

Barrett, Alan; Bergin, Adele; Duffy, David

Working Paper

The Labour Market Characteristics and Labour Market Impacts of Immigrants in Ireland

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 1553

Provided in Cooperation with:

IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Barrett, Alan; Bergin, Adele; Duffy, David (2005) : The Labour Market Characteristics and Labour Market Impacts of Immigrants in Ireland, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 1553, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/21329>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

IZA DP No. 1553

The Labour Market Characteristics and Labour Market Impacts of Immigrants in Ireland

Alan Barrett
Adele Bergin
David Duffy

April 2005

The Labour Market Characteristics and Labour Market Impacts of Immigrants in Ireland

Alan Barrett

*Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin
and IZA Bonn*

Adele Bergin

Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin

David Duffy

Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin

Discussion Paper No. 1553
April 2005

IZA

P.O. Box 7240
53072 Bonn
Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0
Fax: +49-228-3894-180
Email: iza@iza.org

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the institute. Research disseminated by IZA may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit company supported by Deutsche Post World Net. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its research networks, research support, and visitors and doctoral programs. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

ABSTRACT

The Labour Market Characteristics and Labour Market Impacts of Immigrants in Ireland

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. We firstly produce a labour market profile of non-Irish immigrants who arrived in Ireland in the ten years to 2003. We then go on to use the labour market profile in estimating the impact of immigration (non-Irish) on the Irish labour market. Immigrants are shown to be a highly educated group. However, they are not all employed in occupations that fully reflect their education levels. The model of the labour market that we use to simulate the impact of immigration differentiates between low-skilled and high-skilled labour. This allows us to estimate the impact of immigrants (a) if they were employed at a level fitting their education and (b) if they were employed in occupations below their educational level. Our results show that under scenario (a) immigrants who arrived between 1993 and 2003 increased GNP by between 3.5 and 3.7%, largely by lowering skilled wages by around 6% and increasing Ireland's competitiveness. Under scenario (b), the increase in GNP is reduced to 3% because the impact on skilled wages is lower. If we assume the immigration is primarily unskilled, the impact on earnings inequality is reversed.

JEL Classification: J24, J31, J61

Keywords: immigrants, immigrant characteristics, immigrant impacts, Ireland

Corresponding author:

Alan Barrett
Economic and Social Research Institute
4, Burlington Road
Dublin 4
Ireland
Email: Alan.Barrett@esri.ie

Section 1: Introduction

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. We firstly produce a labour market profile of non-Irish immigrants (as opposed to returning Irish migrants) who arrived in Ireland in the ten years to 2003. In taking this period, we are essentially looking at immigrants who arrived in Ireland in response to the economic growth of the “Celtic Tiger” era. We then go on to use the labour market profile in estimating the impact of immigration on the Irish labour market. This is done by running simulations in a structural model of the Irish labour model. In particular, we look at the impact on high-skilled and low-skilled wages and also at the impact on GNP and GNP per head.

As Ireland has only begun to experience large-scale immigration in the last decade, it is clearly important that the nature and consequences of this phenomenon be analysed and understood. From a broader international perspective, Ireland’s experience raises important questions for economic research on the impact of immigration and also for policy. As will be seen below, immigrants into Ireland have notably higher levels of education relative to the domestic population. This in itself makes the Irish experience of interest. However, immigrants in Ireland are not employed in occupations that fully reflect their educational attainment. For this reason, in estimating labour market impacts this “occupational gap” must be taken into account. In addition, policy with regard to immigrants must address the issue of how to reduce the “occupational gap” if the potential contribution of immigrants is to be maximised.

In an earlier paper, Barrett et al (2002) looked at the labour market impacts of all immigration into Ireland in the mid-1990s (i.e. both non-Irish immigration and returning Irish migrants). They used the educational levels of immigrants in estimating impacts and so did not attempt to capture the possibility of immigrants being employed in occupations below what their educations might suggest. As immigration into Ireland at the time was made up primarily of returning Irish migrants and other English speakers who were less likely to suffer any occupational disadvantage, this approach was appropriate. But with an increase in non-Irish and non-English speaking immigration into this decade, it is now important to take account of the occupation issue.

The paper is structured in the following way. In Section 2, we begin by describing the data that we use to develop the profile of the labour market characteristics of immigrants in Ireland; we then present the profile. The core findings are that immigrants in Ireland are highly educated relative to the native population but that their occupational distribution does not fully reflect their educational attainment. In Section 3, we take these findings and use them in estimating the impact of immigration on variables such as output, earnings and unemployment. We conduct the estimation through a simulation exercise using a model of the labour market. This approach, used by Barrett et al (2002), follows Borjas, Freeman and Katz (1997). In Section 4, we offer some policy conclusions based on the results.

Section 2: Data and Labour Market Profile

The data used to develop the labour market profile of immigrants in Ireland is the Quarterly National Household Survey (quarter 2 of 2003). The survey is undertaken by the Central Statistics Office and its main purpose is to produce quarterly labour force estimates. Throughout the year 3,000 households are surveyed each week; hence each quarterly sample contains 39,000 households. The CSO make the micro-data available, although some of the collected data is omitted or aggregated. The sample we use has 101,500 observations. We only look at the adult population (i.e. over the age of 15) as our interest is in labour market characteristics. This still leaves a sample of over 75,000.

Our next task is to set out precisely how we define “immigrants” and the groups of “natives” against which we will compare them. We define immigrants in the following way: people who describe their nationality as being other than Irish, were not born in Ireland and have lived here for under 10 years. This residency restriction is imposed by the data because individuals who were not born in Ireland are classified as either being resident for more than ten years or less. While a more disaggregated classification would have been useful, the “under ten year” category results in the analysis being focused on immigrants who arrived after the emergence of Ireland’s economic surge¹. We compare this group with people who describe themselves as

¹ It should be noted that the immigrants now resident in Ireland are more likely to have arrived in the last five years rather than being evenly distributed over the ten-year period. Between 1993 and 1999, net inflows averaged around 8,000 per annum; between 2000 and 2004 this figure was over 32,000.

Irish nationals and who say they were born here. This means we omit Irish nationals who were born outside of Ireland. These selections produce two sub-populations – 71,995 natives and 3,530 immigrants.

We present the profile in the following way. We begin with the basic demographic characteristics of age and gender. We then go on to look at the characteristics that will feed into our simulation analysis in Section 3, namely, participation, education and occupation. We then look at some additional characteristics that are of interest in developing an overall labour market profile of immigrants – these include the sectoral distribution of immigrants and a breakdown of education and occupation along gender lines².

Age and Gender

We now turn to developing the labour market profile of immigrants. We begin by looking at the demographic variables age and gender as these are likely to impact upon rates of labour force participation. In Table 1, we show the age distribution of natives and immigrants, with the immigrant group subdivided into four categories, as provided by the CSO in the data file.³ The picture that emerges is of an immigrant population that is young relative to the native population. While 44.2 percent of the native adult population is aged between 20 and 44, the corresponding figure for the immigrant population is 81.5 percent. While this age distribution will impact positively on rates of participation, it is also of interest in the context of Ireland’s aging population since a younger inflow will offset aging.

Table 1: Age Distribution of the Native and Immigrant Populations (%)

Age Group	Rest of				Total immigrant	
	Irish	UK	EU-15	American	Other	
15-19	10.7	7.4	4.4	4.8	5.7	6.0
20-24	10.2	7.8	28.2	18.1	24.7	20.3

² As the QNHS does not contain data on wages, we are unable to consider this variable. While this is clearly a limitation to the dataset, its advantage in terms of capturing a large enough number of immigrants to be considered representative is the reason for its use here.

³ Generally, in order for non-EEA citizens to work in Ireland they need a work permit. Based on the data arising from the issuing of such permits, the “other” category would include people from the following countries, amongst others, ranked according to the number of work permits issued between 1999 and 2003: Latvia, Lithuania, Philippines, Poland, South Africa, Romania, Ukraine, Czech Republic, Russia, Australia, Malaysia and Brazil. (Ruhs, 2003)

	25-34	16.5	27.3	46.2	25.7	45.4	39.9
	35-44	17.5	29.2	15.1	31.4	18.4	21.3
	45-54	16.9	12.5	3.6	8.6	4.7	6.8
	55-59	7.2	6.3	1.1	2.9	0.6	2.3
	60-64	5.6	3.8	0.9	3.8	0.4	1.5
	65+	15.4	5.7	0.5	4.8	0.2	1.9
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
N		71995	992	563	105	1868	3528

In Table 2, we look at the gender distribution. There is little difference between natives and immigrants, or across the immigrant categories, with the immigrant population having almost the same proportion of males as the native group.

Table 2: Gender Distribution of the Native and Immigrant Populations (%s)

	Irish	UK	Rest of EU-15	American	Other	Total immigrant
Male	49.0	50.9	47.1	48.6	52.7	51.2
Female	51.0	49.1	52.9	51.4	47.3	48.8
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
N	71995	992	563	105	1868	3528

Participation, Education and Occupation

We now turn our attention to the variables that will feed into the simulation exercises in Section 3 and ask what proportions of the native and immigrant populations are employed, unemployed and non-participants. Table 3 shows the distribution of immigrants and natives across ILO categories. The figures shown imply a labour force participation rate of 63.2 percent for immigrants as against 58.1 percent for the native population. It is likely that this difference is partly explained by the age differences across the two groups.

The unemployment rates in Table 3 are 6.8 percent for immigrants and 4.2 percent for natives and so in relative terms, there is a substantial divergence. This observation of higher unemployment among immigrants is not unusual (Frijters et al 2003). Generally, possible explanations would include a lack of local labour market information that reduces job search efficiency and a lack of informal local contacts. However, the higher unemployment rates amongst UK and US immigrants relative to

the “rest of the EU-15” do not fit well with these explanations. Many of the immigrants in the “other” group are likely to need work permits to be in Ireland, so the low unemployment rate among them is likely to be driven by that requirement. We have no similar ready explanation for the UK, US/rest of EU-15 differential.

Table 3: ILO Labour Force Status Distributions of the Native and Immigrant Populations (%s)

	Irish	UK	Rest of EU-15	American	Other	Total Immigrant
In Employment full-time	45.8	50.4	62.9	37.1	47.4	50.4
In Employment part-time, not underemployed	9.8	9.0	9.2	3.8	7.9	8.3
In Employment part-time, underemployed	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1
Unemployed, seeking full-time work	2.0	4.2	3.2	5.7	3.6	3.8
Unemployed, seeking part-time work	0.4	1.2	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.5
Marginally attached	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.9	0.5	0.5
Others not economically active	41.5	34.9	23.4	51.4	40.1	36.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Participation rate	58.1	64.8	76.0	46.7	59.3	63.1
UE rate	4.2	8.4	4.9	12.2	6.4	6.8
N	71995	992	563	105	1868	3528

Narrowing our focus to people who are labour force participants (i.e. the employed plus the unemployed and excluding those marginally attached and not economically active), we look at the education levels of immigrants relative to natives. It will be recalled from the Introduction that immigrants in the mid-1990s were observed to have had a high level of educational attainment (Barrett and Trace, 1998). While this might have been the result of Ireland being an attractive destination for highly educated people, it might also have been the result of an information effect, whereby better educated people were the first to know about improving economic conditions in Ireland. The presence of highly educated might also have been the result of the working of the work permit system⁴, if permits had been restricted to high-skill occupations. As the issuing of work permits expanded in the 2000s (from under 6,000 in 1999 to over 40,000 in 2002) and the permits during this time were mainly for unskilled occupations (Ruhs, 2003), it would be possible that the average education level of the immigrant population had fallen since the mid-1990s.

⁴ As mentioned above, work permits are required by non-EEA nationals.

In Table 4, we present the distribution of educational attainments and it can be readily seen that the immigrant population in Ireland is still characterised by high educational levels. This finding replicates that of Minns (2005) which was based on data derived by the OECD from the 2002 Census. Over a half of immigrants (54.2 percent) have third level qualifications, compared with just over a quarter (27.3 percent) of the native population. At the other end of the educational distribution, while 32.9 percent of the native population have only lower secondary qualifications or less, only 15.1 percent of the immigrant population have this low level of attainment. This means that Ireland’s immigration experience continues to differ from that of the US where immigration has been primarily low skilled.

Table 4: Distributions of Educational Attainment for the Native and Immigrant Populations (%s)⁵

	Irish	UK	Rest of EU-15	American	Other	Total immigrants
No formal/ primary education	13.7	6.7	1.3		6.8	5.5
Lower secondary	19.2	19.6	2.5	4.3	5.8	9.6
Upper secondary	27.5	18.8	24.9	14.9	23.3	22.0
Post Leaving	12.3	10.5	8.1		8.3	8.8
Third level – non-degree	10.6	15.8	14.5	6.4	12.9	14.0
Third level - degree or above	16.7	28.4	48.6	74.5	42.8	40.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	41612	626	393	47	878	1944

We can take an alternative, although less direct, look at the skills distribution across the two populations by looking at the occupations held by both immigrants and natives and so in Table 5 we show the occupational distributions of the different groups. The figures on education presented in Table 4 would have led us to expect a wider divergence in occupational distributions than we find in Table 5. While immigrants are more heavily concentrated in professional/associate professional occupations, this is only marginally the case. Also, immigrants are less well represented in the management/administrator category. As the “other or not stated”

⁵ As we are now restricting the sample to labour force participants, cell sizes are getting smaller. For this reason, we need to be cautious in interpreting the figures within immigrant categories, especially the US category.

category captures the low skilled occupations, it appears that immigrants are slightly more concentrated in this group relative to natives⁶.

Table 5: Occupational Distribution of Natives and Immigrants (%)

	Irish	UK	Rest of EU-15	American	Other	Total Immigrants
Managers and administrators	17.7	16.8	9.1	22.4	6.9	10.5
Professional	10.5	14.5	15.2	22.4	10.7	12.9
Associate professional and technical	8.7	10.9	10.7	18.4	11.6	11.4
Clerical and secretarial	12.2	11.5	16.8	6.1	6.6	10.0
Craft and related	13.6	13.5	5.6	0.0	10.4	10.1
Personal and protective service	9.8	11.8	19.9	12.2	20.2	17.5
Sales	8.2	5.9	9.3	8.2	6.4	6.9
Plant and machine operatives	9.8	7.2	6.1	4.1	11.6	9.1
Other (includes not stated)	9.6	7.9	7.2	6.1	15.6	11.6
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
N	41831	643	428	49	1108	2228

While the education level of the immigrants should work to increase their occupational level, the relative youth of the group (as shown in Table 1) should work in the opposite direction. In order to isolate the effects of these variables and to establish if there is an independent “immigrant” effect on occupational attainment, we ran a probit model with the dependent variable equal to one if the individual’s occupation is manager, professional or associate professional and zero otherwise. The independent variables include age cohorts, education levels, time with the current employer/self-employed, time since graduation and a dummy variable equal to one if the individual is an immigrant and zero otherwise.

The results are shown in Table 6. In general, the signs and significance of the coefficients are what would be expected. The omitted age category is 15 to 20 so the positive coefficients on the age categories are sensible. Similarly, the omitted education category is “no formal qualifications/primary education” so the positive coefficients make sense. The model shows that the longer an individual is with their current employer, the greater their probability of having a higher occupational level. Neither marital status nor “time since graduation” are found to have significant impacts.

⁶ Minns (2005) also points to this education/occupation discrepancy when comparing the education levels of immigrants from particular countries with their occupations, based on data arising from the issuing of work permits.

Turning to the immigrant dummy variable, the negative and significant coefficient indicates that immigrants have lower levels of occupational attainment, controlling for the other variables⁷. There are a number of possible explanations for this finding. It could be that employers in Ireland discount educational qualifications earned outside of Ireland and so will offer a lower occupational grade to an immigrant relative to a similarly qualified Irish national. Alternatively, it could be the case that recently arrived immigrants lack local labour market knowledge and so accept jobs below those appropriate to their skill levels while they search for better jobs⁸. Minns (2005) suggests that the work permit system might be responsible if high-skilled people accept work permits for low-skilled jobs with a view to getting a foothold in the Irish labour market.

Table 6: Probit Model of Occupational Attainment (Dependent variable: occupation is manager/professional/associate professional or otherwise)

Variable	Coefficient	Stand. Err.	Marginal Impact	P> z
Age 20-24	0.44	0.09	0.17	0.00
Age 25-34	0.77	0.09	0.30	0.00
Age 35-44	0.91	0.10	0.35	0.00
Age 45-54	1.01	0.10	0.39	0.00
Age 55-59	1.06	0.11	0.39	0.00
Age 60-64	1.02	0.12	0.38	0.00
Age 65+	1.51	0.13	0.50	0.00
Ed Lower secondary	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.12
Ed upper secondary	0.43	0.03	0.17	0.00
Ed post leaving	0.47	0.04	0.18	0.00
Ed third level non-degree	1.25	0.04	0.45	0.00
Ed third level degree	2.09	0.04	0.67	0.00
Time with current employ	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.00
Time since highest ed qual	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18
Married	-0.02	0.02	-0.01	0.45
Immigrant	-0.18	0.05	-0.07	0.00
Constant	-2.21	0.09		0.00

⁷ A similar observation has been made in the case of Israel which has also experienced high-skilled immigration (Eckstein and Weiss, 2004)

⁸ It should be recalled from footnote 3 that most of the immigrants will have arrived in the later part of the ten-year period under investigation rather than in the earlier part.

N	23587.00
LR chi2(16)	8240.88
Prob > chi2	0.00
Pseudo R2	0.26

In order to investigate this finding of an immigrant “occupational gap” more closely, we re-ran the probit model but distinguished between the four groups of nationalities. One question that can be answered using this approach is whether the work permit system is responsible for the occupational gap. The results are presented in Table 7. It can be seen that the occupational gap applies to both “rest of EU-15” immigrants and to “others”. This would suggest that the work permit system is not solely responsible since “rest of EU-15” immigrants are outside of this system. Also, the estimated gap for “rest of EU-15” immigrants is stronger than that for “others”. The fact that UK and US immigrants suffer no occupational disadvantage prompts a suspicion that the occupation gap observed in Table 6 may be related to English language skills. If we recall the findings on unemployment from Table 3, especially in the case of the rest of EU-15, the result in Table 7 for this group may point to a greater willingness to accept lower level jobs while searching for better jobs.

Table 7: Probit Model of Occupational Attainment, with Four Immigrant Categories (Dependent variable: occupation is manager/professional/associate professional or otherwise)

Variable	Coefficient	Stand. Err.	Marginal	
			Impact	P> z
Age 20-24	0.44	0.09	0.17	0.00
Age 25-34	0.77	0.09	0.30	0.00
Age 35-44	0.91	0.10	0.35	0.00
Age 45-54	1.01	0.10	0.39	0.00
Age 55-59	1.05	0.11	0.39	0.00
Age 60-64	1.02	0.12	0.38	0.00
Age 65+	1.51	0.13	0.49	0.00
Ed Lower secondary	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.14
Ed upper secondary	0.43	0.03	0.17	0.00
Ed post leaving	0.47	0.04	0.18	0.00
Ed third level non-degree	1.24	0.04	0.45	0.00
Ed third level degree	2.09	0.04	0.67	0.00

Time with current employ	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.00
Time since highest ed qual	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19
Married	-0.02	0.02	-0.01	0.48
Immigrant - UK	0.09	0.09	0.03	0.29
Immigrant – Rest of EU-15	-0.48	0.11	-0.17	0.00
Immigrant – USA	-0.07	0.26	-0.03	0.79
Immigrant – Other	-0.26	0.08	-0.10	0.00
Constant	-2.20	0.09		0.00

Number of obs	23587
LR chi2(19)	8259.87
Prob > chi2	0
Pseudo R2	0.2576

The important implication of this finding of an “immigrant occupation gap” will arise in the following section when we estimate the impact of immigrants. If immigrants are employed at a level below that implied by their educational qualifications, it would not be appropriate to treat immigrants with a given level of education as being identical to a native worker with the same level of education (as was done in Barrett et al, 2002). Instead, we should “discount” immigrants’ skills. We return to this point in Section 3.

Before leaving this sub-section, we should note that we ran two additional probit models to investigate further the occupational gap. In the first additional model, we re-ran the model from Table 6 but excluded people who had reported their principle economic status as being a student. It could be the case the gap we are observing is the result of well-educated students working part-time in casual jobs. The impact on the immigrant coefficient was minimal and so we can discount this possibility.

The second probit model was that of Table 7 but including interactions between the “rest of EU-15” and “other” immigrant dummies and the educational and age dummies. When we ran this model, the coefficient on “rest of EU-15” immigrant dummy remained negative and significant; however, the coefficient on “other” became insignificant. None of the interactions between “other” and the education or age dummies were significant at the 5 percent level but the “other/third level degree”

coefficient had a P-value of 0.06 and a marginal impact estimate of -0.17 . This suggests that for the “other” category, the occupational gap may relate to third level degree holders having lower occupational levels than might be expected.

Sectors, Unionisation, Contract Types and Gender

In order to develop further this labour-related picture of immigrants in Ireland, we look at the distribution of immigrants across sectors in Table 8. The sector with the largest divergence between natives and immigrants is “hotels and restaurants”; immigrants are three times more likely to be in that sector (18.2 percent versus 5.7 percent). The other sectors in which immigrants are over-represented by notable margins are “real estate, renting and business activities” (13 percent versus 8.2 percent) and “health” (13 percent versus 9.1 percent). Unsurprisingly, immigrants are under-represented by large margins in “agriculture, forestry and fishing” and “public administration, defence and social security”. The under-representation of immigrants in “wholesale and retail” is possibly more surprising (9.7 percent versus 14.3 percent), as is the under-representation in “construction” (7.1 percent versus 11 percent).

Table 8: Distribution of Immigrants and Natives by Sector (%s)

	Irish	UK	Rest of EU-15	American	Other	Total immigrants
A Agriculture Forestry, Fishing	7.0	2.4	1.2	0.0	2.8	2.3
C Mining and Quarrying	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2
D Manufacturing	15.9	14.9	17.5	18.8	19.4	17.7
E Electricity, gas and water supply	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.3
F Construction	11.0	12.4	3.5	0.0	5.7	7.1
G Wholesale and Retail	14.3	10.2	8.5	12.5	9.6	9.6
H Hotels and Restaurants	5.7	7.8	18.2	14.6	24.3	18.2
I Transport, Storage, Communication	6.3	4.4	6.4	4.2	2.9	4.1
J Financial intermediation	4.1	7.1	4.0	4.2	2.7	4.2
K Real estate, renting and business activities	8.2	14.4	18.2	16.7	9.9	13.0
L Public Administration; Defence; Social Security	5.4	1.4	1.7	0.0	0.6	1.0
M Education	6.5	6.0	4.7	12.5	1.7	3.7
N Health	9.1	11.1	8.7	10.4	15.9	13.0
O Other	5.5	6.9	6.9	6.3	4.3	5.6
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100
N	41518	637	423	48	1089	2197

Two other variables that we will consider, union membership and whether the person’s job is permanent or temporary, are of interest partly in the context of our earlier finding of higher unemployment among immigrants. This could be the result of

greater insecurity in the jobs held by immigrants. Obviously, the nature of a job contract is closely related to job security; however, union membership may also be correlated with job security.

The proportions of immigrants and natives who are unionised are shown in Table 9 and it can be seen that immigrants are substantially less unionised. In Table 10, we present data on the proportions of immigrants and natives reporting that their jobs are permanent or temporary. A higher proportion of immigrants are in temporary jobs but the percentage (5.6 percent) is small.

Table 9: Union Membership among Natives and Immigrants (%s)

	Irish	UK	Rest of EU-15	American	Other	Total Immigrants
Yes	40.3	22.3	8.9	12.8	11.9	14.0
No	59.7	77.7	91.1	87.2	88.1	86.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	32220	484	381	985	39	1889

Table 10: Proportions of Immigrants and Natives with Temporary and Permanent Jobs (%s)

	Irish	UK	Rest of EU-15	American	Other	Total Immigrants
Permanent Job	96.2	98.1	94.9	94.1	92.2	94.4
Temporary Job	3.8	1.9	5.1	5.9	7.8	5.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	30371	432	316	807	34	1589

The final element in this profiling exercise is to look at a number of the earlier variables again but this time breaking them down by gender. As labour market outcomes vary by gender for native Irish workers, it is possible that the incentives facing potential immigrants also differ and so the characteristics of immigrants may differ by gender. In order to explore this we look at ILO Labour Force Status by gender for immigrants and natives; we then look at education and occupation distributions for labour force participants by native/immigrant and gender. The results are shown in Tables 11, 12 and 13.

The patterns that emerged in the earlier tables are essentially repeated in the gender breakdowns. In Table 11, it can be seen that both the participation rate and the unemployment rate are higher for immigrant men relative to native men and for immigrant women relative to native women. Higher education levels for both male and female immigrants are seen in Table 12, while in Table 13 we see more similar occupation distributions than might have been expected given the differences in education attainment.

Table 11: ILO Status by Gender

	Males		Females	
	Irish	Immigrants	Irish	Immigrants
In Employment full-time	61.2	60.5	31.1	39.8
In Employment part-time, not underemployed	4.5	6.0	14.9	10.7
In Employment part-time, underemployed	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
Unemployed, seeking full-time work	2.9	5.0	1.1	2.4
Unemployed, seeking part-time work	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.9
Marginally attached	0.5	0.7	0.2	0.3
Others not economically active	30.6	27.5	52.0	45.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Participation rate	68.9%	71.8%	47.8%	54.1%
Unemployment rate	4.5%	7.3%	3.6%	6.1%
N	35243	1808	36752	1722

Table 12: Education by Gender

	Males		Females	
	Irish	Immigrants	Irish	Immigrants
No formal/ primary education	16.8	6.4	8.9	4.0
Lower secondary	22.2	10.6	14.9	8.1
Upper secondary	25.9	21.3	29.9	23.1
Post Leaving	11.4	9.5	13.7	8.0
Third level	8.4	12.9	13.8	15.7
Third level - degree or above	15.3	39.2	18.8	41.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
N	23999	1102	17337	830

Table 13: Occupation Distribution by Gender

	Males		Females	
	Irish	Immigrants	Irish	Immigrants
Managers and administrators	21.7	11.5	12.1	9.1
Professional	9.3	14.9	12.0	10.1
Associate professional and technical	6.3	7.8	12.0	16.3
Clerical and secretarial	4.9	4.9	22.2	17.0
Craft and related	22.0	16.2	1.9	1.6

Personal and protective service	6.7	13.6	14.1	23.1
Sales	5.2	5.3	12.4	9.0
Plant and machine operatives	13.4	12.4	4.9	4.5
Other (includes not stated)	10.4	13.2	8.5	9.2
Total	100	100	100	100
N	24278	1299	17553	931

Section 3: Estimating the Impact of Immigrants

We now turn to our estimation of the labour market impacts of immigrants in Ireland. Following the approach of Borjas et al (1997) and Barrett et al (2002) we undertake our analysis in a national framework, through the use of a structural model of the Irish labour market. This approach differs from an approach used in earlier studies of the impact of immigrants on the labour market outcomes of natives. In these earlier studies, changes in the regional variations in immigrant concentrations were correlated with changes in native wage and employment rates in an effort to measure immigrant impacts (Altonji and Card, 1991; LaLonde and Topel, 1991).

In general, studies of this type tended to find little or no impact of immigrants on natives outcomes. However, Borjas et al (1997) pointed out that regionally based studies were likely to suffer from the following problem. If native workers decided to leave a region (or not to migrate to it) that had experienced a large inflow of immigrants, the impact of immigration would not be captured through regional comparisons. For this reason, they argued that impacts had to be studied at a national level and through simulation exercises using estimates of labour demand elasticities.

We estimate the impact of immigration on the labour-market using a structural model of the Irish labour market that separately distinguishes between high-skilled and low-skilled labour. The model is described in Bergin and Kearney (2004); it was first developed and used in FitzGerald and Kearney (2000) and subsequently in Barrett, FitzGerald and Nolan (2002). The theoretical structure of the model includes four key behavioural relations, namely, output determination, labour supply (which incorporates the migration decision), labour demand and the wage/unemployment equilibrium. The parameters of the model are then estimated using data from 1966 to 2002.

The equation specifications and variable definitions can be found in Appendix 1 so here we only present some of the key features of the model. Output determination is based on a small open economy model where multinational enterprises select a location for production on the basis of world demand and Ireland's relative cost competitiveness (Bradley and FitzGerald, 1988; Bradley et al, 1993; Bergin et al 2003). The labour market is modelled differently depending on the educational qualifications of workers.⁹ As domestic production has become more technologically advanced and the educational levels of the workforce have improved, high-skilled and low-skilled workers have become less substitutable. Our estimates suggest that by the mid to late 1990s there is essentially a zero elasticity of substitution between high-skilled and low-skilled labour. High-skilled labour supply is very elastic because of the ready availability of migration flows and those with high levels of education are typically more mobile. It also reflects the relatively high skilled female labour supply elasticity.¹⁰ High and low-skilled labour are assumed to be complements at the level of the economy as a rise in the cost of either will serve to reduce Ireland's competitiveness and therefore output and employment of both types of labour. We include two possible mechanisms for adjustment within the low-skilled labour market. In one case, low-skilled wages are assumed to be a fixed mark up on social welfare payments; this places a floor on low-skilled wages and so adjustment is through changes in unemployment. In the other case, market clearing operates and adjustment is through wages.

We base the simulations on the net inflow of non-nationals over the ten-year period to 2003. Over this period, net immigration of non-nationals was approximately 72,000.¹¹ From Table 4, we can see that 85% of the immigrant population in Ireland who arrived between 1993 and 2003 is high-skilled, compared with 67% of the native population. We apply this percentage to the number of immigrants who arrived

⁹ We define high-skilled as those who have at least a higher secondary qualification and low-skilled as those with at most a lower secondary qualification.

¹⁰ See Doris (2001) for a discussion of the difference between male and female participation elasticities.

¹¹ This figure refers to non-national immigrants over the age of fifteen. Data on net migration flows (CSO, 2004) do not separately identify returning nationals so we take the proportion of non-nationals from the gross migration flows and apply the percentage to total net migration figures for each year.

between 1993 and 2003. Hence, in estimating the impact of immigration on the Irish labour market, we simulate the effects of increasing skilled labour supply by 61,000 ($\sim 72,000 \cdot 0.85$) and increasing the low-skilled labour supply by 11,000 ($\sim 72,000 \cdot 0.15$). The results are presented in Table 14.

Table 14: Effects of Immigration into Ireland (1999-2003) with Full Utilisation of Immigrant Skills

	No Market Clearing in Low-Skilled Labour Market	Market Clearing Low-Skilled Labour Market
	<i>% Change</i>	
GNP per head	1.1	0.9
GNP per worker	1.0	0.9
GNP	3.7	3.5
Total Employment	2.6	2.5
High-skilled	2.8	2.6
Low-skilled	2.4	2.3
Labour supply	2.1	2.5
High-skilled	2.8	2.6
Low-skilled	1.0	2.3
Average wage	-4.8	-4.5
High-skilled	-6.1	-5.9
Low-skilled	0.0	1.0
	<i>As % of labour force:</i>	
Unemployment rate	-0.5	0.0
Low-skilled unemployment rate	-1.2	0.0

This simulation highlights the crucial role of immigration in the determination of wage and employment levels and the positive role that skilled immigration has played in the Irish economy. The increase in the high-skilled labour supply exerts downwards pressure on the high-skilled wage, which falls by around 6 percent in the long run, with the precise estimate depending on the which adjustment process in the low-skilled labour market is assumed. This improvement in competitiveness leads to an increase in output, employment and productivity, with GNP being between 3.5 and 3.7 percent higher than it would have been in the absence of the skilled immigration.

For the low skilled, the precise impact again depends on whether we assume market clearing or not but in either case the impact is positive. A rise in low-skill labour supply will in itself suppress the wage but because low and high-skilled labour are complements, the rise in high-skilled immigration increases the demand for low-skilled labour. Where there is market clearing, low-skilled wages increase by 1%; without market clearing, low-skilled unemployment falls by 1.2 percentage point.

These findings replicates the results of Barrett et al (2002) who find that immigration of skilled or experienced workers in the mid-1990s may have helped reduce earnings inequality and unemployment of those with lower levels of education. The result contrasts with that of Borjas et al (1997). They showed low skilled immigration into the US lowering low-skilled wages and acting to increase earnings inequality.

This simulation treats immigrants and natives with the same level of educational attainment as being identical. However, we found in Section 2 that the occupational pattern of immigrants and natives differs in such a way that immigrants appear to experience an “occupational gap”. Hence, if we treat immigrants and natives with the same level of education as being identical we could well be over-estimating the positive effects of immigration. For this reason, we will consider the estimates in Table 14 to be upper bound estimates of the impacts.

In an attempt to capture this occupational gap we run the simulation assuming that immigrants have the same skills mix as the native population. In essence, we assume that immigrants have the same proportion of people in the no formal qualifications/lower secondary category as the native population (see Table 4). We make this assumption based on the similarity in the occupational distributions of natives and immigrants (see Table 5) and in the absence of a reliable mapping between education levels and occupation levels. It might be argued that this is too strong an adjustment. We would argue in response that the results from this round of estimation should be seen as a lower bound estimate on the impacts of immigration. By combining these estimates with the upper bound estimates above, a good sense of the magnitude of immigration impacts can be established. The results are presented in Table 15.

The overall impact of immigration on the economy is now more muted when the occupational gap is taken into account. The increase in GNP falls from 3.5-3.7% to 2.8-3.0%. This is because wages fall by less than in the previous simulation and so the impact on Irish competitiveness is smaller. The smaller output effect means that the demand impact on low-skilled workers is reduced. This leads to low-skilled wages

falling in this simulation, when market clearing is assumed (or low-skilled unemployment rising, when market clearing is not assumed)¹².

Table 15: Effects of Immigration Adjusting for Occupational Penalty

	No Market Clearing in Low-Skilled Labour Market	Market Clearing Low-Skilled Labour Market
	<i>% Change</i>	
GNP per head	0.5	0.4
GNP per worker	0.8	0.8
GNP	3.0	2.8
Total Employment	2.1	2.1
High-skilled	2.2	2.1
Low-skilled	1.9	1.9
Labour supply	2.2	2.0
High-skilled	2.2	2.1
Low-skilled	2.1	1.9
Average wage	-3.9	-3.7
High-skilled	-4.9	-4.6
Low-skilled	0.0	-0.2
	<i>As % of labour force:</i>	
Unemployment rate	0.1	0.0
Low-skilled unemployment rate	0.2	0.0

Despite the “immigrant occupational gap” the overall estimated macro-economic impact of immigration in Ireland remains positive. However the results are sensitive to the educational distribution of the immigrants. To highlight this and to get a sense of what the impact of immigration would be if there was a large inflow of unskilled people, we reverse the skills mix of the immigrants and simulate what the impact on the Irish economy would be if only 15% are high-skilled and the remaining 85% are low-skilled. The results are presented in Table 16.

Table 16: Effects of Immigration Reversing the Skills Mix of Immigrants

	No Market Clearing in Low-Skilled Labour Market	Market Clearing Low-Skilled Labour Market
	<i>% Change</i>	
GNP per head	-1.4	-1.2
GNP per worker	0.2	0.2

¹² A similar result is obtained by Cohen and Hsieh (2000) when they look at the impact of high-skilled immigration in Israel. They argue that the Russian influx of the 1990s did not lower native skill-premia because the Russians experienced the type of occupational gap observed here and so did not change the relative supply of skilled workers in Israel.

GNP	0.7	0.8
Total Employment	0.5	0.6
High-skilled	0.5	0.6
Low-skilled	0.4	0.5
Labour supply	2.4	0.6
High-skilled	0.5	0.6
Low-skilled	5.5	0.5
Average wage	-0.9	-1.1
High-skilled	-1.1	-0.5
Low-skilled	0.0	-3.7
<i>As % of labour force:</i>		
Unemployment rate	1.8	0.0
Low-skilled unemployment rate	4.1	0.0

As with the earlier simulations, the immigration inflow increases GNP, albeit by a smaller percentage. However, this hides some negative outcomes. In particular, low-skill workers do badly whether we assume market clearing or not. With market clearing, low-skilled wages fall by 3.7 percent. As this fall in low-skilled wages is greater than the percentage fall in high-skill wages, the simulation suggests that low-skilled immigration would increase earnings inequality. Without market clearing, low-skill unemployment rises by 4.1 percentage points. More generally, GNP per head falls. To the extent that GNP per head rather than GNP itself captures living standards, it could be argued that low-skilled immigration has a negative impact.

Section 4: Conclusions

The labour market profile of immigrants in Ireland has shown them to be a young and highly educated group. If it were the case that immigrants and natives were employed in an identical manner in the Irish labour market, our estimation exercise suggests that immigrants who arrived in the five years between 1993 and 2003 increased GNP by between 3.5 and 3.7%. They may also have had another beneficial impact; by reducing earnings of skilled workers and raising those of unskilled workers, the immigrant inflow acted to reduce earnings inequality.

However, the analysis suggests that immigrants and natives with the same education level are not employed in an identical manner and that immigrants experience an occupational gap. This is an important finding because it alters the impact that immigrants will have on the labour market, a point recognised by Cohen and Hsieh (2000). By factoring this into the simulation exercise, we see that the positive impact of immigration on GNP may have been closer to 3%. Similarly, the impact on

earnings inequality is reduced largely because the demand impact which acted to bid up low-skilled wages (or reduce low-skilled unemployment) is lower when the skilled immigrants are not “fully employed”.

Finally, we have shown that although an immigrant inflow that is largely unskilled would increase GNP, the impact on unskilled labour would be very negative. Low-skilled wages could fall by 3.7% or low-skilled unemployment rise by 4.1 percentage points. Also, GNP per head would fall under this scenario, suggesting a decline in living standards.

It should be noted that in focusing our estimation exercise on the direct labour market impacts of immigration, there are a number of other potential impacts of immigration that we are not modelling and hence not capturing. For example, if immigration increases the profitability of firms and hence investment, there could be an outward shift in the labour demand line and hence a second round of effects. Equally, if immigrants put additional pressures on an inelastic housing stock, they could bid up house prices and thereby shift the labour supply curve inwards. While these impacts might be important, our results capture interesting first round impacts against which any additional impacts would have to be assessed.

From a policy perspective, the lessons would appear to be two-fold. First, while Ireland has benefited from a high-skilled inflow in recent times, there is no guarantee that the inflow will continue to be high-skilled. For this reason, to the extent that policy can impact upon the skill level of immigrants, it should aim to promote the inflow of high-skill people. In truth, as Ireland is now a small region in a large area of free labour movement (4 million out of an EU-25 population of 450 million), it is questionable as to how much influence can be brought to bear on the nature of the inflow into Ireland. However, to the extent that the demographic structure of many non-EU countries will lead them to be major suppliers of immigrants in the future, this is still an important policy consideration.

As regards the second policy lesson, the observation that high-skilled immigrants are not employed at a level that reflects their educational attainment points to a potential problem. The precise policy prescription depends on the reason for the occupational

disadvantage and also on whether it disappears over time as immigrants assimilate. As our data do not allow us to identify the length of time each immigrant has been in Ireland, we cannot say anything on this assimilation issue although clearly a degree of assimilation is likely to occur. The cause of the occupation gap may relate to English language skills. It may also relate to inefficient job search on the part on the immigrants or an inability on the part of employers to evaluate non-Irish qualifications. Each of these possibilities suggests a policy response but a more precise understanding of immigrant placement would be needed before a definitive recommendation could be made.

References

- Altonji, J. and D. Card (1991), "The Effects of Immigration on the Labor Market Outcomes of Less-skilled Natives", in J. Abowd and R. Freeman (eds.) *Immigration, Trade and the Labor Market*, Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Barrett, A., J. FitzGerald and B. Nolan (2002), "Earning Inequality, Returns to Education and Immigration into Ireland", *Labour Economics* Vol. 9 No. 5.
- Barrett, A. and F. Trace (1998), "Who Is Coming Back? The Educational Profile of Returning Migrants in the 1990s", *Irish Banking Review*, Summer.
- Bergin, A., J. Cullen, D. Duffy, J. FitzGerald, I. Kearney, D. McCoy (2003), *Medium Term Review 2003-2010*, Dublin ESRI.
- Bergin, A. and I. Kearney (2004) "Human Capital, the Labour Market and Productivity Growth In Ireland", ESRI Working Paper No. 158, The Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin.
- Borjas, G., R. Freeman and L. Katz (1997), "How Much Do Immigration and Trade Affect Labour Market Outcomes?", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, Vol. 1 pp1-67.
- Bradley, J. and J. FitzGerald (1988), "Industrial output and factor input determination in an econometric model of a small open economy", *European Economic Review* 32, 1227-1241.
- Bradley, J., FitzGerald, J., and I. Kearney (1993), "Modelling supply in an open economy using a restricted cost function", *Economic Modelling*, 10 (1), 11-21 January.
- Cohen, S. and C.-T. Hsieh (2000), "Macroeconomic and Labour Market Impact of Russian Immigration in Israel", mimeo, Princeton University.
- CSO (2003), *Usual Residence, Migration, Birthplaces and Nationalities*, Census 2002, Volume 4, Dublin: Stationery Office.
- CSO (2004), *Population and Migration Estimates*, Dublin: CSO.
- Doris, A. (2001), "Labour Supply Elasticity Changes during the 1990s", *Quarterly Economic Commentary*, December.
- Eckstein, Z. and Y. Weiss (2004), "On the Wage Growth of Immigrants: Israel 1991-2000", *Journal of the European Economic Association*, Vol. 2 No. 4.
- Fanning, B., Loyal, S. and C. Staunton (2000), *Asylum Seekers and the Right to Work in Ireland*, Dublin: Irish Refugee Council.

FitzGerald, J. and I. Kearney (2000), "Convergence in Living Standards in Ireland: The Role of the New Economy?", ESRI Working paper No. 134, The Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin.

Frijters, P., M.A. Shields and S. Wheatley-Price (2003), "Immigrant Job Search in the UK: Evidence from Panel Data", IZA Discussion Paper No. 902.

LaLonde, R. and R. Topel (1991), "Labor Market Adjustments to Increased Immigration", in J. Abowd and R. Freeman (eds.) *Immigration, Trade and the Labor Market*, Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Minns, C. (2005), "How Skilled Are Irish Immigrants? Evidence and Implications", paper delivered to the Statistical and Social Inquiry Society of Ireland, 24 February.

Ruhs, M. (2003), "Emerging Trends and Patterns in the Immigration and Employment of Non-EU Nationals in Ireland: What the Data Reveal", Working Paper, The Policy Institute, Trinity College Dublin.

Appendix: Labour Market Model

High-skilled labour supply:

The high-skilled participation decision is modelled as a function of the high-skilled consumption wage and a time trend.

$$\frac{N_H}{POP_H} = f\left(\frac{w_H}{p_c}, time\right)$$

The high skilled population is a function of the natural increase and net immigration, where migration is assumed to be driven by lagged changes in the relative wage between Ireland and the UK.

$$POP_H = f(NIPOP_H, M)$$

$$M = f(relw)$$

We assume a fixed frictional unemployment rate so that these equations together determine the changes in the wage rate necessary to clear the market.

Low-skilled labour supply:

The low-skilled participation decision is modelled as a function of the real low-skilled consumption wage and a time trend.

$$\frac{N_L}{POP_L} = f\left(\frac{w_L}{p_c}, time\right)$$

Within the low-skilled labour market if the replacement ratio is above a certain threshold then the low-skilled wage rate is determined by the replacement ratio. If the replacement ratio falls below this threshold then the low-skilled labour market clears using the same mechanism as in the high-skilled labour market, with the participation equation determining the wage rate and a fixed unemployment rate.

$$\text{If } \frac{sw}{w_L} > w_L_min$$

$$\text{then } w_L = \frac{sw}{w_L_min}$$

$$\text{else } w_L = f(N_L, POP_L, p_c, time)$$

Output Determination:

Irish output is a function of world output and competitiveness (vis-a-vis the UK and Germany) where competitiveness is measured in terms of relative unit labour costs.

$$Q^i = f(Q_w, ulc_i, ulc_j)$$

Labour Demand:

Labour demand for the composite labour input is a function of output and the real wage:

$$L = f\left(Q^i, \frac{w}{pc}\right)$$

Within the total labour input bundle the relative demand for high-skilled labour is determined as a function of the relative wage and technical progress (time).

$$L_H = f\left(\frac{w_H}{w_L}, time\right)$$

Other equations which close the model:

$$w = \frac{w_H * L_H + w_L * L_L}{L}$$

$$L = L_H + L_L$$

$$N = N_H + N_L$$

$$M_t = (POPH_t - POPH_{t-1} - NIPOPH_t)$$

$$POP_L = NIPOP_L + M_L$$

$$POP = POP_L + POP_H$$

$$ULC = \frac{YWNA}{GNP}$$

$$YWNA = w_H * L_H + w_L * L_L$$

Variable definitions and Data Sources

All data from ESRI databank (Bergin and Fitz Gerald, 2003) unless otherwise stated.

GNP:	Constant price GNP.
L:	Non-agricultural employment, total.
HK:	Human capital index, see Appendix 1.
PC:	Personal consumption deflator.
WL:	Low-skilled wage, measured as average wage in clothing sector.
WH:	High-skilled wage, measured as average wage in non-agricultural sector excluding clothing.

NH:	High-skilled labour supply.
RELW	Relative after-tax wage between Ireland and the UK.
POPH:	High-skilled population of working age, where high-skilled is defined as those aged 15-64 with at least higher secondary education.
T:	Annual time trend, measured as actual calendar year.
NL :	Low-skilled labour supply.
POPL:	Low-skilled population of working age, where low-skilled is defined as those aged 15-64 with education below higher secondary level.
W_{UK} :	Wage rate in business sector, UK.
PC_{UK} :	Consumer price index, UK.
WSW:	Average rate of unemployment benefit for 1 adult and 3 dependents.
WL_MIN:	threshold replacement ratio, = 0.65.
M:	Net immigration.
NIPOPH:	Natural increase in high-skilled population.
NIPOPL:	Natural increase in low-skilled population.