

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Komlos, John

Working Paper

The Actual U.S. Unemployment Rate Was 24.4% in May 2020

CESifo Working Paper, No. 8383

Provided in Cooperation with:

Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

Suggested Citation: Komlos, John (2020): The Actual U.S. Unemployment Rate Was 24.4% in May 2020, CESifo Working Paper, No. 8383, Center for Economic Studies and Ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/223455

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



CESIFO WORKING PAPERS

8383 2020

June 2020

The Actual U.S. Unemployment Rate Was 24.4% in May 2020

John Komlos



Impressum:

CESifo Working Papers

ISSN 2364-1428 (electronic version)

Publisher and distributor: Munich Society for the Promotion of Economic Research - CESifo

GmbH

The international platform of Ludwigs-Maximilians University's Center for Economic Studies and the ifo Institute

Poschingerstr. 5, 81679 Munich, Germany

Telephone +49 (0)89 2180-2740, Telefax +49 (0)89 2180-17845, email office@cesifo.de

Editor: Clemens Fuest

https://www.cesifo.org/en/wp

An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded

from the SSRN website: www.SSRN.comfrom the RePEc website: www.RePEc.org

· from the CESifo website: https://www.cesifo.org/en/wp

The Actual U.S. Unemployment Rate Was 24.4% in May 2020

Abstract

The official U.S. unemployment rate is an inadequate measure of actual labor market conditions. This poses a major challenge for researchers and confuses both the public and policy makers. A new definition of unemployment is proposed. It considers those part-time workers who would like to work full time as 62.7% employed and 37.3% unemployed, inasmuch as this is the proportion of time they worked relative to full-time workers prior to the pandemic. In addition, in contrast to the BLS, we consider those workers who are wanting to work but have not searched for work within the prior month as being unemployed. We find that the actual unemployment rate in May 2020 was 24.4% or 183% of the headline rate of 13.3%.

JEL-Codes: B400, C820, J400, J490, J690.

Keywords: covid-19, pandemic, unemployment rate, labor market slack, discouraged workers, involuntary part-time workers.

John Komlos University of Munich Ludwigstrasse 33/IV Germany – 80639 Munich John.Komlos@gmail.com

Comments from Harold Alderman, Charles L. Allen, Jerzy Konieczny, Julian Morris and Jack Rasmus are greatly appreciated without implicating them in the content of this essay.

In order to estimate the true unemployment rate accurately for May 2020, part-time workers should not be conflated with full-time workers. (The BLS does a simple head count by considering part-time workers the equivalent of full-time workers.)¹ Instead, we calculate the unemployment rate standardized on a full-time-equivalent workweek of 39 hours. So, we first estimate the hours worked by part-time and full-time workers and find that the average for 2019 was 62.7%.² Hence, only 62.7% of the total number of part-time workers is added to the labor force (Table 1, row 2).

However, there are two kinds of part-time workers: those who are content working part time (voluntary part-time workers, denoted by v), and those who would like to work full time but have not found such an employment (involuntary part-time workers, denoted by i). The former (v) are considered the equivalent to 0.627 full-time member of the labor force and are not counted as unemployed (row 2). In contrast, the involuntary part-time workers are considered full members of the labor force. Thus, they are considered 62.7% employed (row 2) and 37.3% unemployed (in terms of full-time equivalents) (row 9).

Table 1 about here

Furthermore, there is no reason to exclude those who work for the military (as there was when soldiers were drafted) since they do work for the government and receive a salary just like other government workers, and they do work full time. Hence, we include their number as well in the labor force (denoted by m) (row 3). To this we add the number of actually unemployed, the calculation of which is discussed below (denoted by ru) (rows 4 and 12). This yields a total effective (full-time equivalent) labor force of 168.1 million (denoted by lf): If = (ft - 3.7) + 0.627*(v +i) + m + ru. This estimate is 9.9 million above the official figure, on account of the large number of people who are excluded from the official estimate, because they ceased looking for work (row 10) (FRED, series CLF16OV).

The actual number of people unemployed consist of those who are officially unemployed. Contrary to the BLS, we separate those who used to work full time (ou1) from those who used to work part time (ou2) (rows 6 and 7). The latter are considered 62.7% unemployed insofar as they worked less than those who were employed full time prior to becoming unemployed. Next we those who were furloughed but not counted as unemployed since they were misclassified as being absent from work (row 8). Then we add 37.3% of those who are working part time

involuntarily (*i*) since they would like to work full time (row 9). This adds 2.4 percentage points to the unemployment rate. In contrast, the BLS excludes them from the official unemployment rate (U3) but includes them in the U6 rate. So, according to the BLS's binary conceptualization, they are either fully employed in U3 or fully unemployed in U6. Hence, our definition is in between these two extremes.

Next, we add the number of those who want to work but have not looked for work within the previous month (denoted by ww) (row 10). The self-employed are not considered unemployed at all, although many of them must have been part of the gig economy, so we assume that they were unemployed at the rate of the rest of the labor force (row 11). Hence, ru = ou1 + ou2 + f + 0.373 * i + ww + se. The estimated number of unemployed in May 2020 becomes 41.0 million. so the *actual* unemployment rate is 24.4% (ru/lf) (row 12); this is 11.1% above or 183% of the *official* unemployment rate of 13.3% and even 3.2% above the U6 rate. (rows 13 and 14)⁷ This implies that the hidden unemployment rate (hu = ru - ou) is 11.1%, i.e., 19.2 million full-time equivalent workers. This is not a benign margin of error.

Revisions of the official rate abound. Some are lower than the one presented here: in the 16.4%-19.7% range (Groshen, 2020a, 2010b; Gould, 2020). However, using a slightly different approach Faberman and Rajan's estimate is higher, at 30.7% (for April), compared to the official rate of 14.7% for April, while Cajner et al. document a 22% decline in employment by mid-April (Faberman and Rajan, 2020; Cajner et al., 2020). ⁸ Coibion et al., emphasize that the 20 million jobs lost in April was much larger than the unemployment claims (Coibion et al., 2020). These are all below the 32.1% forecasted in March for the second quarter (Faria-e-Castro, 2020).

The restrictive nature of the BLS definition of unemployment implies that the *official* rate is woefully inadequate, serves political purposes, and confuses the public (Ahn and Hamilton, 2019; Leonhardt, 2018; Morgenstern, 1963, p. 238). The above evidence highlights the extent to which the *official* unemployment rate provides an untenably misleading impression of the labor market. The cavalier treatment of such a bellwether indicator is a major oversight and past its sell-by-date.

References

Ahn, Hie J. and James D. Hamilton. 2019. "Measuring Labor-Force Participation and the Incidence and Duration of Unemployment," *Finance and Economics Discussion Series, Federal Reserve Board, Washington, D.C. Working Paper*, 2019-035, May 6.

Bell, David N.F., and David G. Blanchflower, 2020. "US and UK Labour Markets Before and During the Covid-19 Crash," *National Institute Economic Review* 252, May.

BLS: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2020. "News Release," June 5; https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/empsit.pdf accessed June 12, 2020.

Brandolini, Andrea and Eliana Viviano, 2016. "Behind and beyond the (head count) employment rate," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 179(3): 657-681.

Bregger, John E., and Steven E. Haugen. (1995). "BLS introduces new range of alternative unemployment measures," *Monthly Labor Review* October, pp. 19-26; https://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/1995/10/art3full.pdf.

Cajner, Tomaz, Dennis Mawhirter, Christopher J. Nekarda, and David Ratner (2014). "Why is Involuntary Part-Time Work Elevated?" *FEDS Notes*. Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 14, 2014. https://doi.org/10.17016/2380-7172.0014

Cajner, Tomaz, Leland Crane, Ryan Decker, John Grigsby, Adrian Hamins-Puertolas, Erik Hurst, Christopher Kurz, Ahu Yildirmaz, 2020. "The U.S. Labor Market during the Beginning of the Pandemic Recession," National Bureau of Economic Research, Working paper no. 27159, May.

Coibion, Olivier, Gorodnichenko, Yuriy, and Michael Weber. 2020. "Labor Markets During the Covid-19 Crisis: A Preliminary View," NBER Working Paper No. 27017.

Faberman, Jason and Aastha Rajan. 2020. "Is the Unemployment Rate a Good Measure of People Currently Out of Work?" *Chicago Fed Insights* Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, May 15.

Faria-e-Castro, Miguel. 2020. "Back-of-the-Envelope Estimates of Next Quarter's Unemployment Rate," *On the Economy*, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, March 24.

FRED: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, various series, https://fred.stlouisfed.org/ accessed May 23, 2019. EliseGould, Leonhardt, David, 2018. "We're Measuring the Economy All Wrong," *The New York Times*, September 14.

Gould, Elise. 2020. "While welcome gains, job losses since February still total 19.6 million," Economic Policy Institute, June 5; https://www.epi.org/press/while-welcome-gains-job-losses-since-february-still-total-19-6-million-now-is-not-the-time-to-stop-providing-relief/ accessed June 15, 2016.

Groshen, Erica, 2020a. "Will the True Unemployment Rate Please Stand Up? Misclassification in the May 2020 Jobs Report," *Upjohn Institute*, June 10; https://www.upjohn.org/research-highlights/will-true-unemployment-rate-please-stand-misclassification-may-2020-jobs-report accessed June 15, 2020.

Groshen, Erica, 2020b. "May 2020 Jobs Report Update on Covid-10's Impact on Jobs," ILR School, Cornell University, June 8; https://www.ilr.cornell.edu/work-and-coronavirus/work-and-jobs/may-2020-jobs-report-update-covid-19s-impact-jobs accessed June 15, 2020.

Leonhardt, David, 2018. "We're Measuring the Economy All Wrong," *The New York Times*, September 14.

Morgenstern, Oskar, 1963. *On the Accuracy of Economic Observations* (2nd ed.; 1st published in 1950, Princeton: Princeton University Press).

Shiskin, Julius. 1976. "Employment and unemployment: the doughnut or the hole?" *Monthly Labor Review*, February, pp. 3-10.

Table

Table 1. The Average Actual Unemployment Rate in the U.S., May 2020			
	Labor Force	Millions	Percent
1	Civilian labor force full time (ft)	116.5	
2	Work Part-time (0.627*20.7 million) ($v+i$)	13.0	
3	Military (m)	1.3	
4	Really Unemployed (ru)	41.0	
5	Total actual labor force (lf)	168.1	
	Unemployed		
6	Official unemployed, full time (ou1)	15.9	9.5%
7	Official unemployed, part time (ou2) 5.9*0.627	3.7	2.2%
8	Furloughed - Missclassified as Absent from Work (f)	4.7	3.0%
9	Part-time involuntary (0.373* 10.63 million) (i)	4.0	2.4%
10	Want job, did not look (ww)	9.0	5.3%
11	Self-employed (15.5 million * 0.227) (se)	3.7	2.2%
12	Total really unemployed (ru)	41.0	24.4%
13	Hidden Unemployment (hu)	19.2	11.1%
14	U6		21.2%

Note: Data are from the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis except otherwise noted. The various series are: Line 1) full time workers: LNS12500000; 2) part-time workers [LNS12600000] worked only 62.7% as many hours as full-time workers in 2019; 3) see below; 4) from row 12; 5) sum of rows 1-4, 6-7) BLS, 2020, Table A-2; 8) number of workers misclassified as absent from work although they were furloughed and therefore unemployed (BLS, 2020, p. 6); 9) LNS12032194, 10) NILFWJN 11) We assume that a share of the self-employed were also unemployed at the overall rate of unemployment; 12) sum of 6 – 10.

The Military data on line 3 is from: U.S. Department of Defense. "Number of Military and DoD Appropriated Fund (APF) Civilian Personnel Permanently Assigned," 31 December 2017, and (Coleman, 2015).

See also: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Table A-1. Employment status of the civilian population by sex and age; Table A-8. Employed persons by class of worker and part-time status; Table A-15. Alternative measures of labor underutilization; series LNS15026639.

Part-time workers worked about 62.7% as much as full-time workers before the pendamic. They are divided into two groups: those who are content with working part time (row 2), and those who would like to work full time but have not found full-time employment (row 3). So, 62.7% of the number of part-time workers who are content to work part time is added to the labor force (row 2). However, the involuntary part-time workers are considered a full member of the labor force. Only 62.7% of their number is added to the labor force in row 2, inasmuch as the other 37.3% is considered unemployed and therefore is included in the labor force in rows 4, 9 and 12.

Endnotes

.

https://www.bls.gov/cps/lfcharacteristics.htm#fullpart accessed May 21, 2020. Here we follow the calculations that were done for the European Union (Brandolini and Viviano, 2016).

¹ As long as an individual works one hour per week, she is considered employed. Between 1976 and 1994 part time workers were considered the equivalent of ½ of full-time workers (Bregger and Haugen, 1995; Shiskin, 1976).

² BLS, "Labor Force Statistics from the Current Population Survey, Table 19. "Persons at work in agriculture and nonagricultural industries by hours of work,"

³ Data on involuntary part-time workers is published by the BLS on the basis of the current population survey. Involuntary part-time workers are also referred to as part-time for "economic reasons"; https://data.bls.gov/timeseries/LNS12032194 accessed May 24, 2020.

⁴ Cajner et al. also suggest that involuntary part-time workers "should be appropriately weighted when compared to other standard measures of underemployment" (Cajner et al., 2014).

⁵ The 3.7 million self-employed estimated as unemployed is deducted from row 5 because they are presumably included on row 1 (Table 1, row 11) is subtracted

⁶ This does not equal the official number of unemployed because I count the pat-time unemployed as a 62.7% full-time equivalent unemployed. Rows 6 and 7 do not add up to the official unemployment rate because the our labor-force estimates also differ.

⁷ The U6 rate includes involuntary part-time workers as well as the so-called "marginally attached" workers (Current Population Survey).

⁸ Bell and Blanchflower also estimate a 20% unemployment rate for April (Bell and Blanchflower, 2020).