

Steenkamp, Rigard

**Article**

## The effect of the ISO 9002 system standard on South African food manufacturing

South African Journal of Business Management

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

University of Stellenbosch Business School (USB), Bellville, South Africa

*Suggested Citation:* Steenkamp, Rigard (1999) : The effect of the ISO 9002 system standard on South African food manufacturing, South African Journal of Business Management, ISSN 2078-5976, African Online Scientific Information Systems (AOSIS), Cape Town, Vol. 30, Iss. 3, pp. 86-92,  
<https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v30i3.759>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/218211>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## The effect of the ISO 9002 system standard on South African food manufacturing

Rigard Steenkamp

Department of Business Management, University of South Africa, P.O. Box 392, Pretoria, 0003 South Africa

Received July 1999

The objective of this investigation was to establish the effect of the International Organisation for Standardization (ISO) on food manufacturing. The in-depth investigation took the form of an exploratory and a descriptive study of SABS certification (with the focus on SABS ISO 9002) in the food manufacturing industry. A qualitative and quantitative approach was followed. The research strategy necessitated a personal survey in which all SABS certified food manufacturers were visited. The in-depth study required three measuring instruments to increase internal validity. The empirical study showed that, regardless of hard work, much documentation, fear of change, cost implications and culture problems, food manufacturers are very positive, loyal and committed to the system according to SABS ISO 9002. By integrating the results of the exploratory and descriptive studies, the primary positive outcomes were that culture in terms of participation, discipline and uniformity is a dimension that benefited very much; conformance to specifications showed an average improvement of up to 77%; customer complaints decreased by 57%, customer satisfaction improved and process efficiency improved by 10.4%.

### Introduction

The debate between 'believers' and 'non-believers' on the values of the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO 9000) continues. This is regardless of the  $\pm 300\ 000$  organisations in 204 countries applying ISO 9000. This article uncovers some myths and ideologies masking possible misunderstandings of the standard and its effects/values.

Several research reports suggest that compliance with the standard has wide-ranging effects and is much more than a 'house cleaning tool'. The objective of this investigation was therefore to test the overall effect of ISO on food manufacturing. 'Real-life' values were established and measured against the theoretical values of the ISO 9000 model.

The in-depth investigation took the form of a qualitative and a descriptive study of the South African Bureau of Standards (SABS) certification (with the focus on SABS ISO 9002) in the food manufacturing industry. The research strategy necessitated a personal survey in which all SABS certified food manufacturers were visited and three measuring instruments were applied in order to increase validity. By consolidating the results of the qualitative and descriptive studies, the primary positive outcomes established values beyond suggested model values.

### Background to the food industry

The agro-food-nutrition chain came about because it is not viable for most consumers to produce their own grain, vegetables, milk and other food. Consequently, the chain consists of the farmer/fisherman, the food manufacturer and the consumer, each of whom fulfils an important role in establishing different food quality dimensions through one or other quality management system. The focus of the study was on the second link in the chain, consisting of the food manufacturer (food processing and food preserving segments to whom ISO 9002 applies), which provides the chain stores. The third link in the chain consists of food science, dietetics, home industries, catering, cookery and the preparation of food for domestic, commercial and institutional consumption.

The need for quality in the food industry lies in the urgency for nutrition, hygiene, market/export standards and the elimination of food wastage. The study also arose from an empirical study done by the quality manager of Woolworths (Pty) Ltd into the strategic necessity for quality control by South African food manufacturers (Ervine, 1991).

Possible cases (or incidences) of food poisoning necessitate the application of professional quality management in South Africa (as a Third World country with First World elements). First World standards are increasingly threatened. Foods like eggs, chicken, turkey and mixed meats in pies (pastries) and sausage are fertile breeding grounds for the harmful bacteria *Salmonella* sp. The same foods (except eggs) are also chiefly responsible for the propagation of the bacteria *Clostridium perfringens*. Another common problem in developing communities is that in most cases the nutritional content (a food quality dimension) of the traditional diet does not meet minimum standards. Poor quality food leads to high mortality and disease rates. In addition, the population growth in these countries is very high, with the result that per capita food production drops continually.

The food manufacturer African Products found that it spent too much time and money on reprocessing and came to the realisation that strict quality management had to be replaced by a quality assurance management system. All three mills concerned were successfully certified to SABS ISO 9002 in October 1991. Although 8% of the products still barely scraped by on meeting the required specifications, African Products showed a 66% improvement in this regard (Walbrugh, 1992). This led to further investigation of this case study and the effects of ISO 9002 on the other certified food manufacturers.

### ISO 9000 model

The next section summarises the theoretical values (the effects it should have) of the ISO 9000 standard in general. The standard is described by values A, B and C, and will be used as the core benchmark for the empirical effects of the SABS ISO 9002 standard on the food manufacturer (see the

fifth section of this report). Reference is made to ISO 9002 (1994) which is the quality system standard and model for quality assurance in production and installation.

#### A. Objectives of the SABS ISO 9002 system standard (see value A, Table 9)

The purpose of ISO 9002 (or SABS ISO 9002 in South Africa) is to provide manufacturers with a standard that will enable them to implement or measure their quality management system (QMS) against an international standard and to give internal and external clients/purchasers in the global industry the peace of mind of a professional quality assurance (QA) system. The purpose of the standard lies in internal and external QA (in the case of external audits done on the QMS) and in serving as a common denominator of business quality that is accepted internationally. Assured quality implies customer satisfaction and no product returns.

Specifically, the objectives of SABS ISO 9002 refer to four aspects: knowledge of and focus on non-conformities; prevention of any non-conformity during production; traceability of any non-conformity; and application of methods to prevent recurrence of any non-conformity.

#### B. Values of the integrated overall system (see value B, Table 9)

The challenge for a food manufacturer (and any ISO business organisation) is to reap the benefits of a well-designed QMS with all elements (sub-systems) working together. The ingredients (documented ISO 9000 system elements e.g. 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3) were carefully selected and internationally standardised, but there are uncertainties about customising these for individual users. The intention of ISO 9000 is to be an organised whole, an assemblage of system elements interacting in a co-ordinated way, so that the whole is more than the sum of the individual elements.

The operations management discipline focuses on adding value through transformation processes (whether human or complicated production line processes). This emphasises that all work or all valuable output is created by a process, puts the focus of ISO 9000 on process control (element 4.8). One approach to QA is coldly systematic and rigid, but it is now being replaced by a system in which processes have owners (internal customers) and should have responsible parties. This puts the focus on the human element (and work culture) and should have a stronger people focus where methods and procedures are coupled directly to the internal customer. Nicholas (1990: 56) refers to system principles or concepts and provide an understanding of system values and why systems (e.g. ISO 9000) perform well or not. These system concepts places systems in perspective and are: system elements (e.g., 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 of ISO 9000), system attributes, system environment, system objectives (e.g., ISO is a foundation and not a model for TQM), system constraints, open and closed systems and man-made systems.

#### C. Values of ISO 9000 sub-system elements (see value C, Table 9)

The comprehensive quality management system standard has several (18) ISO 9002 elements (e.g., 4.1 management responsibility, 4.2 quality system, 4.3 contract review, 4.4

document control, 4.5 purchasing, 4.15 quality records, 4.8 process control, 4.18 statistical techniques) and is documented in the SABS ISO 9000 series of standard documents. The purpose of each element (or sub-system) mentioned above is self explanatory and the focus should be on overall system objectives, since each unique system standard element may have little value in itself.

Table 9 consolidates the empirical research results to identify ISO 9002 values (A, B and C).

### Research statement and research methods

#### Introduction to the problem

The second section provides some background to the need for improving and maintaining food quality through acknowledged QMS's. But increasing emphasis on standards, such as ISO 9000, elicits the most controversial comments. It is generally agreed that it (or any other QMS) forms a good foundation for total quality management (TQM) and 'systems' is identified as one of three 'hard components' for TQM (Oakland, 1993). Critics say an ISO QMS should add more value and have more visible bottom-line benefits. The plague of multiple assessments, which has burdened companies, also causes some negativity. Some see ISO 9000 as a cost to doing business and not a sign of quality (a simplistic approach to an important and complex need). Others even regard ISO 9000 as a needless bureaucracy that has no positive impact on performance and see it as a whole new no value-added industry of auditors and consultants.

#### Research problem and objective

The perception that ISO 9000 is only a marketing tool and that several more sophisticated quality management systems may exist can be added to the list of criticisms in the previous section. These concerns and the problem of very few SABS ISO certified food manufacturers in South Africa led to the 'Managing food quality assurance' symposium held by the SABS (Du Plessis, 1992). The SABS and the Department of Food Science of the University of Stellenbosch staged this event because of the uncertainty about the value that SABS ISO QMS's have for food manufacturers and led to the initiation of this investigation.

Consequently, the primary aim of this study was to determine the overall effects/values of the international QMS standard at all SABS-certified South African food manufacturers empirically. Non-certified or non-TQM food manufacturers' performance was excluded.

#### Research strategy

A qualitative and quantitative approach was followed and the strategy therefore entailed a personal survey in which every SABS-certified food manufacturer was visited. Coertze (1994: 162) refers to the phenomenological approach, which explains qualitative data collection techniques and the researcher as the principal instrument. A personal survey consequently presents the opportunity to use visual material, to explain (clarify) for effective control and the benefits of physical presence and appearance. Mouton & Marais (1989: 43) state that an open and flexible research strategy should be followed if a study is aimed at gaining additional insight and understanding. Top-level informants and elite figures (role

players or management of SABS-certified food manufacturers with practical experience) of the analysis unit were visited personally. Qualitative data not suitable for statistical analysis were processed qualitatively. In this way quantitative values were awarded to non-numerical features of human behaviour.

### Research methods and statistical analysis applied

Three measuring instruments, namely observation, interviews and comprehensive questionnaires, were used to obviate possible shortcomings of one measuring instrument. Inter-methodical cross-validation, by the inclusion of three data-gathering methods (measuring instruments) for different types of information (e.g., incidence and frequency distributions), overcame the restrictions of a single method. The scope of the study entailed research of contextual concern for internal validity.

The SAS (Statistical Analysis System) PROC CORR program was used to test data and do a statistical analysis of the data gathered from the structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was comprehensive with 20 main questions of which six were qualitative questions. The questions were designed and tested by role players of Unisa (Coetzee, 1994) and the SABS to determine the reliability of the instrument. Each question had sub-questions (referred to as variables) totalling 169. A five-point scale applied as indicated in Table 1.

T-tests were done to establish whether there was a significant difference between two groups of role players (top and middle management). Then the *r*, Pearson Correlation Coefficient (the Pearson product moment-correlation coefficient index) was calculated between pairs of variables (e.g. ISO 9002 system elements). According to Hays (1963: 499), this is one of the better-known indexes of the correlative connection/relationship/link between two variables. A positive correlative connection provides an indication of system integration as opposed to isolated system elements. A correlational analysis (of questionnaire data) between system elements served as indicators (positive or negative) of relationships between elements and were presented as indicated in Table 2.

Another objective was to identify other important insights such as the important individual sub-system elements identified through a factor analysis and qualitative questions. A main component analysis (similar to a factor analysis) based on correlations was carried out to reduce the data to a more manageable form and determine significant cohesion between variables (Mulaik, 1972). The 'Rotation method: Varimax' is used to reduce data to a 'Rotated Factor Pattern'.

### Survey data of the analysis unit

The detail of the analysis unit is provided in Table 3.

### Consolidated results

The results have been consolidated according to (1) the *qualitative aspects* from observation, qualitative questionnaire data and interviews and (2) the *descriptive study* (only questionnaire data).

### Summarised results of the qualitative survey

Several significant positive personal observations were made about the value of SABS ISO 9002 from the overall number of 51 observations (17 factories × 3 observation categories). Some of these observations are that new equipment (laboratories and production lines) were commissioned as a result of the ISO system; improved calibration of equipment; evidence of the application of SPC (statistical process control); experiential knowledge of highly positive attitudes (e.g., through testimonies shared); the value of the QMS regarded as a general management system; QWL (quality of work life) advantages (e.g., good work environment; cleanliness/hygiene) and several other indications that a positive work culture exists. Other significant positive indicators from observation and the factory visits as a whole are:

- There is a possessiveness about the QMS and perceptions that the system offers job security and increases QWL.
- Various food manufacturers assist certification by other manufacturers.
- There is a healthy work culture of participation, unanimity and spontaneous problem solving. Eight of the 17 factories significantly confirmed work culture.

**Table 1** A five-point scale applied as indicated

	0	1	2	3	4
No value		→ → →	→ → →	→ → →	high value
No advantage		→ → →	→ → →	→ → →	multiple advantages
No improvement		→ → →	→ → →	→ → →	highly significant improvement
No effect		→ → →	→ → →	→ → →	highly positive effect
--		-	0	+	++
Very negative effect	negative	neutral	positive	very positive effect	

**Table 2** Correlations between Q3 (question 3) for example, and the other variables

Two sub-questions	r	p-value	Two sub-questions	r	p-value
Q3D + Q5D	0.8126	0.0001	Q3D + Q9F	0.8126	0.0018
Q9L + Q3D	0.7033	0.0016	Q3I + Q11C	0.7033	0.0002
Q11J + Q3F	0.6801	0.0027	Q3G + Q14C	0.6801	0.0023

**Table 3** Survey data of the analysis unit

The percentage of SABS-certified food manufacturers who participated in the survey:	100% (total population = 17)
Number of role players (elite figures) identified per food manufacturer:	3
Maximum number of role players who could participate in the survey:	51
Percentage of role players who participated in the questionnaire survey:	92%
Percentage of role players who participated in the interviews:	76%

**Table 4** Basic statistics of the non-qualitative questions

Variable	Average	Standard deviation	Variable	Average	Standard deviation
Q2A	2.3872	0.8431	Q11A	3.1225	0.7672
Q2B	2.0441	0.7615	Q11B	3.6323	0.3964
Q2C	2.6568	0.6051	Q11C	3.5343	0.4886
Q2D	2.7352	1.0018	Q11D	4.0980	0.4697
Q2E	1.3823	0.9138	Q11E	2.6323	0.6257
Q2F	2.2156	0.9376	Q11F	2.8578	0.5839
Q2G	2.5098	0.8067	Q11G	2.7647	0.4798
Q2H	1.7254	0.9501	Q11H	2.7401	0.6008
Q2I	1.7990	0.4123	Q11I	2.6666	0.6319
-	-	-	Q11J	2.6372	0.6653
-	-	-	Q11K	2.8382	0.6370
-	-	-	Q11L	3.7058	0.5944
-	-	-	Q11M	3.3431	0.6665
-	-	-	Q11N	3.1421	0.6671
-	-	-	Q11O	2.8431	0.6406
Q3A	3.6764	0.4123	Q12A	2.7352	0.6341
Q3B	1.8382	1.0114	Q12B	1.9852	0.9077
Q3C	3.0147	0.6341	A12C	2.8333	0.7660
Q3D	3.4019	0.5584	Q12D	2.8578	0.7051
Q3E	2.5147	0.6402	Q12E	2.2401	0.8159
Q3F	2.7941	0.7512	Q12F	2.4362	0.8012
Q3G	2.6568	0.8394	Q12G	2.2303	1.0006
Q3H	3.2696	0.6760	Q12H	2.2892	0.8323
Q3I	3.2647	0.6025	Q12I	1.6911	0.9417
Q3J	3.5147	0.5189	Q12J	1.8235	1.1276
-	-	-	Q12K	2.2549	0.9818
Q5A	4.0294	0.4667	Q14A	3.3039	0.3952
Q5B	3.8872	0.6996	Q14B	1.4166	1.2383
Q5C	3.7990	0.5393	Q14C	2.3137	0.9675
Q5D	4.2058	0.5465	Q14D	2.8872	0.9353
Q5E	3.9411	0.4721	Q14E	3.4558	0.5811
Q5F	4.4509	0.5512	Q14F	2.8774	0.8999
Q5G	3.6666	0.4956	Q14G	2.1421	1.2457
Q5H	3.0147	0.5036	Q14H	3.3627	0.8052
Q5I	3.9607	0.6017	Q14I	2.5196	0.9263
Q5J	3.7990	0.4939	-	-	-
Q5K	4.1176	0.5009	-	-	-
Q5L	3.8235	0.5503	-	-	-
Q6A	3.6568	0.4884	Q15A	3.0735	0.6044
Q6B	3.4460	0.7162	Q15B	3.0245	0.5971
Q6C	3.8774	0.4019	Q15C	3.2745	0.5202
Q6D	3.0735	0.5780	Q15D	2.9509	0.7424
Q6E	4.4068	0.6877	Q15E	2.6715	0.6478

Frequencies of positive main components from the interviews were determined after related variables were grouped and reduced to single components. They are work culture (focus on non-conformities and prevention) and process control (of any non-conformity during production and traceability of any non-conformity). These components achieved the highest frequencies as main components in the interviews and discipline (records and the application of methods to prevent recurrence of any non-conformity), uniformity and 'foundation for stability' are the second group with high frequencies. The

**Table 4** Basic statistics of the non-qualitative questions

Variable	Average	Standard deviation	Variable	Average	Standard deviation
Q6F	4.1519	0.6668	Q15F	3.4215	0.6437
Q6G	3.6176	0.6194	Q15G	3.1862	0.5578
Q6H	4.0147	0.4095	-	-	-
Q6I	4.2647	0.4798	-	-	-
Q6J	3.9019	0.5096	-	-	-
Q6K	2.5833	0.8799	-	-	-
Q6L	3.9460	0.6653	-	-	-
Q6M	2.7009	0.8159	-	-	-
Q8A	1.0294	0.1212	Q16A	3.5539	1.3277
Q8B	1.0882	0.2154	Q16B	5.0294	0.5367
Q8C	1.0931	0.2202	Q16C	4.5686	0.8160
Q8D	1.8382	0.2490	Q16D	4.5490	0.9943
Q8E	1.1274	0.1979	Q16E	3.7647	1.2102
Q8F	1.0686	0.1420	Q16F	4.3137	1.1631
-	-	-	Q16G	3.9901	1.0466
-	-	-	Q16H	3.5833	1.2335
Q9A	0.8088	0.6029	Q18A	3.5196	0.4315
Q9B	2.7598	0.8222	Q18B	3.0098	0.4408
Q9C	2.7107	0.7604	Q18C	3.0686	0.7176
Q9D	2.9509	0.8333	Q18D	3.5245	0.4812
Q9E	3.2549	0.8387	Q18E	3.3480	0.4780
Q9F	3.1911	0.9500	Q18F	3.2843	0.5559
Q9G	2.2352	0.7978	Q18F	3.3823	0.5086
Q9H	1.9607	0.9789	Q18H	3.3039	0.3460
Q9I	1.8480	0.8966	-	-	-
Q9J	2.5882	0.8836	-	-	-
Q9K	2.9901	0.8046	-	-	-
Q9L	3.1911	0.8728	-	-	-
Q9M	3.1274	0.6673	-	-	-
Q9N	3.1666	0.7761	-	-	-
Q9O	2.4117	0.7952	-	-	-
Q9P	2.8725	0.8037	-	-	-
Q10-1	4.5994	2.0047	Q20A	2.0885	1.1169
*Q10-2	4.3491	1.9717	Q20B	1.0000	0.8660
*Q10-3	3.5918	1.5924	Q20C	2.0973	1.3002
*Q10-4	4.0042	1.5696	Q20D	1.2916	0.8612
Q10-5	7.2641	1.2934	Q20E	2.1197	1.0911
Q10-6	5.0614	1.8188	-	-	-
Q10-7	4.6709	1.5109	-	-	-
Q10-8	5.5815	1.7878	-	-	-
Q10-9	6.6136	2.7488	-	-	-
Q10-10	9.2636	0.7855	-	-	-

\* Highest priority

positive-related five main components identified from the data of the interviews, display a strong connection with the aspects of the four shared areas (discussed in third section) of the objectives of the quality management system, which is a corroborative indication that the system, according to SABS ISO 9002, achieves its goal.

The negative main components from the interviews and qualitative questionnaire data are hard work, administration, a lot of documentation, high costs and the difficulty of implementation/maintenance of the system frustrate the certified food manufacturers. The reasons given for delaying certification are lack of information, fear of change, incapacity, hard work, inadequate standards, high costs, unsuitable internal culture and complacency resulting in present (own) existing standards being considered adequate.

The qualitative questionnaire data provided evidence of before-after improvements (e.g., percentages on conformity to specifications, client satisfaction trends and others), and will be discussed/added in the sixth section (discussion of results).

#### Summarised results of the descriptive study

The t-test showed that there is no significant difference between top management and the rest (mainly middle management). The data set could thus be used as a unit. From the basic scale statistics of each structured question (Table 4) and significant positive correlations ( $r$ ) of each sub-question measurements with other sub-questions, several deductions could be made. The significant measurements based on scale statistics and high positive correlations are selectively included. A summary of the basic statistics of the questionnaire data (14 non-qualitative questions) is given in Table 4.

The priority analysis (see\* Q10 of Table 4) indicated variables Q10-3, Q10-4 and Q10-2 (in priority sequence). This indicates preventive actions, work culture and process efficiency would be used to 'convince non-listed food manufacturers of ISO 9002' (question 10). The primary focus of the implementation process, though, were on three main aspects, namely: certification (Q14A); customer satisfaction (Q14E) and product quality (Q14H) and it could be deduced that their expectations were exceeded if all the values of the system is considered.

The main component analysis revealed two main components, namely corrective actions and control through effective documentation (see factor E, Table 6) as well as post-production functions (handling, packaging and delivery). The questions (and variables included in factor E) of Q9 measured the degree ISO 9002 changed the elements indicated in Table 5.

**Table 5** Elements of question 9 included in factor E

	No		Change		Positive	
	0	1	2	3	4	
E. Improved procedures						*
F. Quality records						*
K. Incoming material control			*			
L. Corrective action						*
M. Effective documentation						*
N. Handling of non-conformity						*
* score rating						

Table 6 indicates the high cohesion between variables for factor E.

**Table 6** High cohesion between variables for factor E

Q9N	with	0.94
Q9M	with	0.88
Q9F	with	0.85
Q9L	with	0.82
Q9E	with	0.74
Q3D	with	0.66
Q9K	with	0.63

By looking concretely and comprehensively at the results of the other questions in the questionnaire, the value of the QMS for the food manufacturers in terms of customer satisfaction (e.g., Q14E rated 3.4558) and work culture, became clear. Table 7 indicates how the enterprise gained by the implementation of a quality management system based on SABS ISO 9002.

**Table 7** Value of the QMS in terms of working culture, enterprise image and customer satisfaction

	No		Improvement		Major
	0	1	2	3	4
Q2C. Working culture				*	
Q2D. Enterprise image				*	
Q2G. Customer satisfaction				*	
* score rating					

The value of the quality management system (measured by Q5) is also high with respect to continuous improvement (Q5D), which is linked ( $r = 0.8126$ ) to quality documentation and records (Q3D). Q5F also indicates records (with a value of 4.4509) and hygiene (with a value of 4.1176). A summary of significant Q5 variables is given in Table 8.

**Table 8** Value of the QMS in terms of continuous improvement, documentation and hygiene

	No		Value	High	
	—	-	0	+	++
D. Continual improvement (Kaizen)					*
E. Public relations				*	
F. Quality data (records)					*
I. Reduction of rework				*	
K. Hygiene					*
* score rating					

Questions 7 and 17 (qualitative question) measured the important (outstanding) quality management sub-systems. Both questions provided the same elements. Question 7 also asked for a link to a certain value (e.g. responsibility of management was linked to promoting internal relations). Four of the

18 SABS ISO 9002 elements were identified. They were identified as element 4.1, which is responsibility of management (which promotes internal relations), element 4.8 which is process control (which was linked to a decrease of wastage and a reduction of cost), element 4.9 which is inspection and tests (which promotes customer satisfaction and compliance with specifications) and element 4.13 which is corrective action (which promotes prevention of defects).

Other variables worth mentioning are the importance of training (Q3A and Q11L) and that continuous improvement (Q5D) also applies to the learning curve of human resources. The human element (and variations thereof) is identified repeatedly, such as 'top management commitment precedes total quality' (Q15F rated 3.4215), 'get to know the internal customer' (Q11D rated 4.0980), 'immediate feedback of defects to the responsible person' (Q12D rated 2.8578) and respect for food (Q16C rated 4.5686).

Finally, Q6 measured ISO 9002 holistically (the degree to which the following statements are regarded as true with regard to a quality management system according to SABS ISO 9002, in general). The variables with high scores were: Q6E 'Applicable (valid) for the food industry'; Q6F 'Listed food manufacturers will increase in the food industry'; Q6H 'Is an

effective quality model in itself' and Q6I 'Has numerous qualitative advantages'.

With reference to the third section (theoretical values A, B and C of the model of the ISO 9000 system) the empirical results can be consolidated as indicated in Table 9.

### Discussion of results

The entire empirical survey reveals that, despite the hard work, lots of documentation, fear of change and cost implications, the food manufacturers seem to be very positive and committed to the ISO 9002 QMS. Data from the total number of observations and the questionnaire (Q6) provided substantial confirmation (and surprising observations according to section 5.1) of its positive value to the food industry.

### Work culture

To integrate the surveys, analysis of the results indicates a strong human dimension and a stronger connection between internal customers. If only a few values are to be identified, the first would thus be work culture. The interviews also indicated culture-related main components (participation, uniformity, control and discipline). Work culture (Q2C) (and see MM of Table 9) has a strong relationship ( $r = 0.9213$ ) to

**Table 9** Empirical results consolidated

ISO 9002 MODEL VALUES	MEASURING INSTRUMENTS				
	OB	QQ	I	QS	MM
<b>A. System objectives</b>					
Focus on and prevention of any non-conformity during production		+	+	+	+
Traceability of any non-conformity			+	+	
Application of methods/procedures			+	+	
Prevent re-occurrence of any non-conformity			+	+	
<b>B. Values of the integrated overall system</b>					
Work culture	+		+	+	+
Uniformity/consistency			+	+	
Stability/foundation paves the way for continuous improvement	+		+	+	+
Participation/co-ordination	+		+	+	+
Integration and unity with business system	+		+	+	+
<b>C. Values of sub-system elements</b>					
Responsibility of management (element 4.1)			+	+	
Process control (element 4.8)		+	+	+	+
Inspection and tests (element 4.9)				+	
Corrective action (element 4.13)		+		+	
Document control (element 4.4)				+	
Post production functions (handling, packaging and delivery)				+	
<b>D. Other qualitative values</b>					
Improvements like new equipment, laboratories and production lines	+		+		
QWL (quality of work life) advantages	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Significant positive before/after statistics on:</b>					
Conformance to specs		+		+	
Customer complaints		+		+	
Process efficiency (less wastage)		+		+	
Food quality dimensions improved		+		+	

OB = Observation; QQ = Qualitative questions; I = Interviews; QS = Questionnaire survey; MM = Multiple measuring instruments substantiate the same finding

participation (Q9C). Internal relations and internal customer satisfaction are also confirmed as interchangeable concepts. The quality of work life (QWL) advantages (see MM of Table 9) and healthy work culture, which results from the QMS as a whole, precedes spontaneous actions and the implementer should ideally tackle this dimension (culture) earlier in the certification process. 'Culture precedes quality output' (Q15A) has a strong correlation ( $r = 0.9579$ ) with 'values precedes actions' (Q15B). This will facilitate the implementation process of the ISO 9002 quality management system. The 'work culture' variable also indicates the ideal relationship ( $r = 0.9213$ ) with the quality management principle, 'prevention'. Training (continuous quality improvement of human resources) is also identified as a value.

#### Quality economy and process efficiency through control

Quality economy (the internal and external cost of quality) refers to doing things right initially (the first time). The priority analysis identified 'prevention' and 'process efficiency' as two of the three most important values and process efficiency improved by up to 10.4% (according to quantitative figures provided). This is due to process control (element 4.8) (see MM of Table 9), which is the essence of operations (value-adding transformation processes) and this element 'process control' is also identified as one of the most important system elements. Control over measuring and test equipment are also regarded as important elements of the system. Reduction of food wastage (Q2B) has a strong relationship ( $r = 0.8538$ ) to prevention of defects (Q9B) and Q9B correlates highly ( $r = 0.8819$ ) with customer satisfaction. It can thus be said that the ISO 9002 model is not only a quality assurance (QA) model for the external customer, but also an internal QA model for the internal customer. The system offers a foundation (system platform) for further improvement and preventive actions. The qualitative data also indicated, among other things, that customer complaints drop by up to 57%.

#### Improved procedures and documentation

Many business organisations resist ISO 9000 because of the system's documentation. Better procedures (as documented) have a positive relationship to the economy of quality because procedures consume resources, and must be effective. The important relationship between better procedures and documentation (records) ( $r = 0.8745$ ) is the three positive aspects that are changed most by the implementation of the

QMS and which offer the basis for the system and the benefits of the system.

#### Food quality

There is a positive link between process quality and food quality. Various improvements made to production processes led to better food quality. 'Product quality' (Q14H) has a strong relationship ( $r = 0.8869$ ) to 'process efficiency'. Conformity to specifications shows an average improvement of up to 77% and the specific improved food quality dimensions were appearance, taste and hygiene.

#### Conclusion

The study confirmed core values suggested by the model of the ISO 9000 system (see third section) and several additional values. It is also clear that a ISO 9002 QMS should form a primary base for stability on the way to TQM for the food manufacturer. The future and essence of ISO 9000 should not change much.

#### References

- Coetzee, C.H. 1994. Researcher, Department of Psychology, University of South Africa, Pretoria. Personal interview, May.
- Coertze, R.D. 1994. Deelnemende waarneming: deelname en/of ondervraging. Lesing gelewer tydens 'n kursus in Navorsingsmetodiek, aangebied deur die Universiteit van Pretoria by die Absa Bank Sentrum vir Bestuurderontwikkeling, 28-31 Maart.
- Du Plessis, J.P. 1992. President, Suid-Afrikaanse Buro vir Standarde, Pretoria. Persoonlike mededeling, 28 April.
- Ervine, K. 1991. Nothing but the best, *Food Review*, August/September: 51-53.
- Hays, W.L. 1963. *Statistics*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- ISO 9002, 1994. Quality system standard. Model for quality assurance in production, installation and servicing. Pretoria: SABS.
- Mouton, J. & Marais, H.C. 1989. *Metodologie van die geesteswetenskappe: basiese begrippe*. Pretoria: Insto-Print.
- Mulaik, S.A. 1972. *The foundations of factor analysis*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Nicholas, J.M. 1990. *Managing business and engineering projects: concepts and implementation*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Oakland, J.S. 1993. *Total quality management: the route to improving performance*. London: Butterworth Heinemann.
- Walbrugh, P.W. 1992. Right the second time. Paper delivered at the 6th Annual Symposium on Food Science held at the Lord Charles Hotel, Somerset West, 4 May.