

Wagner, Joachim

Working Paper

With a little help from my website: Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 - Evidence from 10 European countries

Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 399

Provided in Cooperation with:

Institute of Economics, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

Suggested Citation: Wagner, Joachim (2021) : With a little help from my website: Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 - Evidence from 10 European countries, Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 399, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre, Lüneburg

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/234588>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

With a little help from my website
Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 –
Evidence from 10 European countries

by
Joachim Wagner

University of Lüneburg
Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 399

April 2021

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html

ISSN 1860 - 5508

With a little help from my website
Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 –
Evidence from 10 European countries*

Joachim Wagner

Leuphana University, Lueneburg, Institute for the World Economy, Kiel,
and IZA, Bonn

[This version: April 13, 2021]

Abstract:

This paper uses firm level data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys conducted in 2019 and from the COVID-19 follow-up surveys conducted in 2020 in ten European countries to investigate the link between having a website before the pandemic and firm survival until 2020. The estimated effect of web presence is statistically highly significant ceteris paribus after controlling for various firm characteristics that are known to be related to survival. Furthermore, the size of this estimated effect can be considered to be large on average. A web site helped firms to survive.

JEL classification: D22, L20, L25, L29

Keywords: Web presence, firm survival, COVID-19, World Bank Enterprise Surveys

*This paper is part of the project “URS&Web” that is jointly performed with the German Federal Statistical Office (Destatis). The data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys are available after registration from the website <https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/portal/login.aspx>. Stata code used to produce the empirical results reported in this note are available from the author.

Professor Dr. Joachim Wagner
Leuphana University Lueneburg
D-21314 Lüneburg
Germany
e-mail: wagner@leuphana.de

1. Motivation

When the coronavirus and COVID-19 reached Europe in the first quarter of 2020 firms were hit by negative demand shocks due to quarantine and lockdown measures. Furthermore, supply chains were damaged and this led to negative supply shocks. These shocks had a negative impact on many dimensions of firm performance. Waldkirch (2021) reports evidence on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on firms around the world based on the so-called COVID-19 follow-up surveys to the World Bank's Enterprise Surveys conducted in 2020.

Some firms were hit so hard by these negative exogenous shocks that they decided to close down permanently. An important question is which characteristics of firms help many of them to survive the pandemic. Besides the usual suspects discussed at length in the literature on firm demographics over the past decades that include firm age, firm size, exports, productivity, and innovation (and that will be looked at in more detail in section 2 of this paper) one firm characteristic that is often considered to be important here is online presence, i.e. having a website where potential customers can learn about, and order, goods or services when personal contacts are not possible due to quarantine and lockdown.

While this is often mentioned in the business press, and business schools advertise their programs in digital marketing as a key to survival¹, to the best of my knowledge there is no micro-econometric study that looks at the role of web presence in firm survival during the COVID-19 crisis. This paper contributes to the literature by using firm level data from ten European countries collected in the World Bank's Enterprise Surveys in 2019 and from the COVID-19 follow-up surveys

¹ See ESEI International Business School Barcelona - <https://www.eseibusinessschool.com/online-is-the-key-to-survival-the-future-of-business-and-marketing/>

conducted in 2020 to investigate the link between web presence and firm survival, controlling for other determinants of firm exit.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the data used and discuss the variables that are included in the empirical model to test for the role of web presence in firm survival. Section 3 reports descriptive evidence and results from the econometric investigation. Section 4 concludes.

2. Data and discussion of variables

The firm level data used in this study are taken from the World Bank's Enterprise Surveys in 2019 and from the COVID-19 follow-up surveys conducted in 2020.² These surveys were conducted in a large number of countries all over the world. In this study we focus on countries from Europe. All countries with complete data for at least five firms that took part in the 2019 survey and that reported in the 2020 follow-up survey that they had permanently closed down are included in the study. This leaves us with data for ten countries: Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, and the Slovak Republic.³

The classification of firms as survivors or exits is based on question B.0⁴ in the follow-up survey from 2020. Firms that participated both in the regular 2019 survey and in the follow-up survey were asked "Currently is this establishment open, temporarily closed (suspended services or production), or permanently closed?"

² The data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys are available free of charge after registration from the website <https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/portal/login.aspx>.

³ Not included are Albania, Cyprus, Estonia, Greece, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta and Slovenia.

⁴ The questionnaires of the regular 2019 survey and the follow-up survey conducted in 2020 are available from the World Bank's Enterprise Survey web site referred to above.

Firms that answered “permanently closed” are classified as exits, the other firms are considered to be survivors.

In the regular 2019 survey firms were asked in question C22b “At present time, does this establishment have its own website or social media page?” Firms that answered “yes” are classified as firm with web presence.

Descriptive evidence on the share of firm exits and on firms with a web presence in the total sample and by country is reported in in table 1. While the overall share of firms with a website is 72 percent and the share of exits is 4.5 percent figures differ widely between the ten countries. Web presence is only around 50 percent in Bulgaria while more than 90 percent of all firms in the sample have a website in the Czech Republic. The share of exits is below 2 percent in the Czech Republic and in Hungary, compared to nearly 10 percent in Portugal.

[Table 1 near here]

In the empirical investigation of the link between web presence and firm survival a number of firm characteristics that are known to be correlated with firm exit (and that might be related to web presence of firms as well) are controlled for. Their link to firm survival, and the way they are measured here, is discussed below.

Firm size: Audretsch (1995, p. 149) mentions as a stylized fact from many empirical studies on exits that the likelihood of firm exit apparently declines with firm size (usually measured by the number of employees in a firm). This is theoretically linked to the hypothesis of “liability of smallness” from organizational ecology. A small size can be interpreted as a proxy variable for a number of unobserved firm characteristics, including disadvantages of scale, higher restrictions on the capital

market leading to a higher risk of insolvency and illiquidity, disadvantages of small firms in the competition for highly qualified employees, and lower talent of management (Strotmann 2007). For Germany, Fackler, Schnabel and Wagner (2013) show that the mortality risk falls with establishment size, which confirms the liability of smallness.

Firm size is measured as the number of permanent, full-time individuals that worked in the establishment at the end of the last complete fiscal year at the time of the regular 2019 enterprise survey (see question I.1).

Firm age: Audretsch (1995, p. 149) mentions as another stylized fact from many empirical studies on exits that the likelihood of firm exit apparently declines with firm age, too. This positive link between firm age and probability of survival is labelled “liability of newness” and it is related to the fact that older firms are “better” because they spent a longer time in the market during which they learned how to solve the range of problems facing them in day-to-day business. For Germany, Fackler, Schnabel and Wagner (2013) find that the probability of exit is substantially higher for young establishments which are not more than five years old, thus confirming the liability of newness.

Firm age is measured as follows. In question B.5 of the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked “In what year did this establishment begin operation?”. Firm age is the difference between 2019 and the founding year.

Exports: Exporting can be considered as a form of risk diversification through spread of sales over different markets with different business cycle conditions or in a different phase of the product cycle. Therefore, exports might provide a chance to substitute sales at home by sales abroad when a negative demand shock hits the home market and would force a firm to close down otherwise (see Wagner 2013).

Furthermore, Baldwin and Yan (2011, p. 135) argue that non-exporters are in general less efficient than exporters (younger, smaller and less productive) and that, as a result, one expects that non-exporters are more likely to fail than exporters.

A number of recent empirical studies look at the role of international trade activities in shaping the chances for survival of firms; Wagner (2012, p. 256ff.) summarizes this literature. As a rule the estimated chance of survival is higher for exporters, and this holds after controlling for firm characteristics that are positively associated with both exports and survival (like firm size and firm age). This might point to a direct positive effect of exporting on survival.

The firm is considered as an exporter if it reports any direct exports in question D.3 of the regular enterprise survey in 2019.

Productivity: In theoretical models for the dynamics of industries with heterogeneous firms productivity differentials play a central role for entry, growth, and exit of firms. In equilibrium growing and shrinking, exiting and entering firms that have different productivities are found in an industry. These models lead to hypotheses that can be tested empirically. Hopenhayn (1992) considers a long-run equilibrium in an industry with many price-taking firms producing a homogeneous good. Output is a function of inputs and a random variable that models a firm specific productivity shock. These shocks are independent between firms, and are the reason for the heterogeneity of firms. There are sunk costs to be paid at entry, and entrants do not know their specific shock in advance. Incumbents can choose between exiting or staying in the market. When firms realize their productivity shock they decide about the profit maximizing volume of production. The model assumes that a higher shock in $t+1$ has a higher probability the higher the shock is in t . In equilibrium firms will exit

if for given prices of output and inputs the productivity shock is smaller than a critical value, and production is no longer profitable.

Farinas und Ruano (2005, p. 507f.) argue that this model leads to the following testable hypothesis: Firms that exit in year t were in $t-1$ less productive than firms that continue to produce in t . They test this hypothesis using panel data for Spanish firms. The hypothesis is supported by the data. Wagner (2009) replicates the study by Farinas and Ruano with panel data for West and East German firms from manufacturing industries. For the cohorts of exit from 1997 to 2002 the results are in line with the results for Spain.

Unfortunately, however, there is no suitable measure of productivity in the World Bank Enterprise survey, so productivity cannot be controlled for in the empirical models that test for a link between web presence and firm survival. However, productivity is controlled for indirectly by the inclusion of the information on the exporter status of the firm, because it is a stylized fact that has been found in hundreds of empirical studies from countries all over the world that exporters tend to be much more productive than non-exporters from the same narrowly defined industry (see Wagner 2007 for a survey).

Foreign ownership: Baldwin and Yan (2011) argue that from a theoretical point of view the relationship that should be expected between foreign ownership and firm exit is not clear. On the one hand, foreign owned firms may have access to superior technologies belonging to their foreign owners that might increase their efficiency and lower the risk of exit. Their greater propensity to invest in R&D might lead to more innovations, improve the competitiveness in home and on foreign markets and might therefore increase the chance to survive. On the other hand, Baldwin and Yan (2011) point out that foreign owned firms are less rooted in the host country economy and

that they can shift their activities to another country when the local economy deteriorates. This should increase the probability of shutdown compared to nationally owned firms.

With a view on the COVID-19 pandemic Waldkirch (2021, p. 4) argues that “on the one hand, multinational companies may be better able to weather the storm, as they are more financially stable or have access to multiple sources of inputs, thereby minimizing disruptions to the supply chain. On the other hand, these firms may also be exposed to the pandemic’s impacts on a larger scale, in multiple countries, and at different times given the differential timing of the virus’s spread and mandated quarantines and shutdowns in different countries.”

A number of recent micro-econometric studies use firm level data for foreign owned firms and domestically controlled firms to investigate the (*ceteris paribus*) relationship between foreign ownership and firm survival. Wagner and Weche Gelübcke (2012) survey 26 mainly country specific studies that use data from 17 developed and developing countries, two of which use data on affiliates worldwide. The big picture emerging from the findings from these studies can be summarized as follows. Results are highly country-dependent. Foreign affiliates were found to be more likely to exit as compared to their domestic counterparts in Ireland, Belgium, Spain, and Indonesia, but less likely to exit in Canada, Italy, Taiwan, and the US. No significant differences in closure rates due to foreign ownership could be revealed for Japan, Turkey and the UK.

In the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked what percentage of this firm is owned by private foreign individuals, companies or organizations (see question B2). Firms that reported a positive amount here are considered as (partly) foreign owned firms.

Innovation: Josef Schumpeter (1942, p. 84) argued some 80 years ago that innovation plays a key role for the survival of firms, because it “strikes not at the margins of the profits and the outputs of the existing firms but at their foundations and their very lives”. Baumol (2002, p. 1) called innovative activity “a life-and-death matter for the firm.” This positive link between innovation and firm survival is found in a number of empirical studies. For example, Cefis and Marsili (2005) show that firms benefit from an innovation premium that *ceteris paribus* extends their life expectancy; process innovation in particular seems to have a positive effect on firm survival.

In the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked whether during the last three years this establishment has introduced new or improved products and services (see question H1). Firms that answered in the affirmative are considered as product innovators. Similarly, firms were asked whether during the last three years this establishment introduced any new or improved process, including methods of manufacturing products or offering services; logistics, delivery, or distribution methods for inputs, products or services; or supporting activities for processes (see question H5). Firms that answered in the affirmative are considered as process innovators.

Furthermore, firms are divided by broad sectors of activity (manufacturing, retail/wholesale, construction, hotel/restaurant, and services) based on their answer to the question for the establishment’s main activity and product, measured by the largest proportion of annual sales (see question D1a1).

Descriptive statistics for all variables are reported for the whole sample used in the empirical investigation in the appendix table.

3. Testing for the role of web presence in firm survival

To test for the role of web presence in firm survival empirical models are estimated with an indicator variable for firm survival or not until 2000 as the endogenous variable, an indicator variable for the presence of a web site or not in the firm in 2019 as the exogenous variable and various sets of control variables. All models are estimated by Probit, and average marginal effects with prob-values to indicate their statistical significance are reported.

Four different variants of empirical models are estimated. Model 1 has only the indicator variable for web presence as exogenous variable; Model 2 adds a set of country dummy variables, Model 3 furthermore adds a set of sector dummy variables, and Model 4 includes all control variables detailed in section 2, too. Results are reported in table 2.

[Table 2 near here]

The most important result is that the estimated average marginal effect of the presence of a website on firm survival is positive and statistically significant in all four empirical models. Irrespective of the control variables included in the model the presence of a web site in 2019 reduces the probability of firm exit until 2020.

As regards the control variables included in Model 4, all of the estimated average marginal effects have the theoretically expected sign (as discussed in section 2 above) and are statistically different from zero at an error level of 7 percent or much better, the only exception being the indicator for a foreign owned firm (where no clear theoretical hypothesis is found in the literature according to the discussion in section 2 above).

Note that the estimated average marginal effect of a web presence on the chance to survive is about constant over the first three models, so adding control variables for country and sector of economic activity does not change the results, but that this effect is cut by about half when the control variables that are expected to be positively related with firm survival *ceteris paribus* are added. The effect of having a website, however, is still positive for firm survival and statistically highly significant *ceteris paribus*. Furthermore, the size of this estimated effect can be considered to be large on average – the estimated average reduction in the probability of exit is 2.8 percentage points, and this is really large compared to the overall exit probability of 4.6 percent in the sample reported in table 1. A web site helped firms to survive the negative shocks during the pandemic.

4. Concluding remarks

This paper demonstrates that having a website is positively related to the probability of survival for firms facing negative demand and supply shocks during the COVID-19 pandemic. The estimated effect is statistically highly significant *ceteris paribus* after controlling for various firm characteristics that are known to be positively related to survival. Furthermore, the size of this estimated effect can be considered to be large on average. A web site helped firms to survive.

References

Audretsch, David B. (1995), *Innovation and Industry Evolution*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

- Baldwin, John and Beiling Yan (2011), The death of Canadian manufacturing plants: heterogeneous responses to changes in tariffs and real exchange rates. *Review of World Economics* 147 (1), 131-167.
- Baumol, William J. (2002), *The Free-market innovation machine: Analyzing the growth miracle of capitalism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Cefis, Elena and Orietta Marsili (2005), A matter of life and death: innovation and firm survival. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 14 (6), 1167-1192.
- Fackler, Daniel, Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner (2013), Establishment exits in Germany: the role of size and age. *Small Business Economics* 41 (3), 683-700.
- Farinas, Jose C. and Sonia Ruano (2005), Firm productivity, heterogeneity, sunk costs and market selection. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 23, 505-534.
- Hopenhayn, Hugo (1992), Entry, exit, and firm dynamics in long run equilibrium. *Econometrica* 60(5), 1127-1150.
- Schumpeter, Joseph A. (1942), *Capitalism, socialism and democracy*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Strotmann, Harald (2007), Entrepreneurial Survival. *Small Business Economics* 28 (1), 87-104.
- Wagner, Joachim (2007), Exports and Productivity: A survey of the evidence from firm level data. *The World Economy* 30 (1), 5-32.
- Wagner, Joachim (2009), Entry, Exit and Productivity: Empirical Results for German Manufacturing Industries. *German Economic Review* 11 (1), 78-85.
- Wagner, Joachim (2012), International trade and firm performance: a survey of empirical studies since 2006. *Review of World Economics* 148(2), 235-267.

Wagner, Joachim (2013), Exports, Imports and Firm Survival: First evidence for manufacturing enterprises in Germany. *Review of World Economics* 149 (1), 113-130.

Wagner, Joachim and John Phillip Weche Gelübcke (2012), Foreign Ownership and Firm Survival: First Evidence for Enterprises in Germany. *International Economics* 132, 117-139.

Waldkirch, Andreas (2021), Firms around the World during the COVID-19 Pandemic, *Journal of Economic Integration* 16 (1), 1-19.

Table 1: Descriptive evidence on share of firms with web presence and firm exit in 10 European countries, 2019/20

Country	Number of firms	Share of firms with website (percent)	Share of exits in firms (percent)
All countries	6,046	72.10	4.57
Bulgaria	553	56.06	6.69
Croatia	351	83.76	2.56
Czech Republic	403	91.81	1.74
Hungary	625	74.88	1.76
Italy	439	71.75	7.74
Poland	889	69.85	2.92
Portugal	808	73.51	9.53
Romania	522	64.37	3.45
Russia	1,120	67.95	3.93
Slovak Republic	336	86.31	3.87

Source: Own calculations based on the World Bank Enterprise surveys; for details, see text.

Table 2: Web presence and firm exit in 10 European countries, 2019/20: Results from econometric models

Method: Probit (Average Marginal Effects); Dependent variable: Firm exit (1 = yes)

Model		1	2	3	4
Variable					
Web-presence (Dummy; 1 = yes)	Average marginal effect	-0.048	-0.044	-0.044	-0.028
	p-value	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Firm age (Years)	Average marginal effect				-0.00076
	p-value				0.001
Firm size (Number of employees)	Average marginal effect				-0.00010
	p-value				0.010
Exporter (Dummy; 1 = yes)	Average marginal effect				-0.017
	p-value				0.008
Foreign owned firm (Dummy; 1 = yes)	Average marginal effect				0.011
	p-value				0.432
Product innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	Average marginal effect				-0.012
	p-value				0.072
Process innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	Average marginal effect				-0.022
	p-value				0.004
Country dummy variables		no	yes	yes	yes
Sector dummy variables		no	no	yes	yes
Number of observations		6,046	6,046	6,046	6,046

Source: Own calculations with data from World Bank Enterprise surveys; for details see text.

Appendix : Descriptive statistics for sample used in estimations

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.
Firm exit (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.046	0.209
Web-presence (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.721	0.449
Firm age (Years)	21.07	15.29
Firm size (Number of employees)	82.11	354.07
Product innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.221	0.415
Process innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.128	0.334
Foreign owned firm (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.073	0.260
Exporter (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.256	0.437
Manufacturing (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.632	0.482
Retail / Wholesale (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.200	0.400
Construction (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.055	0.228
Hotel / Restaurant (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.035	0.184
Services (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.077	0.267
Number of observations	6,046	

Source: Own calculations with data from World Bank Enterprise surveys; for details see text.

Working Paper Series in Economics

(recent issues)

- No. 398 *Katja Seidel*: The transition from School to Post-Secondary Education – What factors affect educational decisions?, March 2021
- No. 397 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2020, Januar 2021
- No. 396 *Sabien Dobbelaere, Boris Hirsch, Steffen Mueller, and Georg Neuschaeffer*: Organised Labour, Labour Market Imperfections, and Employer Wage Premia, December 2020
- No. 395 *Stjepan Srhoj, Vanja Vitezić and Joachim Wagner*: Export boosting policies and firm behaviour: Review of empirical evidence around the world, November 2020
- No. 394 *Thomas Wein*: Why abandoning the paradise? Stations incentives to reduce gasoline prices at first, August 2020
- No. 393 *Sarah Geschonke and Thomas Wein*: Privacy Paradox –Economic Uncertainty Theory and Legal Consequences, August 2020
- No. 392 *Mats P. Kahl*: Impact of Cross-Border Competition on the German Retail Gasoline Market – German-Polish Border, July 2020
- No. 391 *John P. Weche and Joachim Wagner*: Markups and Concentration in the Context of Digitization: Evidence from German Manufacturing Industries, July 2020
- No. 390 *Thomas Wein*: Cartel behavior and efficient sanctioning by criminal sentences, July 2020
- No. 389 *Christoph Kleineber*:. Market definition of the German retail gasoline industry on highways and those in the immediate vicinity, July 2020
- No. 388 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2019, Januar 2020
- No. 387 *Boris Hirsch, Elke J. Jahn, and Thomas Zwick*: Birds, Birds, Birds: Co-worker Similarity, Workplace Diversity, and Voluntary Turnover, May 2019
- No. 386 *Joachim Wagner*: Transaction data for Germany's exports and imports of goods, May 2019
- No. 385 *Joachim Wagner*: Export Scope and Characteristics of Destination Countries: Evidence from German Transaction Data, May 2019
- No. 384 *Antonia Arsova*: Exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Europe: A panel cointegration approach, February 2019
- No. 383 *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2018, Januar 2019
- No. 382 *Jörg Schwiebert*: A Sample Selection Model for Fractional Response Variables, April 2018
- No. 381 *Jörg Schwiebert*: A Bivariate Fractional Probit Model, April 2018
- No. 380 *Boris Hirsch and Steffen Mueller*: Firm wage premia, industrial relations, and rent sharing in Germany, February 2018
- No. 379 *John P. Weche and Achim Wambach*: The fall and rise of market power in Europe, January 2018

- No.378: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2017, Januar 2018
- No.377: *Inna Petrunyk and Christian Pfeifer*: Shortening the potential duration of unemployment benefits and labor market outcomes: Evidence from a natural experiment in Germany, January 2018
- No.376: *Katharina Rogge, Markus Groth und Roland Schuhr*: Offenlegung von CO2-Emissionen und Klimastrategien der CDAX-Unternehmen – eine statistische Analyse erklärender Faktoren am Beispiel der CDP-Klimaberichterstattung, Oktober 2017
- No.375: *Christoph Kleineberg und Thomas Wein*: Verdrängungspreise an Tankstellen?, September 2017
- No.374: *Markus Groth, Laura Schäfer und Pia Scholz*: 200 Jahre „On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation“ – Eine historische Einordnung und Würdigung, März 2017
- No.373: *Joachim Wagner*: It pays to be active on many foreign markets - Profitability in German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, March 2017
- No.372: *Joachim Wagner*: Productivity premia for many modes of internationalization - A replication study of Békes / Muraközy, *Economics Letters* (2016), March 2017 [published in: *International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics - IREE*, Vol. 1 (2017-4)]
- No.371: *Marius Stankoweit, Markus Groth and Daniela Jacob*: On the Heterogeneity of the Economic Value of Electricity Distribution Networks: an Application to Germany, March 2017
- No.370: *Joachim Wagner*: Firm size and the use of export intermediaries. A replication study of Abel-Koch, *The World Economy* (2013), January 2017 [published in: *International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics - IREE*, Vol. 1 (2017-1)]
- No.369: *Joachim Wagner*: Multiple import sourcing First evidence for German enterprises from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in : *Open Economies Review* 29 (2018), 1, 165-175]
- No.368: *Joachim Wagner*: Active on many foreign markets A portrait of German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in: *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik* 238 (2018), 2, 157-182]
- No.367: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2016, Januar 2017
- No.366: *Tim W. Dornis and Thomas Wein*: Trademarks, Comparative Advertising, and Product Imitations: An Untold Story of Law and Economics, September 2016
- No.365: *Joachim Wagner*: Intra-good trade in Germany: A first look at the evidence, August 2016 [published in: *Applied Economics* 49 (2017), 57, 5753-5761]
- No.364: *Markus Groth and Annette Brunsmeier*: A cross-sectoral analysis of climate change risk drivers based on companies' responses to the CDP's climate change information request, June 2016
- No.363: *Arne Neukirch and Thomas Wein*: Collusive Upward Gasoline Price Movements in Medium-Sized German Cities, June 2016
- No.362: *Katja Seidel*: Job Characteristics and their Effect on the Intention to Quit Apprenticeship., May 2016
- No.361: *Katja Seidel*: Apprenticeship: The Intention to Quit and the Role of Secondary Jobs in It., May 2016

- No.360: *Joachim Wagner*: Trade costs shocks and lumpiness of imports: Evidence from the Fukushima disaster, May 2016 [published in: *Economics Bulletin* 37 (2017), 1, 149-155]
- No.359: *Joachim Wagner*: The Lumpiness of German Exports and Imports of Goods, April 2016 [published in: *Economics - The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal* 10, 2016-21]
- No.358: *Ahmed Fayez Abdelgouad*: Exporting and Workforce Skills-Intensity in the Egyptian Manufacturing Firms: Empirical Evidence Using World Bank Firm-Level Data for Egypt, April 2016
- No.357: *Antonia Arsova and Deniz Dilan Karaman Örsal*: An intersection test for the cointegrating rank in dependent panel data, March 2016
- No.356: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2015, Januar 2016
- No.355: *Christoph Kleineberg and Thomas Wein*: Relevance and Detection Problems of Margin Squeeze – The Case of German Gasoline Prices, December 2015
- No.354: *Karsten Mau*: US Policy Spillover(?) - China's Accession to the WTO and Rising Exports to the EU, December 2015
- No.353: *Andree Ehlert, Thomas Wein and Peter Zweifel*: Overcoming Resistance Against Managed Care – Insights from a Bargaining Model, December 2015
- No.352: *Arne Neukirch und Thomas Wein*: Marktbeherrschung im Tankstellenmarkt - Fehlender Binnen- und Außenwettbewerb an der Tankstelle? Deskriptive Evidenz für Marktbeherrschung, Dezember 2015
- No.351: *Jana Stoever and John P. Weche*: Environmental regulation and sustainable competitiveness: Evaluating the role of firm-level green investments in the context of the Porter hypothesis, November 2015
- No.350: *John P. Weche*: Does green corporate investment really crowd out other business investment?, November 2015
- No.349: *Deniz Dilan Karaman Örsal and Antonia Arsova*: Meta-analytic cointegrating rank tests for dependent panels, November 2015
- No.348: *Joachim Wagner*: Trade Dynamics and Trade Costs: First Evidence from the Exporter and Importer Dynamics Database for Germany, October 2015 [published in: *Applied Economics Quarterly* 63 (2017), 2, 137-159]
- No.347: *Markus Groth, Maria Brück and Teresa Oberascher*: Climate change related risks, opportunities and adaptation actions in European cities – Insights from responses to the CDP cities program, October 2015
- No.346: *Joachim Wagner*: 25 Jahre Nutzung vertraulicher Firmenpaneldaten der amtlichen Statistik für wirtschaftswissenschaftliche Forschung: Produkte, Projekte, Probleme, Perspektiven, September 2015 [publiziert in: *AStA Wirtschafts- und Sozialstatistisches Archiv* 9 (2015), 2, 83-106]
- No.345: *Christian Pfeifer*: Unfair Wage Perceptions and Sleep: Evidence from German Survey Data, August 2015
- No.344: *Joachim Wagner*: Share of exports to low-income countries, productivity, and innovation: A replication study with firm-level data from six European countries, July 2015 [published in: *Economics Bulletin* 35 (2015), 4, 2409-2417]

(see www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html for a complete list)

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre

Postfach 2440

D-21314 Lüneburg

Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321

email: korf@leuphana.de

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html