

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Marx, Susanne; Klotz, Michael

## Working Paper Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

SIMAT Arbeitspapiere, No. 12-20-038

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Hochschule Stralsund, Stralsund Information Management Team (SIMAT)

*Suggested Citation:* Marx, Susanne; Klotz, Michael (2020) : Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series, SIMAT Arbeitspapiere, No. 12-20-038, Hochschule Stralsund, Stralsund Information Management Team (SIMAT), Stralsund

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/219315

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



## SIMAT Arbeitspapiere Herausgeber: Prof. Dr. Michael Klotz

SIMAT AP 12-20-038

# Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

Susanne Marx Prof. Dr. Michael Klotz

Hochschule Stralsund SIMAT Stralsund Information Management Team

Mai 2020

ISSN 1868-064X

Marx, Susanne; Klotz, Michael: Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series. In: SIMAT Arbeitspapiere. Hrsg. von Michael Klotz. Stralsund: Hochschule Stralsund, SIMAT Stralsund Information Management Team, 2020 (SIMAT AP, 12 (2020), 38), ISSN 1868-064X

Download vom EconStor-Server der Deutschen Zentralbibliothek für Wirtschaftswissenschaften: <u>http://www.econstor.eu/dspace/escollectionhome/10419/60007</u>

#### Impressum



Hochschule Stralsund Zur Schwedenschanze 15 18435 Stralsund www.hochschule-stralsund.de

University of Applied Sciences

#### Herausgeber

Prof. Dr. Michael Klotz Fakultät für Wirtschaft Zur Schwedenschanze 15 18435 Stralsund E-Mail: michael.klotz@hochschule-stralsund.de



Digitaldruck: <u>www.dokuteam-x.de</u> Behrndt & Herud GmbH Anklamer Straße 98 17489 Greifswald

#### Autoren

Susanne Marx ist wissenschaftliche Mitarbeiterin der Fakultät für Wirtschaft an der Hochschule Stralsund. Nach verschiedenen Positionen in der Konsumgüter-, Nahrungsmittel- und Tourismusindustrie realisiert sie an der Hochschule Stralsund internationale Projekte. Sie hält einen MSc in Project and Programme Management (Irland).

Prof. Dr. Michael Klotz lehrt, forscht und publiziert an der Fakultät für Wirtschaft der Hochschule Stralsund auf den Gebieten der Unternehmensorganisation und -überwachung, der IT-Governance und der IT-Compliance. Er ist Mitglied zahlreicher Fachorganisationen, u. a. Mitglied des wissenschaftlichen Beirats und Academic Advocate der ISACA sowie Mitherausgeber der Zeitschrift "IT-Governance".

Die "SIMAT Arbeitspapiere" dienen einer möglichst schnellen Verbreitung von Forschungs- und Projektergebnissen des SIMAT. Die Beiträge liegen jedoch in der alleinigen Verantwortung der Autorinnen und Autoren und stellen nicht notwendigerweise die Meinung der Hochschule Stralsund bzw. des SIMAT dar.

## Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

Susanne Marx, Prof. Dr. Michael Klotz<sup>1</sup>

#### Summary:

Hackathons as events for participative, creative problem solving, originating from software development have been adapted to many other industries in recent years, among them museums. A series of hackathons was implemented in museums in different countries in the Baltic Sea region, in an international project uniting museums, universities and NGOs. This document summarizes the experiences made and lists the recommendations derived from reflections of the organizing teams of the four events. In this working paper, firstly, the project and the concept of hackathons is introduced. Then, the experiences made with different concepts for realizing hackathons for museums are described. Finally, the major part of this document describes the recommendations for developing a tailored hackathon concept, developing the event communication and planning the event infrastructure. The document additionally provides a template for a project plan and provides examples for gathering feedback after the event.

#### Contents

Pr	eface	5
Li	st of Figures	6
A	bbreviations	7
1	Introduction	8
	1.1 What is a hackathon?	8
	1.2 BalticMuseums: LoveIT! project	8
	1.3 The hackathons of BalticMuseums: Baltathon	9
2	Event Concept – Lessons Learned	11
	2.1 Reflections on tasks and results from initial hackathons	11
	2.2 Lessons learned	13
	2.3 Revised concept for final hackathon	13
3	Recommendations – Concept	15
	3.1 Hackathon Set-up	15
	3.2 Participants	16
	-	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Marx, Susanne, Wissenschaftliche Mitarbeiterin, Fakultät für Wirtschaft, Zur Schwedenschanze 15, 18435 Stralsund, <u>susanne.marx@hochschule-stralsund.de</u>; Prof. Dr. Michael Klotz, Hochschule Stralsund, Fakultät für Wirtschaft, Zur Schwedenschanze 15, 18435 Stralsund, <u>michael.klotz@hochschule-stralsund.de</u>.

	3.3 Mentors	18
	3.4 Jury and decision criteria	. 19
	3.5 Awards	20
	3.6 Materials for participants	21
	3.7 Design of schedule	21
4	Recommendations – Communication	. 24
	4.1 Promotion of the event	. 24
	4.2 Online promotion	. 24
	4.3 Offline promotion	25
	4.4 Sponsoring	25
	4.5 Communication timeline	26
	4.6 Website and registration form	26
	4.7 Design	26
	4.8 Terms and conditions	. 27
	4.9 Contact to participants	. 28
5	Recommendations – Infrastructure	. 29
	5.1 Venue	. 29
	5.2 Equipment	30
	5.3 Working atmosphere	30
	5.4 Food	31
	5.5 Gadgets	31
	5.6 Sleeping arrangements	32
	5.7 Photo/Film	32
6	Recommendations – Project Plan Template	33
7	Recommendations – Feedback	34
	7.1 Survey Example – Participant	. 34
	7.2 Survey Example – Museum Mentors	35
8	Bibliography	36
9	Verzeichnis der SIMAT-Arbeitspapiere	37

Key Words: Hackathon - Museum - Open Innovation

JEL-Classification: M16, O031, O036

Marx/Klotz: Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

## Preface

New forms of creative problem solving have emerged in the past years, increasingly focusing on broadening the group of actors involved in the process. Concepts for such open innovation processes developed in one industry are transferred for application in other parts of society. As such, the concept of hackathons was first applied in the information technology field, however, later transferred to public services, individual companies, but also to cultural players like museums. For gathering creative ideas in our international museums' project 'BalticMuseums: LoveIT!', it seemed a good opportunity to use this format of hackathons. However, none of the museum partners was experienced with such form of event yet. During the implementation, we have been in a constant exchange among the partners who have put high efforts in making the hackathons a success. Challenges regarding the tailoring of the concept towards the museum environment but also practicalities regarding communication and the event venue had to be overcome. Considering the intense learning process in our project, we decided to document the lessons learned for the use by other institutions.

We are especially grateful to the 'BalticMuseums: LoveIT!' team, who have shared their experiences and input with us for this document, especially Magdalena Kròl (Netcamp, Poland) and Agniezska Miluniec (University of Szczecin, Poland), Agnieszka Grygoruk and Justyna Sudakowska (Experyment Science Center, Poland), Grażyna Niedoszytko and Weronika Podlesińska (NMFRI Gdynia Aquarium, Poland), Jurgita Eglinskiene and Loreta Rimkiene (Lithuanian Sea Museum), Senja Vurzer (Malmö Museums, Sweden) and René Larsen (NaturBornholm, Denmark) as well as Robert Ittermann (Business Academy North, Germany).

We are also thankful to the Interreg South Baltic Programme, which partfinanced the international cooperation project 'BalticMuseums: LoveIT!' within the European Regional Development Fund.

Prof. Dr. Michael Klotz and Susanne Marx

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1 Generic Learning Outcomes for Malmö Museums	12
Figure 2 Website announcement for the BalticMuseums hackathon	14
Figure 3 Jury Evaluation Criteria – initial concept	19
Figure 4 Jury Evaluation Criteria – final concept	20
Figure 5 Schedule of hackathon in Gdynia	23
Figure 6 Schedule of hackathon in Greifswald	23
Figure 7 Example key visual of "Baltathon"	27
Figure 8 Example working room for "Baltathon" in Greifswald	
Figure 9 Project Plan Template	

## Abbreviations

AR	Augmented Reality
BYOD	Bring Your Own Device
DE	Germany
DJ	Disc Jockey
DK	Denmark
EU	European Union
GLO	Generic Learning Outcomes
IT	Information Technology
LT	Lithuania
NMFRI	National Marine Fisheries Research Institute
PL	Poland
Q&A	Questions and Answers
SBP	South Baltic Programme
SE	Sweden
PR	Public Relations
U.S.	United States
USB	Universal Serial Bus
WiFi	Wireless Fidelity

#### **1** Introduction

#### **1.1 What is a hackathon?**



The word hackathon derives from the words hacking and marathon. It is an event set up as a competition for creative problem solving, originally relating to technology. Piller and West (2014) define hackathons as "tournament-based crowdsourcing for technical solutions" as part of open innovation

initiatives, with hackathons even called "jump start for innovation" (Leclair 2015, p.12). It is a method to involve external people into innovation development. The understanding of the event concept is not defined strictly with some only relating it to programming (e.g. Oxford University Press 2018: "collaborative computer programming") while others see it more broadly, e.g. Tauberer (2018) defines: "A hackathon is any event of any duration where people come together to solve problems." Hackathons gained considerable attention in the past, not only in the IT sector but also in other sectors. About one third of open innovation initiatives of the U.S. government were contests, out of which a considerable number were hackathons (Mergel 2015).

For testing and adapting this concept, the project "BalticMuseums: Love-IT!" implemented a series of hackathons, continuously reflected on them and refined the concept to suit the museum environment.

#### 1.2 BalticMuseums: LoveIT! project

In the project 'BalticMuseums: Love IT!', museums, touristic attractions, IT specialists and research institutions from Poland (4), Denmark (1), Sweden (1), Lithuania (1) and Germany (2) cooperated from 2017 to 2020. Together with eight associated partners, the team created gamified e-guides for touristic attractions in the South Baltic Region to exploit the attractions' potential in low season and for international guests. Following a development of the past years, the team focussed a Bring-Your-Own-Device (BYOD) strategy, offering apps or web apps for the visitors' smartphones. Though many museums have developed specific apps, others lack the resources required to

Hackathon

Project description

establish and run such a digital offer. The target of the project was thus to provide a system that helps museums and touristic attractions om easily and efficiently setting up a web app for their institution. The University of Szczecin (lead partner) developed a cloud-based, configurable system to build e-guide web apps with gamification elements (e.g. earning badges or collecting points for visiting certain places or solving quizzes). The launch of the first version of the BYOD (Bring Your Own Device) e-guide tours is scheduled in 2020. The developed tools will be open and marketed under a common brand - open to be joined by other museums and touristic attractions later on. The project was part-financed by the European Regional Development Fund, within the Interreg South Baltic Programme.

Working with a user-centric design process the project "BalticMuseums: LoveIT!" hosted a series of hackathon events to generate ideas for the gamified e-guide applications in museums. These events were branded as Baltathon, a name derived from a combination of 'Baltic' and 'hackathon'. The creative ideas generated during these events fed into the gamified apps developed.

#### **1.3** The hackathons of BalticMuseums: Baltathon



The Baltathon hackathons were scheduled in Gdynia (PL), Klaipeda (LT), Malmö (SE) and Greifswald (DE). The overall organization, online promotion and expertise were provided by Netcamp in Szczecin (PL) together with University of Szczecin (PL) for the first three hacka-

thons together with respective local project partner museums. The final hackathon in Greifswald was designed and implemented by Business Academy North in Greifswald together with Stralsund University of Applied Sciences (DE). The hackathons were all promoted under the same name, "Baltathon", with consistent visuals and branding.

- 1. Gdynia: 2 museums 2018
- 2. Klaipeda: 1 museum 2018
- 3. Malmö: 2 museums 2018
- 4. Greifswald: 7 museums (non-partners) 2019

Hackathons for idea generation

Process of hackathon design and implementation After each hackathon, in-depth interviews with the organizers were held and the learnings captured and distributed to the next event organizer. This process resulted into a revision of concept after the third hackathon, with an adapted concept implemented in the final hackathon in Greifswald, DE.

The hackathon in Gdynia took place from 17–18 March 2018 at Experyment Science Centre. The event was hosted both by Experyment Science Centre and NMFRI Gdynia Aquarium, and co-organized by Netcamp (Szczecin, PL) and the University of Szczecin. 56 participants signed up for the hackathon, one team did not come, and one team stopped during the first day of the hackathon. A warm-up event was hosted one week before the actual hackathon in the premises of the hosting museums. The work effort on the first hackathon was especially high, for the benefit of all following hackathons.

The hackathon in Klaipeda took place from 14–15 April 2018 at the Lithuanian Sea Museum. 34 people from the Lithuanian cities Vilnius, Kaunas, and Klaipeda registered for the hackathon (55 was a maximum preferred). The real number of participating "coders" was 20 in addition to IT consultants. The participants were 18–50 years, coming for hobby and practice, being students, IT specialists and marketing people.

The setup of the hackathon at Malmö Museums was different, being divided into a warm-up (18 September 2018), a one week working phase and the actual hackathon day (25 September 2018). 17 participants in 4 teams participated from Poland and Germany. Due to the geographical distance of most participants, the warm-up was organized via video conference. The participants could talk to the mentors and the mentors took them in a tour with a camera through the exhibition. The hackathon day lasted six hours. The idea was that participants could develop their idea and code at home. The actual hackathon day was planned for finalizing the work, create a final presentation, talk to museum's workers and IT experts. The final presentation was pitched to other teams, organizers and partners.

The setup of the final hackathon in Greifswald was considerably different. Seven museums from Mecklenburg-Vorpommern region joined with topics, while seven teams from Germany and Poland totaling 35 participants joined the event. Additionally a process expert and five Augmented Reality specialists from a Finnish university joined to coach the teams. The hackathon lasted 30 hours on a weekend, 18–19 May 2019. The participants were students and pupils of vocational training in the areas of IT, Renewable EnerHackathon Gdynia, Poland (PL)

Hackathon Klaipeda, Lithuania (LT)

Hackathon Malmö, Sweden (SE)

Hackathon Greifswald, Germany (DE) gy, Design and Art, mostly below 30 years of age, with an equal mix of gender.

### 2 Event Concept – Lessons Learned

#### 2.1 Reflections on tasks and results from initial hackathons

During the first three Baltathon events we found, that defining the challenge to the participants is key and challenging. If it is too narrow and too problem oriented, it has a limiting impact on motivation and creativity. For example an experienced participant said the task was too "business" oriented and not free enough.

For Gdynia Aquarium, the briefing was to develop an app that includes a kind of game. In the warm-up, problems of the aquarium were highlighted. The aquarium asked to develop a game that solves problems (e.g. people getting lost). This resulted into comparable results, with most groups focused only on the problem in a very narrow sense, some even not considering the gamification aspect. The conclusion was, that a more open task would have resulted into more creative ideas, but these might be difficult to implement. With such a problem-based briefing for participants, achievable ideas were developed, however, not very innovative.

For Experyment, the briefing was to develop an app. During the warm-up, results of a survey of guests and the museum team were presented. Following a design thinking approach, the science center had identified personas to focus on, based on the survey. Experyment presented problems that the guests encounter in the exhibition, and asked the hackathon participants to solve these problems (e.g. exhibition seems to be for kids, not for adults; or how to use exhibits, how to provide instruction). The museum team even suggested how to solve the problems already during the warm-up. During the warm-up, the strategy of information was changed as participants seemed to be overwhelmed by expectations. The second part of the warm-up was then dedicated to gamification. The Experyment team concluded that clear and detailed result expectations seem to limit creativity. For the future they recommended to provide input only about their institution in more general sense and leave the participants more freedom, to receive more out-ofthe-box ideas. The team also proposed to stay in touch with participants after the event, to invite them again or involve them in what happens next or ask them to first test the later developed application. They confirmed the Findings from three first hackathons

Hackathon Gdynia, Poland (PL): Experiences Gdynia Aquarium

Hackathon Gdynia, Poland (PL): Experiences Exeryment Science Center satisfaction with the general organization and the exceptional teamwork in the project team. However, how to take the results from the event further to real developments seemed a challenge.

The Lithuanian Sea Museum focused their briefing on a selection of potential topics and problematic issues. Upon post-event reflection, the topics seemed to include already a solution. The topics were promotion, marketing, a game or a product provoking an engaging exploration of one of the exhibition houses. Problematic issues were for example the distribution of visitors along the route, navigation, multilingualism, seasonality and online ticket promotion. While gaining considerable experience and learning about potential partners, the museum did not feel to receive creative ideas for their further app development. They expected a functional concept for a product – a BYOD-guided tour providing an enhanced visitor experience during and after the visit. Expectations for innovative solutions were high. However, the participants presented ideas that were already known by museum staff and very similar to their own solutions. The event format was though very promising to the museum, as uniting museologists and the IT sector. But communication has to be facilitated, in the chosen format contacts were rather little, so the mutual learning remained minimal. An advice for future hackathons would be to have a representative from the museum as an obligatory member of each team during the whole process.

Generic Learning Outcomes	Description		
Knowledge and under- standing	<ul><li>Different kind of learning experience.</li><li>Add additional content to the visit, that are not available without the tool.</li></ul>		
Skills	<ul> <li>I will be able to use the tool with ease.</li> </ul>		
Attitudes and values	<ul> <li>I will have a feeling of freedom by the possibility of an individual guide</li> </ul>		
Enjoyment, inspiration, creativity	I will have fun, be curious and want more.		
Activity, behaviour, progression	<ul> <li>After the guide I will behave in a more environmental- ly friendly way, specifically regarding the Baltic sea.</li> </ul>		

Hackathon Klaipeda, Lithuania (LT): Experiences Lithuanian Sea Museum

> Figure 1 Generic Learning Outcomes for Malmö Museums

The concept used to derive the task for participants was done following the Generic Learning Outcomes (GLO) process. From this process the goals for the hackathon were defined. One session had been conducted with the project partners, one with students and school pupils at Malmö Museums and one at NaturBornholm to define the expected learning outcomes. These were given as a briefing to the participants.

The team of Malmö hackathon evaluated the results of the hackathon giving basic ideas and inspiration; however, no final solutions were produced. It can only be understood as an input for a longer process. The workload and effort in organizing the event has to be balanced with the results expected. What the team recommended was working with the GLO process to better understand users and the own organization's expectations, and use these to define the challenge. To increase both participation rates and efficiency, collaboration with other (tech) organizers was recommended.

#### 2.2 Lessons learned

Overall, the organizer teams were satisfied with the organizational part of the hackathons, despite being challenging for the museums. Learnings and resulting recommendations will be described in the coming chapters for organizing a hackathon. More difficult to judge was the evaluation of the hackathon results. This can be explained by the differing expectations. In the hackathon conditions it was broad, by naming ideas, code or ready solutions as accepted results. However, not only museum staff had different expectations, but also participants, if coding is in focus or general idea development and presentation skills.

There seems to be a tension between readily implementable though not surprising solutions on the one hand and innovative proposals of high creativity on the other hand. The problem solving oriented briefing in the hackathons with even presenting own solutions by the organizers resulted into no outof-the-box ideas. Moreover in the setup, there was little interaction with participants who mostly worked for themselves silently. Getting more involved in the creative process could have provided the museums with further insights into ideation.

#### 2.3 Revised concept for final hackathon

For the final hackathon, the approach was changed by the project team. First, an overarching goal was defined that would benefit the project and at the same time accommodate various museums into the hackathon. Also the Hackathon Malmö, Sweden (SE): Experiences Malmö Museums / Natur-Bornholm

Unclear expectations on results

Tension of feasibility and creativity

Approach focusing creativity and learning topic should be broad enough to allow for creative freedom. The briefing chosen was to find gamification ideas for an app that motivates to visit a museum. This implied we were not expecting nor judging the excellence of coding, but the suitability of ideas. The coding aspect was moved to the background, as it was not what we were looking for. The participant communication was changed accordingly to focus on creativity instead of programming. Here the word "hackathon" proved to be a bit tricky as it implies programming in a narrow sense to many. The event was therefore described as "a creative weekend for IT in museums". Moreover, the focus of the event was shifted to focus on learning instead of competition.



Figure 2 Website announcement for the BalticMuseums hackathon

Baltathon: BalticMuseums Hackathon in Greifswald. Creative Weekend for IT in our Museums!

With learning in focus, museum representatives joined each team to fully participate in the creative process. Teams worked in a concept of 5+1=6, with 5 participants and 1 museum expert. The museum staff was participating in the brainstorming phase to feed into and learn from the creative process of the team. Moreover, workshops and networking activities were offered. Validation was done according to transparent criteria (motivation, innovativeness, simplicity, completeness) by a diverse jury of five members. The jury received a dedicated briefing.

Adapted setup of teams

The topics of the seven participating museums, ranging from beetles in the In beech forest, over an unrestored vehicle to remarkable drawings and tapestry, were given to the teams in the beginning of the hackathon by a draw.

The objective of the Baltathon was the development of innovative and interactive mobile game ideas, which shall motivate prospective visitors prior to their visit to a museum. These games shall increase the attractiveness of a visit to the museum, reach out to new target groups and increase the individual visitor traffic. The subject matter of the competition work were conceptions, prototypes (even paper) or mobile applications based on gamification. The participating museums selected their own topics or objects and provided them, along with information, images, data, sounds, etc., to the participants. These topics and/or objects constituted the working basis.



Due to the shifted focus of creativity and learning, experts from Lahti University of Applied Sciences in Finland were invited to support both in helping the teams with the work process and with a team of Augmented Reality (AR) experts, to provide support with AR solutions centrally to all teams.

Feedback

The feedback of both participants and museums was very positive. Participants were motivated to visit the museums afterwards and the museums appreciated both the ideas generated, but especially this form of intense exchange with potential target groups and the impression of what is achievable in short time. Based on these experiences, we summarize our recommendations for hackathon events in museums in the following chapters.

### **3** Recommendations – Concept

#### 3.1 Hackathon Set-up

We recommend asking these questions in your development of a suitable hackathon concept:

1. What do you expect to get from the hackathon? (e.g. contacts, creative ideas, problem solutions, prototypes, learn) Define your targets! How will you know, if the hackathon met your expectations?

Questions to define hackathon setup

Input by museums

Event challenge

Additional experts

- 2. How do the selection criteria for the winning teams of the hackathon link back to your targets?
- 3. Who are the "right" participants that help you reach your targets with the hackathon? (e.g. tech enthusiasts, visitors, school kids, professional programmers) What motivates them? How will you communicate with them? Which added value will you provide them?
- 4. How should a result look like (code, idea, etc.) that you can further use it? What legal aspects do you need to cover to further use the ideas/re-sults?
- 5. What will you do with the results? Who will own the results?
- 6. Is the hackathon part of a larger process? How will it fit in, will you be in contact with the hackathon participants after the event?
- 7. What is the overall value of the hackathon for your organization?
- 8. How does it fit with your general innovation strategy?

#### 3.2 Participants

Depending on your target, participants who you want to attract might differ. In our experience, we focused mainly on students and pupils in vocational training, in some cases also professionals. The motivation of the participants is manifold, however, only limited based on the prizes. Prizes are rather an additional eye-catcher in promotion and a feeling of reward for the time devoted to the hosting organizations. The drivers of motivation should be used in deciding how to communicate the event.

An overarching conclusion is for driving motivation you should provide participants with the maximum freedom to unfold their ideas, however, still reaching your expectations. This relates both to team setup, to the required final product of the team, to the topic and to ways of working.

Why participants come to the hackathon in our experience:

- 1. Gain new experiences and learn
- 2. Get to know new people
- 3. Fun
- 4. Test of own skills (creativity, programming, team work, under time pressure)

Selection and motivation of participants But also (based on more programming oriented first three hackathons):

- Getting to know the people from IT industry (for business contacts/ jobs)
- 2. Curiosity
- 3. Interesting topic of hackathon
- 4. Compete, challenge
- 5. Love programming
- 6. Learn from other programmers



The aspect of competition is ambiguous. While some appreciate the competitive atmosphere, others disliked this aspect and preferred to see it as a learning experience only. In order to foster exchange and networking, we experienced that putting the joint experience and learning at the first step and having the competition as an add-on in

all communication proved to be valuable. Having a hackathon with several museums bringing their different topics and stories seems to have increased the learning aspect, as the solutions are not directly comparable. This seems to have fostered the exchange between the teams.

The setup of teams is recommended to be as interdisciplinary as possible, however, being too restrictive in requiring certain disciplines in the team might make participant acquisition difficult. We concluded, the more freedom given to participants the better for their motivation. We recommend integrating a member of the hosting institutions providing the topics into the teams. Thus, the team has full access to the expert knowledge and the museum staff also learns about ideas that might not even be finally presented.

As for international hackathons, our experience is that on the one hand it adds to an inspiring atmosphere, however, some participants might have fears in presenting in a different language. If teams are not mixed with different countries, the team tends to speak their native language which might exclude the museum staff from another country from gaining full insights of the work process. So, either all the team is from one country, or it is fully mixed to have English as the only means of communication.

Team setup

#### 3.3 Mentors



Mentors are a basic concept of a hackathon. They inspire, give knowledge and help the participants. It is recommended to have different kind of mentors. For example, in Klaipeda, six external IT related experts and seven museums staff members acted as mentors and jury. In Malmö,

mentors were available for questions since the warm-up. In Greifswald, museum mentors were fully part of the teams to take part in the creative process while a process mentor and several tech mentors were at disposition for all teams to use as a support. We found that this latest set-up was most beneficial and recommend the following aspects:

- 1. Plan a briefing for all mentors to have same knowledge, expectations and understanding of the goal of the event (one voice to the participant).
- 2. If museum staff is integrated into the participating teams, they need a separate briefing, especially on how much to interfere, being careful in judging solutions too early (limits creativity) and how to act in case of conflict or stagnation of the team's work.
- 3. Present all mentors with their competencies at the beginning of the hackathon (and also at the warm-up if applicable).
- 4. Standardize, how mentors are to be approached during the hackathon e.g. in an additional room/or corner to meet alone without disturbance, where also participants are not scared that their idea is "stolen" by others.
- 5. Mentors could go round the groups; however, this should though be limited, as participants might feel "disturbed".
- 6. During the night, participants did not want to be disturbed and wanted to be focused on work. Consider, if a first part of the hackathon is with mentors to talk and discuss, followed by a part of quiet working time without mentors.

Different functions of mentors

#### 3.4 Jury and decision criteria



An interdisciplinary jury shall decide for the winners of the hackathon. In our final hackathon, there was a jury of five: Software Developer, Graphic Designer, Software Developer and Project Manager, Professor of Faculty of Business Studies, Culture Expert/ City Employee.

It is recommended to have transparent judgement criteria for the jury:

- 1. Make the criteria transparent for the participants, e.g. present already before registration and have them visualized throughout the hackathon (e.g. on a screen).
- 2. Make criteria understood by all jury members.
- 3. Develop criteria together with all organizers as they define the target of the hackathon.

For the first three hackathons a grading table was used with grading 1-5, on the following five aspects, focusing e.g. functionality and complexity (Figure 3).

Categories	Description			
Innovation	Modernity of the solution			
Attractiveness	Whether the solution is attractive to a potential user			
Implementation pos- sibilities	Is it possible to implement in the museum			
Functionality	Ease of use, pleasant use and repair of mistakes made			
Complexity	Whether it covers all the aspects of the issue: app, gami- fication, code			

Figure 3 Jury Evaluation Criteria – initial concept

Jury setup

For our final hackathon, the jury grading was adapted, with each jury member judging each team on a 5 point Likert scale on agreement to following sentences (5 to 1 points). This proved to be transparent and well-understood. (Figure 4). Marx/Klotz: Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

Categories	Description			
Motivation to come to museum	The game motivates the target group to visit the museum.			
Innovativeness/Out of the box	The concept of the game has surprised me. I consider it innovative.			
Simplicity of implementation	The concept of the game could be implemented now with today's current technical tools, just using the visitor's smartphone.			
Completeness	The concept of the game demonstrates the full gamification mechanisms.			
Additional if same points result: Fan factor: (1 point by each jury member)	The game motivates to be played several times and has fan potential.			

Figure 4 Jury Evaluation Criteria – final concept

The jury received a briefing document and held a 30 minutes briefing prior to the final presentations.

### 3.5 Awards



Looking at why people come to the hackathon (chapter 3.2), prizes were not mentioned with top priority. However, this may be specific to these hackathons, as they were done by public museum institutions. Supporting these might have a volunteering

and social aspect, pushing intrinsic motivation. For Gdynia sponsoring was limited and due to the project funding there were constraints for the prizes. Due to these constraints, prizes were not part of the promotion activity. It is considered that more attractive prizes would have been an additional asset. In Klaipeda, the jury granted an award exclusively for one victorious competition entry: a special award which included a package of invitations for 2 persons to the best touristic destinations in Western Lithuania and a 100 Euros monetary prize. Winners of the audience award were presented with iPAD smart keypads. In Greifswald, a 3D printer for each team member, Types of awards

although a simple model, was perceived as a major motivation, yet not the reason to come. However, it grasped attention of potential participants in promotion.

In general:

- 1. Technology prizes are good if they are connected to the topic of hackathon and have an innovative character.
- 2. On some hackathons, cash prizes are issued from a wide range of amounts depending on budget and sponsors and the overall scale of the event.
- 3. For individual prizes: the maximum amount of participants in each team should be limited to acquire prizes accordingly.

### **3.6 Materials for participants**

Content material was provided to the teams before the hackathon in Gdynia and just at the start of the hackathon in Greifswald (Pictures, Logo, Videos, Audio, and Texts). In Malmö, a brief selection of pictures was available online, along with the contact to the mentors who could provide texts and other input. For the situation of several museums participating with different topics, we recommend to publish the available topics beforehand, however, have a draw only at the beginning of the event. This increases positive excitement, while allowing to getting familiar with the topics, though not working with them yet. Materials are then only provided to the team which has won the lot for the specific topic.

#### **3.7** Design of schedule

The hackathon events in Gdynia and Klaipeda lasted roughly 24 hours. Various participants said the hackathon was too short, compared to other hackathons; however, longer events would increase the infrastructure requirements (e.g. showers). The hackathon Greifswald lasted 30 hours, with some participants wishing it would have even started Friday evening with a welcome event, instead of Saturday.

**Recommendations:** 

1. At least 30 hours of hackathon are recommended for the working atmosphere to develop and to allow for creative ideas and networking to evolve. Input to participants

Scheduling the event

- 2. Plan elements for networking and getting to know each other across the teams, e.g. a party an evening before, workshops like yoga/drumming, introductory games.
- 3. A moderator should guide throughout all plenary sessions. A separate time keeper is recommended.
- 4. Evaluate how much time to give for the final presentations: if short, there is limited time to fully understand the participants' ideas and the focus seems to shift towards judging presentation skills. If presentation skills are judged (not recommended), teams seem to spend considerable time for preparing their final presentation, which is not dedicated to content anymore. We recommend 8 min. presentation and 4 min. Q&A of the jury/audience. Someone should take care of timing and moderate the discussion.
- 5. Teams should have their presentations in random order, for the first team it is most difficult for the final presentation.
- 6. Plan enough time during the final presentation for technical issues, changing laptops, microphones etc.
- 7. Allow enough time for the jury discussion.
- 8. Consider an energizer at night or after dinner: an activity such as stretching, a game or music.
- 9. Offer workshops to enhance learning experience beyond hackathon event e.g. creativity.

In Gdynia, a warm-up event was hosted one week before the actual hackathon, in both museum institutions. In Klaipeda, an introduction to the museum was given at the beginning of the hackathon. In Malmö, the hackathon was split in a warm-up, coding time at home and a six-hour final day. In Greifswald, no warm-up was held. Instead museums held a short presentation at the beginning of the event. A warm-up proved to be good to get to know participants, create an atmosphere and give an impression of the exhibition. However, it is more suited for hackathons with only one host and it could be problematic for teams coming from other locations.

The weekend is a good time for the hackathon, although it might limit participation of professionals who might participate during work hours. Choose the date of your event carefully. Avoid the summer, holidays, examination period for students, and other major events in your region, especially other hackathons. The date also depends on the target group you would like to address. For museums, off season is recommended.



Figure 6 **DAY 1. DAY 2.** Schedule of hacka-09:00 Warm-Up (Registration) 08:00-09:30 Breakfast thon in Greifswald 09:30 Opening Ceremony (Getting to know the BalticMuseums project, muse-11:30-13:30 Final Presentation ums, participants) 11:15 Start in the Teams 13:30-14:15 Lunch 13:00-14:30 Lunch 13:30-14:00 Lunch Jury Discussion 18:00-18:45 Different Workshops 14:15 Final Ceremony 18:30-20:00Uhr Dinner 15:00 End of event 23:00-24:00 Chill Out & Networking DJ @ Lounge 00:00 Pizza & Networking

thon in Gdynia

Schedule of hacka-

Figure 5

### **4 Recommendations – Communication**

#### 4.1 **Promotion of the event**

The participants of the hackathon are not only programmers, but usually interdisciplinary teams. This impacts communication to attract participants. The organizers should discuss who they want to attract. When programmers hear hackathon they think of coding, but also other disciplines should be attracted for fueling the creative process.

Further, the target of the hackathon should be clear: You should identify what is the result of the hackathon: to write a code or if you "just" want the ideas at the end of the hackathon. For the Baltathon in Gdynia, Klaipeda and Malmö as well as Greifswald, it was noted that both code, ideas and prototypes of any kind (even paper-prototypes) were accepted.

For communication tools, the success differed in different countries. In a questionnaire after the event in Gdynia it was found that participants were attracted by the Facebook event or the organizers' Facebook fan page or by word of mouth. In Malmö, the experience was that social media activities did not result as expected, likely due to using the project account and not the museum's account. In Germany, communication to attract participants was mostly personal and using organizers' own media e.g. university newsletter. It required considerable effort to convince pupils and students for participation, also partly, not knowing what to expect or fearing not being good enough to participate.

#### 4.2 Online promotion

Register the event at hackathon and event websites (challengerocket.com and others e.g. crossweb.pl for Poland), meetup.com (paid), digestlithuania.lt (for Lithuania), or hub (for Sweden).

We also recommend to create a Facebook event:

- 1. Point out a representative to report on Facebook during the event.
- 2. Post every day, even two or three times a day would be best.
- 3. At the beginning provide general info and tips how to hack, what is hackathon, in each post add link to registration form (on separate website).
- 4. Later on, publish more specific information, e.g. present mentors and agenda.

Focus target group

Define your target

Communication tools country specific

Promotion on social media and event websites

- 5. Give some external content, posts from blogs, posts from other fan pages, everything what is connected to the event.
- 6. Share information about previous hackathons, and invite same participants, ask them also to spread information about hackathon
- 7. Design key visuals and re-use them.
- 8. Use small animations/videos as they are more engaging.
- 9. Use hashtags, both general and event specific ones, before, during and after event.
- 10. Consider to place Facebook ads.

#### 4.3 Offline promotion

For offline promotion we have made good experiences with:

Offline promotion



- Personal meetings to present event concept e.g. with students or pupils
- 2. Communication via multipliers
- 3. Press Releases
- 4. Presentation at lectures
- 5. Posters in public and in participating institutions and at educational institutions
- 6. Newsletters of educational institutions and museums
- 7. Digital screens in public and in participating institutions and at educational institutions
- 8. A patronage of regional and national authorities can support communication outreach and credibility.

#### 4.4 Sponsoring

Winning sponsors is recommended. On the one hand they could provide Sponsoring prizes or technological items needed during the hackathon, on the other hand participants also attend the hackathon for getting professional contacts.

#### 4.5 **Communication timeline**

Communication Registration started about 4-6 weeks before the event. It is good to let to schedule know people about the event before the registration starts, for example presentations to e.g. student groups started 3-4 months before the event. However, if target groups are different, this strongly affects the communication timeline. For example in Sweden, if the event was known 6-8 months ahead, it could have been made part of the obligatory student curriculum.

#### 4.6 Website and registration form

Registration for the event was done via the project website in a special reg-Registration istration form:

- 1. Name, email, interests, phone number, size etc. for gadgets (e.g. Tshirt), dietary requests
- With registration: confirm terms and conditions 2.
- Decide on team or individual registration: We recommend, participants 3. register separately, indicating the team they belong to.
- A reserve list for participation should be created, if people cancel their 4. participation.

For Malmö, the use of Crowdforge, a platform to build teams, was offered but hardly used.

#### 4.7 Design

Having a brand for the hackathon is very important, to recognize it easily event and create identification during the event. Important are colors, that also stand out on photos during the hackathon. Additionally, a catchy key visual is recommended. The design should be used consistently across channels.

- 1. Brand
- 2. Strong colors
- 3. Key visual
- 4. Basic visual for Facebook
- 5. Include hashtags and website
- 6. Animation(s) for Facebook, for event kick off etc.

Key visuals of the



**Figure 7** Example key visual of "Baltathon"

#### 4.8 Terms and conditions

The terms and conditions were most difficult to create. It is recommended to plan considerable time and use a small team along with a legal advisor for their development. From the first generic version, adaptation was needed to transfer them to other countries to comply with local law and a different event situation.

Terms and conditions

For the winning teams, there was an extra contract on the transfer of rights to the organizers.

**Recommendations:** 

- 1. Explain a short outline of terms and conditions in simple language on the registration website. Participants seem not to read the terms and conditions document into details.
- 2. Terms and conditions should ask for handing over the ideas and materials developed to the hackathon hosts for all teams, not only from the winning team.

- 3. You should identify what is the result of the hackathon: to write a code or if you "just" want the ideas at the end of the hackathon.
- 4. If in an international setting: clarify language requirements during the hackathon

#### 4.9 Contact to participants

The organizers should clearly divide the responsibilities among the team members. It is recommended to have one person responsible for all communication with the participants. A separate person should deal with the concept of the hackathon: topics, presentation, mentoring, etc. Another person should be responsible for infrastructure: catering, facilities, support team etc.

Transparency towards participants is a success factor, not only applying to jury criteria but also into what is provided by organizers and what participants should bring. For example, the following information was given to participants at our final hackathon in Greifswald 2019:

"For the time of Baltathon, the organizer shall provide:

- catering and beverages
- power supply, access to the Internet (WiFi)
- working space
- bathroom facilities (toilets, showers)
- recreational space

The participants bring:

- Hardware: The Participants in Baltathon shall bring for themselves computer hardware, software or other tools required for participation in Baltathon (e.g. laptop, smartphone, adapters, etc.).
- Software: Both commercial software and freeware may be used. If commercial software is used, participants are obligated to possess the necessary licenses. The organizer does not provide any software.
- Recreation: During the event the organizer shall provide participants with sufficient recreational rooms, which can also be used as bedrooms. Participants are urged to bring sleeping bags and roll mats with them, as those are not provided by the organizer.

Clear responsibilities

Transparency for participants and organizers responsibilities Do not bring: The participants in Baltathon are hereby forbidden to consume alcoholic beverages, intoxicants or drugs during the event."

### **5** Recommendations – Infrastructure

#### 5.1 Venue

If hosted by one museum only, most recommendable is to host the hackathon in the organizing institution, so the teams can experience the exhibition, watch real visitors and thus get inspiration. If hosted by several museums, a facility complying with the following basic requirements is recommended: Considerations for selecting the venue

- 1. All participants should work in one room. It is beneficial for the atmosphere and for networking. It would be good to have additional rooms for teams to work in a quieter atmosphere, however, only bookable for a certain amount of time.
- 2. All meetings, catering, work etc. should be done in one building. Rooms with daylight are preferable.
- 3. Some food should be allowed in the main working room (snacks, coffee etc.).
- 4. Bathrooms: adequate number of toilets, even showers if possible.
- 5. Rooms:
  - a. Hacking: large tables with seats for about 5-6 people, have comfortable seats or gymnastic balls
  - b. Opening/closing ceremony: classroom-style seating or cinema-style
  - c. Sleeping room (warm), matrasses would be good, but is not standard, participants usually bring sleeping gear
  - d. Preferably a separate chill room
  - e. Eating room/space
  - f. Room for meetings with mentors
- 6. Access: check for wheelchair friendliness and accessibility even outside working hours
- 7. Security: Check for security, so that participants can leave their belongings in the room including laptops



Figure 8 Example working room for "Baltathon" in Greifswald

### 5.2 Equipment

WiFi needs to fulfill high demands and depends on the number of participants. In order to provide the participants with redundant multiple simultaneous internet connection, the organizers should have the equipment supporting more computers and other devices than the participating ones, as the demand for the bandwidth was tremendous in our experience.

Additional equipment needed:

- 1. Electricity for many computers in one room, Power strip for each table with multiple plugs
- 2. Projector
- 3. Microphone for large rooms
- 4. Flipcharts at all tables with pens
- 5. Provide something to play/experience/move
- 6. Provide a big table in the middle of the room with sticky notes, pens, etc., as a communication hub.

We recommend having a tech team solving the technical issues that might come up. Also, make a plan for a blackout or other technical obstacles.

### 5.3 Working atmosphere

The working atmosphere differed. Participants expect a relaxed and open minded atmosphere; they also want to have fun during the time they devote to you as hackathon organizers. Creating an inspiring work atmosphere

Equipment for the event

In the first hackathons, programmers showed to work in a silent working atmosphere. They preferred to communicate online while working or go outside to discuss in order not to disturb others or to spread their ideas. All other visitors (e.g. project partners) who are not part of teams should have a separate area to not disturb the teams. Participants requested a communication channel during the event for the participants, so that e.g. questions could be shared easily. However, this could be country specific. It was requested in Gdynia, but when provided in Klaipeda, the participants did not use it. Recommendable is to ask participants before the event.



In Greifswald hackathon, the atmosphere was lively with crossteam exchange. The focus there was on creativity, on networking and learning with all teams working on different topics that diminished the competitive angle of the

event and could be a reason for this atmosphere. It created a sense of being part in something of value that is created by all teams. The moderation can add a part to the working atmosphere.

#### 5.4 Food

Participants need energy, good food is required. We recommend provide a quality breakfast, lunch and dinner. At night, some extra food is recommended e.g. pizza at around 1 a.m. In the working room, fruits, crackers, crisps, coffee, water and tea should be always available. Some teams requested energy drinks, but it is not a necessity to be provided by the organizers. Ask for specialties in the diet (vegan, vegetarian, other requests/allergies). It proved that there is a large need for a variety of vegetarian dishes.

# 5.5

Gadgets

Welcome your participants and mentors with some gadgets, like t-shirts, mugs, bags or stickers. Fully branded, they also brand all the photos taken during the hackathon.

Catering considerations

Brand your event

- 1. Shirts (different sizes, male/female)
- 2. Pens, Cups, USB Sticks, Bags
- 3. Sticky notes, notebooks (could be branded, but not necessarily)
- 4. Something from inviting organizations (museums)
- 5. Entrance tickets to the inviting organizations for the participants to have a chance to come back after hackathon (so use event for promotion)

#### 5.6 Sleeping arrangements

Most hackathons last over 24 hours and participants sleep little or not at all. Working over night For resting and sleeping arrangements, you should provide a room, participants bring sleeping bags and matrasses. Having some chairs or resting sofas is very welcomed. Also consider that comfortable chairs are needed in the working room to allow for sitting such a long time.

#### 5.7 Photo/Film



Documentation was done during the Documentation hackathons with professional photos and video. Key aspects in our experience:

- 1. Make sure that the agreement regarding taking photos and recording video with participants is included in terms and conditions.
- 2. Recommendable: No photos during the night, only in the beginning and in the end.
- 3. Have a briefing of the film crew to not be intimidating to participants, go about with care and do not disturb.
- 4. For sure, make a group photo after the winners' announcement.
- 5. For a summarizing video we used these elements:
  - the opening,
  - branding,
  - work time,
  - interviews with organizers, mentors, participants,
  - finals.

### 6 Recommendations – Project Plan Template

For preparing the hackathon event, we worked with the following project plan for calculating the budget and coordinating responsibilities and status. For larger events, a project management software might be recommendable.

> Figure 9 Project Plan Template

Budget planning

Project	Hackathon						
Nr.	Task	Budget	Responsible	Details	Deadline	Done	
H 1	Event Setup						
H 1.1	Event Concept - Preliminary						
H 1.1.1	Define targets for organizers						
H 1.1.2	Define expected results						
H 1.1.3	Define task for participants						
H 1.1.4	Define target group participants						
H 1.1.5	Define date						
H 1.1.6	Define venue						
H 1.1.7	Define set-up (Elements and Schedule)						
M 02	Preliminary Event Concept agreed						
H 1.2	Event Conept - Final						
H 1.2.1	Agree Co-Organizers, Mentors, Partners						
H 1.2.2	Develop Terms and conditions						
H 1.2.3	Agree Jury setup						
	Develop decision criteria for Jury and briefing						
H 1.2.4	for jury						
M 03	Final Event Concept agreed						
H 2	Communication						
H 2.1	Participant Communication						
H 2.1.1	Develop Event Design						
H 2.1.2	Promote event on Facebook						
H 2.1.3	Promote event in other Online channels						
H 2.1.4	Promote event Offline						
H 2.1.5	Promote event via Networks						
	Setup Website and RegistrationForm (incl.						
H 2.1.6	Terms and Conditions)						
IVI 04	Registration Form opened						
⊔ 2 1 7	Send participation information to						
П 2.1.7 Ц 2.1.9	Conduct Participant interviews during event						
Н 2.1.8	Manage Social media during event						
	Conduct After Event Survey						
M 05	Participant Communication finalized						
H 2.2	Public Communication						
H 2.2.1	Develop PR address list						
H 2.2.2	Publish pre-event press releases						
H 2.2.3	Publish after-event press release						
	Publish audio-visual materials on event						
H 2.2.4	website						
M 06	Press release with event date sent						
H 2.3	Partner Communication						
H 2.3.1	Manage Museum Partners						
H 2.3.2	Manage Co-organizers						
H 2.3.3	Manage Technical/Process Mentors						
H 2.3.3	Organize Patronage						
H 2.3.4	Organize Sponsorship						
H 2.3.5	Organize Jury						
M 07	Museum partners and co-organizers agreed						
H 3	Material and infrastruture						
H 3.1	Materials for participants						
H 3.1.1	Publish Pre-event materials by museums						
H 3.1.2	Aquire Prizes						
H 3.1.3	Aquire Welcomepacks						
M 08	Materials prepared						
H 3.2	Infrastructure						
H 3.2.1	Book Venue and clarify conditions						
H 3.2.2	Clarity sleeping arrangements						
H 3.2.3	Clarity security conditions						
M 09	Venue booked						

Marx/Klotz: Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

Project	Hackathon					
Nr.	Task	Budget	Responsible	Details	Deadline	Done
	Organize Equipment (Flipcharts, Tables,					
H 3.2.4	Games, Wifl etc.)					
H 3.2.5	Organize Event Material (Wand, Rollup)					
H 3.2.5	Organize Catering					
M 10	Catering booked					
H 3.2.6	Organize Photo/Film for event					
H 3.2.7	Organize Helpers (incl. Nightshift schedule)					
H 3.2.8	Organize Logistics					
H 3.2.9	Organize Programme (DJ, Workshops)					
H 3.2.10	Produce signage for venue					
H 3.2.11	Produce name badges with agenda					
H 3.2.12	Develop presentation slides					
	Prepare drawing envelopes for the draw of					
H 3.2.13	topics					
	Prepare registration list for signature incl.					
H 3.2.14	Data protection					
H 3.2.15	Organize online voting for audience award					
M 11	Infrastructure available					
Н4	Project Management					
H 4.1	Manage project					
H 4.2	Organize project meeting					
H 4.3	Organize lessons learned session					
H 4.4	Develop documentation					
M 12	Documentation finalized					
		- (	C			

#### 7 **Recommendations – Feedback**

Obtain feedback Make a survey for feedback from the attendees and mentors and meet with the organizer team to reflect and to note down the lessons learned. For the Baltathon events, we used surveys, interviews and group discussions to gather feedback after the event.

#### 7.1 **Survey Example – Participant**

The following questions were used in an online questionnaire one week after the event to capture the feedback from participants.

- What motivated you to participate in the Baltathon event? 1.
- What expectations did you have of the Baltathon event? 2.
- Did the hackathon meet your expectations? 3.
- 4. If question three is answered in the negative – what was missing?
- What do you take home from the event? 5.
- What did you particularly like? 6.
- What did you not like at all? 7.
- 8. Which suggestions for improvement do you have?

- 9. Did you know the participating museums before the event?
- 10. How did you feel about working with your museum mentor?
- 11. Would you like to visit the participating museums after the event?
- 12. How did you like the hackathon in Greifswald in total?
- 13. Further comments
- 14. Age and Nationality

#### 7.2 Survey Example – Museum Mentors

This set of questions was asked to the participating museums representatives in an online questionnaire one week after the hackathon took place. The results were then evaluated and served as a basis for discussion in a followup focus group about six weeks after the hackathon.

Questionnaire for museums representatives

- 1. What motivated you to participate in "Baltathon: BalticMuseums Hackathon"?
- 2. Which expectations did you have for the event?
- 3. Where your expectations met?
- 4. If you answered "no" to the foregoing question, which expectations were left open?
- 5. What do you take with your from the event?
- 6. Which insights derived from your work with the participant?
- 7. Did the Baltathon-Event create ideas, which you can use for your museum?
- 8. What did you especially like about the event?
- 9. What did you not like at all?
- 10. Which suggestions for improvement do you have?
- 11. Would you participate again with your museum in an event like Baltathon?
- 12. If you answered "no" to the foregoing question, what are the major reasons?
- 13. Could you imagine being the host of such an event in your museum?

Marx/Klotz: Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

### 8 **Bibliography**

- Leclair, P. (2015). Hackathons: A Jump Start for Innovation. *Public Manager*, 44 (1), 12–14.
- Mergel, I. (2015). Opening Government: Designing Open Innovation Processes to Collaborate with External Problem Solvers. Social Science Computer Review, 33(5), 599–612.
- Oxford University Press (2018). Hackathon. Retrieved from: https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/hackathon (01.08.2018)
- Piller, F., West, J. (2014). Firms, Users, and Innovation: An Interactive Model of Coupled Open Innovation. In: Chesbrough, H., Vanhaverbeke, W., and West, J. (eds.) New Frontiers in Open Innovation, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 29–49.
- Tauberer, J. (2018) 'How to run a successful hackathon' [online], available: <u>https://hackathon.guide/</u> [accessed on 12 June 2018].

Photos: Business Academy North, Greifswald 2019

AP	Datum	Autor	Titel
01-09-001	01.2009	M. Klotz	Datenschutz in KMU – Lehren für die IT-Compliance
01-09-002	02.2009	M. Klotz	Von der Informationsgesellschaft zum Informations- arbeiter
01-09-003	09.2009	L. Ramin / M. Klotz	Aufgaben und Verantwortlichkeiten von IT-Nutzern anhand von COBIT
01-09-004	10.2009	S. Kubisch	Corporate Governance gemäß BilMoG und SOX
02-10-005	06.2010	M. Klotz	PMBOK-Compliance der Projektmanagement- Soft- ware Projektron BCS
02-10-006	07.2010	A. Woltering	Kontinuierliche Verbesserung von Desktop- Services mittels Benchmarking
02-10-007	09.2010	M. Klotz	Grundlagen der Projekt-Compliance
02-10-008	11.2010	I. Kaminski	Grundlagen und aktuelle Entwicklungen der digitalen Betriebsprüfung
02-10-009	12.2010	D. Engel / N. Zdrowomyslaw	Benchmarking-Studie Stralsund 2010
03-11-010	02.2011	E. Tiemeyer	Kennzahlengestütztes IT-Projektcontrolling – Projekt- Scorecards einführen und erfolgreich nutzen
03-11-011	05.2011	M. Klotz	Regelwerke der IT-Compliance – Klassifikation und Übersicht, Teil 1: Rechtliche Regelwerke
03-11-012	06.2011	M. Klotz	Konzeption des persönlichen Informations- managements
03-11-013	08.2011	H. Auerbach / N. Zdrowomyslaw	9. STeP-Kongress "Region gestalten! Gesundheits- wirtschaft und Zukunftsmanagement"
03-11-014	08.2011	M. Klotz	Rollen der Information im Unternehmen
03-11-015	08.2011	Ahlfeldt	eGuides in kulturellen Einrichtungen – deutsch- sprachige Museums-Apps
03-11-016	11.2011	S. Saatmann / I. Sulk / M. Klotz	Studie zu gewerblichen Strompreisen in Mecklenburg- Vorpommern – Strom als Wettbewerbsfaktor und Gegenstand der Standortvermarktung
04-12-017	04.2012	M. Klotz / I. Sulk / E. Wieck	GDPdU-Konformität von Projektmanagementsoftware – Exemplarische Konzeption und Umsetzung
04-12-018	07.2012	M. Horn-Vahlefeld	Projektdesign als organisatorischer Rahmen des Pro- jektmanagements
04-12-019	08.2012	M. Klotz / J. Kriegel	ITIL und Datenschutz – Überlegungen für eine Inte- gration des Datenschutzes in die IT-Prozesse nach ITIL
04-12-020	09.2012	M. Klotz	Regelwerke der IT-Compliance – Klassifikation und Übersicht, Teil 1: Rechtliche Regelwerke, 2. Aufl.
04-12-021	10.2012	I. Sulk / M. Klotz	Einsatz von eGuides auf der Marienburg in Malbork (Polen) – Erhebung und Analyse einer Best Practice
04-12-022	12.2012	Witty, M. / C. Kliebisch	Die Versicherungsbranche unter FATCA

## 9 Verzeichnis der SIMAT-Arbeitspapiere

Marx/Klotz: Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series

AP	Datum	Autor	Titel
05-13-023	01.2013	S. J. Saatmann	The price-link in the natural gas market – The develop- ment of the oil price-link and alternative price mecha- nisms
05-13-024	02.2013	M. Klotz	Regelwerke der IT-Compliance – Klassifikation und Übersicht, Teil 2: Normen
06-14-025	01.2014	M. Klotz	IT-Compliance nach COBIT <sup>®</sup> – Gegenüberstellung von COBIT <sup>®</sup> 4.0 und COBIT <sup>®</sup> 5
06-14-026	04.2014	L. von Blumröder	Projektpriorisierung im Rahmen eines ganzheitlichen Projektportfoliomanagements
06-14-027	06.2014	S. Press	Automatisierte Kontrollen in der Beschaffung – Exem- plarische Konzeption und Umsetzung
06-14-028	07.2014	M. Klotz	IT-Compliance – Begrifflichkeit und Grundlagen
07-15-029	09.2015	M. Klotz	Projektmanagement-Normen und -Standards
08-16-030	08.2016	M. Klotz	ISO/IEC 3850x – Die Normenreihe zur IT-Governance
09-17-031	09.2017	S. Marx	Project Management Practice in Interreg Projects – Reflective Analysis and Recommendations
09-17-032	11.2017	S. Marx	Knowledge Management in Interreg Cross-Border Cooperation – a Project Perspective
10-18-033	11.2018	M. Klotz / S. Marx	Projektmanagement-Normen und -Standards, 2. Aufl.
11-19-034	08.2019	M. Klotz	IT-Compliance nach COBIT <sup>®</sup> 2019
11-19-035	09.2019	I. Sulk / P. Hagen / M. Klotz	Kontrollanforderungen an ein ERP/Cloud-System und Umsetzung in automatisierte Kontrollen
12-20-036	03.2020	S. Marx / M. Klotz	Earned-Value-Analyse – Einführung und Beispiele
12-20-037	04.2020	M. Kenter / C. Bülow / M. Weber / L. Kennes	Lebensqualität in Vorpommern-Rügen – Ein Vergleich mit ausgewählten Metropolen und Vergleichsstädten Deutschlands
12-20-038	05.2020	S. Marx / M. Klotz	Hackathons in Museums – Recommendations from an International Event Series