

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Lyócsa, Štefan; Baumöhl, Eduard; Výrost, Tomáš; Molnár, Peter

Working Paper Fear of the coronavirus and the stock markets

Suggested Citation: Lyócsa, Štefan; Baumöhl, Eduard; Výrost, Tomáš; Molnár, Peter (2020) : Fear of the coronavirus and the stock markets, ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics, Kiel, Hamburg

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/219336

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Fear of the coronavirus and the stock markets

Štefan Lyócsa^{a,b,c,*}, Eduard Baumöhl^{d,e}, Tomáš Výrost^{d,e}, Peter Molnár^{b,f,g}

^aFaculty of Management, University of Presov, 080 01 Presov, Slovakia

^bDepartment of Finance and Accounting, University of Economics, 13067 Prague, Czech Republic

^cInstitute of Economic Research, Slovak Academy of Sciences, 811 05 Bratislava, Slovakia

^d Faculty of Commerce, University of Economics in Bratislava, 852 35 Bratislava, Slovakia

^eInstitute of Financial Complex Systems, Masaryk University, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic

^fUiS Business School, University of Stavanger, 4036 Stavanger, Norway

^gFaculty of Economic Sciences and Management, Nicolaus Copernicus University, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

Abstract

Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, stock markets around the world have experienced unprecedented declines, which have resulted in extremely high stock market uncertainty, measured as price variation. In this paper, we show that during such periods, Google Trends data represent a timely and valuable data source for forecasting price variation. Fear of the coronavirus, as measured by Google searches is predictive of future stock market uncertainty for stock markets around the world. Google searches were also strongly correlated with the evolution of physical contagion (the number of new cases), and with implemented nonpharmaceutical interventions. The effect of pandemic-related policies on investors' attention and fear is thus very well captured by Google Trends data.

Keywords: Coronavirus, Stock market, Uncertainty, Panic, Google Trends

Acknowledgments

Lyócsa and Molnár acknowledge the support by the Czech Science Foundation, grant no. 20-16786S. For comments on the manuscript, we thank T. Bačinský, O. Deev, Z. Fungáčová, L. Lafférs, I. Lichner, K. Lučivjanská, Š. Mikula, T. Plíhal, M. Rajčaniová, D. Stašek, I. Sutóris, M. Širaňová, M. Štefánik, M. Šuster, and P. Vašaničová.

1. Introduction

The outbreak of the coronavirus (also refered to as COVID-19) has decimated society (Dowd et al., 2020) and the economy. Stock markets around the world have witnessed unprecedented declines. At one point, on March 23, 2020, the U.S. benchmark stock market index S&P 500 lost as much as 35% of its value relative to its recent historical maximum achieved on February 19, 2020. Historically, within days, the magnitude of this decline became comparable to the financial crisis of October 2008, Black Monday in 1987, and the start of the Great Depression in October – November 1929. Such evaporation of wealth has costly social and economic consequences, such as decreased consumption and even the reassessment of individual retirement plans (Helppie McFall, 2011).

10

Research about the impact of the coronavirus pandemic on financial markets has naturally followed. Okorie and Lin (2020) find that financial contagion occurs during the coronavirus crisis, and

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: stefan.lyocsa@savba.sk (Štefan Lyócsa)

Akhtaruzzaman et al. (2020) also highlights that financial firms contributed to the contagion more than nonfinancial firms. The results of Baumöhl et al. (2020) indicate that the systemic risk among the banks around the world and the density of the spillover network have never been as high – not

- ¹⁵ even during the 2008 financial crisis as they have been during the COVID-19 pandemic. Corbet et al. (2020a) document that the coronavirus pandemic particularly negatively affected companies with names related to coronavirus, even though these companies were unrelated to the virus. During the COVID-19 crisis, gold acted as a safe haven (Ji et al., 2020), while results for Bitcoin are less conclusive: Goodell and Goutte (2020) suggest that Bitcoin acted as safe haven, while Conlon and
- ²⁰ McGee (2020), Conlon et al. (2020) and Corbet et al. (2020b) conclude the opposite. Ashraf (2020) find that stock markets responded negatively to the growth in confirmed cases of COVID-19. Further topics for research are suggested in Goodell (2020).

In this paper, on a sample of the largest 10 stock markets (United States (US), United Kingdom (UK), Japan (JP), France (FR), India (IN), Canada (CA), Germany (DE), Switzerland (CH), South

- ²⁵ Korea (KR) and Australia (AU)), covering approximately 80% of global market capitalization, we show that during the 'corona crash', stock markets around the world reacted to fear of the coronavirus. To measure fear, we rely on internet searches of corona-related terms. Recently, Bento et al. (2020) showed that the response of the general public to news about local COVID-19 cases is to search for more information on the internet. Internet searches have been shown to be useful in many applications,
- e.g., tracking influenza-like epidemics in a population (Ginsberg et al., 2009). Our results show that high Google searches for COVID-19 predict high stock market volatility in all markets in our sample. The conclusion that COVID-19 increases stock market volatility accords with Sharif et al. (2020), Zaremba et al. (2020) and Zhang et al. (2020). However, our work complements theirs, as Sharif et al. (2020) measure COVID-19 by the number of the infected cases of COVID-19 in the US, Zaremba et al.
- (2020) utilized government nonpharmaceutical interventions aimed at curbing the spread of COVID-19 and Zhang et al. (2020) is based on global coronavirus infections obtained from the John Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the data and describes the construction of variables. Section 3 presents the methods and results. Section 4 concludes.

40 2. Data and variables

45

Data on price variation are retrieved from the Oxford-Man Institute's Realized Library¹. We use data from the following ten indices: the S&P 500 (US), FTSE 100 (UK), NIKKEI 225 (JP), CAC 40 (FR), NIFTY 50 (IN), S&P/TSX Composite (CA), DAX (DE), SMI (CH), KOSPI (KR), and All Ordinaries (AU). The Google Trends are retrieved using a program package in R (Massicotte and Eddelbuettel, 2020). Data are available upon request.

Thus, to capture fear of the coronavirus, we use only data from Google Trends, i.e., a search volume index $(SVI_{t,j})$, where index j denotes a specific search term. The idea proposed by Preis et al. (2013) is that prior to trading, investors search for information; therefore, such data lead future trends, particularly declines in the financial market. We retrieve daily individual search volume indices that

⁵⁰ are normalized to the range from 0 to 100 for the following 19 English words: 'corona', 'World Health Organization', 'virus', 'COVID-19', 'SARS', 'MERS', 'epidemic', 'pandemic', 'symptom', 'infected',

¹http://realized.oxford-man.ox.ac.uk/data/download

'spread', 'outbreak', 'social distancing', 'restriction', 'quarantine', 'suspend', 'travel', 'lockdown', and 'postpone'. These terms are related to the corona crisis and are, thus, unlikely to have been predictive of market uncertainty in the past. We aggregate search intensity across these terms by taking the average across all individual indices for each day t. The first principal component was also highly correlated with the average we used; therefore, we opted for the simpler average. The result is the

average search volume index, $ASVI_t$.

55

As an alternative to Google searches, we considered to use data on nonpharmaceutical interventions (NPIs), which were implemented by governments around the world. We specifically considered data

- on interventions in these four categories: social distancing, movement restrictions, public health measures, and social/economic measures. (All of the categories included several pandemic-related policy responses ²). The challenge posed by NPIs is that not only does the public tend to be informed about such measures in advance, but also such measures are publicly discussed before they are agreed upon. Consequently, NPIs cannot be properly synchronized with market data. Using Google searches is free
 of such issues. To capture the attention of the public to NPIs and the spread of the coronavirus, we
- use search terms that are derived from the names of various NPIs.

To study how changing patterns in search activity are related to market uncertainty, we follow the work of Da et al. (2011) and calculate the *abnormal search volume activity*:

$$ASVA_{t} = \ln\left(\frac{ASVI_{t}}{median\left\{ASVI_{t-1}, \dots, ASVI_{t-5}\right\}}\right)$$
(1)

⁷⁰ As the speed, extent and the consequences of the corona crisis were largely unanticipated, we interpret the $ASVA_t$ as a gauge of panic and fear. The higher the value of $ASVA_t$ is, the larger the increase in the interest of the population in corona-related events, fear and panic, and consequently, we hypothesize the larger the market uncertainty. Panel B of Table 1 shows the key statistics of $ASVA_t$ across 10 developed markets. The shocks in search activity show signs of short-term persistence that is much smaller than the persistence of market uncertainty (see Panel A of Table 1).

To measure market uncertainty, we resort to the daily variance of market returns (realized variance) calculated from high-frequency data. The higher the realized variance is, the higher the market uncertainty. Specifically, we model the annualized daily variance as follows: $RV_t = 252 \times \left(j_t^2 + \sum_{i=1}^M r_{i,t}^2\right)$, where $r_{t,i} = 100\% \times (lnP_{t,i} - lnP_{t,i-1})$ is the ith intraday continuous return and $P_{t,i}$ is the value of a stock market index on day t at intraday time i = 1, 2, ..., M. The term $j_t = 100 \times (lnP_{t,1} - lnP_{t-1,M})$ is the return between the closing value of the index on day t - 1 and the opening value on day t. The overnight price variation is added because the closing and opening values of the market index often differ. For the intraday component, we use the common 5-minute calendar sampling scheme to sample in-

- dex values $P_{t,i}$. A standard assumption for the data generating process of P_t is $d \log(P_t) = \mu dt + \sigma_t dW_t$, where μ_t is the drift parameter, σ_t is the instantaneous volatility, and W_t is standard Brownian motion.
- where μ_t is the drift parameter, σ_t is the instantaneous volatility, and W_t is standard Brownian motion. The integrated variance over a time span [t- Δt ,t], $IV_t = \int_{t-\Delta t}^t \sigma_s^2 ds$, is not directly observable, but Andersen et al. (2001) show that the integrated variance can be approximated from the sum of the

²For example, (1) social distancing includes – schools closures, public services closures, lockdowns, and limits on public gatherings; (2) movement restrictions include visa restrictions, travel restrictions, international flight suspensions, border closures, domestic travel restrictions, border checks, and additional health/documents requirements upon arrival; (3) public health measures include health screenings in airports and border crossings, introducing quarantine policies, awareness campaigns, and strengthening the public health system; (4) social/economic measures include health screenings in airports and border crossings, and strengthening the public health system.



Figure 1: Market uncertainty and search volume intensity

squared intraday returns, which are observable from past intraday returns.

3. Methodology and results

We visualize market development and search intensity for the largest market index, the S&P 500. The upper panel of Figure 1 shows the value (P_t , left y-axis) and realized variance (RV_t , right y-axis) of the S&P 500 index over our sample period from December 2, 2019 to April 30, 2020. We observe that during the onset of the corona crash, the value of the market declined, while uncertainty in the market increased to extreme levels. Figure 1 also shows the average daily realized variance over 20 years prior to our sample window; the average daily realized variance reached a modest value of 278.53 (red-line). The average over our sample for the U.S. market is much higher at 1776.98.

The lower panel in Figure 1 plots the average search intensity in the U.S. $(ASVI_t^{US})$ over time and the corresponding abnormal search volume activity $(ASVA_t^{US})$. Figure 1 shows that the period of extreme market uncertainty coincides with a period of higher attention of investors to corona events.

- ¹⁰⁰ During trading days from March 12 16, 2020, when market variance reached its highest values, $SVI_{t,j}$ was 100 for 11 of 19 terms ('corona', 'virus', 'SARS', 'MERS', 'epidemic', 'infected', 'outbreak', 'restriction', 'suspend', 'travel', 'postpone') on March 12th and reached 100 for two additional terms, namely, 'spread' and 'quarantine', on March 16th. Market uncertainty remained high during this period, as did the $ASVI_t^{US}$ and $ASVA_t^{US}$. The concurrence of high realized variance with $ASVI_t^{US}$ and $ASVA_t^{US}$ suggests that investors were in a state of high uncertainty.
 - 4

Table 1: Descriptive statistics

	US	UK	JP	FR	IN	CA	DE	CH	KR	AU
Panel A:	Logari	thm c	of reali	zed va	ariance	9				
Mean	5.90	5.96	5.86	5.99	5.90	5.24	6.21	5.81	6.11	5.50
SD	1.96	1.57	1.54	1.70	2.01	2.13	1.68	1.59	1.28	1.80
Median	5.68	5.75	6.06	5.87	5.45	4.91	6.09	5.49	5.91	5.61
$\rho(1)$	0.89	0.84	0.70	0.86	0.80	0.90	0.82	0.88	0.70	0.88
$\rho(10)$	0.59	0.61	0.51	0.56	0.56	0.63	0.55	0.57	0.41	0.60
Panel B:	Local a	abnor	mal se	arch v	volume	e inten	sity			
Mean	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.04
SD	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.21	0.28	0.25	0.33	0.32	0.27
Median	-0.01	-0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01	-0.04	0.00	-0.03	0.02	-0.04
$\rho(1)$	0.82	0.70	0.32	0.31	0.70	0.76	0.39	0.29	0.23	0.64
$\rho(10)$	0.09	0.08	-0.04	0.18	-0.07	-0.04	0.27	0.05	-0.06	0.10
Panel C:	Global	l abno	rmal s	earch	volun	ne inte	nsity			
Mean	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04
SD	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.19
Median	-0.01	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.02
$\rho(1)$	0.55	0.54	0.64	0.60	0.63	0.53	0.62	0.64	0.64	0.57
$\rho(10)$	-0.03	0.00	0.12	0.06	0.16	-0.01	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.01
	Notes	()	is the	autopo	molatic	an agaf	Faint	at the	aimon	andan

Notes: $\rho(.)$ is the autocorrelation coefficient at the given order.

We created $ASVI_t^{global}$ and the corresponding $ASVA_t^{global}$ variables to reflect search intensity in countries other than that of the given market. For example, to calculate $ASVI_t^{global}$ for the U.S., we took the average of $ASVI_t$ across the nine other developed economies in our sample. The $ASVI_t^{local}$ (searching specific to a given country) and $ASVI_t^{global}$ are highly correlated – Pearson's correlation of 0.77 for South Korea is the lowest. Additionally, the $ASVA_t^{local}$ and $ASVA_t^{global}$ also show strong correlations with 0.40 being the lowest correlation for India. These results indicate that the fear was a global phenomenon. Moreover, as developed markets are open to foreign investors, it follows that global search interest might also influence local markets. The above observations are formalized in three models that are estimated using a sample of 10 large developed markets around the world.

115

110

First, as a benchmark model, we use a simplified version of the heterogeneous autoregressive (HAR) model of Corsi (2009) (estimated via ordinary least squares (OLS)):

$$lnRV_{t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 lnRV_t + \beta_2 lnRV_t^W + u_t \tag{2}$$

The RV_t^W is the weekly volatility component calculated as $4^{-1}\sum_{s=t-1}^{t-4} RV_s$, i.e. the daily and weekly components are not overlapping. The original HAR model of Corsi (2009) also includes a monthly volatility component, but our conclusions are not influenced if the monthly component (which is not significant) is included. We use the simplified version, as we are also using a shorter sample period. We use the log-log specification to address the positive skew of the variances, while the estimated β_1 and β_2 coefficients can be interpreted as the % change in the RV_{t+1} given a 1% change in RV_t , i.e., the elasticity. Panel A of Table 1 shows statistics of the log of the realized variance across 10 markets. The autocorrelation of the volatility at the 10th lag is still considerable: thus, unconditional volatility is highly persistent even during our sample period of the corona market crash.

125

120

The results from the benchmark model are reported in Table 2. Panel A reveals that the behavior of the variance is very similar across countries – variance is highly persistent, and the variance from

the previous day and week provides considerable information about the variance on the subsequent day. A 1% increase in variance on the previous day accounts for at least a 0.298% (India) or even up to

a 0.588% (US) increase in realized variance on the next day. For several markets, weekly components are even stronger drivers of market uncertainty. Additionally, the benchmark models already appear to be reasonably well specified; i.e., no serial dependence (see the empirical likelihood (EL) test) with almost always homoscedastic (see White's test) residuals.

Second, we add local abnormal search volume activity:

$$lnRV_{t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 lnRV_t + \beta_2 lnRV_t^W + \beta_3 ASV A_t^{local} + u_t \tag{3}$$

- The results reported in Panel B (Table 2) show that the abnormal search volume activity improves the predictability of market uncertainty on the subsequent day. The $ASVA_t$ is positive for all markets and significant for all markets except South Korea, thus suggesting that when search activity related to corona information increased, price variation in stock markets increased the following day. When abnormal search volume activity increases 2 standard deviations (SDs) (see Panel B in Table 1) above the average, the market's realized variance effect almost doubles (RV_t , particularly for the U.S., Japan,
- ¹⁴⁰ the average, the mark India and Germany).

Third, we add the global abnormal search volume activity:

$$lnRV_{t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 lnRV_t + \beta_2 lnRV_t^W + \beta_3 ASV A_t^{global} + u_t \tag{4}$$

The results reported in Panel C (Table 2) show a similar picture with even larger coefficients for several markets, thereby suggesting not only that the fear is global but also that the economies are highly ¹⁴⁵ interconnected. Therefore, these results confirm our previous observation that local and global searches for coronavirus are very similar and, therefore, have the potential to affect markets similarly. In South Korea, global Google searches work much better than local Google searches. The likely reason is that Google is not the most popular search engine in South Korea.

4. Concluding remarks

During the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, markets around the world lost so much value in such a short period that the epidemic strongly negatively affected societies. We show that at least part of this turbulence was driven by short-term investors' sentiment – fear created by coronavirus. The level of this fear is measured by Google searches, and this fear has a significant predictive power for future stock market uncertainty.

The observation that Google searches for coronavirus are correlated with price variation is perhaps unsurprising. The research in linking stock markets' movements to investors' attention and sentiment has become quite extensive over the last few years (e.g., Hamid and Heiden, 2015; Bijl et al., 2016; Dimpfl and Jank, 2016; Kim et al., 2019; Audrino et al., 2020). However, our results show that Google searches for coronavirus are not simply correlated: these searches predict variance in the future for

every country we considered. This result can be utilized in risk management models. During uncertain, unprecedented periods, Google searches present a valuable data source that might improve assessment of market risks. The term 'coronavirus' will probably be the most-searched term in the history of Google Trends.

	SD	UK	JP	FR	NI	CA	DE	CH	KR	AU
Panel A: Benchmark model										
Intercept	-0.257	-0.111	-0.592	-0.165	0.086	-0.166	-0.032	-0.103	0.567^{b}	-0.116
daily variance – ln RV_t	0.588^c	0.447^{c}	0.395^c	0.473^c	0.298^{b}	0.532^c	0.421^{c}	0.515^c	0.306^c	0.481^{c}
weekly variance – ln RV_t^W	0.353^c	0.485^c	0.608^{c}	0.467^{c}	0.627^{c}	0.400^c	0.504^c	0.410^c	0.537^c	0.449^c
<u>R²</u>	0.824	0.766	0.657	0.787	0.761	0.842	0.740	0.803	0.596	0.811
adj. R^2	0.821	0.761	0.648	0.782	0.755	0.839	0.734	0.798	0.587	0.807
EL test	0.877	0.655	0.621	0.729	0.861	0.632	0.769	0.663	0.925	0.854
White's test	0.470	0.331	0.038	0.567	0.600	0.362	0.448	0.657	0.472	0.138
Panel B: Search volume intensity model –	local sear	ching								
Intercept	-0.171	-0.110	-0.837	-0.114	0.146	-0.103	0.069	-0.202	0.598b	-0.102
daily variance $- \ln RV_t$	0.462^c	0.410^c	0.299^c	0.405^c	0.235^a	0.458^c	0.343^c	0.432^{c}	0.276^{c}	0.395^c
weekly variance – ln RV_t^W	0.475^c	0.523^c	0.751^c	0.533^c	0.677^{c}	0.469^c	0.573^c	0.512^{c}	0.565^c	0.542^c
Local abnormal searching – $ASVA_t^{local}$	1.274^c	0.817^b	1.440^c	0.652^{b}	1.179^{b}	0.818^{b}	1.066^c	0.827^{c}	0.213	0.779^c
R^2	0.844	0.779	0.689	0.793	0.777	0.853	0.763	0.829	0.598	0.824
adj. R^2	0.838	0.772	0.678	0.787	0.768	0.848	0.755	0.823	0.584	0.818
EL test	0.710	0.841	0.187	0.724	0.878	0.534	0.850	0.004	0.863	0.937
White's test	0.585	0.301	0.041	0.342	0.877	0.534	0.716	0.179	0.202	0.032
Panel C: Search volume intensity model –	global sea	urching								
Intercept	-0.263	-0.116	-0.725	-0.135	-0.142	-0.194	-0.061	-0.034	0.486^{b}	-0.213
daily variance – ln RV_t	0.476^c	0.376^c	0.312^c	0.331^c	0.168^{b}	0.473^c	0.346^c	0.414^c	0.209^{b}	0.339^c
weekly variance – ln RV_t^W	0.473^c	0.559^c	0.713^c	0.614^c	0.796^c	0.464^c	0.586^c	0.508^{c}	0.651^c	0.618^c
Global abnormal searching – $ASVA_t^{global}$	1.284^c	0.968^{b}	1.417^{c}	1.439^c	1.821^{b}	1.083^b	1.254^c	1.263^{b}	1.225^a	1.814^c
R^2	0.839	0.780	0.695	0.809	0.793	0.851	0.759	0.823	0.628	0.845
adj. R^2	0.833	0.772	0.683	0.803	0.785	0.846	0.750	0.817	0.615	0.839
EL test	0.936	0.893	0.717	0.853	0.918	0.490	0.854	0.014	0.818	0.965
White's test	0.495	0.364	0.001	0.226	0.488	0.266	0.116	0.263	0.014	0.020
Number of observations	93	93	84	94	81	91	91	89	92	93
Notes: The superscripts a, b, and c denote sta 1000 replications, as in Patton et al. (2009). (2009), and White's test is a nonparame	itistical sig The EL's etric unwei	nificance a test is the capted boots	t the 10%, p-value of itrap test o	5%, and 1 the test of f no hetero	% levels, u no serial c scedasticit	sing a ran. correlation u in residu	dom block in the resi als (Cribar	length boot. duals of Es v-Neto and	strapping s scanciano a l Zarkos, 1,	cheme with nd Lobato 999).

Table 2: Realized variance model with search volume intensity

References

- Akhtaruzzaman, M., Boubaker, S., Sensoy, A., 2020. Financial contagion during covid–19 crisis. Finance Research Letters, 101604.
 - Andersen, T.G., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F.X., Ebens, H., 2001. The distribution of realized stock return volatility. Journal of Financial Economics 61, 43–76.

Ashraf, B.N., 2020. Stock markets' reaction to covid-19: cases or fatalities? Research in International Business and Finance, 101249.

170

175

180

Audrino, F., Sigrist, F., Ballinari, D., 2020. The impact of sentiment and attention measures on stock market volatility. International Journal of Forecasting 36, 334–357.

Baumöhl, E., Bouri, E., Hoang, T.H.V., Shahzad, S.J.H., Výrost, T., 2020. From physical to financial contagion: the COVID-19 pandemic and increasing systemic risk among banks. EconStor Working Paper no. 218944. URL: https://ideas.repec.org/p/zbw/esprep/218944.html.

Bento, A.I., Nguyen, T., Wing, C., Lozano-Rojas, F., Ahn, Y.Y., Simon, K., 2020. Evidence from internet search data shows information-seeking responses to news of local COVID-19 cases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Bijl, L., Kringhaug, G., Molnár, P., Sandvik, E., 2016. Google searches and stock returns. International Review of Financial Analysis 45, 150–156.

Conlon, T., Corbet, S., McGee, R.J., 2020. Are cryptocurrencies a safe haven for equity markets? an international perspective from the covid-19 pandemic. Research in International Business and Finance, 101248.

Conlon, T., McGee, R., 2020. Safe haven or risky hazard? bitcoin during the covid-19 bear market. Finance Research Letters, 101607.

- Corbet, S., Hou, Y., Hu, Y., Lucey, B., Oxley, L., 2020a. Aye corona! the contagion effects of being named corona during the covid-19 pandemic. Finance Research Letters, 101591.
- Corbet, S., Larkin, C., Lucey, B., 2020b. The contagion effects of the covid-19 pandemic: Evidence from gold and cryptocurrencies. Finance Research Letters, 101554.
- ¹⁹⁰ Corsi, F., 2009. A simple approximate long-memory model of realized volatility. Journal of Financial Econometrics 7, 174–196.

Cribari-Neto, F., Zarkos, S.G., 1999. Bootstrap methods for heteroskedastic regression models: evidence on estimation and testing. Econometric Reviews 18, 211–228.

Da, Z., Engelberg, J., Gao, P., 2011. In search of attention. The Journal of Finance 66, 1461–1499.

- ¹⁹⁵ Dimpfl, T., Jank, S., 2016. Can internet search queries help to predict stock market volatility? European Financial Management 22, 171–192.
 - Dowd, J.B., Andriano, L., Brazel, D.M., Rotondi, V., Block, P., Ding, X., Liu, Y., Mills, M.C., 2020. Demographic science aids in understanding the spread and fatality rates of COVID-19. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 9696–9698.

- Escanciano, J.C., Lobato, I.N., 2009. An automatic portmanteau test for serial correlation. Journal of Econometrics 151, 140–149.
 - Ginsberg, J., Mohebbi, M.H., Patel, R.S., Brammer, L., Smolinski, M.S., Brilliant, L., 2009. Detecting influenza epidemics using search engine query data. Nature 457, 1012–1014.

Goodell, J.W., 2020. Covid-19 and finance: Agendas for future research. Finance Research Letters, 101512.

- Goodell, J.W., Goutte, S., 2020. Co-movement of covid-19 and bitcoin: Evidence from wavelet coherence analysis. Finance Research Letters, 101625.
- Hamid, A., Heiden, M., 2015. Forecasting volatility with empirical similarity and google trends. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 117, 62–81.
- Helppie McFall, B., 2011. Crash and wait? the impact of the great recession on the retirement plans of older americans. American Economic Review 101, 40–44.
 - Ji, Q., Zhang, D., Zhao, Y., 2020. Searching for safe-haven assets during the covid-19 pandemic. International Review of Financial Analysis, 101526.

Kim, N., Lučivjanská, K., Molnár, P., Villa, R., 2019. Google searches and stock market activity: Evidence from norway. Finance Research Letters 28, 208–220.

- Massicotte, P., Eddelbuettel, D., 2020. gtrendsR: Perform and display Google trends queries. R package version 1.4.4 .
- Okorie, D.I., Lin, B., 2020. Stock markets and the covid-19 fractal contagion effects. Finance Research Letters, 101640.
- Patton, A., Politis, D.N., White, H., 2009. Correction to "Automatic block-length selection for the dependent bootstrap" by D. Politis and H. White. Econometric Reviews 28, 372–375.
 - Preis, T., Moat, H.S., Stanley, H.E., 2013. Quantifying trading behavior in financial markets using Google Trends. Scientific Reports 3, 1684.
- Sharif, A., Aloui, C., Yarovaya, L., 2020. Covid-19 pandemic, oil prices, stock market, geopolitical risk
 and policy uncertainty nexus in the us economy: Fresh evidence from the wavelet-based approach. International Review of Financial Analysis, 101496.

Zaremba, A., Kizys, R., Aharon, D.Y., Demir, E., 2020. Infected markets: Novel coronavirus, government interventions, and stock return volatility around the globe. Finance Research Letters, 101597.

²³⁰ Zhang, D., Hu, M., Ji, Q., 2020. Financial markets under the global pandemic of covid-19. Finance Research Letters , 101528.

215