

Szymanska-Bralkowska, Malgorzata; Malinowska, Ewa

Working Paper

The improvement of the company's environmental performance through the application of Green Lean/Lean and Green approach

Institute of Economic Research Working Papers, No. 127/2017

Provided in Cooperation with:

Institute of Economic Research (IER), Toruń (Poland)

Suggested Citation: Szymanska-Bralkowska, Malgorzata; Malinowska, Ewa (2017) : The improvement of the company's environmental performance through the application of Green Lean/Lean and Green approach, Institute of Economic Research Working Papers, No. 127/2017, Institute of Economic Research (IER), Toruń

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/219949>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>



Institute of Economic Research Working Papers

No. 127/2017

**The improvement of the company's environmental performance through
the application of Green Lean/Lean and Green approach**

Małgorzata Szymańska-Bralkowska, Ewa Malinowska

Article prepared and submitted for:

**9th International Conference on Applied Economics Contemporary Issues in Economy,
Institute of Economic Research, Polish Economic Society Branch in Toruń, Faculty of
Economic Sciences and Management, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń, Poland,
22-23 June 2017**

Toruń, Poland 2017

© Copyright: Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License

The improvement of the company's environmental performance through the application of Green Lean/Lean and Green approach

Małgorzata Szymańska-Bralkowska¹, Ewa Malinowska²

Abstract

Research background: Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach is based on the lean method. As the literature and case studies show the Japanese quality improvement tools can be used in environmental management as well as in quality management. Companies that focus on sustainability may use those tools and methods to improve its processes and eliminate green waste at the same time. The research concentrates on the examples of the companies that started to implement the Green Lean (Lean and Green).

Purpose of the article: The purpose of the article is to present how the application of Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach improves the environmental performance of the company and what are the benefits.

Methodology/methods: critical review of the literature, observation, interviews in selected researched companies.

Findings & Value added: By identifying and eliminating the green waste i.a. in energy, materials, garbage, water, emissions, biodiversity, transportation researched companies are minimizing its negative impact on environment. As the research shows the employees should be motivated and involved in the process so does the management to make it work. Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach enables the companies to perform in profitable and sustainable way at the same time.

Keywords: *Lean Management; Green Lean; Lean and Green; environment*

JEL Classification: Q5; Q59

Introduction

Reducing the negative impact on the environment should be one of the key issues for every company that is performing on the market. Environmentally aware companies could be profitable and successful in business by identifying, monitoring and reducing green wastes located in areas such as water, energy, transportation, materials, garbage, emissions and biodiversity (Wills, 2009, pp. 3-9; Pampanelli *et al.*, 2014, pp. 24-25).

Using the lean method in environmental management may lead to reducing environmental impact of the company. The integration of “lean” and “green” practices could be beneficial for the company in many ways such as increase in productivity and costs savings (Pampanelli *et al.*, 2014, pp. 24-25, Dües *et al.*, 2013, p. 93).

The purpose of the article is to present how the application of Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach improves the environmental performance of the company and what are the benefits.

Research Methodology

Research methods contain the critical review of the literature, observation and interviews in two selected companies. The research was carried out during the workshops for the group

¹ Corresponding author: University of Gdańsk, E-mail: m.bralkowska@ug.edu.pl.

² University of Gdańsk, E-mail: ewa.malinowska@ug.edu.pl.

of students from the Faculty of Management University of Gdansk in two production companies and started on March 2016 till beginning of 2017. During that time observations and interviews have been made by the authors. The cooperating companies that took part in the study are in Pomorskie Voivodship.

Green Lean (Lean and Green)

The idea of lean gave organizations the possibility to reduce the waste in every aspect of company's performance and activities. The Japanese improvement approach that was known as Lean manufacturing and described as Toyota Production System (TPS) (Garza-Reyes, 2015, p. 18) focused on reduction of every activity that does not give and value. Moreover, this approach has given the companies the chance to improve its activities to be more cost effective, efficient and customer friendly (Carvalho *et al.*, 2017, p. 75; Prasad *et al.*, 2016, p. 409).

Green Lean presented in literature also as "Lean and Green" (Garza-Reyes, 2015, p. 18; Verrier *et al.*, 2014, p. 83; Kainuma & Tawara, 2006, p. 99, Ng *et al.*, 2015, p. 243) is based on the lean method, using its tools and techniques in minimalizing company's negative impact on the environment. It concentrates on the reduction of green waste.

Green waste in production

Green waste is an activity that does not bring any value in environmental management. It is identified in area of water, energy, materials, garbage, emissions, land contamination, transportation, noise and nuisance, lost potential of people and biodiversity. The types of green waste are presented in table 1.

Table 1. Type of green waste in production with the examples of environmental improvements

| Type of green waste | Description | Examples of environmental improvements |
|---------------------|---|---|
| Energy | Energy consumption in production and administration | Energy saving educational programs for employees, investments in new energy efficient equipment, using energy from renewable sources, green office programs, energy management programs and initiatives |
| Materials | Physical wastes during production process | Recycling and reuse of the materials, eco-design of products so that the materials are recycled and reused, lowering the usage of non-recyclable materials |
| Garbage | Physical wastes such as garbage | Garbage selection educational programs for employees, garbage selection on production, minimizing the amount of garbage |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Water | Water that is used during production process, water used in the office | Reduction of the water consumption in production processes and in the office, water saving programs for the employees, new technologies supporting more effective water consumption |
| Emissions | Emissions to air | Reduction of the emissions to air by implementing innovative technologies, investing in new equipment |
| Land contamination | Land contamination | Reduction land contamination by implementing innovative technologies, investing in new equipment |
| Discharges to water | Discharges to water | Reusing the water, using eco-friendly detergents, implementing the water saving programs for employees |
| Noise and nuisance | Noise and nuisance during the production | Implementing innovative technologies, investing in new equipment and monitoring system |
| Transportation | Transportation of produced goods, transportation during the production, transport of the employees | Implementing eco-driving programs for employees, investing in lower emissions programs for trucks and cars, car-sharing initiatives, green lean supply chain management programs |
| Lost potential of people | Misused employees' potential and knowledge | Employees' involvement programs, trainings, kaizen teams and initiatives |
| Biodiversity | The diversity of fauna and flora in the company and in its environment | Green building initiatives, supporting the green areas near company's facilities, planting the trees, supporting local environment programs |

Source: Wills (2009, pp. 3-9), Winston (2009, pp. 45-76), Pampanelli *et al.* (2014, p. 27), Averill (2011, pp. 182-185), Zokaei *et al.* (2013, pp. 44-46), Schendler (2009, pp. 182-185), Friend (2009, p. 49), Bachman (2009, p. 23).

Identifying the green waste in area of energy may lead to many advantages. Support for the energy saving educational programs creates the awareness of what single employee may do to consume less energy and how it impacts the company (see tab.1). Some companies may decide to invest in new energy efficient equipment or in simple solutions for example;

changing the bulbs for LEDs in the production buildings and in the offices (Winston, 2009, pp. 50-51). Some of them decide for switching on to renewable energy sources.

Recycling and reuse of the materials, eco-design of products and lowering the usage of non-recyclable materials are the key issues for reduction in materials and waste. Minimizing the amount of garbage by implementing the garbage selection educational programs for employees in the administration and production, may lead to cost savings (tab. 2).

Saving the water resources is one of the most important global environmental issues therefore companies by monitoring water consumption and supporting water educational programs, reduce the costs (see tab. 1 and tab. 2).

Table 2. Type of green waste in production – examples of companies and environmental benefits

| Type of green waste | Example of the company | Examples of economic and environmental benefits in researched companies |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| Energy | Coca-Cola Enterprises, InterContinental Hotels Group (IHG), Home Depot, The Boeing Company, Lockheed Martin, 3M, Coors Brewing Company, Toyota, Tesco | Cost savings, reduction of energy consumption, lower emissions, improve energy efficiency |
| Materials | The Boeing Company, Baxter Healthcare Corporation, General Motors Corporation, Dell, Coors Brewing Company, Toyota, Marks & Spencer, Tesco | Cost savings, reduction in materials and waste, release inventory |
| Garbage | 3M, Lockheed Martin, General Motors Corporation, DuBois-Johnson Diversey and Steelcase, Coca-Cola Enterprises, Coors Brewing Company, Marks & Spencer, Tesco | Garbage selection educational programs for employees, garbage selection on production, minimizing the amount of garbage |
| Water | DuBois-Johnson Diversey and Steelcase, Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Coca-Cola Enterprises | Cost savings, reduction of water consumption, improve water efficiency |
| Emissions | 3M, DuBois-Johnson Diversey and Steelcase, Lockheed Martin, General Motors Corporation, General Electric, The Boeing Company, Tesco | Cost savings, reduction of air emissions |
| Land contamination | Coors Brewing Company, | Cost savings |
| Discharges to water | Columbia Paint and Coatings, Coca-Cola Enterprises, General | Cost savings, reduction of liquid waste, reduction of hazard chemicals |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | Motors Corporation, | |
| Noise and nuisance | Tesco, Marks & Spencer | Cost savings on penalties, improving the health of the employees |
| Transportation | Coca-Cola Enterprises, General Motors Corporation, Marks & Spencer, Tesco | Cost savings, new transport technologies, reduced fuel consumption |
| Lost potential of people | Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Lockheed Martin, Marks & Spencer | Increase employee loyalty and engagement, improved communication in the company, increased employee efficiency |
| Biodiversity | Coors Brewing Company, Tesco, Marks & Spencer | Enhanced company's green image, increase in biodiversity, supporting environmental initiatives in local communities |

Source: Wills (2009, pp. 3-9), Winston (2009, pp. 50-51), Pampanelli *et al.* (2014, p. 27), Averill (2011, pp. 182-185), Zokaei *et al.* (2013, pp. 44-46, 91, 141, 147, 158), *Green Manufacturing* (2008, pp. 46-49, 54, 63, 65, 72, 107, 112, 121).

Investing in new technologies and equipment that reduces air emissions, land contamination, discharges to water, noise and nuisance may lead to cost savings, better working environment for the employees. It makes the local environment cleaner and enhance company's image for customers and local community.

Transportation is the area of green waste where a lot of positive actions towards the environment could be done. Supporting sustainable transport initiatives among the employees such as car-sharing or eco-driving, may lead to savings on transportation and it is a better choice for the environment.

Employees are the key factor in implementing Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach. They should be trained and evaluated so the general management know their skills and knowledge and their potential.

One of the green waste areas is biodiversity. Supporting local environment programs makes company more integrated with local community and improves its image.

Further research

The further research was carried out in two production companies in Pomorskie Voivodship in Poland. In the study the researched companies were coded as A and B.

Both companies organized together with Division of Quality and Environmental Management (Department of Business Economics, Faculty of Management, University of Gdansk) workshops for students. The research started on March 2016 and continued till the beginning of 2017. Students were divided into groups and they had to solve the problems on production lines and work stations.

Company B is implementing the approach Green Lean (Lean and Green), company A is using Lean Management tools and techniques not naming it specifically "Green Lean (Lean and Green)". Both companies are using Lean Management tools and techniques to improve its processes.

Based on the observation and interviews with Lean Managers in both companies the conclusions have been made (see tab. 3). While planning the investments and building new

production facilities and offices, both companies use energy efficient equipment and energy saving solutions such as LED bulbs in new facilities, light and water sensors. Both companies monitor the energy consumption and try to reduce it as well as water consumption. They also work on reduction of waste and reuse of the materials. Moreover, they are motivating the employees to give the ideas on changes in their working environment.

Company B has more experience with implementation of Lean Management tools and techniques into environmental management so it also discovered problems during the implementation. Though the years those problems were:

- the lack of authority to implement changes,
- the lack of support from supervisors,
- the lack of proper involvement and engagement of the employees,
- problems with employees' motivation,
- low knowledge level of the employees about the environmental issues.

As the research shows both companies are using Lean Management tools and techniques in environmental management. One company is describing it as Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach. Company B is already facing some problems with implementation, concerned mostly with human factor.

Table 3. Type of green waste in production in researched companies

| Type of green waste | Example of the company | Examples of economic and environmental benefits in researched companies |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Energy | A, B | Cost savings, reduction of energy consumption, lower emissions, improve energy efficiency |
| Materials | A, B | Cost savings, reduction in materials and waste, release inventory |
| Garbage | A, B | Garbage selection educational programs for employees, garbage selection on production, minimizing the amount of garbage |
| Water | A, B | Cost savings, reduction of water consumption, improve water efficiency |
| Emissions | B | Cost savings, reduction of air emissions |
| Land contamination | B | Cost savings |
| Discharges to water | A, B | Cost savings, reduction of liquid waste, reduction of hazard chemicals |
| Noise and nuisance | B | Cost savings on penalties, improving the health of the employees |
| Transportation | A, B | Cost savings, new transport technologies, reduced fuel consumption |
| Lost potential of people | A, B | Increase employee loyalty and engagement, improved communication |

| | | |
|---------------------|------|---|
| | | in the company, increased employee efficiency |
| Biodiversity | A, B | Enhanced company's green image, increase in biodiversity, supporting environmental and social initiatives in local communities |

Source: Own source.

The right motivation and engagement of the employees makes these green changes successful so does the company and employees may benefit in a long run. The study is ongoing and there are a lot of aspects of research approach that authors find interesting to evaluate in the future.

Conclusions

Based on the literature review, case studies of the companies that implemented Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach it is a very interesting solution for minimizing negative impact on environment (Verrier *et al.*, 2014, p. 83) and saving money at the same time. Companies that already are using Lean Management tools and techniques may also implement Green Lean (Lean and Green) (Pampanelli *et al.*, 2014, p. 21, Garza-Reyes, 2015, p. 24). Moreover, the lean tools and techniques could be successfully used in identifying and reducing green waste (Pampanelli *et al.*, 2014, p. 21, Fercoq *et al.*, 2016, p. 576).

As the research shows many companies worldwide benefit from this approach by improving operational, economic and performance measures (Prasad *et al.*, 2016, p. 419, Pampanelli *et al.*, 2014, p. 21). It may also improve the competitiveness of the company (Verrier *et al.*, 2014, p. 91), its supply chain (Dües *et al.*, 2013, p. 93, Carvalho H. *et al.*, 2017, p. 75) and social and environmental image.

As further research shows there has been some interest about this approach in Poland and in Pomorskie Voivodship but still not many companies are motivated enough to take this direction. Those who decide to implement Green Lean (Lean and Green) will have to solve the problems that may come during the process including the lack of; authority to implement changes, support from supervisors, involvement and engagement of the employees.

In the opinion of authors, it is the matter of time till the companies find it useful to improve its economic and environmental performance. They should train the employees and motivate them so the Green Lean (Lean and Green) implementation is successful. In authors' opinion, the human factor is the key issue in Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach and employees should be motivated to understand economic and environmental aspects of changes.

The purpose of the article was to present how the application of Green Lean (Lean and Green) approach improves the environmental performance of the company and what are the benefits that relate to researched approach. Authors presented the types of green waste with the examples of environmental and economic benefits. Future study will focus on the barriers of the Green Lean (Lean and Green) implementation in Poland.

References

- Averill D. (2011). *Lean Sustainability. Creating Safe, Enduring, and Profitable Operations*. New York: A Productivity Press Book, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Bachman G. (2009). *The Green Business Guide*, Franklin Lakes: Career Press.

- Carvalho H., Govindan K., Azevedo S.G., & Cruz-Machado V. (2017). Modelling green and lean supply chains: An eco-efficiency perspective. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 120. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.09.025>.
- Dües Ch. M., Hua Tan K., & Lim M. (2013). Green as the new Lean: how to use Lean practices as a catalyst to greening your supply chain. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 40. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.12.023>.
- Fercoq A., Lamouri S., & Carbone V. (2016). Lean/Green integration focused on waste reduction techniques. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 137. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.107>.
- Friend G. (2009). *The Truth About Green Business*. New Jersey: FT Press.
- Garza-Reyes J.A. (2015). Lean and green – a systematic review of the state of the art literature. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 102. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.064>.
- Green Manufacturing. Case Studies in Lean and Sustainability* (2008). Enterprise Excellence Series, Association for Manufacturing Excellence, New York: Productivity Press, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Kainuma Y., & Tawara N. (2006). A multiple attribute utility theory approach to lean and green supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 101. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2005.05.010>.
- Ng R., Sze Choong Low J., & Song B. (2015). Integrating and implementing Lean and Green practices based on proposition of Carbon-Value Efficiency metric. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 95. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.02.043>.
- Pampanelli A.B., Found P., & Bernardes A.M. (2014). A Lean & Green Model for a production cell. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 85. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.06.014>.
- Prasad S., Khanduja D., & Sharma S.K. (2016). An empirical study on applicability of lean and green practices in the foundry industry. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 27 (3). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-08-2015-0058>.
- Schendler A. (2009). *Getting Green Done. Hard Truths from the Front Lines of the Sustainability Revolution*. New York: PublicAffairs.
- Verrier B., Rose B., Caillaud E., & Remita H. (2014). Combining organizational performance with sustainable development issues: the Lean and Green project benchmarking repository. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 85. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.12.023>.
- Wills B. (2009). *Green Intentions. Creating a Green Value Stream to Compete and Win*. New York: A Productivity Press Book, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Winston A. (2009). *Green Recovery*. Boston: Harvard Business Press.
- Zokaei K., Lovins H., Wood A., & Hines P. (2013). *Creating a Lean and Green Business System. Techniques for Improving Profits and Sustainability*. New York: A Productivity Press Book, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.