

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Veselinović, Ljiljan; Kulenović, Mirza; Šunje, Aziz

Conference Paper — Published Version

Entrepreneurial Orientation of the Companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina: The Importance of Contextual Factors

Suggested Citation: Veselinović, Ljiljan; Kulenović, Mirza; Šunje, Aziz (2019): Entrepreneurial Orientation of the Companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina: The Importance of Contextual Factors, In: Kozarević, Emira Okičić, Jasmina (Ed.): Conference Proceedings. 6th International Scientific Conference "Economy of Integration" ICEI 2019 "(E)migration and Competitiveness of Southeastern European Countries", 5-7 December 2019, Tuzla, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Faculty of Economics, University of Tuzla, Tuzla, BiH, pp. 328-337,

http://icei.ba/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/CONFERENCE\_PROCEEDINGS\_ICEI\_2019.pdf#page=330

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/224471

# ${\bf Standard\text{-}Nutzungsbedingungen:}$

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

# Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





## Ljiljan Veselinović, PhD

School of Economics and Business, University of Sarajevo, BiH

E-mail: ljiljan.veselinovic@efsa.unsa.ba

## Mirza Kulenović, PhD student

School of Economics and Business, University of Sarajevo, BiH

E-mail: mirza.kulenovic89@gmail.com

## Aziz Šunje, PhD

School of Economics and Business, University of Sarajevo, BiH

E-mail: aziz.sunje@efsa.unsa.ba

# ENTREPRENEURIAL ORIENTATION OF THE COMPANIES IN BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA: THE IMPORTANCE OF CONTEXTUAL FACTORS

# PREDUZETNIČKA ORIJENTACIJA KOMPANIJA U BOSNI I HERCEGOVINI: ZNAČAJ KONTEKSTUALNIH FAKTORA

#### Abstract

Entrepreneurial orientation (EO) represents a firm-level construct that captures innovativeness, proactiveness, and risk-taking of the existing companies. The main focus of this paper is to present the EO of 477 companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina and to compare EO between companies operating within different contextual factors. We used descriptive statistics and statistical testing to draw conclusions. Our paper presents the mean values of entrepreneurial orientation for each NACE industry category. In addition, our results confirm that there are statistically significant differences in entrepreneurial orientation between (a) the companies operating in a more competitive environment and the companies operating in a less competitive environment; (b) the companies with acquired ISO certificates and high level of TQM practices and the companies without ISO certificates and low level of TOM practices; (c) the companies operating in predominantly export-oriented markets and the companies operating in predominantly local markets; and finally (d) the companies located in Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina and the companies located in Republic of Srpska. However, there are no statistically significant differences in entrepreneurial orientation between the older companies (older than two, five and ten years) and younger companies; nor between companies of different sizes. By analyzing organizational contextual factors, this paper identifies key variables that may play an important role in designing more complex structural models. Additionally, this paper presents the current state of entrepreneurial orientation of existing companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Keywords: entrepreneurial orientation, contextual factors, firm behavior, entrepreneurship, Bosnia and Herzegovina

JEL: L2

#### Sažetak

Preduzetnička orijentacija predstavlja konstrukt na nivou firme koji najčešće obuhvata inovativnost, proaktivnost i spremnost na preuzimanje rizika. Glavni cilj ovog rada je prezentovati predzetničku orijentaciju 477 kompanija u Bosni i Hercegovini i testirati razlike između kompanija koje posluju u okruženju s različitim kontekstualnim faktorima. Pri donošenju zaključaka koristili smo deskriptivnu statistiku i statističko testiranje. Ovaj rad daje prikaz prosječnih vrijednosti preduzetničke orijentacije po NACE industrijskim kategorijama. Pored toga, rezultati ove studije potvrđuju da postoji statistički signifikantna razlika u preduzetičkoj orijentaciji između: (a) kompanije koje posluju u više konkurentnijem



okruženju i kompanija koje posluju u manje konkurentnom okruženju; (b) kompanije koje imaju ISO certifikate i visok nivo TQM praksi i kompanija koje nemaju ISO certifikate i nizak nivo TQM praksi; (c) kompanija koje posluju u predominantno eksportno-orijentisanim tržištima i kompanija koje posluju na predominantno lokalnim tržištima; te konačno (d) kompanija koje posluju u Federaciji Bosne i Hercegovine i kompanija koja posluju na području Republike Srpske. Ipak, nisu pronađene statistički signifikantne razlike u preduzetničkoj orijentaciji između starijih kompanija (starije od dvije, pet i 10 godina) i mlađih kompanija, kao ni između kompanije različite veličine. Analizirajući organizacione kontekstualne faktore, ovaj rad identifikuje ključne varijable koje mogu igrati važnu ulogu u osmišljavanju kompleksnijih strukturalnih modela. Dodatno, ovaj rad prikazuje trenutno stanje preduzetničke orijentacije postojećih kompanija u Bosni i Hercegovini.

**Ključne riječi:** preduzetnička orijentacija, kontekstualni faktori, ponašanje firme, preduzetništvo, Bosna i Hercegovina

JEL: L2

#### 1. Introduction

For more than 30 years of research, the phenomenon of entrepreneurial orientation (EO) has been a driving force behind the organizational pursuit of entrepreneurial activities and a central focus of the entrepreneurship literature (Rauch *et al.*, 2009). As noted by Covin, Greene and Slevin (2006), knowledge accumulation about measurement, antecedents, and consequences of EO is substantial. The existing literature provides strong support that entrepreneurially-oriented firms perform better than more conservative firms (Anderson & Eshima, 2013).

An extensive meta-analysis study (Rauch *et al.*, 2009) have confirmed the positive relationship between EO construct and firm performance, emphasizing that further studies should focus their attention on the role of potential moderators: firm age, environmental dynamism, national culture, a strategy pursued, and organizational structure.

Given the importance of contingencies in explaining organizational effectiveness (Donaldson, 2001), the focus of this paper is to present the EO of 477 companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina and to examine if EO differs between companies with different contextual factors. To our knowledge, research addressing the entrepreneurial orientation of the firms in the context of Bosnia and Herzegovina is rather scarce. In line with this, and given that organizational contextual factors play an important role in predicting the behavior of the firm, in this paper we analyze and discuss the differences in entrepreneurial orientation between companies that differ in terms of a competitive environment, age, size, focus on quality, export-orientation and location. It is also worth noting that the business environment in Bosnia and Herzegovina faces several challenges (European Commission, 2019): lengthy and cumbersome market entry and exit regulations, large informal economy, high state influence on the economy, incomplete privatization process, very low labor force participation, and high unemployment rates.

#### 2. Literature review

Generally, EO is seen as an organizational decision-making proclivity favoring entrepreneurial activities (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996). First devised by Miller (1983), and expanded afterward by Covin and Slevin (1989; 1991), EO relates to strategic decision-making practices, behavioral tendencies, and managerial philosophies that are entrepreneurial in nature. Under their conceptualization of EO, a firm that possesses a high level of EO is expected to exhibit similarly high levels of innovativeness, proactiveness, and a willingness to take risks (Anderson & Eshima, 2013). In that sense, three dimensions of EO introduced by Miller (1983) have been used consistently in the literature: innovativeness, risk-taking, and proactiveness. Innovativeness can be



understood as the company's desire to engage and support new ideas, novelty, experiments and creative processes that can ultimately result in new products, services or technological processes (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996). Risk-taking refers to the level of risky activities of a company, such as venturing into the unknown, borrowing heavily, or committing significant resources to ventures in uncertain environments. Proactiveness, on the other hand, can be defined as a company's relationship to market opportunities. Proactiveness refers primarily to the introduction of new products and services ahead of the competition and acting in anticipation of future demand (Rauch et al., 2009).

Although a great deal of research has been done on the topic of EO globally, in the context of Bosnia and Herzegovina, we identified only two articles that address this issue. Palalić and Bušatlić (2015) analyzed the environment in which operated fast-growing enterprises and slow-growing enterprises on the small sample size (178 SMEs in Bosnia and Herzegovina), taking into account the dimensions of EO and performance (growth in sales and number of employees). Firstly, the authors have presented evidence that entrepreneurial orientation is more evident in fast-growing than in slow-growing enterprises. Researchers also reported a small to moderate significant correlation between EO dimensions and business performance. Petković and Sorak (2019) examined the level of EO and the influence of EO on business performances of SMEs in the entity Republic of Srpska. The results reveal that only 12.28% of sampled SMEs have high EO in all three dimensions; whereas risk-taking has been the least considered dimension (33.33% companies rated this dimension particularly high), followed by innovativeness (36.84%) and proactiveness (45.61%). The authors did not confirm the impact of EO on selected indicators of business performances, noting that these results should be interpreted with caution due to small sample size (57 SMEs).

Market competition is considered to be a key situational factor in the total number of factors that make up the company environment (Das et al., 2000). Companies working in mature industries and hostile environments, where competition for resources and customers is intense, are more likely to benefit from EO (Lumpkin & Dess, 2001; Miller & Firesen, 1983; Covin & Slevin, 1989). Shirokova et al. (2016) provide evidence that companies benefit more from entrepreneurial behavior in hostile business environments with high market growth for their products and services, compared to other environmental configurations, while Kam-Sing Wong (2014) found a positive impact of environmental turbulence on three dimensions of EO. Hence, our first research question is whether companies in a more competitive environment have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation than companies in a less competitive environment.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) first published international ISO standards in 1987 and has since become a major dimension of the quality movement and a key management framework for all types of organizations worldwide (Fonseca, 2015). With the newest version issued in 2015, ISO 9000:2015 has come close to TQM, largely eliminating the flaws and shortcomings of previous releases. Although certification of the quality management system (QMS) is optional, ISO certification plays a major role in international business and is a very visible substitute for the adoption and intensity of quality management systems. Quality certification may create a competitive advantage (Tarí-Guilló & Pereira-Moliner, 2012; Wang, Chen, & Chen, 2012) or offer a means of differentiation (Walker & Johnson, 2009).

Also, Du et al. (2010) suggest that proactive firms tend to use legitimation via ISO certification to enhance firm growth. Hence, our second research question is whether companies with a strong focus on quality have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation than companies with a weak focus on quality. In this context, ISO certification is used as a proxy for a focus on quality. But, the existing literature provides some evidence in support of a positive relationship between EO and TQM (Imran et al., 2018; Sahoo & Yadav, 2017; Al-Dhaafri, Al-Swidi, & Yusoff, 2016).



Alsughayir (2016, p. 662) pointed out that "the implementation of TQM practices allowed for continuous improvements at all levels of an organization and it positively influences entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation". Hence, our third research question is similar to the second. However, in the case of our third research questions, quality is considered as a more complex construct (TQM practices) than simply having an ISO certificate.

For the company, exports can be seen as an important factor in reducing costs, reaching new markets, and gaining experience for other forms of internationalization (Okpara, 2009). Simmonds and Smith (1968) as well as Roux (1987) have thought of the decision to export as an innovation, something similar to entrepreneurship. Ibeh and Young (2001, p. 567) suggest that exporting is an entrepreneurial act defined as "the process by which individuals either on their own or inside organizations pursue export market opportunities without regard to the resources which they currently control or environmental disincentives which they face." By reviewing international literature, Kazem and Van der Heijden (2006) have concluded that exporters are likely to be more competitive than non-exporters. Furthermore, different authors confirmed a positive relationship between EO and export performance.

Analyzing 89 SMEs in Nigeria, Okpara (2009) has concluded that companies that adopted proactive orientation achieved higher performance, profitability, and growth compared to those that adopted a conservative orientation. On the other hand, results from Mostafa, Wheeler and Jones (2005) have revealed that companies with high entrepreneurial orientation are more committed to the Internet and have better export performance than firms with low entrepreneurial orientation. Hence, our fourth research question is whether export-oriented companies have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation than non-export companies.

Regarding the age of the company as a contextual factor, Anderson and Eshima (2013) have empirically supported the argument that younger firms are better able to capture the value from entrepreneurial strategies in the form of higher organizational growth rates than their older peers. Their results are predicated on the notion that "younger firms, while lacking established routines and processes that may provide guidance and discipline in strategic decision-making, also possess structures and an organizing context that is more flexible and reactive than older firms. Furthermore, younger firms, while again perhaps lacking in quantity of market knowledge, may actually possess market knowledge of greater temporal salience. These advantages allow younger firms to pursue entrepreneurial opportunities with greater congruence to current market expectations, and therefore be better positioned to translate those opportunities into superior growth outcomes" (Anderson & Eshima, 2013, p. 413).

On the other hand, from the perspective of general ability to pursue entrepreneurial strategies, older firms may be better equipped to engage in innovation, proactiveness, and risk-taking by virtue of more established routines, structures, and processes that discipline companies actions and provide strategic purpose (Amburgey et al., 1993; Henderson, 1999). However, Sørensen and Stuart (2000) note that innovation is path-dependent. By their knowledge, the company's future entrepreneurial behavior is a function of those that occurred in the past. In that sense, older companies mostly base their entrepreneurial strategies on the previous market conditions that may be divergent to current conditions. Younger companies have more adaptability and strategic flexibility for new entrepreneurial initiatives (Van de Ven, 1986) and can exhibit greater outcomes than their older peers. Hence, our fifth research question is whether younger companies have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation than older companies.

Although much more research on the topic of EO has been done in terms of small companies, the concept of entrepreneurship is valid for both SME initiatives and large corporations (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996). The entrepreneurial orientation is not only important for SMEs' survival and growth



but also affects the large companies' profitability. Generally, large companies face different challenges than SMEs, mostly because of the different organizational designs and management styles (Ambad & Wahab, 2013). The results of a meta-analysis in Rauch *et al.* (2009) study have shown that EO was significantly more important to company performance for micro-businesses than for small businesses. Large companies scored in between these two groups, but the difference was not statistically significant. Hence, our sixth research question is *whether smaller companies have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation than larger companies*.

### 3. Research methodology

Based on the available data from the Financial-Intelligence Agency of Bosnia and Herzegovina; Agency for Intermediary, IT and Financial Services Banja Luka and Municipal Court of Brcko District, the size of the population was estimated to be 7,062 (excluding micro-enterprises). In the next phase, we gathered email addresses of 5,430 companies; whereas remaining companies had no official web site or email address. The data were collected in 2018 with a response rate of 12.6% (or 685 firms). But, our analysis was conducted on 477 usable manager responses. The sample is dominated by small companies (10 - 50 employees) with 70.4% of the total sample, followed by medium-sized companies (51 – 250 employees) with 23.7% and large companies (250+ employees) with 5.9%. In order to test the hypothesis, we used a survey design as a research strategy. The questionnaire was constructed based on the previously validated measurement models of EO (Covin & Slevin, 1989); competitive intensity (Jaworski & Kohli, 1993) and TQM (Santos-Vijande & Álvarez-González, 2007). EO represents a higher-order latent construct consisted of three firstorder constructs: innovativeness, risk-taking, and proactiveness and measured by Likert scale from one to seven. The competitive intensity (CI) is a first-order latent construct consisting of six items; measured by a Likert scale from one to five. TQM is also a higher-order latent construct consisted of five first-order constructs: leadership, people, policy and strategy, processes and resources, and partnership. As EO, CI, and TQM are represented by latent constructs, we used confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to test whether measures of constructs are consistent with a theoretical understanding of the nature of these constructs. As our aim was to analyze differences between several groups, we ran several statistical tests in STATA 15.1. Effect sizes were estimated by using Cohen's d: small effect size (d=0.2); medium effect size (d=0.5); large effect size (d=0.8).

## 4. Results

The following table presents the mean values of entrepreneurial orientation for each NACE industry category. Also, this table provides mean values for each dimension of EO (innovativeness, risk-taking, and proactiveness) and competitive intensity. Industries with NACE codes of R, L, O, N and B should be interpreted with caution due to a small number of observations in these industries.

Table 1. Entrepreneurial orientation of companies in Bosnia and Herzegovina

Industry		Obs	INNO	PROA	RISK	EO	CI
M	Professional, Scientific and Technical Activities	23	5.0	5.0	4.6	4.9	4.2
Q	Human Health and Social Work Activities	28	5.0	4.8	4.3	4.7	3.5
Н	Transportation and Storage	11	4.8	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.6
Ι	Accommodation and Food Service Activities	15	4.1	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.1
K	Financial and Insurance Activities	21	4.7	4.6	4.2	4.5	4.3
J	Information and Communication	44	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5	3.9
S	Other Service Activities	50	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	3.9
N	Administrative and Support Service Activities	2	4.5	4.7	4.0	4.4	2.8
F	Construction	62	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.2
С	Manufacturing	94	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.4	3.8



G	Wholesale and Retail Trade; Repair of Motor Vehicles and Motorcycles	64	4.2	4.5	4.0	4.2	4.5
P	Education	15	3.8	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.0
R	Arts, Entertainment and Recreation	2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0
D	Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply	10	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.6
О	Public Administration and Defence; Compulsory Social Security	1	3.3	3.3	5.7	4.1	2.0
Е	Water Supply; Sewerage, Waste Management, and Remediation Activities	18	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.9	2.5
A	Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing	10	3.3	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.2
В	Mining and Quarrying	5	3.7	4.1	3.0	3.6	3.7
L	Real Estate Activities	2	3.2	3.7	3.5	3.4	4.6
	All Companies	477	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.0

Source: Authors' own work

Managers of the companies from Professional, Scientific and Technical Activities (M) industry rated their entrepreneurial orientation the highest, closely followed by Human Health and Social Work Activities (Q), Transportation and Storage (H), Accommodation and Food Service Activities (I), Financial and Insurance Activities (K) and Information and Communication (J). The average EO scores are very close in each of these industries. Also, the scores from these industries are higher than the industry average (4,4). On the other hand, Real Estate Activities (L), Mining and Quarrying (B), Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing (A) and Water Supply; Sewerage, Waste Management and Remediation Activities (E) have the lowest average EO score. Overall, only 8.8% of managers indicated a very high level of EO (all observed variables within first-order EO latent constructs are above five) which is a relatively low percentage and similar to the results presented in Petković and Sorak (2019). In Table 2 we present the results of statistical tests in order to examine differences in entrepreneurial orientation between companies that differ in terms of a competitive environment, focus on quality (ISO certification and TQM practices), export-orientation, location, age, and size.

Table 2. The results of t-test

p 0.04	Effect-size (Cohen's d) 0.29
0.04	0.29
0.03	0.20
0.00	0.44
0.03	0.25
0.00	0.36
0.49	0.23
0.22	0.18
0.87	0.02
0.14	0.15
	0.03 0.00 0.49 0.22 0.87

\*\*\* p<0.01 \*\* p<0.05 \* p<0.1 Source: Authors' own work



Table 2 shows that companies in a higher competitive environment, companies with a strong focus on quality (in terms of ISO certification and TQM practices), export-oriented companies, and companies located in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation than companies in opposed groups. These results are significant at 5%. On the other hand, our results have not shown statistically significant differences in entrepreneurial orientation between companies that differ in terms of age and size.

#### 5. Discussion

In this paper, we examined whether the level of entrepreneurial orientation of the companies depends on the contextual factors. Such results are particularly useful when designing more complex structural models, but also in order to understand how companies differ in terms of EO. In order to achieve significant economic growth, it is important to have more companies that lean toward EO-focused behavior and, consequently, focus their growth on innovative products and services. Hence, our results shed some light on how companies differ in EO. Our results show that companies in a higher competitive environment, companies with a strong focus on quality (in terms of ISO certification and TQM practices), export-oriented companies, and companies located in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation than companies in opposed groups. A higher level of EO in a more competitive environment is in line with previous research (Shirokova et al., 2016; Kam-Sing Wong, 2014). These results might indicate, as noted in Lumpkin and Dess (2001), that the benefit of adopting EO is far more important compared to the less competitive and less hostile environment. In line with the reasoning of Covin and Slevin (1989), a high entrepreneurial strategic posture might contribute to the high performance of companies in a hostile environment, creating a stronger need to adopt EO in such environments. Companies with a strong focus on quality have a higher level of entrepreneurial orientation.

As Du *et al.* (2010) suggest, proactive firms tend to use legitimation via ISO certification to enhance firm growth. Also, EO was greater in companies with a high level of TQM. These results are in line with other authors (Imran *et al.*, 2018; Sahoo & Yadav, 2017; Al-Dhaafri, Al-Swidi & Yusoff, 2016) and imply the implementation of TQM practices positively influence the entrepreneurial orientation of the company. Regarding export orientation, EO was greater for export-oriented companies. Export-oriented companies, in general, should be more proactive because of international competition and must take more risks than locally-oriented companies. These results are in line with Mostafa, Wheeler and Jones (2005): companies with high entrepreneurial orientation are more committed to the Internet and have better export performance than firms with low entrepreneurial orientation. Finally, there was a difference in EO between companies located in different entities. EO was greater in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina than in RS. This indicates that the business environment might be under the influence of different market forces, creating differences in the entrepreneurial orientation between two entities of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Our results have not shown statistically significant differences in entrepreneurial orientation between companies that differ in terms of age and size. We considered several criteria for the age variable (older/younger than two, five and ten years), but no statistically significant results were obtained. In order words, companies of all ages and sizes are considering entrepreneurial orientation equally important for achieving outstanding results.

#### 6. Conclusion

Our results confirm that there are statistically significant differences in entrepreneurial orientation between (a) the companies operating in a more competitive environment and the companies



operating within a less competitive environment; (b) the companies with acquired ISO certificates and high level of TQM practices and the companies without ISO certificates and low level of TQM practices; (c) the companies operating in predominantly export-oriented markets and the companies operating in predominantly local markets; and finally (d) the companies located in the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina and the companies located in Republic of Srpska. However, there are no statistically significant differences in entrepreneurial orientation between the older companies (older than two, five, and ten years) and younger companies; nor between companies of different sizes. We contribute to the existing literature by identifying potential moderators that might play an important role in examining the relationship between EO construct and firm performance (Rauch et al., 2009). Therefore, we confirm that a competitive environment, focus on quality, exportorientation, and location might influence the level of EO. Future studies should consider these variables in more complex research designs. As noted by Kronsbein, Meiser and Leyer (2004), researchers should be fully aware of the context when examining the measured performance of their processes. The main limitation of the research is related to self-reported dimensions of EO, which might result in over-estimation of the EO in the context of Bosnia and Herzegovina. Further studies should focus their attention on an in-depth understanding of possible causes regarding differences in EO.

#### References

- Al-Dhaafri, H. S., Al-Swidi, A. K. & Yusoff, R. Z. B. (2016) The mediating role of total quality management between the entrepreneurial orientation and the organizational performance. *The TQM Journal*. 28(1), 89-111.
- 2) Alsughayir, A. (2016) Regulatory Role of TQM between the Marketing Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation and the Organizational Performance and Competitiveness. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*. 6(05), pp. 655-664.
- Ambad, S. N. A. & Wahab, K. A. (2013) Entrepreneurial orientation among large firms in Malaysia: Contingent effects of hostile environments. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*. 4(16), pp. 96-107.
- 4) Amburgey, T. L., Kelly, D. & Barnett, W. P. (1990) Resetting the clock: The dynamics of organizational change and failure. *In Academy of Management Proceedings*. New York: Academy of Management. 1990(1), pp. 160-164.
- 5) Anderson, B. S. & Eshima, Y. (2013) The influence of firm age and intangible resources on the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and firm growth among Japanese SMEs. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 28(3), pp. 413-429.
- 6) Covin, J. G. & Slevin, D. P. (1989) Strategic management of small firms in hostile and benign environments. *Strategic Management Journal*. 10(1), pp. 75-87.
- 7) Covin, J. G. & Slevin, D. P. (1991) A conceptual model of entrepreneurship as firm behavior. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 16(1), pp. 7-26.
- 8) Covin, J. G., Green, K. M. & Slevin, D. P. (2006) Strategic process effects on the entrepreneurial orientation–sales growth rate relationship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 30(1), pp. 57-81.
- 9) Das, A., Handfield, R. B., Calantone, R. J. & Ghosh, S. (2000) A contingent view of quality management-the impact of international competition on quality. *Decision Sciences*. 31(3), pp. 649-690.
- 10) Donaldson, L. (2001) *The contingency theory of organizations. Foundations for organizational science*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- 11) Du, Y., Ren, B., Chen, Z. & Zhang, Y. (2010) Proactiveness, legitimation via ISO certification and the growth of SMEs in China. *Frontiers of Business Research in China*, 4(2), pp. 283-305.
- 12) European Commission (2019) Analytical report: Commission opinion on Bosnia and Herzegovina's application for membership of the European Union. Brussels. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/neighbourhood-enlargement/sites/near/files/20190529-bosnia-and-herzegovina-analytical-report.pdf (Accessed: October 2, 2019).
- 13) Fonseca, L. M. (2015) From quality gurus and TQM to ISO 9001: 2015: A review of several quality paths. *International Journal for Quality Research (IJQR)*. 9(1), pp. 167-180.
- 14) Henderson, A. D. (1999) Firm strategy and age dependence: A contingent view of the liabilities of newness, adolescence, and obsolescence. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), pp. 281-314.



- 15) Ibeh, K. I. & Young, S. (2001) Exporting as an entrepreneurial act-An empirical study of Nigerian firms. *European Journal of Marketing*. 35(5/6). pp. 566-586.
- 16) Imran, M., Aziz, A., Hamid, S., Shabbir, M., Salman, R. & Jian, Z. (2018) The mediating role of total quality management between entrepreneurial orientation and SMEs export performance. *Management Science Letters*. 8(6), pp. 519-532.
- 17) Jaworski, B. J. & Kohli, A. K. (1993) Market orientation: antecedents and consequences. *The Journal of Marketing*. 57(3), pp. 53-70.
- 18) Kam-Sing Wong, S. (2014) Impacts of environmental turbulence on entrepreneurial orientation and new product success. European Journal of Innovation Management. 17(2), pp. 229-249.
- 19) Kazem, A. & van der Heijden, B. (2006) Exporting firms' strategic choices: The case of Egyptian SMEs in the food industry. *SAM Advanced Management Journal*. 71(3), pp. 21-33.
- 20) Kronsbein, D., Meiser, D. & Leyer, M. (2014) Conceptualisation of contextual factors for business process performance. In Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists. March 12-14, 2014. Hong Kong. Available at: http://www.iaeng.org/publication/IMECS2014/IMECS2014\_pp1198-1203.pdf (Accessed: October 2, 2019).
- 21) Lumpkin, G. T. & Dess, G. G. (1996) Clarifying the entrepreneurial orientation construct and linking it to performance. *Academy of Management Review*. 21(1), pp. 135-172.
- 22) Lumpkin, G. T. & Dess, G. G. (2001) Linking two dimensions of entrepreneurial orientation to firm performance: The moderating role of environment and industry life cycle. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 16(5), pp. 429-451.
- 23) Miller, D. (1983) The correlates of entrepreneurship in three types of firms. *Management Science*. 29(7), pp. 770-791.
- 24) Miller, D. & Friesen, P. H. (1983) Strategy-making and environment: the third link. *Strategic Management Journal*. 4(3), pp. 221-235.
- 25) Mostafa, R. H., Wheeler, C. & Jones, M. V. (2005) Entrepreneurial orientation, commitment to the Internet and export performance in small and medium sized exporting firms. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*. 3(4), pp. 291-302.
- 26) Okpara, J. (2009) Entrepreneurial orientation and export performance: evidence from an emerging economy. *International Review of Business Research Papers*. 5(6), pp. 195-211.
- 27) Palalic, R. & Busatlic, S. (2015) Exploratory research on relationship between entrepreneurial orientation dimensions and business performance and growth of fast and slow growing small and medium enterprises in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 10(2), pp. 15-30.
- 28) Petković, S. & Sorak, S. (2019) Effects of the Establishment of Entrepreneurial Orientation on the Performances of Small and Medium Enterprises in Transition Countries: Empirical Evidences from Bosnia and Herzegovina. Zagreb International Review of Economics & Business. 22(SCI), pp. 37-67.
- 29) Rauch, A., Wiklund, J., Lumpkin, G. T. & Frese, M. (2009) Entrepreneurial orientation and business performance: An assessment of past research and suggestions for the future. *Entrepreneurship Theory* and Practice. 33(3), pp. 761-787.
- 30) Roux, E. (1987) Managers Attitudes Toward Risk Among Determinants of Export Entry of Small-and Medium-Sized Firms. In P. J. Rosson & S. D. Reid (Eds.) Managing Export Entry and Expansion— Concepts and Practice (pp. 95-100). New York: Preager Publishers.
- 31) Sahoo, S. & Yadav, S. (2017) Entrepreneurial orientation of SMEs, total quality management and firm performance. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*. 28(7), pp. 892-912.
- 32) Simmonds, K. & Smith, H. (1968). The first export order: a marketing innovation. *European Journal of Marketing*. 2(2), pp. 93-100.
- 33) Santos-Vijande, M. L. & Álvarez-González, L. I. (2007) Innovativeness and organizational innovation in total quality oriented firms: The moderating role of market turbulence. *Technovation*. 27(9), pp. 514-532.
- 34) Shirokova, G., Bogatyreva, K., Beliaeva, T. & Puffer, S. (2016) Entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance in different environmental settings: contingency and configurational approaches. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. 23(3), pp. 703-727.
- 35) Sørensen, J. B. & Stuart, T. E. (2000) Aging, obsolescence, and organizational innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 45(1), pp. 81-112.
- 36) Tarí-Guilló, J. J. & Pereira-Moliner, J. (2012) Calidad y rentabilidad. Análisis del certificado Q en las cadenas hoteleras. *Universia Business Review*. (34), pp. 52-67.



- 37) Van de Ven, A. H. (1986) Central problems in the management of innovation. *Management science*. 32(5), pp. 590-607.
- 38) Walker, R. H. & Johnson, L. W. (2009) Signaling intrinsic service quality and value via accreditation and certification. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*. 19(1), pp. 85-105.
- 39) Wang, C. H., Chen, K. Y. & Chen, S. C. (2012) Total quality management, market orientation and hotel performance: The moderating effects of external environmental factors. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*. 31(1), pp. 119-129.