

Wagner, Joachim

Working Paper

The good have a website: Evidence on website premia for firms from 18 European countries

Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 401

Provided in Cooperation with:

Institute of Economics, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

Suggested Citation: Wagner, Joachim (2021) : The good have a website: Evidence on website premia for firms from 18 European countries, Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 401, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre, Lüneburg

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/234590>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

The Good have a Website
Evidence on website premia for firms from 18 European
countries

by
Joachim Wagner

University of Lüneburg
Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 401

April 2021

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html

ISSN 1860 - 5508

The Good have a Website

Evidence on website premia for firms from 18 European countries*

Joachim Wagner

Leuphana University, Lüneburg, Institute for the World Economy, Kiel,
and IZA, Bonn

[This version: April 17, 2021]

Abstract:

This paper uses firm level data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys conducted in 2019 in 18 European countries to investigate the link between having a website and firm characteristics. We find that firms which are present in the web are larger, older, more productive, and more often exporters, product innovators, process innovators and (partly) foreign owned firms than firms without a website. The estimated website premia are statistically highly significant ceteris paribus after controlling for country and sector of economic activity. Furthermore, the size of these premia can be considered to be large. Good firms tend to have a website.

JEL classification: D22, L25

Keywords: Website premia, firm characteristics, World Bank Enterprise Surveys

*This paper is part of the project “URS&Web” that is jointly performed with the German Federal Statistical Office (Destatis). The data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys are available after registration from the website <https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/portal/login.aspx> . Stata code used to produce the empirical results reported in this note is available from the author.

Professor Dr. Joachim Wagner
Leuphana University Lüneburg
D-21314 Lüneburg
Germany
e-mail: wagner@leuphana.de

1. Motivation

Presence on the web is today considered as an important part of a firm's strategy to successfully make a living. This tends to be even more important in times of the COVID-19 pandemic when quarantines and lockdowns increase the costs of face-to-face contacts with (potential) buyers and sellers. Wagner (2021) uses firm level data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys conducted in 2019 and from the COVID-19 follow-up surveys conducted in 2020 in ten European countries to investigate the link between having a website before the pandemic and firm survival until 2020. The estimated positive effect of web presence is statistically highly significant *ceteris paribus* after controlling for various firm characteristics that are known to be related to firm survival. Furthermore, the size of this estimated effect can be considered to be large on average. A web site helped firms to survive.

Given this high importance of a web presence for the performance of firms it comes as a surprise that there seems to be no comprehensive evidence on the existence of websites in firms, and on the characteristics of firms with and without activities on the web. While some may argue that this is an irrelevant topic because nowadays every firm has a website of its own, a closer inspection of the available evidence reveals that this not the case. This note contributes to the literature by reporting descriptive evidence on the share of firms with a website in 18 European countries in 2019 based on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys conducted in these countries. Furthermore, differences in various characteristics – firm size, firm age, productivity, innovativeness and international linkages - between firms with and without a website are documented using estimates of so-called website premia that control for the effects of country of origin and sector of economic activity of the firm.

To anticipate the most important result we find that firms which are present in the web are larger, older, more productive, and more often exporters, product innovators, process innovators and (partly) foreign owned firms than firms without a website. The estimated website premia are statistically highly significant *ceteris paribus* after controlling for country and sector of economic activity. Furthermore, the size of these premia can be considered to be large. The take-home message, therefore, is that good firms tend to have a website.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the data used and discusses the firm characteristics that are looked at. Section 3 reports results from the econometric investigation. Section 4 concludes.

2. Data and discussion of variables

The firm level data used in this study are taken from the World Bank's Enterprise Surveys in 2019.¹ These surveys were conducted in a large number of countries all over the world. In this study we focus on 18 countries from Europe: Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Slovak Republic, and Slovenia.

In the 2019 survey firms were asked in question C22b "At present time, does this establishment have its own website or social media page?" Firms that answered "yes" are classified as firm with a web presence.

Descriptive evidence on the share of firms with a web presence in the total sample and by country is reported in in Table 1. While the overall share of firms with a website in the sample is 72 percent figures differ widely between the 18 countries.

¹ The data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys and the questionnaires used are available free of charge after registration from the website <https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/portal/login.aspx>.

Web presence is only 30 percent in Lithuania and 56 percent in Bulgaria while some 90 percent of all firms in the sample have a website in the Czech Republic and Slovenia.

[Table 1 near here]

At the bottom of Table 1 the share of firms with a website is reported by sector of (main) economic activity of the firm. While firms from manufacturing and hotels/restaurants are more often present in the web compared to the overall average figure, and firms from retail/wholesale, construction, and services have a lower rate of web presence, the figures do not differ by order of magnitude.

In the empirical investigation of the difference between firms with and without a website a number of firm characteristics are looked at. The selection of these characteristics is not based on a theoretical model – it is motivated by the consideration that “better” firms might well have a larger chance to have a website because these firms can cover the costs of setting up and maintaining a website, which tend to be fixed costs to a large degree, more easily. The firm characteristics considered and the way they are measured here are listed below.

Firm size: Firm size is measured as the number of permanent, full-time individuals that worked in the establishment at the end of the last complete fiscal year at the time of the regular 2019 enterprise survey (see question I.1).

Firm age: Firm age is measured as follows. In question B.5 of the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked “In what year did this establishment begin operation?”. Firm age is the difference between 2019 and the founding year.

Productivity: Productivity is measured as labor productivity, defined as the amount of total annual sales for all products and services (recorded in question d2) over the number of permanent, full-time individuals that worked in the establishment at the end of the last complete fiscal year at the time of the regular 2019 enterprise survey (see question I.1). Given that information on value added and on the capital stock used in a firm is missing in the data from the World Bank Enterprise Survey, more elaborate measures of productivity at the firm level like total factor productivity cannot be used.

Innovation: In the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked whether during the last three years this establishment has introduced new or improved products and services (see question H1). Firms that answered in the affirmative are considered as product innovators. Similarly, firms were asked whether during the last three years this establishment introduced any new or improved process, including methods of manufacturing products or offering services; logistics, delivery, or distribution methods for inputs, products or services; or supporting activities for processes (see question H5). Firms that answered in the affirmative are considered as process innovators.

Exports: The firm is considered as an exporter if it reports any direct exports in question D.3 of the regular enterprise survey in 2019.

Foreign ownership: In the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked what percentage of this firm is owned by private foreign individuals, companies or organizations (see question B2). Firms that reported a positive amount here are considered as (partly) foreign owned firms.

Furthermore, firms are divided by broad sectors of activity (manufacturing, retail/wholesale, construction, hotel/restaurant, and services) based on their answer

to the question for the establishment's main activity and product, measured by the largest proportion of annual sales (see question D1a1).

Descriptive statistics for all variables are reported for the whole sample used in the empirical investigation in the appendix table.

3. Testing for website premia in firm characteristics

To test for the difference in the firm characteristics listed in section 2 between firms with and without a website, and to document the size of these differences, an empirical approach is applied that modifies a standard approach used in hundreds of empirical investigations on the differences between exporters and non-exporters that has been introduced by Bernard and Jensen (1995, 1999). Studies of this type use data for firms to compute so-called exporter premia, defined as the *ceteris paribus* percentage difference of a firm characteristic - e.g. labour productivity - between exporters and non-exporters. These premia are computed from a regression of log labour productivity on the current export status dummy and a set of control variables:

$$(1) \ln LP_i = a + \beta \text{ Export}_i + c \text{ Control}_i + e_i$$

where i is the index of the firm, LP is labour productivity, Export is a dummy variable for current export status (1 if the firm exports, 0 else), Control is a vector of control variables, and e is an error term. The exporter premium, computed from the estimated coefficient β as $100(\exp(\beta)-1)$, shows the average percentage difference between exporters and non-exporters controlling for the characteristics included in the vector Control (see Wagner (2007) for a more complete exposition of this method).

Here we look at differences between firms with and without a website (instead of differences between exporters and non-exporters) and are interested in the existence and size of website premia (instead of exporter premia). Therefore, (1) becomes (2)

$$(2) \ln LP_i = a + \beta \text{Website}_i + c \text{Control}_i + e_{it}$$

where i is the index of the firm, LP is labour productivity, Website is a dummy variable for the presence of a website in the firm (1 if the firm has a website, 0 else), Control is a vector of control variables (that consists of dummy variables for countries and sectors of economic activity), and e is an error term. The website premium, computed from the estimated coefficient β as $100(\exp(\beta)-1)$, shows the average percentage difference between firms with and without a website controlling for country of origin of the firm and the broad economic sector it is active in.

Here, β is computed by OLS (with standard errors that are clustered at the level of the country of origin) for firm characteristics that are measured by continuous variables (firm size, firm age, labour productivity). For firm characteristics that are measured by dummy variables (product innovator, process innovator, exporter, foreign owned firm) the empirical models are estimated by Probit instead and the website premia are computed as the estimated average marginal effects of the website dummy variable.

Results are reported in Table 2. The big picture that is shown is crystal clear: Firms which are present in the web are larger, older, more productive, and more often exporters, product innovators, process innovators and (partly) foreign owned firms than firms without a website. The estimated website premia are statistically

highly significant *ceteris paribus* after controlling for country and sector of economic activity. Furthermore, the size of these premia can be considered to be large. Good firms tend to have a website.

4. Concluding remarks

This paper demonstrates that having a website is positively related to firm characteristics that make a better firm. Website premia are large for all dimensions of firms looked at here. An open question (that is asked the same way when exporter premia are discussed) is whether these premia are due to self-selection of better firms into web presence, or whether they are the effect of having a website. This cannot be investigated with the data at hand. To answer this important question longitudinal data for firms are needed that cover several years and that include a sufficiently large number of firms that switch the status between having a web site or not over time (in both directions). To the best of my knowledge such data are not available as of today. Let's collect it!

References

- Bernard, Andrew B. and J. Bradford Jensen (1995), Exporters, Jobs, and Wages in U.S. Manufacturing: 1976-1987. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity: Microeconomics* 67-119.
- Bernard, Andrew B. and J. Bradford Jensen (1999), Exceptional exporter performance: cause, effect, or both?" *Journal of International Economics* 47 (1), 1-25.
- Wagner, Joachim (2007), Exports and Productivity: A survey of the evidence from firm level data. *The World Economy* 30 (1), 5-32.

Wagner, Joachim (2021), With a little help from my website. Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 – Evidence from 10 European countries. University of Lueneburg Working Paper Series in Economics 399, April.

Table 1: Share of firms with web presence, 2019

Country / Sector	Number of firms	Share of firms with website (percent)
All	9,855	71.99
Albania	365	59.18
Bulgaria	663	55.96
Croatia	404	84.41
Cyprus	207	75.85
Czech Republic	496	89.92
Estonia	350	76.29
Greece	595	85.89
Hungary	783	74.71
Italy	692	71.97
Latvia	309	69.58
Lithuania	342	30.41
Malta	225	83.11
Poland	692	68.93
Portugal	974	74.64
Romania	794	64.61
Russia	1,157	66.90
Slovak Republic	427	84.78
Slovenia	380	90.53
Manufacturing	5,530	75.86
Retail/Wholesale	2,314	63.87
Construction	678	68.44
Hotel/Restaurant	422	74.64
Services	911	70.58

Source: Own calculation based on World Bank Enterprise Surveys; see text for details.

Table 2: Website premia (percent) for firm characteristics

Variable	Premia	Prob-value
Firm size (Number of employees)	98.66	0.000
Firm age (Years)	25.08	0.000
Productivity (total sales / no. of employees)	46.51	0.000
Product innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	12.55	0.000
Process innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	7.39	0.000
Exporter (Dummy; 1 = yes)	14.92	0.000
Foreign owned firm (Dummy; 1 = yes)	2.53	0.000
Number of observations	9,855	

Source: Own calculations with data from World Bank Enterprise surveys; for details see text.

Appendix : Descriptive statistics for sample used in estimations

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.
Web-presence (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.720	0.449
Firm size (Number of employees)	82.73	297.80
Firm age (Years)	21.81	16.18
Productivity (total sales / no. of employees)	8038385	2.69e+8
Product innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.273	0.445
Process innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.169	0.374
Foreign owned firm (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.098	0.297
Exporter (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.305	0.461
Number of observations	9,855	

Source: Own calculations with data from World Bank Enterprise surveys; for details see text.

Working Paper Series in Economics

(recent issues)

- No. 400 *Luise Görge*: Of housewives and feminists: Gender norms and intra-household division of labour, April 2021
- No. 399 *Joachim Wagner*: With a little help from my website. Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 – Evidence from 10 European countries, April 2021
- No. 398 *Katja Seidel*: The transition from School to Post-Secondary Education – What factors affect educational decisions?, March 2021
- No. 397 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2020, Januar 2021
- No. 396 *Sabien Dobbelaere, Boris Hirsch, Steffen Mueller, and Georg Neuschaeffer*: Organised Labour, Labour Market Imperfections, and Employer Wage Premia, December 2020
- No. 395 *Stjepan Srhoj, Vanja Vitezić and Joachim Wagner*: Export boosting policies and firm behaviour: Review of empirical evidence around the world, November 2020
- No. 394 *Thomas Wein*: Why abandoning the paradise? Stations incentives to reduce gasoline prices at first, August 2020
- No. 393 *Sarah Geschonke and Thomas Wein*: Privacy Paradox –Economic Uncertainty Theory and Legal Consequences, August 2020
- No. 392 *Mats P. Kahl*: Impact of Cross-Border Competition on the German Retail Gasoline Market – German-Polish Border, July 2020
- No. 391 *John P. Weche and Joachim Wagner*: Markups and Concentration in the Context of Digitization: Evidence from German Manufacturing Industries, July 2020
- No. 390 *Thomas Wein*: Cartel behavior and efficient sanctioning by criminal sentences, July 2020
- No. 389 *Christoph Kleineber*:. Market definition of the German retail gasoline industry on highways and those in the immediate vicinity, July 2020
- No. 388 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2019, Januar 2020
- No. 387 *Boris Hirsch, Elke J. Jahn, and Thomas Zwick*: Birds, Birds, Birds: Co-worker Similarity, Workplace Diversity, and Voluntary Turnover, May 2019
- No. 386 *Joachim Wagner*: Transaction data for Germany's exports and imports of goods, May 2019
- No. 385 *Joachim Wagner*: Export Scope and Characteristics of Destination Countries: Evidence from German Transaction Data, May 2019
- No. 384 *Antonia Arsova*: Exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Europe: A panel cointegration approach, February 2019
- No. 383 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2018, Januar 2019
- No. 382 *Jörg Schwiebert*: A Sample Selection Model for Fractional Response Variables, April 2018
- No. 381 *Jörg Schwiebert*: A Bivariate Fractional Probit Model, April 2018

- No. 380 *Boris Hirsch and Steffen Mueller*: Firm wage premia, industrial relations, and rent sharing in Germany, February 2018
- No. 379 *John P. Weche and Achim Wambach*: The fall and rise of market power in Europe, January 2018
- No.378: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2017, Januar 2018
- No.377: *Inna Petrunyk and Christian Pfeifer*: Shortening the potential duration of unemployment benefits and labor market outcomes: Evidence from a natural experiment in Germany, January 2018
- No.376: *Katharina Rogge, Markus Groth und Roland Schuhr*: Offenlegung von CO2-Emissionen und Klimastrategien der CDAX-Unternehmen – eine statistische Analyse erklärender Faktoren am Beispiel der CDP-Klimaberichterstattung, Oktober 2017
- No.375: *Christoph Kleineberg und Thomas Wein*: Verdrängungspreise an Tankstellen?, September 2017
- No.374: *Markus Groth, Laura Schäfer und Pia Scholz*: 200 Jahre „On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation“ – Eine historische Einordnung und Würdigung, März 2017
- No.373: *Joachim Wagner*: It pays to be active on many foreign markets - Profitability in German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, March 2017
- No.372: *Joachim Wagner*: Productivity premia for many modes of internationalization - A replication study of Békes / Muraközy, Economics Letters (2016), March 2017 [published in: International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics - IREE, Vol. 1 (2017-4)]
- No.371: *Marius Stankoweit, Markus Groth and Daniela Jacob*: On the Heterogeneity of the Economic Value of Electricity Distribution Networks: an Application to Germany, March 2017
- No.370: *Joachim Wagner*: Firm size and the use of export intermediaries. A replication study of Abel-Koch, The World Economy (2013), January 2017 [published in: International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics - IREE, Vol. 1 (2017-1)]
- No.369: *Joachim Wagner*: Multiple import sourcing First evidence for German enterprises from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in : Open Economies Review 29 (2018), 1, 165-175]
- No.368: *Joachim Wagner*: Active on many foreign markets A portrait of German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in: Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik 238 (2018), 2, 157-182]
- No.367: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2016, Januar 2017
- No.366: *Tim W. Dornis and Thomas Wein*: Trademarks, Comparative Advertising, and Product Imitations: An Untold Story of Law and Economics, September 2016
- No.365: *Joachim Wagner*: Intra-good trade in Germany: A first look at the evidence, August 2016 [published in: Applied Economics 49 (2017), 57, 5753-5761]
- No.364: *Markus Groth and Annette Brunsmeier*: A cross-sectoral analysis of climate change risk drivers based on companies' responses to the CDP's climate change information request, June 2016
- No.363: *Arne Neukirch and Thomas Wein*: Collusive Upward Gasoline Price Movements in Medium-Sized German Cities, June 2016

- No.362: *Katja Seidel*: Job Characteristics and their Effect on the Intention to Quit Apprenticeship., May 2016
- No.361: *Katja Seidel*: Apprenticeship: The Intention to Quit and the Role of Secondary Jobs in It., May 2016
- No.360: *Joachim Wagner*: Trade costs shocks and lumpiness of imports: Evidence from the Fukushima disaster, May 2016 [published in: Economics Bulletin 37 (2017), 1, 149-155]
- No.359: *Joachim Wagner*: The Lumpiness of German Exports and Imports of Goods, April 2016 [published in: Economics - The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal 10, 2016-21]
- No.358: *Ahmed Fayez Abdelgouad*: Exporting and Workforce Skills-Intensity in the Egyptian Manufacturing Firms: Empirical Evidence Using World Bank Firm-Level Data for Egypt, April 2016
- No.357: *Antonia Arsova and Deniz Dilan Karaman Örsal*: An intersection test for the cointegrating rank in dependent panel data, March 2016
- No.356: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2015, Januar 2016
- No.355: *Christoph Kleineberg and Thomas Wein*: Relevance and Detection Problems of Margin Squeeze – The Case of German Gasoline Prices, December 2015
- No.354: *Karsten Mau*: US Policy Spillover(?) - China's Accession to the WTO and Rising Exports to the EU, December 2015
- No.353: *Andree Ehlert, Thomas Wein and Peter Zweifel*: Overcoming Resistance Against Managed Care – Insights from a Bargaining Model, December 2015
- No.352: *Arne Neukirch und Thomas Wein*: Marktbeherrschung im Tankstellenmarkt - Fehlender Binnen- und Außenwettbewerb an der Tankstelle? Deskriptive Evidenz für Marktbeherrschung, Dezember 2015
- No.351: *Jana Stoever and John P. Weche*: Environmental regulation and sustainable competitiveness: Evaluating the role of firm-level green investments in the context of the Porter hypothesis, November 2015
- No.350: *John P. Weche*: Does green corporate investment really crowd out other business investment?, November 2015
- No.349: *Deniz Dilan Karaman Örsal and Antonia Arsova*: Meta-analytic cointegrating rank tests for dependent panels, November 2015
- No.348: *Joachim Wagner*: Trade Dynamics and Trade Costs: First Evidence from the Exporter and Importer Dynamics Database for Germany, October 2015 [published in: Applied Economics Quarterly 63 (2017), 2, 137-159]
- No.347: *Markus Groth, Maria Brück and Teresa Oberascher*: Climate change related risks, opportunities and adaptation actions in European cities – Insights from responses to the CDP cities program, October 2015
- No.346: *Joachim Wagner*: 25 Jahre Nutzung vertraulicher Firmenpaneldaten der amtlichen Statistik für wirtschaftswissenschaftliche Forschung: Produkte, Projekte, Probleme, Perspektiven, September 2015 [publiziert in: AStA Wirtschafts- und Sozialstatistisches Archiv 9 (2015), 2, 83-106]

(see www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html for a complete list)

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre

Postfach 2440

D-21314 Lüneburg

Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321

email: korf@leuphana.de

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html