

Chow, Gregory C.; Liu, Changjiang; Niu, Linlin

Working Paper

Co-movements of Shanghai and New York Stock prices by time-varying regressions

BOFIT Discussion Papers, No. 16/2011

Provided in Cooperation with:

Bank of Finland, Helsinki

Suggested Citation: Chow, Gregory C.; Liu, Changjiang; Niu, Linlin (2011) : Co-movements of Shanghai and New York Stock prices by time-varying regressions, BOFIT Discussion Papers, No. 16/2011, ISBN 978-952-462-710-8, Bank of Finland, Institute for Economics in Transition (BOFIT), Helsinki,
<https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:fi:bof-201408071947>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/212704>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

BOFIT Discussion Papers
16 • 2011

Gregory C Chow, Changjiang Liu
and Linlin Niu

Co-movements of Shanghai and New York
Stock prices by time-varying regressions



EUROJÄRJESTELMÄ
EUROSYSTEMET

Bank of Finland, BOFIT
Institute for Economies in Transition

BOFIT Discussion Papers
Editor-in-Chief Laura Solanko

BOFIT Discussion Papers 16/2011
20.6.2011

Gregory C Chow, Changjiang Liu and Linlin Niu: Co-movements of
Shanghai and New York Stock prices by time-varying regressions

ISBN 978-952- 462-710-8
ISSN 1456-5889
(online)

This paper can be downloaded without charge from
<http://www.bof.fi/bofit>
or from the Social Science Research Network electronic library at
http://ssrn.com/abstract_id=.

Suomen Pankki
Helsinki 2011

Contents

Abstract	3
Tiivistelmä	4
1 Introduction.....	5
2 Co-movement of prices of stocks traded in the Shanghai and New York stock exchanges in two sample periods	7
3 Specification of time-varying coefficient regressions for co-movement between stock returns in Shanghai and New York	10
4 Estimation results.....	11
5 Conclusions.....	15
References	16

All opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Bank of Finland.

Gregory C Chow ^a, Changjiang Liu ^b, Linlin Niu ^{b,c}

Co-movements of Shanghai and New York Stock prices by time-varying regressions

Abstract

We estimate a time-varying regression model to study the relationship between returns in the Shanghai and New York stock markets, with possible inclusion of lagged returns. The parameters of the regressions reveal that the effect of the current stock return for New York on that for Shanghai steadily increases after the 1997 Asian financial crisis and turns significantly and persistently positive after 2002, when China entered WTO. The effect of the current return for Shanghai on New York also becomes significantly positive and increasing after 2002. The upward trend has been interrupted during the recent global financial crisis, but reaches the level of about 0.4 to 0.5 in 2010 for both markets. Our results show that China's stock market has become more and more integrated into the world market in the past twenty years, with interruptions occurring during the recent global economic downturn.

JEL classification: C29; C58; G14; P43

Keywords: China; Globalization; Rate of Return; Stock Markets; Time-varying parameter regression.

Acknowledgements

Gregory Chow acknowledges financial support from the Gregory C Chow Econometric Research Program of Princeton University. Linlin Niu acknowledges the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 70903053).

^a Department of Economics, Fisher Hall Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, US

^b Wang Yanan Institute for Study in Economics (WISE), Xiamen University, 361005, Xiamen, Fujian, China

^c Bank of Finland, Institute for Economies in Transition (BOFIT), PO Box 160, FI-00101 Helsinki, Finland

Gregory C Chow, Changjiang Liu and Linlin Niu

Co-movements of Shanghai and New York Stock prices by time-varying regressions

Tiivistelmä

Tutkimuksessa estimoidaan ajassa muuttuva regressiomalli, jolla tutkitaan Shanghain ja New Yorkin osakepörssien tuottojen suhdetta. Tulokset osoittavat, että New Yorkin pörssin nykytuottojen vaikutus Shanghain tuottoihin on kasvanut tasaisesti vuoden 1997 Aasian finanssikriisistä alkaen. Vaikutus on ollut merkittävä ja positiivinen vuoden 2002 jälkeen, jolloin Kiinasta tuli WTO:n jäsen. Myös toisensuuntainen riippuvuus eli Shanghain nykyhetken tuottojen vaikutus New Yorkin pörssiin on ollut selvästi positiivinen ja kasvava vuodesta 2002 alkaen. Kehitys pysähtyi globaalin finanssikriisin vuoksi, mutta estimoidut parametriarvot olivat silti 0,4:stä 0,5:een vuonna 2010. Tulokset osoittavat, että Kiinan osakemarkkinat ovat viimeisten kahdenkymmenen vuoden aikana integroituneet yhä vahvemmin maailmanmarkkinoihin.

JEL: C29; C58; G14; P43

Asiasanat: Kiina, globalisaatio, osakemarkkinat, tuotto, ajassa muuttuva regressiomalli

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the co-movement of price indices for stocks traded in the Shanghai and New York stock exchanges using time-varying regressions. The parameters of the regressions will show the extent to which these two markets are becoming integrated in the course of time. The estimates of the parameters are also measures of China's economic globalization, i.e., the extent to which China's financial markets are affected by the US markets and US markets by the Chinese markets.

Globalization consists of flows among nations of goods, capital, people, and the accompanying information and technology. Since China opened up to the outside world in 1978, its economy has been gradually integrating into the rest of the world via international trade and foreign direct investment. This process has accelerated since China joined the WTO at the end of 2001. The flow of financial capital is an important component of globalization. China's capital market has experienced twenty years of rapid development since the Shanghai Stock Exchange was established in December 1990 and the Shenzhen Stock Exchange was founded one year later. As of the end of 2009, China's A-share market has become the world's second-largest after the United States, with a market value of 24.27 trillion RMB yuan (\$3.57 trillion). However, the extent to which China's financial market is integrated into the world markets remains an open question that has not been adequately measured. For China, the flows of both physical capital, in the form of direct foreign investment, and financial capital are regulated by the government. There was a distinction between stocks traded in China: only Chinese citizens can purchase A shares whereas B shares are reserved for foreigners.

Various papers have investigated the linkages among stock markets in and around China, such as Zhu et al. (2004), Groenewold et al. (2004) and Zhang et al. (2009), or the relationship between Chinese and other stock markets, such as Ghosh et al. (1999), Chow and Lawler (2003), Cheng and Glascock (2005) and Li (2007). Among the first group, Zhu et al. (2004) reject a causal relationship and cointegration between market returns in Shanghai, Shenzhen and Hong Kong; Groenewold et al. (2004) support cointegration between Shanghai and Shenzhen, but reject it between mainland markets and Hong Kong and Taiwan. Zhang et al. (2009) find weak return linkage and no volatility spillover between Shanghai and Hong Kong, but volatility linkage in both tails. Among the second group of papers, in which international linkages are studied, Chow and Lawler (2003) find no correlation between Shanghai and New York stock returns and negative correlation between

their volatilities, using weekly data from 1992 to 2002. They characterize the negative correlation in volatility as spurious and driven by macroeconomic fundamentals in the United States and China, as indicated by negative correlation between the rates of change in their GDPs while their capital markets were not integrated. Li (2007) finds evidence of spillover between Hong Kong and China's stock market, employing multivariate GARCH and daily data from January 2000 to August 2005, but no spillover between China and US markets; other papers generally also reject cointegration and spillover between China and the US market.

In this paper we use time-varying regression to model the relationship between returns in the Shanghai and New York stock markets, with the possible inclusion of lagged returns. The parameters of the regressions reveal the extent to which these two markets are integrated over time. Our econometric model implies a nonstationary relationship between the variables for China and for the outside world. Under such circumstances, a cointegration test assuming long run equilibrium is inappropriate for finding the trend of integration. Likewise, by assuming the existence of an unconditional covariance matrix of returns, multivariate GARCH models and stochastic volatility models assume stationarity and tend to emphasize high frequency changes in volatility and covolatility but ignore the underlying smooth structural change modeled in this paper. In spite of the institutional restrictions in China's financial market, we find robust evidence of a steady increase of integration between the Shanghai and New York stock markets, with the integration strengthening after China joined the WTO. The process was disturbed during the recent financial crisis, but the trend was restored in 2010.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We first present in section 2 a comparison of regressions of the rate of return and of volatility of stocks traded in the Shanghai Stock Exchange on the corresponding variables for the New York Stock exchange in the two periods 1992-2002 and 2002-2010. In section 3 we present three specifications of models of regression with time-varying parameters to study the co-movement between the rates of return for stocks traded in the Shanghai and New York stock markets. The results from estimating these models, presented in section 4, show that regression with time-varying parameters nicely depicts the co-movement of stock prices in the two markets. These results agree with the history of China's globalization and the recent world economic downturn during the period studied. Section 5 concludes.

2 Co-movement of prices of stocks traded in the Shanghai and New York stock exchanges in two sample periods

Stocks were first traded on the Shanghai stock market in December 1990, before the Chinese economy was integrated with the world economy. Chow and Lawler (2003) studied the co-movements of prices of stocks traded on the Shanghai Stock Market and the New York Stock Market, using weekly data from the start of 1992 to February 2002. Daily movements were not used because of the time difference between Shanghai and New York, with trading in Shanghai preceding that in New York. Monthly intervals are too long and miss the co-variation in the prices of stocks traded in the two markets. Chow and Lawler (2003) used two variables to measure the weekly movement of prices of stocks in the two markets. The first is the rate of return, r_t , which is the rate of change in weekly prices, calculated as the log difference in price: $\ln(P_t) - \ln(P_{t-1})$. The second is volatility, measured by the absolute value of the rate of return $|r_t|$. The study showed no co-movement in the prices in these two markets and predicted that the co-movement would increase in the course of time following integration of the Chinese economy into the world economy.

In this section we examine weekly returns on Shanghai and New York stocks for two subsamples. The first is from 1992-01-27 to 2002-02-25, as in Chow and Lawler (2003), and the second is from 2002-03-04 to 2010-12-27, with 511 and 455 observations respectively, after excluding holidays at either market as well as missing data. For the entire sample the start of 2002 is a reasonable break point. The two stock price indices used in Chow and Lawler (2003) and in this paper are the Shanghai Composite Index and the NYSE Composite Index, as reported in Datastream International. The two subsamples divide the sample roughly into halves. At the end of 2001 China joined WTO, which promotes the economic and financial integration of China's financial market into the world financial market.

For the whole sample and each subsample, Table 1 presents summary statistics of returns and volatilities (measured by absolute return) in Table 1. Table 2 compares correlations of the two measures between the two markets.

Table 1 Rate of return and volatility in full sample and two sub-samples

	Shanghai Rate of Return			New York Rate of Return		
	Full Sample	Before Feb.2002	After Feb. 2002	Full Sample	Before Feb.2002	After Feb. 2002
Mean	0.002308	0.003095	0.001405	0.001245	0.001846	0.000554
Variance	0.0037	0.075292	0.038073	0.000630	0.019708	0.030129
	Shanghai Volatility			New York Volatility		
	Full Sample	Before Feb.2002	After Feb. 2002	Full Sample	Before Feb.2002	After Feb. 2002
Mean	0.035455	0.041297	0.028748	0.016823	0.014644	0.019326
Variance	0.002449	0.063005	0.024964	0.000348	0.013303	0.023103

Table 2 Correlation of rate of return and volatility

Rate of return			
	Full sample	Before Feb. 2002	After Feb. 2002
Correlation	0.041632	-0.022727	0.151953
Volatility			
	Full sample	Before Feb. 2002	After Feb. 2002
Correlation	0.000598	-0.120583	0.257922

From the above two tables, three observations are in order.

1. Overall, the mean and variance of the Shanghai Stock return are higher.
2. In the first subsample, up before Feb. 2002, the Shanghai stock return experienced a highly volatile period due to its early developmental stage and the 1997 Asian crisis. During the recent financial crisis in 2007-2008, the New York stock return experienced a surge in volatility; the Shanghai stock volatility was affected to some extent, but the change in volatility is not as drastic as for the New York counterpart.
3. The correlation of returns between the two markets turns from negative before 2002 to positive after. This happens both in terms of return and its absolute value, a proxy for volatility. The negative correlation before 2002 was explained in Chow and Lawler (2003) as driven by macro fundamentals, which were different in the two countries. The positive correlation after 2002 reflects economic and financial integration through time.

In what follows, we regress rate of return in one market on its own lag term and on the current and lagged returns of the other market. For Shanghai the lag terms are selected first by AIC for the first subsample in order to be comparable to the Chow and Lawler (2003) subsample. Then we run the same regression for the full sample and the other subsample in order to see the difference between different periods. In Table 3, the first column displays variable names, where “S” stands for Shanghai and “N” for New York, and the numbers 0 and 1 denote lag orders. For each coefficient, we report the associated t-statistics.

Table 3 Regressions of rate of return for Shanghai and New York Stock indices

	Shanghai			New York		
	All Data (obs. 955)	Before 02.2002 (obs. 510)	After 02.2002 (obs. 444)	All Data (obs. 955)	Before 02.2002 (obs. 510)	After 02.2002 (obs. 444)
Lag	Coef. (t-stats.)	Coef. (t-stats.)	Coef. (t-stats.)	Coef. (t-stats.)	Coef. (t-stats.)	Coef. (t-stats.)
S0				.0225 (1.68)	-.0057 (-0.49)	.1368 (3.63*)
S1	.1090 (3.38*)	.1235 (2.80*)	.0337 (0.72)	-.0260 (-1.94)	-.0036 (-0.31)	-.1172 (-3.16*)
N0	.1318 (1.68)	-.0828 (-0.49)	.2131 (3.63*)			
N1	.1675 (2.14*)	-.0259 (-0.15)	.2614 (4.46*)	-.0880 (-2.73*)	-.1240 (-2.80*)	-.0845 (-1.77)
Cons.	.0017 (0.85)	.0029 (0.86)	.0009 (0.52)	.0014 (1.70)	.0021 (2.43*)	.0006 (0.40)
R²	.0189	.0158	.0684	.0138	.0159	.0546
RMSE	.0604	.0750	.0367	.0250	.0196	.0294

From the multivariate regressions in Table 3 for returns, it is evident that after 2002, the interaction between markets is stronger. A star indicates that the return on the foreign market has a significant effect. It can be seen that not only do the lagged and current values of returns from the New York market affect the corresponding variables in Shanghai, but the effects also run from Shanghai to New York.

3 Specification of time-varying coefficient regressions for co-movement between stock returns in Shanghai and New York

As revealed by the statistics and linear regressions presented in the last section, there have been significant structural changes in the co-movement of returns on the Shanghai and New York stock markets. In this section we specify three time-varying coefficient regressions of the rate of return for one market on the return for the other market. In a bivariate distribution there are two regressions. Movements in the New York Stock Exchange represent a larger part of the global financial activities than movements in the Shanghai Stock Exchange. To reflect possible asymmetric effects between these two markets, we run the regressions in both directions. In each specification, the time-varying coefficient of the current foreign market return is modeled as a random walk process. The random walk model is appropriate because an autoregression coefficient of less than unity would imply a stationary process with the parameter converging to a constant.

For robustness, we consider three specifications of the regressions for co-movement between the Shanghai and New York stock returns. The first specification is the simplest one where return on domestic market is regressed only on the foreign return, with a constant intercept and time-varying coefficient.

Model I:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \alpha + \beta_t r_t^* + e_t, & e_t &\sim N(0, \sigma_e^2), \\ \beta_t &= \beta_{t-1} + u_t, & u_t &\sim N(0, \sigma_u^2) \end{aligned}$$

The second specification adds one lagged domestic return with constant coefficient to the first specification. The third specification adds to the second specification one lagged foreign return as an additional regressor with time-varying coefficient. This choice of a time-varying coefficient reflects the subsample comparison in Table 3 of Section 2 for those coefficients which change signs and are significant for the second subsample.

Model II:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \alpha + \beta_t r_t^* + \gamma r_{t-1} + e_t, & e_t &\sim N(0, \sigma_e^2), \\ \beta_t &= \beta_{t-1} + u_t, & u_t &\sim N(0, \sigma_u^2) \end{aligned}$$

Model III:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \alpha + \beta_{1,t} r_t^* + \gamma r_{t-1} + \beta_{2,t} r_{t-1}^* + e_t, & e_t &\sim N(0, \sigma_e^2), \\ \beta_{1,t} &= \beta_{1,t-1} + u_{1t}, & u_{1t} &\sim N(0, \sigma_{u1}^2) \\ \beta_{2,t} &= \beta_{2,t-1} + u_{2t}, & u_{2t} &\sim N(0, \sigma_{u2}^2) \end{aligned}$$


These time-varying coefficient models fit naturally into the state-space framework. The states here are the time-varying parameters. Given the constant coefficients, the time-varying latent states can be estimated by a Kalman filter. For the estimation of the constant coefficients and the latent states together, we use Bayesian inference with a Gibbs sampler. The prior distributions of autoregressive coefficients such as α, γ are normal, which produces posterior normal distributions. The prior distributions of parameters such as $\sigma_e^2, \sigma_{u1}^2, \sigma_{u2}^2$ are inverse Gamma, which produces posterior inverse Gamma distributions. These parameters can be taken in random draws directly. The Kalman filter step for the latent states is embedded in the Gibbs sampler, and we use the algorithm of DeJong and Shephard (1995) to draw from the posterior distribution of time-varying parameters. The hyperparameters of prior distribution for time-varying latent states are set at relatively large values, which allows the time-varying coefficients to change substantively over time.

4 Estimation results

In this section, we present the parameter estimates for each model for both market returns. For the constant coefficients, we report the estimates in the equation and show the standard deviation in parentheses; for time-varying coefficients, we plot the estimated process in a figure.

Model I:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^{\text{sh}} &= 0.0026(0.002487) + \beta_t r_t^{\text{ny}} + e_t \\ r_t^{\text{ny}} &= 0.0012(0.001285) + \beta_t r_t^{\text{sh}} + e_t \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1. Plot of β_t 

From the first equation and the first plot we observe how the New York market influences the Shanghai market. In this simplest specification, the effect of the current stock return for New York on Shanghai, measured by β_t , steadily increases after the 1997 Asian crisis. The impact turns significantly and persistently positive after 2002, when China entered WTO. The upward trend was interrupted during the recent financial crisis, but in 2010 it returned to the pre-crisis level as the markets gradually recovered from the financial and economic turmoil.


From the second equation and the second plot we observe how the Shanghai market influences the New York market. The effect of Shanghai on New York is weaker (as expected) and is close to zero before 2002. The impact becomes positive and increases after 2002, but it reverses direction during the financial crisis, and then increases rapidly in 2009 and 2010.

Overall, it is clear that the co-movement between Shanghai and New York stock returns have become positively related and stronger in the past decade, with interruptions associated with the global financial market turmoil.

Model II:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^{\text{sh}} &= 0.0021(0.001935) + \beta_t r_t^{\text{ny}} + 0.0975(0.2784) r_{t-1}^{\text{sh}} + e_t \\ r_t^{\text{ny}} &= 0.0014(0.001396) + \beta_t r_t^{\text{sh}} + 0.0843(0.1513) r_{t-1}^{\text{ny}} + e_t \end{aligned}$$

Figure 2. Plot of β_t



From the results for the second model, where an autoregressive part is added to explain the domestic return, the paths of the time-varying coefficients for the two markets are fairly similar to the paths of the first model. The effect of the New York market on the Shanghai market has been stronger than the effect in the opposite direction. Both coefficients turn persistently positive after 2002. After the financial crisis, the coefficient resumes a high value of about 0.4-0.5.

Model

III:

$$r_t^{sh} = 0.0026(0.002200) + \beta_{1,t} r_t^{ny} - 0.0103(0.03481) r_{t-1}^{sh} + \beta_{2,t} r_{t-1}^{ny} + e_t$$

$$r_t^{ny} = 0.0013(0.0099) + \beta_{1,t} r_t^{sh} - 0.0378(0.04755) r_{t-1}^{ny} + \beta_{2,t} r_{t-1}^{sh} + e_t$$

Figure 3. Plot of β_{1t}





Figure 4. Plot of β_{2t} 

To further check the robustness of the time-varying coefficients of current returns of the foreign market, we add one lagged foreign market return also with a time-varying coefficient. This specification is motivated by the results of estimation presented in Section 2, where we have found the coefficient of the lagged foreign return becoming statistically insignificant in the latter subsample.

The results show that:

- 1) The coefficients of the current foreign returns remain robust in the presence of the lag variable, with paths similar in shape to those based on Models I and II .
- 2) Conditional on the impact of current foreign returns, the effects of the lagged foreign returns are less important. For Shanghai, lagged New York return seems to have positive effects around 1994 while the effect of current return is zero or negative, indicating that the information on the New York return may affect Shanghai stock prices with a time lag. But with β_{1t} turning significantly positive after 2002, β_{2t} moves closer to zero while remaining positive most of the time.
- 3) For New York, conditional on the presence of β_{1t} , β_{2t} is not significantly different from zero, showing the weak lagged effect of the Shanghai market.

5 Conclusions

By using time-varying regressions, this paper has provided estimates of the degrees of dependence of the Shanghai stock market on the New York market and the dependence in the opposite direction. Weekly estimates are provided from January 1992 to December 2010. The time-varying coefficients obtained by regressing current returns of Shanghai (New York) on New York (Shanghai) are fairly robust over alternative specifications.

As Figure 1 shows, the effect of current stock return for New York on Shanghai steadily increases after the 1997 Asian crisis and turns significantly and persistently positive after 2002, when China entered WTO. For New York, it is also the case that the effect of current return for Shanghai becomes significantly positive and increasing after 2002. The upward trend has been disturbed during the recent financial crisis, but reaches the level of about 0.4-0.5 in 2010 for both markets. China's stock market has become more and more integrated into the world market over the past twenty years. Our results provide measures of this integration.

It remains the task of future research to build models to explain the process of economic globalization itself, with China and the US playing important roles.

References

- Cheng, H. and J. Glascock, 2005. Dynamic linkages between the greater china economic area stock markets -- Mainland China, Hong Kong, and Taiwan. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 24(4):343–357.
- Chow G. C. and C.C. Lawler, 2003. A time series analysis of Shanghai and New York stock price indices. *Annals of Economics and Finance*, 4:17–35.
- DeJong D. and N. Shephard, 1995. The Simulation Smoother for Time Series Models, *Biometrika*, 82:339–350.
- Ghosh, A., R. Saidi and K. Johnson, 1999. Who Moves the Asia-Pacific Stock Markets -US or Japan? Empirical Evidence Based on the Theory of Cointegration. *Financial review*, 34(1):159–169.
- Groenewold, N., S. Tang and Y. Wu, 2004. The dynamic interrelationships between the greater China share markets. *China Economic Review*, 15(1):45–62.
- Li, H., 2007. International linkages of the Chinese stock exchanges: a multivariate GARCH analysis. *Applied Financial Economics*, 17:285–297.
- Zhang, S., I. Paya and D. Peel, 2009. Linkages between Shanghai and Hong Kong stock indices. *Applied Financial Economics*, 19(23):1847–1857.
- Zhu, H., Z. Lu and S. Wang, 2004. Causal linkages among Shanghai, Shenzhen, and Hong Kong stock markets. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 7(2):135–149.

Earlier BOFIT Discussion Papers

For a complete list of Discussion Papers published by BOFIT, see
www.bof.fi/bofit

- 2010
- No 1 Anatoly Peresetsky: Bank cost efficiency in Kazakhstan and Russia
 - No 2 Laurent Weill: Do Islamic banks have greater market power?
 - No 3 Zuzana Fungáčová, Laura Solanko and Laurent Weill: Market power in the Russian banking industry
 - No 4 Allen N. Berger, Iftekhar Hasan and Mingming Zhou: The effects of focus versus diversification on bank performance: Evidence from Chinese banks
 - No 5 William Pyle and Laura Solanko: The composition and interests of Russia's business lobbies: A test of Olson's "encompassing organization" hypothesis
 - No 6 Yu-Fu Chen, Michael Funke and Nicole Glanemann: Off-the-record target zones: Theory with an application to Hong Kong's currency board
 - No 7 Vladimir Sokolov: Bi-currency versus single-currency targeting: Lessons from the Russian experience
 - No 8 Alexei Karas, William Pyle and Koen Schoors: The effect of deposit insurance on market discipline: Evidence from a natural experiment on deposit flows
 - No 9 Allen N. Berger, Iftekhar Hasan, Iikka Korhonen, Mingming Zhou: Does diversification increase or decrease bank risk and performance? Evidence on diversification and the risk-return tradeoff in banking
 - No 10 Aaron Mehrotra and José R. Sánchez-Fung: China's monetary policy and the exchange rate
 - No 11 Michael Funke and Hao Yu: The emergence and spatial distribution of Chinese seaport cities
 - No 12 Alexey A. Ponomarenko and Sergey A. Vlasov: Russian fiscal policy during the financial crisis
 - No 13 Aaron Mehrotra and Alexey A. Ponomarenko: Wealth effects and Russian money demand
 - No 14 Asel Isakova: Currency substitution in the economies of Central Asia: How much does it cost?
 - No 15 Eric Girardin and Konstantin A. Kholodilin: How helpful are spatial effects in forecasting the growth of Chinese provinces?
 - No 16 Christophe J. Godlewski, Zuzana Fungáčová and Laurent Weill: Stock market reaction to debt financing arrangements in Russia
 - No 17 Zuzana Fungáčová, Laurent Weill, Mingming Zhou: Bank capital, liquidity creation and deposit insurance
 - No 18 Tuuli Koivu: Monetary policy, asset prices and consumption in China
 - No 19 Michael Funke and Michael Paetz: What can an open-economy DSGE model tell us about Hong Kong's housing market?
 - No 20 Pierre Pessarossi, Christophe J. Godlewski and Laurent Weill: Foreign bank lending and information asymmetries in China
- 2011
- No 1 Aaron Mehrotra and Jenni Pääkkönen: Comparing China's GDP statistics with coincident indicators
 - No 2 Marco Lo Duca and Tuomas Peltonen: Macro-financial vulnerabilities and future financial stress - Assessing systemic risks and predicting systemic events
 - No 3 Sabine Herrmann and Dubravko Mihaljek: The determinants of cross-border bank flows to emerging markets: New empirical evidence on the spread of financial crises
 - No 4 Rajeev K. Goel and Aaron Mehrotra: Financial settlement modes and corruption: Evidence from developed nations
 - No 5 Aaron Mehrotra, Riikka Nuutilainen and Jenni Pääkkönen: Changing economic structures and impacts of shocks - evidence from a DSGE model for China
 - No 6 Christophe J. Godlewski, Rima Turk-Ariss and Laurent Weill: Do markets perceive sukuk and conventional bonds as different financing instruments?
 - No 7 Petr Jakubik: Households' response to economic crisis
 - No 8 Wing Thyee Woo: China's economic growth engine: The likely types of hardware failure, software failure and power supply failure
 - No 9 Juan Carlos and Carmen Broto: Flexible inflation targets, forex interventions and exchange rate volatility in emerging countries
 - No 10 Andrei Yakovlev: State-business relations in Russia in the 2000s: From the capture model to a variety of exchange models?
 - No 11 Olena Havrylchyk: The effect of foreign bank presence on firm entry and exit in transition economies
 - No 12 Jesús Crespo Cuaresma, Markus Eller and Aaron Mehrotra: The Economic transmission of fiscal policy shocks from Western to Eastern Europe
 - No 13 Yu-Fu Chen, Michael Funke and Aaron Mehrotra: What drives urban consumption in mainland China? The role of property price dynamics
 - No 14 Mikael Mattlin and Matti Nojonen: Conditionality in Chinese bilateral lending
 - No 15 John Knight and Wei Wang: China's Macroeconomic Imbalances: Causes and Consequences
 - No 16 Gregory C Chow, Changjiang Liu and Linlin Niu: Co-movements of Shanghai and New York Stock prices by time-varying regressions

Bank of Finland
BOFIT – Institute for Economies in Transition
PO Box 160
FIN-00101 Helsinki

 + 358 10 831 2268

bofit@bof.fi

<http://www.bof.fi/bofit>