

A Service of

ZBU

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Schwaab, Bernd; Zhang, Xin; Lucas, André

Working Paper Modeling extreme events: Time-varying extreme tail shape

Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper Series, No. 399

Provided in Cooperation with: Central Bank of Sweden, Stockholm

Suggested Citation: Schwaab, Bernd; Zhang, Xin; Lucas, André (2020) : Modeling extreme events: Time-varying extreme tail shape, Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper Series, No. 399, Sveriges Riksbank, Stockholm

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/232602

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Modeling extreme events: time-varying extreme tail shape

Bernd Schwaab, Xin Zhang and André Lucas

December 2020

WORKING PAPERS ARE OBTAINABLE FROM

www.riksbank.se/en/research

Sveriges Riksbank • SE-103 37 Stockholm Fax international: +46 8 21 05 31 Telephone international: +46 8 787 00 00

The Working Paper series presents reports on matters in the sphere of activities of the Riksbank that are considered to be of interest to a wider public. The papers are to be regarded as reports on ongoing studies and the authors will be pleased to receive comments.

The opinions expressed in this article are the sole responsibility of the author(s) and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of Sveriges Riksbank.

Modeling extreme events: time-varying extreme tail shape*

Bernd Schwaab,^(a) Xin Zhang,^(b) André Lucas^(c)

(a) European Central Bank, Financial Research

 $^{(b)}$ Sveriges Riksbank, Research Division

(c) Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and Tinbergen Institute

Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper Series No. 399

Abstract

We propose a dynamic semi-parametric framework to study time variation in tail parameters. The framework builds on the Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD) for modeling peaks over thresholds as in Extreme Value Theory, but casts the model in a conditional framework to allow for time-variation in the tail shape parameters. The score-driven updates used improve the expected Kullback-Leibler divergence between the model and the true data generating process on every step even if the GPD only fits approximately and the model is mis-specified, as will be the case in any finite sample. This is confirmed in simulations. Using the model, we find that Eurosystem sovereign bond purchases during the euro area sovereign debt crisis had a beneficial impact on extreme upper tail quantiles, leaning against the risk of extremely adverse market outcomes while active.

Keywords: dynamic tail risk, observation-driven models, extreme value theory, European Central Bank (ECB), Securities Markets Programme (SMP).

JEL classification: C22, G11.

^{*}Author information: André Lucas, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1105, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Email: a.lucas@vu.nl. Bernd Schwaab, Financial Research, European Central Bank, Sonnemannstrasse 22, 60314 Frankfurt, Germany, email: bernd.schwaab@ecb.int. Xin Zhang, Research Division, Sveriges Riksbank, SE 103 37 Stockholm, Sweden, email: xin.zhang@riksbank.se. An earlier version of this paper was circulated as "Tail risk in government bond markets and ECB unconventional policies." Schwaab thanks ECB DG-M for comments and access to high-frequency data on SMP bond purchases. The views expressed in this paper are those of the author and they do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the European Central Bank or Sveriges Riksbank.

1 Introduction

This paper proposes a novel semi-parametric framework to study time variation in tail fatness for long univariate time series, applied to high-frequency government bond returns during times of unconventional central bank policies. The new method builds on ideas from Extreme Value Theory (EVT) by using a conditional Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD) to approximate the tail beyond a given threshold, and endowing this conditional GPD distribution with time-varying parameters. The GPD is an appropriate tail approximation for most heavy-tailed densities used in econometrics and actuarial sciences; see, for example, Embrechts et al. (1997), Coles (2001), and McNeil et al. (2010, Chapter 7). As a result, the GPD plays a central role in the study of extremes, comparable to the role the normal distribution plays when studying observations in the center of the distribution. Our framework allows us to study the time-variation in tail parameters associated with time series observations from a wide class of heavy-tailed distributions; see Rocco (2014) for a recent survey of EVT methods in finance. We discuss the handling of non-tail time series observations, inference on deterministic and time-varying parameters, and ways to relate time-varying parameters to observed covariates. In this context we also study the effect of time-varying pre-filtering methods possibly applied to the data before the dynamic GPD model is fitted.

In our model, the time-varying tail shape and tail scale parameters of the GPD are driven by the score of the local (time t) objective function; see e.g. Creal et al. (2013) and Harvey (2013). In this approach, the time-varying parameters are perfectly predictable one step ahead. This makes the model observation-driven in the terminology of Cox (1981). The log-likelihood is known in closed form, facilitating parameter estimation and inference via standard maximum likelihood methods. Simulation evidence reveals that our model and estimation approach is able to recover the time-varying tail shape and tail scale parameters sufficiently accurately, as well as EVT-based market risk measures such as Value-at-Risk (VaR) and Expected Shortfall (ES) at high confidence levels (say, 99%). This is the case even if the model is misspecified or the GPD approximation is not exact. The latter is particularly important in our finite sample setting, where the limiting result of the GPD can only hold approximately given the choice of a finite exceedance threshold in any particular sample.

We apply our modeling framework to study the location, scale, and upper tail impact of bond purchases undertaken by the Eurosystem – the European Central Bank (ECB) and its 17 national central banks at the time – during the euro area sovereign debt crisis between 2010 and 2012. We focus on bond purchases within the Eurosystem's Securities Markets Programme (SMP), which targeted sovereign bonds of five euro area countries: Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, and Spain. Based on high-frequency data for five-year benchmark bonds, and explicitly accounting for time-variation in fat tails, we find that purchases lowered the conditional location (mean) of future bond yields by up to -2.9 basis points (bps) per ≤ 1 bn of purchases. The impact estimates for the two largest SMP countries, Italy and Spain, are -1.5 bps and -2.6 bps per ≤ 1 bn of purchases, respectively. These impact estimates are marginally smaller in absolute value than earlier estimates based on different methodologies; see Eser and Schwaab (2016), Ghysels et al. (2017), and Pooter et al. (2018).

In addition, we find that SMP purchases had a beneficial impact on the extreme upper tail quantiles of yield changes. This suggests that central bank bond purchases lean against the risk of extremely adverse market outcomes while they are active. The beneficial impact is mostly explained by moving the center of the predictive distribution to the left and narrowing it. Beneficial secondary effects come about via the SMP's effect on tail shape and tail scale for large economies such as Spain and Italy. The impact of purchases on tail quantiles is larger than their impact on the conditional location (mean). We estimate that the 97.5% VaR was reduced by 3.8, 6.0, 5.9, 2.1, and 6.9 bps per \leq 1 bn Eurosystem intervention in Spanish, Greek, Irish, Italian, and Portuguese five-year benchmark bonds, respectively. The impact grows with the extremeness of the VaR. We estimate that the 99.5% VaR was reduced, respectively, by 5.1, 10.1, 12.5, 2.9, and 15.4 bps per \leq 1 bn of Eurosystem purchases in the above bonds. The tail impact of the SMP purchases is economically relevant because extreme tail risks alone can force dealer banks and market makers to retreat from supplying liquidity to important segments of the sovereign bond market, particularly when their own VaR constraints are binding; see Vayanos and Vila (2009) and Adrian and Shin (2014). In turn, malfunctioning sovereign bond markets can impair the transmission of the common monetary policy to all parts of the euro area. Pelizzon et al. (2013, 2016) provide evidence that market makers withdrew from trading Italian debt securities in 2011.

Our paper is related to at least two strands of literature: one on modeling time-variation in tail parameters and one on assessing the effectiveness of central bank unconventional monetary policy measures. Regarding the first, several papers propose methodology to study time variation in the tail index. Davidson and Smith (1990), Coles (2001, Chapter 5.3), and Wang and Tsai (2009), among others, also index the GPD tail parameters with time subscripts and equip them with a parameterized structure. Our approach is different in that their "tail index regression" approach requires conditioning variables that explain (all of) the tail variation. Such variables are not always available. By contrast, our "filtering approach" does not require such conditioning variables, and is arguably better suited for the real-time monitoring of extreme risks. Second, Quintos et al. (2001), Einmahl et al. (2016), Hoga (2017), and Lin and Kao (2018) derive formal tests for a structural break in the tail index. A number of subsequent studies applied such tests to financial time series data. Werner and Upper (2004) identify a break in the tail behavior of high-frequency German Bund future returns. Galbraith and Zernov (2004) argues that certain regulatory changes in U.S. equity markets have altered the tail index dynamics of equities returns, and Wagner (2005)demonstrates that changes in government bond yields appear to exhibit time-variation in the tail shape for both the U.S. and the euro area. de Haan and Zhou (2020) propose a non-parametric approach to estimating the extreme value index locally. Our paper adds to this strand of conditional EVT literature by proposing a model that allows us to study both the tail shape and tail scale dynamics directly in a semi-parametric way. Explanatory covariates can be included in the dynamics of both parameters, and likelihood ratio tests are available to test economically relevant hypotheses. Finally, unlike Patton et al. (2019), our tail VaR and ES dynamics explicitly account for fat tail shape beyond a threshold as emerging from EVT. The dynamics based on the score for the GPD contain weights for extreme observations. Such weights are absent in the elicitable score functions of Patton et al.. The resulting dynamics in our model are, as a result, more robust, particularly for

the ES.

A second strand of literature assesses the impact of central bank asset purchases on bond yields and yield volatility. For example, Ghysels et al. (2017) study the yield impact of SMP bond purchases by considering bond yields and purchases at 15-minute intervals. In this way they mitigate a bias that unobserved factors could have introduced. The authors estimate that SMP interventions had an impact on the conditional mean of 10-year maturity bonds of between -0.2 and -4.2 bps per \leq 1 bn of purchases. Eser and Schwaab (2016) study yield impact based on daily data. In their framework, identification is based on a panel model that exploits the cross-sectional dimension of the data. They find that, in addition to large announcement effects, purchases of 1/1000 of the respective outstanding debt had an impact of approximately -3 bps at the five-year maturity. Pooter et al. (2018) use the published weekly data of aggregate SMP purchases to test for an impact on country-specific sovereign bond liquidity premia. The authors find an average impact of -2.3 bps for purchases of 1/1000 of the outstanding debt. Our paper adds to the growing literature on assessing the effectiveness of central bank asset purchase programs by developing methodology for the extreme tail of the distribution.

Whereas de Haan and Zhou (2020) take a non-parametric perspective, the methodological part of this paper is closest to Massacci (2017), who also proposes a dynamic parametric model for the GPD parameters. Our framework is different in that we specify both parameters as functions of their respective scores, and adopt a non-diagonal scaling function. We cover inference on both deterministic and time-varying parameters, explain how to introduce additional conditioning variables, and provide Monte Carlo evidence. Owing to a novel autoregressive specification of the EVT threshold following Patton et al. (2019), our model can be fitted to both prefiltered and non-prefiltered time series data.¹

We proceed as follows. Section 2 presents our statistical model. Section 3 discusses our simulation results. Section 4 studies the tail impact of Eurosystem asset purchases. Section 5 concludes. A Web Appendix derives the score and scaling function for the tail shape model

¹For computer code and an enumeration of recent work on score-driven models see http://www.gasmodel.com/code.htm.

and provides further technical and empirical results.

2 Statistical model

2.1 Time-varying tail shape and scale

This section introduces our model with time-varying tail shape and tail scale for a univariate time series y_t , t = 1, ..., T, where T denotes the number of observations. We assume

$$y_t = \mu_t + \sigma_t \,\varepsilon_t,\tag{1}$$

where $g(\varepsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ is the conditional probability density function (pdf) of ε_t , μ_t and σ_t are the conditional location and scale of y_t , and $\mathcal{F}_{t-1} = \{y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \ldots, y_1\}$ denotes the information set. The parameters μ_t and σ_t can take on many forms ranging from constant values to specifications with autoregressive and conditional volatility dynamics. Key, however, is that these parameters are typically mainly used to describe well the *center* of the distribution. In this paper, by contrast, we concentrate on the *tail* of the distribution using a dynamic extension of arguments from extreme value theory, similar to Patton's (2006) extension of copula theory to the dynamic, observation driven setting.

We assume the conditional pdf $g(\varepsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ has heavy tails with time-varying tail index $\alpha_t > 0$. A prime example is the univariate Student's t distribution with $\nu_t = \alpha_t$ degrees of freedom. Other examples include the Pareto, inverse gamma, log-gamma, log-logistic, F, Fréchet, and Burr distribution with one or more time-varying shape parameters. Rather, however, than modeling the (dynamic) tail shape by an arbitrarily chosen parametric family of distributions, we appeal to well-known results from the extreme value theory (EVT) literature. From EVT, we know that the conditional cumulative distribution function (cdf) $G(\varepsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ of ε_t can under very general conditions be approximated by $G(e_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = G(\tau | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) + (1 - G(\tau | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}))P(x_t; \delta_t, \xi_t)$ with $x_t = e_t - \tau$ for sufficiently high thresholds

 $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$, or more precisely,

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \sup_{e_t \ge \tau} \left| \mathbb{P} \left[\varepsilon_t \le e_t + \tau \mid \varepsilon_t > \tau, \ \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right] - P_{\xi_t, \delta_t}(e_t - \tau) \right|$$
$$= \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \sup_{e_t \ge \tau} \left| \frac{G(e_t + \tau \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) - G(e_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1})}{1 - G(e_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1})} - P_{\xi_t, \delta_t}(e_t - \tau) \right| = 0,$$
(2)

for parameters $\xi_t = \alpha_t^{-1}$ and δ_t , both possibly depending on τ . Here, $P(x_t; \delta_t, \xi_t)$ denotes the cdf of the Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD), with cdf and pdf given by

$$P(x_t; \delta_t, \xi_t) = 1 - \left(1 + \xi_t \frac{x_t}{\delta_t}\right)^{-\xi_t^{-1}}, \qquad p(x_t; \delta_t, \xi_t) = \delta_t^{-1} \cdot \left(1 + \xi_t \frac{x_t}{\delta_t}\right)^{-\xi_t^{-1} - 1}, \quad (3)$$

respectively (see, for example, McNeil et al., 2010). The quantity $x_t = \varepsilon_t - \tau > 0$ is the so-called peak-over-threshold (POT), or exceedance, of heavy-tailed data ε_t over a predetermined threshold τ , and $\delta_t > 0$ and $\xi_t > 0$ are the scale and tail shape parameter of the GPD, respectively. Most continuous distributions used in statistics and the actuarial sciences lie in the Maximum Domain of Attraction (MDA) of the GPD (see McNeil et al., 2010, Chapter 7.1), meaning that they allow for the above tail shape approximation. By focusing on the tail area directly using EVT arguments, we avoid having to make more ad-hoc assumptions on the parametric form of the tail.

The result in (2) is a limiting result. In any finite sample, the threshold τ_t has to be set to a specific, finite value, such that the GPD approximation will be inexact and the distribution is in that sense misspecified. This will also be the case in our setting. The score-driven updates that we define later on for ξ_t and δ_t , however, still ensure that the expected Kullback-Leibler divergence between the approximate GPD model and the true, unknown conditional distribution $\mathbb{P} [\varepsilon_t \leq e_t + \tau | \varepsilon_t > \tau, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ is improved every time for sufficiently small steps, even if the GPD model is misspecified; see Blasques et al. (2015). The choice of the threshold τ is subject to a well-known bias-efficiency trade-off; see, for instance, McNeil and Frey (2000). In theory, the GPD tail approximation only becomes exact for $\tau \to +\infty$. A high threshold, however, also implies a smaller number of exceedances $\varepsilon_t > \tau$, and more estimation error for the parameters of the GPD. Common choices for τ from the literature are the 90%, 95%, and 99% empirical quantiles of ε_t ; see Chavez-Demoulin et al. (2014). We return to the choice, and modeling, of the threshold further below.

A key step in (3) is that we use the conditional probabilities based on the information set \mathcal{F}_{t-1} . As a result, the tail shape parameters become time-varying. To capture this timevariation, we model $(\xi_t, \delta_t)'$ using the score-driven (GAS) dynamics introduced by Creal et al. (2013) and Harvey (2013). In our time series setting, that implies that both δ_t and ξ_t are measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_{t-1} . We ensure positivity of δ_t and ξ_t by using an (element-wise) exponential link function $(\xi_t, \delta_t)' = \exp(f_t)$ for $f_t = (f_t^{\xi}, f_t^{\delta})' \in \mathbb{R}^2$.² The transition dynamics for f_t are given by so-called GAS(p, q)-dynamics as

$$f_{t+1} = \omega + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A_i s_{t-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} B_j f_{t-j},$$

$$s_t = \mathcal{S}_t \nabla_t, \qquad \nabla_t = \partial \ln p(x_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}; f_t, \theta) / \partial f_t,$$
(4)

where vector $\omega = (\omega^{\xi}, \omega^{\delta})' = \omega(\theta)$ and matrices $A_i = A_i(\theta)$ and $B_j = B_j(\theta)$ depend on the deterministic parameter vector θ , which needs to be estimated. The scaling matrix S_t may depend both on θ , f_t , and \mathcal{F}_{t-1} . Effectively, the recursion (4) updates f_t at every time point in time via a scaled steepest ascent step to improve the fit to the GPD. The score of (3) required in (4) is given by

$$\nabla_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{t}^{-1} \cdot \log\left(1 + \xi_{t} \, \delta_{t}^{-1} x_{t}\right) - \left(1 + \xi_{t}^{-1}\right) \frac{\xi_{t} x_{t}}{\delta_{t} + \xi_{t} x_{t}} \\ \frac{x_{t} - \delta_{t}}{\delta_{t} + \xi_{t} x_{t}} \end{bmatrix},$$
(5)

where $\log(\cdot)$ denotes the natural logarithm; see Appendix A.1 for a derivation. We take A_i and B_j as diagonal matrices.

Following Creal et al. (2014) we select the square-root inverse conditional Fisher information of the conditional observation density to scale (5), i.e., $S_t = L'_t$, with L_t the choleski decomposition of the inverse conditional Fisher information matrix $\mathcal{I}_t = (L_t L'_t)^{-1} = \mathbb{E}[\nabla_t \nabla'_t]$

²Given that $\xi_t > 0 \forall t$, (3) is also the cdf and pdf of a Pareto type-II distribution with two time-varying parameters $\alpha_t = \xi_t^{-1}$ and $\sigma_t = \delta_t \xi_t^{-1}$.

Figure 1: News impact curves

The first element (left panel) and second element (right panel) of s_t in (7) is plotted against x_t for different values of ξ_t and δ_t .



 $\mathcal{F}_{t-1}; f_t, \theta] = \mathbb{E}[-\partial \nabla_t / \partial f'_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}; f_t, \theta]$, such that the conditional variance of s_t is equal to the unit matrix. For the GPD, we have

$$L_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \xi_t^{-1} & 0\\ -1 & \sqrt{1 + 2\xi_t} \end{bmatrix},$$
 (6)

see Appendix A.2 for a derivation. Combining terms yields the scaled score as

$$s_{t} = L_{t}' \nabla_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{t}^{-2} (1+\xi_{t}) \cdot \log\left(1+\xi_{t} \,\delta_{t}^{-1} x_{t}\right) + \frac{\delta_{t} - (\xi_{t}+3+\xi_{t}^{-1}) \cdot x_{t}}{\delta_{t}+\xi_{t} x_{t}} \\ \sqrt{1+2\xi_{t}} \frac{x_{t} - \delta_{t}}{\delta_{t}+\xi_{t} x_{t}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (7)

Though the scaled score in (7) seems unstable at first sight for ξ_t near zero, the expression actually has a finite limit equal to $\lim_{\xi_t \downarrow 0} s_{1,t} = 1 - 2\delta_t^{-1}x_t + \frac{1}{2}\delta_t^{-2}x_t^2$.

Figure 1 plots the two elements of (7) as a function of x_t for different values of ξ_t and δ_t . The behavior of the scaled score is intuitive: Large x_t imply that f_t is adjusted upwards. For high realization of x_t the adjustments are greatest when the current tail shape and tail scale are low. The function shapes become increasingly concave as $x \to \infty$ in line with robust updates of the time-varying parameters. This distinguishes our current set-up sharply from an approach directly based on quantile functions; see Patton et al. (2019) and Catania and Luati (2019), in particular for risk measures such as ES. In Patton et al. (2019), ES reacts linearly to the VaR exceedance. This can result in noisy or unstable ES estimates. Using the GPD shape as emanating from EVT, Figure 1 shows that ξ_t and δ_t react more modestly to large POT observations. This makes sense, as we expect such 'outliers' to occur more often for higher values of ξ_t . For extremely high $\xi_t \geq 1$, the ES even ceases to exist. We also note that small realizations of x_t imply downward adjustments of both elements of f_t , up to the point where x_t becomes very small. In that case f_t^{ξ} is adjusted upward, as observations near the center of a fat-tailed distribution signal increased peakedness (=leptokurtosis); see also Lucas and Zhang (2016). The score-driven steps in (7) can thus result in more stable and interpretable parameter paths due to the concavity of the news impact curves.

When there is no tail observation, i.e. $x_t = \varepsilon_t - \tau \leq 0$, then the new observation carries no information about ξ_t and δ_t ; see McNeil et al. (2010, Chapter 7). In such cases we set the score to zero, and continue to use (4) to update f_t .³ Long consecutive stretches of zero scores can lead to erratic paths for f_t and thus (ξ_t, δ_t) . In addition, such stretches of zero scores can be problematic for inference on θ ; see Blasques et al. (2018). Both issues can be addressed by taking into account lagged values of the scaled score via the exponentially-weighted moving average specification

$$f_{t+1} = \omega + A\tilde{s}_t + Bf_t,\tag{8}$$

where $\tilde{s}_t = (1 - \lambda)s_t + \lambda \tilde{s}_{t-1}, \lambda \in (0, 1)$ is an additional parameter to be estimated, and s_t is given by (7). While s_t is most often zero, \tilde{s}_t is not. Clearly, (4) is a special case of (8) for $\lambda \to 0$. Specification (8) leads to a GAS(1,2) specification for f_t ,

$$(\mathbf{I}_2 - BL)(1-\lambda)^{-1}(1-\lambda L)f_{t+1} = \omega + As_t,$$

where L is the lag operator. To see this, first rewrite (8) to $(I_2 - BL)f_{t+1} = \omega + A\tilde{s}_t$, and then multiply both sides by $(1 - \lambda L)/(1 - \lambda)$, using $(1 - \lambda L)\tilde{s}_t = (1 - \lambda)s_t$. The smoothing

³If $\omega = 0$, $B = I_2$, and p = q = 1 in (4), then a zero score implies that both tail parameters retain their current values. We adopt this specification in Section 4 below.

approach in (8) is similar to the approach in Patton (2006) that uses up to ten lags of the driver (in our case the score) to smooth the dynamics of the time-varying parameter.

The transition equation for f_t can be extended further if additional conditioning variables are available. For example, central bank sovereign bond purchases may help explain the timevariation in the tail shape and tail scale parameters associated with changes in sovereign bond yields; see Section 4. Such additional variables can be taken into account in a straightforward way via the modified transition equation,

$$f_{t+1} = \omega + A\tilde{s}_t + Bf_t + C \cdot z_t, \tag{9}$$

where all explanatory variables are stacked into vector z_t , and C is a conformable matrix of impact coefficients that needs to be estimated.

We consider three different ways to set the relevant thresholds. The thresholds can be either time-invariant (τ) or time-varying (τ_t). The construction of the thresholds can be important in practice because τ_t determines whether an observation lies in the tail, and, if so, what is the magnitude of the exceedance $x_t = \varepsilon_t - \tau_t > 0$. The κ -quantile $Q_{1:T}^{\kappa}$ ({ $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_T$ }) associated with the full sample is an obvious first candidate, $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. In this case, $\tau =$ $Q_{1:T}^{\kappa}$ ({ $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_T$ }) is time-invariant. Alternatively, we can compute the quantile recursively up to time t and set $\tau_t = Q_{1:t}^{\kappa}$ ({ $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_t$ }), such that τ_t is time-varying. Finally, we consider a dynamic specification as suggested by Patton et al. (2019), according to which

$$\tau_{t+1} = \tau_t + a^{\tau} \cdot \left(1\{\varepsilon_t > \tau_t\} - (1-\kappa) \right), \tag{10}$$

where a^{τ} is a parameter to be estimated, and $\tau_1 = Q_{1:T}^{\kappa}$ is used to initialize the process. The recursive specification (10) is a martingale since $\mathbb{E}[1\{\varepsilon_t > \tau_t\} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta] = (1 - \kappa)$. The threshold τ_t can now respond to changes in the underlying location, scale, and higher-order moments of ε_t in a straightforward way. This is particularly relevant if the data y_t is not pre-filtered based on an appropriate location–scale model in a first step, for instance if we set $\mu_t = 0$ and $\sigma_t = 1$ in (1), thus modeling the conditional extreme tail shape of y_t directly. We close this section with a brief comment on parameter interpretability. The tail shape parameter ξ_t can always be interpreted as observation y_t 's contemporaneous inverse tail index α_t^{-1} . By contrast, the estimated scale parameter δ_t need not have a straightforward interpretation in terms of y_t 's conditional variance. For example, assume that y_t were GPD distributed with time-varying tail shape parameter α_t^{-1} and scale σ_t . We can then show that the derived POT x_t also has an exact GPD-distribution, with the same tail shape parameter $\xi_t = \alpha_t^{-1}$, but a different scale parameter $\delta_{t,\tau} = \sigma_t + \alpha_t^{-1} \cdot \tau$; see Web Appendix B.1 for details. As a result, $\delta_{t,\tau}$ increases with the threshold, varies positively with the tail shape parameter ξ_t , and, importantly, should not be expected to provide a consistent estimate of σ_t . A similar result can be derived if the time series data y_t were Student's t-distributed with scale σ_t and tail index α_t ; see Web Appendix B.2. We return to this issue in our simulation Section 3, where we consider pseudo-true values of both parameters to benchmark how well the model can estimates these.

2.2 Confidence bands for tail shape and scale

Confidence (or standard error) bands allow us to visualize the impact of estimation uncertainty associated with the maximum likelihood estimate $\hat{\theta}$ on the filtered estimates $\hat{f}_t(\hat{\theta})$, and, by extension, also $(\hat{\xi}_t, \hat{\delta}_t)' = \exp(\hat{f}_t(\hat{\theta}))$. Quantifying the uncertainty about these parameter paths is important, as classical EVT estimators of time-invariant tail shape parameters are already typically associated with sizeable standard errors; see e.g. Hill (1975) and Huisman et al. (2001). Our confidence bands are based on the variance of \hat{f}_t , which we denote $V_t = \operatorname{Var}(\hat{f}_t)$. There exist two possible ways to construct these bands. Delta-method-based bands can be devised using a linear approximation of the non-linear transition function for f_t , thus extending Blasques et al. (2016, Section 3.2) to the case of multiple lags. We provide the equations in Web Appendix C. In our empirical application below, however, the linear approximations are typically insufficient to capture the uncertainty in the highly non-linear dynamics for some countries. As a result, delta-method-based bands can become unstable. Therefore, we instead use simulation-based bands as in Blasques et al. (2016, Section 3.3).

Simulation-based confidence bands build on the asymptotic normality of $\hat{\theta}$. In particular,

we draw S parameter values $\hat{\theta}^s$, $s = 1, \ldots, S$ from the distribution $N(\hat{\theta}, \hat{W})$, where \hat{W} is the estimated covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}$, e.g., a sandwich covariance matrix estimator. If the finitesample distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ were known, that could be used instead. For each draw $\hat{\theta}^s$ we now run the filter for f_t from t = 1 to t = T, thus obtaining S paths \hat{f}_t^s , for $s = 1, \ldots, S$ and $t = 1, \ldots, T$. These paths account automatically for all non-linearities in the dynamics for f_t . The simulated bands can now be obtained directly by calculating the appropriate percentiles for each t over the S draws of the paths \hat{f}_t^s for $s = 1, \ldots, S$.

2.3 Parameter estimation

Parameter estimates can be obtained in a standard way by numerically maximizing the loglikelihood function. Observation-driven time series models such as (3) – (10) are attractive because the log-likelihood is known in closed form. For a given set of time series observations x_1, \ldots, x_T , the vector of unknown parameters θ can be estimated by maximizing the loglikelihood function with respect to θ . The average log-likelihood function is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{F}_{T}) = (T^{*})^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} 1\{x_{t} > 0\} \cdot \ln p(x_{t}; \delta_{t}, \xi_{t})$$
$$= (T^{*})^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} 1\{x_{t} > 0\} \cdot \left[-\ln(\delta_{t}) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi_{t}}\right) \ln \left(1 + \xi_{t} \frac{x_{t}}{\delta_{t}}\right) \right], \quad (11)$$

where $T^* = \sum_{t=1}^{T} 1\{x_t > 0\}$ is the number of POT values in the sample. Maximization of (11) can be carried out using a conveniently chosen quasi-Newton optimization method.

Blasques et al. (2020) provide conditions under which the maximum likelihood estimator of θ is consistent and asymptotically normally distributed within the class of correctlyspecified score-driven models. They also prove that (quasi-)maximum likelihood estimation of θ can remain consistent (to pseudo-true values) and asymptotically normal even if the score-driven model is misspecified in terms of $\ln p(x_t; f_t)$. This is reassuring since the GPD is never exact for any finite value of $\tau < \infty$. In the presence of misspecification, score updates continue to minimize the local Kullback-Leibler divergence between the true conditional density and the model-implied conditional density, and remain optimal in this sense; see Blasques et al. (2015). The asymptotic covariance matrix $W = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$ then takes its usual sandwich form; see e.g. Davidson and MacKinnon (2004, Ch. 10) and Blasques et al. (2020).

The autoregressive parameter a^{τ} in (10) cannot be estimated using (11). Another objective function is needed in this case. We suggest using the average quantile regression check function of Koenker (2005, Ch. 3). The optimization problem can be formulated as

$$\min_{\{a^{\tau}\}} T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \rho_{\kappa} \left(\epsilon_{t} - \tau_{t}\right) \iff \min_{\{a^{\tau}\}} T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\epsilon_{t} - \tau_{t}\right) \left(\kappa - 1\{\epsilon_{t} < \tau_{t}\}\right)$$

$$\iff \max_{\{a^{\tau}\}} T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\epsilon_{t} - \tau_{t}\right) \left(\left(1 - \kappa\right) - 1\{\epsilon_{t} > \tau_{t}\}\right),$$
(12)

where $\rho_{\kappa}(u_t) = u_t (\kappa - 1\{u_t < 0\})$, and τ_t evolves as in (10). See also Engle and Manganelli (2004) and Catania and Luati (2019) for the use of this objective function in a different dynamic context. In practice, we estimate all thresholds τ_t via (12) before maximizing (11).⁴

2.4 A conditional location–scale–df model

This section introduces a score-driven location-scale-df model that can be used to pre-filter univariate time series data y_t that is arbitrarily fat-tailed, where df denotes the degrees of freedom. The model modifies the setting of Lucas and Zhang (2016) with a Student's t distribution with time-varying volatility and degrees of freedom parameters to a setting that also allows for a time-varying location μ_t parameter and to more extreme tails ($\nu_t < 2$), in which case the volatility no longer exists, but a time varying scale parameter $\sigma_t > 0$ does exist. Since all parameters are time-varying, using this model minimizes the risk of mistaking time-variation in the center of the distribution for time-variation in the tail, and vice versa. The restriction $\nu_t > 0$ aligns closely with the assumption $\alpha_t > 0$ and $\xi_t > 0$ in Section 2.1.

⁴Numerical gradient-based optimizers, such as e.g. MaxBFGS, may only indicate weak convergence at the optimum of (12). This is due to the piecewise linear objective function. The optimizer at hand may not be suited for such a function, and will end up in a kink. This is not a problem, assuming we are not interested in standard errors for a^{τ} . Alternatively the interior point algorithm of Koenker and Park (1996) could be used.

For the purposes of pre-filtering, in this section y_t is assumed to be generated by

$$y_t \sim t(y_t; \mu_t, \sigma_t, \nu_t), \tag{13}$$

where $\mu_t = \mathbb{E}[y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ if $\nu_t > 1$, and $\sqrt{\nu_t/(\nu_t - 2)} \sigma_t$ is the conditional volatility of y_t if $\nu_t > 2$. All time-varying parameters are modeled in a score-driven way as

$$\mu_{t+1} = \omega^{\mu} + a^{\mu} s_t^{\mu} + b^{\mu} \mu_t + c^{\mu} z_t + d^{\mu} y_t, \tag{14}$$

$$\ln \sigma_{t+1} = \omega^{\sigma} + a^{\sigma} s_t^{\sigma} + b^{\sigma} \ln \sigma_t + c^{\sigma} z_t + d^{\sigma} \mathbb{1}\{y_t > \mu_t\} s_t^{\text{Lev}},\tag{15}$$

$$\nu_{t+1} = \omega^{\nu} + a^{\nu} s_t^{\nu} + b^{\nu} \nu_t + c^{\nu} z_t, \tag{16}$$

where $\omega^{(\cdot)}$, $a^{(\cdot)}$, $b^{(\cdot)}$, $c^{(\cdot)}$, and $d^{(\cdot)}$ are scalar parameters to be estimated, and z_t is a vector of additional conditioning variables which may be available. The required scaled scores are

$$s_t^{\mu} = \frac{(\nu_t + 3)(y_t - \mu_t)}{\nu_t + \sigma_t^{-2}(y_t - \mu_t)^2},\tag{17}$$

$$s_t^{\sigma} = \frac{\nu_t + 3}{2\nu_t} \cdot \left(\frac{(\nu_t + 1)(y_t - \mu_t)^2}{\nu_t \sigma_t^2 + (y_t - \mu_t)^2} - 1 \right),\tag{18}$$

$$s_{t}^{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\nu_{t}}{4} \gamma'' \left(\frac{\nu_{t}+1}{2} \right) - \frac{\nu_{t}}{4} \gamma'' \left(\frac{\nu_{t}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nu_{t}+5}{(\nu_{t}+1)(\nu_{t}+3)} \right]^{-1} \\ \left[\frac{1}{\nu_{t}} + \gamma' \left(\frac{\nu_{t}}{2} \right) - \gamma' \left(\frac{\nu_{t}+1}{2} \right) + \ln \left(1 + \frac{(y_{t}-\mu_{t})^{2}}{\nu_{t}\sigma_{t}^{2}} \right) - \frac{\nu_{t}+1}{\nu_{t}} \frac{(y_{t}-\mu_{t})^{2}}{\nu_{t}\sigma_{t}^{2} + (y_{t}-\mu_{t})^{2}} \right], \quad (19)$$

where the functions $\gamma'(x)$ and $\gamma''(x)$ are the first and second derivatives of the log-gamma function. We refer to Web Appendix D for a derivation of (17) - (19).

The "leverage" term $d^{\sigma} \cdot 1\{y_t > \mu_t\}s_t^{\text{Lev}}$ in (15) allows $\ln \sigma_{t+1}$ to be higher (or lower, depending on the sign of d^{σ}) when y_t is above its location μ_t . The term $s_t^{\text{Lev}} = s_t^{\sigma}(y_t) - s_t^{\sigma}(\mu_t)$ is constructed such that the score is continuous at μ_t . Leverage specifications are often found to be valuable in many empirical applications; see e.g. Engle and Patton (2001). The deterministic parameters in (14) – (16) can be estimated by (quasi-)maximum likelihood methods in line with the discussion in Section 2.3.

2.5 Market risk measures

Market risk measurement is a major application of EVT methods in practice; see Manganelli and Engle (2004) and McNeil et al. (2010). We consider the conditional VaR and conditional ES as measures of one-step-ahead market risk. The GPD approximation (2) – (3) yields useful closed-form estimators of the VaR and ES for high upper quantiles $\gamma > G(\tau | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$; see McNeil and Frey (2000) and Rocco (2014). We can estimate the $1 - \gamma$ tail probability of y_t based on the GPD cdf for x_t , obtaining

$$\operatorname{VaR}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta) = \tau_{t} + \delta_{t} \xi_{t}^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{t^{*}/t} \right)^{-\xi_{t}} - 1 \right],$$
$$\operatorname{VaR}^{\gamma}(y_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta) = \mu_{t} + \sigma_{t} \operatorname{VaR}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta),$$
(20)

where μ_t and σ_t are defined below (1), and t^* is the number of observations of $x_t > 0$ up to time t, i.e., the number of observations y_s for $s = 1, \ldots, t$ for which $y_s > \tau_s$. Put differently, t^*/t is an estimator of the tail probability $\kappa_t = G(\tau_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$.

The conditional ES is the average conditional VaR in the tail across all quantiles γ (see McNeil et al., 2010, Chapter 2), provided $\xi_t < 1$. The closed-form expressions are

$$\mathrm{ES}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \int_{\gamma}^{1} \mathrm{VaR}^{\tilde{\gamma}}(\epsilon_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta) \mathrm{d}\tilde{\gamma}$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{VaR}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta)}{1-\xi_{t}} + \frac{\delta_{t} - \xi_{t}\tau_{t}}{1-\xi_{t}},$$

$$\mathrm{ES}^{\gamma}(y_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta) = \mu_{t} + \sigma_{t} \mathrm{ES}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \theta);$$

$$(21)$$

see Web Appendix E for a derivation of (20) - (21). The $\mathrm{ES}^{\gamma}(y_t|\cdot)$ is strictly higher than the $\mathrm{VaR}^{\gamma}(y_t|\cdot)$ at the same confidence level, as it "looks further into the tail." It can be shown that the ratio $\mathrm{ES}^{\gamma}(y_t|\cdot)/\mathrm{VaR}^{\gamma}(y_t|\cdot)$ increases monotonically in ξ_t for $\gamma \to 1$, indicating that expected losses beyond the VaR become increasingly worse for heavier-tailed (higher ξ_t) distributions. Maximum likelihood estimators of the conditional VaR and conditional ES can be obtained by inserting filtered estimates of μ_t , σ_t , ξ_t and δ_t into (20) and (21).

For later reference, the sensitivity of VaR^{γ}(y_t) to bond purchases z_{t-1} is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{dVaR}^{\gamma}(y_t)}{\mathrm{d}z_{t-1}} = \frac{\partial \mathrm{VaR}}{\partial \mu_t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_t}{\mathrm{d}z_{t-1}} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{VaR}}{\partial \sigma_t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}\ln\sigma_t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\sigma_t}{\mathrm{d}z_{t-1}} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{VaR}}{\partial \delta_t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\delta_t}{\mathrm{d}f_t^{\delta}} \frac{\mathrm{d}f_t^{\delta}}{\mathrm{d}z_{t-1}} + \frac{\partial \mathrm{VaR}}{\partial \xi_t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_t}{\mathrm{d}f_t^{\xi}} \frac{\mathrm{d}f_t^{\xi}}{\mathrm{d}z_{t-1}}.$$

The expression is intuitive: extreme upper quantiles can change if bond purchases z_{t-1} affect the conditional location μ_t , the conditional scale σ_t , the tail scale δ_t , or the tail shape ξ_t . The derivative is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{VaR}^{\gamma}(y_{t})}{\mathrm{d}z_{t-1}} = c^{\mu} + \sigma_{t}\mathrm{VaR}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t})c^{\sigma} + \sigma_{t}\left(\mathrm{VaR}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t}) - \tau_{t}\right)c^{\delta} \\ -\sigma_{t}\left\{\mathrm{VaR}^{\gamma}(\epsilon_{t}) - \tau_{t} + \delta_{t}\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{t^{*}/t}\right)^{-\xi_{t}}\ln\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{t^{*}/t}\right)\right\}c^{\xi},$$
(22)

where μ_t and σ_t are given by (14) and (15), f_t^{ξ} and f_t^{δ} are given by (9) with $C = (c^{\delta}, c^{\xi})'$.

3 Simulation study

This section studies the question whether our score-driven modeling approach can reliably recover the time series variation in tail shape and tail scale in a variety of potentially challenging settings. In addition, we are interested in how to best choose the thresholds τ_t , as well as the accuracy of EVT-based market risk measures when used in combination with our modeling approach.

3.1 Simulation design

Our simulation design considers D = 2 different densities (GPD and t), P = 4 different parameter paths for tail shape and tail scale, and H = 3 different ways to obtain the appropriate thresholds τ_t . This yields $2 \times 4 \times 3 = 24$ simulation experiments. In each experiment, we draw S = 100 univariate simulation samples of length T = 25,000. We focus on the upper $1 - \kappa = 5\%$ tail. As a result, approximately $25,000 \cdot 0.05 = 1,250$ observations are available in each simulation to compute informative POTs $x_t > 0$. The time series dimension T is chosen to resemble that of the empirical data considered in Section 4. **GPD and t-densities:** We first simulate y_t from a GPD distribution with time-varying tail shape α_t^{-1} and tail scale σ_t , $y_t \sim \text{GPD}(\alpha_t^{-1}, \sigma_t)$. We then consider a Student's *t* distribution with time-varying scale σ_t and degrees of freedom α_t , $y_t \sim t(0, \sigma_t, \alpha_t)$. POT values x_t are obtained as $x_t = y_t - \tau_t$.

Parameter paths: We consider four different paths for the tail shape α_t^{-1} and tail scale σ_t parameters. For both GPD and t densities we consider

- (1) Constant: $\alpha_t^{-1} = 0.5, \, \sigma_t = 1;$
- (2) Sine and constant: $\alpha_t^{-1} = 0.5 + 0.3 \sin(4\pi t/T), \ \sigma_t = 1;$
- (3) Slow sine and frequent sine: $\alpha_t^{-1} = 0.5 + 0.3 \sin(4\pi t/T), \ \sigma_t = 1 + 0.5 \sin(16\pi t/T);$
- (4) Synchronized sines: $\alpha_t^{-1} = 0.5 + 0.3 \sin(4\pi t/T), \ \sigma_t = 1 + 0.5 \sin(4\pi t/T).$

Path (1) considers the special case of time-invariant tail shape and scale parameters. Naturally, we would want our dynamic framework to cover constant parameters as a special case. Path (2) allows the tail shape to vary considerably between 0.2 and 0.8, while keeping the scale (volatility) of the data constant. This parameter path corresponds to the empirical practice of working with volatility pre-filtered data. Path (3) stipulates that both parameters vary over time. Finally, Path (4) considers the case of synchronized variation in both parameters. This setting may be particularly challenging for two reasons. First, the tail observations occur most frequently when both tail shape and scale are high, making it potentially difficult to disentangle the two effects. Second, less information about the tail is available when both parameters are low simultaneously.

Different thresholds: We consider three thresholds τ_t . First, we use the true time-varying 95%-quantile based on our knowledge of the true density and of α_t and σ_t . This constitutes an infeasible best benchmark. Second, we construct τ_t as the 95%-quantile of the expanding window of data up to time t, i.e. $\tau_t = Q_{1:t}^{0.95} (\{\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_t\})$. Finally, we use the recursive specification (10), with a^{τ} fixed at 0.25, and initialized at $\tau_1 = Q_{1:T}^{0.95}$.

Evaluation metrics: Our main metric for evaluating model performance is the root mean squared error RMSE = $\frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\hat{\xi}_{st} - \bar{\xi}_{st})^2}$, where $\hat{\xi}_{st}$ is the estimated tail

shape parameter in simulation s, $\bar{\xi}_{st}$ is the corresponding (pseudo-)true tail shape, $s = 1, \ldots, S$ denotes the simulation run, and $t = 1, \ldots, T$ is the number of observations in each draw. The RMSE for the tail scale parameter δ_t is obtained analogously, RMSE = $\frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (\hat{\delta}_{st} - \bar{\delta}_{st})^2}}$, where $\bar{\delta}_{st}$ denotes the pseudo-true value of δ_{st} . The pseudo-true values $\bar{\xi}_{st}$ and $\bar{\delta}_{st}$ are obtained by numerically minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence between the GPD and the data generating process beyond the true time-varying 95% quantile τ_t . As the true conditional density is known at all times in a simulation setting, these pseudo-true benchmarks are easily computed. We note that particularly the GPD scale parameter $\bar{\delta}_t$ may have very different dynamics from σ_t , as it combines dynamics in α_t and σ_t via the EVT limiting expression in (2).

3.2 Simulation results

Table 1 presents root mean squared error (RMSE) statistics for tail shape $\hat{\xi}_{s,t}$, tail scale $\hat{\delta}_{s,t}$, and Value-at-Risk $\widehat{\text{VaR}}_{s,t}$ estimates. Figures F.1 and F.2 in Web Appendix F.1 compare median estimated parameter paths for $\hat{\xi}_t$, $\hat{\xi}_t$, $\widehat{\text{VaR}}^{0.99}$, and $\widehat{\text{ES}}^{0.99}$ to their (pseudo-)true values. Figure 2 is a representative example of the simulation outcomes when y_t is generated by a Student's t distribution.

We focus on three main findings. First, all models seem to work well in recovering the true underlying ξ_t and δ_t dynamics. The median estimates in Figures F.1 and F.2 tend to be close to their (pseudo-)true values. Particularly the sometimes highly non-linear patters of δ_t are recovered well. The model also captures well the peaks of ξ_t , so the fattest tails. The model needs some time to recognize that the extreme tail has become more benign, i.e., that ξ_t has gone down. The good fit is corroborated by Table 1. Both estimation methods for τ_t only loose about 10% RMSE for ξ_t and δ_t compared to the use of the true (infeasible) τ_t .

Second, when comparing the recursive estimate $\hat{\tau}_t$ versus the dynamic τ_t^* of Patton et al. (2019) in Table 1, differences are mostly small and insignificant. If there is no time-variation (path (1)), the recursive estimate does slightly better, as expected. The converse is true for δ_t if the true parameters vary over time.

Table 1: Simulation RMSE results

Root mean squared error (RMSE) statistics for two different distributions (GPD and t, in columns) and for four different parameter paths for tail shape ξ_t and tail scale δ_t (paths (1) – (4), in rows). Thresholds τ_t , $\hat{\tau}_t$, and $\hat{\tau}_t^*$ denote *i*) the infeasible true time-varying threshold, *ii*) the empirical quantile associated with an expanding window of observations y_1, \ldots, y_t , and *iii*) the estimated conditional quantile using (12) with $a^{\tau} = 0.25$, respectively. We consider 100 simulations for each DGP, and a time series of 25,000 observations in each simulation. Model performance is measured by the RMSE from the true $\bar{\xi}_t$ and $\bar{\delta}_t$ in each draw. For VaR, model performance is measured in relative terms as RMSE rescaled by the squared VaR_t.

_

Model	$\text{GPD}(\tau_t)$	$\text{GPD}(\hat{\tau}_t)$	$\text{GPD}(\hat{\tau}_t^*)$	$t(\tau_t)$	$t(\hat{\tau}_t)$	$t(\hat{\tau}_t^*)$	
	(infeasible)			(infeasible)			
		RMSE $\hat{\xi}_{s,t}$					
(1)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	
(2)	0.171	0.177	0.178	0.182	0.188	0.189	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
(3)	0.182	0.188	0.189	0.190	0.197	0.197	
. ,	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
(4)	0.177	0.186	0.183	0.188	0.195	0.192	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	
			RMSE	$\hat{\delta}_{s,t}$			
(1)	0.005	0.014	0.068	0.005	0.010	0.034	
	(0.003)	(0.006)	(0.013)	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.006)	
(2)	1.646	1.774	1.753	0.580	0.589	0.588	
	(0.034)	(0.040)	(0.036)	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.013)	
(3)	2.421	2.913	2.813	0.836	0.960	0.924	
	(0.054)	(0.054)	(0.049)	(0.015)	(0.020)	(0.017)	
(4)	2.608	2.904	2.844	0.925	0.970	0.964	
	(0.057)	(0.059)	(0.059)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.022)	
			RMSE \tilde{V}	$\widehat{\operatorname{aR}}_{s,t}$			
(1)	0.001	0.003	0.016	0.124	0.124	0.149	
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	
(2)	0.924	0.987	0.964	0.249	0.243	0.257	
. ,	(0.027)	(0.032)	(0.031)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	
(3)	1.063	1.304	1.209	0.322	0.344	0.349	
. /	(0.025)	(0.041)	(0.033)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.004)	
(4)	1.020	1.120	1.083	0.302	0.297	0.319	
	(0.027)	(0.028)	(0.028)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	

Third, Figure 2 as well as Figures F.1 and F.2 in Web Appendix F.1 corroborate that our EVT-based market risk measures, such as VaR and ES at a high confidence level $\gamma = 0.99$, tend to be estimated sufficiently accurately when used in combination with our modeling approach. The low and high frequency dynamics of the VaR and ES are both captured well. There only appears some under-estimation of the ES at its very peak where tails are extremely fat. Overall, we conclude that the model captures well the dynamics of the

Figure 2: Simulation results: a representative example

Time series data is here generated as $y_t \sim t(0, \sigma_t, \alpha_t)$, where $\alpha_t^{-1} = 0.5 + 0.3 \sin(4\pi t/T)$ and $\sigma_t = 1 + 0.5 \sin(16\pi t/T)$. This is Path 3 in Section 3.1. Pseudo-true parameter values are reported in solid red. The four panels report estimates of ξ_t , δ_t , VaR_t , and ES_t , respectively. Median filtered values are plotted in solid black. The first two panels also indicate the lower 5% and upper 95% quantiles of the estimates (black dots). The time-varying threshold $\hat{\tau}_t$ is estimated based on the recursive specification (10) in conjunction with the objective function (12).



tails, even if the model does not coincide with the data generating process and is therefore misspecified.

4 The tail impact of Eurosystem asset purchases

4.1 Data

4.1.1 High-frequency data on bond yields

We obtain high-frequency data on changes in euro area sovereign bond yields from Thomson Reuters/Datastream, focusing on Spanish (EN), Greek (GR), Irish (IE), Italian (IT), and Portuguese (PT) five-year sovereign benchmark bonds. These market segments were among the most affected by the euro area debt crisis; see e.g. ECB (2014). SMP bond purchases undertaken during the debt crisis predominantly targeted the two- to ten-year maturity bracket, with the five-year maturity approximately in the middle of that spectrum. We focus on the impact on five-year benchmark bonds for this reason. We model the midpoint between ask and bid prices. Bond prices are expressed in yields-to-maturity and are obtained from continuous dealer quotes. Our sample ranges from 04 January 2010 to 31 December 2012, covering the most intense phase of the euro area sovereign debt crisis. The bond yields are sampled at the 15-minute frequency between 8AM and 6PM. Following Ghysels et al. (2017) we do not consider overnight changes in yield, such that the first 15-minute interval covers 8AM to 8:15AM. This yields 40 intra-daily observations per trading day. This yields 40 intra-daily observations per day, with $T \approx 3 \times 260 \times 40 \approx 31,000$ observations per country.

The Greek data are an exception. Greek bonds experienced a credit event on 09 March 2012. In January and February 2012 the five-year benchmark bond continued trading, infrequently and at low prices, until approximately one week before the credit event. Our Greek data sample ends on 02 March 2012 for this reason. We include the Greek pre-default data as a truly extreme case, allowing us to "stress-test" our EVT estimation methodology.

Figure F.3 in the Web Appendix F.2 plots the yield-to-maturity of our five benchmark bond yields in levels and in first differences. All five yields exhibited large and sudden moves, leading to volatility clustering and extreme realizations of yield changes during the euro area sovereign debt crisis.

Table 2 provides summary statistics for changes in our five benchmark bond yields sampled at the 15-minute frequency. All time series have significant non-Gaussian features under standard tests and significance levels. In particular, we note the non-zero skewness and large values of kurtosis for almost all time series in the sample. Yield changes are covariance stationary according to standard unit root (ADF) tests. Most yield changes are below one bps in absolute value. This suggests that the data are not only heavy-tailed, but also extremely peaked around zero in the center. The pronounced non-Gaussian data features strongly suggest a non-Gaussian empirical framework for modeling conditional location, dispersion, and higher-order moments.

4.1.2 High–frequency data on Eurosystem bond purchases

We study the impact of SMP bond purchases between 2010 and 2012 for five euro area countries: Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, and Spain. At the end of our sample, the Eurosystem held \in 99.0 bn in Italian sovereign bonds, \in 30.8 bn in Greek debt, \in 43.7 bn in Spanish debt,

Table 2: Data descriptive statistics

Summary statistics for changes in five-year sovereign benchmark bond yields measured in percentage points. Columns labeled EN, GR, IE, IT, and PT refer to Spanish, Greek, Irish, Italian, and Portuguese five-year benchmark bond yields. The sample ranges from 04 January 2010 to 28 December 2012. The Greek sample ends on 02 March 2012. Reported p-values for skewness and kurtosis refer to D'Agostino et al. (1990)'s test. The last row reports the fraction of yield changes smaller than one basis point in absolute value.

	EN	GR	IE	IT	PT
Median	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Std. dev.	0.02	0.46	0.06	0.03	0.08
Minimum	-0.74	-20.73	-0.91	-0.39	-1.15
Maximum	0.47	14.77	1.45	0.43	1.20
Skewness	-42.29	-104.76	34.11	14.91	12.40
Skew. p-value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Kurtosis	357.94	195.05	301.26	293.40	279.44
Kurt. p-value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fraction $y_t < 1$ bp	81%	77%	81%	81%	77%

 \in 21.6 bn in Portuguese debt, and \in 13.6 bn in Irish bonds; see the ECB (2013)'s Annual Report. The SMP's daily cross-country breakdown of the purchase data is still confidential at the time of writing. We use the country-specific data on SMP purchases when studying the impact of the program.

The SMP had the objective of helping to restore the monetary policy transmission mechanism by addressing the malfunctioning of certain government bond markets. The SMP consisted of interventions in the form of outright secondary market purchases. Implicit in the concept of malfunctioning markets is the notion that government bond yields can be unjustifiably high and volatile.

Figure 3 plots weekly total SMP purchases across countries as well as their accumulated book value over time. Approximately \in 214 billion (bn) of bonds were acquired within the SMP between 2010 and early 2012. The SMP was announced on 10 May 2010 and initially focused on Greek, Irish, and Portuguese debt securities. The program was extended to include Italian and Spanish bonds on 8 August 2011. The SMP was replaced by the Outright Monetary Transactions (OMTs) program on 6 September 2012; see Cœuré (2013). Visibly, the purchase data are unevenly spread over time. Between 10 May 2010 and Spring 2012 there are long periods during which the SMP was open but inactive.

Figure 3: Weekly and total SMP purchase amounts.

The figure plots the book value of settled SMP purchases as of the end of a given week. We report weekly purchases across countries (left panel) as well as the cumulative amounts (right panel). Maturing amounts are excluded.



The SMP purchase data are time-stamped, allowing us to construct time series data z_t of country-specific SMP purchases at the high (15-minute) frequency. The 15-minute frequency is chosen because 15 minutes is the regulatory limit for the recording of trades by the Eurosystem. Observations z_t contain *all* sovereign bond purchases at par (nominal) value between t - 1 and t for the respective country, not only purchases of the five-year benchmark bond.

4.2 Location–scale–df model estimates

This section applies our novel location–scale–df model of Section 2.4 to study changes in the yield-to-maturity of five-year sovereign benchmark bonds as discussed in Section 4.1.1. We are particularly interested in each series' location, scale, and degrees of freedom, and how these respond to Eurosystem bond purchases.

We apply the model to the raw data series after removing a (negligible) intra-daily pattern via dummy variable regression. We introduce two simplifications to the general specification. First, preliminary analyses suggest that the location parameters are approximately timeinvariant, such that a^{μ} and b^{μ} are close to zero. We proceed by imposing this restriction. Note that the specification for the mean still includes $d^{\mu} \cdot y_{t-1}$ to accommodate a potentially

Table 3: Parameter estimates for the location-scale-df model

Parameter estimates for the univariate location–scale–df model (13). Rows labeled EN, GR, IE, IT, and PT refer to Spanish, Greek, Irish, Italian, and Portuguese five-year benchmark bond yields. The estimation sample ranges from 04 January 2010 to 28 December 2012 for all countries except Greece. Standard error estimates are in round brackets and are taken from a sandwich covariance matrix. P-values are provided in square brackets.

	\mathbf{EN}	GR	IE	IT	\mathbf{PT}
ω^{μ}	0.013	-0.038	0.003	-0.003	-0.016
	(0.007)	(0.024)	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.006)
	[0.046]	[0.108]	[0.641]	[0.618]	[0.004]
c^{μ}	-2.623	-2.856	0.017	-1.479	-0.053
(SMP)	(0.941)	(2.483)	(1.594)	(0.552)	(2.068)
	[0.005]	[0.250]	[0.992]	[0.007]	[0.980]
d^{μ}	-0.039	-0.000	-0.010	-0.029	-0.004
(AR1)	(0.007)	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.010)	(0.001)
	[0.000]	[0.200]	[0.000]	[0.004]	[0.003]
a^{σ}	0.107	0.141	0.135	0.124	0.089
	(0.015)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.011)
	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
c^{σ}	-0.126	-0.055	-0.461	-0.049	-0.441
(SMP)	(0.089)	(0.115)	(0.324)	(0.050)	(0.228)
	[0.158]	[0.635]	[0.155]	[0.325]	[0.053]
d^{σ}	0.004	-0.004	-0.000	0.005	-0.000
(LEV)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)
	[0.006]	[0.001]	[0.885]	[0.002]	[0.641]
a^{ν}	0.004	0.018	0.007	0.006	0.008
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
c^{ν}	0.031	0.042	0.022	0.013	0.000
(SMP)	(0.014)	(0.027)	(0.035)	(0.007)	(0.028)
	[0.033]	[0.122]	[0.530]	[0.052]	[0.993]
loglik	-56226.2	-68788.4	-68584.7	-56218.6	-78164.0
AIC	112468.4	137592.9	137185.4	112453.2	156343.9
BIC	112534.9	137656.8	137252.0	112519.8	156410.6

negative serial correlation at the 15-minute frequency; see e.g. Roll (1984). Second, we find that the persistence $(b^{\sigma} \text{ and } b^{\nu})$ in volatility and degrees of freedom parameters is very high. We therefore set $\omega^{\sigma} = \omega^{\nu} = 0$ and $b^{\sigma} = b^{\nu} = 1$, thus adopting the EWMA restricted score dynamics of Lucas and Zhang (2016) for the scale and df parameters. A comparison of model selection criteria (AIC, BIC) across model specifications confirms these choices. With these simplifications in place, Table 3 now presents the parameter estimates.

We focus on three findings in Table 3. First, SMP bond purchases tended to lower the conditional location of future bond yields for most countries. The estimate of c^{μ} is negative

for four out of five countries, and is statistically significantly negative for two of them. The estimated impacts for the two largest SMP countries, Italy and Spain, are -1.5 bps and -2.6 bps per \in 1 bn of purchases, respectively. The highest impact per \in 1 bn is observed for Greek bonds, at -2.9 bps per \in 1 bn of purchases. Greek bonds were the most illiquid at the time. The estimates of c^{μ} for IE and PT are smaller in magnitude and not significant.⁵ Since the yields are modeled in first differences and $a^{\mu} = b^{\mu} = 0$, these impacts are associated with long-lasting (permanent) changes in yield *levels*. Overall, our c^{μ} estimates are in line with those obtained by Eser and Schwaab (2016) based on daily data and factor modeling techniques, and marginally smaller and less dispersed than those obtained by Ghysels et al. (2017) based on high-frequency data and VAR/GARCH modeling techniques.

Second, our parameter estimates for c^{σ} suggest a reduction in scale (volatility) following SMP bond purchases. The point estimates are all negative, although none are statistically significant at a 5% confidence level. Sizeable standard error estimates for c^{σ} are intuitive because the SMP intervention data is scarce even at the 15-minute frequency and the logscale is subject to pronounced time series variation.

Third, the point estimates of c^{ν} are all positive, and statistically significant in one case (EN, with IT a borderline case). As a result, the time-varying degrees of freedom ν_t tend to increase following SMP bond purchases, suggesting an increasingly "Gaussian" tail shape when the central bank is active as a buyer-of-last-resort. Taken together, the estimates of $c^{\mu} < 0$, $c^{\sigma} < 0$, and $c^{\nu} > 0$ suggest an overall beneficial, market-stabilizing impact of the bond purchases on sovereign bond yields.⁶

Figure 4.2 plots all time-varying parameters μ_t , σ_t , and ν_t . The conditional location parameters μ_t (left column) tend to be small and rarely exceed one bp in absolute value. The

⁵If SMP purchases were more likely to occur following an increase in yields, then the impact estimates of Table 3 would constitute a lower bound to (the absolute value of) the true impact. This endogeneity is unlikely to be pronounced at the intra-daily frequency because the daily purchase volumes were predetermined before markets opened, constraining the latitude of central bank portfolio managers; see Eser and Schwaab (2016, Section 3.3) for a discussion.

⁶The remaining parameter estimates in Table 3 can be interpreted as follows. The autoregressive coefficients d^{μ} associated with lagged y_{t-1} are all negative. The implied negative autocorrelation is in line with severely illiquid markets for all five sovereign bonds during our sample; see Roll (1984). The intercept terms ω^{μ} are small and statistically different from zero only in two out of five cases (for EN and PT). The leverage terms d^{σ} are positive and statistically significant for EN and IT. In these cases an increase in yield has a greater influence on future log-scale than a decrease.

Figure 4: Filtered location, scale, and degrees of freedom parameters

Filtered location (first column), scale (second column) and degrees of freedom (third column) parameters associated with the location–scale–df model introduced in Section 2.4. Rows labeled EN, GR, IE, IT, and PT refer to Spanish, Greek, Irish, Italian, and Portuguese five-year benchmark bond yields. Greek bonds discontinued trading after 02 March 2012, and experienced a credit event on 09 March 2012.



observed time-variation is due to the inclusion of the lagged term y_{t-1} and bond purchases z_{t-1} . High values for the conditional scale σ_t (middle column) are visible for Greece, Ireland and Portugal in 2010, and for Spain and Italy in late 2011. The conditional df parameters ν_t (right column) suggest that the conditional distribution is profoundly heavy-tailed, even after allowing for time-variation in the location and scale parameters. The df parameter associated with the Greek data declines almost monotonically until the credit event in March 2012.⁷

⁷Quintos et al. (2001) and Lin and Kao (2018) propose Markov-Switching models for the tail index. The

Table 4: Parameter estimates

Parameter estimates for the extended (with SMP purchases z_t) tail shape model. Columns labeled EN, GR, IE, IT, and PT refer to Spanish, Greek, Irish, Italian, and Portuguese five-year benchmark bond yields. The estimation sample ranges from 04 January 2010 to 28 December 2012 for all countries except Greece. Standard error estimates are in round brackets and are constructed from a sandwich covariance matrix. P-values are in square brackets.

	EN	GR	IE	IT	PT
a^{ξ}	0.006	0.032	0.021	0.055	0.026
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.011)
	[0.291]	[0.000]	[0.005]	[0.000]	[0.023]
a^{δ}	0.027	0.144	0.078	0.027	0.103
	(0.007)	(0.026)	(0.014)	(0.006)	(0.015)
	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
c^{ξ}	0.001	0.005	0.033	-0.009	-0.033
	(0.006)	(0.014)	(0.045)	(0.010)	(0.029)
	[0.847]	[0.705]	[0.467]	[0.376]	[0.258]
c^{δ}	-0.013	-0.031	0.060	-0.011	0.107
	(0.014)	(0.034)	(0.077)	(0.005)	(0.083)
	[0.344]	[0.362]	[0.433]	[0.036]	[0.196]
a^{τ}	0.010	0.312	0.143	0.027	0.263
T	30279	21839	30799	30519	30719
T^*	3003	2223	3093	3041	3084
loglik	-102027.1	-152861.1	-226080.5	-103050.5	-306523.8
AIC	204062.1	305730.2	452168.9	206109.0	613055.7
BIC	204095.4	305762.2	452202.3	206142.3	613089.0

The location-scale-df model (13) is not without drawbacks in our empirical setting. First, it makes an explicit distributional assumption that may or may not be appropriate. Second, it implicitly requires the tail impact of SMP purchases to be symmetric in the lower and upper tail. Since the Eurosystem acted only as a buyer-of-last-resort of bonds between 2010-2012, and has not sold any SMP bonds to date, it is not clear why that should be the case. The next section provides a semi-parametric perspective focussed on the extreme upper ("bad") tail.

4.3 Tail shape and tail scale estimates

This section discusses our time-varying tail shape (ξ_t) and tail scale (δ_t) estimates associated with the extreme upper tail of changes in sovereign bond yields. We focus on results obtained

location–scale–df estimates reported in Figure 4.2 suggest that these may not be appropriate for our data at hand.

from pre-filtered data, where we used the fitted location-scale-df model from Section 4.2 to clean y_t from location and scale effects. Web Appendix G presents the analogous tail shape and scale estimates from raw bond yield data, allowing us to compare the two approaches; see Section 4.4. Our main results are based on POT observations $x_t = (y_t - \hat{\mu}_t)/\hat{\sigma}_t - \hat{\tau}_t$ if $(y_t - \hat{\mu}_t)/\hat{\sigma}_t > \hat{\tau}_t$ and $x_t = missing$ otherwise, where $\hat{\mu}_t$ and $\hat{\sigma}_t$ are the location and scale estimates as reported in Figure 4.2, and where $\hat{\tau}_t$ was obtained using the autoregressive specification (10) in conjunction with the objective function (12).

Preliminary analyses suggest that changes in the tail shape and scale parameters are highly persistent for our high-frequency data. We thus set $\omega^{\xi} = \omega^{\delta} = 0$ and $b^{\xi} = b^{\delta} = 1$ to simplify the model, again adopting the EWMA restricted score dynamics of Lucas and Zhang (2016) for these parameters. We also set the smoothing parameter $\lambda = 0$, see (7), given the absence of mean reversion in $\ln \xi_t$ and $\ln \delta_t$ at the 15-minute frequency.⁸ We allow $C \neq 0$ such that SMP bond purchases z_{t-1} can impact both $\ln \xi_t$ and $\ln \delta_t$ via their impact coefficients c^{ξ} and c^{δ} . A comparison of unreported model selection criteria (AIC, BIC) across different model specifications supports these choices.

Table 4 presents tail shape estimates based on pre-filtered data. Parameters a^{ξ} and a^{δ} can be interpreted as the standard deviations of the scores driving $\ln \xi_t$ and $\ln \delta_t$, respectively; see the statements above (6). The associated estimates suggest pronounced time series variation in both parameters. The SMP impact parameters c^{ξ} are estimated negatively in two out of five cases, but are not statistically significant according to their t-values. Estimates of c^{δ} are negative in three out of five cases, and are significantly negative in one case (IT). As a result, most of the tail impact of SMP purchases appears to have come about through its impact on the center of the distribution (μ_t , σ_t) and not on its tail shape (δ_t , ξ_t).

Figure 5 plots the corresponding filtered estimates for time-varying tail shape ξ_t and tail scale δ_t . Blue bars indicate the approximate timing of SMP purchases in the respective markets. Time series variation is present and pronounced in both tail shape and tail scale parameters. The heaviest tail is estimated for Greek bonds during the weeks preceding the

⁸The smoothing parameter λ is hard to estimate numerically given the absence of mean reversion in our high-frequency data. Fixing it to reasonable alternative values has little effect on our empirical findings.

Figure 5: Filtered tail shape and tail scale estimates

Filtered ξ_t and δ_t estimates for Spanish (EN), Greek (GR), Irish (IE), Italian (IT), and Portuguese (PT) five-year sovereign benchmark bond yields between 2010 and 2012. The sample for Greek bonds is shorter as these bonds discontinued trading on 02 March 2012 and experienced a credit event on 09 March 2012. Standard error bands are simulated at a 95% confidence level. Blue bars indicate the approximate timing of SMP purchases in the respective markets. The SMP amounts are first aggregated over all five SMP countries, then smoothed using a centered one-week moving average. The resulting common time series is reported in the respective panel if the SMP was active for the respective market segment at the time; see Section 4.1.2 for the respective announcement days. The scaling of the purchase amounts is omitted and differs between left and right panels only for visibility.



Table 5: Impact of €1 bn of SMP purchases on 97.5% VaR and 99.5% VaR

The table reports the impact of ≤ 1 bn of SMP bond purchases on the 97.5% and 99.5% VaR. The total impact is estimated as $(1/\sum_{t}^{T} z_t) \sum_{t}^{T} (d\text{VaR}^{\gamma}(y_t)/dz_{t-1}) z_{t-1}$, where $d\text{VaR}^{\gamma}(y_t)/dz_{t-1}$ is given by (22). The total impact is decomposed into the impact on the conditional location μ_t , scale σ_t , tail scale δ_t , and tail shape ξ_t by setting the non-active summands in (22) to zero. Columns labeled EN, GR, IE, IT, and PT refer to Spanish, Greek, Irish, Italian, and Portuguese five-year benchmark bond yields.

		97.59	% VaR		
	\mathbf{EN}	GR	IE	IT	\mathbf{PT}
μ_t	-2.623	-2.856	0.017	-1.479	-0.053
σ_t	-1.155	-2.439	-6.570	-0.537	-8.006
δ_t	-0.068	-0.702	0.587	-0.042	1.216
ξ_t	0.001	0.037	0.068	-0.013	-0.040
Total	-3.845	-5.961	-5.899	-2.071	-6.883
		99.5	% VaR		
	\mathbf{EN}	GR	IE	IT	\mathbf{PT}
μ_t	-2.623	-2.856	0.017	-1.479	-0.053
σ_t	-2.302	-5.361	-14.604	-1.163	-18.578
δ_t	-0.190	-2.120	1.638	-0.131	3.556
ξ_t	0.009	0.260	0.443	-0.096	-0.278
Total	-5.106	-10.077	-12.507	-2.870	-15.352

credit event on 09 March 2012. The tail shape parameter can be above one, suggesting that no conditional mean, variance, and ES exist at such times. The other estimates for ξ_t typically vary between zero and one. Estimates above one can occur but are temporary and rare. Time-variation in δ_t is pronounced as well.

The tail shape and scale parameters of Figure 5 are difficult to interpret in economic (or probabilistic) terms when considered in isolation. Table 5 therefore addresses the relevant economic question how market risk measures responded on average to a ≤ 1 bn bond purchase intervention. The total impact is decomposed into the impact on the conditional location, scale, tail scale, and tail shape by setting the non-active summands in (22) to zero. The estimates corroborate that most of the SMP's effect on extreme market risk came from its impact on location and scale, and thus from its impact on the center of the distribution. We estimate that the 97.5% VaR was reduced by 3.8, 6.0, 5.9, 2.1, and 6.9 bps per ≤ 1 bn Eurosystem intervention in Spanish, Greek, Irish, Italian, and Portuguese five-year bench-

mark bonds, respectively. The impact grows with the extremeness of the VaR. The 99.5% VaR estimate is reduced, respectively, by 5.1, 10.1, 12.5, 2.9, and 15.4 bps per ≤ 1 bn of Eurosystem purchases in the above bonds. These are economically meaningful reductions in market risk. Lower market risks likely helped market makers and dealer banks at the time to remain in the market and to continue to supply liquidity to turbulent bond market segments; see e.g. Pelizzon et al. (2013, 2016). High market risks can force dealer banks to retreat, in particular when their own VaR constraints are binding; see Vayanos and Vila (2009) and Adrian and Shin (2014). In turn, malfunctioning sovereign bond markets can impair a balanced transmission of the common monetary policy stance to all parts of the euro area. Table 5 also shows that these improvements were obtained without worsening the tail parameters. If anything, additional beneficial secondary effects came about via the SMP's effect on tail shape and tail scale parameters for large economies such as Spain and Italy.⁹

4.4 Tail shape and tail scale estimates from raw data

We conclude our empirical study with a discussion of tail shape and tail scale estimates from raw (un-prefiltered) data y_t . The dynamic tail shape and tail scale model of Section 2 could be robust to omitted variation in the center of the distribution $g(y_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$. This is because of two effects. First, the autoregressive specification of τ_t via (10) implies that τ_t can adjust to time variation in the center of the distribution. The resulting exceedances $\tilde{x}_t = y_t - \tilde{\tau}_t$ from unfiltered data can therefore in practice still be close to the exceedances $x_t = (y_t - \mu_t)/\sigma_t - \tau_t$ from pre-filtered data. Second, the dynamic specification of δ_t via (7) implies that the tail scale could mop up omitted time-variation in σ_t , leaving ξ_t free to fit the time-variation in tail shape.

Web Appendix G discusses our tail shape and tail scale estimates obtained from POTs $\tilde{x}_t = y_t - \tilde{\tau}_t$, along with the model's deterministic parameters. The estimates of c^{δ} , the SMP impact on tail scale, are now negative in all five cases, and are statistically significantly

⁹Additional, beneficial SMP announcement effects are not taken into account in Table 5. This is because both the 09 May 2010 and 08 August 2011 SMP announcements occurred when markets were closed, and are therefore not part of our sample. The VaR impact estimates are conservative in this sense.

negative at a 5% confidence level in two cases. This is intuitive, as δ_t now not only captures dispersion in the tail, but to some extent also in the center. The point estimates of c^{ξ} remain statistically insignificant. The estimates $\hat{\xi}_t$ and $\hat{\delta}_t$ from un-prefiltered data are more volatile, and visibly different from the estimates from pre-filtered data as reported in Figure 5. This suggests that the score-driven updates of τ_t and δ_t do not fully absorb all variation in μ_t and σ_t for our data at hand. We therefore prefer the estimates based on appropriately prefiltered data.

5 Conclusion

We introduced a semi-parametric modeling framework to study time variation in tail parameters for long univariate time series. To this end we modeled the time variation in the shape and scale parameters of the Generalized Pareto Distribution, which approximates the tail of most heavy-tailed densities used in econometrics and the actuarial sciences. We discussed the handling of non-tail time series observations, inference on deterministic and time-varying parameters, and how to relate tail variation to observed covariates if such variables are available. The model therefore complements and extends recent work based on different methodologies, such as the non-parametric approach to tail index variation of de Haan and Zhou (2020), the time-varying quantile (and ES) approaches of Patton et al. (2019) and Catania and Luati (2019), and the parametric modeling approach of Massacci (2017). We applied the model to study the impact of bond purchases within the Eurosystem's SMP between 2010 and 2012 on the extreme upper tail of sovereign bond yield changes measured at a high frequency, concluding that the program had a beneficial impact on extreme tail quantiles, leaning against the risk of extremely adverse market outcomes while active. This beneficial impact is mostly explained by moving the center of the predicative distribution to the left and narrowing it, rather than via an impact on tail shape or tail scale parameters.

Evidently, our model for time-varying tail parameters is focussed on capturing marginal features. In many applications it may also be of interest to study the time-varying nature of joint extremes; see e.g. Castro-Camilo et al. (2018), Escobar-Bach et al. (2018), and

Mhalla et al. (2019). In terms of the current application, one could wonder, for example, if the extreme bond yield changes for Portuguese and Greek sovereign bonds, say, were more dependent at certain points in time. We leave such research for future work; but see also Lucas et al. (2014) and Patton and Oh (2018) in this regard.

References

- Adrian, T. and H. S. Shin (2014). Procyclical leverage and Value-at-Risk. Review of Financial Studies 27(2), 373–403.
- Blasques, F., P. Gorgi, and S. J. Koopman (2018). Missing observations in observation-driven time series models. *Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper 2018-013/III*, 1–39.
- Blasques, F., S. J. Koopman, K. Lasak, and A. Lucas (2016). In-sample confidence bands and outof-sample forecast bands for time-varying parameters in observation-driven models. *International Journal of Forecasting 32*, 875–887.
- Blasques, F., S. J. Koopman, and A. Lucas (2015). Information theoretic optimality of observation driven time series models for continuous responses. *Biometrika* 102(2), 325–343.
- Blasques, F., S. J. Koopman, and A. Lucas (2020). Meximum likelihood estimation for score-driven models. *Tinbergen Institute discussion papers 2014-029/III, update July 2020*, 1–54.
- Castro-Camilo, D., M. de Carvalho, and J. Wadsworth (2018). Time-varying extreme value dependence with application to leading European stock markets. Annals of Applied Statistics 12(1), 283–309.
- Catania, L. and A. Luati (2019). Semiparametric modeling of multiple quantiles. Available at SSRN 3494995.
- Chavez-Demoulin, V., P. Embrechts, and S. Sardy (2014). Extreme-quantile tracking for financial time series. *Journal of Econometrics* 181(1), 44–52.
- Cœuré, B. (2013). Outright Monetary Transactions, one year on. Speech at the conference "The ECB and its OMT programme," Berlin, 2 September 2013.

Coles, S. (2001). An introduction to statistical modeling of extreme values. Springer Press, London.

- Cox, D. R. (1981). Statistical analysis of time series: some recent developments. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 8, 93–115.
- Creal, D., S. J. Koopman, and A. Lucas (2013). Generalized autoregressive score models with applications. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 28(5), 777–795.
- Creal, D., B. Schwaab, S. J. Koopman, and A. Lucas (2014). An observation driven mixed measurement dynamic factor model with application to credit risk. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 96(5), 898915.
- D'Agostino, R. B., A. Belanger, and R. B. D'Agostino Jr (1990). A suggestion for using powerful and informative tests of normality. *The American Statistician* 44(4), 316–321.
- Davidson, A. C. and R. L. Smith (1990). Models for exceedances over high thresholds. Journal of the Royal Statistical Association, Series B 52(3), 393–442.
- Davidson, R. and J. G. MacKinnon (2004). *Econometric theory and methods*. Oxford University press.
- de Haan, L. and C. Zhou (2020). Trends in extreme value indices. *Journal of the Americal Statistical Association, forthcoming*.
- ECB (2013). European Central Bank Annual Report 2012.
- ECB (2014). The determinants of euro area sovereign bond yield spreads during the crisis. ECB Monthly Bulletin article, May 2014.
- Einmahl, J., L. de Haan, and C. Zhou (2016). Statistics of heteroscedastic extremes. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B 78, 31–51.
- Embrechts, P., C. Klüppelberg, and T. Mikosch (1997). Modelling extremal events for insurance and finance. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Engle, R. F. and S. Manganelli (2004). CAViaR: Conditional autoregressive value at risk by regression quantiles. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 22(4), 367–381.

- Engle, R. F. and A. J. Patton (2001). What good is a volatility model? *Quantitative Finance* 1(2), 237–245.
- Escobar-Bach, M., Y. Goegebeur, and A. Guillou (2018). Local robust estimation of the Pickands dependence function. Annals of Statistics 46(6A), 2806–2843.
- Eser, F. and B. Schwaab (2016). Evaluating the impact of unconventional monetary policy measures: Empirical evidence from the ECB's Securities Markets Programme. Journal of Financial Economics 119(1), 147–167.
- Galbraith, J. W. and S. Zernov (2004). Circuit breakers and the tail index of equity returns. *Journal* of Financial Econometrics 2(1), 109–129.
- Ghysels, E., J. Idier, S. Manganelli, and O. Vergote (2017). A high frequency assessment of the ECB Securities Markets Programme. *Journal of European Economic Association* 15(1), 218–243.
- Harvey, A. C. (2013). Dynamic models for volatility and heavy tails with applications to financial and economic time series. Cambridge University Press.
- Hill, B. (1975). A simple general approach to inference about the tail of a distribution. The Annals of Statistics 3(5), 1163–1174.
- Hoga, Y. (2017). Testing for changes in (Extreme) VaR. Econometrics Journal 20, 23-51.
- Huisman, R., K. Koedijk, C. Kool, and F. Palm (2001). Tail-index estimates in small samples. Journal of Business & Economic Statistics 19(1), 208–216.
- Koenker, R. (2005). Quantile Regression. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Koenker, R. and B. J. Park (1996). An interior point algorithm for nonlinear quantile regression. Journal of Econometrics 71(1-2), 265–283.
- Lin, C.-H. and T.-C. Kao (2018). Multiple structural changes in the tail behavior: evidence from stock market futures returns. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications 9, 1702–1713.
- Lucas, A., B. Schwaab, and X. Zhang (2014). Conditional euro area sovereign default risk. Journal of Business and Economics Statistics 32(2), 271–284.

- Lucas, A. and X. Zhang (2016). Score driven exponentially weighted moving average and value-atrisk forecasting. *International Journal of Forecasting 32*, 293–302.
- Manganelli, S. and R. F. Engle (2004). A comparison of value at risk models in finance. In G. Szego (Ed.), Risk Measures for the 21st Century. Wiley Finance.
- Massacci, D. (2017). Tail risk dynamics in stock returns: Links to the macroeconomy and global markets connectedness. *Management Science* 63(9), 112–132.
- McNeil, A. and R. Frey (2000). Estimation of tail-related risk measures for heteroscedastic financial time series: An Extreme Value approach. *Journal of Empirical Finance* 7(3-4), 271–300.
- McNeil, A. J., R. Frey, and P. Embrechts (2010). *Quantitative risk management: Concepts, techniques, and tools.* Princeton University press.
- Mhalla, L., M. de Carvalho, and V. ChavezDemoulin (2019). Regressiontype models for extremal dependence. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 46(4), 1141–1167.
- Patton, A. (2006). Modelling asymmetric exchange rate dependence. International Economic Review 47(2), 527–556.
- Patton, A. J. and D. H. Oh (2018). Time-varying systemic risk: evidence from a dynamic copula model of CDS spreads. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 36(2), 181–195.
- Patton, A. J., J. F. Ziegel, and R. Chen (2019). Dynamic semiparametric models for Expected Shortfall (and Value-at-Risk). *Journal of Econometrics* 211(2), 388–413.
- Pelizzon, L., M. Subrahmanyam, D. Tomio, and J. Uno (2013). The microstructure of the European sovereign bond market: A study of the Eurozone crisis. Unpublished working paper, University of Venice, New York University, Copenhagen Business School, and Waseda University.
- Pelizzon, L., M. Subrahmanyam, D. Tomio, and J. Uno (2016). Sovereign credit risk, liquidity, and ECB intervention: Deus Ex Machina? *Journal of Financial Economics* 122(1), 44–52.
- Pooter, M. D., R. F. Martin, and S. Pruitt (2018). The liquidity effects of official bond market intervention. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 53(1), 243–268.

- Quintos, C., Z. Fan, and P. C. Phillips (2001). Structural change tests in tail behaviour and the asian crisis. The Review of Economic Studies 68(3), 633–663.
- Rocco, M. (2014). Extreme value theory in finance: A survey. Journal of Economic Surveys 28(1), 82–108.
- Roll, R. (1984). A simple implicit measure of the effective bid-ask spread in an efficient market. The Journal of Finance 39(4), 1127–1139.
- Vayanos, D. and J.-L. Vila (2009). A preferred habitat model of the term structure of interest rates. NBER Working Paper 15487.
- Wagner, N. (2005). Autoregressive conditional tail behavior and results on government bond yield spreads. International Review of Financial Analysis 14(2), 247–261.
- Wang, H. and C.-L. Tsai (2009). Tail Index Regression. Journal of the American Statistical Association 104(487), 1233–1240.
- Werner, T. and C. Upper (2004). Time variation in the tail behavior of bund future returns. *Journal* of Futures Markets 24 (4), 387–398.

Earlier Working Papers:

For a complete list of Working Papers published by Sveriges Riksbank, see www.riksbank.se

Estimation of an Adaptive Stock Market Model with Heterogeneous Agents by Henrik Amilon	2005:177
Some Further Evidence on Interest-Rate Smoothing: The Role of Measurement Errors in the Output Gap by Mikael Apel and Per Jansson	2005:178
Bayesian Estimation of an Open Economy DSGE Model with Incomplete Pass-Through by Malin Adolfson, Stefan Laséen, Jesper Lindé and Mattias Villani	2005:179
Are Constant Interest Rate Forecasts Modest Interventions? Evidence from an Estimated Open Economy DSGE Model of the Euro Area by Malin Adolfson, Stefan Laséen, Jesper Lindé and Mattias Villani	2005:180
Inference in Vector Autoregressive Models with an Informative Prior on the Steady State by Mattias Villani	2005:181
Bank Mergers, Competition and Liquidity by Elena Carletti, Philipp Hartmann and Giancarlo Spagnolo	2005:182
Testing Near-Rationality using Detailed Survey Data by Michael F. Bryan and Stefan Palmqvist	2005:183
Exploring Interactions between Real Activity and the Financial Stance by Tor Jacobson, Jesper Lindé and Kasper Roszbach	2005:184
Two-Sided Network Effects, Bank Interchange Fees, and the Allocation of Fixed Costs by Mats A. Bergman	2005:185
Trade Deficits in the Baltic States: How Long Will the Party Last? by Rudolfs Bems and Kristian Jönsson	2005:186
Real Exchange Rate and Consumption Fluctuations follwing Trade Liberalization by Kristian Jönsson	2005:187
Modern Forecasting Models in Action: Improving Macroeconomic Analyses at Central Banks by Malin Adolfson, Michael K. Andersson, Jesper Lindé, Mattias Villani and Anders Vredin	2005:188
Bayesian Inference of General Linear Restrictions on the Cointegration Space by Mattias Villani	2005:189
Forecasting Performance of an Open Economy Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model by Malin Adolfson, Stefan Laséen, Jesper Lindé and Mattias Villani	2005:190
Forecast Combination and Model Averaging using Predictive Measures by Jana Eklund and Sune Karlsson	2005:191
Swedish Intervention and the Krona Float, 1993-2002 by Owen F. Humpage and Javiera Ragnartz	2006:192
A Simultaneous Model of the Swedish Krona, the US Dollar and the Euro by Hans Lindblad and Peter Sellin	2006:193
Testing Theories of Job Creation: Does Supply Create Its Own Demand? by Mikael Carlsson, Stefan Eriksson and Nils Gottfries	2006:194
Down or Out: Assessing The Welfare Costs of Household Investment Mistakes by Laurent E. Calvet, John Y. Campbell and Paolo Sodini	2006:195
Efficient Bayesian Inference for Multiple Change-Point and Mixture Innovation Models by Paolo Giordani and Robert Kohn	2006:196
Derivation and Estimation of a New Keynesian Phillips Curve in a Small Open Economy by Karolina Holmberg	2006:197
Technology Shocks and the Labour-Input Response: Evidence from Firm-Level Data by Mikael Carlsson and Jon Smedsaas	2006:198
Monetary Policy and Staggered Wage Bargaining when Prices are Sticky by Mikael Carlsson and Andreas Westermark	2006:199
The Swedish External Position and the Krona by Philip R. Lane	2006:200

Price Setting Transactions and the Role of Denominating Currency in FX Markets by Richard Friberg and Fredrik Wilander	2007:201
The geography of asset holdings: Evidence from Sweden by Nicolas Coeurdacier and Philippe Martin	2007:202
Evaluating An Estimated New Keynesian Small Open Economy Model by Malin Adolfson, Stefan Laséen, Jesper Lindé and Mattias Villani	2007:203
The Use of Cash and the Size of the Shadow Economy in Sweden by Gabriela Guibourg and Björn Segendorf	2007:204
Bank supervision Russian style: Evidence of conflicts between micro- and macro-prudential concerns by Sophie Claeys and Koen Schoors	2007:205
Optimal Monetary Policy under Downward Nominal Wage Rigidity by Mikael Carlsson and Andreas Westermark	2007:206
Financial Structure, Managerial Compensation and Monitoring by Vittoria Cerasi and Sonja Daltung	2007:207
Financial Frictions, Investment and Tobin's q by Guido Lorenzoni and Karl Walentin	2007:208
Sticky Information vs Sticky Prices: A Horse Race in a DSGE Framework by Mathias Trabandt	2007:209
Acquisition versus greenfield: The impact of the mode of foreign bank entry on information and bank lending rates by Sophie Claeys and Christa Hainz	2007:210
Nonparametric Regression Density Estimation Using Smoothly Varying Normal Mixtures by Mattias Villani, Robert Kohn and Paolo Giordani	2007:211
The Costs of Paying – Private and Social Costs of Cash and Card by Mats Bergman, Gabriella Guibourg and Björn Segendorf	2007:212
Using a New Open Economy Macroeconomics model to make real nominal exchange rate forecasts by Peter Sellin	2007:213
Introducing Financial Frictions and Unemployment into a Small Open Economy Model by Lawrence J. Christiano, Mathias Trabandt and Karl Walentin	2007:214
Earnings Inequality and the Equity Premium by Karl Walentin	2007:215
Bayesian forecast combination for VAR models by Michael K. Andersson and Sune Karlsson	2007:216
Do Central Banks React to House Prices? by Daria Finocchiaro and Virginia Queijo von Heideken	2007:217
The Riksbank's Forecasting Performance by Michael K. Andersson, Gustav Karlsson and Josef Svensson	2007:218
Macroeconomic Impact on Expected Default Freqency by Per Åsberg and Hovick Shahnazarian	2008:219
Monetary Policy Regimes and the Volatility of Long-Term Interest Rates by Virginia Queijo von Heideken	2008:220
Governing the Governors: A Clinical Study of Central Banks by Lars Frisell, Kasper Roszbach and Giancarlo Spagnolo	2008:221
The Monetary Policy Decision-Making Process and the Term Structure of Interest Rates by Hans Dillén	2008:222
How Important are Financial Frictions in the U S and the Euro Area by Virginia Queijo von Heideken	2008:223
Block Kalman filtering for large-scale DSGE models by Ingvar Strid and Karl Walentin	2008:224
Optimal Monetary Policy in an Operational Medium-Sized DSGE Model by Malin Adolfson, Stefan Laséen, Jesper Lindé and Lars E. O. Svensson	2008:225
Firm Default and Aggregate Fluctuations by Tor Jacobson, Rikard Kindell, Jesper Lindé and Kasper Roszbach	2008:226
Re-Evaluating Swedish Membership in EMU: Evidence from an Estimated Model by Ulf Söderström	2008:227

The Effect of Cash Flow on Investment: An Empirical Test of the Balance Sheet Channel by Ola Melander	2009:228
Expectation Driven Business Cycles with Limited Enforcement by Karl Walentin	2009:229
Effects of Organizational Change on Firm Productivity by Christina Håkanson	2009:230
Evaluating Microfoundations for Aggregate Price Rigidities: Evidence from Matched Firm-Level Data on Product Prices and Unit Labor Cost by Mikael Carlsson and Oskar Nordström Skans	2009:231
Monetary Policy Trade-Offs in an Estimated Open-Economy DSGE Model by Malin Adolfson, Stefan Laséen, Jesper Lindé and Lars E. O. Svensson	2009:232
Flexible Modeling of Conditional Distributions Using Smooth Mixtures of Asymmetric Student T Densities by Feng Li, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn	2009:233
Forecasting Macroeconomic Time Series with Locally Adaptive Signal Extraction by Paolo Giordani and Mattias Villani	2009:234
Evaluating Monetary Policy by Lars E. O. Svensson	2009:235
Risk Premiums and Macroeconomic Dynamics in a Heterogeneous Agent Model by Ferre De Graeve, Maarten Dossche, Marina Emiris, Henri Sneessens and Raf Wouters	2010:236
Picking the Brains of MPC Members by Mikael Apel, Carl Andreas Claussen and Petra Lennartsdotter	2010:237
Involuntary Unemployment and the Business Cycle by Lawrence J. Christiano, Mathias Trabandt and Karl Walentin	2010:238
Housing collateral and the monetary transmission mechanism by Karl Walentin and Peter Sellin	2010:239
The Discursive Dilemma in Monetary Policy by Carl Andreas Claussen and Øistein Røisland	2010:240
Monetary Regime Change and Business Cycles by Vasco Cúrdia and Daria Finocchiaro	2010:241
Bayesian Inference in Structural Second-Price common Value Auctions by Bertil Wegmann and Mattias Villani	2010:242
Equilibrium asset prices and the wealth distribution with inattentive consumers by Daria Finocchiaro	2010:243
Identifying VARs through Heterogeneity: An Application to Bank Runs by Ferre De Graeve and Alexei Karas	2010:244
Modeling Conditional Densities Using Finite Smooth Mixtures by Feng Li, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn	2010:245
The Output Gap, the Labor Wedge, and the Dynamic Behavior of Hours by Luca Sala, Ulf Söderström and Antonella Trigari	2010:246
Density-Conditional Forecasts in Dynamic Multivariate Models by Michael K. Andersson, Stefan Palmqvist and Daniel F. Waggoner	2010:247
Anticipated Alternative Policy-Rate Paths in Policy Simulations by Stefan Laséen and Lars E. O. Svensson	2010:248
MOSES: Model of Swedish Economic Studies by Gunnar Bårdsen, Ard den Reijer, Patrik Jonasson and Ragnar Nymoen	2011:249
The Effects of Endogenuos Firm Exit on Business Cycle Dynamics and Optimal Fiscal Policy by Lauri Vilmi	2011:250
Parameter Identification in a Estimated New Keynesian Open Economy Model by Malin Adolfson and Jesper Lindé	2011:251
Up for count? Central bank words and financial stress by Marianna Blix Grimaldi	2011:252
Wage Adjustment and Productivity Shocks by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans	2011:253

Stylized (Arte) Facts on Sectoral Inflation by Ferre De Graeve and Karl Walentin	2011:254
Hedging Labor Income Risk by Sebastien Betermier, Thomas Jansson, Christine A. Parlour and Johan Walden	2011:255
Taking the Twists into Account: Predicting Firm Bankruptcy Risk with Splines of Financial Ratios by Paolo Giordani, Tor Jacobson, Erik von Schedvin and Mattias Villani	2011:256
Collateralization, Bank Loan Rates and Monitoring: Evidence from a Natural Experiment by Geraldo Cerqueiro, Steven Ongena and Kasper Roszbach	2012:257
On the Non-Exclusivity of Loan Contracts: An Empirical Investigation by Hans Degryse, Vasso Ioannidou and Erik von Schedvin	2012:258
Labor-Market Frictions and Optimal Inflation by Mikael Carlsson and Andreas Westermark	2012:259
Output Gaps and Robust Monetary Policy Rules by Roberto M. Billi	2012:260
The Information Content of Central Bank Minutes by Mikael Apel and Marianna Blix Grimaldi	2012:261
The Cost of Consumer Payments in Sweden	2012:262
by Björn Segendorf and Thomas Jansson	
Trade Credit and the Propagation of Corporate Failure: An Empirical Analysis	2012:263
by Tor Jacobson and Erik von Schedvin	
Structural and Cyclical Forces in the Labor Market During the Great Recession: Cross-Country Evidence	2012:264
by Luca Sala, Ulf Söderström and Antonella I rigari	
Pension Wealth and Household Savings in Europe: Evidence from SHARELIFE	2013:265
by Rob Alessie, Viola Angelini and Peter van Santen	
Long-Term Relationship Bargaining	2013:266
by Andreas Westermark	
Using Financial Markets To Estimate the Macro Effects of Monetary Policy: An Impact-Identified FAVAR*	2013:267
by Steran Pitschner	
DYNAMIC MIXTURE-OF-EXPERTS MODELS FOR LONGITUDINAL AND DISCRETE-TIME SURVIVAL DATA	2013:268
by Mattas Quiroz and Mattas Villani	0040-000
Conditional euro area sovereign delauit risk	2013:209
by Andre Lucas, Berna Schwaab and Xin Zhang	2012:270
Nominal GDP Targeung and the Zero Lower Bound: Should we Abandon Initation Targeting?"	2013:270
	0040.074
by Forre Do Croove and Androop Mentermark	2013.271
by Ferre De Graeve and Andreas Westermark	2012.272
housing Choices and Labor Income Risk	2013.272
	2012:272
by Ferre De Greeve and Virginia Queije von Heideken	2013.273
On the Redistributive Effects of Inflation: an International Perspective*	2012:274
by Paola Roel	2013.274
Business Cycle Implications of Mortrage Spreads*	2012:275
business Cycle Implications of Mongage Spreads	2013.275
Approximate dynamic programming with post-decision states as a solution method for dynamic	2013.276
economic models by Isaiah Hull	2010.210
A detrimental feedback loon: deleveraging and adverse selection	2013.277
by Christoph Bertsch	2010.211
Distortionary Fiscal Policy and Monetary Policy Goals	2013:278
by Klaus Adam and Roberto M. Billi	_010.210
Predicting the Spread of Financial Innovations: An Epidemiological Approach	2013:279
by Isaiah Hull	
Firm-Level Evidence of Shifts in the Supply of Credit	2013:280
by Karolina Holmberg	

A wake-up call: Information contagion and strategic uncertainty 2013:282 by Toni Ahmert and Christoph Bertsch 2013:283 by Stefan Laséen and Ingvar Strid 2014:284 by Control C	Lines of Credit and Investment: Firm-Level Evidence of Real Effects of the Financial Crisis by Karolina Holmberg	2013:281
Debt Dramics and Morelary Policy: A Note 2013/283 by Stefan Lasten and Ingvar Sind 2014/284 by Comp Olovsson 12014/284 by Comp Olovsson 2014/285 by Michael Haliassos. Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut 2014/285 by Michael Haliassos. Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut 2014/286 by Antie Bendie Butron Hollied and Patrik Sandas 2014/287 by Isaiah Hull 2014/286 2014/287 by Isaiah Hull 2014/286 2014/287 by Isaiah Hull 2014/286 2014/287 by Kishael Haliassos. Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut 2014/286 by Michael Haliassos. Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut 2014/286 2014/287 by Isaiah Hull 2014/288 2014/287 by Isaiah Hull 2014/288 2014/288 2014/288 by Patrick J. Dannis and Patrik Sandas 2014/289 by Kishael Carlsson 2014/289 2014/288 by Systematic baliout guarantees and lacit coordination 2014/289 by Kishael Carlsson 2014/289 2014/289 by Mikel Carlsson 2014/289 2014/289 by Vishael Carlsson 2014/289 by Kishael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2014/289 by Toni Almet and Christoph Bertsch Rieks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015/294 by Toni Almet and Christoph Bertsch The Ingortance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015/296 by Japa W.B. Bos and Defar C. van Samten 32014/293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015/295 by Matal Sourcz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2007/2015/295 by Johan Growth? 2015/297 by Johan Growth? 2015/297 by Johan Growth? Evidence from European and US Banking 2015/296 by Japa W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Samten 32015/298 by Johan Growth? 2015/297 by Johan Growth? Evidence of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015/301 by Johan Hull 2015/302 by Johan Growth? Evidence of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015/303 by Johan Hull 2015/302 by Johan Growth? Drestoped Johan Johan Structural Change Prior	A wake-up call: information contagion and strategic uncertainty by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch	2013:282
by Stefan Lasken and Ingvar Strid Optimal taxation with home production Sp Comry Olovisson Incompatible European Partners? Cultural Predispositions and Household Financial Behavior Sp Michael Halassos, Thomas, Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut How Subprime Borrovers and Mortgage Brokers Shared the Piecial Behavior by Michael Halassos, Thomas, Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut How Subprime Borrovers and Mortgage Brokers Shared the Piecial Behavior 2014/286 by Ange Berndt, Burton Hollfield and Patrik Sandas The Marco-Fincial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts by Janib Berndt, Burton Hollfield and Patrik Sandas Use Stating Halance Liquidity? 2014/288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandas Systematic bailout guarantees and tact coordination 2014/280 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Querent Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting 2014/291 by Visna Corbo Proward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism by Fore De Graeve, Pelin Ibase Rat Volatility by Jank Alex Carlsson Dy Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordstrom Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015/294 by Jank Alex Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordstrom Skans 2014/292 by Jank Alex Carlsson, Julián Messina and Coskar Nordstrom Skans 2015/295 by Rafael B. De Rezende Dre Incontagion 2015/296 by Jaak W. Bo san d Peter C. van Santen 2015/296 by Jaak W. Bo san d Peter C. van Santen 2015/296 by Jaak M. Bo san d Peter C. van Santen 2015/297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015/298 by Jaak Hull 2015/295 by Jaak M. Bo san d Peter C. van Santen 2015/296 by Jaak M. Bo san d Peter C. van Santen 2015/297 by Jaakas Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015/298 by Jaak Hull 2015/295 by Jaakas Ale and Crancesco Sangiorgi What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015/303 by Jisalah Hull 2015/303	Debt Dynamics and Monetary Policy: A Note	2013:283
Optimal taxation with home production 2014-284 by Comny Oloxson 2014-285 by Michael Haliassos, Thomas Jansson and Vigitan Karabulut 2014-285 by Michael Haliassos, Thomas Jansson and Vigitan Karabulut 2014-286 by Ante Barnd, Burton Hollifed and Patrik Sandas 2014-286 The Macro-Financial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts 2014-286 by Ante Barnd, Burton Hollifed and Patrik Sandas 2014-286 Does Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? 2014-288 by Batrick J. Dannis and Patrik Sandas 2014-280 Systematic bailout guarantees and tacit coordination 2014-280 by Miked Catisson 2014-290 Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014-291 by Wasa Carbo 2014-292 by Miked Carlsson 2014-292 by Miked Carlsson 2014-293 by Miked Carlsson, Julian Messin and Oskar Nordström Skans 2014-293 by Miked Carlsson, Julian Messin and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015-295 A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015-295 by Rabel B. De Rezende 2015-295 The Imporotance of Realiocation for Productivity Growth: Evidenc	by Stefan Laséen and Ingvar Strid	
by Conny Olovsson incompatibile European Partners? Cultural Predispositions and Household Financial Behavior by Michael Haliassos, Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut How Subprime Borrowers and Mortgage Brokers Shared the Piecial Behavior by Antje Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sanda's The Macro-Financial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts by Bartie Lauton Hollifield and Patrik Sanda's Dese Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? by Earlied J. Dennis and Patrik Sanda's Systematic bailout guarantees and tacit coordination by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagon and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagon and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Mikael Carlsson Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility by Vesna Corbo Teroward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism by Mikael Carlsson, Julian Messine and Osker Nordström Skans Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments by Mikael Carlsson, Julian Messine and Osker Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion by Mikael Carlsson, Julian Messine and Osker Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion bertsch Water and Christoph Bertsch Water and Christoph Bertsch Fire-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments by Jaap IVA. B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Mala Garisand Conny Olovsson Searching for Information by Jaap IVA. Bos and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information by Jaap IVA. B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Jaap IVA. Bos and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information by Jaap IVA. Bos and Peter C. Van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Jaap IVA. Bos and Peter C. Van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Jaap IVA. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Jaap IVA. Bos and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information by Jaapit Han and Francesco Sangiorgi	Optimal taxation with home production	2014:284
Incompatible European Partners? Cultural Predispositions and Household Financial Behavior 2014:285 by Micheel Heliassos, Thomas Jansson and Yigitan Karabulut 2014:286 by Angle Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sandas 2014:287 by Asigh Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sandas 2014:287 by Isaih Hull 2014:288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandas 2014:288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandas 2014:289 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandas 2014:289 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandas 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:290 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:290 by Mikael Carlsson 2014:290 by Wikael Carlsson 2014:290 by Venistoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:291 by Venistoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:291 by Venistoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:291 by Venistoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:291 by Venis Conto 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas A Klat Wouters 2014:291 by Venis Conto 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas A Klat Wouters 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015:294 by Tori Ahner Bortsch 2014:293 by Mikael B. De Rezende 2015:295 by Ralael B. De Rezende 2015:295 by Malael B. De Rezende 2015:295 by Malael B. De Rezende 2015:297 by Malas Quinz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:296 by Jaag W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 by Malae Guinz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:296 by Jaag Hull Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:297 by Juhan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and	by Conny Olovsson	
by Michael Haliassos, Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut How Subprime Borrowers and Mortgage Brokers Shared the Plecial Behavior by Angle Barnet, Burton Hollifelid and Patrik Sandas The Macro-Financial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts 2014:287 by Isalah Hull Dees Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? 2014:288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandas Systematic bailout guarantees and tacit coordination 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects and Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility by Vesna Corbo Forward Guidance and Lapor Adjustments by Fore De Graeve, Pelin Ibbs & Raf Woorts? Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments by Mikael Carlsson by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Takiael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans by Makel Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans by Makel Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans by Make Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans by Makel Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans by Rakel B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. Van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Jaah Gars and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information by Juliase Alivas Villani and Robert Kohn Thei Brocke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession by Jaab M.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING by Jaah Gars and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information by Juliase Alivas Villani and Robert Kohn Theire Level Targeting and Risk Management by	Incompatible European Partners? Cultural Predispositions and Household Financial Behavior	2014:285
How Subprime Borrowers and Mortgage Brokers Shared the Piecial Behavior 2014:286 by Angle Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sandás 2014:287 The Macro-Financial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts 2014:287 by Isalah Hull 2014:288 Dees Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? 2014:288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandás 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:290 by Mikeel Carlsson 2014:291 Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:292 by Vesne Corbo 2014:292 Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Kineel Carlsson, Julián Messine and Osker Nordström Skans 2014:293 by Mikeel Carlsson, Julián Messine and Osker Nordström Skans 2014:292 by Tori Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch 2015:295 by Nake-Up Call Carlson For Droductivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:295 by Jaala D. De Rezende 2015:296 by Jaala Meter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:208	by Michael Haliassos, Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut	
by Antje Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sandás The Macro-Financial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts 2014:287 by Isalah Hull Does Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? 2014:288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandás Systematic balout guarantees and tacit coordination 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Miked Carlsson Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:290 by Miked Carlsson Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo Forward Guidence and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments by Miked Carlsson, Juliah Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Terre Je Graeve, Delin Ilbas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments by Miked Carlsson, Juliah Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Terre Je Bezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking by Jaay ME, Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:295 by Jaaif AB. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:295 by Jaaif Hull Fuel for Economic Growth? by Johan Grass and Conny Olovsson Eserching for Information 2015:296 by Jaai Hull Frie Leven Targeting and Risk Management by Johan ders and Dany Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Jaaib Hull Frie De Graeve and Jens Versen 2015:302 by Jensich Hull Frie De Graeve and Jens Versen 2015:303 by Jennic Frenze Creak Sante Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:303 by Jennic Hull Frie De Graeve and Jens Versen 2015:303 by Jennic Hull Frie De Graeve and Jens Versen 2015:303 by Jennic Hull Frie De Graeve and Jens Versen 2015:303 by Jennic Hull Frie De Graeve and Jens Versen 2015:303 by Jennic Hull Frie De Graeve and Jens Versen 2015:3	How Subprime Borrowers and Mortgage Brokers Shared the Piecial Behavior	2014:286
The Macro-Financial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts 2014:287 by Isaidh Hull 2014:287 Does Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? 2014:288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandás 2014:289 Systematic ballout guarantees and tacit coordination 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quernent 2014:290 by Mikeel Carlsson 2014:291 by Wesne Corbo 2014:291 Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters 2014:293 by Tori Ahner and Christoph Bertsch 2014:293 by Tori Ahner and Christoph Bertsch 2015:294 by Tori Ahner of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:295 by Matei B De Rezende Carlscon The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:295 by Matei B Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:295 Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Juage W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:298 <td< td=""><td>by Antje Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sandås</td><td></td></td<>	by Antje Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sandås	
by Isalah Hull Dees Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? by Partick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandas Systematic ballout guarantees and tacit coordination 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Mikael Carlsson Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:291 by Wesna Corbo Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Itbas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments by Mikael Carlsson, Juliah Messine and Oskar Nordström Skans Awake-up call theory of contagion Awake-up call theory of contagion Awake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Fare De Graeve, Pelin Itbas & Raf excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafeel B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaafeel B. De Rezende SPEEDING UP MCMC EY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING SPEEDING UP MCMC EX EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING SPEEDING UP MCMC EY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING AND SPEEND Lees AN ABARG SPEEDIN	The Macro-Financial Implications of House Price-Indexed Mortgage Contracts	2014:287
Dees Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity? 2014:288 by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandals 2014:289 Systematic bailout guarantees and tacit coordination 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch. Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:290 by Mikael Carlsson 2014:291 Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo 2014:292 Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ibas & Raf Wouters 2014:293 Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2014:293 A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaba W B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:298 2015:298 by Jabiah Hull 2015:298 2015:299 2015:299 by Judisuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi	by Isaiah Hull	
by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sanda's Systematic ballout guarantees and tacit coordination by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Wikael Carlsson Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility by Vesna Corbo Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo Forward Guidance and Lang Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Greeve, Pelin Ibas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julia Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Terr De Greeve, Pelin Ibas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments Systematic Ballocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Jaah Mul Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:300 by Jusiah Hul Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:302 by Jaah Gars and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information by Jusiah Hul Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model by Jaap W.B. Boa Indext forward rates: A simple model by Jaap W.F. Board, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé Frie Level Targeting and Risk Management by Jusit Han and Francesco Sangiorgi Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model by Fere De Greeve and Jens Iversen Jumo-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:303 by Fere De Graeve and Jens Iversen Jumo-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Frie De Graeve and Jens Iversen Jumo-Starting the Euro	Does Trading Anonymously Enhance Liquidity?	2014:288
Systematic ballout guarantees and facit coordination 2014:289 by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement 2014:290 Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting 2014:290 by Mikael Carlsson 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin libas & Raf Wouters 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin libas & Raf Wouters 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julian Messina and Osker Nordström Skans 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julian Messina and Osker Nordström Skans 2015:294 by Tori Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch 2015:295 By Rafael B. De Rezende 2015:295 by Nate Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:296 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 by Isalah Hull 2015:298 by Isalah Hull 2015:298 by Jusaik Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:300 by Jusaih Hull 2015:301 Centre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:302 by Jusaik Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:303 by Jusaik Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:303 by	by Patrick J. Dennis and Patrik Sandås	
by Christoph Bertsch, Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting by Mikael Carlsson Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Tori Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaaf W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matas Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages by Jaah Hul Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:300 by Jaang and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model by Isaiah Hull Searching for Information by Isaiah Hull Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model by Isaiah Hull Searching for Laracterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Roberto Billi Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model by Isaiah Hull Streep Francesco Sangiorgi ScALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:305 by Frie Devel Targeting and Risk Management by Isaiah Using Firancesco Sangiorgi ScALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 by Fira Devel Targeting and Risk Management by Isaiah Quiroz, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	Systematic bailout guarantees and tacit coordination	2014:289
Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting 2014:290 by Mikael Carlsson 2014:291 Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo 2014:292 Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters 2014:293 Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2015:294 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015:294 A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:295 by Rafeel B. De Rezende 2015:295 The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:297 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:298 by Jaap U.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:298 Sereching for Information 2015:298 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovson 2015:298 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Johan Gars and Crany Olovson 2015:302 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Fere De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:303 Jum	by Christoph Bertsch. Claudio Calcagno and Mark Le Quement	
by Mikael Carlsson 2014:291 Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin libas & Raf Wouters 2014:292 Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch 2015:295 Bisk in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Alazel B. De Rezende 2015:296 The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W. B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:298 by Jaais Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:299 2015:299 Searching for Information 2015:290 2015:291 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:292 2015:292 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Johang Greare and Jens Iversen 2015:	Selection Effects in Producer-Price Setting	2014:290
Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility 2014:291 by Vesna Corbo 2014:291 Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters 2014:293 Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2015:294 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende 2015:295 2015:296 The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:297 by Jaaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Justias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 2015:299 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:301 2015:302 by Jesiah Hull 2015:302 2015:303 2015:303 by Fere De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:303	by Mikael Carlsson	
by Vesa Corbo Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin libas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Toni Annert and Christoph Bertsch Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability by Rafael B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information 2015:302 by Rabert B. Jill Price Level Targeting and Risk Management by Isaiah Hull Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Ferre DE Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:303 by Ferre DE Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 by Ferre DE Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:305 by Johan Sand Mason SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 by Jing Information Scuces Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Roberto Bill Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre DE Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric Level CR ARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 by Eric Merce CR ARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 by Eric MATTAS VULLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	Dynamic Demand Adjustment and Exchange Rate Volatility	2014:291
Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism 2014:292 by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters 2014:293 Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch 2015:295 Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:298 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:298 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:298 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:298 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:298 by Jusguk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:300 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Aborto Billi 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:303 Jump-Starting the Euro Area	by Vesna Corbo	
by Ferre De Graeve, Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Jsaiah Hull Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Reith Buil Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen Jume/Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:303 by Givier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Olivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Olivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivier Bianchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé by Clivi	Forward Guidance and Long Term Interest Rates: Inspecting the Mechanism	2014:292
Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments 2014:293 by Mikael Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch 2015:295 Bisks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende 2015:296 The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Maitas Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Jalan Gars and Conny Olovson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:302 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:302 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:305 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery?	by Ferre De Graeve. Pelin Ilbas & Raf Wouters	
by Mikel Carlsson, Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Roberto Billi Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Fere De Graeve and Jens Iversen Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND HI EDIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	Firm-Level Shocks and Labor Adjustments	2014:293
A wake-up call theory of contagion 2015:294 by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende 2015:295 by Jaap W. B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:295 by Jaap W. B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 by Jaaih Hull 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:299 by Jabiah Hull 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:301 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 CALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:307 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	by Mikael Carlsson. Julián Messina and Oskar Nordström Skans	
by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages by Isaiah Hull Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conry Olovsson Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:303 by Firic M. Leeper and James M. Nason SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	A wake-up call theory of contagion	2015:294
Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability 2015:295 by Rafael B. De Rezende 2015:296 The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:299 by Jaiah Hull 2015:299 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 by Roberto Billi 2015:302 central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:303 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306	by Toni Ahnert and Christoph Bertsch	
by Rafael B. De Rezende 2015:296 The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Isaiah Hull 2015:301 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by P Greeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:305 by Fire M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:305 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 HE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by HAT AS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:	Risks in macroeconomic fundamentals and excess bond returns predictability	2015:295
The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking 2015:296 by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen 2015:297 SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DA	by Rafael B. De Rezende	
by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	The Importance of Reallocation for Productivity Growth: Evidence from European and US Banking	2015:296
SPEEDING UP MCMC BY EFFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING 2015:297 by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:302 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	by Jaap W.B. Bos and Peter C. van Santen	
by Matias Quiroz, Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn 2015:298 Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:302 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	SPEEDING UP MCMC BY FEFICIENT DATA SUBSAMPLING	2015:297
Amortization Requirements and Household Indebtedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages 2015:298 by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:303 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	by Matias Quiroz Mattias Villani and Robert Kohn	2010.201
by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 by Isaiah Hull 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:305 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	Amortization Requirements and Household Indeptedness: An Application to Swedish-Style Mortgages	2015:298
Fuel for Economic Growth? 2015:299 by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	by Isaiah Hull	2010.200
by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson 2015:300 Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	Fuel for Economic Growth?	2015.299
Searching for Information 2015:300 by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:301 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson	2010.200
by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi 2015:000 What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	Searching for Information	2015:300
What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession 2015:301 by Isaiah Hull Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:302 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	by Jungsuk Han and Francesco Sangiorgi	2010.000
by Isaiah Hull 2015:302 price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	What Broke First? Characterizing Sources of Structural Change Prior to the Great Recession	2015:301
Price Level Targeting and Risk Management 2015:302 by Roberto Billi 2015:303 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	by Isaiah Hull	2010.001
by Roberto Billi 2015:302 Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	Price Level Targeting and Risk Management	2015:302
Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model 2015:303 by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR 2015:306 by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN 2015:306	by Roberto Billi	2010.002
by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen 2015:304 Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	Central bank policy paths and market forward rates: A simple model	2015:303
Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Periphery? 2015:304 by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé 2015:305 Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	by Ferre De Graeve and Jens Iversen	2010.000
by Olivier Blanchard, Christopher J. Erceg and Jesper Lindé Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	Jump-Starting the Euro Area Recovery: Would a Rise in Core Fiscal Spending Help the Perinhery?	2015:30/
Bringing Financial Stability into Monetary Policy* 2015:305 by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason 2015:306 SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	by Olivier Blanchard, Christonher, I. Erreg and Jesper Lindé	2013.304
by Eric M. Leeper and James M. Nason SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	Principa Einancial Stability into Monetary Policy*	2015.205
SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND 2015:306 THE DIFFERENCE ESTIMATOR by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	by Fric M Leener and James M Nason	2013.305
by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	SCALABLE MCMC FOR LARGE DATA PROBLEMS USING DATA SUBSAMPLING AND	2015:306
	by MATIAS QUIROZ, MATTIAS VILLANI AND ROBERT KOHN	

SPEEDING UP MCMC BY DELAYED ACCEPTANCE AND DATA SUBSAMPLING by MATIAS QUIROZ	2015:307
Modeling financial sector joint tail risk in the euro area by André Lucas, Bernd Schwaab and Xin Zhang	2015:308
Score Driven Exponentially Weighted Moving Averages and Value-at-Risk Forecasting	2015:309
by André Lucas and Xin Zhang	
On the Theoretical Efficacy of Quantitative Easing at the Zero Lower Bound	2015:310
by Paola Boel and Christopher J. Waller	
Optimal Inflation with Corporate Taxation and Financial Constraints	2015:311
by Daria Finocchiaro, Giovanni Lombardo, Caterina Mendicino and Philippe Weil	
Fire Sale Bank Recapitalizations	2015:312
by Christoph Bertsch and Mike Mariathasan	
Since you're so rich, you must be really smart: Talent and the Finance Wage Premium	2015:313
by Michael Böhm, Daniel Metzger and Per Strömberg	
Debt, equity and the equity price puzzle	2015:314
by Daria Finocchiaro and Caterina Mendicino	
Trade Credit: Contract-Level Evidence Contradicts Current Theories	2016:315
by Tore Ellingsen, Tor Jacobson and Erik von Schedvin	
Double Liability in a Branch Banking System: Historical Evidence from Canada	2016:316
by Anna Grodecka and Antonis Kotidis	
Subprime Borrowers, Securitization and the Transmission of Business Cycles	2016:317
by Anna Grodecka	
Real-Time Forecasting for Monetary Policy Analysis: The Case of Sveriges Riksbank	2016:318
by Jens Iversen, Stefan Laséen, Henrik Lundvall and Ulf Söderström	
Fed Liftoff and Subprime Loan Interest Rates: Evidence from the Peer-to-Peer Lending	2016:319
by Christoph Bertsch, Isaiah Hull and Xin Zhang	
Curbing Shocks to Corporate Liquidity: The Role of Trade Credit	2016:320
by Niklas Amberg, Tor Jacobson, Erik von Schedvin and Robert Townsend	
Firms' Strategic Choice of Loan Delinquencies	2016:321
by Paola Morales-Acevedo	
Fiscal Consolidation Under Imperfect Credibility	2016:322
by Matthieu Lemoine and Jesper Lindé	
Challenges for Central Banks' Macro Models	2016:323
by Jesper Lindé, Frank Smets and Rafael Wouters	
The interest rate effects of government bond purchases away from the lower bound	2016:324
by Rafael B. De Rezende	
COVENANT-LIGHT CONTRACTS AND CREDITOR COORDINATION	2016:325
by Bo Becker and Victoria Ivashina	
Endogenous Separations, Wage Rigidities and Employment Volatility	2016:326
by Mikael Carlsson and Andreas Westermark	
Renovatio Monetae: Gesell Taxes in Practice	2016:327
by Roger Svensson and Andreas Westermark	
Adjusting for Information Content when Comparing Forecast Performance	2016:328
by Michael K. Andersson, Ted Aranki and André Reslow	
Economic Scarcity and Consumers' Credit Choice	2016:329
by Marieke Bos, Chloé Le Coq and Peter van Santen	
Uncertain pension income and household saving	2016:330
by Peter van Santen	
Money, Credit and Banking and the Cost of Financial Activity	2016:331
by Paola Boel and Gabriele Camera	
Oil prices in a real-business-cycle model with precautionary demand for oil	2016:332
by Conny Olovsson	
Financial Literacy Externalities	2016:333
by Michael Haliasso, Thomas Jansson and Yigitcan Karabulut	

The timing of uncertainty shocks in a small open economy by Hanna Armelius, Isaiah Hull and Hanna Stenbacka Köhler	2016:334
Quantitative easing and the price-liquidity trade-off by Marien Ferdinandusse, Maximilian Freier and Annukka Ristiniemi	2017:335
What Broker Charges Reveal about Mortgage Credit Risk by Antje Berndt, Burton Hollifield and Patrik Sandåsi	2017:336
Asymmetric Macro-Financial Spillovers	2017:337
by Kristina Bluwstein	
Latency Arbitrage When Markets Become Faster	2017:338
by Burton Hollifield, Patrik Sandås and Andrew Todd	
How big is the toolbox of a central banker? Managing expectations with policy-rate forecasts: Evidence from Sweden	2017:339
by Magnus Åhl	
International business cycles: quantifying the effects of a world market for oil	2017:340
by Johan Gars and Conny Olovsson I	
Systemic Risk: A New Trade-Off for Monetary Policy?	2017:341
by Stefan Laséen, Andrea Pescatori and Jarkko Turunen	
Household Debt and Monetary Policy: Revealing the Cash-Flow Channel	2017:342
by Martin Flodén, Matilda Kilström, Jósef Sigurdsson and Roine Vestman	
House Prices, Home Equity, and Personal Debt Composition	2017:343
by Jieying Li and Xin Zhang	
Identification and Estimation issues in Exponential Smooth Transition Autoregressive Models	2017:344
by Daniel Buncic	
Domestic and External Sovereign Debt	2017:345
by Paola Di Casola and Spyridon Sichlimiris	
The Role of Trust in Online Lending by Christoph Bertsch, Isaiah Hull, Yingjie Qi and Xin Zhang	2017:346
On the effectiveness of loan-to-value regulation in a multiconstraint framework by Anna Grodecka	2017:347
Shock Propagation and Banking Structure by Mariassunta Giannetti and Farzad Saidi	2017:348
The Granular Origins of House Price Volatility	2017:349
by Isaiah Hull, Conny Olovsson, Karl Walentin and Andreas Westermark	
Should We Use Linearized Models To Calculate Fiscal Multipliers?	2017:350
by Jesper Lindé and Mathias Trabandt	
The impact of monetary policy on household borrowing – a high-frequency IV identification by Maria Sandström	2018:351
Conditional exchange rate pass-through: evidence from Sweden by Vesna Corbo and Paola Di Casola	2018:352
Learning on the Job and the Cost of Business Cycles by Karl Walentin and Andreas Westermark	2018:353
Trade Credit and Pricing: An Empirical Evaluation by Niklas Amberg, Tor Jacobson and Erik von Schedvin	2018:354
A shadow rate without a lower bound constraint by Rafael B. De Rezende and Annukka Ristiniemi	2018:355
Reduced "Border Effects", FTAs and International Trade by Sebastian Franco and Erik Frohm	2018:356
Spread the Word: International Spillovers from Central Bank Communication by Hanna Armelius, Christoph Bertsch, Isaiah Hull and Xin Zhang	2018:357
Predictors of Bank Distress: The 1907 Crisis in Sweden by Anna Grodecka, Seán Kenny and Anders Ögren	2018:358

Diversication Advantages During the Global Financial Crisis by Mats Levander	2018:359
Towards Technology-News-Driven Business Cycles by Paola Di Casola and Spyridon Sichlimiris	2018:360
The Housing Wealth Effect: Quasi-Experimental Evidence by Dany Kessel, Björn Tyrefors and Roine	2018:361
Identification Versus Misspecification in New Keynesian Monetary Policy Models by Malin Adolfson, Stefan Laseén, Jesper Lindé and Marco Ratto	2018:362
The Macroeconomic Effects of Trade Tariffs: Revisiting the Lerner Symmetry Result by Jesper Lindé and Andrea Pescatori	2019:363
Biased Forecasts to Affect Voting Decisions? The Brexit Case by Davide Cipullo and André Reslow	2019:364
The Interaction Between Fiscal and Monetary Policies: Evidence from Sweden by Sebastian Ankargren and Hovick Shahnazarian	2019:365
Designing a Simple Loss Function for Central Banks: Does a Dual Mandate Make Sense? by Davide Debortoli, Jinill Kim and Jesper Lindé	2019:366
Gains from Wage Flexibility and the Zero Lower Bound by Roberto M. Billi and Jordi Galí	2019:367
Fixed Wage Contracts and Monetary Non-Neutrality by Maria Björklund, Mikael Carlsson and Oskar Nordström Skans	2019:368
The Consequences of Uncertainty: Climate Sensitivity and Economic Sensitivity to the Climate by John Hassler, Per Krusell and Conny Olovsson	2019:369
Does Inflation Targeting Reduce the Dispersion of Price Setters' Inflation Expectations? by Charlotte Paulie	2019:370
Subsampling Sequential Monte Carlo for Static Bayesian Models by David Gunawan, Khue-Dung Dang, Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn and Minh-Ngoc Tran	2019:371
Hamiltonian Monte Carlo with Energy Conserving Subsampling by Khue-Dung Dang, Matias Quiroz, Robert Kohn, Minh-Ngoc Tran and Mattias Villani	2019:372
Institutional Investors and Corporate Investment by Cristina Cella	2019:373
The Impact of Local Taxes and Public Services on Property Values by Anna Grodecka and Isaiah Hull	2019:374
Directed technical change as a response to natural-resource scarcity by John Hassler, Per Krusell and Conny Olovsson	2019:375
A Tale of Two Countries: Cash Demand in Canada and Sweden by Walter Engert, Ben Fung and Björn Segendorf	2019:376
Tax and spending shocks in the open economy: are the deficits twins? by Mathias Klein and Ludger Linnemann	2019:377
Mind the gap! Stylized dynamic facts and structural models by Fabio Canova and Filippo Ferroni	2019:378
Financial Buffers, Unemployment Duration and Replacement Labor Income by Mats Levander	2019:379
Inefficient Use of Competitors' Forecasts? <i>by André Reslow</i>	2019:380
How Much Information Do Monetary Policy Committees Disclose? Evidence from the FOMC's Minutes and Transcripts <i>by Mikael Apel, Marianna Blix Grimaldi and Isaiah Hull</i>	2019:381
Risk endogeneity at the lender/investor-of-last-resort by Diego Caballero, André Lucas, Bernd Schwaab and Xin Zhang	2019:382
Heterogeneity in Households' Expectations of Housing Prices – Evidence from Micro Data by Erik Hjalmarsson and Pär Österholm	2019:383
Big Broad Banks: How Does Cross-Selling A Affect Lending? by Yingjie Qi	2020:384
Unemployment Fluctuations and Nominal GDP Targeting by Roberto Billi	2020:385

FAQ: How do I extract the output gap? <i>by Fabio Canova</i>	2020:386
Drivers of consumer prices and exchange rates in small open economies by Vesna Corbo and Paola Di Casola	2020:387
TFP news, stock market booms and the business cycle: Revisiting the evidence with VEC models by Paola Di Casola and Spyridon Sichlimiris	2020:388
The costs of macroprudential deleveraging in a liquidity trap by Jiaqian Chen, Daria Finocchiaro, Jesper Lindé and Karl Walentin	2020:389
The Role of Money in Monetary Policy at the Lower Bound by Roberto M. Billi, Ulf Söderström and Carl E. Walsh	2020:390
MAJA: A two-region DSGE model for Sweden and its main trading partners by Vesna Corbo and Ingvar Strid	2020:391
The interaction between macroprudential and monetary policies: The cases of Norway and Sweden by Jin Cao, Valeriya Dinger, Anna Grodecka-Messi, Ragnar Juelsrud and Xin Zhang	2020:392
Withering Cash: Is Sweden ahead of the curve or just special? by Hanna Armelius, Carl Andreas Claussen and André Reslow	2020:393
Labor shortages and wage growth by Erik Frohm	2020:394
Macro Uncertainty and Unemployment Risk by Joonseok Oh and Anna Rogantini Picco	2020:395
Monetary Policy Surprises, Central Bank Information Shocks, and Economic Activity in a Small Open Economy by Stefan Laséen	2020:396
Econometric issues with Laubach and Williams' estimates of the natural rate of interest by Daniel Buncic	2020:397
Quantum Technology for Economists by Isaiah Hull, Or Sattath, Eleni Diamanti and Göran Wendin	2020:398



Sveriges Riksbank Visiting address: Brunkebergs torg 11 Mail address: se-103 37 Stockholm

Website: www.riksbank.se Telephone: +46 8 787 00 00, Fax: +46 8 21 05 31 E-mail: registratorn@riksbank.se