

Coelho, Alfredo; Castillo-Girón, Victor Manuel

Article

The medium of exchange in mergers and acquisitions: The cases of travel agencies and tour operators

Administrative Sciences

Provided in Cooperation with:

MDPI – Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, Basel

Suggested Citation: Coelho, Alfredo; Castillo-Girón, Victor Manuel (2020) : The medium of exchange in mergers and acquisitions: The cases of travel agencies and tour operators, Administrative Sciences, ISSN 2076-3387, MDPI, Basel, Vol. 10, Iss. 4, pp. 1-13,
<https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci10040097>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/240087>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Article

The Medium of Exchange in Mergers and Acquisitions: The Cases of Travel Agencies and Tour Operators

Alfredo Coelho ^{1,*} and Victor Manuel Castillo-Girón ²¹ Department Enterprises and Territories, Bordeaux Sciences Agro, 33170 Gradignan, France² CUValles, University of Guadalajara, 45 100 Zapopan, Mexico; victorm@cucea.udg.mx

* Correspondence: alfredo.coelho@agro-bordeaux.fr

Received: 5 August 2020; Accepted: 10 October 2020; Published: 27 November 2020



Abstract: The choice of the means of exchange is one of the critical decisions in mergers and acquisitions. Travel agencies and tour operating-industries compete in a volatile environment, and in addition to generating economies of scale, investments in the industry involve important sunk costs. This paper's focus is on the determinants of the medium of exchange in mergers and acquisitions in the travel and tour-operating industries. Previous research on the 'law and finance' perspectives argues that some country of origin legal systems offer better investment protection to investors. Accordingly, civil law-based systems offer weaker protection to investors when compared to common-law-based systems. For this purpose, we use a logistics regression to model the determinants of cash in a sample of 750 mergers and acquisitions by combining the research streams above. Our results provide evidence of the relationship between investor protection and the use of cash.

Keywords: payment method; cash; mergers and acquisitions; tourism intermediaries

1. Introduction

Mergers and acquisitions are a common form in which for firms to expand, particularly in the case of travel agencies and tour operators. The study of the means of exchange in mergers and acquisitions impacts the financial outcomes of the transactions. The shareholders of the initiator and of the acquired firm need to balance between managing risks and returns (Myers and Majluf 1984; Hansen 1987; Huang et al. 2016).

The service industries of tour operators and travel agencies are generally described as intermediaries, connecting customers with service providers (Klemm and Parkinson 2001; Chand 2011; Romero et al. 2019). For the purpose of this study, as a reference, we follow the definitions of the standard industrial classification (SIC) to select the target industries. Therefore, a 'travel agency' is a company that 'furnishes travel information and acts as agent in arranging tours, transportation, car rentals, and lodging for travelers' (SIC Code 4724). Travel agencies are important intermediaries between producers (hotels, rental car firms, cruise lines, airlines, etc.) and end-users (prospective travelers) (Lewis and Talayevsky 1997). Similarly, a 'tour operator' includes the companies 'primarily engaged in arranging and assembling tours for sale through travel agents' (SIC Code 4725).

These firms show very little loyalty to tourism destinations (Ioannides and Debbage 1998). Furthermore, in service industries performances are mainly intangible. Services are value creators which provide benefits for consumers in specific times and locations (Lovell 1983).

In recent years, firms have been impacted by increasing consolidation in the industry and a wave of new entrants (online companies, independents, direct sales, etc.) reshaping market structures (Klemm and Parkinson 2001). Mergers and acquisitions foster concentration and consolidation and

provided strategic learning opportunities (innovative products and services, technology accelerators, etc.). The profitability of tour operators is driven by the following strategies: vertical and horizontal integration, improved market segmentation and targeting, and branding (Klemm and Parkinson 2001; Theuvsen 2004; Picazo and Moreno-Gil 2018), as well as technological innovations, changes in demand, and new patterns in tourist distribution channels (Hatton 2004; Aguiar-Quintana et al. 2016).

Surprisingly, very few investigations have addressed the determinants or the influence of mergers and acquisitions in the industry. For example, by using a data envelopment analysis (DEA) method, Assaf et al. (2011) documented how mergers and acquisitions impacted positively on tour operators' efficiency. Yilmaz and Altintas (2007) explained the impact of concentration of travel operators from Germany and Europe in the Turkish tourism market through vertical integration and geographic expansion. Clerides et al. (2008) indicated that the four main tour operators in the UK control more than half of the market share, and they are vertically integrated both upstream (air transportation) and downstream (travel agencies). Furthermore, as those firms tend to be multi-location, cross-border mergers and acquisitions lead to the creation of major gatekeepers in the tourism industry (Theuvsen 2004).

Therefore, this study explores what means of exchange occur most frequently and in what circumstances.

As far as we know, this is the first study to address the choice of the means of exchange in mergers and acquisitions in travel agencies and tour operators. Furthermore, in the context of related literature emphasizing studies in Western-centered countries, this paper points out the need to extend research on mergers and acquisitions to Asian countries.

The first part reviews the main conceptual streams related to the choice of the means of exchange in mergers and acquisitions. Following the literature review, we introduce the data and methods, followed by the presentation of the results of the logistics regression. The last part of this paper discusses our findings and conclusions.

2. Mergers and Acquisitions Occur Frequently in Travel and Tourism

2.1. There Are Incentives to Merge/Acquire in This Industry

As an intermediary, the main functions of tour operators consist in providing access to information and lowering transaction costs for end-users (I) and making a contribution to increase the occupancy rates and reduce the cost of advertising for suppliers (II) (Sheldon 1986). To remain competitive in the industry, firms make considerable investments in information technology and marketing (sunk costs) (Ioannides and Debbage 1998).

The development of the tour operators was fostered not only through mergers and acquisitions but also through strategic alliances and partnerships (see, for example, Sheldon (1986); Chand and Katou (2012); Chand (2011)). In the UK context, strategic behavior included vertical integration with retailers and airlines, pricing and contracting systems in the resort, and market segmentation. Continuous concentration among the top firms led to an oligopolistic situation (Klemm and Parkinson 2001). Many touristic destinations are often portrayed in advertising as 'homogenous and interchangeable', as large firms are able to easily substitute destinations in response to changes at destination or at origin (Ioannides and Debbage 1998; Picazo and Moreno-Gil 2018).

Such strategies are controversial because their ultimate goals tend not to include the long-term development of tourist destinations (Klemm and Parkinson 2001). As intermediaries, their geographic scope and market power impact the management and performance of small and medium companies located downstream of the value chain (Romero et al. 2019).

Travel agencies also face a major shift in competition (Lewis and Talayevsky 1997; Aguiar-Quintana et al. 2016; Chang 2020) with a high rate of firms exiting the business and increasing concentration between traditional travel agencies and newcomers (online competitors). As tourism packages are not protected by patent rights, imitation among peer firms is common (Chang 2020). These trends foster

strategies of vertical and horizontal concentration and the search for economies of scale and scope. Cross-border investments might be attractive to undertake the control of large national travel agencies (Aguilar-Quintana et al. 2016). The volume of mergers and acquisitions involving travel agencies is by far more important than for tour operators (see Table 1).

Table 1. Summary statistics of the sample and of the top six target countries.

| Target Countries | # | Cash Only | Mixed Payment | Shares Only |
|--------------------------------------|-----|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Sub-total (2 industries) | 717 | 558 | 83 | 76 |
| Travel Agencies | 598 | 456 | 73 | 69 |
| Tour Operators | 119 | 102 | 10 | 7 |
| Payment Methods (SIC 4725 & 4725) | | 77.8% | 11.6% | 10.6% |
| United Kingdom % | 140 | 107 76.4% | 26 18.6% | 7 5.0% |
| United States % | 111 | 62 55.9% | 26 4.1% | 23 20.7% |
| China (mainland) % | 58 | 44 75.9% | 8 23.4% | 6 10.3% |
| Japan % | 45 | 37 82.2% | 0 0% | 8 17.8% |
| Australia % | 41 | 25 60.9% | 9 21.9% | 7 17.1% |
| South Korea % | 34 | 27 79.4% | 0 0% | 7 20.6% |

2.2. The Industry Is Subject to Uncertainty and Risks

Travel agencies and tour operating activities are also portrayed as risky business (low prices, discounts, bankruptcies, etc.). Sheldon (1986) documented that, for the period 1978–1985, the entry and exit from the American tour operator industry was easy and reported significant performance differences between large firms and small and medium size operators. Many tour operators exited the industry during and after the international financial crisis (Assaf et al. 2011). Within the European Union, the harmonization of competition rules also facilitated cross-border mergers and acquisitions and the standardization of marketing strategies (Picazo and Moreno-Gil 2018). For example, Spanish tour operators acquired some of the leading Portuguese firms; Netherlands-based firms acquired tour operators in Italy and Germany.

2.3. The Transactions Happen in a Context of Imperfect Information

The choice of the means of exchange is a critical decision in mergers and acquisitions, particularly in businesses such as the travel agencies and tour operators as those businesses are influenced by many uncertainties and risks (weather conditions, political risks, safety issues, etc.) (see, for example, Oroian and Gheres 2012). When uncertainties arise, acquirers have more difficulties assessing the value of the target firm and will prefer to use means of exchange that facilitate risk sharing on future performances (examples: earn-outs, shares). Furthermore, financial markets' imperfections influence mergers and acquisitions and cash policies for some firms. In such conditions, acquirers often face difficulties to assess the value of the target firms either overpricing or underpricing the assets. To solve evaluation discrepancies, acquirers choose an appropriate means of payment that mitigates risks of misevaluation.

More precisely, according to the rational payment theory, the acquirers can choose between setting a fixed price (such as cash, debt, etc.) or a contingent payment (earn-outs, etc.) to finance the transaction and mitigating the valuation risks.

Several research streams address this issue in order to explain the strategy of acquirers and sellers in mergers and acquisitions. The means of exchange in mergers and acquisitions is usually conditioned by several factors: asymmetric information variations, taxes, equity control structures, past performances, the alternative opportunities available for investors, and the solvency ratio.

Information asymmetries influence the choice of the means of exchange (Leland and Pyle 1977; Myers and Majluf 1984; Hansen 1987; Fishman 1989).

Asymmetries of information in mergers and acquisition relates to acquirer misevaluation. In this case, the means of exchange can be used as an instrument for resolving the asymmetry of information between managers and investors.

Several other studies have focused on the acquirer's trade-offs between cash and shares in the presence of asymmetric information to prevent misevaluation (Hansen 1987; Stulz 1988; Fishman 1989; Eckbo et al. 1990; Huang et al. 2016). Accordingly, the literature predicts that cash offers enable an acquirer to avoid the mispricing arising from information asymmetry concerning the acquirer's value. On the contrary, shares offered as consideration can help the acquirer to reduce the cost of an excessive payment related to the misevaluation of the target's value. Therefore, shares facilitate risk sharing in cases where the merger is not completely successful. Eckbo et al. (1990) stated that neither payments in cash nor shares could mitigate such risks related to post-acquisition performances. However, contingent payments could solve asymmetric information problems.

Current theories lead to opposite predictions on the effects of the target information on the choice of the means of exchange (Zhang et al. 2020). Acquirers can make use of the means of exchange to solve information asymmetries related to the target or, alternatively, they can adopt an opportunistic behavior, to take advantage of the information weaknesses associated with less informed targets (Shleifer and Vishny 2003; Zhang et al. 2020). Another theoretical explanation is derived from the mimetic behavior of the acquirer in the choice of the means of exchange: acquirers imitate payment decisions in the target country in presence of strong uncertainties (Chhabra and Popli 2018).

Some other alternative theoretical contributions showed that equity control (Stulz 1988; Amihud et al. 1990; Faccio and Masulis 2005), past experience of the bidders (Travlos 1987), and the expected gains from target shareholders also determine the choice of the means of exchange.

An acquirer must determine the means of exchange related to the offer on a merger and acquisition deal, that is, whether the payment will be in the form of cash, debt, equity, or a mixed offer. Those trade-offs can vary across industries (García-Feijóo et al. 2012).

Several other reasons, either firm-specific, deal-specific or country-specific, influence the choice of the means of exchange, including the uniqueness of the acquirer and target firms (size, location, listed or private companies, etc.) and the distinctive features of the legal and institutional environment (La Porta et al. 1999). Legal origins (civil law, common law, etc.) influence the environment of mergers and acquisitions and therefore the decisions related to the choice of the exchange means. According to La Porta et al. (2008), this is particularly relevant in cross-border transactions.

Therefore, the choice of the means of exchange has important consequences for the information revealed by the acquirer and the target and to the outcomes of the transaction.

The concise choice of the means of exchange can also reduce the risk of overpayment and deal failure. Bidders use more stock in cross-border deals to mitigate risk in target countries (Huang et al. 2016).

An alternative view is represented by the agency theory model (Jensen 1986). This theory considers the classic conflict between principals (shareholders) and agents (managers) arising because of 'cash'. This theory predicts, on the one hand, that shareholders want higher dividends, and on the other hand, that managers prefer to keep cash reserves for making future investments.

Therefore, the choice of the means of exchange can be driven by other motivations. As a synthesis, we can conclude that the means of exchange are an important, yet understudied, aspect of the

transactions. This study explores what means occur most frequently and under what circumstances. The literature review highlights that there are incentives to merge/acquire in the industry (I), the industry is subject to uncertainty and risk (II), and the transactions happen in a context of imperfect information (III). With these factors in mind, the study hypothesizes that the choice of the means of exchange is influenced by the legal, regulatory, and institutional environments where the target firms are located (La Porta et al. 1998, 2008).

3. Data and Methods

3.1. The Context

This paper's focus is on the determinants of the choice of the means of exchange in mergers and acquisitions among tour operators and travel agencies. Tour operators and travel agencies are polarized, with strong asymmetries between firms (Sheldon 1986). Mergers and acquisitions are an important strategy to help firms to increase their size and to achieve economies of scale and scope, and to adapt in different legal and institutional settings.

3.2. Modeling the Determinants of Cash

In the following section we introduce a model to assess the determinants of 'cash' in the travel agencies and tour operators' industries.

3.2.1. Dependent Variable

We use a binary logistic regression to test the determinants of cash (see Tables 1–3). We model the means of exchange as a binary variable that takes the value of "1" if the payment method includes 100 percent of cash and it takes the value of "0" if the payment method includes other types of financing, including mixed payment methods (cash, shares, etc.).

Table 2. Variable definitions.

| Variable | Definition |
|-----------------|---|
| Payment | Payment is the dependent variable (dichotomy). It takes the value of "1" if the payment was made with cash. It takes the value "0" if otherwise. Source: SDC. |
| SameSIC3 | Intra-industry concentration. It equals to "1" if the Target and the Acquirer share the same 3-digit SIC code. It equals to "0" if otherwise. Source: SDC. |
| Attit | Attitude. This variable equals to "1" if the case of a 'friendly' transaction. It takes the value of "0" if otherwise. |
| Merger | Acquisition mode. This variable equals to "1" if the case of a 'merger'. It takes the value of "0" if otherwise. |
| TargetListed | Number of public listings in the stock exchange: Target company. Source: SDC. |
| TargetCivilLaw | Value equals to "1" if the countries share the same Civil Law tradition. It takes the value of "0" if otherwise. Source: La Porta et al. (1999). |
| AcqEcFreedom | Financial Freedom in the Acquirer country (2018). Source: Heritage Foundation. |
| ProtMinority | Protection of Minority Investors in the Acquirer country. Index (0–10). Source: World Bank. |
| CreditorRights | Getting Credit. Strength of legal rights index (0–12) in the acquirer country. Source: World Bank. |
| QualityJudicial | Quality of judicial processes index (0–18) in the acquirer country. Source: Doing Business. |
| AcqListed | Number of public listings in the stock exchange: Acquiring company. Source: SDC. |

Table 3. Summary of the descriptive statistics.

| Variables | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----------|------|------|
| Payment | 717 | 0.776848 | 0.4166501 | 0 | 1 |
| SameSIC3 | 717 | 0.3988842 | 0.4900107 | 0 | 1 |
| Attit | 717 | 0.9037657 | 0.2951182 | 0 | 1 |
| Merger | 717 | 0.1352859 | 0.3422675 | 0 | 1 |
| TargetListed | 526 | 0.4087452 | 0.6341395 | 0 | 6 |
| TargCivilLaw | 709 | 0.1622003 | 0.3688947 | 0 | 1 |
| AcqEcFreedom | 702 | 73.71966 | 8.684443 | 51.4 | 90.2 |
| ProtMinority | 673 | 6.111738 | 1.10062 | 3 | 8.7 |
| CreditorRights | 702 | 7.287749 | 2.651911 | 1 | 12 |
| QualityJudicial | 702 | 12.68718 | 2.85274 | 3 | 15.5 |
| AcqListed | 545 | 0.6568807 | 0.5195386 | 0 | 3 |

3.2.2. Explanatory Variables

The choice of the means of exchange can be impacted by the firms' characteristics (target/acquirer), the transaction, and the uniqueness of the firms' business environment.

A detailed explanation of the explanatory variables is listed in the table hereafter. The operationalization of the explanatory variables is consistent with the previous literature.

Consistent with earlier research, the likelihood of an acquirer and target being in the same industry (Same SIC3) is higher for all-share deals and lowest for all-cash deals.

Cash facilitates deal completion. It decreases the likelihood of bid rejection by the management of the target firm and of a competitive bidder (Fishman 1989) (Attit).

Managers of the acquiring firm tend to be reluctant to acquire a non-listed firm (TargetListed) with shares because it tends to create block-holders that might want to control managers' actions.

Previous studies in mergers and acquisitions showed the impact of geographic and institutional factors on the choice of the exchange method. The origin of the legal rules influences the coverage of protection of owners and creditors (La Porta et al. 1998, 2008). Therefore, we also controlled for the influence of origin of legal systems (Civil law versus other systems such as the Common law or German-origin law).

Following Feito-Ruiz et al. (2015), acquiring shareholders' value, mergers and acquisitions paid with cash in countries with strong legal and institutional environments were higher due to the higher costs of extracting private benefits. However, the cost of external financing is lower in countries with strong legal and institutional environments, and the price demanded by target shareholders to accept the acquiring firm's stocks is lower. Therefore, we would expect that acquiring shareholders' value, mergers and acquisitions paid with cash would be worse.

Economic Freedom (i.e., 'Acquirer Economic Freedom') is a measure obtained from the Heritage Foundation. It is an index that measures the degree of economic freedom within a country and it was collected for each host country. It is based on 10 specific, freedom-related criteria, such as trade policy, taxation, government intervention, foreign investment policy, banking, pricing controls, property rights and regulation (Feito-Ruiz et al. 2015).

In the final equation we suppressed the variables geographic distance and language as those variables were highly correlated with the number of cross-border operations.

3.3. Sample

We test the influence of the legal and institutional environment (Shleifer and Vishny 2003) in the choice of means of exchange in mergers and acquisitions in the industry of travel agencies and tour operators.

Data was collected through the SDC Thomson Financial database. SDC provides authoritative, consistent, and global coverage of mergers and acquisitions worldwide in different industries. The sampling procedure follows identical previous studies (see, for example, Faccio and Masulis 2005).

A summary of the descriptive statistics of the dependent and independent variables is presented in Tables 1 and 3. We used the following screening criteria to extract the data:

1. Our data includes a sample of approximately 750 completed deals worldwide for the period 1990 through mid-August 2018;
2. The target is a firm included in the U.S. Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes 4724—Travel Agencies and 4725—Tour Operators;
3. There is no restriction on the choice of the target country or in the deal value;
4. The database includes public and private corporate transactions.

Non-completed transactions, withdrawn transactions and transactions pending and subject to regulatory approval were not considered. The sample includes transactions involving all the public and private firms.

Target firms included in the sample are as follows: 598 travel agencies (SIC 4724) and 119 tour operators (SIC 4725). The transactions involving ‘cash only’ are the most widespread in the industry. However, the transactions targeting operations in some countries include a significant amount of payments in shares only, surpassing 15 percent of the total (United States, Australia, South Korea, and Japan) (see Table 1). Descriptive statistics suggest differences in choices in the target countries. While no previous studies on the means of exchange have been performed in the travel agency and tour operator industries, the structure of our sample is in line with most of the empirical studies in the literature, showing the dominance of cash (see, for example, Faccio and Masulis 2005).

Table 3 presents a summary of the descriptive statistics of the variables included in the sample.

3.4. Regressions

The following table (Table 4) reports the results of the four equations.

Table 4. Regressions on the determinants of the choice of the means of exchange.

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Payment | Payment | Payment | Payment |
| SameSIC3 | −0.450 * (0.039) | −0.475 * (0.037) | −0.524 * (0.019) | −0.0129 (0.960) |
| Attit | −1.363 * (0.029) | −1.871 * (0.013) | −1.621 * (0.011) | −1.726 * (0.011) |
| Merger | 0.0801 (0.836) | −0.133 (0.747) | −0.161 (0.691) | 0.0601 (0.902) |
| TargetList | 0.251 (0.221) | 0.196 (0.331) | 0.0960 (0.643) | 0.0800 (0.762) |
| TargCivillaw | 1.546 *** (0.000) | | | |
| AcqEcFreedom | | −0.0885 *** (0.000) | | |
| ProtMinority | | 0.593 *** (0.000) | | |
| Creditor Rights | | | −0.279 *** (0.000) | −0.257 *** (0.000) |
| QualityJudicial | | | | −0.0614 (0.291) |
| AcqList | | | | −1.612 *** (0.000) |
| _cons | 2.455 *** (0.000) | 6.246 ** (0.001) | 5.182 *** (0.000) | 6.637 *** (0.000) |
| N | 521 | 499 | 514 | 442 |
| pseudo R ² | 0.062 | 0.111 | 0.101 | 0.177 |

p-values in parentheses: * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001.

Regression 1 is the baseline model.

In Regression 2 we examine the influence of economic freedom and the protection of minority shareholders in the acquirers' country.

In Regression 3 we examine the influence of the protection of creditor rights in the acquirer's country.

In Regression 4 we examine the influence of the protection of creditor rights, the quality of the legal system in the acquirer's country.

4. Results of the Regressions

The results of our econometric modeling are summarized below.

Our results demonstrate (see Table 4):

- (1) The importance of target's civil law system (+)

In this case, investors would prefer to use cash in order to avoid the target's asymmetric information.

- (2) The importance of the economic freedom (–) and protection of minority shareholders (+) in the country of the acquirer firm

The costs of external financing (e.g., shares) are lower in countries with higher economic freedom. Therefore, acquirers based in countries with higher economic freedom will prefer to use means of payment other than cash.

In acquiring countries with strong protection of minority investors, acquirers will prefer to use cash due to the higher costs to extract private benefits. In such countries, the incentives to use cash and other firm resources to pursue private interests will be considerably lower (De Cesari 2012; Feito-Ruiz et al. 2015).

- (3) The importance of Creditor Rights (–)

The costs of external financing (e.g., shares) are lower in countries with higher creditor protection. Therefore, acquirers based in countries with higher creditor protection will prefer to use other means of payment than cash.

- (4) The importance of Creditor Rights (–) and when the acquirer is a listed company (–)

If the acquirer is a listed company in countries with higher protection of creditor rights, companies will prefer to use means of payment other than cash (shares or mixed methods). Listed companies have the possibility to diversify payment methods, and due to the higher costs of external financing, acquirers might prefer use other means of payment.

5. Discussion

Using a dataset of 750 completed deals worldwide involving travel agencies and tour operators, we find support for our hypothesis that firms use cash as a means to manage the constraints and opportunities in the acquirer's and target's countries.

Previous studies on mergers and acquisitions demonstrated the importance of cash in both domestic and cross-border mergers and acquisitions (Sankar and Leepsa 2018; Xie et al. 2017; Chhabra and Popli 2018). This means of exchange offers the advantage of maintaining the ownership structures unchanged. However, in the case of the transactions paid in cash, the shareholders of the target firm are immediately subject to a tax. Payments in cash provide a positive signal (Ross 1977) implying confidence of the acquirer on achieving post-acquisition performances (Chhabra and Popli 2018). In line with these results, findings suggest stock payments may be beneficial in cross-border deals whenever the target is located in a weak institutional environment and when cultural distance is significant (Cho and Ahn 2017). Despite the above considerations, this explanation does not shed

light on the opportunistic behavior of the acquirer when negotiating with less informed targets (Shleifer and Vishny 2003).

The findings of our empirical study are in line with the predictions of the legal origins and the nature of investor protection (La Porta et al. 2008; Sarkar 2011). Investor protection is highly associated with transnational mergers and acquisitions (Rossi and Volpin 2004). Target countries with a civil law system offer stronger investor protection, including for minority shareholders. In these countries, acquiring companies face higher costs related to the extraction of private benefits.

The second regression denotes a positive association between the use of cash and the economic freedom in the country of the acquiring firm. Such countries ensure stronger protection of property rights. In such countries, the cost of external financing is lower. Furthermore, the cost of issuing shares is also lower in such countries. Therefore, the acquiring firms may prefer to make use of other sources of financing than cash or alternatively to diversify the sources of financing. Countries with lower economic freedom benefit from weaker investor protection and the cost of external financing is higher (Rajan and Zingales 1998). As a result, in such countries, the acquiring firms would prefer to make use of cash.

Higher levels of protection of minority shareholders in the acquirer country make the expropriation of private benefits difficult (profits, transfer of assets, etc.) (Dyck and Zingales 2004). Private benefits of control are difficult to observe and to qualify. Our results suggest acquiring firms would prefer to use cash in such transactions.

On the contrary, in countries where targets benefit from stronger protection of minority shareholders it will be more difficult for the controlling shareholders to extract private benefits of control (pecuniary benefits, opportunities to obtain inflated salaries, etc.). In such conditions, shareholders of the acquiring firms will prefer to use cash (De Cesari 2012).

The results of regression 3 also suggest that strong credit rights in the acquirer country decrease the probability of using cash as a payment method. Djankov et al. (2007) conclude that stronger creditor rights encourage greater supply of credit as it limits the ability of opportunist acquirers to expropriate firm resources. Therefore, in acquiring countries with strong safeguards of creditor protection, firms will decrease their willingness to borrow money and investors will make less use of cash as a means of exchange. In such countries, acquirers will make reduced risk investments to lower the likelihood of distress such as the diversification on low-value investments (Acharya et al. 2009).

The third regression suggests that when the acquirer is a listed firm and in presence of strong creditor rights in the acquirer country, firms will tend to use less cash. Listed firms have the possibility to diversify their financing sources (Faccio and Masulis 2005) and, similar to the explanation provided for the third equation, acquirers will decrease their ability to borrow money from creditors (Acharya et al. 2009).

Surprisingly, as the first three regressions suggest, transactions involving related mergers and related acquisitions decrease the probability of acquirers using cash. From the acquirer's perspective, it might suggest the presence of important asymmetries of information between investors and sellers or uncertainties related to the targets to achieve the expected returns in the future. Acquirers might consider the value of the targets to be overestimated. In such cases, the use of methods of payment other than cash can mitigate potential future risks related to misvaluation (Dong et al. 2006). Although misvaluations might be related to asymmetries of information between investors and sellers, industry-specific uncertainties in travel agencies and tour operators might also be a concern.

In summary, the empirical study finds support for the signaling (Ross 1977) and the 'law and finance' theories (La Porta et al. 2008).

6. Conclusions

According to (García-Feijóo et al. 2012), the methods of payment for mergers and acquisitions vary significantly among industries and across time. From this perspective, this paper explores the importance of the means of exchange in the travel and tourism industries.

While most previous studies' focus has been on asymmetric information, this study also contributes to the literature on the relationship between the legal origins and the investment decisions.

Few studies have investigated the importance and impact of mergers and acquisitions in travel agencies and tour-operating industries, particularly in Asian markets. Most studies on mergers and acquisitions related to the travel and tourism industries tend to be Western-centric. The inclusion in this study of new data from Japan, China, and South Korea also addresses this gap. However, region-centered studies are required to better understand the logics operating in such a context.

These firms are important gatekeepers for the tourism industry. The industry is polarized, and it is quite volatile, with a significant number of firms entering and exiting. Large firms need to achieve significant economies of scale and scope in the industry and mergers and acquisitions are a fast way to increase horizontal and vertical integration. Furthermore, firms have incentives to stay in business due mainly to important sunk costs related to previous investments (Ioannides and Debbage 1998). Similarly, in the competition landscape small and medium firms tend to specialize in target segments in order to avoid direct competition.

These intermediaries play an important function in reducing the asymmetries of information and lowering transaction costs for customers and reducing promotional expenditures for suppliers (Sheldon 1986).

The current competitive landscape and the presence of important sunk costs (infrastructure in information technology and marketing) justify the need to ensure that mergers and acquisitions operations need to be successful. Under this assumption, the choice of the means of exchange is an essential condition of the future success of the operation and of the value created to both the initiator and of the target firm.

In this paper, we demonstrated the importance of signaling theory (Ross 1977) and of the institutional and legal environment in the choice of the means of exchange in mergers and acquisitions in the industry of travel agencies and tour operators. Findings are in line with the predictions of the legal origins and the nature of investor protection (La Porta et al. 2008; Sarkar 2011). However, we did not consider the opportunistic behavior of acquirers when facing less informed targets.

Therefore, the dynamics of tourism development also requires an improvement of legal conditions and of the institutional to protect and attract investors for the industry.

The principal limitation of our empirical study relies on the fact that we did not directly control for the size, equity control, or the financial constraints of the firms involved in the transactions. This limitation is due to the fact that many of the firms included in our database are private companies and, therefore, the financial data disclosed is limited. Furthermore, our logistics regression uses a dependent binary variable (i.e., cash versus other sources of financing) and we do not test the determinants of the amount of 'cash' used in the operations.

Future extensions of this study might include the inclusion of financial constraints (cash holdings and debt leverage), the nature of the ownership in both acquirers and sellers, the comparison of domestic and cross-border transactions, and controlling for the size and shareholdings of the operations. Following de La Bruslerie (2012), it would also be suggested to investigate not only all-cash operations, but also the cash percentage (hybrid payments).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.C. and V.M.C.-G.; methodology, A.C. and V.M.C.-G.; software, A.C.; validation, A.C.; formal analysis, A.C. and V.M.C.-G.; investigation, A.C.; resources, V.M.C.-G.; data curation, A.C.; writing—original draft preparation, A.C.; writing—review and editing, A.C. and V.M.C.-G.; visualization, A.C.; funding acquisition, V.M.C.-G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Acharya, Viral V., Yakov Amihud, and Lubomir Litov. 2009. *Creditor Rights and Corporate Risk-Taking*. NBER Working Paper Series 15569. Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Aguiar-Quintana, Teresa, Sergio Moreno-Gil, and Patricia Picazo-Peral. 2016. How could travel agencies improve their competitiveness and survive? A qualitative study in Spain. *Tourism Management Perspectives* 20: 98–108. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Amihud, Yakov, Baruch Lev, and Nikolaos G. Travlos. 1990. Corporate control and the choice of investment financing: The case of corporate acquisitions. *Journal of Finance* 45: 603–16. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Assaf, A. George, Carlos Pestana Barros, and Peter U. C. Dieke. 2011. Portuguese tour operators: A fight for survival. *Journal of Air Transport Management* 17: 155–57. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Chand, Mohinder, and Anastasia A. Katou. 2012. Strategic determinants for the selection of partner alliances in the Indian tour operator industry: A cross-national study. *Journal of World Business* 47: 167–77. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Chand, Mohinder. 2011. Strategic alliance practices in Indian tour operation industry: An Exploratory study. *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Systems* 4: 29–37.
- Chang, Yu-shin. 2020. Identifying positions and roles of travel agencies based on relationship redundancy in a package tour network. *Heliyon* 6: 1–9. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Chhabra, Ankita, and Manish Popli. 2018. Interorganizational imitation and payment mode decisions in cross-border acquisitions. *Strategic Change* 27: 43–51. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Cho, Hyejin, and He Soung Ahn. 2017. Stock payments and the effects of institutional and cultural differences: A study of shareholder value creation in cross-border M&As. *International Business Review* 26: 461–75.
- Clerides, Sofronis, Paris Nearchou, and Panos Pashardes. 2008. Intermediaries as quality assessors: Tour operators in the travel industry. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 26: 372–92. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- De Cesari, Amedeo. 2012. Expropriation of minority shareholders and payout policy. *The British Accounting Review* 44: 207–20. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- de La Bruslerie, H. 2012. Corporate acquisition process: Is there an optimal cash-equity payment mix? *International Review of Law and Economics* 32: 83–94. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLeish, and Andrei Shleifer. 2007. Private credit in 129 countries. *Journal of Financial Economics* 84: 299–329. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Dong, Ming, David Hirshleifer, Scott Richardson, and Siew Hong Teoh. 2006. Does investor misevaluation drive the takeover market? *The Journal of Finance* 61: 725–62. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Dyck, Alexander, and Luigi Zingales. 2004. Private benefits of control: An international comparison. *The Journal of Finance* 59: 537–600. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Eckbo, B. Espen, Ronald M. Giammarino, and Robert L. Heinkel. 1990. Asymmetric information and the medium of exchange in takeovers: Theory and tests. *Review of Financial Studies* 3: 615–75. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Faccio, Mara, and Ronald W. Masulis. 2005. The choice of payment method in European mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Finance* 60: 1345–88. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Feito-Ruiz, Isabel, Ana I. Fernandez, and Susana Menéndez-Requejo. 2015. Mergers and acquisitions valuation: The choice of cash as a payment method. *Spanish Journal of Finance and Accounting* 44: 326–51. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Fishman, Michael J. 1989. Preemptive bidding and the role of the medium of exchange in acquisitions. *Journal of Finance* 44: 41–57. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- García-Feijóo, Luis, Jeff Madura, and Thanh Ngo. 2012. Impact of industry characteristics on the method of payment in mergers. *Journal of Economics and Business* 64: 261–74. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hansen, Robert G. 1987. A theory for the choice of exchange medium in merger and acquisitions. *Journal of Business* 60: 75–95. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Hatton, Mike. 2004. Redefining the relationships. The future of travel agencies and the global agency contract in a changing distribution system. *Journal of Vacation Marketing* 10: 101–8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Huang, Peng, Micah S. Officer, and Ronan Powell. 2016. Method of payment and risk mitigation in cross-border mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 40: 216–34. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

- Ioannides, Dimitri, and Keith G. Debbage, eds. 1998. *The Economic Geography of Tourist Industry: A Supply-Side Analysis*. London: Routledge.
- Jensen, Michael C. 1986. Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers. *American Economic Review* 76: 323–29.
- Klemm, Mary, and Lynn Parkinson. 2001. UK tour operator strategies: Causes and consequences. *International Journal of Tourism Research* 3: 367–75. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-De-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer, and Robert Vishny. 1998. Law and Finance. *Journal of Political Economy* 106: 1113–55. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-De-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer. 1999. Corporate ownership around the world. *Journal of Finance* 54: 471–517. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-De-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. The Economic Consequences of Legal Origins. *Journal of Economic Literature* 46: 285–332. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Leland, Hayne E., and David H. Pyle. 1977. Informational Asymmetries, Financial Structure and Financial Intermediaries. *Journal of Finance* 32: 371–87. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Lewis, Ira, and Alexander Talayevsky. 1997. Travel Agents: Threatened intermediaries. *Transportation Journal* 36: 26–30.
- Lovelock, Christopher H. 1983. Classifying Services to Gain Strategic Marketing Insights. *Journal of Marketing* 47: 9–20. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Myers, Stewart C., and Nicholas S. Majluf. 1984. Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions when Firms Have Information that Investors do Not Have. *Journal of Financial Economics* 59: 2719–43. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Oroian, Maria, and Marinela Gheres. 2012. Developing a risk management model in travel agencies activity: An empirical analysis. *Tourism Management* 33: 1598–603. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Picazo, Patricia, and Sergio Moreno-Gil. 2018. Tour operators' marketing strategies and their impact on the price of sun and beach package holidays. *Journal of Hospitality Tourism Management* 35: 17–28. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rajan, Ragurham, and Luigi Zingales. 1998. Financial dependence and growth. *American Economic Review* 88: 559–86.
- Romero, Isidoro, José Fernandez-Serrano, and F. Rafael Caceres-Carrasco. 2019. Tour operators and performance of SME hotels: Differences between hotels in coastal and inland areas. *International Journal of Hospitality and Management*. in press. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ross, Stephen A. 1977. The Determination of Financial Structure: The Incentive Signaling Approach. *Bell Journal of Economics* 8: 23–40. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rossi, Stefano, and Paolo F. Volpin. 2004. Cross-country determinants of mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Economics* 74: 277–304. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sankar, B. P. Bijay, and N. M. Leepsa. 2018. Payment Methods in Mergers and Acquisitions: A Theoretical Framework. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting* 8: 170–87. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sarkar, Prabirjit. 2011. Common Law vs. Civil Law: Which System Provides More Protection to Shareholders and Creditors and Promotes Financial Development. Available online: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1913624> (accessed on 15 September 2020).
- Sheldon, Pauline J. 1986. The tour operator industry: An analysis. *Annals of Tourism Research* 13: 349–65. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Shleifer, Andrei, and Robert W. Vishny. 2003. Stock market driven acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Economics* 70: 295–312. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Stulz, René M. 1988. Managerial control of voting rights: Financial policies and the market for corporate control. *Journal of Financial Economics* 20: 25–54. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Theuvsen, Ludvig. 2004. Vertical integration in the European Package Tour Business. *Annals of Tourism Research* 31: 475–78. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Travlos, Nikolaos G. 1987. Corporate takeover bids, methods of payment, and bidding firms' stock returns. *Journal of Finance* 42: 943–63. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Xie, En, K. S. Reddy, and Jie Liang. 2017. Country specific determinants of cross-border mergers and acquisitions: A comprehensive review and future research directions. *Journal of World Business* 52: 127–83. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

- Yilmaz, Yusuf, and Volkan Altintas. 2007. Germany-centered mergers and cartels in European travel industry, their influence on Turkey and Antalya. *Tourismos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Tourism* 3: 91–101.
- Zhang, Yan, Tu Ho, Jin Roc Lv, and Emma Schulz. 2020. The effects of target information on the payment method during M&As: Evidence from China. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 59. in press.

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).