

Pfann, Gerard A.

Working Paper
Options to Quit

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 197

Provided in Cooperation with:
IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Pfann, Gerard A. (2000) : Options to Quit, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 197, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at:
<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/21041>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

IZA DP No. 197

Options to Quit

Gerard A. Pfann

September 2000

Options to Quit

Gerard A. Pfann

*Business Investment Research Center, Maastricht University,
C.E.P.R. and IZA, Bonn*

Discussion Paper No. 197
September 2000

IZA

P.O. Box 7240
D-53072 Bonn
Germany

Tel.: +49-228-3894-0
Fax: +49-228-3894-210
Email: iza@iza.org

This Discussion Paper is issued within the framework of IZA's research area *Mobility and Flexibility of Labor Markets*. Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the institute. Research disseminated by IZA may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent, nonprofit limited liability company (Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung) supported by the Deutsche Post AG. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its research networks, research support, and visitors and doctoral programs. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public. The current research program deals with (1) mobility and flexibility of labor markets, (2) internationalization of labor markets and European integration, (3) the welfare state and labor markets, (4) labor markets in transition, (5) the future of work, (6) project evaluation and (7) general labor economics.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character.

ABSTRACT

Options to Quit*

This paper develops a theoretical model of a worker's decision problem under uncertainty about the optimal separation time, when holding a representative outside offer but facing fixed costs of quitting. Implications of the model's closed form solution are consistent with the quit behavior of workers from a large Dutch company.

JEL Classification: J63

Keywords: Job mobility, fixed costs, timing, downsizing, voluntary turnover

Gerard A. Pfann
Business Investment Research Center
University of Maastricht
P.O. Box 616
6200 MD MAASTRICHT
The Netherlands
Tel.: +31-43-388 3832
Fax: +31-43-388 4856
Email: G.Pfann@KE.UniMaas.NL

* I thank a referee for critically assessing earlier versions of the paper; I thank Ben Knüppe, bankruptcy trustee of Fokker, for inspiring discussions about this problem; I thank Ben Kriechel for his excellent research assistance; the PIONIER program of the Netherlands Foundation for Scientific Research is gratefully acknowledged for financial support.

"People spending most their lives living in a future paradise."

Stevie Wonder, "Songs in the Key of Live", 1976

1. Introduction

Workers examine options to quit extensively and pro-actively. Evidence thereof is widely available. When more and better jobs are available, the ratio of quits to layoffs increases with value of outside opportunities (McLaughlin (1991), Hamermesh and Pfann (1996)). Traditionally, theories of voluntary turnover are built on the net present value difference of current or expected future surpluses (Hall and Lazear (1984), Jovanovic (1979a)). Characteristics of worker turnover, such as lumpiness - implied by stepping stone irreversibility (Rosen (1972)) or fixed and firm-specific costs (Burdett (1978), Jovanovic (1979b)); uncertainty dependence (Gray (1978), Addison and Portugal (1987)); and the value of waiting to quit determine the dynamic patterns of worker mobility.

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the workforce of a Dutch aircraft builder, named Fokker, over the period 1987-1996. Until 1991 the company's tenured workforce expands from 10,500 in 1987 to 12,500 in 1991, with one reorganization announced on January 15th, 1988. After 1991 the company downsizes its workforce dramatically. This process started with a new early retirement scheme for workers ages 55 years and older that was initiated on March 1st, 1991, followed by four mass staff reductions notified in advance on October 1st, 1992, April 23rd, 1993, April 13th, 1994, and June 19th, 1995, respectively. On March 15th, 1996 Fokker's construction plants were declared bankrupt. Figure 2 displays the rates of quits and lay-offs relative the company's total workforce and the exact dates of the announced downsizing operations. The subsequent voluntary quit rate is large and lumpy and increases after each consecutive downsizing operation. Figure 2 shows that from time to time, shocks hitting workers have a more drastic impact on worker mobility than at other times.

In order to better understand the dynamics of corporate turnover, this paper proposes an option pricing model of idiosyncratic job turnover risk¹. The model derives the optimal timing to quit for a worker facing uncertainty and fixed costs of quitting. The difference between this model and the traditional NPV models of worker turnover is that a worker quits when the net present value of the difference

in expected future cash flows associated with the old and the new job exceeds the costs of quitting plus the value of postponing the decision to quit the current job. Modeling the present value of lifetime earnings on a representative new job directly - and not explained in terms of fundamentals like wage - allows us to derive a closed form solution of the optimal quitting decision problem under uncertainty. An extended version of the model allows for discrete jumps to happen instantaneously, that can be interpreted as corporate events, such as announcements of a major reorganization or a plant closing, rendering the worker's option value to quit worthless.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 models and solves the worker's decision problem of timely turnover under uncertainty. Section 3 discusses the model's extension to firm-specific discrete shocks. Section 4 concludes.

2. The Option Value of Job Quitting

At present time $t=0$ a representative risk-neutral worker values the lifetime earnings of her current job as W_0 . In the meantime, she scans outside employment opportunities. V_0 is the present value of expected lifetime earnings on a representative new job². In the presence of fixed quit costs and uncertainty about the future development of the expected stream of earnings differences between the current and the new job, the worker is not indifferent if $W_0=V_0$. Or, put differently, the net present value rule does not apply. Facing uncertainty about the future development of the difference in wage growth, she needs V_0 to be strictly greater than W_0 .

To obtain V_0 the worker must incur a fixed cost Q that becomes sunk upon quitting. Q exists of the capitalized loss of firm-specific knowledge, search costs, and the costs of changing social and geographical environments. P_t is defined as the additional expected future cash flow of a worker who gives up W in exchange for V at time t . P_t is the expected present value of the difference between the current and the offered job when quitting at t . P_t is known with most certainty at time $t=0$, but becomes increasingly uncertain the further t lies into the distant future. Hence P is assumed to follow a geometric Brownian motion:

$$dP/P = \alpha dt + \sigma dz \tag{1}$$

where α is the expected growth of the stream of value differences between the current job and the representative outside offers through time, σ is the per unit of time variance of α , dt is the evolution of the offer probability through time, and dz is the random change in the offer probability assumed to come from a standard Wiener diffusion process z . Equation (1) implies that the present value of quitting may be different if the decision to quit is postponed. P follows a log-normal distribution through time:

$$E_0[P_t] = P_0 \exp(\alpha t), \quad (2)$$

where E_0 denotes the expectation at time 0. The log-normal distribution of the expected earnings difference through time is a standard assumption in the empirical labor research. For example, if V and W share a common trend and the transition from W to V is a structural shift, then V and W are co-integrated and $\alpha=0$. Then, the decision to quit will depend on the expected difference between W and V at time zero, the costs Q , and the evaluation of uncertain development of P . Another relevant example, in which V grows faster than W and $\alpha>0$, is provided by Jacobson et al. (1993).

At $t=0$, a worker will evaluate at what point it is optimal to pay Q in return for an additional income stream P_t . The worker's dynamic optimization problem is to decide whether to quit today or postpone the decision until later, and is comparable to a financial call option (McDonald and Siegel (1986)). Waiting will give additional information about P at the cost of losing the discounted income difference as of today. The worker will maximize this value when considering the decision to quit.

The novelty of this application of option pricing to the theory of labor turnover is that the turnover decision problem under uncertainty can be solved analytically³. We assume that the offer is infinitely lived (this assumption will be tightened in the next section), and can be interpreted as the existence of a permanent representative offer available at the neighborhood's employment agency. If $T=\infty$, the expected net present value of the difference in salary between the current and the offered job is

$$X(P) = \max E[(P_t - Q)e^{-\tau t} \mid \Omega_0], \quad (4)$$

subject to (1), where t is the unknown moment of quitting, τ is the discount rate, and Ω_0 is the information available to the worker at $t=0$. In general, when at the beginning of period t the worker decides to quit the total return she receives during that period is τX . The return of postponing that decision is equal to the expected rise in the value of future offers X during the period. The first order condition for this problem is $\tau X dt = E[dX \mid \Omega_t]$, which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 P^2 X_{pp} + \alpha P X_p - \tau X = 0. \quad (5)$$

The analytical solution of equation (5) yields⁴

$$P^* = (\beta_1 / (\beta_1 - 1))Q, \quad (6)$$

with $\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha/\sigma^2 + \{(\alpha/\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + 2\tau/\sigma^2\}^{1/2}$. The fact that $\beta_1 > 0$ implies $P^* - Q > 0$.

The model predicts that a worker, facing fixed cost of quitting, will exchange jobs if the net present value of the difference in expected future cash flows associated with the old and the new job exceeds the costs of quitting plus the value of postponing the decision to quit the current job.

The model also predicts that a worker with lower fixed costs is more likely to quit, since

$$\partial P^* / \partial Q > 0.$$

Moreover, the model encompasses the uncertainty dependence of turnover. Since

$$\partial P^* / \partial \sigma > 0,$$

higher uncertainty of the development of future earnings differences coincides with a lower probability of job quitting, *ceteris paribus*.

An important point, stressed by Pindyck (1991) for irreversible capital investment decisions, is that for any $\sigma^2 > 0$, P^* exceeds Q . The net present value rule

states that a worker will quit once the expected additional income flow is larger than or equal to the costs. This rule should be extended with the opportunity costs $X(P)$ of accepting the job offer today rather than postponing that decision until later. The difference between P^* and Q is caused by the increasing future uncertainty about α and the irreversibility of Q .

3. The Possibility of Drastic Events

In this section, we tighten the assumption that the quit option is infinitely lived. The model is extended with a discrete jump process, that form a second source of randomness. Next to the continuous process z , the possibility of the occurrence of a drastic corporate event that renders the option value of job quitting worthless. Though finite, the worker unaware of the event's exact timing is doubtful about the spell over which the option value should be computed. The difference between the model in section 2 and the one presented here is a different structure for the disturbance in the dP/P equation. Deriving the solution is instructive and is motivated by Figure 2, that shows from time to time shocks hitting workers are more intense than at other times.

Assume that the lifetime of the spell is memory-less and exponentially distributed with mean $1/\pi$. The stochastic process for P_t can be rewritten as a mixed Poisson-Wiener process. Let

$$dP/P = \alpha dt + \sigma dz + dn, \tag{7}$$

with

$$dn = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{with probability } \pi dt \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1-\pi dt, \end{cases}$$

and the Poisson event is not correlated with P^5 . An increase in the jump probability π is logically consistent with an increase in the discount rate. The boundary value $P^*(\pi)$ is identical to P^* , except for a higher discount rate $\tau+\pi$ rather than τ^6 . Consequently, we have

$$P^*(\pi) = (\beta_1(\pi)/(\beta_1(\pi)-1))Q, \tag{8}$$

with $\beta_1(\pi) = \frac{1}{2} - (\alpha + \pi) / \sigma^2 + \{ [(\alpha + \pi) / \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2}]^2 + 2(\tau + \pi) / \sigma^2 \}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Given (7), we find that

$$\partial\beta_1/\partial\tau = [2\sigma^2\{[\alpha/\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2}]^2 + 2\tau/\sigma^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

so that $\partial\beta_1/\partial\tau > 0$. Together with $\pi > 0$, this yields

$$\beta_1(\pi) > \beta_1, \text{ and } \beta_1(\pi) / (\beta_1(\pi) - 1) > \beta_1 / (\beta_1 - 1), \text{ and}$$

$$P^*(\pi) < P^*, \quad (10)$$

so that an increase in π reduces the option value to quit, lowers $P^*(\pi)$, and increases the worker's turnover probability.

Equation (10) shows that if the length of the discounting period is uncertain but finite, then the shorter the expected spell the smaller the difference between the current and the offered wage needs to be for a worker to quit. Thus if $\tau + \pi$ goes up, the boundary difference value necessary to quit decreases. A sudden increase of the discount rate coincides with the effect of an announcement of mass lay-off on the value of waiting to quit (see the dotted line in Figure 2).

4. Conclusions

A theoretical model is presented that describes the optimal quitting problem for a worker who faces fixed costs of quitting and uncertainty. Other theories of voluntary turnover rely on the contemporaneous difference between the outside offer and the current wage, but do not consider the worker's expected difference value stream between earnings at the current job and of a representative outside offer, or fail to obtain a closed form solution. In this application of option pricing theory to labor turnover, a worker will accept the outside offer only if the net present value of the difference in expected future cash flows associated with the old and the new job exceeds the discounted sunk costs of quitting plus the expected net present value of lifetime earnings on a representative new job.

References


- Addison, John T. and Pedro Portugal (1987), "The Effect of Advance Notification of Plant Closings on Unemployment", *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* **41**, p3-16.
- Burdett, Kenneth (1978), "A Theory of Employee Job Search and Quit Rates", *American Economic Review* **68**, p212-20.
- Dixit, Avinash K. and Robert S. Pindyck (1994), "*Investment under Uncertainty*", Princeton University Press.
- Gray, Jo Anna (1978), "On Indexation and Contract Length", *Journal of Political Economy* **86**, p1-18.
- Hall, Robert, and Edward Lazear (1984), "The Excess Sensitivity of Layoffs and Quits to Demand", *Journal of Labor Economics* **2**, p233-257.
- Hamermesh, Daniel S. and Gerard A. Pfann (1996), "Turnover and the Dynamics of Labour Demand", *Economica* **63**, p359-67.
- Jacobson, Louis, Robert LaLonde, and Daniel Sullivan (1993), "Earnings Losses of Displaced Workers", *American Economic Review* **83**, p685-709.
- Jovanovic, Boyan (1979a), "Job Matching and the Theory of Turnover", *Journal of Political Economy* **87**, p972-90.
- Jovanovic, Boyan (1979b), "Firm-specific Capital and Turnover", *Journal of Political Economy* **87**, p1246-60.
- McDonald, Robert and Daniel Siegel (1986), "The Value of Waiting to Invest", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **101**, p707-27.
- McLaughlin, Kenneth (1991), "A Theory of Quits and Layoffs with Efficient Turnover", *Journal of Political Economy* **99**, p1-29.
- Merton, Robert C. (1976), "Option Pricing When Underlying Stock Returns are Discontinuous", *Journal of Financial Economics* **3**, p125-44.
- Pindyck, Robert S. (1991), "Irreversibility, Uncertainty, and Investment", *Journal of Economic Literature* **29**, p1110-48.
- Rosen, Sherwin (1972), "Learning and Experience in the Labor Market", *Journal of Human Resources* **7**, p326-42.

1. Many of the ideas developed here have their capital counterpart in irreversible investment theory (Pindyck (1991), Dixit and Pindyck (1994)).
2. V can also be interpreted as a non-participation earnings stream from social security, unemployment benefits, or a pension scheme.
3. Jovanovic (1979a) also assumes $V(0)$ as the present value of lifetime earnings on a representative new job. He fails to get a closed form solution because his learning process has a declining incremental variance due to the diminishing impact of additional signals on beliefs.
4. The solution is widely available in the option pricing literature. For real options we refer to McDonald and Siegel (1986).
5. Here, we assume there is a nonzero probability that the net present value of P_t discretely jumps to zero being the stopping barrier for a Brownian motion. Alternatively, one could write a model with θdn so that at every time dn is nonzero P_t falls by θ percent.
6. See Merton (1976) for the possibility of a complete ruin, or McDonald and Siegel (1986), pages 718-19)

Figure 1: Evolution of a Workforce
Fokker Aircraft b.v.



Figure 2: Corporate Turnover
Fokker Aircraft b.v.



IZA Discussion Papers

No.	Author(s)	Title	Area	Date
101	L. Husted H. S. Nielsen M. Rosholm N. Smith	Employment and Wage Assimilation of Male First Generation Immigrants in Denmark	3	1/00
102	B. van der Klaauw J. C. van Ours	Labor Supply and Matching Rates for Welfare Recipients: An Analysis Using Neighborhood Characteristics	2/3	1/00
103	K. Brännäs	Estimation in a Duration Model for Evaluating Educational Programs	6	1/00
104	S. Kohns	Different Skill Levels and Firing Costs in a Matching Model with Uncertainty – An Extension of Mortensen and Pissarides (1994)	1	1/00
105	G. Brunello C. Graziano B. Parigi	Ownership or Performance: What Determines Board of Directors' Turnover in Italy?	1	1/00
106	L. Bellmann S. Bender U. Hornsteiner	Job Tenure of Two Cohorts of Young German Men 1979 - 1990: An analysis of the (West-)German Employment Statistic Register Sample concerning multivariate failure times and unobserved heterogeneity	1	1/00
107	J. C. van Ours G. Ridder	Fast Track or Failure: A Study of the Completion Rates of Graduate Students in Economics	5	1/00
108	J. Boone J. C. van Ours	Modeling Financial Incentives to Get Unemployed Back to Work	3/6	1/00
109	G. J. van den Berg B. van der Klaauw	Combining Micro and Macro Unemployment Duration Data	3	1/00
110	D. DeVoretz C. Werner	A Theory of Social Forces and Immigrant Second Language Acquisition	1	2/00
111	V. Sorm K. Terrell	Sectoral Restructuring and Labor Mobility: A Comparative Look at the Czech Republic	1/4	2/00
112	L. Bellmann T. Schank	Innovations, Wages and Demand for Heterogeneous Labour: New Evidence from a Matched Employer-Employee Data-Set	5	2/00
113	R. Euwals	Do Mandatory Pensions Decrease Household Savings? Evidence for the Netherlands	3	2/00
114	G. Brunello A. Medio	An Explanation of International Differences in Education and Workplace Training	2	2/00
115	A. Cigno F. C. Rosati	Why do Indian Children Work, and is it Bad for Them?	3	2/00

116	C. Belzil	Unemployment Insurance and Subsequent Job Duration: Job Matching vs. Unobserved Heterogeneity	3	2/00
117	S. Bender A. Haas C. Klose	IAB Employment Subsample 1975-1995. Opportunities for Analysis Provided by the Anonymised Subsample	7	2/00
118	M. A. Shields M. E. Ward	Improving Nurse Retention in the British National Health Service: The Impact of Job Satisfaction on Intentions to Quit	5	2/00
119	A. Lindbeck D. J. Snower	The Division of Labor and the Market for Organizations	5	2/00
120	P. T. Pereira P. S. Martins	Does Education Reduce Wage Inequality? Quantile Regressions Evidence from Fifteen European Countries	5	2/00
121	J. C. van Ours	Do Active Labor Market Policies Help Unemployed Workers to Find and Keep Regular Jobs?	4/6	3/00
122	D. Munich J. Svejnar K. Terrell	Returns to Human Capital under the Communist Wage Grid and During the Transition to a Market Economy	4	3/00
123	J. Hunt	Why Do People Still Live in East Germany?	1	3/00
124	R. T. Riphahn	Rational Poverty or Poor Rationality? The Take-up of Social Assistance Benefits	3	3/00
125	F. Büchel J. R. Frick	The Income Portfolio of Immigrants in Germany - Effects of Ethnic Origin and Assimilation. Or: Who Gains from Income Re-Distribution?	1/3	3/00
126	J. Fersterer R. Winter-Ebmer	Smoking, Discount Rates, and Returns to Education	5	3/00
127	M. Karanassou D. J. Snower	Characteristics of Unemployment Dynamics: The Chain Reaction Approach	3	3/00
128	O. Ashenfelter D. Ashmore O. Deschênes	Do Unemployment Insurance Recipients Actively Seek Work? Evidence From Randomized Trials in Four U.S. States	6	3/00
129	B. R. Chiswick M. E. Hurst	The Employment, Unemployment and Unemployment Compensation Benefits of Immigrants	1/3	3/00
130	G. Brunello S. Comi C. Lucifora	The Returns to Education in Italy: A New Look at the Evidence	5	3/00
131	B. R. Chiswick	Are Immigrants Favorably Self-Selected? An Economic Analysis	1	3/00
132	R. A. Hart	Hours and Wages in the Depression: British Engineering, 1926-1938	7	3/00
133	D. N. F. Bell R. A. Hart O. Hübler W. Schwerdt	Paid and Unpaid Overtime Working in Germany and the UK	1	3/00

134	A. D. Kugler G. Saint-Paul	Hiring and Firing Costs, Adverse Selection and Long-term Unemployment	3	3/00
135	A. Barrett P. J. O'Connell	Is There a Wage Premium for Returning Irish Migrants?	1	3/00
136	M. Bräuningner M. Pannenberg	Unemployment and Productivity Growth: An Empirical Analysis within the Augmented Solow Model	3	3/00
137	J.-St. Pischke	Continuous Training in Germany	5	3/00
138	J. Zweimüller R. Winter-Ebmer	Firm-specific Training: Consequences for Job Mobility	1	3/00
139	R. A. Hart Y. Ma	Wages, Hours and Human Capital over the Life Cycle	1	3/00
140	G. Brunello S. Comi	Education and Earnings Growth: Evidence from 11 European Countries	2/5	4/00
141	R. Hujer M. Wellner	The Effects of Public Sector Sponsored Training on Individual Employment Performance in East Germany	6	4/00
142	J. J. Dolado F. Felgueroso J. F. Jimeno	Explaining Youth Labor Market Problems in Spain: Crowding-Out, Institutions, or Technology Shifts?	3	4/00
143	P. J. Luke M. E. Schaffer	Wage Determination in Russia: An Econometric Investigation	4	4/00
144	G. Saint-Paul	Flexibility vs. Rigidity: Does Spain have the worst of both Worlds?	1	4/00
145	M.-S. Yun	Decomposition Analysis for a Binary Choice Model	7	4/00
146	T. K. Bauer J. P. Haisken-DeNew	Employer Learning and the Returns to Schooling	5	4/00
147	M. Belot J. C. van Ours	Does the Recent Success of Some OECD Countries in Lowering their Unemployment Rates Lie in the Clever Design of their Labour Market Reforms?	3	4/00
148	L. Goerke	Employment Effects of Labour Taxation in an Efficiency Wage Model with Alternative Budget Constraints and Time Horizons	3	5/00
149	R. Lalive J. C. van Ours J. Zweimüller	The Impact of Active Labor Market Programs and Benefit Entitlement Rules on the Duration of Unemployment	3/6	5/00
150	J. DiNardo K. F. Hallock J.-St. Pischke	Unions and the Labor Market for Managers	7	5/00
151	M. Ward	Gender, Salary and Promotion in the Academic Profession	5	5/00

152	J. J. Dolado F. Felgueroso J. F. Jimeno	The Role of the Minimum Wage in the Welfare State: An Appraisal	3	5/00
153	A. S. Kalwij M. Gregory	Overtime Hours in Great Britain over the Period 1975-1999: A Panel Data Analysis	3	5/00
154	M. Gerfin M. Lechner	Microeconomic Evaluation of the Active Labour Market Policy in Switzerland	6	5/00
155	J. Hansen	The Duration of Immigrants' Unemployment Spells: Evidence from Sweden	1/3	5/00
156	C. Dustmann F. Fabbri	Language Proficiency and Labour Market Performance of Immigrants in the UK	1	5/00
157	P. Apps R. Rees	Household Production, Full Consumption and the Costs of Children	7	5/00
158	A. Björklund T. Eriksson M. Jäntti O. Raalum E. Österbacka	Brother Correlations in Earnings in Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden Compared to the United States	5	5/00
159	P.- J. Jost M. Kräkel	Preemptive Behavior in Sequential Tournaments	5	5/00
160	M. Lofstrom	A Comparison of the Human Capital and Signaling Models: The Case of the Self-Employed and the Increase in the Schooling Premium in the 1980's	5	6/00
161	V. Gimpelson D. Treisman G. Monusova	Public Employment and Redistributive Politics: Evidence from Russia's Regions	4	6/00
162	C. Dustmann M. E. Rochina-Barrachina	Selection Correction in Panel Data Models: An Application to Labour Supply and Wages	6	6/00
163	R. A. Hart Y. Ma	Why do Firms Pay an Overtime Premium?	5	6/00
164	M. A. Shields S. Wheatley Price	Racial Harassment, Job Satisfaction and Intentions to Quit: Evidence from the British Nursing Profession	5	6/00
165	P. J. Pedersen	Immigration in a High Unemployment Economy: The Recent Danish Experience	1	6/00
166	Z. MacDonald M. A. Shields	The Impact of Alcohol Consumption on Occupational Attainment in England	5	6/00
167	A. Barrett J. FitzGerald B. Nolan	Earnings Inequality, Returns to Education and Immigration into Ireland	5	6/00
168	G. S. Epstein A. L. Hillman	Social Harmony at the Boundaries of the Welfare State: Immigrants and Social Transfers	3	6/00

169	R. Winkelmann	Immigration Policies and their Impact: The Case of New Zealand and Australia	1	7/00
170	T. K. Bauer K. F. Zimmermann	Immigration Policy in Integrated National Economies	1	7/00
171	C. Dustmann F. Windmeijer	Wages and the Demand for Health – A Life Cycle Analysis	5	7/00
172	D. Card	Reforming the Financial Incentives of the Welfare System	3	7/00
173	D. S. Hamermesh	Timing, Togetherness and Time Windfalls	5	7/00
174	E. Fehr J.-R. Tyran	Does Money Illusion Matter? An Experimental Approach	7	7/00
175	M. Lofstrom	Self-Employment and Earnings among High-Skilled Immigrants in the United States	1	7/00
176	O. Hübler W. Meyer	Industrial Relations and the Wage Differentials between Skilled and Unskilled Blue-Collar Workers within Establishments: An Empirical Analysis with Data of Manufacturing Firms	5	7/00
177	B. R. Chiswick G. Repetto	Immigrant Adjustment in Israel: Literacy and Fluency in Hebrew and Earnings	1	7/00
178	R. Euwals M. Ward	The Renumeration of British Academics	5	7/00
179	E. Wasmer P. Weil	The Macroeconomics of Labor and Credit Market Imperfections	2	8/00
180	T. K. Bauer I. N. Gang	Sibling Rivalry in Educational Attainment: The German Case	5	8/00
181	E. Wasmer Y. Zenou	Space, Search and Efficiency	2	8/00
182	M. Fertig C. M. Schmidt	Discretionary Measures of Active Labor Market Policy: The German Employment Promotion Reform in Perspective	6	8/00
183	M. Fertig C. M. Schmidt	Aggregate-Level Migration Studies as a Tool for Forecasting Future Migration Streams	1	8/00
184	M. Corak B. Gustafsson T. Österberg	Intergenerational Influences on the Receipt of Unemployment Insurance in Canada and Sweden	3	8/00
185	H. Bonin K. F. Zimmermann	The Post-Unification German Labor Market	4	8/00
186	C. Dustmann	Temporary Migration and Economic Assimilation	1	8/00

187	T. K. Bauer M. Lofstrom K. F. Zimmermann	Immigration Policy, Assimilation of Immigrants and Natives' Sentiments towards Immigrants: Evidence from 12 OECD-Countries	1	8/00
188	A. Kapteyn A. S. Kalwij A. Zaidi	The Myth of Worksharing	5	8/00
189	W. Arulampalam	Is Unemployment Really Scarring? Effects of Unemployment Experiences on Wages	3	8/00
190	C. Dustmann I. Preston	Racial and Economic Factors in Attitudes to Immigration	1	8/00
191	G. C. Giannelli C. Monfardini	Joint Decisions on Household Membership and Human Capital Accumulation of Youths: The role of expected earnings and local markets	5	8/00
192	G. Brunello	Absolute Risk Aversion and the Returns to Education	5	8/00
193	A. Kunze	The Determination of Wages and the Gender Wage Gap: A Survey	5	8/00
194	A. Newell F. Pastore	Regional Unemployment and Industrial Restructuring in Poland	4	8/00
195	F. Büchel A. Mertens	Overeducation, Undereducation, and the Theory of Career Mobility	5	9/00
196	J. S. Earle K. Z. Sabirianova	Equilibrium Wage Arrears: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis of Institutional Lock-In	4	9/00
197	G. A. Pfann	Options to Quit	1	9/00