

Koopmans, Ruud; Kanol, Eylem; Stolle, Dietlind

Article — Published Version

Scriptural legitimization and the mobilisation of support for religious violence: experimental evidence across three religions and seven countries

Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies

Provided in Cooperation with:

WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Suggested Citation: Koopmans, Ruud; Kanol, Eylem; Stolle, Dietlind (2021) : Scriptural legitimization and the mobilisation of support for religious violence: experimental evidence across three religions and seven countries, Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies, ISSN 1469-9451, Taylor & Francis, London, Vol. 47, Iss. 7, pp. 1498-1516,
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2020.1822158>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/228486>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.


If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>


Online Appendix 1 – Tables and Figures

Fig. S1.



Balance checks. This figure shows balance checks by covariate (on the y-axis) for the entire sample and each included country. The points represent the t-statistic from a t-test comparing the mean value of the covariate in treatment and control group. Red points indicate that the t-statistic is above (below) the critical value of 1.96 (-1.96), which is indicated by the vertical dashed lines.


Fig. S2.



Mean agreement with statement by treatment condition and religion. The figure shows the mean agreement (in percentage points) with religious violence by treatment condition (Control, Treatment, and Placebo) for the entire sample and each included religion. Error bars represent the standard error and the numbers above the bars indicate the mean agreement. Placebo treatment was used only in the USA; therefore the sample consists of only US-respondents.

Fig. S3.

Panel A



Mean Agreement with Statement by Religion using Alternative Religious Observance Measure. The figure shows the mean agreement (in percentage points) with religious violence for each included religion by the level of religious observance. The religious observance measure is constructed using only the prayer and worshipping frequency item. Error bars represent the standard error and the numbers above the bars indicate the mean agreement.

Table S1

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Q1R			
	Entire sample	Christian	Jewish	Muslim
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Treatment	0.33*** (0.03)	0.15*** (0.04)	0.09 (0.08)	0.42*** (0.05)
Muslim	0.62*** (0.03)			
Jewish	0.07 (0.06)			
Religious observance	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.03 (0.03)	-0.13* (0.05)	0.08* (0.04)
Religious knowledge	-0.07*** (0.02)	-0.06* (0.03)	-0.23*** (0.05)	-0.05 (0.03)
Religious fundamentalism	0.20*** (0.02)	0.14*** (0.03)	0.41*** (0.04)	0.30*** (0.04)
Religious observance x treatment	0.05 (0.03)	0.02 (0.05)	0.05 (0.08)	0.06 (0.05)
Religious knowledge x treatment	0.07** (0.03)	0.02 (0.04)	0.13 (0.07)	0.10* (0.04)
Religious fundamentalism x treatment	0.17*** (0.03)	0.09* (0.04)	0.04 (0.06)	0.26*** (0.06)
Age	-0.002* (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.002 (0.002)	-0.002 (0.002)
Male	0.02 (0.03)	-0.07+ (0.04)	-0.02 (0.05)	0.09* (0.04)
Education	-0.06*** (0.01)	-0.07** (0.02)	0.05 (0.03)	-0.08*** (0.02)
Income	-0.03* (0.02)	-0.04 (0.02)	-0.05 (0.03)	-0.03 (0.02)
Income Missing	0.05 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.05)	-0.12 (0.07)	0.18** (0.06)
Reference country: USA Cyprus	-1.03***	-1.30***		-0.72***

	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.09)	
Germany	-0.92***	-1.03***	-1.06***	
	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.09)	
Israel	-0.77***	-0.75***	-0.73***	-0.64***
	(0.06)	(0.17)	(0.08)	(0.10)
Kenya	-0.31***	-0.63***	-0.14	
	(0.05)	(0.08)	(0.09)	
Lebanon	-0.12*	-0.71***	0.33***	
	(0.05)	(0.08)	(0.08)	
Palestine	0.28***	0.43*	0.29***	
	(0.06)	(0.19)	(0.08)	
Observations	7,263	2,770	959	3,534
R ²	0.35	0.20	0.33	0.30
Adjusted R ²	0.35	0.20	0.32	0.29

Notes: The table coefficients from OLS regressions (with standard errors in parentheses) of the entire sample (column 1) and each included religious group (columns 2-4). The coefficients for observance, knowledge, fundamentalism, education and income are standardized

** $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$*

Multivariate regression results including country fixed effects.

Table S2

	Dependent variable: Support for violence			
	Entire sample (1)	Christian (2)	Jewish (3)	Muslim (4)
Treatment	0.416*** (0.031)	0.166*** (0.042)	0.201*** (0.060)	0.572*** (0.047)
Observations	7,703	2,922	971	3,810
R ²	0.022	0.005	0.011	0.038
Adjusted R ²	0.022	0.005	0.010	0.038

*Notes: The table shows coefficients from OLS regressions of the entire sample (column 1) and each included religious group (columns 2-4) without control variables. Standard errors are reported in parentheses. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$*

Table S3

	Dependent variable: Support for violence		
	Christian (1)	Jewish (2)	Muslim (3)
Treatment	0.109* (0.054)	0.175** (0.066)	0.415*** (0.070)
Religious observance (dummy)	0.206*** (0.059)	0.276* (0.109)	0.716*** (0.065)
Religious observance x Treatment	0.128 (0.084)	0.131 (0.159)	0.189* (0.091)
Observations	2,919	970	3,788
R ²	0.020	0.030	0.114
Adjusted R ²	0.019	0.027	0.113

Notes: The table shows coefficients from OLS regressions of each religious group (columns 1-3) without control variables. Standard errors are reported in parentheses.

** $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$*

Table S4

	Dependent variable: Support for violence		
	Christian (1)	Jewish (2)	Muslim (3)
Treatment	0.110 (0.072)	0.141 (0.111)	0.497*** (0.096)
Religious knowledge (dummy)	-0.028 (0.063)	-0.472*** (0.091)	0.319*** (0.080)
Religious knowledge x Treatment	0.087 (0.089)	0.059 (0.131)	0.131 (0.111)
Observations	2,800	960	3,567
R ²	0.006	0.057	0.055
Adjusted R ²	0.005	0.054	0.054

Notes: The table shows coefficients from OLS regressions of each religious group (columns 1-3) without control variables. Standard errors are reported in parentheses.

** $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$*

Table S5

	Dependent variable: Support for violence		
	Christian (1)	Jewish (2)	Muslim (3)
Treatment	0.101* (0.050)	0.186** (0.064)	0.406*** (0.063)
Religious fundamentalism (dummy)	0.200** (0.062)	0.545*** (0.115)	0.645*** (0.065)
Religious fundamentalism x Treatment	0.192* (0.089)	0.051 (0.167)	0.292** (0.089)
Observations	2,922	971	3,810
R ²	0.022	0.057	0.114
Adjusted R ²	0.021	0.054	0.114

Notes: The table shows coefficients from OLS regressions of each religious group (columns 1-3) without control variables. Standard errors are reported in parentheses.

** $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$*