

Holloh, Detlev

Working Paper

Financial systems development and local financial institutions in Indonesia: Introduction and selected bibliography

Working Paper, No. 1996,1

Provided in Cooperation with:

University of Cologne, Development Research Center

Suggested Citation: Holloh, Detlev (1996) : Financial systems development and local financial institutions in Indonesia: Introduction and selected bibliography, Working Paper, No. 1996,1, Universität zu Köln, Arbeitsstelle für Entwicklungsländerforschung (AEF), Köln

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/23664>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Universität zu Köln
Arbeitsstelle für Entwicklungsländerforschung
University of Cologne
Development Research Center

Working Paper No. 1996-1

Detlev Holloh

FINANCIAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN INDONESIA

Introduction and Selected Bibliography

Universität zu Köln
Arbeitsstelle für Entwicklungsländerforschung
Bernhard-Feilchenfeld-Str. 11, 50969 Köln
Tel.: 0221-470.4078, Fax: 0221: 0221-470.5195

University of Cologne
Development Research Center
Bernhard-Feilchenfeld-Str. 11, D-50969 Cologne
Tel.: ++49-221-470.4078, Fax: ++49-221-470.5195

seibel@uni-koeln.de

From State-led to Market-led Development of the Financial Sector

The interventionist rationale of economic policy-making in Indonesia was already laid down in the 1945 constitution, but only the abundant oil revenues during the oil boom period between 1973 and 1982 enabled the government to realize the envisaged state-led model of development. In order to channel revenues to domestic industries, state enterprises and cooperatives, the government strengthened the position of state banks vis-à-vis the private sector. By 1982, state banks accounted for 80 % of total banks assets and 85 % of total bank credit. The central bank established a system of 32 subsidized liquidity credit programs at controlled interest rates. More than 90 % of liquidity credit, which made up almost half of the total loan amount disbursed during the oil boom period, were channeled through state banks. By 1982, small-scale credit accounted for almost one quarter of the total loan amount channeled through subsidized liquidity credit programs. The rural financial system was virtually closed for the private sector. *Bank Rakyat Indonesia* (BRI) was instructed to establish an extensive network of village units for the implementation of the subsidized credit programs.

During the 1980s, the negative consequences of this development model became apparent. The abuse and repression of the financial sector had undermined the mobilization of domestic savings and the institutional viability of state banks. Unsound lending practices, misallocation and misuse of funds and the consequent high default rates prevailed in most programs involving small-scale, rural and cooperative credit. BRI village units, which had been the major channel for subsidized credit programs, operated at high losses and were near to collapse. The BIMAS rice intensification program collapsed with at least 20 % of the total loan amount being uncollectible. Village cooperatives, which had to implement 19 subsidized credit programs, had become a field of appropriation for local officials and richer farmers. While the government had intended to supplant informal finance by subsidized credit programs, informal finance flourished because the vast majority of the rural population was not reached with these programs.

The collapse of oil prices in the 1980s diminished the government's development budgets and undermined the state-led model of economic development. "Back to

the Wisdom of the Market Economy” (Coordinating Minister for Economic Affairs, Radius Prawiro, *Jakarta Post* 16.12.1989) became a major slogan of economic policy. In order to improve the competitiveness of domestic industries, stimulate non-oil exports, reduce reliance on state enterprises, and improve domestic resource mobilization several trade, investment and financial sector reforms removed restrictions that had contributed to the high-cost economy. The new market-oriented approach was most pronounced in a series of financial sector reforms. The first reform in 1983 removed credit ceilings, interest controls and 9 of 32 subsidized credit programs. Most entry barriers to the still state-dominated banking sector, including the rural financial sector, were removed in 1988, and in 1990 the number of subsidized credit programs was further reduced to four. The new banking law of 1992 put a general emphasis on market-oriented banking, removed the specialized functions of state banks and transformed them into limited liability companies. The financial sector responded vigorously to deregulation. Between 1988 and 1994 the number of banks increased from 112 to 240, the number of their branches from 1,640 to 6,059, and the number of rural banks and credit institutions from 5,783 to 9,196. Demand, time and savings deposits were boosted from Rp 37.5 to Rp 168.9 trillion, and the amount of outstanding credit from Rp 35.1 to Rp 153.9 trillion during the same period. The liberalization of financial markets constituted a watershed in the relationship between state and private domestic banks. By 1995, the private sector exceeded the state banks' share in savings mobilization and credit disbursement for the first time.

By the early 1990s the economy and the financial sector faced serious problems. The vast majority of state enterprises and officialized village cooperatives were deemed financially unsound; the exorbitant increase in money supply aggravated inflationary pressures and required a tight monetary policy; and increasing volumes of bad debts and widespread corruption in all business sectors became a major issue of public debate. Financial reforms had reduced existing distortions in financial markets, but new ones were added by further injecting government money into subsidized credit programs, obliging banks to allocate 20 % of their loan portfolio to small and medium enterprises, instructing state enterprises to allocate one to 5 % of their profits to small enterprises and cooperatives, and pressuring private companies to transfer up to 25 % of their shares to cooperatives. The scandals and crises of several private banks revealed that they had exceeded legal lending limits

in extending loans to their own shareholders, who were connected to large business conglomerates. By the end of 1992, 15 % of the state banks' loan portfolio was categorized as non-performing. Business collusion between the bureaucratic elite and private conglomerates and priority credit programs with arrears ratios of 20 % or more were the major reasons for this performance. High arrears ratios occurred mainly in credit programs implemented through village cooperatives. The farmers' credit program (KUT) incurred arrears (29 %) mainly through the misuse of funds by officials of local government and cooperatives. The KIK/KMKP small-scale credit program was abandoned in 1990 with a default rate of about 27 %. The subsequent KUK credit program, through which banks were forced to allocate 20 % of their portfolio to small businesses, became also subject to manipulation.

Financial Sector Reforms 1983 - 1992

June 1983: 1. major banking reform: removal of all credit ceilings; reduction of subsidized priority credit programs (from 32 to 23); removal of deposit and lending interest rate controls; removal of subsidies on state banks' deposit rates.

1984-1987: Reintroduction of Bank Indonesia Certificates (SBI) to support open market operations (Febr. 1984); introduction of money market instruments (SBPU) - promissory notes of bank customers, banks, NBFIs and trade bills (Jan. 1985); raising of interest rates for SBPUs, SBIs, discount facilities and swap premium in order to improve fund management (May 1987).

Oct. 1988: 2. major banking reform: removal of entry barriers for banks and the expansion of branches; allowing public enterprises to place up to 50% of their deposits outside of state banks; permitting banks and NBFIs to raise capital in the stock market; easing entry to leasing, insurance, venture capital, consumer finance, and securities activities; reducing reserve requirements From 15% (for demand deposits) and 10% (for savings and time deposits) to 2%.

1989: Introduction of legal lending limits, joint venture capital ownership and bank mergers; new definition of bank capital, reserve requirements, bank investment in stocks (March); Jakarta Stock Exchange decontrolled and privatized (Dec.).

Jan. 1990: 3. major banking reform: reduction of subsidized priority credit programs to 4; requirement for domestic banks to allocate 20 % of their portfolio to small firms and cooperatives; requirement for foreign and joint ventures to allocate at least 50% of their portfolio to export oriented activities.

1991: New measures to improve bank management and supervision (February); reimposition of ceilings on offshore borrowing for the public sector (November).

Jan. 1992: New banking law: general emphasis on market-oriented banking; removal of the specialized functions of state banks; transformation of state banks into limited liability companies.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Arndt, H.-W. 1987.** "The Financial System of Indonesia." *Savings and Development* 23(3): 305-315.
- Bank Indonesia.** Various "Reports of the Financial Year", and "Statistik Ekonomi-Kuangan Indonesia".
- Bolnick, B.R. and E.R. Nelson 1990.** "Evaluating the Economic Impact of a Small Credit Program: KIK/KMKP in Indonesia." *Journal of Development Studies* 26(2): 299-312.
- Fischer, B. 1994.** "Financial Deregulation in an Open Developing Economy: Lesson from the Indonesian Experience." *Savings and Development* 3: 257-277.
- Husaini, M., S. Hardjosoekarto, H. Nurasa, T. Mariman 1996.** "Small-Scale Enterprises in Indonesia." in Pangestu, M., ed. 1996. *Small-Scale Business Development and Competition Policy*. Jakarta: Centre for Strategic and International Studies, pp. 7-19.
- Iqbal, F. 1995.** "Deregulation and Development in Indonesia." Paper prepared for the conference Building on Success: Maximizing the Gains from Deregulation, April 26-28, 1995 in Jakarta.
- McLeod, R. 1992.** "Indonesia's Changing Financial Landscape: The Evolution of Finance Policy in Indonesia." Paper presented at the conference 'Financial Landscapes Reconstructed', Wageningen: 17-19 Nov. 1992.
- Mubyarto 1991.** *Deregulasi Ekonomi dan Strategi Pengembangan Perekonomian Rakyat*. Yogyakarta: P3PK-UGM.
- Nasution, A. 1989.** "Paket Deregulasi Sektor Keuangan." *Prisma* 3: 9-24.
- Nasution, A. 1993.** Reforms of the Financial Sector in Indonesia, 1983-1991." *The Indonesian Quarterly* XXI(3): 284-310.
- Pangestu, M. 1993.** "The Role of the State and Economic Development in Indonesia." *The Indonesian Quarterly* XXI(3): 253-283.
- Pangestu, M. 1996.** *Economic Reform, Deregulation, and Privatization*. Jakarta: Centre for Strategic and International Studies.
- Pangestu, M. 1996.** "Financing Small-Scale Business: The Indonesian Experience." in Pangestu, M. ed. 1996. *Small-Scale Business Development and Competition Policy*. Jakarta: Centre for Strategic and International Studies, pp. 26-46.
- Patten, R.H. and J.K. Rosengard 1991.** *Progress With Profits. The Development of Rural Banking in Indonesia*. San Francisco: ICS Press.
- Robison, R. 1986.** *Indonesia: The Rise of Capital*. Canberra: Asian Studies

Association of Australia.

Schmit, L.T. 1991. *Rural Credit between Subsidy and Market. Adjustment of the village units of Bank Rakyat Indonesia in sociological perspective.* Leiden: Development Studies No. 11.

Schwarz, A. 1994. *Nation in Waiting. Indonesia in the 1990s.* Sydney: Allen & Unwin.

Sikorski, T. M. 1994. "Limits to Financial Liberalization: The Experience of Indonesia and the Philippines." *Savings and Development* 28(4).

Snodgrass, D.R. and R.H. Patten 1991. "Reform of Rural Credit in Indonesia: Introducing Bureaucracies to Behave Competitively." in Perkins, D.H. and M. Roemer, eds. 1991. *Reforming Economic Systems in Developing Countries.* Harvard Institute for International Development, pp. 341-364.

The Formal – Semiformal – Informal Sectors

The financial system in Indonesia consists of financial institutions and services which are conventionally classified as formal, semiformal and informal.

The **formal financial sector**, which is regulated by the banking law and supervised by the central bank, consists of state and private commercial banks, and *Bank Perkreditan Rakyat* (BPR). Between 1988 and 1993, the number of bank branches increased from 1,640 to 5,838, and the number of BPR from 178 to 1,709. Commercial banks operate in mainly urban areas, while only few have established mobile services for villagers. BPR operate on subdistrict level and are often referred to as rural banks. However, most of the new ones were established in urban and market centers and are not accessible to most villagers. The transformation of BRI village units into viable profit centers through market-oriented savings and credit schemes is a major success story of financial sector deregulation. The 3,213 units at subdistrict centers and 1,225 village posts reached about 11 million savers and 2 million borrowers, though many units do not yet reach the village level and serve a wealthier clientele close to urban centers.

The **semiformal financial sector** is not subject to the banking law but regulated and supervised by government agencies other than the central bank. It consists of mainly three types of financial institutions: *Badan Kredit Desa* (BKD), *Lembaga Dana Kredit Pedesaan* (LDKP), and *Koperasi Unit Desa* (KUD).

BKD are tiny village and rice banks in Java, which are supervised by the village head and BRI. The 5,345 units often consist of only a table in the village office.

LDKP operate either on subdistrict or village level, are regulated by provincial government law and are supervised by regional development banks. Among 1,978 of these institutions are the *Badan Kredit Kecamatan* (BKK) in Java with 510 units and 3,015 village posts, the *Kredit Usaha Rakyat Kecil* (KURK) in East Java with 222 units, the *Lembaga Perkreditan Kecamatan* (LPK) in West Java with 109 units, the *Lembaga Kredit Pedesaan* (LKP) in Lombok with 59 units, the *Lumbung Pitih Nagari* (LPN) in West Sumatra with 193 units, and the *Lembaga Perkreditan Desa* (LPD) in Bali with 631 units.

KUD are the officialized village cooperatives, which have been promoted to "village conglomerates" (*Infobank* 138/1991) and are involved in all sectors of the rural economy. By the end of 1988, only 104 of 7,873 KUD were self-reliant. Two years later, 2,092 of 8,535 KUD were categorized as self-reliant, but the vast majority would have had to close down if they were stripped of subsidies and preferential access to business deals with the government. KUDs were further shaken by corruption and bad debts and virtually exempted from financial deregulation. Subsidized credit to cooperatives boosted from Rp 340 billion in 1988 to Rp 2.5 trillion in 1993.

The **informal financial sector** operates outside of any regulation and supervision of state agencies. It consists of professional moneylenders, financial arrangements tied to land, labor and traded goods, financial arrangements between friends and relatives, and financial self-help groups. It was estimated that about 60 % of financial transactions in rural areas fall within this sector. However, the lack of empirically substantiated information makes it impossible to determine the relative importance of existing forms of informal finance within the financial system. The most recent empirical study found relatives and neighbors, professional moneylenders, rotating savings and credit associations and itinerant traders to be the most important sources of informal credit in rural areas.

Financial self-help groups are either *rotating savings and credit associations* (Roscas), in which members contribute regularly a stipulated amount that falls to one or several members by means of lot or according to an agreed order of rotation, or *savings and credit groups*, which build up a joint loan fund through capital shares, savings and net income from financial intermediation, intermediate between net savers and net borrowers, and provide loans at explicit interest rates and on explicit demand of their members. For Indonesia, there are only few empirical studies which deal explicitly with financial self-help groups. Clifford Geertz' study of the *arisan*, the Indonesian Rosca, is still a major reference for more recent publications. Regarding savings and credit groups (in Indonesia usually referred to as *simpan-pinjam* groups), I am aware of only one empirical study (Bongartz). Most of the latter groups are modern institutions, which were usually established with the support of non-government organizations in the 1970s and 1980s.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bongartz, H. 1989. *Self-Help Organizations in Rural Java*. Saarbrücken, Fort Lauderdale: Breitenbach Publishers.

Bouman, F.J.A. and H.A.J. Moll 1992. "Informal Finance in Indonesia." in Adams, D.W. and D.A. Fitchett, eds. 1992. *Informal Finance in Low-Income Countries*. Boulder: Westview Press, pp. 209-223.

Bundschu, I. 1995. "Ländliche Genossenschaften in Indonesien." *Zeitschrift für das gesamte Genossenschaftswesen* 45(3): 198-211.

Chaves, R.A. and C. Gonzales-Vega 1996. "The Design of Successful Rural Financial Intermediaries: Evidence from Indonesia." *World Development* 24(1): 65-78.

Geertz, C. 1962. "The Rotating Credit Association: A 'Middle Rung' in Development." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 10(3): 241-263

Ghate, P. 1992. *Informal Finance. Some Findings From Asia*. Manila: Oxford University Press/Asian Development Bank.

Hafid, A., J.M. Colter, P. Suharto, ed. 1987. *Lembaga Dana dan Kredit Pedesaan. Ringkasan Seminar*. Jakarta: Lembaga Pengembangan Perbankan Indonesia.

Hamer, T. 1988. *Probleme der indonesischen Genossenschaftsstruktur und genossen-schaftliches Prüfwesen*. Marburg/Lahn: Institut für Kooperation in Entwick- lungsländern, Philipps Universität Marburg, Studien und Berichte Nr. 21.

Holloh, D. and S. M. Soetjpto 1991. "Opening a Lookout on Self-Help Groups in PPHBK." Yogyakarta: Bank Indonesia and GTZ.

Holloh, D. 1994. "Community Financial Institutions in Bali. Some Empirical Findings." Arbeitspapier, Arbeitsstelle für Entwicklungsländerforschung, Universität Köln, Köln 1994.

Holloh, D. 1995. "Finanzielle Selbsthilfegruppen in Indonesien: Zwischen Finanzsystem- entwicklung und Armuts- bekämpfung durch Hilfe zur Selbsthilfe." Vortrag im Rahmen des Südostasien-Forums, Johann Wolfgang Goethe - Universität Frankfurt, 26. Januar 1995, Arbeitsstelle für Entwicklungs- länderforschung, Universität Köln, Köln 1995.

Holloh, D. 1996. "Financial Self-Help Groups: 'Target Groups' for Rural Development and Finance? Perceptions and Empirical Evidence from Indonesia." Arbeitspapier, Arbeitsstelle für Entwicklungsländerforschung, Universität Köln, Köln 1996.

Hospes, O. 1992. "Evolving Forms of Informal Finance in an Indonesian Town." in Adams, D.W. and D.A. Fitchett, eds. 1992. *Informal Finance in Low-Income Countries*. Boulder: Westview Press, pp. 225- 238.

Hospes 1994. "The Agrarian Question of Financial Landscapes: The Case of Ambon." in Bouman, F.J.A. and O. Hospes 1994. *Financial Landscapes Reconstructed: The Fine Art of Mapping Development*. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, pp. 223-248.

Hospes 1996. *People That Count. Changing Savings and Credit Practices in Ambon, Indonesia*. Amsterdam: Thesis Publishers.

Ismawan, B. and Kartjono 1985. "Kemandirian Kelompok Swadaya Masyarakat dan Peranannya dalam Penciptaan Peluang Kerja dan Berusaha di Pedesaan." in Mubyarto, ed. 1985. *Peluang Kerja dan Berusaha di Pedesaan*. Yogyakarta: BPF, pp. 21-81.

Kern, J.R. 1986. "The Growth of Decentralized Rural Credit Institutions in Indonesia." in MacAndrews, C., ed. 1986. *Central Government and Local Development in Indonesia*. Singapore: Oxford University Press, pp. 101-131.

King, D.Y. 1983. "Associational Activity and Political Participation of Villagers in West Java, Indonesia." *Southeast Asian Journal of Social Science* 11(1): 62-91.

Koch, E. 1983. "Tendenzen des Indonesischen Genossenschaftswesens." *Internationales Asienforum* 14(2/3): 209-230.

May, U. 1992. "Credit, Consensus, and Power: The Local Association as a Modern Institution of Socialization." Working Paper No. 167, Sociology of Development Research Centre, University of Bielefeld.

Mubyarto and E.S. Hamid, ed. 1986. *Kredit Pedesaan di Indonesia*. Yogyakarta: BPF.

Mubyarto, L. Soetrisno, G. Sumodiningrat 1985. "Kredit Pedesaan dan Peranannya Dalam Penciptaan Peluang Bekerja Berusaha." in Mubyarto, ed. 1985. *Peluang Kerja dan Berusaha di Pedesaan*. Yogyakarta: BPF, pp. 423-477.

Partadireja, A. 1974. "Rural Credit: The Ijon System." *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies* 10: 54-71.

Patten, R.H. and J.K. Rosengard 1991. *Progress With Profits. The Development of Rural Banking in Indonesia*. San Francisco: ICS Press.

Prabowo, D. 1989. "The Role of Informal Financial Intermediation in the Mobilization of Household Savings and Allocations in Indonesia." Washington D.C.: Seminar on Informal Financial Markets in Development.

- Rahardjo, M.D. 1991.** "Development Policies in Indonesia and the Growth of Cooperatives." *Prisma* 23: 3-11.
- Rhyne, E. 1991.** "The microenterprise finance institutions of Indonesia and their implications for donors." GEMINI Working Paper No. 20, Bethesda: GEMINI.
- Riedinger, J.M. 1994.** "Innovation in rural finance: Indonesia's Badan Kredit Kecamatan Program." *World Development* 22(3): 301-313.
- Robinson, M.S. 1992.** "Rural financial intermediaries: Lessons from Indonesia." Development Discussion Paper, Cambridge: Harvard Institute for International Development.
- Schrader, H. 1991.** "Rotating Savings and Credit Associations Institutions in the 'Middle Rung' of Development?" Working Paper No. 148, Sociology of Development Research Centre, University of Bielefeld.
- Schrader, H. 1994.** "Formal and Informal Finance in Indonesia." Working Paper No. 213, Sociology of Development Research Centre, University of Bielefeld.
- Schrader, H. 1994.** *Changing Financial Landscapes in India and Indonesia. Sociological Aspects of Monetisation and Market Integration.* Habilitations- schrift, Universität Bielefeld.
- Snodgrass, D.R. and R.H. Patten 1991.** "Reform of Rural Credit in Indonesia: Introducing Bureaucracies to Behave Competitively." in Perkins, D.H. and M. Roemer, eds. 1991. *Reforming Economic Systems in Developing Countries.* Harvard Institute for International Development, pp. 341-364.
- Soedjono, I. 1977.** "Kebijaksanaan Koperasi. Beberapa Masalah dan Prospeknya." *Prisma* 7(6): 3-16.
- Tjondronegoro, S.M.P. 1984.** *Social Organization and Planned Development in Rural Java.* Singapore: Oxford University Press.
- Verhagen, K.C.W. 1987.** *Self-Help Promotion: A Challenge to the NGO Community.* Amsterdam: Cebemo and Royal Tropical Institute.
- Widjaya, H. and N.H. Sturgess 1979.** "Land Leasing in East Java." Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies XV(2).
- Williams, G. and M. Johnston 1983.** "The Arisan: A Tool for Economic and Social Development?" *Prisma* 29: 66-73.
- Wiradi, G. 1978.** "Rural Development and Rural Institutions." Agro-Economic Survey, Bogor.
- World Bank 1983.** "Indonesia. Rural Credit Study." World Bank Report No. 4566-IND, Jakarta.

World Bank 1988. "Indonesia Rural Credit Sector Review." Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

Yaron, J. 1992. "Successful Rural Finance Institutions." World Bank Discussion Paper No. 150, Washington D.C.: The World Bank.

Yayasan Indonesia Sejahtera (YIS) 1988. *Percaya Diri Awal Kemandirian. Profil Program Kredit Pedesaan.* Solo: YIS.

LINKING BANKS AND SELF-HELP GROUPS IN INDONESIA

The idea of linking banks and financial self-help groups gained international attention when the United Nation's 'Third International Symposium on the Mobilization of Personal Savings in Developing Countries' emphasized the necessity of both formal and informal financial institutions for rural development, and recommended linkages between them. (United Nations 1986) As a follow-up and on the basis of an outline of basic principles of the linkage approach (Seibel 1985), the *Asia Pacific Rural and Agricultural Credit Association* (APRACA) and the German Agency for Technical Cooperation (GTZ) formulated the new program of *Linking Self-help Groups and Banks in Developing Countries* (Kropp et al. 1989).

Indonesia was the first country to translate the concept of linking banks and self-help groups into practice. A preparatory survey (Gadjah Mada University 1987) pointed to the development potential of financial self-help groups, on the one hand, and their limited capacity in meeting the credit demand of their members, on the other hand. On the basis, Bank Indonesia, Indonesia's central bank, elaborated the program proposal 'Linking Self-Help Groups and Banks to Promote Savings Mobilization and Credit Delivery in the Informal Sector in Indonesia' (Bank Indonesia 1987). Reviewing the strengths and weaknesses of formal and informal financial institutions, the proposal suggested the establishment of business relationships between banks, self-help promotion institutions (SHPI) and financial self-help groups. In late 1988, Bank Indonesia in cooperation with the German Agency for Technical Cooperation (GTZ) launched the linkage project with the **purpose** of *making viable financial services available to self-help groups of small farmers and entrepreneurs*, thus contributing to the improvement of the rural financial system in general. By July 1994 the training program had involved 2,300 bank and SHPI employees, and 11,000 board members of 3,700 self-help groups. 1,493 self-help groups had received an accumulated loan amount of Rp. 13.5 billion through the intermediation of 24 banks and 58 SHPI. (Bank Indonesia 1994)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bank Indonesia 1987. "Linking Self-Help Groups and Banks to Promote Savings Mobilization and Credit Delivery in the Informal Sector in Indonesia. A Program Proposal." Jakarta: BI.

Bank Indonesia 1989. "Petunjuk Pelaksanaan Pilot Proyek Pengembangan Hubungan Bank dengan Kelompok Swadaya Masyarakat." Jakarta: Bank Indonesia.

Bank Indonesia 1989. "Operational Plan: Pilot Project Linking Banks and Self-Help Groups in Indonesia." Jakarta: Bank Indonesia.

Bank Indonesia 1990. "Petunjuk Umum Pilot Proyek Pengembangan Hubungan Bank dengan Kelompok Swadaya Masyarakat." Jakarta: Bank Indonesia.

Bank Indonesia 1990. "Pilot Project Linking Banks and Self-Help Groups." Project leaflet. Jakarta: BI.

Bank Indonesia 1994. "PHBK. An Implementation of the Linkage Program in Indonesia." Country Paper for the APRACA Regional Workshop on the Linkage Program, 26-28 October 1994, Manila, Philippines. Jakarta: BI.

Bank Indonesia 1996. "PHBK. The Indonesian Linkage Project. Brief Exposure on Strategy and Performance." Jakarta: BI.

Foundation for Development Cooperation 1993. *Banking with the Poor: Self-Help Groups, NGOs and Banks as Financial Intermediaries.* Kölner Beiträge zur Entwicklungsländerforschung, Band 20. Saarbrücken, Fort Lauderdale: Verlag breitenbach Publishers.

Gajah Mada University, Reserach Centre for Rural and Regional Development 1987. "Linking Banks and Self-Help Promotion Institutions to promote Savings Mobilization and Credit Delivery. Case Study: selected self-help groups in Central Java and Yogyakarta." Yogyakarta: UGM.

Holloh, D. and S. M. Soetjipto 1991. "Opening a Lookout on Self-Help Groups in PPHBK." Yogyakarta: Bank Indonesia/GTZ.

Holloh, D. 1991. "Linkage Monitoring. Concept and Instruments for Monitoring Linkages between Banks, Self-Help Promotion Institutions and Self-Help Groups." Bank Indonesia/GTZ, Jakarta 1991.

Holloh, D. 1992. "Linkage Monitoring", in Kropp, E. and B.R. Quinones, eds. 1992. *Financial System Development in Support of People`s Economy.* Bangkok: APRACA Publications, 47-66.

Koch, E. and S. M. Soetjpto 1993. "Target Groups at a Glance: Linking Banks and Self-Help Groups after three Years." *Savings and Development* 4: 391-403.

Kropp, E., M. Marx, B. Pramod, B. R. Quinones and H. D. Seibel 1989. *Linking Self-Help Groups and Banks in Developing Countries*. Rossdorf: TZ-Verlagsgesellschaft for APRACA and GTZ.

Maurer, K. 1989. "Rural Credit: how much does it cost?" PHBK Discussion Paper. Yogyakarta: Bank Indonesia.

Maurer, K. 1990. "Sada Uhur. First Experiences from a Pilot Project." *Rural Finance* (2) 3:14-19.

Maurer, K. 1992. "Transaction Costs and Interest Margins at Banks and LPSM." Jakarta: Bank Indonesia and GTZ.

Maurer, K. and S. M. Soetjpto 1992. "Savings Mobilization and Internal Lending in Self-Help Groups." in Kropp, E. and B.R. Quinones, eds. 1992. *Financial System Development in Support of People's Economy*. Bangkok: APRACA Publications, 25-45.

PHBK Coordinating Committee 1992. "Guiding Principles of the Linkage Programme in Indonesia." in Kropp, E. and B.R. Quinones, eds. 1992. *Financial System Development in Support of People's Economy*. Bangkok: APRACA Publication, pp. 103-127.

Seibel, H.D. 1985. "Saving for Development. A Linkage Model for Informal and Formal Financial Markets." *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture* 24(4): 390-398.

Seibel, H.D. 1989. "Finance with the Poor, by the Poor, for the Poor. Financial Technologies for the Informal Sector with Case Studies from Indonesia." University of Basel: *Social Strategies* 3(2): 1-47.

Seibel, H. D. 1990. "Linking Banks and Self-Help Groups: Some Practical Experiences." in Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit 1990. "Development Banking for the Benefit of the Poor. Subsystem 3. Eschborn: GTZ.

Seibel, H.D. 1989. "Linking Informal and Formal Financial Institutions in Africa and Asia." in Levitsky, J., ed. 1989. *Microenterprises in Developing Countries*. London: Intermediate Technology Publications, pp. 97-118.

Seibel, H. D. and U. Parhusip 1990. "Financial innovations for microenterprises - linking formal and informal financial institutions." *Small Enterprise Development* (1) 2: 14-26.

Seibel, H.D and U. Parhusip 1992. "Linking Formal and Informal Financial Institutions: An Indonesian Example." in Adams, D.W. and D.A. Fitchett, eds. 1992. *Informal Finance in Low-Income Countries*. Boulder: Westview Press, pp.

239-248.

United Nations 1986. *Savings for Development.* Report of the Third International Symposium on the Mobilization of Personal Savings in Developing Countries. New York: United Nations.