

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Chen, Hong; Singh, Baljeet

### Working Paper Effectiveness of foreign development assistance in mitigating natural disasters' impact: Case study of Pacific island countries

ADBI Working Paper Series, No. 1076

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Asian Development Bank Institute (ADBI), Tokyo

*Suggested Citation:* Chen, Hong; Singh, Baljeet (2020) : Effectiveness of foreign development assistance in mitigating natural disasters' impact: Case study of Pacific island countries, ADBI Working Paper Series, No. 1076, Asian Development Bank Institute (ADBI), Tokyo

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/238433

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



ND https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/







**ADBI Working Paper Series** 

EFFECTIVENESS OF FOREIGN DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE IN MITIGATING NATURAL DISASTERS' IMPACT: CASE STUDY OF PACIFIC ISLAND COUNTRIES

Hong Chen and Baljeet Singh

No. 1076 February 2020

# **Asian Development Bank Institute**

Hong Chen is a professor at the School of Internet Business and Economics of Fujian University of Technology, People's Republic of China. Baljeet Singh is a senior lecturer at the School of Economics, Faculty of Business and Economics, of the University of the South Pacific, Fiji.

The views expressed in this paper are the views of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of ADBI, ADB, its Board of Directors, or the governments they represent. ADBI does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper and accepts no responsibility for any consequences of their use. Terminology used may not necessarily be consistent with ADB official terms.

Working papers are subject to formal revision and correction before they are finalized and considered published.

The Working Paper series is a continuation of the formerly named Discussion Paper series; the numbering of the papers continued without interruption or change. ADBI's working papers reflect initial ideas on a topic and are posted online for discussion. Some working papers may develop into other forms of publication.

Suggested citation:

Chen, H. and B. Singh. 2020. Effectiveness of Foreign Development Assistance in Mitigating Natural Disasters' Impact: Case Study of Pacific Island Countries. ADBI Working Paper 1076. Tokyo: Asian Development Bank Institute. Available:

https://www.adb.org/publications/effectiveness-foreign-development-assistance-mitigating-natural-disasters-impact

Please contact the authors for information about this paper.

Email: hchen0309@sina.com, singh\_bl@usp.ac.fj

Asian Development Bank Institute Kasumigaseki Building, 8th Floor 3-2-5 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-6008, Japan

Tel: +81-3-3593-5500 Fax: +81-3-3593-5571 URL: www.adbi.org E-mail: info@adbi.org

© 2020 Asian Development Bank Institute

#### Abstract

Natural disasters bring tremendous destruction to small island economies in the South Pacific region. Foreign development aid, as an important source of green finance in the region, has the fundamental purpose of reducing natural disasters' effect on the small island economies. With country level data on 13 Pacific island countries over 1981–2014, this study assesses the effectiveness of foreign development assistance in mitigating natural disasters' impact on Pacific economic growth. With the application of panel integration tests and the system generalized method of moments estimator, this study provides non-spurious and consistent empirical estimation of a growth model. It is found that while natural disasters greatly hinder economic growth in the region, official development assistance significantly mitigates such adverse effects.

**Keywords:** natural disaster, economic growth, South Pacific region, foreign development assistance

JEL Classification: Q54, O49, R10, F35

## Contents

1.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2.	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	1
3.	MOD	EL AND DATA	2
4.	MET	HODOLOGY AND FINDINGS	
	4.1 4.2	Integration test The GMM Estimation of the Growth Model	8 Q
	4.2 4.3 4.4	Robustness of Estimates Interpretation of Empirical Findings	
5.	CON	CLUSION	13
REFE	RENC	ES	

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Green finance plays an important role in Pacific island countries (PICs) in enhancing these small states' economic and social environments. With its purposes of improving infrastructure and reducing natural disasters' impacts, foreign development assistance is one of the major green funding sources in PICs. This study examines the effectiveness of foreign development assistance in reducing natural disasters' impact on economic growth in PICs. It is well noted that PICs are prone to natural disasters such as cyclones, floods, storm surges, tidal waves, and droughts. These extreme events cause cataclysmic damage and result in costly recoverv and restoration of lost capital. A significant percentage of the population in PICs lives in rural areas and informal settlements; lacks basic economic, social, and financial infrastructure; and continues to depend on agriculture. These conditions make PICs particularly susceptible to natural disasters related to climate change.

On the other hand, PICs have benefited from billions of dollars of foreign development assistance over time. Most of this assistance is channeled into green infrastructure projects, such as building hydro projects, rural electrification, clean water projects, drainage and irrigation, building sea walls, and building better roads to provide better access to rural communities. Improving infrastructure directly mitigates and reduces the impacts of natural disasters on economic growth and development. Similarly, millions of dollars of foreign aid are provided to farmers to diversify economic opportunities and improve farming practices. Besides hard infrastructure, PICs also receive a substantial amount of foreign aid for soft infrastructure, such as building human capital and improving the empowerment of people. Investment in human capital not only enhances the socioeconomic status of communities and societies, and thus reduces their vulnerability to natural disaster, but also strengthens disaster preparedness and thereby reduces the overall economic and social cost of the natural disaster.

With country level data on 13 PICs over 1981–2014, this study assesses the effectiveness of green finance, namely foreign development assistance, in mitigating natural disasters' impact on Pacific economic growth. With the application of panel integration tests and the system generalized method of moments (GMM) estimator, this study provides a non-spurious and consistent empirical estimation of a growth model. It is found that while natural disaster greatly hinders economic growth in this region, official development assistance significantly mitigates such adverse effects. To our knowledge, this is the first study that examines the effectiveness of foreign development assistance in mitigating natural disasters' impact.

The remainder of the manuscript is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a brief literature review; section 3 provides a brief review of the data and model; section 4 presents econometric analyses and the discussion, and section 5 concludes.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The existing literature on natural disasters' impacts is inconclusive. One line of enquiry argues that natural disaster positively contributes to long-run economic growth through a process of creative destruction (Hallegatte and Dumas 2009; Skidmore and Toya 2002). Loss of physical capital induces firms to replace old production capital with more productive capital embedded in leading edge technology, which in turn benefits the economy (Aghion and Howitt 1992; Okuyama 2003; Okuyama, Hewings, and Sonis 2004). Similarly, households may construct improved houses with more efficient

insulation and heating systems, which in turn may conserve energy and increase savings. Furthermore, reconstruction efforts by governments offer better infrastructure such as roads, utilities, hospitals, and schools, thereby improving the efficiency of the production process and accelerating human capital accumulation in the long term.

In contrast, a second strand of the literature highlights that climate calamity can permanently lower long-run economic growth (Hallegatte and Dumas 2009). According to this line of thought, loss of physical and human capital can put the economy on a lower growth path if positive spillover from pre-disaster physical and human capital is reasonably strong or when lost physical and human capital is not fully replaced in the aftermath of disaster (Romer 1990). Natural disasters not only destroy the existing stock of capital, but also lower the accumulation of capital through a drop in savings attributed to a rise in medical and emergency expenditure (Fankhauser and Tol 2005; Fankhauser, Tol, and Pearce 1997). Accumulation of assets is also hampered by increased depreciation, which is caused by massive damage and loss of infrastructure (Azariadis and Drazen 1990). In addition, developing countries generally lack financial resources, and it takes longer for governments to reallocate necessary resources to reconstruction efforts, which usually delays reconstruction investment (Hallegatte, Hourcade, and Dumas 2007). Moreover, reconstruction activities are often delayed due to a lack of the required skills.

Natural disasters may also cause social problems. It is argued that natural disasters may lead to a decline in effective labor force participation due to migration, increased disability rate, massive fatalities, poverty, and hunger. Poverty and hunger caused by natural disasters in turn lower household investment in education, as well as schooling achievement (Alderman, Hoddinott, and Kinsey 2006; Cuaresma 2010; Hamdan 2015; Lopez 2009). Public investment in education in the post-disaster period may also suffer as governments divert resources to reconstruction efforts (Lopez 2009). Furthermore, a massive transfer of foreign aid in the aftermath of a natural disaster may induce corruption and rent-seeking activities, which will in turn hamper long-term efficiency in the allocation of inputs (Brollo et al. 2013). Researchers such as Adger (1996, 1999); Daniel, Florax, and Rietveld (2009); Masozera, Bailey, and Kerchner (2007); and Schumacher and Strobl (2011) further argue that the relative impact of natural disaster is generally higher for low income countries, and that it may take them many years to fully recover from the aftermath of a natural disaster as they may not have resources to repair, reconstruct, and relocate.

However, while there is ample literature examining the impacts of natural disaster and foreign development assistance on economic growth, there is a lack of studies examining the effectiveness of foreign development assistance in mitigating the effects of natural disaster.

## 3. MODEL AND DATA

The empirical model to examine the effectiveness of foreign development assistance in mitigating natural disasters' impact on economic growth in PICs is centered on the discussion in the literature and the availability of data for PICs. The growth model takes the following panel data structure:

$$gy_{it} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{j}^{J} \gamma_j disaster_{j,it} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{k,it} + \pi_i + \sigma_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(1)

where  $gy_{it}$  is annual growth rate of GDP per capita at constant 2005 prices (%),  $disaster_{it}$  is a vector of core variables measuring the severity of natural disasters,  $X_{it}$  is a vector of control variables,  $\pi_i$  denotes time-invariant country-specific effects,  $\sigma_t$  denotes country-invariant time-specific effects, and  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is the error term.

Explanatory variables and their potential impact on economic growth in PICs are as follows.

Natural disaster dummy variables (denoted by *disaster<sub>j,it</sub>*)

The ratio of the population affected in natural disasters is used to gauge the magnitude of natural disasters for a nation. Data on natural disasters and population affected are obtained from EM-DAT from the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED).

To avoid non-normal distribution of natural disaster magnitude due to a large number of zero values in the natural disaster magnitude series, this series is converted into dummy variables. *Disaster*<sub>1,it</sub> has a value of 1 for years where the ratio is between 10% and 20%, and 0 otherwise. The second dummy variable *disaster*<sub>2,it</sub> has a value of 1 for years where the ratio is more than 20%, and 0 otherwise. These dummy variables are time and country variant, and they are expected to have negative effects on economic growth in the South Pacific.

#### Investment rate (denoted by *invr<sub>it</sub>*)

Investment rate is represented by gross fixed capital formation as a percentage of GDP. This indicator is used to measure increments in physical capital input. According to economic growth theories, it is expected to have a positive impact on long-run output growth.

#### Population growth (denoted by $n_{it}$ )

There is no consistent conclusion on population growth's impact on economic growth. Normally, the rate of return on investment in human capital and the accumulation of knowledge is likely to increase with growth in the population. Therefore, if a rise in returns to human capital due to a higher population is greater than the effects of a higher population on diminishing growth, population growth will positively contribute to growth in GDP per capita (Becker, Glaesser, and Murphy 1999; Kremer 1993).

Manufacturing-to-total value added ratio (denoted by manurit)

The manufacturing industry adds value to primary products; to a great extent it also captures the degree of industrialization in developing countries. Therefore the development of the manufacturing industry contributes positively to output growth.

#### Exports-to-GDP ratio (denoted by *exportsr*<sub>it</sub>)

Exports positively contribute to output growth through the channel of enhancing production efficiency; this is because exports increase demand for domestic products, which promotes economies of scale.

Imports-to-GDP ratio (denoted by *importsr<sub>it</sub>*)

M. . . . . . .

Imports' growth impact is ambiguous. Imports promote output growth, given the technology spillover effects; however, such positive effects are weakened if a significant proportion of imports are substitutes for domestic products.

### Government final consumption expenditure-to-GDP ratio (denoted by *govconsrit*)

Government final consumption expenditure in the developing South Pacific region is expected to contribute positively to economic growth, which can be seen from the three following aspects. (i) A noticeable proportion of government final consumption expenditure includes social transfers and governments' spending on education, health, infrastructure, and other public projects. This is positively associated with production efficiency and human capital. (ii) PICs are frequently affected by natural disasters which result in economic loss, loss of lives, and social disruption. Government final consumption expenditure to some extent offsets the adverse effects brought about by natural disasters. (iii) In some PICs where the total population is very small, government consumption spending as percentage of GDP is generally high. In the Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, and Tuvalu, the ratio is higher than 50%. In these countries, due to the noteworthy composition of civil services in the whole economy, a significant proportion of government final consumption expenditure; this, together with household final consumption expenditure, spurs economic growth.

### Total official development flows-to-GDP ratio (denoted by odfr<sub>it</sub>)

Most PICs receive a significant amount of official development flow (ODF) relative to their GDP. The major part of ODF is used to improve infrastructure development, education, health, and natural disaster resilience in recipient countries, or to help recipient countries to recover quickly from damage caused by disasters. Therefore ODF is expected to be positively associated with economic growth in the developing South Pacific region.

Moreover, statistics show an increase in ODF received by PICs following natural disasters. It is also observed that there is a positive association, with a correlation coefficient of 0.24, between the severity of natural disasters and the ODF-to-GDP ratio. Given this, the interaction between *disaster<sub>j,it</sub>* and *odf<sub>it</sub>* is considered in the Pacific speed of growth model.

Data Source	Series Required	Variable in the Model
National Accounts	GDP (constant 2005, US\$)	<b>gy</b> it
Main Aggregates	Total population (persons)	
Database	Gross fixed capital formation (constant 2005, US\$)	<i>invr</i> <sub>it</sub>
	Manufacturing value added (constant 2005, US\$)	manur <sub>it</sub>
	Exports of goods and services (constant 2005, US\$)	<i>exportsr</i> <sub>it</sub>
	Imports of goods and services (constant 2005, US\$)	<i>importsr</i> <sub>it</sub>
	Government final consumption expenditure (constant 2005, US\$)	govconsr <sub>it</sub>
	GDP (current, US\$)	
OECD StatExtract	Total official development flows (current US\$)	odfr <sub>it</sub>
EM-DAT from the Centre for Research	Total affected population in natural disasters (persons)	<i>disaster</i> j,it

#### Table 1: Sources of Data

on the Epidemiology		
of Disasters (CRED)		

The above model is estimated based on a sample of 13 PICs over 1981–2014. These countries include the Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, the Marshall Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu. Niue is not included in the current study due to severe lack of data; French Polynesia and New Caledonia are not included due to their French territory status and inconsistent availability of data on official development flows. Furthermore, data on official development flows are not available before 1992. Data sources are presented in Table 1. Table 2 summarizes data on the total affected population in natural disasters, and summary statistics of main economic and social indicators are presented in Table 3.

Country	Year	Total Affected	Country	Year	Total Affected	Country	Year	Total Affected
Cook Is.	198 7	2,000	Micronesia	200 4	6,008	Solomon Is.	199 1	24
Cook Is.	199 0	1,200	Palau	199 6	12,004	Solomon Is.	199 2	
Cook Is.	199 7	1,023	PNG	198 0	40,000	Solomon Is.	199 3	88,500
Cook Is.	200 1	744	PNG	198 3	38,000	Solomon Is.	199 7	
Cook Is.	200 5	608	PNG	198 6	1,000	Solomon Is.	199 8	380
Cook Is.	200 9	1,247	PNG	198 7	4,000	Solomon Is.	200 2	1,110
Cook Is.	201 0	2,202	PNG	198 8	1,000	Solomon Is.	200 3	425
Fiji	198 1	4,700	PNG	199 1	5,000	Solomon Is.	200 7	2,384
Fiji	198 3	242,146	PNG	199 2	90,000	Solomon Is.	200 9	7,000
Fiji	198 5	122,000	PNG	199 3	114,240	Solomon Is.	201 0	17,733
Fiji	198 6	218,000	PNG	199 4	152,062	Solomon Is.	201 2	4,836
Fiji	198 7	3,369	PNG	199 6	1,837	Solomon Is.	201 3	15,526
Fiji	199 0	6,000	PNG	199 7	515,500	Solomon Is.	201 4	52,000
Fiji	199 2	2,000	PNG	199 8	9,867	Tonga	198 2	146,514
Fiji	199 3	160,003	PNG	199 9	38,000	Tonga	199 0	3,103
Fiji	199 7	3,500	PNG	200 0	5,000	Tonga	199 7	3,000
Fiji	199 8	263,455	PNG	200 1	1,596	Tonga	199 8	3,571
Fiji	199 9	1,772	PNG	200 2	20,859	Tonga	200 1	16,500
Fiji	200 3	30,000	PNG	200 3	621	Tonga	200 9	561
Fiji	200 4	5,600	PNG	200 4	19,600	Tonga	201 4	4,014
Fiji	200 6	392	PNG	200 5	17,693	Tuvalu	199 0	700
Fiji	200 7	969	PNG	200 6	25,999	Tuvalu	199 3	150

#### Table 2: Total Population Affected by Natural Disasters by Year (persons)

Fiji	200 9	14,401	PNG	200 7	162,140	Vanuatu	198 5	117,500
Fiji	201 0	39,101	PNG	200 8	75,300	Vanuatu	198 7	48,000
Fiji	201 2	27,945	PNG	200 9	7,391	Vanuatu	198 8	9,700
Kiribati	199 9	84,000	PNG	201 1	4	Vanuatu	199 0	2
Kiribati	200 8	85	PNG	201 2	200,000	Vanuatu	199 2	1,160
Kiribati	201 4	220	PNG	201 3	35,000	Vanuatu	199 3	12,005
Marshall Is.	199 1	6,000	PNG	201 4	40,726	Vanuatu	199 8	2,400
Marshall Is.	200 0	218	Samoa	198 3	2,000	Vanuatu	199 9	14,100
Marshall Is.	200 8	600	Samoa	199 0	195,000	Vanuatu	200 1	5,300
Marshall Is.	201 3	6,384	Samoa	199 1	88,000	Vanuatu	200 2	4,004
Marshall Is.	201 4	360	Samoa	200 9	5,584	Vanuatu	200 4	54,008
Micronesia	198 7	203	Samoa	201 2	12,703	Vanuatu	200 5	5,000
Micronesia	199 8	28,800	Solomon Is.	198 2	30,000	Vanuatu	200 8	9,000
Micronesia	200 0	3,431	Solomon Is.	198 5	650	Vanuatu	200 9	1,350
Micronesia	200 2	1,623	Solomon Is.	198 6	150,000	Vanuatu	201 1	32,000
Micronesia	200 3	1,000	Solomon Is.	198 8	500	Vanuatu	201 4	20,006

Note: Cook Is. = Cook Islands; Marshall Is. = Marshall Islands; Micronesia = Federated States of Micronesia; PNG = Papua New Guinea; Solomon Is. = Solomon Islands.

Source: The Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) by the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED).

Country	Year/Period	Per Capita GDP (2005 Constant Prices, US\$)	Growth of Real per Capita GDP (%)	<b>Population</b> (persons)	Growth of Population (%)	Investment- to-GDP Ratio (%)
Cook	1981–1990	5,577	4.19	17,596	-0.01	18.84
Islands	1991–2000	7,914	2.69	18,018	0.12	14
	2001–2010	9,433	-0.13	19,344	1.29	13.48
	2011–2014	9,091	1	27,426	1	17
Fiji	1981–1990	2,590	1.34	703,215	1.37	17.67
	1991–2000	3,100	0.99	777,259	1.08	15.18
	2001–2010	3,562	0.64	830,998	0.59	16.47
	2011–2014	3,813	0.92	871,332	0.82	15.76
Kiribati	1981–1990	1,247	-0.82	63,277	2.65	48.08
	1991–2000	1,206	1.62	77,232	1.53	36.34
	2001–2010	1,205	-1.08	91,104	1.66	44.17
	2011–2014	1,115	0.21	100,018	1.53	45.37
Marshall	1981–1990	2,117	1.84	39,455	4.36	62.85
Islands	1991–2000	2,319	-0.12	50,877	0.98	57.37
	2001–2010	2,619	2.62	52,184	0.05	56.79
	2011–2014	3,004	1.75	52,525	0.12	56.79
Federated	1981–1990	1,903	1.22	86,354	2.78	31.75
States of	1991–2000	2,173	1.05	105,808	1.09	31.73
Micronesia	2001–2010	2,332	0.55	105,663	-0.36	31.05

Table 3: Key indicators of 13 independent PICs

	2011–2014	2,380	1.02	103,410	-0.11	30.5
Nauru	1981–1990	16,566	-0.5	8,312	2.01	48.08
	1991–2000	6,044	1.01	9,868	0.92	36.34
	2001–2010	3,093	-0.74	10,076	-0.02	44.27
	2011–2014	6,251	-1.96	10,028	0.03	42.69
Palau	1981–1990	7,691	1.69	13,769	2.13	10.53
	1991–2000	8,547	-0.51	17,416	2.4	18.75
	2001-2010	8,877	-0.19	19,956	0.65	35.39
	2011-2014	8,489	2.92	20,680	0.69	25.75
Papua New	1981–1990	719	-1.26	3,726,367	2.57	14.93
Guinea	1991–2000	858	1.92	4,795,934	2.58	12.41
	2001–2010	831	1.52	6,178,503	2.43	17.94
	2011–2014	1,105	6.83	7,089,994	2.2	30.29
Samoa	1981–1990	1,525	-0.6	159,943	0.46	27.1
	1991–2000	1,632	2.25	170,061	0.7	18.45
	2001–2010	2,334	2.27	180,605	0.63	10.71
	2011–2014	2,453	0.63	188,159	0.76	9
Solomon	1981–1990	944	-0.26	274,890	3.02	20.52
Islands	1991–2000	1,076	-0.96	365,218	2.79	10.4
	2001–2010	935	2.39	474,972	2.44	12.91
	2011–2014	1,193	2.26	543,798	2.15	14.77
Tonga	1981–1990	12,122	3.98	94,205	0.23	20.26
	1991–2000	2,204	2.03	96,317	0.29	19.32
	2001–2010	2,544	0.76	101,307	0.61	23.48
	2011–2014	2,643	1.34	104,748	0.4	31.58
Tuvalu	1981–1990	1,115	4.98	8,645	1.12	73.71
	1991–2000	1,881	3.98	9,241	0.45	59.31
	2001–2010	2,430	0.23	9,685	0.42	59.34
	2011–2014	2,653	3.98	9,852	0.17	49.41
Vanuatu	1981–1990	1,734	1.2	131,940	2.38	19.31
	1991–2000	1,963	1.2	168,956	2.33	20.85
	2001–2010	1,970	0.45	212,288	2.44	28.45
	2011–2014	2,103	-0.79	244,520	2.27	29.34

continued on next page

### Table 3 continued

Country	Year/Period	Exports- to- GDP Ratio	Imports- to- GDP Ratio	Manufacturing- to-GDP Ratio	Final Consumptio n Expenditure- to-GDP Ratio	Official Developmen t Flows-to- GDP Ratio
Country Cook	1981–1990	(%) 76.3	(%) 101.12	<u>(%)</u> 4.83	(%) 48.1	(%) <sup>(a)</sup> 35.76
Islands			-		-	
13141143	1991-2000	62.16	59.19	3.33	39.63	12.55
	2001–2010	75.02	62.25	3.92	31.57	6.16
	2011–2014	104	76	4	37	7
Fiji	1981–1990	51.68	45.93	11.89	17.17	3.99
	1991–2000	55.05	58.48	12.39	16.72	2.08
	2001–2010	51.27	63.27	12.82	16.38	2.13
	2011–2014	58.6	63.3	12.28	14.55	3.04
Kiribati	1981–1990	17.99	121.13	3.61	42.98	44.36
	1991–2000	15.29	68.47	4.77	32.4	30.86
	2001–2010	14.41	100.59	4.54	39.38	21.12
	2011–2014	11.4	102.67	4.5	40.45	36.65
Marshall	1981–1990	17.49	123.26	1.45	50.99	
Islands	1991-2000	12.32	114.97	2	54.04	40.39
	2001–2010	12.44	114.46	2.09	54.12	43.67
	2011-2014	12.44	114.45	1.84	54.12	42.33

States of Micronesia         1991-2000         17.93         78.84         1.5         52.12         34.78           Micronesia         2011-2014         26.41         81.09         0.39         50.11         39.93           Nauru         1981-1990         17.99         121.13         1.89         42.98         0.15           2001-2010         14.7         101.34         2.68         39.47         59.98           2011-2014         12.6         92.58         3.1         38.07         36.97           Palau         1981-1990         17.65         52.75         2.37         38.16           1991-2000         13.23         61.17         2.97         37.18         58.04           2001-2010         57.65         74.72         2.05         33.63         15.57           2011-2014         65.26         68.18         1.59         34.26         11.54           Papua New         1981-1990         49.62         54.19         8.01         21.29         12.48           Guinea         1991-2000         57.85         38.46         6.75         15.51         8.07           2001-2010         66.65         55.3         6.04         16.51         6.62	Federated	1981–1990	18.07	79.08	1.44	52.16	
Nauru         1981-1990         17.32         0.33         50.11         39.93           Nauru         1981-1990         17.99         121.13         1.89         42.98         0.15           1991-2000         15.29         68.47         1.88         32.4         12.21           2001-2010         14.7         101.34         2.68         39.47         59.98           2011-2014         12.6         92.58         3.1         38.07         36.97           Palau         1981-1990         17.65         52.75         2.37         38.16           1991-2010         57.65         74.72         2.05         33.63         15.57           2011-2014         65.26         68.18         1.59         34.26         11.54           Papua New         1981-1990         49.62         54.19         8.01         21.29         12.48           Guinea         1991-2000         57.85         38.46         6.75         15.51         8.07           2001-2010         66.65         55.3         6.04         16.51         6.62           2011-2014         42.01         85.76         6.37         17.75         9.86           Samoa         1981-1990 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.5</td> <td></td> <td>34.78</td>					1.5		34.78
Nauru         1981-1990         17.99         121.13         1.89         42.98         0.15           1991-2000         15.29         68.47         1.88         32.4         12.21           2001-2010         14.7         101.34         2.68         39.47         59.98           2011-2014         12.6         92.58         3.1         38.07         36.97           Palau         1981-1990         17.65         52.75         2.37         38.16           1991-2000         13.23         61.17         2.97         37.18         58.04           2011-2014         65.26         68.18         1.59         34.26         11.54           Papua New         1981-1990         49.62         54.19         8.01         21.29         12.48           Guinea         1991-2000         57.85         38.46         6.75         15.51         8.07           2011-2014         42.01         85.76         6.37         17.75         9.86           Samoa         1981-1990         27.45         62.42         16.76         19.65         26.99           1991-2000         29.47         62.03         15.75         25.78         24.67           2011-2014	Micronesia	2001–2010	19.83	77.32	0.95	51.02	44.02
1991-200015.2968.471.8832.412.212001-201014.7101.342.6839.4759.982011-201412.692.583.138.0736.97Palau1981-199017.6552.752.3738.161991-200013.2361.172.9737.1858.042001-201057.6574.722.0533.6315.572011-201465.2668.181.5934.2611.54Guinea1991-200057.8538.466.7515.518.072001-201066.6555.36.0416.516.622001-201066.6555.36.0416.516.622001-201066.6555.36.0416.516.622001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201431.2663.79919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772011-201431.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672001-201016.6858.867.0916.431		2011–2014	26.41	81.09	0.39	50.11	39.93
Palau2001-201014.7101.342.6839.4759.98Palau2011-201412.692.583.138.0736.971981-199017.6552.752.3737.1858.042001-201057.6574.722.0533.6315.572011-201465.2668.181.5934.2611.54Papua New1981-199049.6254.198.0121.2912.48Guinea1991-200057.8538.466.7515.518.072011-201442.0185.766.3717.759.86Samoa1981-199027.4562.4216.7619.6526.991991-200029.4762.0315.7525.7824.672011-201443.0655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201443.12653.19919.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.061941190021.0170.948.3616.813.772011-201415.3858.336.3915.2819.061091200122.0770.948.3616.813.77201-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.67201-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.67201-201016.6164.380.9176.2953.97	Nauru	1981–1990	17.99	121.13	1.89	42.98	0.15
Palau2011-201412.692.583.138.0736.97Palau1981-199017.6552.752.3738.161991-200013.2361.172.9737.1858.042001-201057.6574.722.0533.6315.572011-201465.2668.181.5934.2611.54Papua New1981-199049.6254.198.0121.2912.48Guinea1991-200057.8538.466.7515.518.072011-201066.6555.36.0416.5166.222011-201442.0185.766.3717.759.86Samoa1981-199027.4562.4216.7619.6526.991991-200029.4762.0315.7525.7824.672011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062011-201431.3148.468.0535.5333.42Tonga1881-199025.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772011-201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.315.081991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.67 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1991–2000</td><td>15.29</td><td>68.47</td><td>1.88</td><td>32.4</td><td>12.21</td></t<>		1991–2000	15.29	68.47	1.88	32.4	12.21
Palau         1981–1990         17.65         52.75         2.37         38.16           1991–2000         13.23         61.17         2.97         37.18         58.04           2001–2010         57.65         74.72         2.05         33.63         15.57           2011-2014         65.26         68.18         1.59         34.26         11.54           Guinea         1991–2000         57.85         38.46         6.75         15.51         8.07           2001-2010         66.65         55.3         6.04         16.51         6.62           2011-2014         42.01         85.76         6.37         17.75         9.86           Samoa         1981–1990         27.45         62.42         16.76         19.65         26.99           1991–2000         29.47         62.03         15.75         25.78         24.67           2011-2014         31.26         53.19         9         19.76         16.25           Solomon         1981–1990         51.01         79.69         5.77         36.28         23.26           Islands         1991–2000         26.26         60.75         6.53         48.43         15.06           2001–2010 </td <td></td> <td>2001–2010</td> <td>14.7</td> <td>101.34</td> <td>2.68</td> <td>39.47</td> <td>59.98</td>		2001–2010	14.7	101.34	2.68	39.47	59.98
1991-200013.2361.172.9737.1858.042001-201057.6574.722.0533.6315.572011-201465.2668.181.5934.2611.54Papua New Guinea1981-199049.6254.198.0121.2912.48Guinea1991-200057.8538.466.7515.518.072001-201066.6555.36.0416.516.622011-201442.0185.766.3717.759.86Samoa1981-199027.4562.4216.7619.6526.991991-200029.4762.0315.7525.7824.672011-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.6957.336.2823.26Islands1991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062011-201431.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011-201016.6858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072011-20141.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-2010 <td></td> <td>2011–2014</td> <td>12.6</td> <td>92.58</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>38.07</td> <td>36.97</td>		2011–2014	12.6	92.58	3.1	38.07	36.97
2001-201057.6574.722.0533.6315.57Papua New Guinea1981-199049.6254.198.0121.2912.48Guinea1991-200057.8538.466.7515.518.072001-201066.6555.36.0416.516.622011-201442.0185.766.3717.759.86Samoa1981-199027.4562.4216.7619.6526.991991-200029.4762.0315.7525.7824.672001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200022.6260.756.5348.4315.662001-201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981-199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772011-201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.89190420002.9362.451.2675.3358.072011-20141.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20101.6164.380.9177.0580.31<	Palau	1981–1990	17.65	52.75	2.37	38.16	
Papua New Guinea2011-201465.2668.181.5934.2611.54Papua New Guinea1981-199049.6254.198.0121.2912.481991-200057.8538.466.7515.518.072001-201066.6555.36.0416.516.622011-201442.0185.766.3717.759.86Samoa1981-199027.4562.4216.7619.6526.991991-200029.4762.0315.7525.7824.672001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062001-201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981-199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.671991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001-20101.6164.380.9177.0580.311991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001-20101.6164.380.9177.0580.311		1991–2000	13.23	61.17	2.97	37.18	58.04
Papua New Guinea         1981–1990         49.62         54.19         8.01         21.29         12.48           Guinea         1991–2000         57.85         38.46         6.75         15.51         8.07           2001–2010         66.65         55.3         6.04         16.51         6.62           2011–2014         42.01         85.76         6.37         17.75         9.86           Samoa         1981–1990         27.45         62.42         16.76         19.65         26.99           1991–2000         29.47         62.03         15.75         25.78         24.67           2001–2010         30.46         55.16         14.38         22.24         12.81           2011–2014         31.26         53.19         9         19.76         16.25           Solomon         1981–1990         51.01         79.69         5.77         36.28         23.26           Islands         1991–2000         26.26         60.75         6.53         48.43         15.06           2011–2010         31.31         48.46         8.05         35.53         33.42           2011–2014         49.08         47.7         10.03         23.51         35.3 <td></td> <td>2001–2010</td> <td>57.65</td> <td>74.72</td> <td>2.05</td> <td>33.63</td> <td>15.57</td>		2001–2010	57.65	74.72	2.05	33.63	15.57
Guinea         1991-2000         57.85         38.46         6.75         15.51         8.07           2001-2010         66.65         55.3         6.04         16.51         6.62           2011-2014         42.01         85.76         6.37         17.75         9.86           Samoa         1981-1990         27.45         62.42         16.76         19.65         26.99           1991-2000         29.47         62.03         15.75         25.78         24.67           2001-2010         30.46         55.16         14.38         22.24         12.81           2011-2014         31.26         53.19         9         19.76         16.25           Solomon         1981-1990         51.01         79.69         5.77         36.28         23.26           Islands         1991-2000         26.26         60.75         6.53         48.43         15.06           2001-2010         31.31         48.46         8.05         35.53         33.42           2011-2014         49.08         47.7         10.03         23.51         35.3           Tonga         1981-1990         26.44         87.15         11.12         14.46         18.43		2011-2014	65.26	68.18	1.59	34.26	11.54
1001 2000         66.65         55.3         6.04         16.51         6.62           2001-2014         42.01         85.76         6.37         17.75         9.86           Samoa         1981-1990         27.45         62.42         16.76         19.65         26.99           1991-2000         29.47         62.03         15.75         25.78         24.67           2001-2010         30.46         55.16         14.38         22.24         12.81           2011-2014         31.26         53.19         9         19.76         16.25           Solomon         1981-1990         51.01         79.69         5.77         36.28         23.26           Islands         1991-2000         26.26         60.75         6.53         48.43         15.06           2001-2010         31.31         48.46         8.05         35.53         33.42           2011-2014         49.08         47.7         10.03         23.51         35.3           Tonga         1981-1990         26.44         87.15         11.12         14.46         18.43           1991-2000         22.07         70.94         8.36         16.8         13.77           2001-2010 </td <td>Papua New</td> <td>1981–1990</td> <td>49.62</td> <td>54.19</td> <td>8.01</td> <td>21.29</td> <td>12.48</td>	Papua New	1981–1990	49.62	54.19	8.01	21.29	12.48
Samoa2011-201442.0185.766.3717.759.86Samoa1981-199027.4562.4216.7619.6526.991991-200029.4762.0315.7525.7824.672001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062001-201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981-199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011-201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072011-20141.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62	Guinea	1991–2000	57.85	38.46	6.75	15.51	8.07
Samoa         1981–1990         27.45         62.42         16.76         19.65         26.99           1991–2000         29.47         62.03         15.75         25.78         24.67           2001–2010         30.46         55.16         14.38         22.24         12.81           2011–2014         31.26         53.19         9         19.76         16.25           Solomon         1981–1990         51.01         79.69         5.77         36.28         23.26           Islands         1991–2000         26.26         60.75         6.53         48.43         15.06           2001–2010         31.31         48.46         8.05         35.53         33.42           2011–2014         49.08         47.7         10.03         23.51         35.3           Tonga         1981–1990         26.44         87.15         11.12         14.46         18.43           1991–2000         22.07         70.94         8.36         16.8         13.77           2001–2010         16.68         58.86         7.09         16.43         11.67           2011–2014         15.38         58.33         6.39         15.28         19.06           Tuvalu </td <td></td> <td>2001–2010</td> <td>66.65</td> <td>55.3</td> <td>6.04</td> <td>16.51</td> <td>6.62</td>		2001–2010	66.65	55.3	6.04	16.51	6.62
1991-200029.4762.0315.7525.7824.672001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.261991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062001-201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981-199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672001-201016.6858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001-20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62		2011–2014	42.01	85.76	6.37	17.75	9.86
2001-201030.4655.1614.3822.2412.812011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062001-201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981-199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011-201415.3858.336.3915.28190.6Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.89Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.89Tuvalu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-20002.9362.451.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62	Samoa	1981–1990	27.45	62.42	16.76	19.65	26.99
2011-201431.2653.19919.7616.25Solomon1981-199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991-200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062001-201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011-201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981-199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011-201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.89Tuvalu1981-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001-20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62		1991–2000	29.47	62.03	15.75	25.78	24.67
Solomon Islands1981–199051.0179.695.7736.2823.26Islands1991–200026.2660.756.5348.4315.062001–201031.3148.468.0535.5333.422011–201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981–199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991–200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001–201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011–201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981–19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991–20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001–20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011–20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981–199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991–200027.9850.414.6625.6816.142001–201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62		2001–2010	30.46	55.16	14.38	22.24	12.81
Islands         1991–2000         26.26         60.75         6.53         48.43         15.06           2001–2010         31.31         48.46         8.05         35.53         33.42           2011–2014         49.08         47.7         10.03         23.51         35.3           Tonga         1981–1990         26.44         87.15         11.12         14.46         18.43           1991–2000         22.07         70.94         8.36         16.8         13.77           2001–2010         16.68         58.86         7.09         16.43         11.67           2011–2014         15.38         58.33         6.39         15.28         19.06           Tuvalu         1981–1990         6.51         73.9         2.15         63.3         150.89           1991–2000         2.93         62.45         1.26         75.33         58.07           2001–2010         1.61         64.38         0.91         76.29         53.97           2011–2014         1.7         50.78         1.03         77.05         80.31           Vanuatu         1981–1990         39.54         64.64         3.69         37.47         22.11           1991–2000 <td></td> <td>2011–2014</td> <td>31.26</td> <td>53.19</td> <td>9</td> <td>19.76</td> <td>16.25</td>		2011–2014	31.26	53.19	9	19.76	16.25
2001-2010         31.31         48.46         8.05         35.53         33.42           2011-2014         49.08         47.7         10.03         23.51         35.3           Tonga         1981-1990         26.44         87.15         11.12         14.46         18.43           1991-2000         22.07         70.94         8.36         16.8         13.77           2001-2010         16.68         58.86         7.09         16.43         11.67           2011-2014         15.38         58.33         6.39         15.28         19.06           Tuvalu         1981-1990         6.51         73.9         2.15         63.3         150.89           1991-2000         2.93         62.45         1.26         75.33         58.07           2001-2010         1.61         64.38         0.91         76.29         53.97           2011-2014         1.7         50.78         1.03         77.05         80.31           Vanuatu         1981-1990         39.54         64.64         3.69         37.47         22.11           1991-2000         37.98         50.41         4.66         25.68         16.14           2001-2010         42.77		1981–1990	51.01	79.69	5.77	36.28	23.26
Zonga2011-201449.0847.710.0323.5135.3Tonga1981-199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991-200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011-201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001-20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62	Islands	1991–2000	26.26	60.75	6.53	48.43	15.06
Tonga1981–199026.4487.1511.1214.4618.431991–200022.0770.948.3616.813.772001–201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011–201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981–19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991–20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001–20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011–20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981–199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991–200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001–201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62		2001–2010	31.31	48.46	8.05	35.53	33.42
1991-2000         22.07         70.94         8.36         16.8         13.77           2001-2010         16.68         58.86         7.09         16.43         11.67           2011-2014         15.38         58.33         6.39         15.28         19.06           Tuvalu         1981-1990         6.51         73.9         2.15         63.3         150.89           1991-2000         2.93         62.45         1.26         75.33         58.07           2001-2010         1.61         64.38         0.91         76.29         53.97           2011-2014         1.7         50.78         1.03         77.05         80.31           Vanuatu         1981-1990         39.54         64.64         3.69         37.47         22.11           1991-2000         37.98         50.41         4.66         25.68         16.14           2001-2010         42.77         54.73         3.88         13.75         12.62		2011–2014	49.08	47.7	10.03	23.51	35.3
2001-201016.6858.867.0916.4311.672011-201415.3858.336.3915.2819.06Tuvalu1981-19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001-20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62	Tonga	1981–1990	26.44	87.15	11.12	14.46	18.43
2011–2014         15.38         58.33         6.39         15.28         19.06           Tuvalu         1981–1990         6.51         73.9         2.15         63.3         150.89           1991–2000         2.93         62.45         1.26         75.33         58.07           2001–2010         1.61         64.38         0.91         76.29         53.97           2011–2014         1.7         50.78         1.03         77.05         80.31           Vanuatu         1981–1990         39.54         64.64         3.69         37.47         22.11           1991–2000         37.98         50.41         4.66         25.68         16.14           2001–2010         42.77         54.73         3.88         13.75         12.62		1991–2000	22.07	70.94	8.36	16.8	13.77
Tuvalu1981–19906.5173.92.1563.3150.891991–20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001–20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011–20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981–199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991–200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001–201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62		2001–2010	16.68	58.86		16.43	11.67
1991-20002.9362.451.2675.3358.072001-20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62		2011–2014					19.06
2001-20101.6164.380.9176.2953.972011-20141.750.781.0377.0580.31Vanuatu1981-199039.5464.643.6937.4722.111991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62	Tuvalu	1981–1990	6.51	73.9	2.15	63.3	150.89
2011–2014         1.7         50.78         1.03         77.05         80.31           Vanuatu         1981–1990         39.54         64.64         3.69         37.47         22.11           1991–2000         37.98         50.41         4.66         25.68         16.14           2001–2010         42.77         54.73         3.88         13.75         12.62		1991–2000	2.93	62.45		75.33	58.07
Vanuatu         1981–1990         39.54         64.64         3.69         37.47         22.11           1991–2000         37.98         50.41         4.66         25.68         16.14           2001–2010         42.77         54.73         3.88         13.75         12.62							
1991-200037.9850.414.6625.6816.142001-201042.7754.733.8813.7512.62							
2001–2010 42.77 54.73 3.88 13.75 12.62	Vanuatu						
2011–2014 53.77 59.96 4.23 13.37 12.55							
		2011–2014	53.77	59.96	4.23	13.37	12.55

Note: <sup>(a)</sup> Data on official development flows to Marshall Islands, Federated States of Micronesia, and Palau are not available before the year 1992.

## 4. METHODOLOGY AND FINDINGS

Three issues pertaining to panel data analysis are addressed here: (1) empirical evidence is non-spurious, as all variables integrated are of order zero; (2) instrumental variables are used to address endogeneity issues; and (3) empirical findings are generally consistent across regressions using different samples. Empirical evidence is presented along with details of the methodologies used.

### 4.1 Integration test

The Breitung panel integration test, testing the null hypothesis that panels contain unit roots, is used to test the integration order of all quantitative variables in the model. In each Breitung test, time trend is not included and cross-sectional means are subtracted. Panel integration tests for the other quantitative variables are based on 13 countries' data. All 34 years (1981–2014) are included in all integration tests.

The Breitung integration test results are summarized in Table 4. Since all *p*-values are less than 0.05, the null hypothesis of non-stationary panels is rejected in each panel

integration test at the 5% significance level. A combination of these quantitative variables would therefore yield non-spurious regression results.

Variable	# countries	# Lag	Lambda	<i>p-</i> value
<b>GY</b> it	13	1	-3.15	0.0008
invr <sub>it</sub>	13	1	-3.20	0.0007
Nit	13	1	-4.33	0.0000
manur <sub>it</sub>	13	0	-3.84	0.0001
exportsr <sub>it</sub>	13	1	-2.92	0.0017
importsr <sub>it</sub>	13	1	-2.83	0.0023
govconsr <sub>it</sub>	13	1	-3.29	0.0005
odfr <sub>it</sub>	13	1	-3.76	0.0001

Table 4: Breitung Panel Integration Test Results
--

Note: *odfrit* uses 1992–2014 data based on availability; all other variables' integration tests use 1981–2014 data.

### 4.2 The GMM Estimation of the Growth Model

A concern in the growth literature is the endogeneity of some regressors. In the current model, identifying determinants of growth and their respective contributions, some regressors are likely affected by other factors in the model. For instance, investment ratio is likely to be influenced by economic growth and manufacturing value added ratio; education attainment and health are likely to be affected by economic growth, government final consumption expenditure, and official development flows; official development flows ratio is likely to be explained by economic growth, education attainment, health, and occurrence of natural disasters. Failure to address the endogeneity problem will produce biased empirical results. We use instrumental variables estimation to address the issue. In the current study, the system GMM estimator, with autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity consistent robust standard errors, is employed to estimate the panel regression model as shown in Equation (1). This estimator reports test statistics from the Arellano-Bond (A-B) test for autocorrelation and the Sargan test of overidentification restrictions. The former test has the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation; the latter has the null hypothesis that parameters are overidentified. The svstem GMM estimation results are summarized in Table 5.

Independent Variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
disaster <sub>1,it</sub>	-4.251***	-9.28***	-3.416	-6.873***	-6.123***
	(-2.70)	(-6.49)	(-1.04)	(-6.22)	(-2.63)
disaster <sub>2,it</sub>	-1.964*	-4.252**	-1.798	-1.638	-1.077
	(-1.55)	(-2.17)	(-0.86)	(-0.88)	(-0.25)
invr <sub>it</sub>	0.0548**	0.0293*	0.0624**	0.0664*	0.0616
	(1.84)	(1.63)	(2.07)	(1.31)	(0.95)
<i>n<sub>it</sub></i>	0.00191	-0.323	-0.106	0.352*	0.361
	(0.01)	(-0.79)	(-0.19)	(1.56)	(1.05)
manur <sub>it</sub>	0.471***	0.568***	0.504***	0.647***	0.666***
	(2.98)	(4.37)	(3.01)	(6.49)	(5.99)
<i>exportsr</i> <sub>it</sub>	0.0482*	0.07**	0.034	0.0972***	0.106**
	(1.53)	(1.8)	(0.74)	(3.43)	(1.78)

	Table 5: The system	GMM Estimation of F	Pacific Speed of Growth, gy <sub>it</sub>
--	---------------------	---------------------	---

<i>importsr</i> <sub>it</sub>	-0.0393***	-0.034	-0.0720***	-0.0741***	-0.0746***
	(-2.95)	(-1.23)	(-5.58)	(-4.55)	(-2.37)
govconsr <sub>it</sub>	0.122***	0.065**	0.0977***	0.311***	0.338***
	(2.75)	(2.21)	(2.37)	(5.82)	(3.91)
odfr <sub>it</sub>		0.819**			
		(1.87)			
disaster <sub>1,it</sub> *odfr <sub>it</sub>		28.8***			
		(5.89)			
disaster <sub>2,it</sub> *odfr <sub>it</sub>		20.59**			
		(2.64)			
Constant	-6.256**	-7.195*	-3.315	-14.16***	-15.43**
	(-1.74)	(-2.22)	(-1.03)	(-4.56)	(-2.78)
Period	1981–2014	1992–2014	1981–2014	1981–2014	1981–2014
# countries	13	13	8	9	6
# observations	442	299	272	306	204
Wald χ <sup>2</sup> [ <i>p</i> -value]	65.36[0.000]	721.02[0.000]	109.63[0.000]	1,184.87[0.000	1,324.33[0.000
				]	]
A-B test for AR(2) <i>p</i> -value	0.303	0.552	0.537	0.290	0.427
Sargan test <i>p</i> -value	0.540	0.445	0.414	0.662	0.448
Hansen test p-value	0.672	0.835	0.768	0.894	0.687

Notes:

(1) z statistics are in parentheses; p-values are in square brackets.

(2) \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* respectively represent that the corresponding variable is statistically significant at the 10%, 5%, and 1% significance levels. These are obtained based on one-tailed hypothesis tests given the hypotheses described in the model.  $Z_{\alpha=0.10} = 1.28$ ,  $Z_{\alpha=0.05} = 1.64$ , and  $Z_{\alpha=0.01} = 2.33$ .

(3) There is no multicollinearity problem in the above regressions. Coefficients of pairwise correlation among independent variables are all within the range -0.65 to 0.65.

Since *p*-values from the Arellano-Bond tests for the second-order autocorrelation AR(2) are all greater than 0.05, autocorrelation within countries is not evidenced at the 5% significance level. Similarly, since all *p*-values from the Sargan tests of overidentification are all greater than 0.05, overidentification of parameters in individual regressions is evidenced at the 5% significance level. These tests suggest the system GMM estimates are consistent and efficient.

### 4.3 Robustness of Estimates

This study investigates the effectiveness of foreign development assistance in mitigating natural disasters' impact on GDP in 13 PICs over a period of three decades (1981–2014). Findings on growth empirics from cross-country studies are to some extent sensitive to choice of sample. Homogeneity of sample countries plays an important role in producing robust estimates. In the current study, a robustness check is conducted by using different sets of PICs, time periods, and regressors.

To check the robustness of estimates and utilize data that are available for the current study, regression (1) includes all 13 PICs over the whole period 1981–2014; regression (2) includes 13 PICs over 1992–2014, the period for which ODF data are available for all PICs under investigation; regression (3) excludes the Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, the Marshall Islands, and the Federated States of Micronesia; regression (4) excludes Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, and Vanuatu; and regression (5) includes the Marshall Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Palau, Papua New Guinea, and Samoa.

### 4.4 Interpretation of Empirical Findings

A glance at the regression output across five columns in Table 5 suggests that estimates are consistent with the assumptions presented in Section 3.

Natural disasters prove devastating to small Pacific island economies. Based on the findings of regression (2), which incorporates official development assistance in the Pacific speed of growth model, it is found that the occurrence of a natural disaster affecting between 10% and 20% of the total population on average reduces the rate of real GDP per capita growth by 9.28 percentage points in this region, *ceteris paribus*. The occurrence of a natural disaster affecting more than 20% of the total population, however, has a less significant damaging impact on economic growth, both statistically and quantitatively: on average, it reduces the rate of real GDP per capita growth by 4.25 percentage points in this region, *ceteris paribus*.

Meanwhile, it is observed that interactive effect of official development assistance and natural disaster indicators has a large and positive impact on economic growth. Assuming that a natural disaster is exogenous, official development assistance increases with the natural disaster's devastating effects. Hence, the positive and significant signs of the interaction terms  $disaster_{1,it} \circ odfr_{it}$  and  $disaster_{2,it} \circ odfr_{it}$  suggest that the adverse growth impacts of natural disasters are greatly mitigated by green finance—that is, by official development assistance.

Looking at the control variables, it is found that a 10 percentage point increase in investment-to-GDP ratio is associated with a 0.29 percentage point increase in growth of real GDP per capita, all else being equal. The gap between the maximum and minimum investment ratios among the 13 PICs over 1981–2014 was 64.71%; this contributed to a difference of 1.88 (= 0.029\*64.71) percentage points in the expected growth of real GDP per capita among the developing South Pacific region.

Manufacturing proves an important determinant in the Pacific speed of growth model. It is evidenced that a rise of 10 percentage points in the manufacturing value added-tototal value added ratio leads to a 5.7 percentage point rise in the growth of real GDP per capita, keeping other variables unchanged. Such a positive effect is highly significant at the 1% significance level. The gap between the maximum and minimum share of manufacturing sector in GDP in the 13 PICs over 1981–2014 was 13.78%; this contributed to a difference of 7.85 (= 0.57\*13.78) percentage points in the expected growth of real GDP per capita among the developing South Pacific region.

The exports-to-GDP ratio has a coefficient of 0.07, suggesting that a 10 percentage point increase in the exports ratio increases growth of real GDP per capita by 0.7 percentage points, *ceteris paribus*. Such an effect is highly significant at the 1% significance level. The gap between the highest and lowest export-to-GDP ratios among the 13 PICs over 1981–2014 was 76.51%; this contributed to a difference of 5.36 (= 0.07\*76.51) percentage points in the expected growth of real GDP per capita among the developing South Pacific region.

Imports turn out to be harmful to small Pacific island economies, suggesting that their negative crowding out effect outweighs their positive technological spillover effect on growth. Regression analysis shows that a 10 percentage point increase in the imports-to-GDP ratio reduces economic growth by around 0.3 percentage points, *ceteris paribus*. The gap between the highest and lowest import-to-GDP ratios among the 13 PICs over 1981–2014 was 84.8%; this contributed to a difference of 2.26 (= 0.029\*84.80) percentage points in the expected growth of real GDP per capita among the developing South Pacific region.

Government final consumption expenditure proves helpful in promoting economic growth in this region. It is found that a rise of 10 percentage points in the government final consumption expenditure-to-GDP ratio increases economic growth by 0.65 percentage points, all else fixed The gap between the highest and lowest government final consumption expenditure-to-GDP ratios among the 13 PICs over 1981–2014 was 63.68%; this contributed to a difference of 4.14 (= 0.065\*63.68) percentage points in the expected growth of real GDP per capita among the developing South Pacific region.

Official development flows is the last quantitative series considered in the current study. It turns out to be highly significant, with positive growth impact. An increase of 10 percentage points in the official development flows-to-GDP ratio is associated with an increase of 8.19 percentage points in economic growth, *ceteris paribus*. The lowest ODF ratio was seen in Nauru over 1981–1990, while the highest ratio was seen in Tuvalu over 1981–1991. The gap between the highest and lowest official development flows-to-GDP ratios among the 13 PICs over 1981–2014 was 150.89%; this contributed to a difference of 124 (= 0.819\*150.89) percentage points in the expected growth of real GDP per capita among the developing South Pacific region.

It is worth noting that the estimated intercept is -7.20, suggesting that, on average, the growth rate of real GDP per capita in the South Pacific region is negative, given that mean values of explanatory variables are all zero. This magnitude may incorporate negative growth effects of omitted factors, such as budget deficit, as in Gani (1998) and Jayaraman and Lau (2009); initial GDP per capita and debt, as in Tumbarello, Cabezon, and Wu (2013); and geographic disadvantage of PICs and growth volatility, as suggested by Yang et al. (2016). However, these assumptions need to be tested if data allow or if different growth models such as growth-initial income models are used.

# 5. CONCLUSION

This study sought to analyze the effectiveness of foreign development assistance, as a source of green finance, in mitigating natural disasters' impact on economic growth in the developing South Pacific region. The ratio of the population affected by a natural disaster was used to measure its severity. The impacts of natural disasters on economic growth were then assessed in panel regression analyses. Applying the Breitung panel integration test and the system GMM estimator, this study provides non-spurious and consistent analytical results. It is found that natural disasters significantly slow down economic growth in this region.

Natural disasters have emerged as a key determinant of growth in PICs. Moreover, it is observed that while official development assistance directly contributes positively to economic growth, it also effectively mitigates the negative impact of natural disasters on economic growth. This finding suggests that foreign development assistance is not only effective in promoting economic growth in the Pacific region, but it also limits the impact of natural disasters on the economy.

This finding has some important policy implications. Firstly, policy measures should ensure efficient and timely assistance to the region. Secondly, a significant amount of foreign aid before and in the aftermath of a natural disaster should be targeted toward better building, and productive investment should include improved technology and should be more resistant to shocks. International cooperation with international meteorology institutions should be explored to facilitate more accurate weather forecasting and improve the broadcast system to deliver weather messages more effectively. Moreover, foreign development assistance should be channeled into educating communities and building better and more sustainable health facilities, which are essential to minimize the human and economic cost of natural disasters.

### REFERENCES

- Adger, W. N. (1996). *Approaches to vulnerability to climate change* (Working paper No. 96-05). London: Centre for Social and Economic Research on Global Environment, University of East Anglia and University College London.
  - ———. (1999). Social vulnerability to climate change and extremes in Coastal Vietnam. World Development, 27(2), 249–269. doi:10.1016/S0305-750X(98)00136-3.
- Aghion, P., and Howitt, P. (1992). A model of growth through creative destruction. *Econometrica*, *60*, 323–51. doi:10.2307/2951599.
- Alderman, H., Hoddinott, J., and Kinsey, B. (2006). Long term consequences of early childhood malnutrition. Oxford Economic Papers, 58(3), 450–474. doi:10.1093/oep/gp1008.
- Azariadis, C., and Drazen, A. (1990). Threshold externalities in economic development. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *105*(2), 501–526. doi:10.2307/2937797.
- Brollo, F., Nannicini, T., Perotti, R., and Tabellini, G. (2013). The political resource curse. *American Economic Review*, *103*, 1759–1796. doi:10.1257/aer.103.5.1759
- Becker, G. S., Glaesser, E. L., and Murphy, K. M. (1999). Population and economic growth. *The American Economic Review*, 89(2), 145–149. doi:10.1257/aer.89.2.145.
- Cuaresma, J. (2010). Natural disaster and human capital accumulation. *World Bank Economic Review*, 24, 280–302. doi:10.1093/wber/lhq008.
- Daniel, V. E., Florax, R. J. G. M., and Rietveld, P. (2009). Flooding risk and housing values: An economic assessment of environment hazard. *Ecological Economics*, 69, 355–365. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2009.08.018.
- Fankhauser, S., and Tol, R. S. J. (2005). On climate change and economic growth. *Resource Energy Economics*, 27, 1–17. doi:10.1016/S0140-6701(05)82383-5.
- Fankhauser, S., Tol, R. S. J., and Pearce, D. W. (1997). The aggregation of climate change damages: A welfare theoretic approach. *Environmental Resource Economics*, 10, 249–266. doi:10.1023/A:1026420425961.
- Gani, A. (1998). Macroeconomic determinants of growth in the South Pacific Island economies. *Applied Economics Letters*, *5*, 747–749.
- Hallegatte, S., and Dumas, P. (2009). Can natural disasters have positive consequences? Investigating the role of embodied technical change. *Ecological Economics*, *68*, 777–786. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.06.011.
- Hallegatte, S., Hourcade, J. C., and Dumas, P. (2007). Why economic dynamics matter in assessing climate change damages: Illustration on extreme events. *Ecological Economics*, 62, 330–340. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.06.006.
- Hamdan, F. (2015). Intensive and extensive disaster risk drivers and interactions with recent trends in the global political economy, with special emphasis on rentier states. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *14*, 273–289. doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2014.09.004Get.
- Jayaraman, T. K., and Lau, E. (2009). Does external debt lead to economic growth in Pacific Island countries? *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 31, 272–88.

- Kremer, M. (1993). Population growth and technological change: One million B.C. to 1990. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *108*(3), 681–716. doi:10.2307/2118405.
- Lopez, R. (2009). *Natural disasters and the dynamics of intangible assets* (Policy Research Working Paper No. WPS4874), Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Masozera, M., Bailey, M., and Kerchner, C. (2007). Distribution of impacts of natural disasters across income groups: A case study of New Orleans. *Ecological Economics*, 63, 299–306. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.06.013.
- Okuyama, Y. (2003). *Economics of natural disasters: A critical review* (Research Paper, 2003–12), West Virginia: Regional Research Institute, West Virginia University.
- Okuyama, Y., Hewings, G. J. D., and Sonis, M. (2004). Measuring economic impacts of disasters: Interregional input-output analysis using sequential interindustry model. In Y. Okuyama and S. E. Cang (Eds.), *Modeling the spatial and economic effects of disasters* (pp. 77–101). New York, NY: Springer.
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous technological change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98 (5), 71–102. doi:10.1086/261725.
- Schumacher, I., and Strobl, E. (2011). Economic development and losses due to natural disasters: The role of hazard exposure. *Ecological Economics*, *72*, 97–105. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.09.002.
- Skidmore, M., and Toya, H. (2002). Do natural disasters promote long-run growth? *Economic Inquiry*, *40*, 664–687. doi:10.1093/ei/40.4.664.
- Tumbarello, P., Cabezon, E., and Wu, Y. (2013). Are the Asia and Pacific small states different from other small states? IMF Working Papers, Washington, DC.
- Yang, Y., Chen, H., Singh, S. R., and Singh, B. (2016). The Pacific speed of growth: How fast can it be and what determines it? In H. E. Khor, R. P. Kronenberg, and P. Tumbarello (Eds.), *Resilience and growth in the small states of the Pacific* (pp. 43–67). Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.