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#### Abstract

The classical Stieltjes transform is modified in such a way as to generalize both Stieltjes and Fourier transforms. This transform allows the introduction of new classes of commutative and non-commutative generalized convolutions. A particular case of such a convolution for degenerate distributions appears to be the Wigner semicircle distribution.
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## 1. Introduction

Let us begin with definitions of classical and generalized Stieltjes transforms. Although these are usual transforms given on a set of functions, we will consider more convenient for us a case of probability measures or for cumulative distribution functions. Namely, let $\mu$ be a probability measure of Borel subsets of real line $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. Its Stieltjes transform is defined as ${ }^{1}$

$$
S(z)=S(z ; \mu)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \mu(x)}{x-z}
$$

where $\operatorname{Im}(z) \neq 0$. Surely, the integral converges in this case. The generalized Stieltjes transform is represented by

$$
S_{\gamma}(z)=S_{\gamma}(z ; \mu)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \mu(x)}{(x-z)^{\gamma}}
$$

for real $\gamma>0$. For more examples of the generalized Stieltjes transforms of some probability distributions, see Demni (2016) and references therein.

A modification of generalized Stieltjes transform was proposed in Roozegar and Bazyari (2017). Our aim in this paper is to use this modification of the Stieltjes transform to define a class of generalized stochastic convolutions and give their probability interpretation (see Theorem 1 below) in lines of preprint Klebanov and Roozegar (2016).

## 2. Preliminary Results

Now we prefer to switch to the modified form, and define the following form of transform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\gamma}(u)=R_{\gamma}(u ; \mu)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \mu(x)}{(1-i u x)^{\gamma}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Connection to the generalized Stieltjes transform is obvious. It is convenient for us to use this transform for real values of $u$. It is clear that the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} R_{\gamma}(u / \gamma)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \{i u x\} d \mu(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents the Fourier transform (characteristic function) of the measure $\mu$ (we used the dominated convergence theorem here to change the order of integration and limit). The uniqueness of a measure recovering from its modified Stieltjes transform follows from the corresponding result for generalized Stieltjes transform.

Relation (2) gives us the limit behavior of the modified Stieltjes transform as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. Another possibility $(\gamma \rightarrow 0)$ without any normalization gives trivial limit equal to 1 . However, a more proper approach is to calculate the limit $\left(R_{\gamma}(u)-1\right) / \gamma$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0}\left(R_{\gamma}(u)-1\right) / \gamma=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1-i u x} d \mu(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the measure $\mu$ has compact support, it is possible to write series expansion for modified Stieltjes transform:

$$
R_{\gamma}(u)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \mu(x)}{(1-i u x)^{\gamma}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} i^{k}\binom{-\gamma}{k} m_{k}(\mu) x^{k}
$$

where $m_{k}(\mu)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{k} d \mu(x)$ is the $k$ th moment of the measure $\mu$.
The modified Stieltjes transform may be interpreted in terms of characteristic functions. Namely, let us consider a gamma distribution with probability density function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{\gamma} \Gamma(\gamma)} x^{\gamma-1} \exp (-x / \lambda) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0, \lambda>0$, and zero in other cases. Note that this distribution is an ordinary gamma distribution for positive $\lambda$, and its "mirror reflection" on negative semi-axes for negative $\lambda$. Let us now consider $\lambda$ as a random variable with cumulative distribution function $\mu$. In this case, Relation (1) gives the characteristic function of gamma distribution with such random parameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \mu(\lambda)}{(1-i t \lambda)^{\gamma}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Gauss-hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}$, which is defined by the series

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}(c, a ; b ; z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{n}(a)_{n}}{(b)_{n} n!} z^{n}
$$

where $(a)_{0}=1$ and $(a)_{n}=a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots(a+n-1), n \geq 1$, denotes the rising factorial. Gauss-hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ has Euler's integral representation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}(c, a ; b ; z)=\frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b-a)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-a-1}}{(1-z t)^{c}} d t . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more details on Gauss-hypergeometric function and its properties, see Abramowitz and Stegun (2012) and also Andrews et al. (1999).

## 3. A Family of Commutative Generalized Convolutions

Using the modified Stieltjes transform, we can introduce a family of commutative generalized convolutions. The main idea for this is the following. Let $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ be two probability measures. Take positive $\gamma$ and consider the product of the modified Stieltjes transforms of these measures $R_{\gamma}\left(u, \mu_{1}\right) R_{\gamma}\left(u, \mu_{2}\right)$. We would like to represent this product as a modified Stieltjes transform of a measure. Typically, the product is not a modified Stieltjes transform with the same index $\gamma$. However, it can be represented as a modified Stieltjes transform with index $\rho>\gamma$ of a measure $v$, which is called a generalized (more precisely " $(\gamma, \rho)$ ") convolution of the measures $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$. Let us mention that the indexes $\rho$ and $\gamma$ are not arbitrary, but there are infinitely many suitable pairs of indexes. Clearly, the measure $v$-if it exists-depends on $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$, and on indexes $\gamma, \rho$.

Unfortunately, we cannot describe all pairs $\gamma, \rho$ for which corresponding generalized convolution $v$ of measures $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ exists. However, we shall show that the pairs of the form $c, 2 c$ (where $c$ is positive, but not necessarily integer number) possess this property.

Theorem 1. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ be two probability measures on $\sigma$-field Borel subsets of a real line. For arbitrary real $c>0$ there exists " $(c, 2 c)$ " convolution $v$ of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$. In other words, for real $c>0$ and measures $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$, there exists a measure $v$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2 c}(u ; v)=R_{c}\left(u ; \mu_{1}\right) R_{c}\left(u ; \mu_{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Because convex combination of probability measures is a probability measure again, and each probability on real line can be considered as a limit in weak-* topology of sequence of measures concentrated in finite number of points each, it is sufficient to prove the statement for Dirac $\delta$-measures only.

Suppose now that the measures $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ are concentrated in points $a$ and $b$ correspondingly. We have to prove that there is a measure $v$ depending on $a, b$ and $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d v(x)}{(1-i u x)^{2 c}}=\frac{1}{(1-i u a)^{c}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-i u b)^{c}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, it is enough to find the measure $v$ with compact support ${ }^{2}$. Therefore, we must have for $a>0$ and $b>0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{k}= \\
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{c(c+1) \cdots(c+j-1)}{j!} \cdot \frac{c(c+1) \cdots(c+k-j-1)}{(k-j)!} a^{j} b^{k-j} /\binom{-2 c}{k} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m_{k}=m_{k}(v)$ is the $k$ th moment of $v$. It remains to be shown that the left hand side of (9) really defines for $k=0,1, \ldots$ moments of a distribution.

Let us denote $\lambda=a / b$ and suppose that $|\lambda|<1$ (the case $|\lambda|=1$ may be obtained as a limit case). Then, $k_{m}$ can be rewritten in the form

$$
k_{m}=(-1)^{m} b^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k} \frac{(c)_{k}(c)_{m-k}}{(2 c)_{m}} \lambda^{k},
$$

[^3]where $(s)_{j}=s \cdots(s+j-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. Simple calculations allow us to obtain from previous equality that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{m}=\frac{b^{m}(c)_{m}{ }_{2} F_{1}(-m, c, 1-m-c, a / b)}{(2 c)_{m}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Let us consider a random variable $X$ having Beta distribution with equal parameters $c$ and $c$; that is, with probability density function

$$
p_{X}(x)=(1-x)^{c-1} x^{c-1} 2^{2 c-1} \Gamma(c+1 / 2) /(\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(c)),
$$

for $x \in(0,1)$, and zero for $x \notin(0,1)$. It is not difficult to calculate that

$$
\mathbb{E}(a X+b(1-X))^{m}=b^{m}{ }_{2} F_{1}(-m, c, 2 c, 1-a / b)
$$

which coincides with (10) for non-negative integer $m$ and real $c>0$.
Theorem 1 allows us to define a family of generalized convolutions $v=\mu_{1} \star_{c} \mu_{2}$ depending on $c$, which is equivalent to the relation (7). Obviously, this operation is commutative. However, it is not associative, which can be easily verified by comparing the convolutions $\left(\delta_{1} \star_{c} \delta_{2}\right) \star_{c} \delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{1} \star_{c}\left(\delta_{2} \star_{c} \delta_{3}\right)$, where $\delta_{a}$ denotes Dirac measure at point $a$. It is easy to verify that $\mu_{1} \star_{c} \mu_{2}(2 A) \underset{c \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow}$ $\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}(A)$, where $*$ denotes ordinary convolution of measures. We have $2 A$ in the left hand side because $\mathbb{E} X=1 / 2$. This generalized convolution may be written through independent random variables $U$ and $V$ in the form

$$
W=U X+V(1-X)
$$

where $X$ is a random variable independent of $(U, V)$ and having Beta distribution with parameters $(n, n)$, and the distribution of $W$ is exactly a generalized convolution of distributions of $U$ and $V$.

Let us note that the $\star_{3 / 2}$-convolution of Dirac measures concentrated at points -1 and 1 gives the well-known Wigner semicircle distribution.

In view of the non-associativity of $\star_{c}$-convolution, it does not coincide with K. Urbanik's generalized convolution (see Urbanik (1964)). At the same time, its non-associativity shows that the expression $\mu_{1} \star_{c} \mu_{2} \star_{c} \mu_{3}$ has no sense. However, one can define this 3-argument operation by using stochastic linear combinations; that is, linear forms of random variables with random coefficients. Now we define such $k$-arguments operation. Namely, let $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}$ be independent random variables, and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ be a random vector having Dirichlet distribution with parameters $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=(c, \ldots, c)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=X_{1} U_{1}+\ldots+X_{k-1} U_{k-1}+\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_{j}\right) U_{k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map from vector $U$ of marginal distributions of $\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}\right)$ to the distribution of random variable $W$ call $k$-tuple generalized convolution of the components of $U$. Clearly, this operation is symmetric with respect to the permutations of coordinates of the vector $U$. Let us mention that it is probably possible to use Lauricella's fourth function and its integral representation for the definition of $k$-tuple generalized convolution. However, we prefer this approach in view of its probabilistic interpretation.

## 4. Connected Family of Non-Commutative Generalized Convolutions

Let now $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{k}$ be independent random variables, and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ be a random vector having Dirichlet distribution with parameters $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$, possibly different from each other. Using the relation (11), define random variable $W$. Its distribution will be called a non-commutative generalized convolution of marginal distributions of the vector $U$. In the particular case of $k=2$, we obtain a
non-commutative variant of two-tuple generalized convolution, which represents the more general case of (1).

Let us give a property of this generalized convolution. To do so, let us define $\tilde{b}$ eta $a_{A, B}$ distribution over interval $(A, B)$ by its probability density function

$$
p_{\alpha, \beta}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)(B-A)^{\alpha+\beta-1}}(x-A)^{\alpha-1}(B-x)^{\beta-1}, & \text { if } A<x<B \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for positive $\alpha, \beta$. Here $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is beta function.
Theorem 2. Let $W_{1}, W_{2}$ be two independent identical distributed random variables having $\tilde{b} \operatorname{beta}_{A, B}(n, n)$ distribution, and $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ be corresponding probability distributions. Then the measure $v=\mu_{1} \star_{n} \mu_{2}$ corresponds to $\tilde{b}^{e t a_{A, B}}(2 n, 2 n)$ distribution.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 1 that $W_{j} \stackrel{d}{=} A X_{j}+B\left(1-X_{j}\right)$, where $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are independent identically distributed random variables having $B(n, n)$ distribution. The rest of the proof is just simple calculation.

The property given by Theorem 2 is very similar to classical stability definition.
Theorem 3. Let $U_{j}, j=1, \ldots, k$ be independent random variables having beeta distribution with parameters $\alpha_{j}=r_{j}+1 / 2, \beta_{j}=r_{j}+1 / 2$. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}$ be a random vector having Dirichlet distribution with parameters $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)$. Then, random variable

$$
W=X_{1} U_{1}+\ldots+X_{k-1} U_{k-1}+\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_{j}\right) U_{k}
$$

has $\tilde{\text { beta }}$ distribution with parameters $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{j}+1 / 2, \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_{j}+1 / 2\right)$.
Proof. It is sufficient to calculate the modified Stieltjes transform of the distribution of $W$ using some properties of Gauss-hypergeometric function.

This property is also similar to the classical stability property, but for the case of $k$-tuple operation.
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