

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Noesselt, Nele; Eckstein, Tanja; Priupolina, Elizaveta

Working Paper Decrypting China's self-image as "great power"

Working Papers on East Asian Studies, No. 130/2021

Provided in Cooperation with: University of Duisburg-Essen, Institute of East Asian Studies IN-EAST

Suggested Citation: Noesselt, Nele; Eckstein, Tanja; Priupolina, Elizaveta (2021) : Decrypting China's self-image as "great power", Working Papers on East Asian Studies, No. 130/2021, University of Duisburg-Essen, Institute of East Asian Studies (IN-EAST), Duisburg

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/235557

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



NELE NOESSELT TANJA ECKSTEIN ELIZAVETA PRIUPOLINA

Decrypting China's <u>Self-Image as</u> "Great Power"

WORKING PAPERS ON EAST ASIAN STUDIES



NO. 130

WORKING PAPERS

JUNE 2021

Offen im Denken

NELE NOESSELT

Professor, Chair of Chinese Politics, Institute of East Asian Studies, University of Duisburg-Essen; PI of the DFG research project *Role Change and Role Contestation in the People's Republic of China: Globalization of "Chinese" Concepts of Order?* (238920157)

- W https://www.uni-due.de/in-east/people/noesselt_nele.php
- E nele.noesselt@uni-due.de

TANJA ECKSTEIN

Research Fellow at the Chair of Chinese Politics, IN-EAST, University of Duisburg-Essen; Team member, DFG research project 238920157

- W https://www.uni-due.de/in-east/people/eckstein_tanja
- E tanja.eckstein@uni-due.de

ELIZAVETA PRIUPOLINA

Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the Chair of Chinese Politics, IN-EAST, University of Duisburg-Essen; Team member, DFG research project 238920157

- W https://www.uni-due.de/in-east/people/priupolina_elizaveta
- E elizaveta.priupolina@uni-due.de

Institute of East Asian Studies / Institut für Ostasienwissenschaften

University of Duisburg-Essen Duisburg Campus, Forsthausweg 47057 Duisburg, Germany

- **T** +49(0) 203 37-94191
- **F** +49(0) 203 37-94157
- **E** in-east@uni-due.de

ISSN: 1865-8571 (Printed version) / 1865-858X (Internet version)

Download: https://www.uni-due.de/in-east/news/green_series.php

€ by the authors, June 2021

CONTENT

Introduction	5
Theoretical and Methodological Framework of Analysis	6
Coding Process	7
Comparative Analysis of China's Role Claims vis-à-vis Others	8
China's Role Claims vis-à-vis the US	8
China's Role Claims vis-à-vis Russia	10
Chinese Academic Debate	12
Conclusion and Outlook	14
References	16
Appendix 1	19
Appendix 2	21
Appendix 3	22
Appendix 4	26

NELE NOESSELT / TANJA ECKSTEIN¹ / ELIZAVETA PRIUPOLINA²

Decrypting China's Self-Image as "Great Power"

WORKING PAPERS ON EAST ASIAN STUDIES, NO. 130, DUISBURG 2021

Abstract

This paper explores the visual representation of the Chinese concept of *daguo* (literally: great power / major power) based on the 2017 CCTV documentary *Daguo Waijiao* 大国外交 (Major Power Diplomacy). Drawing on a combination of select streams of National Role Theory (NRT) and Social Identity Theory (SIT), the paper assesses the Chinese role claims and visualized role performance vis-à-vis two significant others, the Soviet Union / Russia and the US. The coding of select cases of the PRC's *daguo* role enactment sheds light on the conceptual, socio-psychological underpinnings of China's self-identity and global status reflections in the 21st century and hence offers some rare insight into the black box of the hermetically closed Chinese party-state.

Keywords

China, daguo, national role theory, Russia, social identity theory, visualized narratives, US

¹ Work package: China & US

² Work package: China & Russia

INTRODUCTION³

The rise of the People's Republic of China (PRC) in terms of economic and monetary power has been accompanied by an internal re-conceptualization of the country's national and global role conception, culminating in the months prior to the changing of the guard in autumn 2012. The dominant "narrateme" of the inner-Chinese debate is the concept of daguo - literally translated as "great power" / "major power." Given the claim made both by Chinese political scientists as well as political elites that the PRC neither harbors any global hegemonic ambitions nor is seeking to replace the United States as the center of world politics – and given the ongoing efforts to define a distinct "Chinese" approach to the theory and practice of international relations - the tantalizing question for international China watchers is the definitions and role claims inscribed into the concept of *daguo*.

This paper starts from three basic assumptions and observations:

- 1 As a learning modern autocracy, the PRC is permanently engaged in experimental policy recalibrations. Think tanks and research institutes provide the political elites with sets of policy and strategy. Academic and think tank debates in China reflect a huge variety of political ideas, ranging from neoliberal to neo-Maoist concepts (Ma 2015). These policy images and strategy calculations are not limited to the domestic level; they also incorporate contemplations about the global level and world order into their equation.
- **2** Reflecting the diversity of demands voiced by the various interest groups and the plurality of

developmental strategies discussed by scholarly communities within China, the PRC's political elites generally operate with abstract key terms without providing any binding definitions thereof. These frames hence appear to be used as "empty signifiers" (Laclau 1990) upon which various groups can project their own meanings and policy expectations.

3 The "correct" reading of core concepts of Chinese politics is generally communicated via the party-state's official news agency and state television channels, which not only release explanatory background articles, but also provide their (Chinese) audience with a visualization of key political slogans – including the concept of *daguo*.

In August 2017 China Central Television (CCTV) broadcast a documentary, composed of six episodes, entitled Daguo Waijiao (DGWJ; official English title: Major Power Diplomacy). In November 2017 – shortly after Xi Jinping's reconfirmation as General Secretary of the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) at the 19th Party Congress (October 18-24, 2017) - it was aired again, providing visualized subtitles to core concepts of Chinese foreign strategy as stated in the report to the 19th Party Congress. The focus of the documentary is exclusively on China's foreign relations and its involvement in global governance issues under Xi, hence mainly ex post covering his first period in office (2012–2017). Keeping in mind the heated controversies in 2012 on the taoguang *yanghui* principle – and widespread demand for a more self-confident, active positioning of China in regional and global affairs - (Pang 2020), DGWJ can be understood as a final answer and official end to the internal debates on the reconfiguration of the PRC's foreign strategy both in theory and practice. The point of departure of this paper - undertaking a theory-guided, indepth decryption of this 2017 CCTV documentary - is the visualized narrative linked to the concept

³ This paper presents the first preliminary results of the first working package of the DFG project *Role Change and Role Contestation in the People's Republic of China: Globalization of "Chinese" Concepts of Order?* (Project number: 238920157)

of *daguo*. Is there just one unified definition of *daguo*, or is this national role concept complemented by a set of auxiliary roles, activated (or deactivated) depending on the concrete policy field and level of politics (domestic/regional/global) addressed?

To answer these questions, a three-step approach is adopted. In order to categorize and assess the abstract concept of *daguo*, the paper starts with some reflections on National Role Theory (NRT) and Social Identity Theory (SIT), arguing that the *daguo* notion bears layers of role as well as, simultaneously, of status and identity claims. It then continues with a section

on the operationalization of the research puzzle and methodology. The main part of the paper turns to the analysis of select scenes from DGWJ with a focus on (visualized) Chinese national role claims as documented vis-à-vis significant other great powers: namely the US and Russia. Both serve as countermodels to the PRC's self-definition as *daguo* – as Beijing underlines that Chinese approaches to world affairs will never seek to reproduce US unipolarity nor follow the development path of the Soviet Union / modern Russia. The paper concludes with a comparative analysis of the visualization of the PRC's enactment of its established *daguo* role identity.

THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK OF ANALYSIS

The dataset to be analyzed should not be taken as a compilation of facts and figures of Chinese foreign relations but rather as a narrative archiving the official role, status, and identity claims put forward by the PRC's political leaders. While recent research on NRT highlights the interactionist dimension of national role conceptions – underlining the interplay between role claims, role enactment, role ascriptions, and role perceptions, as well as the ego and alter part of role conceptions – the role-identities and status claims this paper seeks to excavate from the CCTV documentary are unilateral ones, being narrated (or visualized) by the Chinese side alone. This includes both national role claims by the PRC (and the visualization of their enactment) as well as roles ascribed to the other great powers or groups of states in select episodes of interaction with China or in different multilateral settings (e.g. United Nations, International Monetary Fund, G20).

Against this backdrop, the paper turns back to the origins of NRT in the 1970s, when theory reflections were mainly centered on the roles articulated by political leaders. Kalevi Holsti put forward a first systematic typology of national roles, seeking to identify basic categories of role claims and patterns of role behavior. Holsti focused on the roles voiced and proclaimed by states' political leaders, and thus did not reflect the level of domestic role contestation (Cantir and Kaarbo 2012, 2016) - which precedes the formulation of the official role set, and rather belongs to the process of role formation and role making. He identified a close connection between roles and positions (Holsti 1970, 240), thus taking the power distributions between actors into account. However, even though he did not ignore the meaning of status, he concentrated on national roles and positions, arguing that "the notion of status in the international context is more vague than that of position in the social context. Positions include well defined, and usually specialized, sets of functions and are based on explicit role prescriptions, often drawn in the form of rules or laws describing appropriate conduct. Status, however, is not necessarily linked to functions" (Holsti 1970, 242). This brings the author to the general definition of national role conceptions as "the policymakers' own definitions of the general kinds of decisions, commitments, rules and actions suitable to their state, and of the functions, if any, their state should perform on a continuing basis in the international system or in subordinate regional systems" (Holsti 1970, 245–246).

The daguo frame, as this paper will show, is not only connected to certain position (and status) claims but is furthermore deeply rooted in narratives of (self-)identity. Mitzen, combining streams of NRT and SIT, defines role identity as "internalized roles, aspects of an actor's sense of self that reflect the appropriation of roles and motivate behavior" (2006, 357). Inspired by Giddens, who hypothesizes that identity claims can only be upheld if the actors possess the "capacity to keep a particular narrative going" (1991, 54), Mitzen further states that "role identities are formed and sustained relationally; they depend on others to be realized" (2006, 357). In international affairs, recognition of national role, power, and status claims are of central importance (Ringmar 2002; Lindemann and Ringmar 2015) and far more powerful explanatory factors than material ones alone. Symbolic recognition can thus be expected to form part of actors' narratives of self-identity. As Anderson and Chakars (2015, 8–9) compellingly reason, televisual representations contribute to nation-building and identity-formation (think also here of Anderson's "imagined communities"), as political authorities (not only in autocratic regime settings) use(d) TV programs to glorify national leaders and their political agendas, to symbolically present the state as provider of stability and security, and/ or to encourage certain types of social behavior (hence, especially in socialist systems, contributing to the molding of the new socialist citizen).

Summarizing the above-sketched theory reflections, the CCTV documentary can be expected to convey a unified narrative that locates the PRC in a world as imagined (or projected) from the Chinese perspective, a multipolar one where China is recognized and treated as a "great power" on a par with other great powers. These narrated and visualized role claims are not formed via interactions, they are unilaterally proclaimed and thus fall into the category of visual(ized) political historiography. The dataset to be analyzed, the six episodes making up DGWJ, presents itself as being located between newsreel and political documentary. DGWJ operates with a combination of (CCTV) news footage additional shots of landscapes and happy people, as well as episodes that visualize global developmental challenges such as climate change; background interviews with or spoken commentaries by Chinese scholars and policy practitioners feature too. However, a closer look reveals that DGWJ does not follow the main principles of modern documentary theory (for an overview, see Bruzzi 2000), as it does not present facts and competing interpretations but operates with one unified storyline, composed of edited or deliberately arranged material. It is hence best scrutinized by means and tools of narration analysis, as it is not fiction but a special subcategory of a Chinese reimagined "retro(per)spective", a visualized imagination of contemporary world politics.

CODING PROCESS

DGWJ combines three elements of plot narration: (1) camerawork, which relies on the nonverbal, visual-allegorical representation of the "real world"; (2) voiceovers by an omniscient narrator; (3) direct excerpts from speeches by the documentary's central figure, Xi Jinping, or extracts from background interviews with scholars and political practitioners. Decryption of DGWJ requires the combined analysis of the plot narration and its visualization (as well as its sounds/music). Furthermore, to critically evaluate Chinese *daguo* role claims vis-à-vis the US and Russia as based on select episodes of DGWJ, these "Chinese" narratives and narratemes have to be contrasted with the visual representation as well as the interpretation of the same events by US and Russian media, political elites, as well as scholars working on world history and world politics. By comparing China's visualized role conceptions and the perceptions of role claims and role behavior in US and Russian eyes, the paper will identify the invisible role reflections underlying Beijing's *daguo* role-identity claims.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CHINA'S ROLE CLAIMS VIS-À-VIS OTHERS

The analysis of the selected cases suggests that when it comes to a range of highly relevant international issues, China's conceptualization of *daguo* relies on the roles of *leading power* and *mediator*. One area where Chinese, Russian, and US role concepts starkly contrast each other is in the field of global nuclear security, visible in particular when examining two of the most prominent international issues: the Iran nuclear deal as well as the ongoing tensions over North Korea's nuclear weapons program. In both cases, China has presented an official narrative of it being a responsible great power doing its utmost to aid the peaceful resolution of each conflict as a *mediator*, while US depictions are centered on its own primacy in the negotiations and deal-making processes. The Russian perspective tends to reflect the perception of the US as a side bearing most of the responsibility and, at the same time, reflects Russian claims to jointly promote with China an alternative to current US policies.

CHINA'S ROLE CLAIMS VIS-À-VIS THE US⁴

DGWJ develops an overarching narrative of China's roles in relations with the US being those of a *leading power* (E3: 0:11:33–51; E3: 0:12:19–48; E3: 0:13:30–48; E4: 0:29:08–0:30:35), *mediator* (E3: 0:11:33–51; E4: 0:29:08–53), and *active collaborator* (E3: 0:11:58–0:12:48; E4: 0:28:36– 0:30:35), while also acknowledging the aspect of competition (*competitor*) – envisaged as a critical part of these two countries' bilateral relations.⁵ China illustrates its *leading power, mediator*, and *active collaborator* role claims vis-à-vis the US particularly in the context of global or regional international hotspot issues. As such, the narrative illuminates China's involvement in (cooperation on) reaching the Iran nuclear agreement and in facilitating negotiations on and cooperating with the US regarding the North Korean nuclear issue. However, China's self-presentation both in DGWJ as well as in domestic media more generally as a country guided by the principle of *daguo* – driving initiatives and providing solutions to international issues while also balancing cooperation and competition in its relations with the US – is rarely, if at all, reciprocated in official US media; this illustrates the mismatch between projected roles and role ascriptions by others.

First and foremost, the documentary creates the image of China as a *leading power*, putting special emphasis on the country's negotiators having been instrumental in promoting conflict resolution with regard to both the Iranian and North Korean nuclear issues, as well as in the attainment of the final Iran nuclear agreement.

⁴ A systematic coding of the main role claims of China visà-vis the US can be found in the Appendices 1 and 2 attached to this paper. Additionally, the results of the analysis of representations of events in US media and official statements are summarized in Appendix 3.

⁵ Here and after E3 and E4 refer to Episodes 3 and 4 of DGWJ.

Regarding the Iran negotiations and agreement, the narrative developed here puts forwards China's initiative and constructive role in securing the deal, lauding the proposals raised by the Chinese side as having led to the resolution of some of the most contentious issues at stake (E3: 0:11:33-51; E3: 0:13:30-48). Although heralding its own input to the negotiations, at the same time China also argues for its position as a neutral mediator (E3: 0:11:33–51) between the principal opposing parties of the dispute: the US and Iran. Such Chinese leadership and mediation, the official state narrative upholds, are also evident in its engagement in resolving the North Korean nuclear issue, citing its efforts to facilitate communication between the latter and the US-South Korea alliance through its dual-track principle (E4: 0:29:08-53) and dual-freeze proposal (E4: 0:29:57-0:30:35).

Thus, China presents itself as having been a leader and mediator for the opposing negotiating factions in both of these conflicts: Its proposals are used as model examples, it provides knowledge for the peaceful resolution of the conflicts through diplomatic means, and it is willing to assist all parties that are looking for support and advice from elsewhere (E3: 0:11:45-51; E3: 0:12:19-48; E4: 0:29:14–33; E4: 0:29:41–0:30:16). At the same time, China retains a certain level of distance through its projected image of a constructive aid but not party to the two conflicts, portraying them instead as centered on the US and Iran and the US-South Korea alliance and North Korea respectively. This is narrated not only in the voiceover (E3: 0:11:33-51; E4: 0:29:58-0:30:35) but also through the documentary's choices regarding visual representation (E3: 0:11:39-47; E4: 0:27:56-0:28:25). Furthermore, Chinese depictions of the US's position and behavior on the Iran nuclear issue as well as the contradicting and increasingly far apart views of the US and North Korea illuminate the Chinese position of central responsibility for the issues' (peaceful resolution) lying with the US. The documentary further enhances this image of China's leadership by adding the nuance of it being an *active collaborator* (E3: 0:11:52–0:12:48; E4: 0:28:36–0:30:35), noting the country's clear willingness and steadfastness to cooperate on reaching the Iran nuclear deal as well as the mutual goal of denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula.

This appears in stark contrast to the US narrative of these events, which is focused on the centrality of US leadership and diplomacy in the reaching of the final deal in the Iran case, as well as in maintaining and facilitating (peaceful) negotiations in both cases. While the Iran nuclear agreement has been portrayed as the greatest diplomatic achievement of President Barack Obama's time in office, explicit mentions of China by US media or official statements are largely concerned with China's interests in and motivations for engagement in the conflict's resolution as well as the Chinese side's positions on certain sections of the negotiated deal. Thus, US coverage of China's stakes in securing an agreement with Iran tend to be framed in the context of Chinese deliberations for economic and strategic development opportunities with Iran and the broader region. Particularly, energy resources, trade, and investments in connection with China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) are emphasized in connection to China's interest in the regional stability of the Middle East.

US reporting on the Iran case overall has been most likely to elicit imagery of conflicting interest groups, with the US and European Union on the one side facing up to a Russian-Chinese faction on the other. Similarly, in regard to the North Korean nuclear issue, the US has framed itself as the most crucial negotiating party for resolution of the conflict and as the preeminent leader of the international pressure campaign against the North Korean regime. As such, most of the issues, rhetoric, and discussions on the topic in US media reports during the period covered by DGWJ are associated with the US's role, while China is referred to mainly as North Korea's long-standing ally and trading partner. US sources portray China as a complicating factor and obstacle in the tensions, visible through the

focus on, for example, North Korea's strategic significance for China's rivalry with the US, US demands for increased Chinese cooperation on (and China's reluctance in) implementing harsher sanctions against the regime, as well as US criticism of Chinese firms' trade links with North Korean counterparts. Additionally, China is mentioned in US discussions on related military and security issues, such as Chinese-Russian opposition to US military exercises in the region, and depicted as more reactionary and restrained/reserved in dealing with current tensions than the US. Such aspects as the absence of significant changes in China's North Korea policy despite open provocations by the latter are cited in this context. In general, the US presents itself as a *protector* against a North Korean regime treated as a direct threat to the North American country, to its allies, and to its forces in the region.

Therefore, while China in its official narrative⁶ has highlighted the constructive impact it views itself as having had on both the Iran and North Korean nuclear issues in the form of being a leading power, mediator, and active collaborator, the US has painted a very different picture of events. The US has positioned itself as being at the center of negotiations and tangible actions to curb those two countries' nuclear ambitions, focusing on China's role in slowing/hindering progress on related measures. Thus, quite evidently the US and China find themselves directly and openly contesting each other's versions and framings of events, with China's projected role claim of it being a leader in international nuclear security affairs juxtaposed with the US's contradictory framing of its own primacy in negotiations and achieving of agreements/ results.

CHINA'S ROLE CLAIMS VIS-À-VIS RUSSIA⁷

China's relations with Russia are presented in the documentary as being defined by friendship and cooperative partnership, based on the principles of the new type of international relations advocated by China. Advancing a new approach to global governance, DGWJ highlights that the cooperation with Russia in this field is an essential element of China's major-power diplomacy. In this context, such roles as *model for others* and defender of peace are narrated as being enacted jointly with Russia. However, the comparative analysis of Chinese and Russian perspectives on such core international security issues as the Iran nuclear deal or North Korean nuclear issue reveals a range of mismatches between China's role claims and Russian perceptions.

As mentioned in the previous section, the documentary projects China's roles of *leading power*, *mediator*, and *active collaborator* on both the Iran nuclear deal and the North Korean issue. While the US is narrated in both cases as a significant other with whom problems have to be negotiated, Russia is cast in the position of an *invisible* other. In the case of the Iran nuclear deal, this is highlighted both through the voiceover and the visual narrative. Whereas the voiceover merely fails to mention Russia, the visual narrative goes on to emphasize explicitly the juxtaposition of China and the US/EU by underplaying the role of Russia. Following the narration of China's role as a *mediator* in the negotiations in the 5+1 format, the documentary presents a scene where the ne-

⁶ While there exist various differing sub-debates on the two issues in China, state media tends to reflect the storyline portrayed by the most influential faction(s) as the official narrative hereon.

⁷ The dataset for analysis of Russian media is composed of 25 video reports covering the Iran nuclear deal and North Korea nuclear issue aired as parts of the news programs Novosty (Pervyi Kanal) and Vesti (Rossiya-1/Rossiya-24) broadcast by the two largest mainstream media outlets in the country. The detailed results of the coding of the documentary narrative are presented in Appendices 1 and 2. The summary of and key information on the analysis of Russian media narratives are presented in Appendix 4.

gotiating sides gather together for a press conference, each country's representative standing next to their respective national flags. The only empty space remains in front of the Russian flag (E3: 0:13:00–02, E3: 0:13:12–20).⁸ In this way the visual narrative constructs China's role claims of *leading power* and *mediator* vis-à-vis the US and the EU.

The narrative surrounding the North Korean nuclear issue adds further nuance to China's role claims of being a leading power and a mediator. The voiceover for this case fails to mention Russia as a side involved in the related negotiations. Yet, the careful observer notices references to Russia in the visual sequence. Through the presentation of the Russian leader walking alongside or posing for a group picture next to Xi (E4: 0:29:15–19), the documentary highlights the closeness of the Russian and Chinese positions - while emphasizing also that as a mediator and a leading power China is articulating a shared position during the related negotiations. In other words, whereas Russia's role remains unaddressed in the voiceover, the visual narrative highlights that China cooperates with Russia vis-à-vis the US. At the same time, the visual narrative highlights that, acting as a *leading* power. China continuously enacts the role of a cooperative partner by siding with like-minded states. Finally, while China's leadership and mediation efforts are to a certain extent based on the principles and visions jointly maintained together with Russia, China implicitly claims the role of advocate of group interests.

This narrative in a range of respects contrasts with Russian expectations. The latter's own narrative of the North Korean nuclear issue similarly outlines the US being the core participant of the negotiation process. Moreover, it highlights that Russia's and China's outlooks on the issue are aligned, and they have similar positions concerning the approaches to easing tensions in the region too. The majority of the analyzed reports from the late 2010s clearly recognize the essential role of China in mediating the crisis by highlighting Sino-Russian shared efforts. Thus the dual-freeze proposal, which is referred to in the documentary as China's initiative, is narrated by Russian media as a plan jointly supported by Russia and China. Moreover, some Russian reports narrate China as being one of the core elements in the process of conflict resolution together with North Korea, South Korea, and the US, and reflect acceptance of China's role as a *mediator*. Nevertheless, significant difference is clearly observable in the way in which Russia's own role is articulated. In the early 2010s Russian reports clearly outlined the claim of a mediator role by making reference to Russian efforts to persuade other parties to abstain from the use of power in the conflict area and settle the issue through negotiations. During later years, the reports issued by Vesti continue to maintain this role claim vis-à-vis the US, while Novosti seems to have shifted the emphasis away from this role.

The Russian narrative of the Iran nuclear negotiations is drastically different from the one presented in DGWJ. Russian participation in the negotiations is articulated in Russian reports as being an essential part of the negotiation process. Russia's understanding of the problem is sometimes narrated as it siding with Iran and opposing the US's view of the deal. The reports highlight the important role played by Russia herein, and recognize the significance of the participation of other parties in the negotiations too. China's roles of *leading power* or *mediator* are not reflected in Russian discourses. Instead, the narrative focuses on the interests of the involved states. However, one report does mention that Russia and China have a shared understanding of the problems at hand.

Compared to the China-US case presented in the previous section, the narratives articulat-

⁸ This scene is preceded by a two-second shot featuring all representatives, including the Russian foreign minister. Yet, the shot excluding Russia is more "vocal" as it is on display over the course of a longer eight-second sequence.

ed by Russia and China display less contrasts and lines of conflict. However, one can observe how China uses DGWJ to put itself in the spotlight while casting Russia in the role of *invisible other*. In this way the documentary consistently highlights the role claim of a constructive leading power vis-à-vis the US. Moreover, by constituting the reference to the perceptions and positions shared with Russia, DGWJ sharpens the claims to China acting as a *mediator* not only between states but also between groups of them.

CHINESE ACADEMIC DEBATE

The analysis of the Chinese academic debate reveals that the narratives projected in DGWJ compete with alternative interpretations put forward elsewhere. Thus, in the case of the Iran nuclear deal one can clearly observe a range of arguments that are not reflected in the narrative of the documentary itself. Chinese scholarly papers discuss the issue from the perspective of geopolitics, arguing that Iran is a key agent in Eurasia as it is located in the Heartland and is connected to the coastline (Qin 2015, 43). From this perspective, Russia on the one side and the US (and European countries as its allies) on the other side are competing for influence in this region (Qin 2015). Moreover, given its unique geographic position, Iran is one of the crucial segments along the BRI. Lifting sanctions and including Iran in economic and political interactions with international counterparts is particularly essential for the BRI's success (Wang and Li 2015; Zhao 2015). These considerations highlight China's interest in successful conflict resolution.

Another approach to the situation is to focus on the economic interests of the parties involved. The core issue in this context is Iran's oil and gas industries. The lifting of sanctions on Iran would thus initiate significant changes in global markets (Lu 2015). From this perspective China has an interest in seeing sanctions lifted and in promoting economic cooperation with Iran in a range of fields, including both the commodities trade and beyond (Zhang 2015; Shang 2016).

Discussing the role of the US in the resolution of the Iran nuclear issue, Chinese experts unan-

imously acknowledge that the US is one of the key agents involved. The contradictions emerging between Iran and the US after the Islamic Revolution of 1979 are regarded as the origin of the problem (Qin 2015). With regard to the role of their own country, the Chinese academic debate has yielded three major perspectives. First, discussing the role of the US in the resolution of the issue, some scholars argue that the two key agents here are the US and Iran (Li 2015; Chen and Ma 2013; Xie 2014). China's participation in negotiations in this context is not emphasized, but it is mentioned as one of the participants of the 5+1 format. Second, some experts regard relations between China, the US, and Iran as triangular ones in which China has to consider its own interests and preferences vis-à-vis the complicated interplay of Iranian and US (and its regional allies') interests or discuss China, the US, and Iran as three core agents involved in the issue (Qin 2015). Finally, a range of papers emphasize China's role as mediator. Chinese efforts, also referred to as "shuttle-style diplomacy," are discussed as the strategy that has achieved "remarkable results" by producing "new thinking" on the best ways to resolve the problem (Sun and Zhang, 11–15). China's adopted position is discussed as an effective mechanism to coordinate negotiations between six parties in the context of deteriorating relations between Russia, the US, and Europe (Zhao 2015).

At the same time, unlike DGWJ, the Chinese academic debate does focus on Russia's role in the process. In some papers Russia is discussed as one of the core agents involved, together with the US, Iran, and China (Gong 2015). Most importantly, scholarly experts emphasize the divergence between the shared vision advocated by Russia and China and the approach promoted by the US and Europe; it is furthermore suggested that Russia and China have a shared position on the issue at hand (Rui 2016, 241; Gong 2015; Qin 2015). Thus, China and Russia have repeatedly condemned the unilateral sanctions imposed on Iran by the US and the West and argue that Iran has the right to control nuclear technology, while its sovereignty and territorial integrity must be respected (Sun and Zhang 2016, 10).

The Chinese academic debate on the North Korean nuclear issue similarly sees a diverse spectrum of opinions and interpretations, many of which go beyond the scope of DGWJ's official narrative. One strand of discussion found in the literature debates the viability of drawing upon experiences from the negotiations and final agreement on the Iran nuclear issue to inform the process regarding resolving the North Korean problem. Such mentions also tend to be accompanied by acknowledgements of and discussions on the differences between the Iran and North Korean issues, and the difficulties associated with transferring ideas from one case to another (Chang and Wang 2016; Fan 2017; Li 2016; Wang 2017; Yang 2016).

Several pieces of research focus on the analysis of the North Korean issue by discussing the interests and motivations in spheres such as economics, diplomacy, and national security of, among others, North Korea, the US, South Korea, Russia, China, and Japan regarding their involvement herewith (Chang and Wang 2016; Wang 2013b, 2013c). In connection with such discussions on interests, some papers go on to elaborate on what the central conflicting issues and views held by some parties to the dispute are. They emphasize, for example, the split between the US and the North Korean regime over the question of the basic negotiating format (Wang 2013a, 2013c). In addition, particular focus tends to be laid upon the central role, motivations, and considerations of the US as a core party to the conflict, with academic sources highlighting topics such as the pivot to Asia, US influence in the region, and the security balance within it. These discussions frequently touch upon the issue of the US's alliances, military presence in, and increased deployment of military resources to Northeast Asia, as well as upon the US's strategy for containing China and its regional influence (Chang and Wang 2016; Luo 2013; Li 2014).

Beyond focus on the US-centric alliance network in Northeast Asia, various papers add another level of complexity to the regional strategic and security considerations by illustrating the (potential for) cooperation on the North Korean nuclear issue between China and the US (Fan 2014; Ma 2013); China, the US, and Russia (Luo 2013); or between China and South Korea (Li 2017; Li 2016). Scholarly discussions on the impacts of the long-standing Sino-North Korean relationship as allies and related Chinese commitments to North Korea (Luo 2013) are also vocal. The implications of consensus between, for example, China and Russia on the methods and strategies for resolving the Korean Peninsula's nuclear problem find strong resonance within the Chinese academic debate too (Chang and Wang 2016).

Descriptions of Sino-US agreement or cooperation often find themselves contrasted by mismatched ascriptions of responsibility. The US tends to focus on China's crucial position and responsibility for the conflict resolution (Fan 2017; Li 2014; Yang 2017) while Chinese arguments highlight the US's primary duty and leading role in this process (Wang 2013a; Zhang 2012). As Chinese academic articles tend to deflect such outside impositions of obligation for solving the conflict, some present a different framing instead showcasing Chinese agency and initiative on the North Korean nuclear issue – as through, for example, principles and proposals such as the dual-track system. As such, these narratives illuminate China's unique position and advantages for facilitating resolution of the North Korean dispute (Luo 2013; Wang and Ling 2016; Yang 2017).

Russia is not mentioned as often as the US and China are. However, a range of publications do discuss Russia as a relevant party to the negotiations. When it is mentioned, Russia is generally acknowledged as being interested in settling the North Korean issue due to a range of security and economic reasons. The degree of Russian involvement varies in the view of different authors. Thus, some believe that Russian participation is constituted by its permanent membership of the UN Security Council (Chang and Wang 2016), while others highlight that Russia seeks resolution of the issue but it is not the main protagonist (Luo 2013). A slightly different view suggests that Russia as a major power is among the key agents in the negotiations (Wang 2013b) and should participate in any eventual settlement together with the US and China. Moreover, some scholars believe that the development of Russian relations with North Korea in the aftermath of the Ukrainian crisis would be a significant factor in increasing Russian impact and thus making it essential to the ongoing negotiations (Chang and Wang 2016). Finally, some scholars suggest that Russia and China have similar interests in the region and thus share similar views on the resolution of the North Korean issue (Chang and Wang 2016). In this way, both Russia and China are mentioned as states that may enact the role of mediator (Wang 2013c).

Overall, while the US is regarded as the core agent vis-à-vis the negotiation process and China is discussed as a state that has a unique position to mediate the issue (Wang 2013a; Luo 2013), Russian participation is perceived by Chinese academia as an essential but not central element of the process of conflict resolution when it comes to North Korea.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The narratives communicated by DGWJ construct the PRC as a self-confident global player - one on a par with the US at the level of global affairs, and assisted by Russia in issues of regional (security) governance. The missing visual mentioning of the US and Russia as key players in multilateral security bargaining talks and the central position ascribed to China – by means of voiceovers in combination with edited archival newsreel footage - illustrate Beijing's iterated claims to contribute to the normative remaking of the existing regional and global order. It also documents the desire to be accepted as an equal partner, as expressed in Xi's formula "new type of great power relations" that stresses the principles of equality and reciprocity. At the same time, Xi's "Chinese Dream" and his "Community of Shared Destiny" - core conceptual references in DGWJ – frame the PRC's positioning as a contribution to the resolution of shared global challenges – security, health, stability of the financial system(s) and markets – and not as the selfish pursuit of distinct Chinese domestic economic interests or national power ambitions. This commitment to the global commons and universal governance challenges is more than striking when analyzing the symbolic meaning of water in DGWJ: river, oceans, melting ice in the Arctic region. Water and bird-eye perspectives on the blue globe follow universal narratives on the meaning of the oceans and do not integrate water metaphors from ancient Chinese symbolisms and metonymies relating to nature.⁹

DGWJ does not stress the uniqueness of China's distinct developmental model but presents

⁹ The only indirect association that lies at hand would be Xi's statement that China's development and modernization path had entered "deep waters."

the PRC as a modern global player following the unwritten code of conduct (and related visual symbols and rituals) of international diplomacy. International contracts and agreements are no longer signed with calligraphic brushstrokes; instead of tea, Xi ends his welcome address to international quests in China by raising a glass filled with red wine. Nonetheless, when hosting the G20 summit in Hangzhou (2016), the PRC constructed a modern international expo center with futuristic elements merged with Chinese symbols (e.g. the design of the buildings and landscape representing the "round sky" and the "square earth"). Moreover, Hangzhou and its famous West Lake have served as source of inspiration for Chinese poets and painters for centuries, making Hangzhou part of a narrative of ancient Chinese traditions - and the reception of international guests included a number of events celebrating Chinese culture and customs. However, at the same time, Hangzhou is the headquarter city of the Chinese artificial intelligence giant Alibaba - which probably explains the futuristic-visionary design of its G20 conference and meeting spaces. In sum, these combined symbols underline the PRC's national role-identity as a "civilization-state" (as opposed to the Western concept of the "Westphalian nation-state") (Zhang 2012) and as a modern "cyber great power" (Xinhua 2014).

Countering the negative scenarios (and debt-trap narratives) widely associated with China's rise and its proclaimed construction of a globe-spanning New Silk Road, DGWJ presents the PRC and its lofty missions not as a threat but rather as a contribution to global security and stability (as visualized by the analyzed multilateral peace negotiations and bargaining rounds, as well as by the visual coverage of the PRC's contribution to UN peacekeeping missions and global health engagement in other world regions). DGWJ depicts China's engagement in multilateral fora on regional and global security that include Russia and/or the US not as running counter to existing institutions but as an effort to increase these formats' efficiency and as a contribution to global governance in multilateral settings. In sum, DGWJ adds some visual subtitles to Xi's concept of "twofold guidance" (*liang'ge yindao*) that refutes any global "leadership" (*lingdao*) ambitions. While, in terms of national role theory, "leadership" would probably be the most appropriate role concept to explain the central position of China visualized in DGWJ, the inner-Chinese narrative operates with terms and notions that are not borrowed from "Western" International Relations theories; it argues that the PRC is following a distinct developmental strategy that cannot be measured according to these "Western" IR categories.

Unilateral role claims that are not accepted by other players, as Turner (1990) has argued, might have detrimental effects and negatively impact on a country's foreign relations. DGWJ underlines the unconditional acceptance and unreserved support for the role(-identity) claims and the (visualized) enactment of China's regional and global role concepts by other national governments, by regional organizations, as well as by local people and civil societies across the globe. This is done by showing people on the street happily greeting Chinese delegations (sometimes even in Mandarin Chinese), and by including interviews and statements that confirm the benefits of engaging in infrastructure cooperation with China. Critical voices and threat scenarios are not included in DGWJ; hence a unified, uncontested storyline of the successful implementation of Chinese visions of future global order and global security are presented. The nexus between infrastructure modernization and regional peace and stability presented in DGWJ symbolically justifies the Chinese idea of "developmental peace" as a way to reduce the likeliness of regional conflicts – as triggered, according to the official Chinese view, by the absence of alternative perspectives and development opportunities, thus driving local youth in the hands of demagogues and religious leaders. Recognition (see Ringmar 2002) of role claims and world order conceptions (including global governance principles) is closely linked to issues of domestic legitimacy – as the Chinese one-party state bases its claims thereto on output performance, bolstered by the proclamation that the CCP government successfully represents and defends Chinese core interests in both regional and global bargaining formats.

The narratives and visual subtitles promoted by DGWJ stand in sharp opposition to international views on the PRC and its global ambitions – as the main debates on China's role in regional and global security issues clearly evidence (see Appendices 1–4). Tensions between unilateral Chinese role claims and role ascriptions, role demands, as well as perceptions of Beijing's role enactment are not addressed in DGWJ. Furthermore, internal role contestation across Chinese academic communities and within the CCP – for example with regard to the *taoguang yanghui* principle or Beijing's relationship with North Korea, which some scholars classify as an unpredictable neighbor and not as a socialist ally – do not directly feature.

In sum, DGWJ documents an interim, top-downcommunicated consensus regarding the guiding principles and reference schemes of Chinese foreign policy in the era of Xi Jinping. The definition of daguo visualized in DGWJ combines elements of premodern Chinese philosophy, such as the *tianxia* trope, with reflections on global cooperation in a fragile, interdependent world. It is, however, striking that role conceptions at the domestic level are not depicted: the global dimensions of Chinese role conceptions, as exemplified in the field of security, treat the PRC as a "neutral" actor and do not link its international engagement to regime-type patterns (or political ideologies). In this vein, DGWJ coins a unified narrative of China's role enactment in global affairs that is guided by pragmatism and dedicated to securing development and stability.

REFERENCES

Anderson, Stewart, and Melissa Chakars (2015): Introduction. In: *Modernization, Nation-Building, and Television History,* edited by Stewart Anderson and Melissa Chakars, 1–18. London; New York: Routledge.

Bruzzi, Stella (2006): *New Documentary*. London; New York: Routledge.

Cantir, Cristian, and Juliet Kaarbo (eds.) (2016): Domestic Role Contestation, Foreign Policy, and International Relations. London; New York: Routledge.

Cantir, Cristian, and Juliet Kaarbo (2012): Contested Roles and Domestic Politics: Reflections on Role Theory in Foreign Policy Analysis and IR Theory. In: *Foreign Policy Analysis* 8(1): 5–24.

Chang, Hao, and Yi Wang (2016): Chaoxian yong he zhanlüe xuanze de chongxin pinggu ji di wu ci he shiyan de yingxiang – jiyu qi he juece de lujing fenxi [Reevaluation of North Korean Nuclear Strategy and the Influence of the Fifth Nuclear Test – Path Analysis of Denuclearization]. In: *Zhanlüe juece yanjiu* 6: 81–101. **Chen, Juhua, and Junyi Ma** (2012): Zhongdong diyuan zhengzhi xin geju yu Yilang he weiji [New geopolitical situation in the Middle East and the Iranian nuclear crisis]. In: *Shijie dili yanjiu* 1: 22–31.

Daguo waijiao [Major country's diplomacy] (2017): Di san ji: Zhongliu jishui [Episode 3: turning the tide]. *CCTV*, August 28, 2017.

Daguo waijiao [Major country's diplomacy] (2017): Di si ji: Chuan yun po wu [Episode 4: through the clouds and fog]. *CCTV*, August 28, 2017.

Fan, Jishe (2014): Chao he wenti yu Zhong-Mei zhanlüe gongshi [Reconstructing China-U.S. strategic consensus on DPRK nuclear crisis]. In: *Meiguo yanjiu* 2: 9–20+5.

Fan, Jishe (2017): Telangpu zhengfu dui Chao zhengce luoji yu Chao he wenti qianjing [Logic of Trump administration's North Korea policy and North Korea nuclear issue's future prospects]. In: *Xiandai guoji guanxi* 7: 18–25+51. **Giddens, Anthony** (1991): *Modernity and Self-Identity: Self and Society in the Late Modern Age*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Gong, Zhenxi (2015): Yi he tanpan qian lu manman [The Iranian nuclear talks have a long way to go]. In: *Junshi wenzhai* 11: 16–19.

Holsti, Kalevi J. (1970): National Role Conceptions in the Study of Foreign Policy. In: *International Studies Quarterly* 14(3): 233–309.

Laclau, Ernesto (1990): New Reflections on the Revolution of our Time. London: Verso.

Li, Guofu (2015): Yilang he xieyi dacheng ji qi yingxiang [The conclusion of the Iran nuclear agreement and its impact]. In: *Dangdai shijie* 8: 8–11.

Li, Jun (2016): Chaoxian Bandao jinzhang jushi de chengyin yu jiejue zhi dao [Tense situation in Korean Peninsula: causes and solutions]. In: *Xiandai guoji guanxi* 11: 1–5+14+63.

Li, Jun (2017): Chaoxian Bandao xingshi xianzhuang ji qianjing [Current circumstances and future prospects of situation on Korean Peninsula]. In: *Xiandai guoji guanxi* 12: 21–23.

Li, Nan (2014): 2013 nian Chaoxian Bandao he weiji zhong de Mei-Chao guanxi ji qi zouxiang [US-North Korea relations and their trend amid 2013 Korean Peninsula nuclear crisis]. In: *Meiguo lanpishu: Meiguo yanjiu baogao* (2014) [US report: Annual report on research of U.S.A. (2014): The third-party issues in China-U.S. relationship], edited by Ping Huang and Bingwen Zheng, 44–56. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

Lindemann, Thomas, and Erik Ringmar (2015): *The International Politics of Recognition*. New York: Routledge.

Lu, Donghou (2015): Yilang jiejin hou de touzi jiyu [Investment Opportunities after the Lifting of Iran's sanctions]. In: *Zhongguo shiyou shihua* 17: 54–59.

Luo, Yu (2013): Dui Chao he wenti de yi dian sikao [Some reflections on North Korea nuclear issue]. In: *Qingnian yu shehui* 10: 239–240.

Ma, Jing (2013): Zhong-Mei liang guo zai Chaoxian he wenti shang de hezuo yu chongtu [Cooperation and conflicts between China and U.S. on North Korean nuclear issue]. In: *Yanbian daxue xuebao (shehui kexue ban)* 6: 25–30. **Ma, Licheng** (2015): *Leading Schools of Thought in Contemporary China*. Singapore: World Scientific.

Mitzen, Jennifer (2006): Ontological Security in World Politics: State Identity and the Security Dilemma. In: *European Journal of International Relations* 12(3): 341– 370.

Pang, Zhongying (2020): *From Tao Guang Yang Hui to Xin Xing*. Singapore: ISEAS Publishing.

Qin, Tian (2015): Yilang he tanpan: Qiao dong diqiu de zhidian [Iran nuclear talks: leveraging the fulcrum of the earth]. In: *Shijie zhishi* 8: 42–44.

Ringmar, Erik (2002): The Recognition Game: Soviet Russia Against the West. In: *Cooperation and Conflict* 37(2): 115–136.

Rui, Wufeng (2016): Yu shijie daguo tongxi: Deguo yu Oumeng zai Yilang he zhengduan zhong de juese [Sitting with world powers: the role of Germany and the European Union in the Iranian nuclear dispute]. In: *Deguo fazhan baogao* (2016) [Annual Development Report of Germany (2016)], edited by Chunrong Zheng, 224–244. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

Shang, Yanli (2016): Yilang yu liu guo dacheng jiejue Yi he wenti xieyi dui guoji shiyou shichang de yingxiang jiang zhubu xianxian [Iran and the six countries reached an agreement to resolve the Iranian nuclear issue. The impact on the international oil market will gradually become apparent]. In: *Guoji shiyou jingji* 1: 15–16.

Sun, Degang, and Yuyou Zhang (2016): Zhongguo canyu Yilang he wenti zhili de lilun yu shijian [China's Participation in Governance of the Iranian Nuclear Issue: Theory and Practice]. In: *Alabo shijie yanjiu* 4: 3–19.

Wang, Bingyin (2013a): Jiejue Chao he wenti de 'shuangbian' he 'duobian' huitan fangshi zhi zheng [The divergence between "bilateral" and "multilateral" talks to resolve the North Korean nuclear issue]. In: *Eluosi jingji yu Dongbei Ya quyu jing ji yiti hua yanjiu: Wang Bingyin wenji* [Research on Russian Economy and Regional Economic Integration in Northeast Asia: Collected Works of Wang Bingyin], edited by Bingyin Wang, 415–424. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

Wang, Bingyin (2013b): Meiguo dui Chao dongwu de zhiyue yinsu yu Chao he wenti de jiejue qianjing [The factors restricting the use of force by the United States against North Korea and the prospects for the resolution of the North Korean nuclear issue]. In: *Elu*- osi jingji yu Dongbei Ya quyu jing ji yiti hua yanjiu: Wang Bingyin wenji [Research on Russian Economy and Regional Economic Integration in Northeast Asia: Collected Works of Wang Bingyin], edited by Bingyin Wang, 372–380. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

Wang, Bingyin (2013c): Zhong-E zai Chaoxian Bandao de liyi yu zhanlüe xiezuo huoban guanxi de fa zhan [The interests of China and Russia in the Korean Peninsula and the development of strategic partnership]. In: *Eluosi jingji yu Dongbei Ya quyu jing ji yiti hua yanjiu: Wang Bingyin wenji* [Research on Russian Economy and Regional Economic Integration in Northeast Asia: Collected Works of Wang Bingyin], edited by Bingyin Wang, 354–363. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

Wang, Junsheng (2017): Meiguo de Chaoxian Bandao zhengce: Jinpo gan zengqiang [United States' policy on Korean Peninsula: increase in pressure]. In: *Yatai lanpishu: Yatai diqu fazhan baogao* (2017) [Asia-Pacific report: Annual report on development of Asia-Pacific (2017): Trump administration's Asian policy and its influence], edited by Li Xiangyang, 29–40. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

Wang, Sheng, and Shengli Ling (2016): Chao he wenti jiejue de 'shuanggui zhi' xin silu tantan [Discussion on new thinking of 'double track mechanism' to solve North Korean nuclear issue]. In: *Dongbei Ya luntan* 3: 15–28+127.

Wang, Yu, and Lu Li (2015): Yilang: Chong huo ziyou de xin shichang [Iran: A New Market Regained Freedom]. In: *Jin chukou jingli ren* 9: 12–13.

Xie, Jiao (2014): Yilang he wenti de "xinsuan" yu "wunai" – Yilang fazhan "he" de yuanyin fenxi [The "sadness" and "helplessness" of Iran's nuclear issue – Analysis of the reasons for Iran's development of "nuclear" issue]. In: *Shandong gonghui luntan* 1: 107– 109.

Xinhua (2014): Xi Jinping: Ba wo guo cong wangluo daguo jianshe chengwei wangluo qiangguo [Xi Jinping: Transforming China into a cyber great power]. http://news.xinhuanet.com/politics/2014-02/27/ c_119538788.htm, 27 February 2014.

Yang, Wenjing (2016): Aobama zhengfu dui Chao zhengce pingxi [An analysis of Obama's North Korea policy]. In: *Xiandai guoji guanxi* 5: 9–16+62.

Yang, Xiyu (2017): Chaoxian he wenti yu Zhongguo de dui Chao zhengce [North Korean nuclear issue and China's North Korea policy]. In: *Xiandai guoji guanxi* 1: 15–23+31.

Zhang, Gui (2015): Jiyu boyi lun shijiao fenxi Yilang he xieyi de dacheng he yingxiang [Analysis of the conclusion and impact of Iran's nuclear agreement based on the perspective of game theory]. In: *Shang* 40: 74–75.

Zhang, Liangui (2012): Chaoxian he wenti xianzhuang yu Meiguo zeren [North Korean nuclear issue's current situation and the responsibility of the United States]. In: *Dongbei Ya xuekan* 2: 3–8.

Zhang, Wei-Wei (2012): *The China Wave: Rise of a Civilizational State*. Hackensack: World Century.

Zhao, Tong (2015): Zhongguo yu Yilang he wenti tanpan [Negotiations between China and Iran on the nuclear issue]. In: *Guowai zhiku kan "Yidai Yilu"* (2) [On One Belt One Road by Worldwide Major Think Tanks (2)], edited by Linggui Wang, 19–22. Beijing: Shehui kexue wenxian chubanshe.

APPENDIX 1: CODING SCHEME (SELECTED ROLES IDENTIFIED FOR THE ANALYSED CASES) AND REFERENCES TO THE SCENES IN THE DOCUMENTARY "MAJOR COUNTRY DIPLOMACY" (*DAGUO WAIJIAO*)¹⁰

Role	Major commitments / functions / behavioural patterns	Assumption of responsibility / duty / obligation	China vis-à-vis the US	China vis-à-vis Russia
Mediator / intermediary	Assistance in conflict/situations or uncertainty resolu- tion. Commitment to the mediation between two/sev- eral interested sides. Facilitation of conflict resolution, finding the agreements among parties	Yes	E3: 0:11:33-51; E4: 0:29:58- 0:30:35	E3: 0:13:12-20
Representative / leader / advocate of group interests	Claim to represent interests of other states/groups of states (with China being a part of the groups); commit- ment/claim to provide assistance to others in realising their interests	Yes		E4: 0:29:11; E4: 0:29:15–19; E3: 0:13:00–02
(Regional) Protector	Commitment to protect countries/regions from the ex- ternal threat	Both		
Leading power	 Provides public goods (security, infrastructure, aid, UN Development Goals commitments, financial support) Puts forward initiatives, files suggestions, active in setting (global) agenda Delivers/claim to deliver solutions to complicated (global) issues 	Both	E3: 0:11:33-51; E3: 0:13:30-48; E4: 0:29:08- 0:30:35	E3: 0:13:12-20
Active collaborator	Clearly indicated commitment to collaborate on vari- ous issues with (any) international partners/ working towards the same goal/outcome/mutual benefits (yet, there is no formal assumption of any obligations)	No	E3: 0:11:52- 0:12:48; E4: 0:28:36- 0:30:35	
Cooperative partner	Political/economic/other types of cooperation. Com- mitments to cooperate on particular issues/in particu- lar areas (with formally indicated obligations/commit- ments)	Yes (agreements, treaties)		E3: 0:13:00-02; E4: 0:29:11, E4: 0:29:15-19
Defender of the peace	Adopted from Holsti 1970 ¹¹ : "These statements are not qualified by reference to any particular region (region- al protector); they seem to indicate a universal com- mitment to defend against any aggression or threat to peace, no matter what the locale." (Holsti 1970, 272)	Yes		E2: 0:09:10-33
Model for others	Claims to provide an example for other states on how norms/ideas/institutions/principles suggested by Chi- na can be internalised/used/maintained	Both (formal com- mitment to role may be articulat- ed or there are no clearly stated for- mal responsibili- ties)		E2: 0:05:01- 0:06:25; E2: 0:09:10-33

¹⁰ The cases selected for the analysis are presented in Episodes 3 and 4 (E3 and E4 respectively): Daguo waijiao [Major country's diplomacy] (2017): Di san ji: Zhongliu jishui [Episode 3: turning the tide]. CCTV, August 28, 2017; Daguo waijiao [Major country's diplomacy] (2017): Di si ji: Chuan yun po wu [Episode 4: through the clouds and fog]. CCTV, August 28, 2017.

¹¹ Holsti, Kalevi (1970): National role conceptions in the study of foreign policy. In: International Studies Quarterly 14(3): 233–309.

APPENDIX 2: OVERVIEW OF THE CODED SCENES IN THE DOCUMENTARY

Role claimed by China	Documentary: US Voiceover		
Case: Iran nuclear deal			
Mediator	[E3: 0:11:33–51] Iran issue sensitive and complicated, lack in US-Iran trust, large differences in positions, some core issues solved due to Chinese proposals		
Leading power	[E3: 0:12:19–48] Question whether to continue to negotiate/extend deadline, Xi to Obama: hope for all sides to strive to reach agreement before deadline, otherwise China supports extension of deadline		
	[E3: 0:13:21–58] Important role of China (UNSC permanent member) in resolving international issues, new inclusive Chinese security position, for peaceful resolution of international conflicts through dialogue, protect function of UN mediation for global peace and security		
Active collaborator / Collaborative partner	[E3: 0:11:52–0:12:48] Essential role of leaders' diplomacy: then US President Obama calls Xi at BRICS con- ference in Brazil (July 2014), deadline for Iran nuclear agreement approaching, question whether to con- tinue to negotiate/extend deadline, Xi to Obama: hope for all sides to strive to reach agreement before deadline, otherwise China supports extension of deadline		
Case: North K	orean nuclear issue		
Mediator	[E4: 0:28:01–10] North Korea's repeated nuclear and ballistic missile tests, US-South Korea military ma- noeuvres, tit for tat, mutual hostility		
	[E4: 0:28:22–29] China as close neighbour to Korean Peninsula not allowing war		
Leading power	[E4: 0:29:08–33] Xi-Trump meeting at G20 Summit (Hamburg): Xi reiterates China's continued support for denuclearization of, peace and stability on Peninsula, dialogue and negotiations to resolve issues, China willing to play communication and coordination role, work for peace and stability in region		
Leading power	[E4: 0:29:41–0:30:35] Chinese position clear and unchanging: denuclearization, protect peace and stability, peaceful resolution, China's dual-track principle and dual-freeze proposal, Chinese efforts to alleviate tensions, restart dialogue, dual-freeze proposal: North Korea halts nuclear and guided missile tests, US and South Korea suspend large-scale military exercises, intention: calm situation, protect peace and stability on Peninsula		
Active collaborator / Collaborative partner	[E4: 0:28:36–56] First Xi-Trump meeting: exchange respective positions on North Korean issue, joint goal of denuclearization of Korean Peninsula		
	[E4: 0:29:02–07] In months after first meeting: several phone calls, closely watch Peninsula's situation		
	[E4: 0:29:08–33] Xi-Trump meeting at G20 Summit (Hamburg): see Mediator/leading power above		
	[E4: 0:29:41–0:30:35] Chinese position clear and unchanging: see Mediator/leading power above		
Protector	Not mentioned		
Advocate of groups	Not mentioned		

Table 1: Compilation of role descriptions identified in the documentary

"MAJOR COUNTRY DIPLOMACY" (DAGUO WAIJIAO)

	Documentary: Russia	
Visual	Voiceover	Visual
A series of three shots, one each of the main Iranian negotiator, the principal US negotiator and the cen- tral Chinese negotiator, showing the US and Iranian negotiators (supposedly) looking at each other	Not mentioned	A shot visually excluding Russia (empty space in front of the Russian flag during the press conference), see Screenshot 9 ¹²
while the Chinese negotiator observes, see Screen- shots 1, 2, 3 ¹²	Not mentioned	
	Not mentioned	A shot with representatives of the negotiating sides including Russian Foreign Minister, see Screenshot 10 ¹²
Shots of missile starts/tests, land and air military manoeuvres, see Screenshots 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 ¹²	Not mentioned	Russian leader standing/walking next to Xi Jinping, the US leader is visually distanced from them, see Screenshot 11, 12, 13 ¹²
	Not mentioned	
	Not mentioned	
Not depicted	Not mentioned	
Not depicted	Not mentioned	

¹² The screenshots cannot be depicted here due to copyright law. If you are interested in the code book and the detailed coding of the analyzed episodes, please contact the authors.

APPENDIX 3: CODING OF THE US MEDIA NARRATIVES (CASES: IRAN NUCLEAR

Overarching narrative	Role(s) claimed by the US	Role(s) altercasted to China
Case: Iran nuclear deal		
Preeminent role of US leadership and diplomacy in Iran negotia- tions and reaching of final comprehensive deal, deal as best option, its effectiveness in curbing Iranian nuclear ambitions	Leading power Advocate of group interests	Advocate of group interests
Chinese strategic and economic interests in Iran, the region and Iran nuclear deal, US sanctions regime as contrast		Active collaborator / cooperative partner (China-Iran)
US crucial role in Iran deal negotiations, Russia and China opposing Western nations on sanctions	Leading power Advocate of group interests Mediator	Advocate of group interests
Case: North Korean nuclear issue		
US most important negotiating parties, leader of international pressure campaign against North Korea, US strategies and goals vis-à-vis North Korea	Leading power	Protector Cooperative partner (economic) Advocate of group interests
US central role in negotiations, China as ally and trade partner of North Korea and as among main obstacles to US pressure cam- paign towards North Korea, US willingness to negotiate based on conditions, intensified rhetoric between US and North Korean	Leading power Mediator Protector	Mediator Advocate of group interests
der		Cooperative partner (economic)
China as hinderance to issue's resolution, North Korean issue as factor in China-US rivalry, US expectations for Chinese cooperation on sanctions, China positioned between US and North Korea, US options for dealing with North Korean nuclear issue	Leading power Mediator	Advocate of group interests Protector Mediator

DEAL AND NORTH KOREAN NUCLEAR ISSUE)

Keywords	Narrated in
Keywords	
US diplomacy	Gordon, Michael R. and David E. Sanger (2015): Deal reached on Iran nuclear program; Limits on fuel would lessen with time. In: <i>New York Times</i> , July 14, 2015. https://www.nytimes. com/2015/07/15/world/middleeast/iran-nuclear-deal-is-reached-after-long-negotiations.html
US leadership	The White House Archives President Barack Obama (2016): The historic deal that will prevent Iran from acquiring a nuclear weapon. Obamawhitehouse.archives.org, January 17, 2016. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/issues/foreign-policy/iran-deal
Energy, trade, investment	Blanchard, Ben: China's foreign minister pushes Iran on nuclear deal. <i>Reuters</i> , February 16, 2015. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-iran-nuclear-china-idUSKBN0LK05Q20150216
Belt and Road Initiative, trade, investment	Vatanka, Alex (2019): China's Great Game in Iran. In: <i>Foreign Policy</i> , September 5, 2019. https://foreignpolicy.com/2019/09/05/chinas-great-game-in-iran/
China-Russia faction versus US and EU	Charbonneau, Louis (2015): U.S. aims to make Iran nuclear deal immune to Russian, Chinese veto. <i>Reuters</i> , May 6, 2015. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-iran-nuclear-idUSKBN0NQ2I020150506
	Gordon and Sanger (2015).
US as negotiator, internation- al pressure campaign	Wertz, Daniel (2018): The U.S., North Korea, and nuclear diplomacy. <i>National Committee on North Korea (NCNK.org)</i> , October 2018. https://www.ncnk.org/resources/briefing-papers/all-brief-ing-papers/history-u.sdprk-relations
Eminent US role	Goldman, Russell (2017): Answers to 4 crucial questions about North Korea. In: <i>New York Times</i> , August 9, 2017. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/08/09/world/asia/north-korea-nuclear-weapons.html
China-North Korea economic ties, China as obstacle, US criticism	Harris, Gardiner and Eileen Sullivan (2017): Tillerson suggests North Korea may soon be ready for talks. In: <i>New York Times</i> , August 22, 2017. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/08/22/world/asia/us-imposes-sanctions-on-china-and-russia-over-north-koreas-nuclear-program.html
China as ally of North Korea	Nebehay, Stephanie (2017): U.S., North Korea clash at U.N. forum over nuclear weapons. <i>Reuters</i> , August 22, 2017. https://www.reuters.com/article/us-northkorea-missiles-idUSKCN1B2102
US: more Chinese coopera- tion on US sanctions	AFP (2017): China envoy holds North Korea nuclear talks as US mulls options. In: <i>Guardian</i> , April 10, 2017. https://guardian.ng/news/china-envoy-holds-north-korea-nuclear-talks-as-us-mulls-options/
China as obstacle to US, re- luctant (harsher sanctions)	Hall, Mimi and Frank Aum (2017): From bad to worse: options for the U.S. on North Korea. <i>Nuclear Threat Initiative</i> (NTI.org), April 11, 2017. https://www.nti.org/analysis/atomic-pulse/bad-worse-options-us-north-korea/
US criticism of China-North Korea trade	Harris and Sullivan (2017).
China against harsher sanc- tions on North Korea	Perlez, Jane and Sang-Hun Choe (2016): China struggles for balance in response to North Korea's boldness. In: <i>New York Times</i> , February 7, 2016. https://www.nytimes.com/2016/02/08/world/asia/china-struggles-for-balance-in-response-to-north-koreas-boldness.html
Strategic significance of North Korea for China-US ri- valry, China reluctant on US sanctions demands	Revere, Evans J.R. (2016): Dealing with a nuclear-armed North Korea. <i>Brookings</i> , October 4, 2016. https://www.brookings.edu/research/dealing-with-a-nuclear-armed-north-korea/
US criticism and demands for harsher sanctions, China re- luctant	Wertz (2018).

NOESSELT / ECKSTEIN / PRIUPOLINA: Decrypting China's Self-Image as "Great Power"

(Table continued)

24

Role(s) claimed by the US Leading power	Role(s) altercasted to China Advocate of group interests
Leading power	Advocate of group interests
	Mediator Protector
Protector Leading power Mediator	Advocate of group interests
	Leading power

Keywords	Narrated in
Chinese opposition to US missile system in South Korea	Choe, Sang-Hun (2017): Allies for 67 years, U.S. and South Korea split over North Korea. In: <i>New York Times</i> , September 4, 2017. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/09/04/world/asia/north-korea-nuclear-south-us-alliance.html
China reluctant to enforce harsh sanctions on North Korea, US sanctions on China	Hall and Aum (2017).
China restrained (sanctions), Chinese North Korea policy not changing, US pressure on China for sanctions	Perlez, Jane (2017a): U.S. desire for North Korea oil cutoff puts China in a tight spot. In: <i>New York Times</i> , September 5, 2017. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/09/05/world/asia/north-korea-china-us-oil-fuel-exports.html
China reactionary (emergen- cy preparations), China restrained (sanctions)	Perlez, Jane (2017b): Fearing the worst, China plans refugee camps on North Korean border. In: <i>New York Times</i> , December 11, 2017. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/11/world/asia/china-north-korea-border.html
China against US-South Ko- rean military manoeuvres, reserved (calls for calmness)	Sengupta, Somini (2017): Tillerson, in apparent U-turn, says North Korea must 'earn' its way to talks. In: <i>New York Times</i> , December 15, 2017. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/12/15/world/asia/tillerson-north-korea.html
China as obstacle to US, China reluctant (harsher sanctions)	Hall and Aum (2017).

APPENDIX 4: CODING OF RUSSIAN MEDIA NARRATIVES (CASES: IRAN NUCLEAR

Overarching narrative	Role claimed by Russia	Roles altercasted to China	Keywords	
Case: Iran nuclear deal				
	Active collaborator/ cooperative partner	Cooperative partner/ active collaborator		
participate. Emphasis on coordination be-	Active collaborator/ cooperative partner Mediator	Active collaborator/ cooperative partner	Russia siding with Iran and contrasting the US view of the deal	
amphacica Duccian interact/rale/contribution	Leading power Cooperative partner	Active collaborator/ cooperative partner		
Duccia and China ac key players and media	Leading power Mediator	Leading power Mediator		
Case: North Korean nuclear issue				
Russia and China are equal mediators	Leading power Mediator	Leading power Mediator	Dual freeze proposal	
able continued on next page)				

DEAL AND NORTH KOREAN NUCLEAR ISSUE)

Narrated in

Novosti (2015c): Buksuyut peregovory po iranskoy yadernoy programme [Talks on Iranian nuclear program stalled]. *Pervyi Kanal*, July 12, 2015. https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-07-12/15617-buksuyut_peregovory_po_iranskoy_yadernoy_programme

Vesti (2015d): Sergey Lavrov: dogovorennosti po Iranu otvechayut interesam vsekh storon [Sergey Lavrov: agreements on Iran meet the interests of all parties]. *VGTRK*, July 16, 2015. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1734589

Novosti (2015g): Vladimir Putin i Barak Obama vysoko otsenili itogi peregovorov po iranskoy yadernoy programme [Vladimir Putin and Barack Obama praised the outcome of the talks on the Iranian nuclear program]. *Pervyi Kanal*, July 16, 2015. https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-07-16/15373-vladimir_putin_i_barak_obama_vysoko_otsenili_itogi_peregovorov_po_iranskoy_yadernoy_programme

Vesti (2015c): Putin i Obama vysoko otsenili itogi peregovorov po yadernoy programme Irana [Putin and Obama praised the outcome of talks on Iran's nuclear program]. *VGTRK*, July 15, 2015. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1788102

Novosti (2015d): Peregovory v Vene po yadernoy programme Irana obsudili po telefonu Sergey Lavrov i Dzhon Kerri [Negotiations in Vienna on Iran's nuclear program were discussed in a phone call by Sergei Lavrov and John Kerry]. *Pervyi Kanal*, July 11, 2015. https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-07-11/15626-peregovory_v_vene_po_yadernoy_programme_irana_obsudili_po_telefonu_sergey_ lavrov_i_dzhon_kerri

Novosti (2015a): Podpisaniye soglasheniya po iranskoy yadernoy programme vnov' otlozheno [Signing agreement on Iranian nuclear program postponed again]. *Pervyi Kanal*, July 13, 2015.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-07-13/15531-podpisanie_soglasheniya_po_iranskoy_yadernoy_programme_vnov_otlozheno

Novosti (2015e): V Vene dostignuto istoricheskoye soglasheniye po Iranskoy yadernoy programme [Historic agreement on Iranian nuclear program reached in Vienna]. *Pervyi Kanal*, July 14, 2015.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-07-14/15494-v_vene_dostignuto_istoricheskoe_soglashenie_po_iranskoy_yadernoy_programme

Novosti (2015f): V Vene ob"yavleno o sdelke mirovogo soobshchestva s Iranom [World community deal with Iran announced in Vienna]. *Pervyi Kanal*, July 14, 2015.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-07-14/15488-v_vene_ob_yavleno_o_sdelke_mirovogo_soobschestva_s_iranom

Novosti (2015b): Posle 10 let peregovorov podpisano istoricheskoye soglasheniye po Iranskoy yadernoy programme [Historic agreement on Iranian nuclear program signed after 10 years of negotiations]. *Pervyi Kanal*, July 14, 2015. https://www.1tv.ru/ news/2015-07-14/15470-posle 10 let peregovorov podpisano istoricheskoe soglashenie po iranskoy yadernoy programme

Vesti (2015a): Mirnyy atom minus sanktsii. O chem dogovorilis' Iran i "shesterka" [Peaceful atom minus sanctions. What have Iran and the Six agreed on?]. *VGTRK*, July 14, 2015. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1744885

Vesti (2015b): Yadernaya istoriya Irana zakonchilas' mirno [Iran's nuclear history ended peacefully]. *VGTRK*, July 14, 2015. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1711811

Novosti (2017a): Situatsiya na Koreyskom poluostrove stala odnoy iz glavnykh tem sammita BRIKS [The situation on the Korean Peninsula became one of the main topics of the BRICS summit]. *Pervyi Kanal*, September 4, 2017.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2017-09-04/331970-situatsiya_na_koreyskom_poluostrove_stala_odnoy_iz_glavnyh_tem_sammita_briks

Novosti (2017b): V Kitaye podvodyat itogi pervogo dnya sammita BRIKS [China sums up the results of the first day of the BRICS summit]. *Pervyi Kanal*, September 4, 2017.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2017-09-04/331959-v_kitae_podvodyat_itogi_pervogo_dnya_sammita_briks

Vesti (2017c): FRG: koreyskiy konflikt bez Rossii, Kitaya i SSHA ne razreshit' [Germany: the Korean conflict cannot be resolved without Russia, China and the United States]. *VGTRK*, September 17, 2017. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1653282

NOESSELT / ECKSTEIN / PRIUPOLINA: Decrypting China's Self-Image as "Great Power"

Overarching narrative	Role claimed by Russia	Roles altercasted to China	Keywords	
China as a victim of the US's assertiveness			Major actors: DPRK, RK, China, and US	
No emphasis on China,	Mediator		Resume the use of power;	
Russia as a key mediator	Leading power		settle the issue through the negotiations;	
			Russia as a mediator vis-à-vis the US	
			VIS-a-VIS (ne US	
Focus on North and South Korea, China or Russia are not mentioned				
China as a core mediator		Leading power		
		Mediator		

Narrated in

Novosti (2017c): Pikirovka mezhdu SSHA i KNDR poka na slovakh. Komu nuzhno shou, napugavsheye ves' mir? [The conflict between the United States and the DPRK is so far in words. Who needs a show that scares the whole world?]. *Pervyi Kanal*, April 15, 2017. https://www.1tv.ru/news/2017-04-15/323573-pikirovka_mezhdu_ssha_i_kndr_poka_na_slovah_komu_nuzhno_shou_napugavshee_ves_mir

Novosti (2017d): Prezident SSHA Donal'd Tramp otkazalsya ot udara po KNDR [US President Donald Trump refused to strike on the DPRK]. *Pervyi Kanal*, April 15, 2017.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2017-04-15/323567-prezident_ssha_donald_tramp_otkazalsya_ot_udara_po_kndr

Novosti (2013): V Londone proshla vstrecha glav vneshnepoliticheskikh vedomstv "Bol'shoy vos'merki" [A meeting of the G8 foreign ministers was held in London]. *Pervyi Kanal*, April 11, 2013. https://www.1tv.ru/news/2013-04-11/72921-v_londone_proshla_vstrecha_glav_vneshnepoliticheskih_vedomstv_bolshoy_vosmerki

Vesti (2017a): Rossiya predosteregayet ot bravirovaniya v situatsii s KNDR [Russia warns against flaunting in the DPRK situation]. *VGTRK*, October 27, 2017. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1524308

Vesti (2017b): Lavrov i Tillerson obsudili konflikt v Sirii i na Koreyskom poluostrove [Lavrov and Tillerson discussed the conflict in Syria and the Korean Peninsula]. *VGTRK*, October 9, 2017. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1602800

Vesti (2013): Koreyskiy konflikt: Lavrov prizval ne pugat' voyennymi manevrami [Korean conflict: Lavrov urged not to frighten with military maneuvers]. *VGTRK*, April 10, 2013. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1969484

Novosti (2015h): KNDR i Yuzhnaya Koreya okazalis' na grani vooruzhennogo konflikta [North Korea and South Korea are on the brink of an armed conflict]. *Pervyi Kanal*, August 21, 2015.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-08-21/12793-kndr_i_yuzhnaya_koreya_okazalis_na_grani_vooruzhennogo_konflikta

Novosti (2015i): Predstaviteli KNDR i Yuzhnoy Korei gotovy sest' za stol peregovorov [Representatives of the DPRK and South Korea are ready to sit at the negotiating table]. *Pervyi Kanal*, August 22, 2015.

https://www.1tv.ru/news/2015-08-22/12771-predstaviteli_kndr_i_yuzhnoy_korei_gotovy_sest_za_stol_peregovorov

Vesti (2017d): Si prizval Trampa i Kima k sderzhannosti [Xi urged Trump and Kim to show restraint]. *VGTRK*, August 12, 2017. https://www.vesti.ru/article/1673713



WORKING PAPERS ON EAST ASIAN STUDIES BACK ISSUES

No. 129 / 2021 Armin Müller, Tobias ten Brink: Provider Payment Reform for Chinese Hospitals: Policy Transfer and Internal Diffusion of International Models

No. 128 / 2021 Torsten Heinrich, Jangho Yang, Shuanping Dai: Growth, Development, and Structural Change at the Firm-level: The Example of the PR China

No. 127 / 2021 Diana Schüler, Mihaela Suhalitca, Werner Pascha, Keunyeob Oh: Government Policies for Start-ups in Korea and its Regions: Motives, Mechanisms and Major Obstacles

No. 126 / 2020 Werner Pascha: Silk Subway: Japan's Strategy for an Age of International Connectivity Activism

No. 125 / 2019 İbrahim Öztürk: The Belt and Road Initiative as a Hybrid International Public Good

No. 124 / 2019 Thomas Heberer: Decoding the Chinese Puzzle: Rapid Economic Growth and Social Development Despite a High Level of Corruption

No. 123 / 2018 Martin Hemmert, Jae-Jin Kim: Informal Social Ties and Relationship Orientation in Korean Business Exchanges: A Content Analysis of Ten Inter-Organizational Research Collaborations

No. 122 / 2018 Andreas Grimmel, Yuan Li: The Belt and Road Initiative: A Hybrid Model of Regionalism

No. 121 / 2018 Charmaine Misalucha-Willoughby: How to Change the Game of Security Cooperation: The Case of the ASEAN-China Strategic Partnership

No. 120 / 2017 Armin Müller: Cooperation of Vocational Colleges and Enterprises in China. Institutional Foundations of Vocational Education and Skill Formation in Nursing and Mechanical Engineering – Preliminary Findings

No. 119 / 2017 Thomas Heberer, Anna Shpakovskaya: The Digital Turn in Political Representation in China

No. 118 / 2017 Dongya Huang, Minglu Chen, Thomas Heberer: From 'State Control' to 'Business Lobbying': The Institutional Origin of Private Entrepreneurs' Policy Influence in China

No. 117 / 2017 Mario Esteban, Yuan Li: Demystifying the Belt and Road Initiative: Scope, Actors and Repercussion for Europe

No. 116 / 2017 Chih-Chieh Wang: Building Transnational Labor Markets – the Case of Taiwan

No. 115 / 2017 Alessandra Cappelletti: The "Construction" of Chinese Culture in a Globalized World and Its Importance for Beijing's Smart Power. Notes and concepts on a narrative shift

No. 114 / 2017 Jan Siebert, Guanzhong Yang: Discoordination and Miscoordination Caused by Sunspots in the Laboratory

No. 113 / 2017 Guanzhong Yang: The Impact of Incentives on Prosocial Behavior – An Experimental Investigation with German and Chinese Subjects

No. 112 / 2017 Shuanping Dai, Guanzhong Yang: Does Social Inducement Lead to Higher Open Innovation Investment? An Experimental Study

No. 111 / 2017 Shuanping Dai: China's Idiosyncratic Economics: An Emerging Unknown Monism Driven by Pluralism

No. 110 / 2016 Thomas Heberer: Reflections on the Concept of Representation and Its Application to China

No. 109 / 2016 Yuan Li, Kierstin Bolton, Theo Westphal: The Effect of the New Silk Road Railways on Aggregate Trade Volumes between China and Europe

No. 108 / 2016 Thomas Heberer: Strategic Behavior of Private Entrepreneurs in China – Collective Action, Representative Claims, and Connective Action

No. 107 / 2016 Torsten Heinrich, Shuanping Dai: Diversity of Firm Sizes, Complexity, and Industry Structure in the Chinese Economy

No. 106 / 2015 Ralf Bebenroth, Kai Oliver Thiele: Identification to Oneself and to the Others: Employees' Perceptions after a Merger

No. 105 / 2015 Jun Gu, Annika Mueller, Ingrid Nielsen, Jason Shachat, Russell Smyth: Reducing Prejudice through Actual and Imagined Contact: A Field Experiment with Malawian Shopkeepers and Chinese Immigrants

No. 104 / 2015 Marcus Conlé: Architectural Innovation in China. The Concept and its Implications for Institutional Analysis

No. 103 / 2015 Kai Duttle, Tatsuhiro Shichijo: Default or Reactance? Identity Priming Effects on Overconfidence in Germany and Japan

No. 102 / 2015 Martin Hemmert: The Relevance of Interpersonal and Inter-organizational Ties for Interaction Quality and Outcomes of Research Collaborations in South Korea

No. 101 / 2015 Shuanping Dai, Wolfram Elsner: Declining Trust in Growing China. A Dilemma between Growth and Socio-Economic Damage

No. 99 / 2014 Anna L. Ahlers, Thomas Heberer, Gunter Schubert: 'Authoritarian Resilience' and Effective Policy Implementation in Contemporary China – A Local State Perspective

No. 98 / 2014 Werner Pascha: The Potential of Deeper Economic Integration between the Republic of Korea and the EU, Exemplified with Respect to E-Mobility

No. 97 / 2014 Anja Senz, Dieter Reinhardt (Eds.): Task Force: Connecting India, China and Southeast Asia – New Socio-Economic Developments **No. 96 / 2014** Markus Taube: Grundzüge der wirtschaftlichen Entwicklung und ihre ordnungspolitischen Leitbilder in der VR China seit 1949

No. 95 / 2013 Yasuo Saeki, Sven Horak: The Role of Trust in Cultivating Relation-specific Skills – The Case of a Multinational Automotive Supplier in Japan and Germany

No. 94 / 2013 Heather Xiaoquan Zhang, Nicholas Loubere: Rural Finance, Development and Livelihoods in China

No. 93 / 2013 Thomas Heberer, Anja Senz (Hg.): Task Force: Wie lässt sich die Zusammenarbeit des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen mit China und den NRW-Partnerprovinzen vertiefen?

No. 92 / 2013 Sven Horak: Cross-Cultural Experimental Economics and Indigenous Management Research – Issues and Contributions

No. 91 / 2013 Jann Christoph von der Pütten, Christian Göbel (Hg.): Task Force: Gewerkschaften, Arbeitsmarkt-regulierung und Migration in China

No. 90 / 2012 Thomas Heberer: Some Reflections on the Current Situation in China

No. 89 / 2011 Susanne Löhr, René Trappel (Hg.): Task Force: Nahrungsmittel in China – Food-Security- und Food-Safety-Problematik in China

No. 88 / 2011 Peter Thomas in der Heiden: Chinese Sectoral Industrial Policy Shaping International Trade and Investment Patterns – Evidence from the Iron and Steel Industry

No. 87 / 2010 Marcus Conlé: Health Biotechnology in China: National, Regional, and Sectoral Dimensions

No. 86 / 2010 Anja Senz, Dieter Reinhardt (eds.): Green Governance – One Solution for Two Problems? Climate Change and Economic Shocks: Risk Perceptions and Coping Strategies in China, India and Bangladesh

No. 85 / 2010 Heather Xiaoquan Zhang: Migration, Risk and Livelihoods: A Chinese Case

No. 84 / 2010 Marcus Conlé, Markus Taube: Anatomy of Cluster Development in China: The case of health biotech clusters

No. 83 / 2010 Sven Horak: Aspects of Inner-Korean Relations Examined from a German Viewpoint

No. 82 / 2010 Thomas Heberer, Anja-D. Senz (Hg.): Chinas Rolle in den internationalen Beziehungen – globale Herausforderungen und die chinesische Außenpolitik

No. 81 / 2009 Flemming Christiansen, Heather Xiaoquan Zhang: The Political Economy of Rural Development in China: Reflections on Current Rural Policy

No. 80 / 2009 Chan-Mi Strüber: Germany's Role in the Foreign Direct Investment Configuration of Korean Multinational Enterprises in Europe **No. 79 / 2009** Thomas Heberer, Anja-D. Senz (Hg.): Task Force: Entwicklungspolitik und -strategien in Ostasien am Beispiel der chinesischen Umweltpolitik

No. 78 / 2008 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz: How are Markets Created? The Case of Japan's Silver Market

No. 77 / 2008 Werner Pascha, Uwe Holtschneider (Hg.): Task Force: Corporate Social Responsibility in Japan und Österreich

No. 76 / 2008 Yu Keping: China's Governance Reform from 1978 to 2008

No. 75 / 2008 Thomas Heberer: Task Force: Entwicklungspolitik in China: Herausforderungen, Lösungsstrategien und deutsch-chinesische Entwicklungszusammenarbeit

No. 74 / 2008 Markus Taube: Ökonomische Entwicklung in der VR China. Nachholendes Wachstum im Zeichen der Globalisierung

No. 73 / 2007 Norifumi Kawai, Manja Jonas: Ownership Strategies in Post-Financial Crisis South-East Asia: The Case of Japanese Firms

No. 72 / 2007 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz, Markus Taube (Eds.): Workshop Series on the Role of Institutions in East Asian Development – Institutional Foundations of Innovation and Competitiveness in East Asia

No. 71 / 2006 Norifumi Kawai: Spatial Determinants of Japanese Manufacturing Firms in the Czech Republic

No. 70 / 2006 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Institutionen in der Entwicklung Ostasiens I – Offenheit und Geschlossenheit asiatischer Wirtschaftssysteme

No. 69 / 2006 Christian Göbel: The Peasant's Rescue from the Cadre? An Institutional Analysis of China's Rural Tax and Fee Reform

No. 68 / 2006 Thomas Heberer: Institutional Change and Legitimacy via Urban Elections? People's Awareness of Elections and Participation in Urban Neighbourhoods (Shequ)

No. 67 / 2006 Momoyo Hüstebeck: Tanaka Makiko: Scharfzüngige Populistin oder populäre Reformerin?

No. 66 / 2006 Momoyo Hüstebeck: Park Geun-hye: Als Präsidententochter zur ersten Staatspräsidentin Südkoreas?

No. 65 / 2006 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft V. Themenschwerpunkt: Deutschlandjahr in Japan – eine Zwischenbilanz

No. 64 / 2004 Christian Göbel, Thomas Heberer (Hg.): Task Force: Zivilgesellschaftliche Entwicklungen in China / Task Force: Civil Societal Developments in China

No. 63 / 2005 Thorsten Nilges: Zunehmende Verschuldung durch Mikrokredite. Auswertung eines Experiments in Südindien **No. 62 / 2004** Jun Imai: The Rise of Temporary Employment in Japan. Legalisation and Expansion of a Non-Regular Employment Form

No. 61 / 2004 Thomas Heberer, Nora Sausmikat: Bilden sich in China Strukturen einer Zivilgesellschaft heraus?

No. 60 / 2004 Thomas Heberer, Anja Senz (Hg.): Feldforschung in Asien: Erlebnisse und Ergebnisse aus der Sicht politikwissenschaftlicher Ostasienforschung

No. 59 / 2004 Li Fan: Come by the Wind. Li Fan's Story in Buyun Election

No. 58 / 2004 Li Minghuan: Labour Brokerage in China Today: Formal and Informal Dimensions

No. 57 / 2004 Dorit Lehrack: NGO im heutigen China – Aufgaben, Rolle und Selbstverständnis

No. 56 / 2004 Anja Senz: Wählen zwischen Recht und Pflicht – Ergebnisse einer Exkursion der Ostasienwissenschaften in die Provinz Sichuan / VR China

No. 55 / 2004 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz: Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft IV. Themenschwerpunkt: Wahrnehmung, Institutionenökonomik und Japanstudien

No. 54 / 2004 Thomas Heberer: Ethnic Entrepreneurs as Agents of Social Change. Entrepreneurs, clans, social obligations and ethnic resources: the case of the Liangshan Yi in Sichuan

No. 53 / 2003 Hermann Halbeisen: Taiwan's Domestic Politics since the Presidential Elections 2000

No. 52 / 2003 Claudia Derichs, Wolfram Schaffar (Hg.): Task Force: Interessen, Machstrukturen und internationale Regime. Die WTO-Verhandlungen zum GATS (Dienstleistungsabkommen) und sein Einfluss auf Asien

No. 51 / 2003 Markus Taube: Chinas Rückkehr in die Weltgemeinschaft. Triebkräfte und Widerstände auf dem Weg zu einem "Global Player"

No. 50 / 2003 Kotaro Oshige: Arbeitsmarktstruktur und industrielle Beziehungen in Japan. Eine Bestandsaufnahme mit Thesen zur Zukunftsentwicklung

No. 49 / 2003 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft III. Themenschwerpunkt: Institutionenökonomik und Japanstudien

No. 48 / 2003 Institute of East Asian Studies (Ed.), Frank Robaschik (compilation), with contributions from Winfried Flüchter, Thomas Heberer, Werner Pascha, Frank Robaschik, Markus Taube: Overview of East Asian Studies in Central and Eastern Europe

No. 47 / 2002 Ulrich Zur-Lienen: Singapurs Strategie zur Integration seiner multi-ethnischen Bevölkerung: Was sich begegnet gleicht sich an

No. 46 / 2002 Thomas Heberer: Strategische Gruppen und Staatskapazität: Das Beispiel der Privatunternehmer in China

No. 45 / 2002 Thomas Heberer, Markus Taube: China, the European Union and the United States of America: Partners or Competitors?

No. 44 / 2002 Werner Pascha: Wirtschaftspolitische Reformen in Japan – Kultur als Hemmschuh?

No. 43 / 2002 Werner Pascha, Klaus Ruth, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Themenschwerpunkt: Einfluss von IT-Technologien auf Strukturen und Prozesse in Unternehmen

No. 42 / 2002 Karin Adelsberger, Claudia Derichs, Thomas Heberer, Patrick Raszelenberg: Der 11. September und die Folgen in Asien. Politische Reaktionen in der VR China, Japan, Malaysia und Vietnam

No. 41 / 2001 Claudia Derichs, Thomas Heberer (Hg.): Task Force: Ein Gutachten zu Beschäftigungspolitik, Altersvorsorge und Sozialstandards in Ostasien

No. 40 / 2001 Werner Pascha, Frank Robaschik: The Role of Japanese Local Governments in Stabilisation Policy

No. 39 / 2001 Anja Senz, Zhu Yi: Von Ashima zu Yi-Rap: Die Darstellung nationaler Minderheiten in den chinesischen Medien am Beispiel der Yi-Nationalität

No. 38 / 2001 Claudia Derichs: Interneteinsatz in den Duisburger Ostasienwissenschaften: Ein Erfahrungsbericht am Beispiel des deutsch-japanischen Seminars "DJ50"

No. 37 / 2001 Zhang Luocheng: The particularities and major problems of minority regions in the middle and western parts of China and their developmental strategy

No. 36 / 2001 Thomas Heberer: Falungong – Religion, Sekte oder Kult? Eine Heilsgemeinschaft als Manifestation von Modernisierungsproblemen und sozialen Entfremdungsprozessen

No. 35 / 2001 Claudia Derichs, Thomas Heberer, Patrick Raszelenberg (Hg.): Task Force: Ein Gutachten zu den politischen und wirtschaftlichen Beziehungen Ostasien–NRW

No. 34 / 2000 Ulrich Jürgens, Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft I. Themenschwerpunkt: "New Economy" – Neue Formen der Arbeitsorganisation in Japan

No. 33 / 2000 Winfried Flüchter: German Geographical Research on Japan

No. 32 / 2000 Thomas Heberer, Sabine Jakobi: Henan – The Model: From Hegemonism to Fragmentism. Portrait of the Political Culture of China's Most Populated Province

No. 31 / 2000 Thomas Heberer: Some Considerations on China's Minorities in the 21st Century: Conflict or Conciliation?

No. 30 / 2000 Jun Imai, Karen Shire: Flexible Equality: Men and Women in Employment in Japan

No. 29 / 2000 Karl Lichtblau, Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan V. Themenschwerpunkt: M & A in Japan – ein neues Instrument der Unternehmenspolitik?

No. 28 / 1999 Rainer Dormels: Regionaler Antagonismus in Südkorea

No. 27 / 1999 Claudia Derichs, Tim Goydke, Werner Pascha (Hg.): Task Force: Ein Gutachten zu den deutschen/europäischen Außen- und Außenwirtschaftsbeziehungen mit Japan

No. 26 / 1999 Susanne Steffen: Der Einsatz der Umweltpolitik in der japanischen Elektrizitätswirtschaft

No. 25 / 1999 Claudia Derichs: Nationbuilding in Malaysia under Conditions of Globalization

No. 24 / 1999 Thomas Heberer, Arno Kohl, Tuong Lai, Nguyen Duc Vinh: Aspects of Privat Sector Development in Vietnam

No. 23 / 1999 Werner Pascha: Corruption in Japan – An Economist's Perspective

No. 22 / 1999 Nicole Bastian: Wettbewerb im japanischen Fernsehmarkt. Neue Strukturen durch Kabel- und Satellitenfernsehen? Eine wettbewerbstheoretische Analyse

No. 21 / 1999 Thomas Heberer: Entrepreneurs as Social Actors: Privatization and Social Change in China and Vietnam

No. 20 / 1999 Vereinigung für sozialwissenschaftliche Japan-Forschung (Hg.): Quo vadis sozialwissenschaftliche Japan-Forschung? Methoden und Zukunftsfragen

No. 19 / 1999 Bong-Ki Kim: Das Problem der interkulturellen Kommunikation am Beispiel der Rezeption Deweys in China

No. 18 / 1998 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan IV. Themenschwerpunkt Netzwerke

No. 17 / 1998 Andreas Bollmann, Claudia Derichs, Daniel Konow, Ulrike Rebele, Christian Schulz, Kerstin Seemann, Stefanie Teggemann, Stephan Wieland: Interkulturelle Kompetenz als Lernziel

No. 16 / 1997 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan III. Themenschwerpunkt Innovation

No. 15 / 1997 Winfried Flüchter: Tokyo quo vadis? Chancen und Grenzen (?) metropolitanen Wachstums

No. 14 / 1997 Claudia Derichs: Der westliche Universalitätsanspruch aus nicht-westlicher Perspektive

No. 13 / 1997 Werner Pascha: Economic Globalization and Social Stabilization: A Dual Challenge for Korea

No. 12 / 1996 Claudia Derichs: Kleine Einführung in die Politik und das politische System Japans

No. 11 / 1996 Mikiko Eswein: Die Rolle der Berufsbildung beim sozialen Wandel in Japan

No. 10 / 1996 Mikiko Eswein: Erziehung zwischen Konfuzianismus und Bismarck. Schule und Erziehungssystem in Japan

No. 9 / 1996 Werner Pascha: On the Relevance of the German Concept of "Social Market Economy" for Korea

No. 8 / 1996 Carsten Herrmann-Pillath: Strange Notes on Modern Statistics and Traditional Popular Religion in China: Further Reflections on the Importance of Sinology for Social Science as applied on China

No. 7 / 1996 Ralph Lützeler: Die japanische Familie der Gegenwart – Wandel und Beharrung aus demographischer Sicht

No. 6 / 1995 Werner Pascha (Hg.): Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan – Dokumentation eines Workshops

No. 5 / 1995 Chen Lai: Die Kultur des Volkskonfuzianismus: Eine Untersuchung der Literatur zur kindlichen Erziehung (*Meng xue*)

No. 4 / 1995 Carsten Herrmann-Pillath: Die Volksrepublik und die Republik China: Die Gratwanderung zweier chinesischer Staaten zwischen Politik und Wirtschaft

No. 3 / 1995 Carsten Herrmann-Pillath: On the Importance of Studying Late Qing Economic and Social History for the Analysis of Contemporary China or: Protecting Sinology Against Social Science

No. 2 / 1995 H. J. Beckmann, K. Haaf, H. Kranz, W. Pascha, B. Slominski, T. Yamada: "Japan im Netz". Eine Materialsammlung zur Nutzung des Internet

No. 1 / 1995 Claudia Derichs, Winfried Flüchter, Carsten Herrmann-Pillath, Regine Mathias, Werner Pascha: Ostasiatische Regionalstudien: Warum?