

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Huang, Sheng Teng; Bulut, Emrah; Duru, Okan

#### Article

## Service quality evaluation of international freight forwarders: An empirical research in East Asia

Journal of Shipping and Trade (JST)

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Shipping Research Centre (SRC), The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

*Suggested Citation:* Huang, Sheng Teng; Bulut, Emrah; Duru, Okan (2019) : Service quality evaluation of international freight forwarders: An empirical research in East Asia, Journal of Shipping and Trade (JST), ISSN 2364-4575, SpringerOpen, London, Vol. 4, Iss. 14, pp. 1-16, https://doi.org/10.1186/s41072-019-0053-6

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/217544

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



#### **Open Access**

# Service quality evaluation of international freight forwarders: an empirical research in East Asia

(2019) 4.14

Sheng Teng Huang<sup>1\*</sup>, Emrah Bulut<sup>2</sup> and Okan Duru<sup>3</sup>

\* Correspondence: danielhuang@ ntou.edu.tw

<sup>1</sup>Department of Transportation Science, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung 20224, Taiwan, Republic of China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to improve service quality of international freight forwarders and explore practical business solutions to enhance customer service level. Freight forwarder usually acts as an agent to source logistics service for shipper and work closely with carriers such as shipping line and airline companies. This business is characterized by lower capital investment and entry barrier comparing with carriers, and most of their major customers are small or medium size manufactures or traders. Providing high quality service to enhance customer satisfaction is the key mission since competition is extremely severe. This paper empirically investigates the leading freight forwarders to find important customer requirements in East Asian region such as Japan, Korea and Taiwan. Furthermore, the feature of the study by using quality function deployment approach would not only identify key technical measures but also explore meaningful business solutions as direction of quality improvement. The finding reveals key customer requirements are cheaper agency fees, door to door ability and instant response, and the key technical measures are customer relationship management, overall information system, service point and network. We would further discuss the empirical result and conclude managerial meaning for decision makers.

**Keywords:** Quality function deployment, International freight forwarder, Shipping agent, Fuzzy analytic hierarchy process

#### Introduction

Global trade is the vigorous force triggering the growth of both regional and world economy. International trade brings a lot of advantages to nations and enterprises to share the fruit of business activities as well as provides people various options to buy their daily necessities. These activities rely on international transportation to connect cargo, people and country to facilitate business growth and regional prosperity. Advance transportation not only provides time utility but also place utility from the point of merchandise production to the point of consumption for the business supply chain management. Governments also make effort to minimize logistics cost through improve infrastructure to overcome the trade barriers and vitalize their manufactures and products to stay competitive in the global market. According to the recent publication of WTO and UNCTAD, over 75% of the global trade is completed by containerised cargo shipping and the rest of the cargo is mainly dependent on land or air transportation. (WTO, 2013; UNCTAD, 2013) International freight forwarder plays the



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



primary role to consolidate containerised cargo from small-medium size and complete business transactions more economically and efficiently. Freight forwarders plays a significant role to complete these economic activities and support small medium-size enterprises with various logistics solution. (Lloyd's List, 2014).

Since financial crisis in 2008, the shipping industry faces serious challenges such as global economic depression and greater market uncertainty. International freight forwarders in East Asian countries also could not immune from these negative effects. To well operate the forwarder business becomes extremely difficult tasks. On the other hand, shippers want better, cheaper, safer, reliable, speedy and professional service since freight forwarder is also important part of their global supply chain management. Customer is getting more demanding and competition from other logistics service provider is getting fierce, so it is necessary for forwarders to redefine standards and strategies to face the changing market and challenges. According to Lloyd's list 2014, top six forwarders in Europe such as DHL Global forwarding and Damco suffer a decline in profit due to fierce competition, currency effects and overcapacity of shipping. Mr. Hanne Sorensen, CEO of Damco, stressed that rather than reducing cost or increasing IT investment, improving overall service quality and productivity may be more useful to enhance profitability. (Lloyd's list, 2014) Therefore, improving the quality of service could not only help the forwarders to survive but also make profits in such brutal shipping market.

The forwarder plays the role as the bridge between carrier and shipper to source various transport solution and earns profits by arranging package of delivery service. As an agent, forwarders tend to have more flexibility to market change since the physical infrastructure investments are far less than the shipping or airline companies. In order to effectively consolidate LCL (Less than container loaded) cargo to containers especially for small medium size manufactures or traders, it is necessary for them to use the service providing by international freight forwarders as intermediary connection to efficiently complete the delivery. In general, the duties of forwarders may include space booking on a ship or aircraft, non-vessel operating carriers (NVOCCs), organizing local and international shipping, providing necessary paperwork and custom clearance, delivery and distribution service, information service, warehousing, consolidation and other related formalities. On the other hand, forwarder's target market is slightly different from carriers since they tend to target on LCL customer for consolidation while liner carriers focus more on attracting FCL (Full container loaded) cargo. In East Asia, market is extremely competitive because service providing by freight forwarder seems to be same or similar to one another, along with the increasing number of new entrants. Thus, competitive advantage of freight forwarders only based on cheaper price is not enough. Enhancing satisfactory customer service becomes strategic and survival issue for forwarders to stay competitive and build sustainability.

Forwarder is a service-oriented industry, and its quality of service is important factor for business success. Service quality is a key issue of managerial goal of business to pursue customer satisfaction. In literature, many scholars already discuss the service quality issues of liner shipping and third party logistics service provider, however, few researches apply quality function deployment (QFD) to explore the service quality requirement of international freight forwarders. The main contribution of this paper is conducting expert consultation from Japan, Korea and Taiwan to define the important solutions for this industry. QFD is a special procedure to transform service quality requirement into technical requirement through analysing the relationship between technical measures and customer requirements. Applying QFD would not only identify important service quality requirement but also understand useful technical measure for quality improvement. The basic framework of research process and flowchart are shown in Fig. 1. Figure 1 demonstrate the combining QFD and MCDM technique to define suitable solution for enhancing service quality.

#### Literature review

#### Service attributes of international freight forwarder

Measuring service quality of freight forwarder industry is not an easy task due to its heterogeneity, intangibility and inseparability. Perceived service quality is the description of interaction between customer and service provider, so we could obtain service quality requirements directly from shipper with quantitative and qualitative surveys. On the other hand, through reviewing literature and practical publication, we could find some researches



regarding to the service quality requirements of related shipping, logistics or freight forwarding industry. Brooks (1985) reveals the service quality attributes of liner shipping industry such as transit time, directness of sailings, carrier's reputation for reliability, frequency of sailing and next ship leaving. Lu and Dinwoodie (2002) empirically explores the international network development of regional forwarders. Collaboration between competing forwarders may create favourable condition and network sharing for each other. Lai and Cheng (2004) empirically study the freight forwarding industry in terms of demographic profiles, capabilities of providing different type of logistics services, service performance and the perceived prospects in Hong Kong. They explain many forwarders have high capability to provide freight forwarding and traditional logistics service, but they seem to lack the ability to provide other value-added service. Liang et al. (2006) pointed out four critical service items for an ocean freight forwarder. The four service items include operations convenience and response ability, integrated service, transportation ability, and price. Lu (2007) identifies seven capability dimensions for liner shipping including purchasing, operation, human resource management, customer service, information integration, pricing, and financial management. Results show four factors are significant differ between shipping companies and agency: marine equipment, information equipment, operation, and information integration. Stated the downturn of Hong Kong freight forwarding industry owing to the growing competition and challenge from the neighbouring ports of Yantian and Shekou in China, which operated in a much cheaper way. He suggested a tactical knowledge-based scheduling system implemented in a local freight forwarder for supporting the scheduling process of a shipping plan. Tongzon (2009) empirically studied on port choice issue from the freight forwarders' perspective in Southeast Asia. Efficiency is found to be the most significant factor followed by shipping frequency, adequate infrastructure and location. Lun et al. (2009) examine liner shipping from network perspective with an aim to develop a descriptive framework for operation and development of liner shipping networks. The framework supports liner shipping companies and their partners for cost and service improvement in renovating their networks. Shang (2009) empirically studied the organizational learning capabilities in third-party logistics providers in Taiwan. The results indicate the positive relationship between integration capability organizational learning capability and service performance. Lu and Yang (2010) empirically evaluated the crucial logistics service capabilities and firm performance of international distribution centre operators in Taiwan. Three key capabilities are innovation capability, customer response capability and flexible operation capability. Bock (2010) proposed a real-timeoriented control approach for freight forwarders to expand load consolidation, reduce empty vehicle trips, and handle dynamic disturbances. Feo et al. (2011) explored the preference analysis of Spanish freight forwarders modal choice between short sea shipping and door-to-door road transport in terms of value of time, value of reliability and value of frequency in freight transport.

#### Attribute selection of customer requirement and technical measure

Ministry of land, infrastructure and Transportation (2011) reveals important service quality items for third party logistics providers in Japan, including speedy delivery, price, schedule reliability, staff capability, problem handling, logistics solution and information providing ability. After logistics diagnosis by Japanese logistics consultant committee, several solutions are proposed such as improving technical support, solution to reduce cost and time, total logistics strategies, customer service support system and web-based information sharing. Su et al. (2011) explore the logistics innovation process in the health care supply chain and find the improved process may have positive impact on performance and financial result. Yang (2012) applied multiple regression analysis to explore the critical logistics service capabilities for ocean freight forwarders such as logistics service reliability, logistics value-added service capability, flexibility capability and logistics information capability. These factors had significant positive effects on financial performance. Propose a domainspecified quality of service (QoS) model to explore the web service technology of logistics industry with an aim to improve its business standards. Empirically examines customer relationship management (CRM) and its impacts on performance of freight forwarder services in Taiwan. The understanding of relationships among information technology, client response, knowledge management application, profit and managerial performance may offer a reference as to how freight forwarders can amend customer relationship to improve their performance. Vivaldini and Pires (2013) apply business cell approach to fourth party logistics freight management in Brazil. The results reveal better process integration to reduce cost and improved performance. Investigate the importance of information communication technology (ICT) to enhance the competitiveness in the shipping industry. ICT is also considered as the dynamic capabilities for shipping companies to survive in the complex environment. Supply chain consultant Crimson, says more demanding standards should be defined to European fresh food supply chains, but the cost may be high. The standards will define the details if the responsibility is belonging to origins of food, transport supplier or the retailers. The standards could also help all the party to pay attention to quality and source of food and monitor the supply chain from food supplier to end customer. Rogerson et al. (2014) propose the framework and purchase process of freight transport services, and the model suggest three dimensions such as purchase task, importance ranking and service type. After reviewing the above literature related to evaluation of the service quality, consulting with the president of leading forwarder companies, experienced executives, professors as well as shippers, we choose 12 customer requirements (CRs) in Tables 1 and 12 technical measures (TMs) to evaluate the service quality of freight forwarders in Table 2. Table 1 is the left part of HoQ, and Table 2 is the upper part of HoQ. In Fig. 2, we lists several key performance indicators (KPI) and performance indicators (PI) of each technical measure to help decision makers understand the direction of quality improvement and its managerial meaning.

#### Methodology

#### Definition of quality function deployment

QFD is founded by Yoji Akao and first applied by shipping industry for Kobe shipyard for building of a new oil tanker ship. Following many applications in manufacture, service industry and public organization make QFD an important and popular methodology for quality improvement. QFD is a unique methodology to explore the important service quality as well as assessable technical measures for quality improvement. The house of quality (HoQ) is the main structure to complete QFD research. Literature

Customer requirements	Description
1. Instant response	Speed to respond customer's request
2. Cheaper agency fee	Offer lower prices for customer.
3. Global service ability	Ability to provide global and local service
4. Tailor-made service	Provide service according to the request for individual customer
5. Door to door ability	Ability to deliver freight to customer's warehouse or designated location
6. Schedule reliability	No delay or cancel of delivery service
7. Consult service	Expert consult to provide supply chain and logistics solution
8. Excellent reputation	Company has good business records and trustworthy
9. Stable space supply	Ability to provide the space or handle large delivery
10. Fast document handling	Speed and easiness of documentation handling
11. Instant cargo tracking	Provide sophisticated dynamic or on-line tracking
12. Regular visit	Commercial visit to VIP customer

 Table 1 Customer requirements in the empirical work

reviews show us several criticism and inadequacy of traditional QFD and how to improve this method. Therefore, many scholars take advantage of these characteristics and combine QFD with other techniques such as fuzzy, process management, DEA, AHP, MSE and SERVQUAL to solve service quality problems. (Duru et al., 2013) The combination of the techniques makes the research result more accurately and overcomes the original weakness and criticism of QFD method. Vanegas and Labib (2001) explain the QFD is an important tool to translate the Voice of customer (VOC) into the technical requirement. He applies the fuzzy numbers to optimize the relationship between customer requirement and technical requirement for the car door design and manufacture considering the cost, technical and market factors. Özgener (2003) stresses the evolution and advantage of QFD for product design and service management. However, the teamwork concept is key factor to make QFD successful because it will take more time and effort to get the best result. Arash and Chan (2006) improve QFD methodology by introducing the concept of customer requirement segmentation (CRS) for a four-star hotel. The contribution is to overcome the problems and difficulties such as ambiguities of VOC, handling of larger HoQ, conflict of each CR. Chen (2009)

Table 2 Technical measures in the empirical	work
---	------

Technical Measures	Description
1. Overall information system	Implementing the system for information sharing
2. Paperless and simple procedure	Easiness and convenience to handle customer paperwork
3. Quality manual and certificate	Clear procedure to define corporate goal and job details
4. Customer clearance	Efficient custom clearance procedure
5. Service point and network	Office or service centre overseas and service route
6. Customer relationship management	Work closely with customer to build relationship and commitment
7. IT implementation	Applying new technology to improve efficiency of cargo operation
8. Human resource management	Train potential employees for their valuable contribution in the future
9. Strong intermodal ability	Ability to provide efficient mode combination to complete delivery
10. Marketing strategy	Clear marketing goal to both VIP or general customer
11. Free consulting service	Free market and supply chain information consulting
12. Green shipping policy	Environmental friendly transport and low CO2 emission commitment

#### 1. Overall information systems

#### а.

- Web interface . Sufficient information in website . Real time information available
- iii.
- Online responsiveness Information sharing Existence of intranet
- vi. Dynamic cargo tracking systems vii. EDI systems

#### 2. Paperless and simple procedure

#### Paperless work and easy documentation a.

- и. Ш.
- Digital to paperless ration (eg. 40%) Average time for customer service (hrs) Labour to automation ratio (eg. 10%) Application of advance IT facilities
- iv.

#### 3. Quality manual and certificate

- Certificate Number of certificate issued by international organization Annual budgeting for defining standards(USD)
- ii.

- Standards(USU) Clear standard operating procedure Number of annual meeting of standard and procedure Compliance with corporate social responsibility policy(CSR) ٧.

#### 4. Custom clearance

#### а.

- CIQ process time Average time for custom clearance (hrs) Automated custom system Smooth route planning for CIQ process All day operation i. ii. iii.
- iv.

#### 5. Service point and network

- ν.
- Office and service point i. Number of offices i. Number of local staffs i. Number of local agents v. Global service network v. Partnership with other logistics service providers vi. Number of self-owned truck
   vii. Number of self-owned aircraft
   viii. Number of self-owned ships

#### 6. Customer relationship management

#### a.

- iv.
- ٧.
- vii.
- Customer service Number of customer service staff Number of commercial visit One stop shop for customer service Annual meeting for customer service Budget for strengthening customer relationship (USD) Strengthen relationship with traders Strengthen relationship with manufactures and other shippers Strengthen relationship with other stakeholders Tailor-made service ability viii.
- ix.

#### 7. IT implementation

#### a.

- ii.
- Advance IT facility i. Application of advance IT facility ii. Number of automated warehouse or distribution centre
- ....
- Dynamic cargo tracking facilities Number of advance IT facilities Number of advance IT terminals
- vi Number of advance exclusive aprons

Fig. 2 List of technical measures of international freight forwarder, KPIs and PIs

#### 8. Human resource Management

a. Human cost, training and knowledge management

 Annual labour and staff cost(USD)
 Budget for staff training (USD)
 Number of external lectures
 Number of attending academic conference

Page 7 of 16

- Number of attending industrial meetings External HR consultant Number of projects with maritime vii.
- university Performance evaluation
- h.
- · i. ii. iii.
- Bonus systems Number of external auditor Number of visiting other service providers
- providers Learning and knowledge management i. Number of visiting other famous forwarder companies i. Number of external auditor and consultants i.
- ii.
- iii
- Regular meeting with government and scholars

- 9. Strong intermodal ability

  a. Intermodal ability
  i. Logistics solution providing
  ii. Mode combination ability
  iii. Number of intermodal solution meeting and regular meeting
  iv. Budget for intermodal solution (USD)
  v. Collaboration with different mode carriers

  - arriers vi. End to end logistics solution ability

- 10. Marketing strategy

  a. Marketing activities
  i. Annual budget for marketing (USD)
  ii. Annual budget for advertisement (USD)
  iii. Annual budget for new product developing(USD)
  iv. Number of promotional activities
  v. Number of meeting for developing new celling channels
  - vi.
  - Number of meeting for developing new selling channels Number of commercial visit Negotiable price with mega liner carriers Negotiable price with mega airlines Partnership with foreign liner and parities corrise provider vii. viii.
  - ix.
  - logistics service provider

- Internal and external consulting

  Internal and external consulting
  Internal and external consultants
  Number of internal consultants
  Number of external consultants
  Local contact and information
  Local market experts
  Local support and knowledge sharing
  Customer information centre
  Collaboration with local academic and research institution
  Research projects with local experts to support client's difficulties

viii.

innovatively integrates the concept of QFD and process management techniques to meet customer requirement and company goals in terms of product design, process management in semiconductor industry. Process management is an important concept of Six Sigma implementation and may be significantly improved by applying the QFD method. Ip (2009) creates a model for business succession such as assessment of current situation of business, successor and competencies analysis and planning of necessary tasks for future development by utilizing the QFD method. Combine QFD and

Green measurement with stakeholders or container terminal operators

12. Green shipping policy

a. Emission reduction
Annual budget for emission policy (USD)
ii. Volume of NOx and SOx emissions per year (m3)
iii. Greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction (m3)
iv. Cargo handling equipment with emission reduction technology
v. Green policy compliance with local and international regulation
vi. Number of meeting for green policy
viii. Measure for encouraging emission reduction

mean square error (MSE) criterion to evaluate the bank service. The important service dimensions are service quality, staff attitude, information providing, technology and management feature. Pakdil et al. (2012) apply QFD to analyze the after sales services both qualitatively and quantitatively for a manufacture firms. SERVQUAL and factor analysis are also used to include the house of quality. Explore the Spanish small medium sized enterprises to discuss their certified quality management systems and logistics performances. Their current standard is ISO 9001. The authors proposed the more specific logistics management standards may be needed to improve the process. Kilibarda et al. (2016) use SERVQUAL model to evaluate the logistics service quality of freight forwarder. Factor analysis and ANOVA are conducted to explore best service quality items. Gil-Saura et al. (2018) explore the service quality of freight forwarder in B2B relationship through ANOVA and SEM process. Archetti and Peirano (2019) explore air freight forwarder's service problem and find the simulation model to optimize its intermodal service problem.

The QFD framework is proposed to translate customer requirements (CRs) into technical requirements (TMs) by constructing HoQ matrix as shown in Fig. 3. We could obtain the priority degree of TMs through computing the sum product of relative weight of each CR. After normalizing the value of result, the obtained relative weight could show the relationship degree of TM for enhancing customer satisfaction. The conventional HoQ matrix consists of seven major parts including the customer requirements (CRs), the priority degree for requirements, technical measures (TMs), the correlation matrix (between TMs), relationship matrix (between TMs and CRs), sum products of priority degrees and relationship degrees, wj, and the priority degree of



TMs, wnj. The correlation matrix is mainly practical for developing strategies to improve technical measures. In some cases, a technical measure has a positive or negative correlation and an improvement may give to another or deteriorate it. For evaluation of the balance of improvements, correlation matrix signifies such interactions.

The numerical procedure for assignment of priority degree of TMs is as follows:

Given *m* customer requirements signified by *CRi*, (i = 1, 2, ..., m) and *n* technical measures signified by *TMi* (i = 1, 2, ..., n). Let *di* (i = 1, 2, ..., m) be the priority degree for the *i*th *CRi* among the whole set of CRs, whereas *wj* (j = 1, 2, ..., n) signifying the relative weight of importance of the jth TM, is determined from the relationship between TMs and CRs. Let *R* be the relationship matrix between TMs and CRs, the component *Rij* signifies the level of impact of the jth TM on satisfaction of the *i*th CR. The value of *Rij* is assigned by an indicator value of 9 (Strong relationship,  $\blacksquare$ ), 5 (Moderate relationship,  $\blacktriangle$ ), 1 (Low relationship,  $\bullet$ ) or 0 (No relationship, " $\emptyset$ ").The sum product of the priority degree, *di* of the *i*th *CRi* and *Rij* is calculated as follows;

$$w_j = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i R_{ij}, j = 1, 2, ..., n$$
(1)

The priority degree for the CRs, *di*, is defined by an original FAHP process through the pair wise comparison survey.

In this study, the relative weight of customer requirement is defined by using FAHP and applied to calculate the technical measures. This combination with FAHP could improve the classic QFD method by determining the relative weight quantitatively and assessing the relationship between requirements precisely. Second, the technical measure would be obtained for the priorities of enhancing customer satisfaction. By analysis and discussion of the result, the meaningful business solution could be proposed for enhancing customer satisfaction.

#### Fuzzy analytic hierarchy process

After introducing fuzzy method by Zadeh (1965), Van Laarhoven and Pedrycz (1983) extended analytic hierarchy process (AHP) by using fuzzy approach to deal with vagueness of human thought for decision making problem. They used a triangular fuzzy numbers (TFNs) to develop fuzzy-AHP (FAHP) method. In the FAPH method, the judgement matrix is formed in a pair-wise comparison matrix which is calculated fuzzy arithmetic and fuzzy aggregation operators and the procedure calculated a sequence of weight vectors that can be used to choose main attribute. In existing literature, many studies investigate the decision problems under uncertainty condition by using FAHP methods (Bozbura & Beskese, 2007; Bulut et al., 2012; Duru et al., 2013; Gumus, 2009). In this paper, Chang's approach is used for the FAHP calculation and its algorithm is as follows (Chang, 1996); Let  $X = \{x \mid x \mid x \mid x, ..., xn\}$  be an object set and  $U = \{u1, u2, ..., um\}$  be a goal set. According to the method of extent analysis, each object is taken and extent analysis for each goal is performed, respectively (Chang, 1996). Therefore, m extent analysis values for each object can be obtained, with the following signs:

$$M_{g_i}^1, M_{g_i}^2, ..., M_{g_i}^m, \ i = 1, 2, ..., n,$$
(2)  
where all the  $M_g^j$  (j = 1,2, ...,m) are TFNs.

The steps of Chang's extent analysis can be given as in the following:

Step 1: The value of fuzzy synthetic extent with respect to the ith object is defined as

$$S_i \sum_{j=1}^m M_{g_i}^j \otimes \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m M_{g_i}^j\right]^{-1}$$
 (3)

To obtain  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{g_i}^j$ , the fuzzy addition operation of *m* extent analysis values for a particular matrix is performed such as:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{g_i}^j = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} l_j, \sum_{j=1}^{m} m_j, \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j\right)$$
(4)

And to obtain  $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{g_i}^{j}\right]^{-1}$ , the fuzzy addition operation of  $M_{g_i}^{j}$  (j = 1, 2, ..., m) values is performed such as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{g_i}^j = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} l_j, \sum_{j=1}^{m} m_j, \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j \right)$$
(5)

and then the inverse of the vector in Eq. (5) is computed, such as:

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}M_{g_{i}}^{j}\right]^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}u_{i}}, \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}m_{i}}, \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}l_{i}}\right)$$
(6)

Step 2: The degree of possibility of M2 =  $(l2, m2, u2) \ge M1 = (l1, m1, u1)$  is defined as

$$V(M_2 \ge M_1) = \sup_{y \ge x} \left\lfloor \min\left(\mu_{M_1}(x), \mu_{M_2}(y)\right) \right\rfloor$$
(7)

and can be expressed as follows:

$$V(M_{2} \ge M_{1}) = hgt(M_{1} \cap M_{2}) = \mu_{M_{2}}(d) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m_{2} \ge m_{1}, \\ 0, & \text{if } l_{1} \ge u_{2}, \\ \frac{l_{1} - u_{2}}{(m_{2} - u_{2}) - (m_{1} - l_{1})}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(8)

Figure 4 illustrates Eq. 8 where d is the ordinate of the highest intersection point D between  $\mu_{M_1}$  and  $\mu_{M_2}$ . To compare M1 and M2, we need both the values of V (M1  $\ge$  M2) and V (M2  $\ge$  M1).

Step 3: The degree possibility for a convex fuzzy number to be greater than k convex fuzzy Mi (i = 1, 2, ..., k) numbers can be defined by.

$$V(M \ge M_1, M_2, ..., M_k) = V[(M \ge M_1) and (M \ge M_2) and ... and (M \ge M_k)] = min V(M \ge M_i), i = 1, 2, 3, ..., k$$
(9)

Assume that  $d'(Ai) = \min V$  (Si  $\ge$  Sk) for k = 1,2, ...,n; k  $\ne$  i. Then the weight vector is given by.



$$W' = (d'(A1), d'(A2), \dots, d'(An))T$$
(10)

Where Ai (i = 1, 2, ..., n) are n elements.

Step 4: Via normalization, the normalized weight vectors are.

$$W = (d(A1), d(A2), ..., d(An))T,$$
(11)

where W is a non-fuzzy number.

Table 3 displays the linguistic comparison terms and their equivalent fuzzy numbers in this paper.

#### The consistency calculation for the FAHP method

Duru et al. (2013) proposed the centric consistency index (CCI) for the consistency calculation of FAHP method and it is based on geometric consistency index (GCI).

The calculation of CCI is as follows;

Let A =  $(aLij,aMij,aUij)n \times n$  be a fuzzy judgment matrix, and let w = [(wL1,wM1, wU1),(wL2,wM2,wU2), ...,(wLn,wMn,wUn)]T be the priority vector derived from *A* using the RGMM. The centric consistency index (CCI) is computed by

$$CCI(A) = \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i < j} \left( \log\left(\frac{a_{Lij} + a_{Mij} + a_{Uij}}{3}\right) - \log\left(\frac{w_{Li} + w_{Mi} + w_{Ui}}{3}\right) + \log\left(\frac{w_{Lj} + w_{Mj} + w_{Uj}}{3}\right) \right)^2$$
(12)

When CCI(A) = 0, we consider A fully consistent. Also provide the thresholds  $(\overline{GCI})$  as  $\overline{GCI} = 0.31$  for n = 3;  $\overline{GCI} = 0.35$  for n = 4 and  $\overline{GCI} = 0.37$  for n > 4. When CCI(A) < 0.37

Fuzzy number Linguistic scales Membership function Inverse Ã1 Equally important (1,1,1) (1,1,1)  $\tilde{A}_2$ Moderately important (1,3,5) (1/5,1/3,1) Ã3 More important (3,5,7) (1/7,1/5,1/3) Ã₄ Strongly important (1/9,1/7,1/5) (5,7,9)Extremely important (7,9,9) (1/9,1/9,1/7)  $\tilde{A}_5$ 

Table 3 The linguistic comparison terms and their equivalent fuzzy numbers

 $\overline{GCI}$ , it is considered that the matrix A is sufficiently consistent. Since the CCI is a fuzzy extended version of the GCI, thresholds remain identical.

#### The prioritization of decision maker

The weight of each decision makers is different than each other because their experience and thought about the problem differ from each other. In this paper, therefore, the reverse value of CCI is considered as their prioritization and it is used the calculation of the aggregated fuzzy judgment matrix for the criteria of customer satisfactions (Duru et al., 2013).

Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ , where  $a_{ij} > 0$  and  $a_{ij} \times a_{ji} = 1$ , be a judgment matrix. The prioritization method refers to the process of deriving a priority vector of criteria  $w = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)^T$ , where  $w_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$ , from the judgment matrix A.

Let  $D = \{d_1, d_2, ..., d_m\}$  be the set of decision makers, and  $\lambda_k = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_m\}$  be the priority vector of decision makers. The priority vector of decision makers  $(\lambda_k)$  is the normalized  $I_k$  for the group of experts which is calculated as follows:

$$I_k = \frac{1}{CCI_k} \tag{13}$$

where Ik is the inverse of the CCI normalization,

$$\lambda_k = \frac{I_k}{\sum_{k=1}^m I_k} \tag{14}$$

where  $\lambda k > 0$ , k = 1, 2, ..., m, and  $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k = 1$ .

Let A (k) =  $(a_{ij}^{(k)})_{n \times n}$  be the judgment matrix provided by the decision maker dk.  $w_i^{(k)}$  is the priority vector of criteria for each decision maker calculated by

$$w_i^{(k)} = \frac{\left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\right)^{1/n}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\right)^{1/n}}$$
(15)

The aggregation of individual priorities is defined by

$$w_i^{(w)} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^m \left(w_i^{(k)}\right)^{\lambda_k}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^m \left(w_i^{(k)}\right)^{\lambda_k}}$$
(16)

where  $w_i^{(w)}$  is the aggregated weight vector. After the aggregation process, the extent synthesis methodology of Chang (1996) is applied for subsequent choice selection.

#### The empirical study on freight forwarder in East Asia

Several leading freight forwarders are selected to explore for service quality evaluation and technical measures are used to evaluate customer satisfaction in East Asian region. Selected 15 consultation experts include professors, president, senior executives and practitioners and the name of company is kept confidential. The data is collected by email, telephone and personal visits. The consultation is performed according to the following steps. In the first step, an initial survey is arranged to define the appropriate customer requirements and technical measures for evaluation. Then, the primary survey is performed to complete cross relationship matrix in the second step. The FAHP is applied to define relative weight for the priorities of customer requirement. The expert group is asked to complete a pair wise comparison survey. Table 4 shows the aggregate fuzzy judgement matrix for the customer requirement. CCI is 0.01 which is less than the critical value of 0.37. The top three customer requirements are cheaper agency fee (0.19), door to door ability (0.16) and instant response (0.15). First of all, "cheaper agency fee" is the top customer requirement. Forwarders should provide competitive prices by reducing operational cost or financial planning as Porter's (1998) cost leadership approach. Second, "door to door ability" shows us the current customer's high expectation of forwarder's logistics solution in terms of time, cost and reliability. This means forwarders should work closely with partners such as truck, rail or other inland transportation firms to ensure logistics capability. Third, "instant response"shows the importance of information providing. Forwarders should have sophisticated tracking system and quick response to customer's question.

#### Managerial implication and conclusion

The top four relative weight of technical measures are customer relationship management (0.16), overall information system (0.14), service point and network (0.14) as shown in Table 5. The finding and proposed practical application could be useful information and supportive function for decision making process. First, the most important technical measure is "customer relationship management." Forwarder business is highly competitive business and may be easily replaced by other logistics service provider. Interaction and commitment from value customer is indispensable to success in this business.

Second, "overall information system" may be an important tool for reducing cost, instant response and efficiency improvement. Bjorn et al. (2012) also empirically explore how the information systems improve maritime logistics from tracking stock level, vessel space allocation, transport and inventory cost reduction. The utilization can support the decision making from operational, tactical, and strategic levels within maritime logistics. Therefore, implementing advance information system could effectively support the customer and enhance the efficiency.

Third, regarding"service point and network", forwarders may expand its service coverage and network through more partnerships with major carriers or local logistics service providers. Many global freight forwarders play the role as a local company, so the local knowledge and expertise becomes a barrier for market entry. When service and network of forwarder business expands globally, the important of reliable local partner is indispensible factor for business success. Therefore, the forwarders should

Table 4 The aggregated fuzzy judgment matrix for customer requirements

	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	Weight
C1	(1,1,1)	(0.36,0.6,1)	(1,1.71,2.95)	(0.68,1,2.61)	(0.6,1,1.34)	(1.68,285,3.83)	(1.34,1.57,3.80)	(1.53,2.2,2.66)	(1.75,2.98,4)	(2.05,3.27,5.63)	(3.01,4.11,6.55)	(1.31,2.59,3.74)	0.15
C2	(1,1.67,2.78)	(1,1,1)	(1.28,3.29,5.33)	(1.31,1.9,3.18)	(1,1,2.93)	(1.88,4.02,5.99)	(2.23, 4.36, 6.40)	(1.53, 3.67, 5.64)	(2.29, 4.31, 6.23)	(3,5.27,7.36)	(3.9,5.94,7.96)	(1.48,3.60,5.70)	0.19
C3	(0.34,0.58,1)	(0.19, 0.3, 0.78)	(1,1,1)	(0.35, 0.57, 1.31)	(0.26, 0.58, 1)	(0.73,1.31,1.9)	(0.78,1,1.75)	(0.68,1.05,2.01)	(0.68,1.79,3.37)	(1.31,2.02,2.54)	(1.52,2.44,2.86)	(0.68,1.16,2.10)	0.09
C4	(0.38,1,1.48)	(0.31, 0.53, 0.76)	(0.76,1.75,2.89)	(1,1,1)	(0.47, 0.6, 1.31)	(0.98,1.67,3.72)	(1.3,2.26,2.68)	(1.2,2.19,2.64)	(1.33, 2.26, 3.52)	(1.69,2.68,3.9)	(1.48,2.88,4.02)	(1.16,1.64,2.36)	0.12
C5	(0.75,1,1.67)	(0.34,1,1)	(1,1.71,3.85)	(0.77,1.68,2.13)	(1,1,1)	(1.88, 2.3, 4.62)	(1.71,2.93,5.19)	(1.53,2.2,4.45)	(1.75,2.98,5.12)	(2.62,3.67,6.07)	(2.88, 5.15, 7.24)	(1.31,1.94,4.18)	0.16
C6	(0.26,0.35,0.6)	(0.17, 0.25, 0.53)	(0.53, 0.76, 1.37)	(0.27, 0.60, 1.02)	(022,0.43,0.53)	(1,1,1)	(0.47,0.82,2.07)	(0.71,1.2,1.67)	(0.7,1.37,2.26)	(0.94,1.79,2.8)	(0.8,1.3,2.38)	(1.16,1.64,1.64)	0.07
C7	(0.26,0.64,0.75)	(0.16,0.23,0.45)	(0.57,1,1.28)	(0.37, 0.44, 0.77)	(0.19, 0.34, 0.58)	(0.48,1.23,2.13)	(1,1,1)	(0.58,1,1.75)	(0.76,1.31,1.94)	(1,1.34,2.62)	(1.71,2.25,2.69)	(0.51,0.98,1.94)	0.07
C8	(0.38,0.46,0.65)	(0.18,0.27,0.65)	(0.5,0.96,1.47)	(0.38,0.46,0.84)	(0.22, 0.46, 0.46)	(0.6,0.84,1.41)	(0.57,1,1.71)	(1,1,1)	(0.75,1.31,1.94)	(1.02,1.96,2.4)	(0.99,1.63,3.38)	(0.85,1.11,1.64)	0.07
C9	(0.25, 0.34, 0.57)	(0.16,0.23,0.44)	(0.3,0.56,1.47)	(0.28, 0.44, 0.75)	(0.2,0.34,0.57)	(0.44, 0.73, 1.44)	(0.51,0.76,1.31)	(0.52,0.77,1.34)	(1,1,1)	(0.67,1,2.59)	(0.76,1.34,1.96)	(0.5,0.75,1.31)	0.05
C10	(0.18, 0.31, 0.49)	(0.14,0.19,0.33)	(0.39,0.49,0.77)	(0.26, 0.37, 0.59)	(0.16, 0.27, 0.38)	(0.36, 0.56, 1.06)	(0.38,0.75,1)	(0.42, 0.51, 0.98)	(0.39,1,1.49)	(1,1,1)	(0.67,1.11,2.11)	(0.44,0.57,1.31)	0.02
C11	(0.15, 0.24, 0.33)	(0.13, 0.17, 0.26)	(0.35, 0.41, 0.66)	(0.25, 0.35, 0.68)	(0.14, 0.19, 0.35)	(0.42, 0.77, 1.24)	(0.37,0.44,0.58)	(0.3,0.61,1.01)	(0.51, 0.75, 1.31)	(0.47, 0.9, 1.49)(	1,1,1)	(0.29,0.74,1)	0.01
C12	(0.27, 0.39, 0.77)	(0.18,0.28,0.68)	(0.48, 0.87, 1.47)	(0.42, 0.61, 0.87)	(0.24, 0.52, 0.77)	(0.61, 0.87, 1.44)	(0.52,1.02,1.96)	(0.61, 0.9, 1.17)	(0.77,1.34,2.01)	(0.76,1.75,2.27)	(1,1.34,3.44)	(1,1,1)	0.01
CCI =	0.01												

HoQ of Customer Satisfaction							Maximize (▲), Minimize (▼) and T								
			Direction of improvement												
Row No.	Max. value in row	Relative weight		Overall information system	Paperless and simple procedure	Quality manual and certificate	Customer clearance manual	Service point and network	Customer relationship management	IT implementation	Human resource management	Strong intermodal ability	Marketing strategy	Free consulting service	Green shipping policy
1	9	0.15	Instant response	•	•				-	- -	•			•	•
2	5	0.19	Cheaper agency fee	•	•	•		•	•				•		
3	9	0.09	Global service ability		•				-						
4	5	0.12	Tailor-made service		•		•			•	•			•	
5	9	0.16	Door to door ability								•		•		•
6	9	0.07	Schedule reliability	-		•	•		•	•			•	•	
7	5	0.07	Consult service	•		•		•	<b></b>	•	•		•		
8	9	0.06	Excellent reputation		•		•		-	•		•	•	•	
9	5	0.05	Stable space supply	•		•			•	•		•		•	
10	9	0.02	Fast document handling			•					•		•	•	
11	9	0.01	Instant cargo tracking			•	•		•						
12	9	0.01	Regular visit		•	•			-					•	
			Max. value in column	9	9	5	9	9	9	9	5	9	5	9	5
			Sum product	5.27	0.8	2.72	3	5.09	5.72	3.52	1.67	4.1	2.83	1.56	0.61
			Relative weight	0.14	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.14	0.16	0.1	0.05	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.02
			Rank	2	11	8	6	3	1	5	9	4	7	10	12

 Table 5 House of quality matrix for customer requirements and technical measures

not only cooperate with logistics service providers as well as deeply connect with local stakeholders for serving their customers.

The result of the research reveals important technical measures for quality improvement of freight forwarder in East Asian countries, and we collect practitioner's comments and feedback regarding to our research findings as managerial implication. They explain the relationship with customer is particularly significant because the importance of private relationship may be key factor for long term contract and partnership especially in East Asia. Therefore, strengthen the relationship with shipper may be the primarily mission. On the other hand, investment of IT facilities is indispensable to maintain the satisfactory service level. The integrated information system with carriers may help forwarder to improve efficiency. Finally, the comprehensive service network is also essential to provide shipper the global service. Freight forwarders may strengthen their network by cooperating with more reliable local partners such as truck or railway firms.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author's information

Sheng Teng Huang is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Transportation. Science at the National Taiwan Ocean University (NTOU), Keelung, Taiwan. He received his PhD from Kobe University, Japan. His main research interests are Japanese shipping industry, logistics and delivery management. He has several journal and conference papers. He has the memberships of Japan Society of Logistics and Shipping. Economics (JSLSE) and International Association of Maritime Economics (IAME). He also reviews papers for International Journal of Production Research (JJPR) and International Journal of Shipping and Transport Logistics (JJSTL).

**Emrah Bulut** is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Business Administration at the Yıldız Technical University and received his PhD from Kobe University, Japan. His research interests are in shipping business management and behavioral decisionmaking. He contributes several studies related to the decision support systems, group decisionmaking under uncertainty, behavioral finance, time series forecasting and fuzzy extended time series analysis. He has published several journal and conference papers. He has memberships of the American Finance Association (AFA), International. Association of Maritime Economics (IAME) and Korean Association of Shipping and Logistics (KASL). He also reviews papers for, *Transport Policy (JTRP), Asian Journal of Shipping & Logistics (AJSL), European Journal of Operational Research (EJOR)*, and *Fuzzy Sets and Systems (FTS)*.

**Okan Duru** is an Assistant Professor of Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. He holds a PhD in Maritime Science (Maritime Logistics) from Kobe University, Japan. His research interests are in shipping asset management, behavioral economics of shipping business, forecasting, judgment and decision making. He has published many

journal papers and conference papers as well as editing journal issues. He reviewed several papers for Maritime Policy and Management, International Journal of Forecasting, Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, European Journal of Operational Research, Journal of the Operational Research Society-JORS, Applied Soft Computing, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, among others.

#### Funding

There were no additional sources of funding for this research.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Transportation Science, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung 20224, Taiwan, Republic of China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Business Administration, Yildiz Technical University, Besiktas, 34349 Istanbul, Turkey. <sup>3</sup>School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, N1-01c-95, 639798 Singapore, Singapore.

#### Received: 27 September 2018 Accepted: 31 October 2019 Published online: 17 December 2019

#### References

Arash S, Chan JFL (2006) Customer requirements segmentation (CRS): A prerequisite technique for quality function deployment (QFD). Total Quality Management & Business Excellence 1(5):567–581

Archetti C, Peirano L (2019) Air intermodal freight transportation: the freight forwarder service problem. Omega

- Bjorn EA, Haakon L, Jan TP (2012) Information technology in maritime logistics management: a case-based approach from COA to SLA. In: Song DW, Panayides PM (eds) Maritime Logistics Contemporary Issues. Emerald Group Publishing UK
- Bock S (2010) Real-time control of freight forwarder transportation networks by integrating multimodal transport chains. European Journal of Operational Research 200(3):733–746
- Bozbura FT, Beskese A (2007) Prioritization of organizational capital measuremen indicators using fuzzy AHP. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 44:124–147

Brooks MR (1985) An alternative theoretical approach to the evaluation of liner shipping Part II. Choice criteria. Maritime Policy & Management: The flagship journal of international shipping and port research 12(2):145–155

- Bulut, E., Duru, O., Keçeci, T. & Yoshida, S. (2012),"Use of consistency index, expert prioritization and direct numerical inputs for generic fuzzy-AHP modeling: A process model for shipping asset management, Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 39, pp.1911–1923.
- Chang DY (1996) Applications of the extent analysis method on fuzzy AHP. European Journal of Operational Research 95:649–655

Chen CC (2009) Integration of quality function deployment and process management in the semiconductor industry. International Journal of Production Research 47(6):1469–1484

- Duru O, Huang ST, Bulut E, Yoshida S (2013) Multi-Layer Quality Function Deployment (QFD) approach for improving the compromised quality satisfaction under the agency problem: A 3D QFD design for the asset selection problem in the shipping industry. Quality & Quantity 47(4):2259–2280
- Feo VM, Menéndez LG, Hidalgo RG (2011) Valuing Freight Transport Time using Transport Demand Modelling: A BibliographicalReview. Transport Reviews: A Transnational Transdisciplinary Journal 31(5):625–651. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01441647.2011.564330

Gil-Saura I, Berenguer-Contri G, Ruiz-Molina E (2018) Satisfaction and loyalty in B2B relationships in the freight forwarding industry: adding perceived value and service quality into equation. Transport 33(5):1184–1195

- Gumus, A. T. (2009),"Evaluation of hazardous waste transportation firms by using a two step fuzzy-AHP and TOPSIS methodology, Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 36, pp.4067–4074.
- Ip B (2009) Planning and controlling business succession planning using quality function deployment. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence 20(4):363–379
- Kilibarda M, Nikolicic S, Andrejic M (2016) Measurement of logistics service quality in freight forwarding companies: a case study of the Serbian market. The International Journal of Logistics Management 27(3):770–794
- Lai KH, Cheng TCE (2004) A study of the freight forwarding industry in Hong Kong. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications: A LeadingJournal of Supply Chain Management 7(2):71–84
- Liang GS, Chou TY, Kan SF (2006) Applying fuzzy quality function deployment to identify service management requirements for an ocean freight forwarder. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence 17(5):539–554. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783360600587994

Lloyd's List, 2014, Ports and Logistics. (http://www.lloydslist.com/ll/world/).

- Lu CS (2007) Evaluating key resources and capabilities for liner shipping services. Transport Reviews: A Transnational Transdisciplinary Journal 27(3):285–310
- Lu YZ, Dinwoodie J (2002) Comparative perspectives of international freight forwarder services in China. Transportation Journal 42(2):17–27
- Lun YHV, Lai KH, Cheng TCE (2009) A descriptive framework for the development and operation of liner shipping networks. Transport Reviews: A Transnational Transdisciplinary Journal 29(4):439–457

Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transportation (2011) Key service quality attributes of third party logistics service providers. Yearbook of Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transportation, Tokyo, pp 170–180

- Özgener Z (2003) Quality function deployment: A teamwork approach. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence 14(9):969–979
- Pakdil F, Işın FB, Genç H (2012) A quality function deployment application using qualitative and quantitative analysis in after sales services. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence 23(11):1397–1411
- Porter ME (1998) Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing Industries and competitors: with a new introduction. the Free Press, New York
- Rogerson S, Andersson D, Johansson MI (2014) Influence of context on the purchasing process for freight transport services. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications: A Leading Journal of Supply Chain Management 17(3):232–248. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2013.843655
- Shang KC (2009) Integration and organisational learning capabilities in third-party logistics providers. The Service Industries Journal 29(3):331–343
- Su S, Ivan L, Britta GL, Su LY (2011) Logistics innovation processrevisited:insights from a hospital case study. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management 41(6):577–600
- Tongzon JL (2009) Port choice and freight forwarders. Transportation Research Part E-Logistics and Transportation Review 45(1):186–195

UNCTAD Secretariat (2013) Review of Maritime Transport of United Nations, Geneva

- Van Laarhoven PJM, Pedrycz W (1983) A fuzzy extension of Saaty's priority theory. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 11:199–227 Vanegas LV, Labib AW (2001) A Fuzzy Quality Function Deployment (FQFD) model for deriving optimum targets. International Journal of Production Research 39(1):99–120
- Vivaldini M, Pires SRI (2013) Applying a business cell approach to fourth-party logistics freight management in the food service industry. International Journal ofLogistics Research and Applications: A Leading Journal of Supply Chain Management 16(4):296–310

World Trade Organization (2013) International trade and tariff data. Unpublished Reports. World trade organization, Geneva Yang CC (2012) Assessing the moderating effect of innovationcapability on the relationship between logistics service

capability and firm performance for ocean freight forwarders. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications: A Leading Journal of Supply Chain Management 15(1):53–69

Yang YH, Hui YV, Leung LC, Chen G (2010) An analytic network process approach to the selection of logistics service providers for air cargo. Journal of the Operational Research Society 61(9):1365–1376

Zadeh LA (1965) Fuzzy sets. Information and Control 8:338-353

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com