

Caporale, Guglielmo Maria; Plastun, Alex

**Working Paper**

## Gold and Oil Prices: Abnormal Returns, Momentum and Contrarian Effects

CESifo Working Paper, No. 8445

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

*Suggested Citation:* Caporale, Guglielmo Maria; Plastun, Alex (2020) : Gold and Oil Prices: Abnormal Returns, Momentum and Contrarian Effects, CESifo Working Paper, No. 8445, Center for Economic Studies and Ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/223517>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

# Gold and Oil Prices: Abnormal Returns, Momentum and Contrarian Effects

*Guglielmo Maria Caporale, Alex Plastun*

## **Impressum:**

CESifo Working Papers

ISSN 2364-1428 (electronic version)

Publisher and distributor: Munich Society for the Promotion of Economic Research - CESifo GmbH

The international platform of Ludwigs-Maximilians University's Center for Economic Studies and the ifo Institute

Poschingerstr. 5, 81679 Munich, Germany

Telephone +49 (0)89 2180-2740, Telefax +49 (0)89 2180-17845, email [office@cesifo.de](mailto:office@cesifo.de)

Editor: Clemens Fuest

<https://www.cesifo.org/en/wp>

An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded

- from the SSRN website: [www.SSRN.com](http://www.SSRN.com)
- from the RePEc website: [www.RePEc.org](http://www.RePEc.org)
- from the CESifo website: <https://www.cesifo.org/en/wp>

# Gold and Oil Prices: Abnormal Returns, Momentum and Contrarian Effects

## Abstract

This paper explores price (momentum and contrarian) effects on the days characterised by abnormal returns and the following ones in two commodity markets. Specifically, using daily Gold and Oil price data over the period 01.01.2009-31.03.2020 the following hypotheses are tested: H1) there are price effects on days with abnormal returns, H2) there are price effects on the day after abnormal returns occur; H3) the price effects caused by abnormal returns are exploitable. For these purposes average analysis, t-tests, CAR and trading simulation approaches are used. The main results can be summarised as follows. Hourly returns during the day of abnormal returns are significantly bigger than those during average “normal” days. Prices tend to move in the direction of abnormal returns till the end of the day when these occur. The presence of abnormal returns can usually be detected before the end of the day by estimating specific timing parameters, and a momentum effect can be detected. On the following day two different price patterns are detected: a momentum effect for Oil prices and a contrarian effect for Gold prices respectively. Trading simulations show that these effects can be exploited to generate abnormal profits.

JEL-Codes: G120, G170, C630.

Keywords: commodities, anomalies, momentum effect, contrarian effect, abnormal returns.

*Guglielmo Maria Caporale\**  
*Department of Economics and Finance*  
*Brunel University London*  
*United Kingdom – London, UB8 3PH*  
*Guglielmo-Maria.Caporale@brunel.ac.uk*

*Alex Plastun*  
*Sumy State University / Ukraine*  
*oliynik.viktor@gmail.com*

\*corresponding author

July 2020

The second-named author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (0117U003936).

## 1. Introduction

The Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) developed by Fama (1970) remains the dominant paradigm to understand asset price behaviour. It implies that prices should follow a random walk without any detectable patterns that can be exploited to generate abnormal profits. However, over the last 50 years a growing body of evidence has pointed to the existence of various anomalies (such as calendar and size effects, momentum and contrarian effects, market over- and underreactions, announcement drifts etc.) that appear to be inconsistent with the EMH. For instance, Gao et al. (2018) found higher predictability in the US stock market on days with higher volatility and transaction volumes, as well as on days when important macroeconomic news are released. There is also extensive evidence of momentum and contrarian effects in various financial markets (Caporale and Plastun, 2019; Wan and Kao, 2019; Cox and Peterson, 1994; Govindaraj et al., 2014), and of possible profitable trading strategies exploiting them.

Much less is known about price anomalies in the commodity markets given the dearth of papers analysing them. One of the few exceptions is the study due to Ham et al. (2019) who show that momentum effects in the Chinese commodity futures market can be exploited to make abnormal profits. The present paper contributes to this limited literature by examining whether there exist price (momentum or contrarian) effects after one-day abnormal returns in the case of Oil and Gold daily prices over the period 01.01.2009-01.09.2019. A number of hypotheses of interest are tested, namely H1) there are price effects on days with abnormal returns, H2) there are price effects on the day after abnormal returns occur; H3) the price effects caused by abnormal returns are exploitable. For these purposes average analysis, t-tests, cumulative abnormal returns (CAR) and trading simulation approaches are used (see Caporale and Plastun (2020a,b) for a similar type of analysis in the case of the cryptocurrency and Forex markets respectively).

The results suggest that hourly returns on the days with abnormal returns are significantly bigger than those during average “normal” days. A momentum effect is detected for both Oil and Gold prices on the days with abnormal returns, i.e. prices tend to move in the direction of abnormal returns till the end of the day when these occur. Price effects are also found on the following day, specifically a momentum effect in the case of Oil prices and a contrarian effect in the case of Gold prices. Trading simulations show that these effects can be exploited to generate abnormal profits.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 reviews the relevant literature. Section 3 describes the data and the methodology. Section 4 discusses the empirical results. Section 5 offers some concluding remarks.

## 2. Literature Review

Numerous studies have been carried out to establish the empirical relevance of the EMH by testing for the existence of exploitable market anomalies. These could arise for a variety of reasons, such as the irrational behaviour of market participants (Barber and Odean, 2001), new information arrivals such as earnings announcements (Lynn and Wayne, 2008), divergence between analysts' expectations and actual data (Doukas et al., 2006), insiders' purchases and sales (Inci et al., 2010), behavioural biases (Verousis and Gwilym, 2013; Chen, 2017), technical analysis and the execution of stop-losses (Osler, 2002), etc.

The existence of anomalies has been confirmed by many researchers. For instance, Keim (1983) found a “January effect” (higher returns compared to the other months) and a “size effect” (large firms earn larger risk-adjusted returns than small firms). Arian (1987) detected a “monthly effect” (the mean return for stocks is positive only for days immediately before and during the first half of calendar months). French (1980) provided evidence of a “weekend effect (average returns on Monday are lower than on other days of the week). Branch and Ma (2012) spotted a very strong negative autocorrelation between overnight and intraday returns. Berkman et al. (2011) found intraday contrarian effects in the US stock market.

According to the overreaction hypothesis there should be price reversals after abnormal price changes (De Bondt and Thaler, 1985). However, Cox and Peterson (1994) detected momentum effects instead. Bhattacharya et al. (2012) found excess buying (selling) at all price points one penny below (above) round numbers. Harris (1989) observed large mean price changes during the last daily NYSE transactions. Jegadeesh and Titman (1993, 2001) reported momentum effects in equity markets. Parikakis and Syriopoulos (2008) found price reversals in the Forex after one-day abnormal returns. Contrarian effects were also detected in the US stock market by Ferri and Min (1996).

Only a few studies have focused on the commodity markets. Caliskan and Najand (2016) found that the price of Gold tends to increase (decrease) following significantly positive (negative) stock returns, whilst Mollick and Assefa (2013) concluded that Oil price returns exert mostly negative effects on US stock returns. Baur (2012) and Chiarella et al. (2015) analysed the relationship between price returns and volatility changes in the commodity futures markets and found a positive one in the Gold futures market and a negative one in the crude Oil futures market. Cunado et al. (2010) reported evidence of long memory in Oil price volatility.

Elder et al. (2012) found a swift and significant response of Gold prices to economic news surprises. Rosa (2014) showed that both Gold prices and transaction volumes are also affected by monetary policy surprises. Finally, Roon et al. (2004)

found a significant momentum effect in term premia across time in commodity markets.

Other studies have analysed whether there exist profitable trading strategies based on the detected anomalies. For instance, Miffre and Rallis (2007) identified 13 profitable momentum strategies in the commodity futures markets. Erb and Harvey (2006) showed that a momentum strategy with a 12-month ranking period and a 1-month holding period is profitable in the same markets. Switzer and Jiang (2010) identified significant momentum profits in both outright futures and spread trading strategies in the case of Oil and Gold when the spot premium and the term premium are used to form winner and loser portfolios. Wang and Yu (2004) found strong evidence of weekly return reversals in futures prices; they also showed that futures market overreactions exist, and that both past prices and trading activity contain useful information about future market movements.

As for one-day abnormal returns and the price patterns they generate, Caporale et al. (2018) reported that a strategy based on counter-movements after one-day abnormal returns does not generate profits in the Forex and the commodity markets, but it is profitable in the case of the US stock market. Parikakis and Syriopoulos (2008) investigated patterns following excess one-day fluctuations for various currencies and found that a contrarian strategy is profitable in the Forex.

Finally, Lo (2004) introduced the adaptive market hypothesis which implies that some patterns might disappear and then reappear in time. Neely and Weller (2013) showed that trading strategies evolve as traders adapt their behaviour to changing circumstances; specifically, Forex trading returns dipped significantly in the 1990s but recovered by the end of the decade and have been significantly higher than equity ones since 1998.

### 3. Methodology

Daily and hourly data for Gold and Oil over the period 01.01.2009-31.03.2020 (GMT +3 time zone) are used. The data source is MetaQuotes Software Corp. The sample period has been chosen to include a sufficient number of abnormal price changes to be able to construct a data set suitable for performing t-tests as well as conducting trading simulations whilst avoiding data snooping.

Returns ( $R_i$ ) are computed as follows:

$$R_i = \left( \frac{\text{Close}_i}{\text{Open}_i} - 1 \right) \times 100\% , \quad (1)$$

where  $R_i$  – returns on the  $i$ -th day (hour) in %;

$\text{Open}_i$  – open price on the  $i$ -th day (hour);

Close<sub>*i*</sub> – close price on the *i*-th day (hour).

Open<sub>*i*</sub> is used instead of Close<sub>*i-1*</sub> in order to avoid the distortions caused by price gaps.

Abnormal returns are calculated by means of a dynamic trigger approach, i.e. by adding the appropriate number of standard deviations to average returns to obtain the threshold for abnormal returns (Caporale and Plastun, 2019).

Two types of abnormal returns are calculated: negative and positive.

A positive abnormal returns is defined as follows:

$$R_i > (\bar{R}_n + k \times \delta_n) \quad (2)$$

and a negative abnormal returns as:

$$R_i < (\bar{R}_n - k \times \delta_n) \quad (3)$$

where *k* is the number of standard deviations used to identify the abnormal returns (*k*=2, this number allows to generate in each case a sufficient number of detected abnormal returns);  $\bar{R}_n$  is the average size of daily returns for period *n*.

The following hypotheses are then tested:

H1: There are price effects on abnormal returns days,

H2: There are price effects after one-day abnormal returns;

H3: The price effects caused by abnormal returns are exploitable.

To test the first two hypotheses average analysis, Student's t-tests, and a modified cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) approach are used. Trading simulations are carried out to test the third hypothesis.

The CAR algorithm involves the following steps (MacKinlay, 1997). First abnormal returns are calculated:

$$AR_t = R_t - E(R_t) \quad (4)$$

where  $R_t$  is the return at time *t* and  $E(R_t)$  is corresponding average return computed over the whole sample period as follows:

$$E(R_t) = \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \sum_{i=1}^T R_i \quad (5)$$

where *T* is the sample size.

Next the cumulative abnormal return ( $CAR_i$ ) is defined:



$$CAR_i = \sum_{i=1}^{24} AR_i \quad (6)$$

where  $i$  starts with 1 (the first hour of trading day) and ends with 24 (the last hour of the trading day). A day consists of 24 hours.

Parametric t-tests are also carried out for Hypotheses 1-2. The Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) is that the data (hourly returns on the abnormal returns day and in the full sample) belong to the same population, a rejection of the null suggesting the presence of a statistical anomaly in the price behaviour on the day with abnormal returns. The test is carried out at the 95% confidence level, and the degrees of freedom are  $N - 1$  ( $N$  being equal to  $N_1 + N_2$ ).

Hypothesis 3 is tested by means of a trading simulation approach. This replicates the actions of traders by using appropriate algorithms for trading strategies based on the observed price patterns. The aim is to establish whether the detected anomalies can be exploited to generate abnormal profits. Our analysis does not incorporate transaction costs (spreads, broker or bank fees, swaps etc.), and therefore it is only a proxy for actual trading. However, nowadays thanks to Internet trading transaction costs are typically small and ignoring them does not affect the results. For example, a typical spread (the main component of transaction costs in the long term) in the case of Gold is 0.02%.

The percentage results for an individual deal are computed as follows:

$$\% \text{ result} = \left( \frac{P_{close}}{P_{open}} - 1 \right) \times 100\% \quad (7)$$

where  $P_{open}$  – opening price for the trade

$P_{close}$  – closing price for the trade

The sum of the results from each trade is the total financial result of trading. A strategy producing positive total profits implies that there might be an exploitable market anomaly.

Another important indicator is the percentage of successful trades:

$$\% \text{ successful trades} = \frac{100\% \times \text{number of successful trades}}{\text{overall number of trades}} \quad (8)$$

A percentage higher than 50% provides additional evidence that the strategy is effective.

To establish whether or not the results obtained are statistically different from the random trading ones, t-tests are carried out. These compare the means from two samples to see whether or not they come from the same population. The first sample consists of the trading results from the trading strategy, and the second one of random trading results. The null hypothesis is that the mean is the same in both samples, and the alternative that it is not. The computed values of the t-test are compared with the critical ones at the 5% significance level. Failure to reject the null implies that there are no advantages from following the trading strategy being considered since the trading results do not differ from the random ones, whilst a rejection suggests that the adopted strategy can generate abnormal profits since the trading results are not random.

#### **4. Empirical Results**

This section discusses the empirical findings. Summary tables are included in the main body of the paper, whilst detailed results are reported in the Appendices.

Concerning Gold prices (see Appendix A and B), Figure A.1 shows that average returns on days with positive abnormal returns are much higher than those on normal days; these differences are statistically significant for some hours of the day (Table A.2). Similarly, average returns on days with negative abnormal returns are much lower from those on normal days (Figure A.2) and these differences are statistically significant (Table A.3). The CAR analysis (Table A.4 and Figure A.3) implies that abnormal returns can be detected before the end of the day. Table 4 reports the timing parameters, which imply that anomaly appears after 5pm in the case of positive abnormal returns and after 7pm in the case of negative ones.

As for price behaviour on the day after abnormal returns, average hourly Gold returns after a day with positive abnormal returns are initially much lower than on normal days (Figure B.1), and in some cases these differences are statistically significant (Table B.1), which implies the existence of a contrarian effect. The same is true of negative abnormal returns (Figure B.2 and Table B.2), namely at the start of the following day prices tend to move in the opposite direction to abnormal returns. Using the modified CAR approach specific timings for trading can be determined (Table B.3 and Figure B.3) by being aware that the contrarian effect is most pronounced at 6am in the case of positive abnormal returns and it lasts till the end of the day in the case of negative abnormal returns.

A similar analysis is carried out for Oil prices (see Appendix C and D). For the day of abnormal returns the overall conclusions are the same, i.e. returns on abnormal return days are higher than those on normal days (Figures C.1 and C.2) and these

differences are statistically significant in most cases (Tables C.2 and C.3). The anomaly can be detected before the end of the day and the timing parameters imply that the anomaly appears after 4pm in the case of positive abnormal returns and after 7pm in the case of negative ones (Table C.4). The CAR analysis shows that the momentum effect is temporary (Figures D.1 and D.2): usually it lasts for a few hours, but during this time differences between hourly returns on the day after abnormal returns and normal days are statistically significant (Tables D.1 and D.2). The biggest momentum effects are observed at 9am for positive abnormal returns and at 10am for negative ones (Table D.3).

The overall results are summarised in Table 2 (for positive abnormal returns) and Table 3 (for negative abnormal returns).

**Table 2: Overall results for the case of positive abnormal returns**

Parameter/Instrument	Gold prices	Oil prices
<b>Day of the abnormal returns</b>		
Are there significant differences in returns (abnormal day vs usual day)?	Yes	Yes
Are there any patterns in cumulative abnormal returns dynamics?	Yes. CAR increase till the end of the day	Yes. CAR increase till the end of the day
Timing of abnormal returns	19:00	19:00
<b>Day after the abnormal returns</b>		
Is there momentum effect on the day after the abnormal returns?	No*	Yes
Timing parameters of momentum movements	Since the start of the day till 6:00*	Since the start of the day till the end of the day with peak at 9:00

\* contrarian effect detected

This table presents the overall results for the case of positive abnormal returns. The first column reports the parameter being considered, the second, third and fourth columns show the results for Gold and Oil prices respectively.

**Table 3: Overall results for the case of negative abnormal returns**

Parameter/Instrument	Gold prices	Oil prices
<b>Day of the abnormal returns</b>		
Are there significant differences in returns (abnormal day vs usual day)?	Yes	Yes
Are there any patterns in cumulative abnormal returns dynamics?	Yes. CAR decrease till the end of the day	Yes. CAR decrease till the end of the day
Timing of abnormal returns	17:00	16:00
<b>Day after the abnormal returns</b>		
Is there momentum effect on the day after the abnormal returns?	No*	Yes
Timing parameters of momentum movements	Since the start of the day till the end of the day *	Since the start of the day till the end of the day with peak at 10:00

\* contrarian effect detected

This table presents the overall results for the case of negative abnormal returns. The first column reports the parameter being considered, the second, third and fourth columns show the results for Gold and Oil prices respectively.

As can be seen, abnormal returns can be identified before the end of the day. This allows to exploit the momentum effect which is present in both Gold and Oil prices. The latter tends to continue into the first few hours of the following day. The timing parameters for both the appearance of abnormal returns and the end of the momentum effect are shown in Tables 2 and 3. In the case of Gold prices the momentum effect disappears on the following day and a contrarian effect is detected instead.

The results can be summarised as follows:

- H1 cannot be rejected, since a strong momentum effect is detected during the day of abnormal returns for both positive and negative returns;
- H2 cannot be rejected, since Oil prices tend to move in the direction of abnormal returns at the start of the day and Gold prices in the opposite direction;
- specific timing parameters can be estimated both for detecting abnormal returns on the day when they occur and the time horizon of price effects on the day after abnormal returns have occurred;

On the basis of these results, the following trading strategies are designed to test Hypothesis 3:

Strategy 1: when it becomes clear that the current day is characterised by abnormal returns (see the timing of the abnormal returns parameter in Tables 2 and 3)

a position in their direction should be opened. This should then be closed at the end of the day.

Strategy 2: at the beginning of the day after abnormal returns have been observed a position in their direction should be opened. This should then be closed on the basis of the timing parameters for the momentum effect displayed in Tables 2 and 3. If this effect is not present, a contrarian trading strategy should be used: at the beginning of the day after abnormal returns have occurred a position in the opposite direction should be opened.

The trading simulation results for the two strategies for positive and negative abnormal returns are presented in Tables 4 and 5 respectively.

**Table 4: Trading simulation results for the case of positive abnormal returns**

Series used	Number of trades. units	Number of successful trades. units	Number of successful trades. %	Profit. %	Profit % per year	Profit % per trade	t-test calculated value	Null hypothesis for the t-test
<b>Strategy 1</b>								
Gold	59	51	86%	41.44%	4.14%	0.70%	5.61	rejected
Oil	96	54	56%	213.60%	21.36%	2.22%	12.23	rejected
<b>Strategy 2</b>								
Gold*	59	35	59%	4.26%	0.43%	0.07%	1.36	not rejected
Oil	81	50	62%	56.42%	5.64%	0.70%	3.89	rejected

\* A contrarian trading strategy is used

This table presents the trading simulation results for the case of positive abnormal returns. The first column specifies the series used; the second column shows the number of trades in units; the third column provides the number of successful trades in units and the fourth column shows this parameter in %; the fifth column shows the profit generated by the trading strategy over the whole period in %; the sixth column shows the annual profit in % and the seventh column provides information about the size of profit per trade; the eighth column reports the t-test statistics and the ninth whether or not they imply a rejection of the null.

**Table 5: Trading simulation results for the case of negative abnormal returns**

Series used	Number of trades. units	Number of successful trades. units	Number of successful trades. %	Profit. %	Profit % per year	Profit % per trade	t-test statistic	Null hypothesis for the t-test
Strategy 1								
Gold	74	53	72%	77.79%	7.78%	1.05%	8.17	rejected
Oil	89	59	66%	369.56%	36.96%	4.15%	8.60	rejected
Strategy 2								
Gold*	74	43	58.1%	11.3%	1.1%	0.15%	0.73	not rejected
Oil	83	49	59.0%	49.9%	5.0%	0.60%	2.12	rejected

\* A contrarian trading strategy is used

This table presents trading simulation results for the case of negative abnormal returns. The first column specifies the series used; the second column shows the number of trades in units; the third column provides the number of successful trades in units and the fourth column shows this parameter in %; the fifth column shows the profit generated by the trading strategy over the whole period in %; the sixth column shows the annual profit in % and the seventh column provides information about the size of profit per trade; the eighth column reports the t-test statistics and the ninth whether or not they imply a rejection of the null.

Strategy 1 is highly profitable for both positive and negative abnormal returns. The number of successful trades on average is close to 70% and profits are positive and significant in all cases. The t-statistics imply the rejection of the null, i.e. the trading simulation results differ from the random ones. Strategy 2 (momentum for Oil and contrarian for Gold) is less successful, but nevertheless the number of successful trades on average is close to 60% and profits are detected in all cases. The results in the case of Oil are statistically different from random trading, but they are not so in the case of Gold.

On the whole there is evidence that suitably designed trading strategies based on the detected price effects and the estimated timing parameters can “beat the market”. In particular, it is possible to exploit the momentum effects lasting the whole day on days with abnormal returns. It appears that, although the price effects caused by one-day abnormal returns are generally short-lived, even the few hours they last are sufficient to generate extra profits from trading. These results are consistent with the previous ones reported by Caporale and Plastun (2020a,b) for both cryptocurrencies and other currencies. Daily abnormal returns generate specific patterns in price behaviour. On the day of abnormal returns there is a strong momentum effect which lasts till the end of the day. On the day after a momentum effect can be detected in the first few hours in the case of Oil prices, and a contrarian effect in the case of Gold prices. These patterns provide an opportunity for suitably

designed trading strategies to generate abnormal profits, as shown by the trading simulation. This is clearly inconsistent with the EMH.

## **5. Conclusions**

This paper examines price (momentum and contrarian) effects in the Gold and Oil commodity markets in the presence of one-day abnormal returns using a number of statistical methods (average analysis, t-tests, CAR and trading simulation approaches). We find a momentum effect on the days with abnormal returns; further, the timing parameters imply that abnormal returns can be detected before the end of the day. Price effects are also detected on the following day, specifically a momentum effect in the case of Oil prices and a contrarian effect in the case of Gold prices. Such effects give rise to exploitable profit opportunities. A trading simulation approach provides evidence of the profitability of appropriate strategies in the case of both Gold and Oil prices. The implication is that in these markets the EMH does not hold.

These findings are of interest to both academics whose aim is to establish whether or not markets can be characterised as being efficient, and to investors and traders aiming to maximise their profits and shed new light on two commodity markets (Gold and Oil) for whom the presence of anomalies and of possibly profitable trading strategies based on them had not been previously analysed.

## References

- Ariel, Robert, (1987), A Monthly Effect in Stock Returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 18. 161-174. 10.1016/0304-405X(87)90066-3.
- Barber, Brad & Odean, Terrance, (2001), Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, And Common Stock Investment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 116. 261-292. 10.2139/ssrn.139415.
- Baur, D. G., (2012), Asymmetric volatility in the gold market. *The Journal of Alternative Investments* 14 (4), 26-38
- Berkman, Henk & Koch, Paul & Tuttle, Laura & Zhang, Ying, (2011), Paying Attention: Overnight Returns and the Hidden Cost of Buying at the Open. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 47. 10.2139/ssrn.1625495.
- Bhattacharya, U., Holden, C., & Jacobsen, S., (2012). Penny Wise, Dollar Foolish: Buy-Sell Imbalances On and Around Round Numbers. *Management Science*, 58(2), 413-431
- Branch, Ben & Ma, Aixin, (2012), Overnight Return, the Invisible Hand behind Intraday Returns?. *Journal of Applied Finance*. 22. 10.2139/ssrn.3259614.
- Caliskan, Deren and Najand, Mohammad, (2016), Stock Market Returns and the Price of Gold. *Journal of Asset Management* 17, 10–21. doi:10.1057/jam.2015.37. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2710345>
- Caporale, G.M. and A. Plastun, (2019), Price overreactions in the cryptocurrency market, *Journal of Economic Studies*, Vol. 46 No. 5, pp. 1137-1155.
- Caporale, G.M., Gil-Alana, L., and A. Plastun, (2018), Short-term Price Overreactions: Identification, Testing, Exploitation, *Computational Economics*, Volume 51, Issue 4, pp 913–940.
- Caporale, G.M., and A. Plastun, (2020a), Momentum effects in the cryptocurrency market after one-day abnormal returns. *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11408-020-00357-1>
- Caporale, G.M. and A. Plastun, (2020b), Daily abnormal price changes and trading strategies in the FOREX market. *Journal of Economic Studies* (forthcoming)
- Chen, Tao, (2017), Stock Return Anomalies from Ending-Digit Effects Around the World. *Global Economic Review*. 46. 1-31. 10.1080/1226508X.2017.1355739.
- Chiarella, Carl and Kang, Boda and Sklibosios Nikitopoulos, Christina and To, Thuy Duong, The Return-Volatility Relation in Commodity Futures Markets (June 11, 2015). UNSW Business School Research Paper No. 2015 BFIN 05. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2617525>
- Cox, D., and D., Peterson, (1994), Stock Returns Following Large One-Day Declines: Evidence on Short-Term Reversals and Longer-Term Performance. *Journal of Finance*, 49(1), pp. 255–267.




- Cunado, J., Gil-Alana, L., Perez-De-Gracia, F., (2010), Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets. *Journal of Futures Markets* 30 (5), 490-507.
- De Roon, F., R. van den Goorbergh, and T. Nijman, (2004), *An Anatomy of Futures Returns: Risk Premiums and Trading Strategies*, WO Research Memoranda 757, Netherlands Central Bank, Research Department.
- Doukas, John & Kim, Chansog (Francis) & Pantzalis, Christos, (2006), Divergence of Opinion and Equity Returns. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 41. 573-606. 10.1017/S0022109000002544.
- Elder, J., Miao, H., Ramchander, S., (2012), Impact of macroeconomic news on metal futures. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 36, 51-65.
- Erb, C., Harvey, C., (2006), The strategic and tactical value of commodity futures. *Financial Analysts Journal* 62, 2, 69-97.
- Fama, E., (1970), Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. *The Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383-417. doi:10.2307/2325486
- Ferri, M., and C. Min, (1996), Evidence that the Stock Market Overreacts and Adjusts. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*, 22, pp. 71–76.
- French, Kenneth, (1980), Stock Returns and The Weekend Effect. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 8. 55-69. 10.1016/0304-405X(80)90021-5.
- Gao, Lei & Han, Yufeng & Li, Sophia & Zhou, Guofu, (2018), Market Intraday Momentum. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 129. 10.1016/j.jfineco.2018.05.009.
- Govindaraj, S., Livnat, J., Savor P. and Zhaoe Ch., (2014), Large price changes and subsequent returns. *Journal Of Investment Management*, 12 (3), pp. 31–58.
- Ham, Hyuna & Cho, Hoon & Kim, Hyeongjun & Ryu, Doojin, (2019), Time-series momentum in China's commodity futures market. *Journal of Futures Markets*. 39. 10.1002/fut.22053.
- Harris, Lawrence, (1989), A Day-end Transaction Price Anomaly. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 24. 29-45. 10.2307/2330746.
- Inci, Can & Lu, Biao & Seyhun, H., (2010), Intraday Behavior of Stock Prices and Trades Around Insider Trading. *Financial Management*. 39. 323-363. 10.1111/j.1755-053X.2009.01075.x.
- Jegadeesh, N., Titman, S., (1993), Returns to buying winners and selling losers: Implications for stock market efficiency. *Journal of Finance* 48, 65-91.
- Jegadeesh, N., Titman, S., (2001), Profitability of momentum strategies: An evaluation of alternative explanations, *Journal of Finance* 56, 699-720
- Keim, Donald, (1983), Size Related Anomalies and Stock Return Seasonality. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 12. 13–32. 10.1016/0304-405X(83)90025-9.

- Lo, A.W., (2004), The adaptive markets hypothesis: Market efficiency from an evolutionary perspective. *Journal of Portfolio Management* 30, 15-29.
- Miffre, Joelle and Rallis, Georgios, (2007), Momentum Strategies in Commodity Futures Markets. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 31, No. 9, 1863-1886.
- Mollick, Andre V. and Assefa, Tibebe Abebe, (2013), U.S. Stock Returns and Oil Prices: The Tale from Daily Data and the 2008-2009 Financial Crisis. *Energy Economics*, Vol. 36, 2013. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2208094>
- Neely, Christopher & Weller, Paul, (2013), Lessons from the Evolution of Foreign Exchange Trading Strategies. *Journal of Banking & Finance*. 37.
- Osler, Carol, (2002), Stop-Loss Orders and Price Cascades in Currency Markets. *Journal of International Money and Finance*. 24. 219-241. 10.1016/j.jimonfin.2004.12.002.
- Parikakis, G., and T. Syriopoulos, (2008), Contrarian strategy and overreaction in foreign exchange markets. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 22, pp. 319-324.
- Pritamani, M. and V. Singal, (2001), Return Predictability Following Large Price Changes and Information Releases, *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 25(4), pp. 631–656.
- Rees, Lynn & Thomas, Wayne, (2008), The stock price effects of changes in dispersion of investor beliefs during earnings announcements. *Review of Accounting Studies*. 15. 1-31.
- Rosa, C., (2014), The high-frequency response of energy prices to U.S. monetary policy: Understanding the empirical evidence, *Energy Economics* 45, 295-303.
- Switzer, Lorne & Jiang, Hui, (2010), Market Efficiency and the Risks and Returns of Dynamic Trading Strategies with Commodity Futures. *Proceedings Of The First Interdisciplinary Chess Interactions Conference*. Edited by H E Stanley. Published by World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2010. ISBN #9789814295895, pp. 127-156
- Verousis, Thanos & Gwilym, Owain, (2013), The implications of a price anchoring effect at the upstairs market of the London Stock Exchange. *International Review of Financial Analysis*. 32. 10.1016/j.irfa.2013.12.001.
- Wan, J., and C. Kao, (2009), Evidence on the contrarian trading in foreign exchange markets. *Economic Modelling*, 26, pp. 1420–1431.
- Wang, C., Yu, M., (2004), Trading activity and price reversals in futures markets. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 28, 1337-1361.

## Appendix A


### Gold: day of abnormal returns

**Figure A.1: Average hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal returns, Gold**



This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Gold returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of positive abnormal returns

**Figure A.2: Average hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Gold**



This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Gold returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns

**Table A.2: t-test of hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal returns, Gold**

Hour	Average return on positive abnormal returns day (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day with positive returns (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t-statistic
0:00	0.07%	0.13%	12	0.03%	0.14%	372	1.00
1:00	0.02%	0.33%	58	0.03%	0.16%	1436	-0.22
2:00	0.08%	0.22%	58	0.02%	0.14%	1436	2.02
3:00	0.01%	0.37%	58	0.02%	0.17%	1436	-0.26
4:00	0.05%	0.20%	58	0.03%	0.16%	1436	0.83
5:00	0.09%	0.33%	58	0.02%	0.14%	1436	1.71
6:00	0.07%	0.43%	58	0.01%	0.13%	1438	1.04
7:00	0.02%	0.12%	58	0.02%	0.11%	1438	-0.14
8:00	0.04%	0.27%	58	0.02%	0.15%	1438	0.51
9:00	0.05%	0.22%	58	0.01%	0.17%	1445	1.46
10:00	0.13%	0.52%	58	0.03%	0.20%	1445	1.44
11:00	0.01%	0.29%	58	0.01%	0.16%	1445	0.10
<b>12:00</b>	<b>0.08%</b>	<b>0.23%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.01%</b>	<b>0.16%</b>	<b>1445</b>	<b>2.12</b>
13:00	0.08%	0.27%	58	0.02%	0.17%	1445	1.73
14:00	0.11%	0.34%	58	0.04%	0.22%	1445	1.61
<b>15:00</b>	<b>0.28%</b>	<b>0.61%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.07%</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	<b>1447</b>	<b>2.69</b>
<b>16:00</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>0.45%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.07%</b>	<b>0.27%</b>	<b>1446</b>	<b>2.17</b>
<b>17:00</b>	<b>0.37%</b>	<b>0.51%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.08%</b>	<b>0.28%</b>	<b>1446</b>	<b>4.29</b>
<b>18:00</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>0.30%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.05%</b>	<b>0.22%</b>	<b>1446</b>	<b>3.78</b>
<b>19:00</b>	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>0.47%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.03%</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>1439</b>	<b>2.06</b>
<b>20:00</b>	<b>0.24%</b>	<b>0.38%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.03%</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>1414</b>	<b>4.14</b>
<b>21:00</b>	<b>0.12%</b>	<b>0.41%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.03%</b>	<b>0.19%</b>	<b>1398</b>	<b>1.72</b>
<b>22:00</b>	<b>0.14%</b>	<b>0.31%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.02%</b>	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>1393</b>	<b>3.16</b>
23:00	0.04%	0.14%	50	0.00%	0.10%	1134	1.68


This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Gold hourly returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of positive abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on positive abnormal returns day and on usual day with positive returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on abnormal and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.

**Table A.3: t-test of hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Gold**

Hour	Average return on positive abnormal returns day (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day with positive returns (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t-statistic
0:00	-0.02%	0.18%	20	0.00%	0.16%	308	-0.54
1:00	0.00%	0.22%	74	0.01%	0.14%	1328	-0.14
2:00	0.00%	0.19%	74	-0.01%	0.12%	1328	0.25
<b><u>3:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.13%</u></b>	<b><u>0.24%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.02%</u></b>	<b><u>0.16%</u></b>	<b><u>1328</u></b>	<b><u>-3.88</u></b>
4:00	-0.11%	0.46%	74	-0.02%	0.18%	1329	-1.68
5:00	-0.02%	0.21%	74	-0.01%	0.12%	1328	-0.46
6:00	-0.02%	0.19%	74	0.00%	0.10%	1329	-0.66
7:00	-0.03%	0.25%	74	-0.01%	0.13%	1329	-0.64
8:00	-0.05%	0.21%	74	-0.01%	0.16%	1329	-1.47
9:00	-0.07%	0.37%	74	-0.04%	0.19%	1330	-0.72
<b><u>10:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.16%</u></b>	<b><u>0.33%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.04%</u></b>	<b><u>0.21%</u></b>	<b><u>1331</u></b>	<b><u>-3.10</u></b>
<b><u>11:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.12%</u></b>	<b><u>0.29%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.03%</u></b>	<b><u>0.18%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-2.66</u></b>
<b><u>12:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.09%</u></b>	<b><u>0.30%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.03%</u></b>	<b><u>0.16%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-1.84</u></b>
<b><u>13:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.08%</u></b>	<b><u>0.33%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.02%</u></b>	<b><u>0.18%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-1.70</u></b>
<b><u>14:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.18%</u></b>	<b><u>0.43%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.05%</u></b>	<b><u>0.23%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-2.71</u></b>
<b><u>15:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.28%</u></b>	<b><u>0.57%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.09%</u></b>	<b><u>0.33%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-2.82</u></b>
<b><u>16:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.40%</u></b>	<b><u>0.48%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.09%</u></b>	<b><u>0.32%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-5.44</u></b>
<b><u>17:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.33%</u></b>	<b><u>0.65%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.07%</u></b>	<b><u>0.31%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-3.44</u></b>
<b><u>18:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.13%</u></b>	<b><u>0.42%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.04%</u></b>	<b><u>0.24%</u></b>	<b><u>1330</u></b>	<b><u>-1.77</u></b>
<b><u>19:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.21%</u></b>	<b><u>0.37%</u></b>	<b><u>74</u></b>	<b><u>-0.04%</u></b>	<b><u>0.21%</u></b>	<b><u>1329</u></b>	<b><u>-4.05</u></b>
<b><u>20:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.18%</u></b>	<b><u>0.39%</u></b>	<b><u>73</u></b>	<b><u>-0.04%</u></b>	<b><u>0.21%</u></b>	<b><u>1312</u></b>	<b><u>-3.03</u></b>
<b><u>21:00</u></b>	<b><u>-0.19%</u></b>	<b><u>0.43%</u></b>	<b><u>72</u></b>	<b><u>-0.04%</u></b>	<b><u>0.21%</u></b>	<b><u>1305</u></b>	<b><u>-2.92</u></b>
22:00	-0.03%	0.34%	71	-0.01%	0.17%	1298	-0.49
23:00	0.03%	0.23%	57	-0.01%	0.10%	1079	1.23

This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Gold hourly returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on negative abnormal returns day and on usual day with negative returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on abnormal and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.

**Figure A.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns: case of Gold**



This figure displays the dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns in Gold prices for the case of negative and positive abnormal returns on the day of abnormal returns

**Table A.4: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative abnormal returns, Gold**

Hour	Positive abnormal returns			Negative abnormal returns		
	Abnormal returns	CAR	Abnormal returns cross	Abnormal returns	CAR	Abnormal returns cross
0:00	0.04%	0.04%	1.91%	-0.02%	-0.02%	-1.92%
1:00	-0.01%	0.03%	1.89%	0.00%	-0.03%	-1.92%
2:00	0.06%	0.09%	1.81%	0.01%	-0.02%	-1.92%
3:00	-0.01%	0.07%	1.80%	-0.11%	-0.13%	-1.79%
4:00	0.02%	0.10%	1.75%	-0.09%	-0.22%	-1.68%
5:00	0.08%	0.17%	1.65%	-0.01%	-0.23%	-1.66%
6:00	0.06%	0.23%	1.59%	-0.01%	-0.24%	-1.64%
7:00	0.00%	0.23%	1.57%	-0.02%	-0.26%	-1.61%
8:00	0.02%	0.25%	1.53%	-0.04%	-0.30%	-1.56%
9:00	0.04%	0.29%	1.47%	-0.03%	-0.33%	-1.49%
10:00	0.10%	0.39%	1.35%	-0.12%	-0.45%	-1.33%
11:00	0.00%	0.39%	1.33%	-0.09%	-0.54%	-1.21%
12:00	0.07%	0.46%	1.25%	-0.07%	-0.61%	-1.12%
13:00	0.06%	0.52%	1.17%	-0.06%	-0.67%	-1.03%
14:00	0.07%	0.59%	1.06%	-0.14%	-0.81%	-0.85%
15:00	0.22%	0.81%	0.78%	-0.19%	-1.00%	-0.57%
16:00	0.13%	0.93%	0.58%	-0.31%	-1.31%	-0.17%
<b>17:00</b>	0.29%	1.22%	0.21%	<b>-0.26%</b>	<b>-1.57%</b>	<b>0.15%</b>
18:00	0.15%	1.37%	0.01%	-0.09%	-1.66%	0.28%
<b>19:00</b>	<b>0.13%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	<b>-0.14%</b>	-0.18%	-1.83%	0.49%
20:00	0.21%	1.71%	-0.38%	-0.14%	-1.97%	0.67%
21:00	0.09%	1.80%	-0.50%	-0.15%	-2.12%	0.85%
22:00	0.13%	1.93%	-0.65%	-0.02%	-2.14%	0.88%
23:00	0.03%	1.96%	-0.69%	0.04%	-2.10%	0.85%


This table presents estimates of cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns on abnormal days in Gold prices. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively abnormal returns cross for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns;



## Appendix B

### Gold: day after the abnormal returns

**Figure B.1: Average hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal returns, Gold**




This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Gold returns after the abnormal and normal days for the case of positive abnormal returns

**Table B.1: t-test of hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal returns, Gold**

Hour	Average return on day after positive abnormal returns (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t criterion
<b>0:00</b>	<b>-0.14%</b>	<b>0.12%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0.04%</b>	<b>0.23%</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>-5.18</b>
1:00	-0.03%	0.28%	59	0.01%	0.13%	1482	-0.95
<b>2:00</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>-0.07%</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	<b>1482</b>	<b>2.36</b>
3:00	-0.03%	0.23%	59	-0.01%	0.15%	1482	-0.77
4:00	-0.01%	0.24%	59	0.04%	0.19%	1483	-1.37
5:00	0.00%	0.18%	59	0.02%	0.11%	1482	-0.77
<b>6:00</b>	<b>0.03%</b>	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0.08%</b>	<b>0.16%</b>	<b>1482</b>	<b>-2.41</b>
7:00	0.03%	0.15%	59	0.00%	0.13%	1482	1.50
8:00	0.03%	0.19%	59	0.00%	0.17%	1482	1.20
9:00	-0.02%	0.21%	59	0.02%	0.17%	1487	-1.70
10:00	-0.04%	0.33%	59	-0.09%	0.24%	1488	1.19
11:00	0.02%	0.27%	59	-0.03%	0.15%	1487	1.28
<b>12:00</b>	<b>0.01%</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>-0.06%</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	<b>1487</b>	<b>2.29</b>
13:00	-0.05%	0.40%	59	-0.02%	0.22%	1487	-0.49
<b>14:00</b>	<b>-0.09%</b>	<b>0.25%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0.02%</b>	<b>0.49%</b>	<b>1487</b>	<b>-3.07</b>
<b>15:00</b>	<b>0.04%</b>	<b>0.28%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>-0.09%</b>	<b>0.57%</b>	<b>1489</b>	<b>3.47</b>
16:00	0.02%	0.39%	59	-0.02%	0.34%	1488	0.84
17:00	0.07%	0.30%	59	0.06%	0.39%	1488	0.30
18:00	0.00%	0.43%	59	0.01%	0.23%	1488	-0.11
19:00	-0.02%	0.20%	59	-0.04%	0.23%	1482	1.06
20:00	-0.05%	0.33%	58	-0.11%	0.21%	1470	1.20
21:00	-0.06%	0.32%	58	-0.06%	0.17%	1458	0.00
22:00	0.01%	0.26%	57	0.04%	0.10%	1445	-0.99
<b>23:00</b>	<b>0.05%</b>	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>-0.02%</b>	<b>0.09%</b>	<b>1051</b>	<b>3.44</b>

This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Gold hourly returns on day after abnormal returns for the case of positive abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on day after positive abnormal returns and on usual day with positive returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on day after positive abnormal returns and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.

**Figure B.2: Average hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Gold**



This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Gold returns after the abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns

**Table B.2: t-test of hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Gold**

Hour	Average return on day after negative abnormal returns (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t-statistic
0:00	0.12%	0.22%	20	0.04%	0.23%	596	1.60
1:00	0.05%	0.29%	75	0.01%	0.13%	1482	1.13
<b>2:00</b>	<b>0.01%</b>	<b>0.24%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>-0.07%</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	<b>1482</b>	<b>2.50</b>
3:00	-0.01%	0.41%	75	-0.01%	0.15%	1482	0.05
4:00	0.02%	0.41%	75	0.04%	0.19%	1483	-0.45
5:00	0.03%	0.28%	75	0.02%	0.11%	1482	0.27
<b>6:00</b>	<b>-0.03%</b>	<b>0.18%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>0.08%</b>	<b>0.16%</b>	<b>1482</b>	<b>-4.80</b>
7:00	-0.02%	0.31%	75	0.00%	0.13%	1482	-0.49
8:00	-0.03%	0.36%	75	0.00%	0.17%	1482	-0.80
9:00	0.02%	0.39%	75	0.02%	0.17%	1487	-0.03
<b>10:00</b>	<b>0.04%</b>	<b>0.46%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>-0.09%</b>	<b>0.24%</b>	<b>1488</b>	<b>2.60</b>
11:00	-0.01%	0.42%	75	-0.03%	0.15%	1487	0.35
12:00	-0.07%	0.34%	75	-0.06%	0.32%	1487	-0.40
13:00	0.02%	0.36%	75	-0.02%	0.22%	1487	0.96
14:00	0.06%	0.31%	75	0.02%	0.49%	1487	1.13
<b>15:00</b>	<b>0.03%</b>	<b>0.38%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>-0.09%</b>	<b>0.57%</b>	<b>1489</b>	<b>2.61</b>
16:00	-0.06%	0.44%	75	-0.02%	0.34%	1488	-0.75
17:00	0.01%	0.64%	75	0.06%	0.39%	1488	-0.60
18:00	0.08%	0.41%	75	0.01%	0.23%	1488	1.50
19:00	-0.03%	0.39%	75	-0.04%	0.23%	1482	0.37
<b>20:00</b>	<b>-0.01%</b>	<b>0.38%</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>-0.11%</b>	<b>0.21%</b>	<b>1470</b>	<b>2.10</b>
21:00	-0.06%	0.38%	74	-0.06%	0.17%	1458	0.03
22:00	0.06%	0.25%	74	0.04%	0.10%	1445	0.54
23:00	0.01%	0.21%	59	-0.02%	0.09%	1051	1.25

This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Gold hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on day after negative abnormal returns and on usual day with negative returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on day after negative abnormal returns and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.


**Table B.3: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative abnormal returns, Gold**

Hour	Positive abnormal returns		Negative abnormal returns	
	Abnormal returns	Cumulative abnormal returns	Abnormal returns	Cumulative abnormal returns
0:00	-0.18%	-0.18%	0.08%	0.08%
1:00	-0.03%	-0.22%	0.04%	0.12%
2:00	0.06%	-0.15%	0.07%	0.19%
3:00	-0.02%	-0.18%	0.00%	0.19%
4:00	-0.04%	-0.22%	-0.02%	0.17%
5:00	-0.02%	-0.24%	0.01%	0.18%
<b>6:00*</b>	<b>-0.05%</b>	<b>-0.29%</b>	-0.10%	0.08%
7:00	0.03%	-0.26%	-0.02%	0.06%
8:00	0.03%	-0.23%	-0.03%	0.03%
9:00	-0.05%	-0.27%	0.00%	0.03%
10:00	0.05%	-0.22%	0.14%	0.16%
11:00	0.05%	-0.17%	0.02%	0.18%
12:00	0.06%	-0.11%	-0.02%	0.16%
13:00	-0.03%	-0.14%	0.04%	0.20%
14:00	-0.11%	-0.25%	0.04%	0.25%
15:00	0.14%	-0.11%	0.12%	0.37%
16:00	0.04%	-0.07%	-0.04%	0.33%
17:00	0.01%	-0.06%	-0.04%	0.29%
18:00	-0.01%	-0.06%	0.07%	0.36%
19:00	0.03%	-0.03%	0.02%	0.37%
20:00	0.05%	0.02%	0.09%	0.47%
21:00	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	0.47%
22:00	-0.03%	-0.02%	0.02%	0.48%
<b>23:00*</b>	<b>0.07%</b>	<b>0.05%</b>	<b>0.03%</b>	<b>0.52%</b>

\* contrarian effect detected

This table presents estimates of cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns after abnormal days in Gold prices. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fourth columns show respectively abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns; the third and fifth columns show respectively cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns.

**Figure B.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns, Gold**




This figure displays the dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns in Gold prices for the case of negative and positive abnormal returns after the day of abnormal returns

## Appendix C


### Oil: day of abnormal returns

**Figure C.1: Average hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal returns, Oil**



This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Oil returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of positive abnormal returns

**Figure C.2: Average hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Oil**



This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Oil returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns

**Table C.2: t-test of hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal returns, Oil**

Hour	Average return on positive abnormal returns day (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day with positive returns (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t-statistic
0:00	0.03%	0.68%	77	0.02%	0.40%	1235	0.20
1:00	-0.06%	0.56%	78	0.01%	0.37%	1237	-1.13
2:00	-0.10%	1.02%	52	0.01%	0.40%	972	-0.76
3:00	-0.09%	0.61%	34	-0.02%	0.32%	589	-0.69
4:00	0.10%	0.47%	63	0.04%	0.32%	794	1.09
5:00	0.13%	0.54%	86	0.02%	0.30%	1291	1.79
6:00	0.11%	0.49%	77	0.03%	0.28%	1294	1.49
7:00	0.02%	0.37%	77	0.01%	0.23%	1298	0.27
8:00	0.12%	0.39%	93	0.02%	0.21%	1462	2.57
9:00	0.07%	0.46%	93	0.02%	0.22%	1462	1.14
10:00	-0.03%	0.52%	94	0.01%	0.25%	1462	-0.61
11:00	0.07%	0.69%	95	0.02%	0.32%	1472	0.69
12:00	0.14%	0.72%	95	0.05%	0.39%	1470	1.26
13:00	0.17%	0.64%	95	0.07%	0.39%	1471	1.39
14:00	0.14%	0.80%	95	0.06%	0.37%	1471	0.98
15:00	0.23%	0.51%	95	0.06%	0.35%	1471	3.20
16:00	0.09%	0.67%	95	0.05%	0.40%	1472	0.61
17:00	0.31%	0.91%	95	0.08%	0.49%	1472	2.36
18:00	0.49%	1.00%	95	0.12%	0.56%	1473	3.57
19:00	0.39%	1.14%	95	0.16%	0.59%	1473	1.99
20:00	0.64%	1.04%	95	0.13%	0.57%	1476	4.75
21:00	0.44%	0.82%	95	0.11%	0.50%	1476	3.80
22:00	0.36%	0.88%	95	0.10%	0.52%	1470	2.87
23:00	0.37%	0.94%	95	0.09%	0.47%	1463	2.82

This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Oil hourly returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of positive abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on positive abnormal returns day and on usual day with positive returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on abnormal and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.




**Table C.3: t-test of hourly returns on abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Oil**

Hour	Average return on positive abnormal returns day (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day with positive returns (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t-statistic
<b>0:00</b>	<b>-0.19%</b>	<b>0.66%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>-0.01%</b>	<b>0.38%</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>-2.21</b>
1:00	0.03%	0.41%	61	0.04%	0.67%	1108	-0.30
2:00	-0.30%	1.71%	52	-0.01%	0.55%	899	-1.24
3:00	-0.05%	0.43%	31	-0.01%	0.33%	562	-0.59
4:00	0.05%	0.72%	50	-0.01%	0.30%	788	0.61
5:00	-0.22%	1.07%	77	-0.03%	0.38%	1273	-1.61
6:00	-0.10%	0.50%	70	-0.02%	0.26%	1251	-1.32
7:00	-0.15%	0.65%	71	-0.02%	0.25%	1255	-1.69
8:00	0.00%	0.26%	87	-0.02%	0.22%	1405	0.54
9:00	-0.06%	0.49%	87	-0.01%	0.23%	1409	-1.08
10:00	-0.05%	0.42%	88	-0.02%	0.28%	1406	-0.69
11:00	-0.05%	0.58%	88	-0.04%	0.33%	1413	-0.22
12:00	-0.18%	0.97%	88	-0.05%	0.43%	1417	-1.25
<b>13:00</b>	<b>-0.28%</b>	<b>0.68%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.05%</b>	<b>0.43%</b>	<b>1417</b>	<b>-3.13</b>
<b>14:00</b>	<b>-0.21%</b>	<b>0.73%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.06%</b>	<b>0.42%</b>	<b>1417</b>	<b>-1.87</b>
15:00	-0.14%	0.57%	88	-0.06%	0.40%	1418	-1.40
<b>16:00</b>	<b>-0.29%</b>	<b>0.60%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.08%</b>	<b>0.43%</b>	<b>1418</b>	<b>-3.40</b>
<b>17:00</b>	<b>-0.34%</b>	<b>0.92%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.12%</b>	<b>0.52%</b>	<b>1418</b>	<b>-2.27</b>
18:00	-0.29%	0.79%	88	-0.15%	0.58%	1417	-1.67
<b>19:00</b>	<b>-0.53%</b>	<b>0.93%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.17%</b>	<b>0.60%</b>	<b>1417</b>	<b>-3.59</b>
<b>20:00</b>	<b>-0.51%</b>	<b>0.78%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.16%</b>	<b>0.58%</b>	<b>1417</b>	<b>-4.17</b>
<b>21:00</b>	<b>-0.56%</b>	<b>0.90%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.09%</b>	<b>0.54%</b>	<b>1416</b>	<b>-4.83</b>
<b>22:00</b>	<b>-0.67%</b>	<b>0.97%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>-0.14%</b>	<b>0.58%</b>	<b>1410</b>	<b>-5.09</b>
<b>23:00</b>	<b>-0.34%</b>	<b>0.74%</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>-0.10%</b>	<b>0.48%</b>	<b>1394</b>	<b>-2.91</b>

This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Oil hourly returns on abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on negative abnormal returns day and on usual day with negative returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on abnormal and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.

**Figure C.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns, Oil**



This figure displays the dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns in Oil prices for the case of negative and positive abnormal returns on the day of abnormal returns

**Table C.4: Cumulative abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative abnormal returns, Oil**


Hour	Positive abnormal returns			Negative abnormal returns		
	Abnormal returns	Cumulative abnormal returns	Abnormal returns cross	Abnormal returns	Cumulative abnormal returns	Abnormal returns cross
0:00	0.02%	0.02%	1.95%	-0.19%	-0.19%	-1.75%
1:00	-0.07%	-0.06%	2.01%	-0.02%	-0.20%	-1.77%
2:00	-0.11%	-0.17%	2.11%	-0.29%	-0.50%	-1.47%
3:00	-0.07%	-0.24%	2.20%	-0.05%	-0.55%	-1.41%
4:00	0.07%	-0.17%	2.10%	0.06%	-0.48%	-1.47%
5:00	0.10%	-0.07%	1.97%	-0.20%	-0.68%	-1.24%
6:00	0.08%	0.02%	1.86%	-0.08%	-0.76%	-1.14%
7:00	0.01%	0.03%	1.84%	-0.13%	-0.89%	-0.99%
8:00	0.10%	0.13%	1.72%	0.02%	-0.88%	-0.99%
9:00	0.06%	0.19%	1.65%	-0.06%	-0.93%	-0.93%
10:00	-0.03%	0.15%	1.67%	-0.03%	-0.96%	-0.88%
11:00	0.05%	0.20%	1.60%	-0.01%	-0.98%	-0.83%
12:00	0.09%	0.30%	1.46%	-0.13%	-1.11%	-0.65%
13:00	0.09%	0.39%	1.29%	-0.23%	-1.34%	-0.37%
14:00	0.08%	0.47%	1.15%	-0.15%	-1.48%	-0.16%
15:00	0.17%	0.64%	0.93%	-0.09%	-1.57%	-0.02%
<b>16:00</b>	0.04%	0.68%	0.84%	<b>-0.22%</b>	<b>-1.79%</b>	<b>0.28%</b>
17:00	0.22%	0.90%	0.53%	-0.22%	-2.02%	0.62%
18:00	0.37%	1.27%	0.04%	-0.14%	-2.16%	0.91%
<b>19:00</b>	<b>0.23%</b>	<b>1.51%</b>	<b>-0.35%</b>	-0.36%	-2.52%	1.44%
20:00	0.51%	2.02%	-0.99%	-0.35%	-2.87%	1.95%
21:00	0.33%	2.34%	-1.43%	-0.47%	-3.34%	2.51%
22:00	0.26%	2.60%	-1.79%	-0.53%	-3.87%	3.19%
23:00	0.27%	2.88%	-2.16%	-0.24%	-4.11%	3.52%

This table presents estimates of cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns on abnormal days in Oil prices. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively abnormal returns cross for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns.

## Appendix D

### Oil: day after the abnormal returns

**Figure D.1: Average hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal returns, Oil**




This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Oil returns after the abnormal and normal days for the case of positive abnormal returns

**Table D.1: t-test of hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of positive abnormal, Oil**

Hour	Average return on day after positive abnormal returns (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t-statistic
<b>0:00</b>	<b>0.24%</b>	<b>0.64%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0.01%</b>	<b>0.72%</b>	<b>2848</b>	<b>3.19</b>
<b>1:00</b>	<b>0.53%</b>	<b>2.14%</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>0.02%</b>	<b>0.85%</b>	<b>2841</b>	<b>2.12</b>
<b>2:00</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>0.48%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.71%</b>	<b>2181</b>	<b>2.96</b>
3:00	-0.05%	0.28%	22	-0.01%	0.29%	1229	-0.56
4:00	-0.03%	0.54%	55	0.01%	0.35%	1593	-0.62
5:00	-0.10%	0.56%	74	0.00%	0.25%	2574	-1.48
6:00	0.04%	0.35%	61	0.00%	0.25%	2555	0.85
7:00	-0.01%	0.41%	62	0.00%	0.24%	2563	-0.12
8:00	0.02%	0.34%	80	0.00%	0.33%	2878	0.56
9:00	0.15%	0.51%	80	0.01%	0.29%	2882	2.54
10:00	-0.09%	0.47%	81	-0.01%	0.24%	2879	-1.63
11:00	-0.11%	0.72%	81	-0.01%	0.25%	2896	-1.35
12:00	-0.03%	0.58%	81	0.00%	0.20%	2898	-0.41
13:00	0.11%	0.66%	81	0.01%	0.28%	2899	1.37
14:00	0.00%	0.56%	81	0.00%	0.42%	2899	0.05
15:00	-0.09%	0.57%	81	0.00%	0.52%	2900	-1.42
16:00	0.03%	0.80%	81	-0.01%	0.56%	2901	0.47
17:00	0.08%	0.83%	81	-0.01%	0.63%	2901	0.95
18:00	0.05%	1.15%	81	-0.01%	0.47%	2901	0.50
19:00	-0.18%	0.90%	81	0.00%	0.50%	2902	-1.78
20:00	-0.06%	0.86%	81	-0.01%	0.73%	2904	-0.47
21:00	0.01%	0.79%	82	0.01%	0.96%	2903	-0.08
22:00	-0.06%	0.97%	82	-0.02%	0.94%	2891	-0.40
23:00	0.00%	0.81%	81	0.00%	0.86%	2867	-0.02

This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Oil hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days for the case of positive abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on day after positive abnormal returns and on usual day with positive returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on day after positive abnormal returns and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.

**Figure D.2: Average hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Oil**




This figure presents estimates and comparison between average hourly Oil returns after the abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns

**Table D.2: t-test of hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days: the case of negative abnormal returns, Oil**

Hour	Average return on day after negative abnormal returns (OD)	Standard deviation (OD)	Number of observations (OD)	Average return on usual day (UD)	Standard deviation (UD)	Number of observation (UD)	t-statistic
<b>0:00</b>	<b>-0.15%</b>	<b>0.65%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>0.01%</b>	<b>0.72%</b>	<b>2848</b>	<b>-2.15</b>
<b>1:00</b>	<b>-0.09%</b>	<b>0.46%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>0.02%</b>	<b>0.85%</b>	<b>2841</b>	<b>-2.09</b>
<b>2:00</b>	<b>-0.54%</b>	<b>1.76%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.71%</b>	<b>2181</b>	<b>-2.41</b>
3:00	-0.10%	0.43%	27	-0.01%	0.29%	1229	-1.08
4:00	0.18%	0.77%	47	0.01%	0.35%	1593	1.45
5:00	-0.11%	1.11%	80	0.00%	0.25%	2574	-0.89
6:00	-0.07%	0.77%	64	0.00%	0.25%	2555	-0.75
7:00	-0.09%	0.72%	64	0.00%	0.24%	2563	-0.97
8:00	0.04%	0.54%	78	0.00%	0.33%	2878	0.60
9:00	0.01%	0.61%	80	0.01%	0.29%	2882	0.10
10:00	-0.12%	0.62%	79	-0.01%	0.24%	2879	-1.66
11:00	0.04%	0.69%	80	-0.01%	0.25%	2896	0.62
12:00	0.04%	1.24%	80	0.00%	0.20%	2898	0.26
13:00	0.22%	0.97%	80	0.01%	0.28%	2899	1.94
14:00	-0.08%	0.89%	80	0.00%	0.42%	2899	-0.81
15:00	-0.03%	0.75%	80	0.00%	0.52%	2900	-0.36
16:00	-0.01%	0.66%	80	-0.01%	0.56%	2901	0.09
17:00	0.04%	0.94%	80	-0.01%	0.63%	2901	0.53
18:00	0.15%	0.87%	80	-0.01%	0.47%	2901	1.61
19:00	0.15%	1.07%	80	0.00%	0.50%	2902	1.29
20:00	0.16%	1.33%	80	-0.01%	0.73%	2904	1.13
21:00	-0.10%	1.03%	80	0.01%	0.96%	2903	-1.00
22:00	-0.15%	1.04%	80	-0.02%	0.94%	2891	-1.09
23:00	0.02%	1.04%	80	0.00%	0.86%	2867	0.20

This table presents estimates from the t-tests of Oil hourly returns on the day after the abnormal and normal days for the case of negative abnormal returns. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fifth columns show respectively average returns on day after negative abnormal returns and on usual day with negative returns; the third and sixth columns show respectively standard deviation estimates for returns on day after negative abnormal returns and normal days; the fourth and seventh columns show respectively number of observation values for abnormal and normal days; the eighth column shows the t-statistics.

**Figure D.3: Dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns on the day after the abnormal returns, Oil**



This figure displays the dynamics of cumulative abnormal returns in Oil prices for the case of negative and positive abnormal returns on the day after the abnormal returns



**Table D.3: Cumulative abnormal returns on the day after the abnormal returns: the case of positive and negative abnormal returns, Oil**

Hour	Positive abnormal returns		Negative abnormal returns	
	Abnormal returns	Cumulative abnormal returns	Abnormal returns	Cumulative abnormal returns
0:00	0.23%	0.23%	-0.16%	0.16%
1:00	0.50%	0.73%	-0.11%	0.27%
2:00	0.20%	0.94%	-0.54%	0.82%
3:00	-0.03%	0.90%	-0.09%	0.91%
4:00	-0.05%	0.86%	0.16%	0.74%
5:00	-0.10%	0.76%	-0.11%	0.85%
6:00	0.04%	0.80%	-0.07%	0.92%
7:00	-0.01%	0.79%	-0.09%	1.01%
8:00	0.02%	0.82%	0.04%	0.97%
<b>9:00</b>	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>0.96%</b>	0.01%	0.97%
<b>10:00</b>	-0.09%	0.88%	<b>-0.12%</b>	<b>1.08%</b>
11:00	-0.11%	0.77%	0.05%	1.04%
12:00	-0.03%	0.74%	0.04%	1.00%
13:00	0.10%	0.84%	0.21%	0.79%
14:00	0.00%	0.85%	-0.08%	0.87%
15:00	-0.09%	0.75%	-0.03%	0.90%
16:00	0.04%	0.80%	0.01%	0.89%
17:00	0.09%	0.89%	0.06%	0.84%
18:00	0.06%	0.95%	0.16%	0.68%
19:00	-0.18%	0.77%	0.15%	0.53%
20:00	-0.05%	0.73%	0.17%	0.36%
21:00	-0.01%	0.72%	-0.12%	0.47%
22:00	-0.04%	0.68%	-0.13%	0.60%
23:00	0.00%	0.67%	0.02%	0.58%

This table presents estimates of cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns after abnormal days in Oil prices. The first column reports hours of the day, the second and fourth columns show respectively abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns; the third and fifth columns show respectively cumulative abnormal returns for the case of positive and negative abnormal returns.