

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Van Hoepen, M. A.; Lambrechts, I. J.; Mostert, F. J.

#### Article

The gearing adjustment in inflation accounting: The financing sequence assumption

South African Journal of Business Management

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

University of Stellenbosch Business School (USB), Bellville, South Africa

Suggested Citation: Van Hoepen, M. A.; Lambrechts, I. J.; Mostert, F. J. (1989): The gearing adjustment in inflation accounting: The financing sequence assumption, South African Journal of Business Management, ISSN 2078-5976, African Online Scientific Information Systems (AOSIS), Cape Town, Vol. 20, Iss. 3, pp. 163-167, https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v20i3.956

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/218032

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



## The gearing adjustment in inflation accounting: the financing sequence assumption

## M.A. van Hoepen

Touche Ross Netherlands/Touche Ross International, 700 van Alkemade Avenue, 2597 AW the Hague, The Netherlands

## I.J. Lambrechts\* and F.J. Mostert

Department of Business Economics, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch, 7600 Republic of South Africa

Accepted 23 February 1989

The application of a gearing adjustment in inflation accounting has always resulted in the problem of determining a financing sequence. A decision has to be taken whether certain categories of assets are financed with equity and/or loan capital. Financial theory of the last few decades quite convincingly revealed that there are no logical grounds for relating certain assets to certain liabilities and/or equity. This proportional financing assumption is discussed and illustrated in the article for the so-called Van der Schroeff-system of income demermination in order to point out the sensitivity and financial consequences of this assumption for financial decision making. The assumption about the financing sequence does have a definite influence on the gearing adjustment. Further influences are on the income statement and the message given to shareholders and the investment public; the composition of the asset structure and consequently the risk composition of the assets; the composition of the financing structure and consequently the financial risk as portrayed by the balance sheet; and the marginal financing ratios needed to maintain the original gearing ratio.

Die toepassing van die hefboomaansuiwering by inflasierekeningkunde het altyd 'n probleem tot gevolg, naamlik om die volgorde van finansiering te bepaal. 'n Besluit moet geneem word of sekere kategorieë van bates met eie kapitaal en/of leningskapitaal gefinansier moet word. Finansiële teorie van die laaste aantal dekades het tot gevolg gehad dat daar geen logiese basis is om sekere bates aan sekere laste of eie hapitaal te koppel nie. Die proporsionele finansieringsaanname word in hierdie artikel bespreek vir die sogenaamde Van der Schroeff-sisteem van inkomstebepaling om sodoende die sensitiwiteit en finansiële gevolge van hierdie aanname vir finansiële besluitneming uit te wys. Die aanname in verband met die finansieringsvolgorde het 'n definitiewe invloed op die hefboomaansuiwering. Verdere gevolge is op die inkomstestaat en die boodskap wat deurgegee word aan die aandeelhouers en die beleggerspubliek; die samestelling van die batestruktuur en gevolglik die risikosamestelling van die bates; die samestelling van die finansieringstruktuur en dus ook die finansiële risiko soos weergegee deur die balansstaat; en die marginale finansieringsverhoudings om die aanvanklike hefboomverhouding te handhaaf.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed

#### Introduction

The application of a gearing adjustment in inflation accounting has always resulted in a problem of determining a financing sequence. There is a rather long standing history in the Netherlands underlying the incorporation of financing in the application of current cost systems. A decision has to be taken whether certain categories of assets are financed with equity and/or loan capital. Financial theory of the last few decades quite convincingly revealed that there are no logical grounds for relating certain assets to certain liabilities and/or equity. The financing-sequence in the application of a gearing adjustment must therefore be determined on practical grounds. The economic consequences of this choice have never been paid much attention, neither in theory nor in practice.

There are two examples in which the choice of a particular assupmtion about the financing sequence was made more or less explicitly on the basis of practical consequences. The first is the one in which the exact financing sequence assumption depends on a perceived Modigliani and Miller-like optimal financial structure. In this assumption all value increases (revaluations) are added to equity capital and not regarded as distributable income (or income attributable to shareholders). An addition to equity capital can be justified because of the

(general or specific) purchasing power loss on (net) monetary assets.

Another example of a financing sequence, that has obviously been chosen on the basis of its economic consequences, is the so-called Hofstra system. In the Netherlands there was a government committee under the chairmanship of Prof. Hofstra whose aim was to make proposals for a tax system that should be neutral as to inflation. Apart from some minor changes in the tax law, the proposals of the Hofstra committee have never been implemented. The assumed financing sequence in the Hofstra proposals is that equity capital is used primarily to finance assets for which the generated income is not taxable. An example of these assets are investments which are tax free. If equity capital is more than these tax-exempt assets, the surplus is used to finance stocks which are valued either by a LIFO-system or a base-stock system (both methods being used for tax purposes in the Netherlands). In this way a complete sequence is proposed, ending with monetary assets, e.g. debtors and cash. The aim and consequence of this proposed financing sequence are clear. If equity capital serves (primarily) to finance tax-exempt assets, there is no need to allow an inflation correction for income generated by those assets, because that part of income is not taxable. If equity capital next serves to finance stocks

valued by a LIFO-system or base-stock system, there is no need to correct taxable income for inflation, because in the ordinary course of business there are no inflationary effects (value increases) in taxable income generated by those assets.

In the absence of a logically/theoretically based assumption about the financing sequence, a proportional sequence can be assumed, that is every individual asset is financed with loan capital and equity capital in the same proportion as total loan capital and total equity capital (at the beginning of the year or at the end of the year or an average). This proportional financing assumption will be discussed and illustrated in this article for the so-called Van der Schroeff system of income determination in order to point out the sensitivity and financial consequences of this assumption for financial decision making.

## The proportional financing sequence in the Van der Schroef system

The Van der Schroeff income determination system has never been applied in practice. Nevertheless, there are two reasons for using the Van der Schroeff system as an example of the application of a gearing adjustment based on a proportional financing assumption. First, it is the only well-described system that explicitly uses a proportional financing assumption. Second, the system is a splendid example of one that is constructed in view of economic consequences (i.e. to maintain capital intact).

Van der Schroeff gradually developed Limperg's replacement value theory to a current cost accounting system. Contrary to the Limperg system Van der Schroeff applies no backlog depreciation and introduces a gearing adjustment.

The ultimate outcome of Van der Schroeff's trail of thought was published after his death. He explicitly states the maintenance aim(s) of his income determination system, which can be described as follows:

- maintenance of the specific purchasing power of equity capital used to finance a normal stock of nonmonetary assets;
- 2. maintenance of the general purchasing power of equity capital used to finance other assets (that is speculative stock and monetary assets); and
- 3. nominal capital maintenance of loan capital.

## Example

The opening balance sheet of a company reads:

Balance sheet on 1/1/19x0

Share capital	R 500 100 kg materi	ial A @
Loan capital	R10 1 500 Cash	R1000 1 000
	R2 000	R2 000

Normal stock of material A is 80 kg. The current cost of material A on 1/1/19x0 is R10 per kg. During the year, the current cost of good A gradually increases to R12 (specific price increase: 20%). The general consumer

index of 100 on 1/1/19x0 gradually increases to 110 at the end of the year (general price increase: 10%). There are no sales and purchasing transactions in 19x0.

As said before, Van der Schroeff uses a proportional financing assumption (according to the opening balance sheet), so it is assumed that material A is financed with

$$\frac{500}{2000} \times \frac{100}{1} = 25\%$$

equity capital, and 75% loan capital. Likewise, the amount of cash is assumed to have been financed with 25% equity capital and 75% loan capital.

The necessary additions to the revaluation surplus in order to arrive at the three-fold maintenance aim are:

1. specific price increase of equity capital used to finance normal stock:

$$0.20 \times \frac{500}{2000} \times (80 \times 10) = R \ 40$$

2. general price increase of equity capital used to finance other assets:

speculative stock: 
$$0.10 \times \frac{500}{2000} \times (20 \times 10) = 5$$

monetary assets: 
$$0.10 \times \frac{500}{2.000} \times 1.000 = 25$$

30

3. price decrease of loan capital:

$$0.0 \times 1500 = 0$$

Total necessary addition to revaluation surplus R 70

The following can easily be seen.

1. The necessary addition to the revaluation surplus can also be calculated as the general price increase multiplied by the total equity capital plus the difference between the specific price increase and the general price increase multiplied by that amount of normal stock that is supposed to have been financed with equity capital, i.e.:

$$0.10 \times 500 = 50$$

$$(0.20 - 0.10) \times (80 \times 10) \frac{500}{2000} = 20$$

$$R70$$

2. the amount of the initial capital that must be maintained is

equity capital invested in normal stock

$$\frac{500}{2\ 000} \times (80 \times 10)$$
 200

equity capital invested in other assets

$\frac{500}{100} \times [(20 \times 10) + 1000]$	300
2 000	
loan capital	1500
Total capital to be maintained	R2000
The above amount must increase to:	
200 (normal stock) $\times$ 1,2 =	240
300 (equity less normal stock) $\times$ 1,1 =	330
1500 (loan capital) $\times$ 1,0 =	1500
Capital maintained at end of period	R2070

The necessary addition to the revaluation surplus takes place in two steps.

1. Of the total value increase of stock (R200) an amount of R40 is added to the revaluation surplus. The remainder of the value increase is in principle income, but will be treated so only after realization (by way of sales transactions). In the example the full R160 is regarded as an unrealized speculative and financing (gearing) gain. The value increase of R200 can be analysed as follows:

	Value increase of normal stock	25% financed with equity R40	Addition to revaluation surplus
Total value increase of stock 100 × 2	80 × 2 = 160	75% financed with loans R120	Unrealized financing gain
= R200	Value increase of speculative stock	25% financed with equity R10	Unrealized speculative gain
	$20 \times 2 = R40$	75% financed with loans = R30	Unrealized speculative/ financing gain

2. There is an addition to the revaluation surplus of R30, in order to maintain the general purchasing power of equity capital invested in 'other assets'. The total value increase (specific price increase) of speculative stock is regarded as income and charged to the income statement. The balance sheet on 31/12/19x0 will therefore read:

### Balance sheet on 31/12/19x0

Share capital (initial) 50	0	100 kg material @ R12	1200
Revaluation surplus 76	570	Cash	1000
Loan capital	- 1500		
Unrealized specu-			
lative financing gains			
(120 + 10 + 30)	160	)	
Income for the period	(30)	)	
	R2200	)	R2200

It is not the intention to discuss the Van der Schroeff system as such. The way in which, for instance, the

financing or speculative gains are considered to be realized (FIFO-sequence or LIFO-sequence) and other problems with the application of the Van der Schroeff system are not discussed. It is the sole intention to illustrate only the consequences of the proportional financing sequence.

# The Van der Schroeff system under a different financing assumption

The system is very sensitive to the applied financing sequence. In order to illustrate this the financing assumption is being changed and it is assumed that the monetary assets are primarily financed by monetary liabilities. If the proportional financing assumption is applied the opening balance sheet can be regarded as two partial balance sheets: the equity capital balance sheet and the loan capital balance sheet.

Equity balance sheet on 1/1/19x0

Equity capital	500	Normal stock:	
• •		$25\% \times 80 \times 10 =$	200
		Speculative stock:	
		$25\% \times 20 \times 10 =$	50
		Monetary assets:	
		25% × 1000 =	250
	R500	•	R500

## Loan capital balance sheet on 1/1/19x0

Loan capital	1500 Normal stock:	
-	$75\% \times 80 \times 10 =$	600
	Speculative stock:	
	$75\% \times 20 \times 10 =$	150
	Monetary assets:	
	75% × 1000 =	750
	R1500	R1500

If the assumption of proportional financing is changed and it is assumed that monetary assets are primarily financed by monetary liabilities, the balance sheet on 1/1/19x0 will be as follows:

#### Balance sheet on 1/1/19x0

		<del></del>					
Equity capital	500	Normal stock	80	×	10	=	800
Net monetary liabilities	500	Speculative stock	20	×	10	=	200
		_					
	R100	0					R1000

Under this different assumption normal stock turns out not to be financed 50% but 25% by equity (as under the proportional financing sequence). The necessary additions to revaluation surplus are consequently:

- 1. maintenance of the specific purchasing power of equity capital invested in normal stock: 0,20 × 0,5 × 800 = 80
- 2. maintenance of the general purchasing power of equity capital invested in 'other assets' (speculative stock):  $0.10 \times 0.5 \times 200$  = 10
- 3. capital maintenance of loan capital/ net monetary liabilities: 500 × 0,00

0

Total necessary addition to the revaluation surplus

R90

The (unrealized) speculative and financing gains are as follows:

IOHOWS.			
	Value increase	50% financed with equity = R80	Addition to revaluation surplus
Total value increase of stock	$80 \times 2 = R160$		Unrealized financing gain
100 × 2 = R200	Value increase of speculative	50% financed with equity = R20	Unrealized speculative gain
	stock $20 \times 2 = R40$	50% financed with loans = R20	Unrealized speculative/ financing gain

As a result the balance sheet at the end of the period becomes:

#### Balance sheet on 31/1/19x0

Share capital (initial)	500		100 kg. A @ R12	1 200
Revaluation surplus	90	590	Cash	1 000
Loan capital		1 500		
Unrealized speculative	•			
financing gains				
(80 + 20 + 20)		120		
Income for the period		(10)		
	,	R2200	<b>-</b>	R2200

### The influence of the financing assumption

After the 100 kg of material A have been sold (thus realizing the financing and speculative gains) and income distributed, it is obvious that under the proportional financing assumption the gearing ratio will be equity capital: loan capital = 570: 1 500

Under the second financing assumption the gearing ratio becomes equity capital: loan capital = 590: 1 500

The financing assumption also has a very specific influence on the composition of the assets and the financial structures as is clear from Table 1.

From Table 1 it is clear that the marginal financing ratios to maintain the original gearing ratio (25%) differ considerably from the original situation, i.e. 9,1% equity to total capital for the proportional financing (30/330) and 3,2% for the second financing assumption (10/310).

#### Conclusion

The assumption about the financing sequence does have a definite influence on the gearing adjustment. The result of this difference in influence will further influence:

- the income statement and the message given to shareholders and the investment public;
- the composition of the asset structure and consequently the risk composition of the assets;
- the composition of the financing structure and consequently the financial risk as portrayed by the balance sheet; and
- the marginal financing ratios to maintain the original gearing ratio.

The economic consequences of the financing sequence assumption are therefore often hidden. The proportional

Table 1 Influence of the financing assumption

	Normal stock	Speculative assets	Monetary assets	Total assets	Equity	Loans	Total
Original situation	40%	10%	50%	100%	25%	75%	100%
Situation after the sale and							
replacement of the assets and							
proportional financing:							
Amounts in Rand	960	240	870	2070	570	1500	2070
Percentages	46,4%	11,6%	42,0%	100%	27,5%	72,5%	100%
Situation under second			ŕ		7	. = , =	/-
financing assumption							
Amounts in Rand	960	240	890	2090	590	1500	2090
Percentages	45,9%	11,5%	42,6%	100%	20,2%	71,8%	100%
Changes in financial structure				_			
to maintain the original percentages							
Proportional financing:							
Amounts of changes	0	0	330	330	30	300	330
New amounts	960	240	1200	2400	600	1800	2400
Percentages	40%	10%	50%	100%	25%	75%	100%
Second financing assumption:					20,0	7570	100 /0
Amounts of changes	0	0	310	310	10	300	310
New amounts	960	240	1200	2400	600	1800	2400
Percentages	40%	10%	50%	100%	25%	75%	100%

financing assumption is not as neutral as it might look at first glance. A careful analysis should therefore be conducted before a specific assumption is made.

## References

Accounting Practices Committee. 1978. RE201: Guidelines on disclosure of effects of changing prices on financial results. The Institute of Chartered Accountants (SA).

- Hofstra, H.J. 1978. *Inflatieneutrale Belastingheffing*. Staatsuitgevery, 's-Gravenhage.
- Kleerekoper, I. 1983. Information reflecting the effects of chancing prices. *Maandblad voor Accountancy en Bedryfshuishoudkunde*, vol.57, February, 2-51 2-65.
- Van der Schroeff, H.J. 1969. Winstbepaling en financieringsstructuur. *Maandblad voor Accountancy en Bedryfshuishoudkunde.*, vol. 43, February, 50 72.