.pdf](https://www.bou.or.ug/bou/bouwebsite/bouwebsitecontent/FinancialStability/financial_stability/Rpts/All/Financial-Stability-Report-June-2019-final-2.pdf)
- Bartov, E., Gul, F.A., & Tsui, J.S.L. (2000). Discretionary accruals models and audit qualifications. *Journal Of Accounting and Economics*, 30(3), 421–452.
- Battaglia, F., Fiordelisi, F., & Ricci, O. (2016). Enterprise risk management and bank performance: Evidence from Eastern Europe during the financial crisis. In S. Boubaker, B. Buchanan, & D. K. Nguyen (Eds.), *Risk Management in Emerging Markets: Issues, Framework, and Modeling* (pp. 295–334). UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Beasley, M. S., Clune, R., & Hermanson, D. R. (2005). Enterprise risk management: An empirical analysis of factors associated with the extent of implementation. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 24(6), 521–531. doi:10.1108/S1474-78712018000030002
- Berger, V. W., & Zhang, J. (2005). Simple random sampling. *Encyclopedia of Statistics in Behavioral Science*.
- Bezzina, F., Grima, S., & Mamo, J. (2014). Risk management practices adopted by financial firms in Malta. *Managerial Finance*, 40(6), 587–612. doi:10.1108/MF-08-2013-0209
- Burnaby, P., & Hass, S. (2009). A summary of the global common body of knowledge 2006 (CBOK) study in internal auditing. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 24(9), 813–834. doi:10.1108/02686900910994782
- Carretta, A., Farina, V., & Schwizer, P. (2010). Assessing effectiveness and compliance of banking boards. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 18(4), 356–369. doi:10.1108/13581981011093677
- Castanheira, N., Rodrigues, L. L., & Craig, R. (2009). Factors associated with the adoption of risk-based internal auditing. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 25(1), 79–98. doi:10.1108/02686901011007315
- Centenary Bank. (2017). *Centenary bank, annual report 2017*. Retrieved from <http://www.centenarybank.co.ug/sites/default/files/2017%20Annual%20Report%20for%20w eb.pdf>
- Chambers, A. D., & Odar, M. (2015). A new vision for internal audit. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 30(1), 34–55. doi:10.1108/MAJ-08-2014-1073
- Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA). (2009). *Fraud risk management: A guide to good practice*. Retrieved from [www.cimaglobal.com](http://www.cimaglobal.com)
- Cheese, P. (2016). Managing risk and building resilient organisations in a riskier world. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 3(3), 323–331. doi:10.1108/JOEPP-07-2016-0044
- Chornous, G., & Ursulenko, G. (2013). Risk management in banks: New approaches to risk assessment and information supporting. *EKONOMIKA*, 92(1), 1392–1258. doi:10.15388/Ekon.2013.0.1131
- Coetzee, P., Fourie, H., & Burnaby, P.A. (2015). The growth of the internal audit profession is more than just numbers: fact or fiction? *Evidence from South Africa*, " *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 30(6/7), 514–538.
- Coetzee, P. P. (2016). Contribution of internal auditing to risk management: Perceptions of public sector senior management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 29(4), 348–364. doi:10.1108/IJPSM-12-2015-0215
- Cohen, A., & Sayag, G. (2010). The effectiveness of internal auditing: an empirical examination of its determinants in Israeli organizations. *Australian Accounting Review*, 54 (20), 296–307. doi: 10.1111/j.1835-2561.2010.00092.x
- Cohen, J., Krishnamoorthy, G., & Wright, A. (2002). Corporate governance and the audit process. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 19, 573–592.
- Collier, B. L., Haughwout, A. F., Kunreuther, H. C., Michel-Kerjan, E. O., & Stewart, M. A. (2016). Firm age and size and the financial management of infrequent shocks. NBER working paper 22612. JEL classification: G32, G28, G22, D22, L25, Q54. Retrieved from [http://opim.wharton.upenn.edu/risk/library/WP201609\\_Collier-et-al\\_Firm-Age-and-Size\\_Infrequent-Shocks.pdf](http://opim.wharton.upenn.edu/risk/library/WP201609_Collier-et-al_Firm-Age-and-Size_Infrequent-Shocks.pdf)
- Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP). (2015). *Fraud in Uganda: How millions were lost to internal collusion*. Retrieved from <http://www.cgap.org/blog/fraud>
- Deloitte. (2013a). *Financial crime survey report 2013: Where is the exposure?* Retrieved from [http://Deloitte\\_Financial\\_Crimes\\_Survey\\_Report-2013.pdf](http://Deloitte_Financial_Crimes_Survey_Report-2013.pdf)
- Deloitte. (2013b). *Governance in focus: Effectiveness of the external audit process. A framework for assessing the effectiveness of the external audit process*. Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/uk/Documents/audit/deloitte-uk-auditgovernance-in-focus-effectiveness-of-the-external-audit-sep2013.pdf>
- Dowling, G. (2006). Reputation risk: It is the board's ultimate responsibility. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 27 (2), 59–68. doi:10.1108/02756660610650055
- Dresp-Langley, B. (2009). The communication contract and its ten ground clauses. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 87, 415–455. doi:10.1007/s10551-008-9929-3
- Egbuji, A. (1999). Risk management of organisational records. *Records Management Journal*, 9(2), 93–116. doi:10.1108/EUM000000007245
- Elshandidy, T., & Neri, L. (2014). Corporate governance, risk disclosure practices, and market liquidity: Comparative evidence from the UK and Italy. *Corporate Governance: an International Review*. doi:10.1111/corg.1209
- Endaya, K.A., & Hanefah, M.M. (2013). Internal audit effectiveness: An approach proposition to develop

- the theoretical framework research. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 4(10), 92–102.
- Eng, L. L., & Mak, Y. T. (2003). Corporate governance and voluntary disclosure. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 22(4), 325–345. doi:10.1016/S0278-4254(03)00037-1
- Ernest, & Young. (2018, June 05). Global banking outlook 2018 Pivoting toward an innovation-led strategy. Retrieved from <file:///D:/IA%20and%20RM/ey-global-banking-outlook-2018.pdf>
- Feizizadeh, A. (2012). Strengthening internal audit effectiveness. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 5 (5), 2777–2778.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using spss* (3rd ed.). London: Sage.
- Fraser, I., & Henry, W. (2007). Embedding risk management: Structures and approaches. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 22(4), 392–409. doi:10.1108/02686900710741955
- Garson, G.D. (2012). Testing Statistical Assumptions, 2012 ed., Statistical Associates publishing.
- Gatzert, N., & Schmit, J. (2016). Supporting strategic success through enterprise-wide reputation risk management. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 17(1), 26–45. doi:10.1108/JRF-09-2015-0083
- Goodwin, J. (2004). A comparison of internal audit in the private and public sectors. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(5), 640–650.
- Grasshoff, G., Pfuhler, T., Coppola, M., Mogul, Z., Villafranca, V., Gittfried, N., ... Wiegand, C. (2018, June 11). Global risk 2018: Future-proofing the bank risk agenda. Retrieved from <https://www.bcg.com/publications/2018/global-risk-2018-future-proofing-bank-agenda.aspx>
- Gul, F. A., & Leung, S. (2004). Board leadership, outside directors' expertise and voluntary corporate disclosures. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 23(5), 351–379. doi:10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2004.07.001
- Gyensare, M., Arthur, R., Twumasi, E., & Agyapong, J.-A. (2019). Leader effectiveness – The missing link in the relationship between employee voice and engagement. *Cogent Business & Management*, 6(1), 1634910. doi:10.1080/23311975.2019.1634910
- Hafizah, N., & Abidin, Z. (2016). Factors influencing the implementation of risk-based auditing. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 25 (3), 361–375.
- Hassan, M. K. (2009). UAE corporations-specific characteristics and level of risk disclosure. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 24(7), 668–687. doi:10.1108/02686900910975378
- Ho, S. S. M., & Shun Wong, K. (2001). A study of the relationship between corporate governance structures and the extent of voluntary disclosure. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 10(2), 139–156. doi:10.1016/S1061-9518(01)00041-6
- Horton, T. (2002). Tone at the top. *Directors and Boards*, 26(4), 8–13.
- Huang, Y. (2004). Is symmetrical communication ethical and effective? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 53, 333–352. doi:10.1023/B:BUSI.0000043494.17425.c6
- IIA. (2013a). The three lines of defense in effective risk management and control. Retrieved from [www.globaliia.org](http://www.globaliia.org).
- IIA. (2014a). Managing the business risk of fraud: A practical guide. Retrieved from [www.acfe.com/uploadedFiles/ACFE\\_Website/Content/documents/managing-businessrisk.pdf](http://www.acfe.com/uploadedFiles/ACFE_Website/Content/documents/managing-businessrisk.pdf)
- IIA. (2014b). The institute of internal auditors; managing the business risk of fraud: A practical guide. Retrieved from [www.theiia.org/fraud-white-paper/Fraud%20Exec%20Summary.pdf](http://www.theiia.org/fraud-white-paper/Fraud%20Exec%20Summary.pdf)
- IIA Uganda Chapter. (2015). The 10th national internal audit conference. Retrieved from [www.newvision.co.ug/new\\_vision/news/1321504/institute-internal-auditors-uganda](http://www.newvision.co.ug/new_vision/news/1321504/institute-internal-auditors-uganda)
- Ismael, H. R., & Roberts, C. (2018). Factors affecting the voluntary use of internal audit: Evidence from the UK. *Managerial Auditing Journal*. doi:doi:10.1108/MAJ-08-2016-1425
- Johari, R. J., Alam, M. M., & Said, J. (2018). Assessment of management commitment in Malaysian public sector. *Cogent Business & Management*, 5(1), 1469955. doi:10.1080/23311975.2018.1469955
- Kabuye, F., Kato, J., Akugizibwe, I., & Bugambiro, N. (2019). Internal control systems, working capital management and financial performance of supermarkets. *Cogent Business & Management*, 6(1), 1573524. doi:10.1080/23311975.2019.1573524
- Kabuye, F., Nkundabanyanga, S. K., Opiso, J., & Nakabuye, Z. (2017). Internal audit organisational status, competencies, activities and fraud management in the financial services sector. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 32(9), 924–944. doi:10.1108/MAJ-09-2016-1452
- Karagiorgos, T., Drogalas, G., & Giovanis, N. (2011). Evaluation of the effectiveness of internal audit in greek hotel business. *International Journal Of Economic Sciences and Applied Research*, 4(1), 19–34.
- Khalid, S., & Amjad, S. (2012). Risk management practices in islamic banks of pakistan. *Journal Of Risk Finance*, 13(2), 148–159.
- Kim, S. S. (2019). The role of knowledge and organizational support in explaining managers' active risk management behavior. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 32(2), 345–363. doi:10.1108/JEIM-07-2018-0159
- KPMG. (2015). East Africa insurance fraud risk survey 2015. Retrieved from <http://isaca.or.ke/downloads/Embedding-Data-Analytics-in-Fraud-Auditing.pdf>
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). *Determining sample size for research activities, educational and psychological measurement*. London: Sage Publications.
- Laviada, A. F. (2007). Internal audit function role in operational risk management. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 15(2), 143–155. doi:10.1108/13581980710744039
- Law, P. (2011). Corporate governance and no fraud occurrence in organizations. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 26(6), 501–518. doi:10.1108/02686901111142558
- Levene, H. (1960). In *Contributions to probability and statistics*. (Ed.) Palo Alto. 278–292.
- Little, A.J.R. (1988). A test of missing completely at random for multivariate data with missing values. *Journal Of The American Statistical Association*, 83 (404), 1198–1202.
- McEvily, B., & Marcus, A. (2005). Embedded ties and the acquisition of competitive capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(11), 1033–1055. doi:10.1002/(ISSN)1097-0266
- Mihret, D. G., James, K., & Joseph, M. M. (2010). Antecedents and organizational performance implications of internal audit effectiveness: some propositions and research agenda. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 22(3), 224–252.
- Mihret, D.G., & Yismaw, A.W. (2007). Internal audit effectiveness: an ethiopian public sector case study. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 22(5), 470–484.
- Nalukenge, I., Nkundabanyanga, S. K., & Ntayi, J. M. (2018). Corporate governance, ethics, internal controls and compliance with IFRS. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 16(4), 764–786.

- Nordin, N., & Hamid, M. A. (2013). Corporate risk management, firm characteristics, ownership structure, and governance attributes of banks: A case of Malaysia. *Prosiding Perkem VIII, Jilid, 2*(1), 655–660. ISSN: 2231-962X.
- Norman, C, Rose, A, & Rose, J. (2010). Internal audit reporting lines. *Fraud Risk Decomposition, and Assessments Of Fraud Risk, Accounting, Organizations and Society, 35*(5), 546–557.
- Parisi, F., Clements, S., & Cornejo, E. (2016). Risk management in a transition economy: The Chilean case. In S. Boubaker, B. Buchanan, & D. K. Nguyen (Eds.), *Risk management in emerging markets* (pp. 399–421).
- PWC. (2017). Uganda Economic Outlook 2017. Retrieved from [www.pwc.com/ug](http://www.pwc.com/ug)
- Rooney, J., & Cuganesan, S. (2015). Leadership, governance and the mitigation of risk: A case study. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 30*(2), 132–159. doi:10.1108/MAJ-08-2014-1078
- Rosman, R. (2009). Risk management practices and risk management processes of islamic banks: a proposed framework, International Review of Business Research Paper, 5 (1), 242–254.
- Roussy, M., & Brivot, M. (2016). Internal audit quality: A polysemous notion? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 29*(5), 714–738. doi:10.1108/AAAJ-10-2014-1843
- Safari, R., Shateri, M., Baghiabadi, H. S., & Hozhabrnejad, N. (2016). The significance of risk management for banks and other financial service firms. *International Journal of Research Grant Haalayah, 4*(4), 74–81.
- Samaha, K., Dahawy, K., Hussainey, K., & Stapleton, P. (2012). The extent of corporate governance disclosure and its determinants in a developing market: The case of Egypt. *Advances in Accounting, 28*, 168–178. doi:10.1016/j.adiac.2011.12.001
- Sarens, G., & De Beelde, I. (2006). Internal auditors' perception about their role in risk management. A comparison between US and Belgian companies. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 21*(1), 63–80. doi:10.1108/02686900610634766
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2012). *Methods for business students*. Harlow: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Sheedy, E., & Lubojanski, M. (2018). Risk management behaviour in banking. *Managerial Finance, 44*(7), 902–918. doi:10.1108/MF-11-2017-0465
- Sheehan, N. T. (2009). Making risk pay: The board's role. *Journal of Business Strategy, 30*(1), 33–39. doi:10.1108/02756660910926957
- Sherafatmand, H., & Yazdani, S. (2014). The management of price risk in Iranian dates: An application of futures instruments. *Cogent Economics & Finance, 2*(1), 946998. doi:doi:10.1080/23322039.2014.946998
- Soh, D.S.B, & Martinov-Bennie, N. (2011). The internal audit function: perceptions of internal audit roles, effectiveness, and evaluation. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 26*(7), 605–622.
- Spira, L.F. & Page, M. (2003). Risk Management: The Reinvention of Internal Control and the Changing Role of Internal Audit. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 16*, 640–661. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09513570310492335>.
- Ssekiziyivu, B., Mwesigwa, R., Joseph, M., & Nkote Nabeta, I. (2017). Credit allocation, risk management and loan portfolio performance of MFIs – A case of Ugandan firms. *Cogent Business & Management, 4*(1), 1374921. doi:doi:10.1080/23311975.2017.1374921
- Stanbic Bank. (2017). Stanbic Bank, Annual Report 2017. Retrieved from [https://www.stanbicbank.co.ug/stan-dimg/Uganda/fileDownloads/UG\\_FinancialReport2017.pdf](https://www.stanbicbank.co.ug/stan-dimg/Uganda/fileDownloads/UG_FinancialReport2017.pdf)
- Stewart, J, & Subramaniam, N. (2010). Internal audit independence and objectivity: emerging research opportunities. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 25*(4), 328–360.
- Stewart, J. G., & Kent, P. (2006). The use of internal audit by Australian companies. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 21*(1), 81–101. doi:10.1108/02686900610634775
- Subramaniam, N., McManus, L., & Zhang, J. (2009). Corporate governance, firm characteristics and risk management committee formation in Australian companies. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 24*(4), 316–339. doi:10.1108/02686900910948170
- Tumwebaze, Z., Mukyala, V., Ssekiziyivu, B., Tirisa, C. B., & Tumwebonire, A. (2018). Corporate governance, internal audit function and accountability in statutory corporations. *Cogent Business & Management, 5*(1), 1527054. doi:10.1080/23311975.2018.1527054
- Vinnari, E, & Skaerbaek, P. (2014). The uncertainties of risk management: a field study on risk management internal audit practices in a finnish municipality. *accounting. Auditing & Accountability Journal, 27*(3), 489–526.
- Zwaan, L., Stewart, J., & Subramaniam, N. (2011). Internal audit involvement in enterprise risk management. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 26*(7), 586–604. doi:10.1108/02686901111151323



© 2019 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format.

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

No additional restrictions

You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.



***Cogent Business & Management* (ISSN: 2331-1975) is published by Cogent OA, part of Taylor & Francis Group.**

**Publishing with Cogent OA ensures:**

- Immediate, universal access to your article on publication
- High visibility and discoverability via the Cogent OA website as well as Taylor & Francis Online
- Download and citation statistics for your article
- Rapid online publication
- Input from, and dialog with, expert editors and editorial boards
- Retention of full copyright of your article
- Guaranteed legacy preservation of your article
- Discounts and waivers for authors in developing regions

**Submit your manuscript to a Cogent OA journal at [www.CogentOA.com](http://www.CogentOA.com)**

