

Bask, Mikael; Liu, Tung; Widerberg, Anna

Working Paper

The stability of electricity prices: estimation and inference of the Lyapunov exponents

Bank of Finland Research Discussion Papers, No. 9/2006

Provided in Cooperation with:

Bank of Finland, Helsinki

Suggested Citation: Bask, Mikael; Liu, Tung; Widerberg, Anna (2006) : The stability of electricity prices: estimation and inference of the Lyapunov exponents, Bank of Finland Research Discussion Papers, No. 9/2006, ISBN 952-462-276-9, Bank of Finland, Helsinki, <https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:fi:bof-20140807603>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/212034>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.


Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Mikael Bask – Tung Liu – Anna Widerberg

The stability of electricity prices: estimation and inference of the Lyapunov exponents



EUROJÄRJESTELMÄ
EUROSYSTEMET

Bank of Finland Research
Discussion Papers
9 • 2006

**Suomen Pankki
Bank of Finland
P.O.Box 160
FI-00101 HELSINKI
Finland
☎ + 358 10 8311**

<http://www.bof.fi>

Mikael Bask* – Tung Liu** – Anna Widerberg***

The stability of electricity prices: estimation and inference of the Lyapunov exponents

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Bank of Finland.

- * Corresponding author. Postal address: Monetary Policy and Research Department, Bank of Finland, P.O. Box 160, FIN-00101 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail address: mikael.bask@bof.fi
- ** Postal address: Department of Economics, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana 47306, USA, E-mail address: tliu@bsu.edu
- *** Postal address: Department of Economics, P.O. Box 640, SE-405 30 Göteborg, Sweden. E-mail address: anna.widerberg@economics.gu.se

An earlier draft of this paper has benefited from a presentation at the '14th Annual Symposium of the Society for Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics' in St. Louis, USA, March 24–25, 2006. Of course, the usual disclaimer applies.

<http://www.bof.fi>

ISBN 952-462-276-9
ISSN 0785-3572
(print)

ISBN 952-462-277-7
ISSN 1456-6184
(online)

Helsinki 2006

The stability of electricity prices: estimation and inference of the Lyapunov exponents

Bank of Finland Research
Discussion Papers 9/2006

Mikael Bask –Tung Liu – Anna Widerberg
Monetary Policy and Research Department

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to illustrate how the stability of a stochastic dynamic system is measured using the Lyapunov exponents. Specifically, we use a feedforward neural network to estimate these exponents as well as asymptotic results for this estimator to test for unstable (chaotic) dynamics. The data set used is spot electricity prices from the Nordic power exchange market. Nord Pool, and the dynamic system that generates these prices appears to be chaotic in one case.

Key words: feedforward neural network, Nord Pool, Lyapunov exponents, spot electricity prices, stochastic dynamic system

JEL classification numbers: C12, C14, C22

Lyapunovin eksponenttien estimointi ja siihen liittyvän tilastollisen inferenssin käyttö sähkön hintadynamiikan luonnehdinnassa

Suomen Pankin tutkimus
Keskustelualoitteita 9/2006

Mikael Bask –Tung Liu – Anna Widerberg
Rahapolitiikka- ja tutkimusosasto

Tiivistelmä

Tässä tutkimuksessa havainnollistetaan Lyapunovin eksponenttien käyttöä stokastisesti kehittyvän dynaamisen järjestelmän vakauden arvioinnissa. Työssä käytetään myötäkytkentäeuroverkkoa näiden eksponenttien estimoinnissa. Tämän estimaattorin asymptoottista jakaumateoriaa käyttäen testataan, voidaanko kaoottista dynamiikasta koskeva hypoteesi hylätä Pohjoismaiden sähkömarkkinoiden käteishinnoista koostuvassa aineistossa. Tulosten mukaan sähkön hinnan generoiva prosessi Pohjoismaiden yhteisillä sähkömarkkinoilla on dynamiikaltaan kaoottinen.

Avainsanat: myötäkytkentäeuroverkko, Nord Pool, Lyapunovin eksponentit, sähkön käteismarkkinahinnat, stokastisesti kehittyvä dynaaminen järjestelmä

JEL-luokittelu: C12, C14, C22

Contents

Abstract.....	3
Tiivistelmä (abstract in Finnish)	4
1 Introduction	7
2 The Lyapunov exponents.....	7
2.1 Definition of the Lyapunov exponents	7
2.2 Motivation of the Lyapunov exponents.....	8
2.3 Estimation of the Lyapunov exponents	9
2.4 Inference of the Lyapunov exponents.....	11
3 Illustration: stability of electricity prices.....	11
3.1 Nord Pool and data set used	11
3.2 Empirical results	13
4 Concluding remarks.....	18
References.....	19

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to illustrate how the stability of a stochastic dynamic system is measured using the Lyapunov exponents. Specifically, we use a feedforward neural network to estimate these exponents as well as asymptotic results for this estimator to test for unstable (chaotic) dynamics, where a positive exponent is an operational definition of chaos. The data set used is spot electricity prices from the Nordic power exchange market, Nord Pool.

The estimation of the Lyapunov exponents using the feedforward neural network can be found in earlier studies such as Dechert and Gencay (1992), Gencay and Dechert (1992), McCaffrey et al (1992) and Nychka et al (1992). The empirical estimation of these exponents has been proved to be quite accurate when applying chaotic series with additive noise in simulations. However, the statistical properties of the Lyapunov exponent estimator were unknown until Shintani and Linton's 2004 paper (see Shintani and Linton (2004)), and without the statistical distribution for this estimator, no statistical conclusion can be drawn on the dynamic structure of the empirical data.

This paper applies the statistical distribution derived in Shintani and Linton (2004) to test the stability of spot electricity prices from Nord Pool, and the stochastic dynamic system that generates these prices appears to be chaotic in one case.

The rest of this short paper is organized as follows: The Lyapunov exponents are in focus in Section 2, the empirical illustration is carried out in Section 3, and Section 4 concludes the paper.

2 The Lyapunov exponents

The aim of this section is fourfold: (i) to define the Lyapunov exponents of a stochastic dynamic system; (ii) to motivate why these exponents provide a measure of the stability of a stochastic dynamic system; (iii) to demonstrate how the Lyapunov exponents can be estimated from time series data; and (iv) to demonstrate how hypothesis tests of these exponents can be constructed.

2.1 Definition of the Lyapunov exponents

As argued in Bask and de Luna (2002) and (2005), and to be further explained in Section 2.2, the Lyapunov exponents can be used in the determination of the stability of a stochastic dynamic system. Specifically, assume that the stochastic dynamic system, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, generating, for example, asset returns is

$$S_{t+1} = f(S_t) + \varepsilon_{t+1}^s, \quad (2.1)$$

where S_t and ε_t^s are the state of the system and a shock to the system, respectively, both at time $t \in [1, 2, \dots, \infty]$. For an n -dimensional system

as in (2.1), there are n Lyapunov exponents that are ranked from the largest to the smallest exponent

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n, \quad (2.2)$$

and it is these exponents that provide information on the stability properties of the dynamic system f in (2.1).

Now, how are the Lyapunov exponents in (2.2) defined? Temporarily, assume that there are no shocks to the dynamic system f in (2.1), and consider how the system amplifies a small difference between the initial states S_0 and S'_0

$$S_j - S'_j = f^j(S_0) - f^j(S'_0) \simeq Df^j(S_0)(S_0 - S'_0), \quad (2.3)$$

where $f^j(S_0) = f(\dots f(f(S_0))\dots)$ denotes j successive iterations of the dynamic system starting at state S_0 , and where Df is the Jacobian of the system

$$Df^j(S_0) = Df(S_{j-1}) Df(S_{j-2}) \dots Df(S_0). \quad (2.4)$$

Then, associated with each Lyapunov exponent, λ_i , $i \in [1, 2, \dots, n]$, there are nested subspaces $U^i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $n + 1 - i$ with the property that

$$\lambda_i \equiv \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_e \|Df^j(S_0)\|}{j} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \log_e \|Df(S_k)\|, \quad (2.5)$$

for all $S_0 \in U^i - U^{i+1}$. Due to Oseledec's multiplicative ergodic theorem, the limits in (2.5) exist and are independent of S_0 almost surely with respect to the measure induced by the process $\{S_t\}_{t=1}^\infty$.¹ Then, allow for shocks to the dynamic system f in (2.1), meaning that the aforementioned measure is induced by a stochastic process.

2.2 Motivation of the Lyapunov exponents

The reason why the Lyapunov exponents provide a measure of the stability of a stochastic dynamic system may be seen by considering two different starting values of the system, where the difference is an exogenous shock at time $t = 0$. The largest Lyapunov exponent, λ_1 , measures the slowest exponential rate of convergence of two trajectories of the dynamic system starting at these two different values at time $t = 0$, but with identical exogenous shocks at times $t > 0$. Indeed, λ_1 measures the convergence of a shock in the direction defined by the eigenvector corresponding to this exponent. If the difference between the two starting values lies in another direction of \mathbb{R}^n , then the convergence is faster. Thus, λ_1 measures the ‘worst case scenario.’² In particular, when $\lambda_1 >$

¹ See Guckenheimer and Holmes (1983) for a careful definition of the Lyapunov exponents and their properties.

² An extensive discussion of the Lyapunov exponents as a measure of the stability of a stochastic dynamic system is provided in Bask and de Luna (2002). For example, it is argued therein that the average of the Lyapunov exponents, $\lambda \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$, is useful as a measure of an ‘average scenario.’

0, the two trajectories diverge from each other, and for a bounded stochastic dynamic system, a positive exponent is an operational definition of chaotic dynamics.

2.3 Estimation of the Lyapunov exponents

Since the actual functional form of the dynamic system f in (2.1) is not known, it may seem like an impossible task to determine the stability of the system. However, it is possible to reconstruct the dynamics of the system using only a scalar time series, and, then, measure the stability of this reconstructed system. Therefore, associate the dynamic system f in (2.1) with an observer function, $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that generates observed asset returns

$$s_t = g(S_t) + \varepsilon_t^m, \quad (2.6)$$

where $s_t \in S_t$ and ε_t^m are the asset return and a measurement error, respectively, both at time t . Thus, (2.6) means that the asset return series

$$\{s_t\}_{t=1}^N, \quad (2.7)$$

is observed, which is used to reconstruct the dynamics of the system f in (2.1), where N is the number of consecutive returns in the time series.

Specifically, the observations in a scalar time series, like the asset return series in (2.7), contain information about unobserved state variables that can be used to define a state in present time. Therefore, let

$$T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_M)', \quad (2.8)$$

be the reconstructed trajectory, where T_t is the reconstructed state at time t and M is the number of states on the reconstructed trajectory. Each T_t is given by

$$T_t = \{s_{t+m-1}, s_{t+m-2}, \dots, s_t\}, \quad (2.9)$$

where m is the embedding dimension, and time $t \in [1, 2, \dots, N - m + 1]$. Thus, T is an $M \times m$ matrix and the constants M , m and N are related as $M = N - m + 1$.

Takens (1981) proved that the map

$$\Phi(S_t) = \{g(f^0(S_t)), g(f^1(S_t)), \dots, g(f^{m-1}(S_t))\}, \quad (2.10)$$

which maps the n -dimensional state S_t onto the m -dimensional state T_t , is an embedding if $m > 2n$. This means that the map is a smooth map that performs a one-to-one coordinate transformation and has a smooth inverse. A map that is an embedding preserves topological information about the unknown dynamic system, like the Lyapunov exponents, and, in particular, the map induces a function, $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, on the reconstructed trajectory

$$T_{t+1} = h(T_t), \quad (2.11)$$

which is topologically conjugate to the unknown dynamic system f in (2.1). That is

$$h^j(T_t) = \Phi \circ f^j \circ \Phi^{-1}(T_t). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, h in (2.11) is a reconstructed dynamic system that has the same Lyapunov exponents as the unknown dynamic system f in (2.1).

Now, in order to estimate the Lyapunov exponents of the dynamic system generating asset returns, one has to estimate h in (2.11). However, since

$$h : \begin{pmatrix} s_{t+m-1} \\ s_{t+m-2} \\ \vdots \\ s_t \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} v(s_{t+m-1}, s_{t+m-2}, \dots, s_t) \\ s_{t+m-1} \\ \vdots \\ s_{t+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.13)$$

the estimation of h reduces to the estimation of v

$$s_{t+m} = v(s_{t+m-1}, s_{t+m-2}, \dots, s_t). \quad (2.14)$$

Moreover, note that the Jacobian of h at the reconstructed state T_t is

$$Dh(T_t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_{t+m-1}} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_{t+m-2}} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_{t+m-3}} & \dots & \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_{t+1}} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial s_t} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.15)$$

We use a feedforward neural network to estimate the above derivatives and to derive the Lyapunov exponents in (2.5) (see Dechert and Gencay (1992), Gencay and Dechert (1992), McCaffrey et al (1992) and Nychka et al (1992)). A neural network model with q hidden units, u_{it} , and m inputs, x_{jt} , can be represented as

$$\begin{cases} s_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i u_{it} + \varepsilon_t \\ u_{it} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w_{it})} \\ w_{it} = \gamma_{0t} + \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_{ij} x_{jt} \end{cases}, \quad (2.16)$$

where ε_t is a random error, and time $t \in [1, 2, \dots, N - m + 1]$. The input variable x_{jt} in the estimation of a dynamic system are the lagged dependent variables, $s_{t-1}, s_{t-2}, \dots, s_{t-m}$. The parameters to be estimated in the model are β_i, γ_{ij} and the variance of ε_t , and we use nonlinear least squares to estimate these parameters.

Hornik et al (1990) show that the mapping and its derivatives of any unknown functional form can be approximated by the neural network model in (2.16). This universal approximation property enables us to apply the estimates of the derivatives from the neural network for the estimates of the derivatives in (2.15), and the estimation of the Lyapunov exponents in (2.5) can be derived. In choosing the best model, we use the Schwarz Information Criterion (SIC) as in Nychka et al (1992) to determine the numbers of hidden units and inputs.

2.4 Inference of the Lyapunov exponents

Shintani and Linton (2004) derive the asymptotic distribution of a neural network estimator of the Lyapunov exponents. Specifically, given some technical conditions (see Shintani and Linton (2004) for details), they show that

$$\sqrt{M} \left(\hat{\lambda}_{iM} - \lambda_i \right) \Rightarrow \mathbb{N}(0, V_i), \quad (2.17)$$

where $\hat{\lambda}_{iM}$ is the estimator of the i :th Lyapunov exponent, based on the M reconstructed states on the trajectory, V_i is the variance of the i :th Lyapunov exponent, and $i \in [1, 2, \dots, n]$. The stability of a stochastic dynamic system can be measured by the estimates of these exponents, and if the value of the largest exponent is positive, then the system appears to be chaotic.

Therefore, to test the stability of a dynamic system, we consider the following null and alternative hypotheses

$$\mathbf{H}_0 : \lambda_i \leq 0, \quad \mathbf{H}_1 : \lambda_i > 0, \quad (2.18)$$

and the test statistics is

$$\hat{t}_i = \frac{\hat{\lambda}_{iM}}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_i}{M}}}, \quad (2.19)$$

where \hat{V}_i is a consistent estimator of V_i (see Andrews (1991)), and $i \in [1, 2, \dots, n]$. Thus, the null hypothesis is rejected when

$$\hat{t}_i \geq z_\alpha, \quad (2.20)$$

where the significance level is

$$\Pr [\mathbb{Z} \geq z_\alpha] = \alpha, \quad (2.21)$$

where \mathbb{Z} is the standard normal random variable, and $i \in [1, 2, \dots, n]$.

3 Illustration: stability of electricity prices

The Nordic power exchange market and the data set used are described in Section 3.1, and the empirical results are found in Section 3.2 that also includes a sensitivity analysis of the results.

3.1 Nord Pool and data set used

Nord Pool is a multi-national exchange for trade in power, joining the Nordic countries. Norway was, in 1991, the first of the Nordic countries to deregulate the power market, and Nord Pool ASA was established in 1993, then under the

name Statnett Marked AS. Sweden started the deregulation process in 1991, and went step-wise to a deregulated power market. January 1, 1996, was the start-up of the joint Norwegian-Swedish power exchange market, renamed to Nord Pool ASA.

Finland started a power exchange market of its own, EL-EX, in August 1996, and joined Nord Pool in 1997. In 1999, Elbas is launched as a separate market for power balance adjustments in Sweden and Finland, giving a fully integrated market between Norway, Sweden and Finland. Denmark Nord Pool Consulting is established in 1998, and Western Denmark joins the market in 1999 as a Nordic power exchange price area. When Eastern Denmark joins in 2000, the Nordic power exchange market becomes fully integrated. See Table 1 for the specific dates in the integration process.

Country	Date for affiliation
Norway	January 1, 1993
Sweden	January 1, 1996
Finland	December 29, 1997
Western Denmark	July 1, 1999
Eastern Denmark	October 1, 2000

Table 1: The dates in the integration process in the power market.

The data set used is spot electricity prices from Nord Pool. Specifically, it is the daily average of the system price for the period January 1, 1993, to December 31, 2005. The data are analyzed split in parts with the natural breakpoints when a new country is joining the common market. Since the prices are not stationary, we use the returns, which is the logarithm-difference of the prices, in the empirical analysis. See Tables 2a–b for the results of the stationarity tests of the time series.

Countries	t statistic	Significance
Norway	-0.70	No
Norway and Sweden	-0.44	No
Norway, Sweden and Finland	-1.30	No
Norway, Sweden, Finland and Western Denmark	-0.36	No
Norway, Sweden, Finland and Denmark	-1.19	No

Table 2a: The Dickey-Fuller unit root test for the system price at Nord Pool.

Countries	t statistic	Significance
Norway	-10.67	1 per cent
Norway and Sweden	-9.59	1 per cent
Norway, Sweden and Finland	-8.67	1 per cent
Norway, Sweden, Finland and Western Denmark	-6.13	1 per cent
Norway, Sweden, Finland and Denmark	-15.66	1 per cent

Table 2b: The Dickey-Fuller unit root test for the logarithmic-difference of the system price at Nord Pool.

3.2 Empirical results

For each time series, we estimated the Lyapunov exponents making use of 4, 8 and 12 inputs, respectively, to the feedforward neural network. Moreover, the number of hidden units in the neural network in each case runs from 1 unit to 12 units.³ The specific estimate chosen for each number of inputs is when SIC is minimized. In Tables 3a–e, the estimates of the Lyapunov exponents that minimizes SIC in each sub-period in the integration process in the power market is reported, including standard errors.⁴

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0606	0.00452	0.00473	0.00444
λ_2	-0.0743	0.00442	0.00447	0.00437
λ_3	-0.130	0.00661	0.00664	0.00660
λ_4	-0.160	0.00651	0.00652	0.00650
λ_5	-0.169	0.00709	0.00708	0.00709
λ_6	-0.199	0.00811	0.00811	0.00812
λ_7	-0.211	0.00872	0.00869	0.00882
λ_8	-0.231	0.00847	0.00837	0.00865
λ_9	-0.253	0.00928	0.00941	0.00968
λ_{10}	-0.286	0.0112	0.0110	0.0114
λ_{11}	-0.367	0.0146	0.0145	0.0148
λ_{12}	-1.07	0.0355	0.0360	0.0336

Table 3a: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period January 1, 1993, to December 31, 1995, ie, when only Norway participates in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 12 inputs and 5 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

³ We have used NETLE 4, a computer program developed by C-M Kuan, T Liu and R Gencay, when estimating the Lyapunov exponents (see Gencay and Dechert (1992) and Kuan and Liu (1995) for details).

⁴ Detailed results of the estimations are available on request from the authors.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0623	0.00776	0.00782	0.00787
λ_2	-0.116	0.00840	0.00844	0.00858
λ_3	-0.148	0.0110	0.0109	0.0111
λ_4	-0.183	0.0109	0.0109	0.0109
λ_5	-0.235	0.0130	0.0129	0.0131
λ_6	-0.291	0.0151	0.0149	0.0153
λ_7	-0.423	0.0177	0.0172	0.0178
λ_8	-1.41	0.0265	0.0312	0.0331

Table 3b: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period January 1, 1996, to December 28, 1997, ie, when only Norway and Sweden participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 8 inputs and 2 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0421	0.00740	0.00753	0.00685
λ_2	-0.0588	0.00821	0.00840	0.00731
λ_3	-0.0994	0.00495	0.00533	0.00556
λ_4	-0.107	0.00508	0.00558	0.00585
λ_5	-0.124	0.00750	0.00741	0.00732
λ_6	-0.135	0.00778	0.00807	0.00816
λ_7	-0.145	0.00790	0.00789	0.00791
λ_8	-0.166	0.00850	0.00850	0.00849
λ_9	-0.267	0.0135	0.0132	0.0141
λ_{10}	-0.284	0.00935	0.0117	0.0133
λ_{11}	-0.290	0.00978	0.0132	0.0145
λ_{12}	-0.296	0.0139	0.0139	0.0140

Table 3c: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period December 29, 1997, to June 30, 1999, ie, when only Norway, Sweden and Finland participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 12 inputs and 3 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0664	0.00134	0.00321	0.00376
λ_2	-0.0677	0.00172	0.00323	0.00378
λ_3	-0.0988	0.00609	0.00539	0.00531
λ_4	-0.102	0.00682	0.00582	0.00573
λ_5	-0.171	NA	NA	NA
λ_6	-0.174	NA	NA	NA
λ_7	-0.281	0.00283	0.00393	0.00418
λ_8	-1.23	0.00480	0.00645	0.00707

Table 3d: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period July 1, 1999, to September 30, 2000, ie, when only Norway, Sweden, Finland and Western Denmark participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 8 inputs and 1 hidden unit in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0319	0.00568	0.00525	0.00504
λ_2	-0.101	0.00426	0.00420	0.00431
λ_3	-0.125	0.00439	0.00439	0.00460
λ_4	-0.157	0.00517	0.00512	0.00520
λ_5	-0.176	0.00580	0.00580	0.00581
λ_6	-0.277	0.00707	0.00707	0.00706
λ_7	-0.323	0.00901	0.00898	0.00903
λ_8	-1.01	0.0169	0.0166	0.0190

Table 3e: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period October 1, 2000, to December 31, 2005, ie, when Norway, Sweden, Finland and Denmark participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 8 inputs and 5 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

Clearly, there is no unstable (chaotic) dynamics in the time series since all estimates of the largest Lyapunov exponent are negative.

When inspecting the time series, its clear that there are some extreme values, outliers. In order to see their impact on the result, we eliminated the outliers from the time series and performed the same analysis as above.⁵ See Tables 4a–e for the results.

⁵ The excluded outliers are from February 28, 1994, to March 2, 1994, December 8, 1998, January 24, 2000, February 5, 2001, from December 5, 2002, to January 14, 2003. In total, 44 outliers are excluded.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0806	0.00410	0.00408	0.00398
λ_2	-0.0855	0.00435	0.00432	0.00432
λ_3	-0.118	0.00545	0.00544	0.00541
λ_4	-0.134	0.00521	0.00515	0.00550
λ_5	-0.176	0.00653	0.00648	0.00667
λ_6	-0.201	0.00715	0.00704	0.00719
λ_7	-0.213	0.00789	0.00783	0.00793
λ_8	-0.237	0.00875	0.00860	0.00878
λ_9	-0.284	0.00956	0.00943	0.00961
λ_{10}	-0.330	0.00937	0.00982	0.0105
λ_{11}	-0.400	0.0115	0.0121	0.0124
λ_{12}	-1.86	0.0710	0.0675	0.0535

Table 4a: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period January 1, 1993, to December 31, 1995, ie, when only Norway participates in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 12 inputs and 4 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0623	0.00776	0.00782	0.00787
λ_2	-0.116	0.00840	0.00844	0.00858
λ_3	-0.148	0.0110	0.0109	0.0111
λ_4	-0.183	0.0109	0.0109	0.0109
λ_5	-0.235	0.0130	0.0129	0.0131
λ_6	-0.291	0.0151	0.0149	0.0153
λ_7	-0.423	0.0177	0.0172	0.0178
λ_8	-1.41	0.0265	0.0312	0.0331

Table 4b: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period January 1, 1996, to December 28, 1997, ie, when only Norway and Sweden participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 8 inputs and 2 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0215	0.00554	0.00548	0.00551
λ_2	-0.0482	0.00594	0.00600	0.00594
λ_3	-0.0734	0.00663	0.00665	0.00665
λ_4	-0.0940	0.00650	0.00650	0.00663
λ_5	-0.100	0.00769	0.00769	0.00769
λ_6	-0.124	0.00724	0.00717	0.00743
λ_7	-0.143	0.00875	0.00875	0.00918
λ_8	-0.148	0.00931	0.00925	0.00944
λ_9	-0.175	0.0102	0.0102	0.0103
λ_{10}	-0.206	0.0132	0.0132	0.0134
λ_{11}	-0.319	0.0182	0.0185	0.0188
λ_{12}	-0.506	0.0318	0.0329	0.0278

Table 4c: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period December 29, 1997, to June 30, 1999, ie, when only Norway, Sweden and Finland participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 12 inputs and 3 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	0.0670	0.0169	0.0167	0.0168
λ_2	-0.0193	0.00852	0.00862	0.00843
λ_3	-0.0451	0.00762	0.00769	0.00761
λ_4	-0.0757	0.00811	0.00806	0.00822
λ_5	-0.130	0.0113	0.0114	0.0113
λ_6	-0.148	0.0118	0.0117	0.0119
λ_7	-0.271	0.0195	0.0196	0.0197
λ_8	-1.12	0.0576	0.0589	0.0562

Table 4d: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period July 1, 1999, to September 30, 2000, ie, when only Norway, Sweden, Finland and Western Denmark participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 8 inputs and 5 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

	LE	Newey-West SE	Parzen SE	Quad. Spect. SE
λ_1	-0.0386	0.00294	0.00286	0.00306
λ_2	-0.0775	0.00336	0.00336	0.00336
λ_3	-0.119	0.00400	0.00395	0.00405
λ_4	-0.131	0.00413	0.00408	0.00420
λ_5	-0.147	0.00468	0.00460	0.00473
λ_6	-0.170	0.00534	0.00532	0.00537
λ_7	-0.196	0.00621	0.00617	0.00625
λ_8	-0.263	0.00679	0.00689	0.00706
λ_9	-0.300	0.00768	0.00781	0.00788
λ_{10}	-0.344	0.00863	0.00878	0.00913
λ_{11}	-0.471	0.0108	0.0113	0.0115
λ_{12}	-0.593	0.0165	0.0164	0.0160

Table 4e: Estimates of the Lyapunov exponents (LE) and the standard errors (SE) for the period October 1, 2000, to December 31, 2005, ie, when Norway, Sweden, Finland and Denmark participate in the power market. The Lyapunov exponents are estimated for 12 inputs and 4 hidden units in the neural network when SIC is minimized, and the number of significant figures is 3.

When eliminating the outliers, the dynamic system appears to be chaotic for the period July 1, 1999, to September 30, 2000, since the null hypothesis in (2.18) is rejected for the largest Lyapunov exponent at the 1 per cent level. For all other time series, there is no chaotic dynamics.

4 Concluding remarks

We should also mention impulse-response functions as another tool to measure the stability of a stochastic dynamic system. Specifically, Koop et al (1996) and Potter (2000) extend, in an appealing way, the linear technique of impulse-response functions to the non-linear case, although they show that there is no unique definition of such a function when a non-linear dynamic system is considered. Certainly, impulse-response functions are useful graphical tools in the non-linear case, even if they are less appropriate when inference needs to be performed on a change in the stability. It is, therefore, we recommend the estimation and inference of the Lyapunov exponents to measure the stability of a stochastic dynamic system.

References

- Andrews, D W K (1991) **Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimation**. *Econometrica*, 59, 817–858.
- Bask, M – de Luna, X (2002) **Characterizing the Degree of Stability of Non-linear Dynamic Models**. *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics*, 6 (1) article 3.
- Bask, M – de Luna, X (2005) **EMU and the Stability and Volatility of Foreign Exchange: Some Empirical Evidence**. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 25, 737–750.
- Dechert, W D – Gencay, R (1992) **Lyapunov Exponents as a Nonparametric Diagnostic for Stability Analysis**. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 7, S41–S60.
- Gencay, R – Dechert, W D (1992) **An Algorithm for the n Lyapunov Exponents of an n -Dimensional Unknown Dynamical System**. *Physica D*, 59, 142–157.
- Guckenheimer, J – Holmes, P (1983) **Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields**. (Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 42), Springer-Verlag: Berlin.
- Hornik, K – Stinchcombe, M – White, H (1990) **Universal Approximation of an Unknown Mapping and its Derivatives using Multilayer Feedforward Networks**. *Neural Networks*, 3, 551–560.
- Koop, G – Pesaran, M H – Potter, S M (1996) **Impulse Response Analysis in Nonlinear Multivariate Models**. *Journal of Econometrics*, 74, 119–147.
- Kuan, C-M – Liu, T (1995) **Forecasting Exchange Rates Using Feedforward and Recurrent Neural Networks**. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 10, 347–364.
- McCaffrey, D – Ellner, S – Gallant, A R – Nychka, D (1992) **Estimating the Lyapunov Exponent of a Chaotic System with Nonparametric Regression**. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87, 682–695.
- Nychka, D – Ellner, S – Gallant, A R – McCaffrey, D (1992) **Finding Chaos in Noisy Systems**. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, 54, 399–426.
- Potter, S M (2000) **Nonlinear Impulse Response Functions**. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 24, 1425–1446.
- Shintani, M – Linton, O (2004) **Nonparametric Neural Network Estimation of Lyapunov Exponents and a Direct Test for Chaos**. *Journal of Econometrics*, 120, 1–33.
- Takens, F (1981) **Detecting Strange Attractors in Turbulence**. In *Dynamical Systems and Turbulence (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 898)* by Rand, D A – Young, L ., eds., Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 366–381.

**BANK OF FINLAND RESEARCH
DISCUSSION PAPERS**

ISSN 0785-3572, print; ISSN 1456-6184, online

- 1/2006 Juha-Pekka Niinimäki – Tuomas Takalo – Klaus Kultti **The role of comparing in financial markets with hidden information.** 2006. 37 p.
ISBN 952-462-256-4, print; ISBN 952-462-257-2, online.
- 2/2006 Pierre Siklos – Martin Bohl **Policy words and policy deeds: the ECB and the euro.** 2006. 44 p. ISBN 952-462-258-0, print; ISBN 952-462-259-9, online.
- 3/2006 Iftekhar Hasan – Cristiano Zazzara **Pricing risky bank loans in the new Basel II environment.** 2006. 46 p. ISBN 952-462-260-2, print;
ISBN 952-462-261-0, online.
- 4/2006 Juha Kilponen – Kai Leitemo **Robustness in monetary policymaking: a case for the Friedman rule.** 2006. 19 p. ISBN 952-462-262-9, print;
ISBN 952-462-263-7, online.
- 5/2006 Juha Kilponen – Antti Ripatti **Labour and product market competition in a small open economy – Simulation results using the DGE model of the Finnish economy.** 2006. 51 p. ISBN 952-462-264-5, print;
ISBN 952-462-265-3, online.
- 6/2006 Mikael Bask **Announcement effects on exchange rate movements: continuity as a selection criterion among the REE.** 2006. 43 p.
ISBN 952-462-270-X, print; ISBN 952-462-271-8, online.
- 7/2006 Mikael Bask **Adaptive learning in an expectational difference equation with several lags: selecting among learnable REE.** 2006. 33 p.
ISBN 952-462-272-6, print; ISBN 952-462-273-4, online.
- 8/2006 Mikael Bask **Exchange rate volatility without the contrivance of fundamentals and the failure of PPP.** 2006. 17 p. ISBN 952-462-274-2, print;
ISBN 952-462-275-0, online.
- 9/2006 Mikael Bask – Tung Liu – Anna Widerberg **The stability of electricity prices: estimation and inference of the Lyapunov exponents.** 2006. 19 p.
ISBN 952-462-276-9, print; ISBN 952-462-277-7, online.

Suomen Pankki
Bank of Finland
P.O.Box 160
FI-00101 HELSINKI
Finland



.2343