

Scheuring, Sonja; Voßemer, Jonas; Baranowska-Rataj, Anna; Tattarini, Giulia

**Article — Published Version**

## Does Fixed-Term Employment Have Spillover Effects on the Well-Being of Partners? A Panel Data Analysis for East and West Germany

Journal of Happiness Studies

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

WZB Berlin Social Science Center

*Suggested Citation:* Scheuring, Sonja; Voßemer, Jonas; Baranowska-Rataj, Anna; Tattarini, Giulia (2021) : Does Fixed-Term Employment Have Spillover Effects on the Well-Being of Partners? A Panel Data Analysis for East and West Germany, Journal of Happiness Studies, ISSN 1573-7780, Springer, Berlin, Vol. 22, Iss. 7, pp. 3001-3021, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-020-00353-2>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/229646>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## Online Resource 1

**Table S1:** Spillover effects of fixed-term employment on the well-being of partners and gender differences by place of socialization

	M1 $\beta$ (t-value)	M2 $\beta$ (t-value)	M3 $\beta$ (t-value)	M4 $\beta$ (t-value)
<b>Dependent variable:</b>				
<b>Partner's well-being</b>				
unemployment to fixed-term employment			fixed-term to permanent employment	
<b>Employment status transition (Ref.: no transition)</b>				
transition	0.30*** (4.14)	0.46*** (3.84)	-0.06 (-1.54)	-0.05 (-1.02)
age	0.26 (1.52)	0.05 (0.24)	-0.18 (-0.99)	-0.37 (-1.54)
age <sup>2</sup>	0.00 (0.42)	-0.00 (-0.16)	-0.00 (-0.46)	-0.00 (-0.43)
unemployment rate	0.00 (0.10)	0.08 (1.02)	-0.02 (-1.04)	0.03 (0.95)
<b>Interaction with gender (Ref.: male)</b>				
female × transition	-0.23** (-2.21)	-0.46*** (-2.58)	0.05 (0.89)	0.05 (0.67)
female × age	-0.32 (-1.46)	0.00 (0.01)	0.19 (0.84)	0.10 (0.31)
female × age <sup>2</sup>	-0.00 (-0.94)	-0.00 (-1.02)	0.00* (1.75)	0.00 (1.34)
female × unemployment rate	-0.05 (-0.90)	-0.17 (-1.00)	-0.05* (-1.81)	-0.06 (-1.10)
<b>Interaction with socialization (Ref: West Germany)</b>				
east × transition		-0.29* (-1.85)		-0.00 (-0.04)
east × age		0.59 (1.63)		0.36 (1.01)
east × age <sup>2</sup>		0.00 (0.75)		0.00 (0.03)
east × unemployment rate		-0.12 (-1.38)		-0.04 (-0.85)
female × east × transition		0.38* (1.73)		-0.01 (-0.07)
female × east × age		-0.75 (-1.59)		0.19 (0.38)
female × east × age <sup>2</sup>		0.00 (0.41)		0.00 (0.12)
female × east × unemployment rate		0.17 (0.89)		0.00 (0.01)
constant	3.89 (1.00)	1.78 (0.42)	9.63*** (2.73)	11.41*** (3.13)
<b>Period effects?</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓
N (observations)	10,907	10,907	18,167	18,167
N (spells)	3,463	3,463	3,947	3,947
N (couples)	2,373	2,373	2,990	2,990

Note: Socio-Economic Panel, version 34, 1995-2017. \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ . All models include period effects and the respective interactions with female (M1, M3) and female and east (M2, M4). Age and place of socialization refer to the partner. The standard errors are clustered at the couple level.

**Table S2:** Spillover effects of fixed-term employment on the well-being of partners by gender and the mediating role of the well-being of individuals

	<b>M1</b> $\beta$ (t-value)	<b>M2</b> $\beta$ (t-value)	<b>M3</b> $\beta$ (t-value)	<b>M4</b> $\beta$ (t-value)
<b>Dependent variable:</b> <i>Partner's well-being</i>				
unemployment to fixed-term employment			fixed-term to permanent employment	
<b>Employment status transition (Ref.: no transition)</b>				
transition	0.30*** (4.14)	0.11 (1.57)	-0.06 (-1.54)	-0.07* (-1.83)
age	0.26 (1.52)	-0.09 (-1.38)	-0.18 (-0.99)	0.00 (0.11)
age <sup>2</sup>	0.00 (0.42)	0.00 (0.73)	-0.00 (-0.46)	-0.00 (-0.97)
unemployment rate	0.00 (0.10)	0.03 (0.88)	-0.02 (-1.04)	-0.01 (-0.87)
<b>Interaction with gender (Ref.: male)</b>				
female × transition	-0.23** (-2.21)	-0.16 (-1.57)	0.05 (0.89)	0.07 (1.32)
female × age	-0.32 (-1.46)	-0.25 (-1.16)	0.19 (0.84)	0.30 (1.25)
female × age <sup>2</sup>	-0.00 (-0.94)	-0.00 (-1.26)	0.00* (1.75)	0.00** (2.17)
female × unemployment rate	-0.05 (-0.90)	-0.05 (-1.03)	-0.05* (-1.81)	-0.04 (-1.56)
constant	3.89 (1.00)	12.58*** (3.46)	9.63*** (2.73)	0.87 (0.24)
<b>Period effects?</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Mediator</b>				
own life satisfaction		0.31*** (15.58)		0.25*** (14.57)
female × own life satisfaction		-0.01 (-0.56)		0.01 (0.49)
<i>N</i> (observations)	10,907	10,907	18,167	18,167
<i>N</i> (spells)	3,463	3,463	3,947	3,947
<i>N</i> (couples)	2,373	2,373	2,990	2,990

Note: *Socio-Economic Panel, version 34, 1995-2017.* \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ . All models include period effects and the respective interactions with female. Age refers to the partner. The standard errors are clustered at the couple level.

**Table S3:** Effects of fixed-term employment on the well-being of individuals by gender and the mediating role of individuals' perceived job insecurity and financial worries

	<b>M1</b>	<b>M2</b>
<u>Dependent variable:</u>	$\beta$	$\beta$
<u>Individual's well-being</u>	(t-value)	(t-value)
fixed-term to permanent employment		
<b>Employment status transition (Ref.: no transition)</b>		
transition	0.03 (0.84)	0.00 (0.07)
age	-0.07*** (-2.62)	-0.06** (-2.28)
age <sup>2</sup>	0.00** (2.40)	0.00** (2.03)
unemployment rate	-0.01 (-0.98)	-0.02 (-1.09)
<b>Interaction with gender (Ref.: male)</b>		
female × transition	-0.08 (-1.41)	-0.09 (-1.52)
female × age	-0.41* (-1.91)	-0.45** (-2.07)
female × age <sup>2</sup>	-0.00 (-1.07)	-0.00 (-0.76)
female × unemployment rate	-0.03 (-1.41)	-0.02 (-1.04)
constant	15.24*** (5.19)	15.75*** (5.37)
<b>Period effects?</b>	✓	✓
<b>Mediators</b>		
<b>Financial worries (Ref.: no worries)</b>		
worries	-0.28*** (-7.32)	
female × worries	0.04 (0.65)	
<b>Job insecurity (Ref.: no job insecurity)</b>		
insecurity	-0.11*** (-2.76)	
female × insecurity	-0.07 (-1.25)	
<i>N</i> (observations)	18,167	18,167
<i>N</i> (spells)	3,947	3,947
<i>N</i> (individuals)	2,990	2,990

Note: Socio-Economic Panel, version 34, 1995-2017. \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ . All models include period effects and the respective interactions with female. The standard errors are clustered at the couple level.

**Table S4:** Robustness check 1: Spillover effects of unemployment to permanent employment transitions on the well-being of partners by gender

	<b>M1</b>
<u>Dependent variable:</u>	$\beta$
<b>Partner's well-being (M1-2)</b>	(t-value)
<b>Individual well-being (M3)</b>	
<b>Employment status transition (Ref.: no transition)</b>	
transition	0.35*** (5.90)
age	0.22 (1.53)
age <sup>2</sup>	0.00 (0.18)
unemployment rate	0.00 (0.10)
<b>Interaction with gender (Ref.: male)</b>	
female × transition	-0.28*** (-3.19)
female × age	-0.26 (-1.37)
female × age <sup>2</sup>	-0.00 (-0.41)
female × unemployment rate	-0.07* (-1.89)
constant	3.66 (1.04)
<b>Period effects?</b>	✓
<i>N</i> (observations)	14,741
<i>N</i> (spells)	3,860
<i>N</i> (couples)	2,659

Note: *Socio-Economic Panel, version 34, 1995-2017.* \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ . All models include period effects and the respective interactions with female. Age refers to the partner. The standard errors are clustered at the couple level.

**Table S5:** Robustness check 2: Spillover effects of fixed-term employment on the well-being of partners by gender and place of socialization (25–40-year-old individuals)

Dependent variable: <i>Partner's well-being</i>	M1	M2
	$\beta$ (t-value)	$\beta$ (t-value)
unemployment to fixed-term employment		fixed-term to permanent employment
<b>Employment status transition (Ref.: no transition)</b>		
transition	0.31 ** (2.33)	0.07 (1.19)
age	0.90 * (1.85)	-0.74 *** (-2.63)
age <sup>2</sup>	-0.01 ** (-2.17)	0.00 (1.11)
unemployment rate	-0.03 (-0.52)	-0.02 (-0.66)
<b>Interaction with gender (Ref.: male)</b>		
female × transition	-0.26 (-1.39)	-0.10 (-1.09)
female × age	-0.60 (-0.93)	0.51 (1.31)
female × age <sup>2</sup>	0.01 (1.04)	-0.00 (-0.73)
female × unemployment rate	0.03 (0.29)	0.00 (0.06)
constant	-3.12 (-0.46)	17.72 *** (4.59)
<b>Period effects?</b>	✓	✓
N (observations)	2,627	6,520
N (spells)	1,004	1,876
N (couples)	739	1,434

Note: *Socio-Economic Panel, version 34, 1995-2017.* \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ . All models include period effects and the respective interactions with female. Age refers to the partner. The standard errors are clustered at the couple level.

**Table S6:** Robustness check 3: Spillover effects of fixed-term employment on the well-being of partners by gender (effects for fixed-term temporary agency workers and fixed-term non-temporary agency workers)

	<b>M1</b> $\beta$ (t-value)	<b>M2</b> $\beta$ (t-value)	<b>M3</b> $\beta$ (t-value)	<b>M4</b> $\beta$ (t-value)
	unemployment to fixed-term ...		fixed-term ... to permanent employment	
	TAW	NTAW	TAW	NTAW
<b>Employment status transition (Ref.: no transition)</b>				
transition	0.23 (1.52)	0.31 *** (3.46)	0.42 *** (2.92)	-0.14 *** (-2.95)
age	-0.02 (-0.16)	-0.09 (-0.61)	-1.61 *** (-3.64)	0.04 (0.32)
age <sup>2</sup>	0.00 (0.59)	0.00 (0.49)	0.00 (1.61)	-0.00 (-0.42)
unemployment rate	-0.03 (-0.71)	-0.03 (-0.76)	-0.00 (-0.03)	-0.04 * (-1.85)
<b>Interaction with gender (Ref.: male)</b>				
female × transition	-0.09 (-0.33)	-0.21 (-1.64)	-0.03 (-0.13)	0.08 (1.16)
female × age	-0.08 (-0.38)	-0.09 (-0.47)	-0.23 (-0.81)	0.05 (0.23)
female × age <sup>2</sup>	-0.00 (-1.31)	-0.00 (-1.14)	0.00 (0.52)	0.00 (1.58)
female × unemployment rate	-0.03 (-0.36)	-0.05 (-0.79)	-0.19 (-0.98)	-0.00 (-0.14)
constant	10.05 *** (2.74)	12.75 *** (3.77)	62.78 *** (4.69)	4.82 (1.35)
<b>Period effects?</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓
N (observations)	6,154	7,392	1,029	12,325
N (spells)	1,990	2,385	240	2,889
N (couples)	1,478	1,715	226	2,256

Note: *Socio-Economic Panel, version 34, 2003-2017.* \*  $p < 0.10$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ . All models include period effects and the respective interactions with female. Age refers to the partner. The standard errors are clustered at the couple level. TAW = temporary agency work, NTAW = non temporary agency work.