

Yeung, Wilson Au; Kouparitsas, Michael; Luu, Nghi; Sharma, Dhruv

**Working Paper**

## Long term international GDP projections

Treasury Working Paper, No. 2013-02

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

The Treasury, The Australian Government

*Suggested Citation:* Yeung, Wilson Au; Kouparitsas, Michael; Luu, Nghi; Sharma, Dhruv (2013) : Long term international GDP projections, Treasury Working Paper, No. 2013-02, ISBN 978-0-642-74935-2, The Australian Government, The Treasury, Canberra

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/210382>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode>



**Australian Government**

**The Treasury**

# **LONG-TERM INTERNATIONAL GDP PROJECTIONS**

**Wilson Au-Yeung, Michael Kouparitsas, Nghi Luu and Dhruv Sharma<sup>1</sup>**

## **Treasury Working Paper<sup>2</sup>**

**2013-02**

**Date created: 18 October 2013**

**Date modified: 10 January 2014**

- 
- 1 Au-Yeung, Luu and Sharma: International Economy Division, Kouparitsas: Domestic Economy Division, The Treasury, Langton Crescent, Parkes ACT 2600, Australia. We thank participants at the Macroeconomic Group Macroeconomic Theory and Application Seminar, Nathan Deutscher, Owen Freestone, David Gruen, Paul Hubbard, Bonnie Li and Nu Nu Win for helpful suggestions on an earlier draft.
  - 2 The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of The Australian Treasury or the Australian Government.



© Commonwealth of Australia 2013

ISBN 978-0-642-74935-2

This publication is available for your use under a Creative Commons BY Attribution 3.0 Australia licence, with the exception of the Commonwealth Coat of Arms, the Treasury logo, photographs, images, signatures and where otherwise stated. The full licence terms are available from <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/legalcode>.



Use of Treasury material under a Creative Commons BY Attribution 3.0 Australia licence requires you to attribute the work (but not in any way that suggests that the Treasury endorses you or your use of the work).

*Treasury material used 'as supplied'.*

Provided you have not modified or transformed Treasury material in any way including, for example, by changing the Treasury text; calculating percentage changes; graphing or charting data; or deriving new statistics from published Treasury statistics — then Treasury prefers the following attribution:

*Source: The Australian Government the Treasury.*

#### **Derivative material**

If you have modified or transformed Treasury material, or derived new material from those of the Treasury in any way, then Treasury prefers the following attribution:

*Based on The Australian Government the Treasury data.*

#### **Use of the Coat of Arms**

The terms under which the Coat of Arms can be used are set out on the It's an Honour website (see [www.itsanhonour.gov.au](http://www.itsanhonour.gov.au)).

#### **Other Uses**

Inquiries regarding this licence and any other use of this document are welcome at:

Manager  
Communications  
The Treasury  
Langton Crescent Parkes ACT 2600  
Email: [medialiaison@treasury.gov.au](mailto:medialiaison@treasury.gov.au)

Long-term international GDP projections  
Wilson Au-Yeung, Michael Kouparitsas, Nghi Luu and Dhruv Sharma  
2013-02  
18 October 2013

## ABSTRACT

This paper develops a framework for projecting the GDP growth of Australia's trading partners from 2012 to 2050. The framework draws heavily on the existing conditional growth literature, including long-standing estimates of key convergence parameters. It adds to the large amount of research in this area by providing estimates of the level of long-run relative productivity for 155 countries. We use a novel non-parametric approach that combines the World Economic Forum's ordinal measure of long-run relative productivity (the 'Global Competitiveness Index') and actual observed productivity to produce a cardinal measure of long-run relative productivity.

JEL Classification Numbers: F01, O40, O50

Keywords: China, India, conditional convergence

Wilson Au-Yeung, Nghi Luu and Dhruv Sharma  
International Economy Division  
Macroeconomic Group  
The Treasury  
Langton Crescent  
Parkes ACT 2600

Michael Kouparitsas  
Domestic Economy Division  
Macroeconomic Group  
The Treasury  
Langton Crescent  
Parkes ACT 2600

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Fiscal agencies, including the Australian Treasury, routinely make long-term projections of their respective economies to better inform policymakers on the key determinants of future economic well-being. For a small open economy, such as Australia, international trade is an important determinant of economic growth. As such, a well-thought-out projection of the long-term growth of the Australian economy must in turn consider the long-term outlook of its trading partners. This paper responds to that challenge by developing a framework for projecting the GDP growth of Australia's trading partners from 2012 to 2050.<sup>3</sup>

Economists have long grappled with the question of why some countries grow faster than others. The literature on growth and development is rich with theories of the determinants of economic growth. The dominant paradigm is the neo-classical growth model which assumes growth is determined in the long run by the growth of the labour force and an exogenous factor called labour-augmenting technological progress (that is, labour productivity growth net of capital deepening). This theory has been modified over time to allow the level of labour-augmenting technological progress to vary across countries according to observable characteristics identified by the vast empirical growth literature as being statistically and economically significant (see the extensive survey by Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 2004). In this sense, the convergence framework is *conditional* rather than *absolute*, as countries' steady-state (or long-run) productivities are allowed to vary depending on the individual characteristics of each country.

The projection framework adopted here draws heavily on the existing conditional growth literature, including long-standing estimates of key convergence parameters. Even with the benefit of a large amount of research in this area, it remains a challenge to determine (and compile the data for) the factors that should be used in estimating each country's long-run relative productivity. We overcome this problem by using the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) — a single metric that attempts to capture the multitude of factors affecting a country's long-run productivity. In essence, we view the GCI as an ordinal proxy for long-run relativities in productivity between countries, and use non-parametric methods to estimate a relationship between the GCI and actual productivity. For countries away from their steady state, this estimated relationship allows us to make cardinal predictions of their long-run productivity relative to the benchmark country (which is the United States).

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows: Section 2 describes the theory underlying the empirical conditional growth model; Section 3 describes the data underlying the empirical model's parameters and long-term GDP projections; Section 4 details the methodology used in estimating key convergence parameters; Section 5 reports long-term international GDP projections; and Section 6 summarises the key findings and outlines future research projects.

---

3 Long-term international GDP projections have contributed to recent Australian Government documents, including the *Australia in the Asian Century: White Paper* (see Australian Government, 2012, for details).

## 2. THEORY

### The basic neo-classical growth model

The basic neo-classical model (Ramsey model) provides the basis for much of the empirical growth literature. This is due to its parsimony and broad consistency with observed data. In particular, Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1992) show that the near steady-state dynamics of country  $i$ 's output per unit of effective labour at time  $t$  can be approximated by the following dynamic relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(z_{it}) &= e^{-\beta(t-s)} \ln(z_{is}) + (1 - e^{-\beta(t-s)}) \ln(z_i^*), \\ z_{it} &= \left( \frac{w_{it}}{x_{it}} \right), w_{it} = \left( \frac{y_{it}}{n_{it}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where at time  $t$ :  $y_{it}$  is country  $i$ 's output,  $n_{it}$  is country  $i$ 's labour input,  $w_{it}$  is country  $i$ 's labour productivity,  $x_{it}$  is country  $i$ 's level of labour-augmenting technological progress,  $z_{it}$  is country  $i$ 's output per unit of effective labour, with an \* indicating steady-state values and  $\beta$  is the common speed of convergence.

This implies that the per-period growth rate of labour productivity is governed by the following error correction framework:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(w_{it} / w_{i,t-1}) &= \ln(x_{it} / x_{i,t-1}) - (1 - e^{-\beta}) \left[ \ln(z_{i,t-1}) - \ln(z_i^*) \right] \\ &= \ln(x_{it} / x_{i,t-1}) - (1 - e^{-\beta}) \left[ \ln(w_{i,t-1}) - \ln(x_{i,t-1}) - \ln(z_i^*) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

According to this relationship, productivity growth is a function of the growth in labour-augmenting technological progress and the percentage deviation of actual output per effective labour unit from its steady-state level. Along the balanced growth path, output per effective unit of labour is equal to its steady-state value so labour productivity will grow at the same rate as labour-augmenting technological progress. If a country is below its steady-state level of output per effective labour unit, then its productivity will grow at a faster rate than labour-augmenting technological progress.

A common working and empirical assumption is that countries have the same rate of growth of exogenous technological progress, which implies they have the same steady-state growth rate of per capita income. Heterogeneity is introduced by assuming that countries have the same level of labour-augmenting technological progress but potentially different steady-state output per unit of effective labour (that is, different steady-state ratios of labour productivity to common labour-augmenting technological progress). Without loss of generality we can assume that there is a reference country (denoted by  $i=R$ ) that is growing along its balanced growth path (that is, the reference country's labour productivity grows at the same rate as labour-augmenting technological progress):

$$\ln(x_{Rt}) = \ln(w_{Rt}) - \ln(z_R^*) \quad (3)$$

Substituting (3) into (2) yields the following relationship between the reference and country  $i$ 's productivity:

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(w_{it} / w_{i,t-1}) &= \ln(w_{Rt} / w_{R,t-1}) - (1 - e^{-\beta}) \left[ \ln(w_{i,t-1}) - \ln(w_{R,t-1}) - \{\ln(z_i^*) - \ln(z_R^*)\} \right] \\ &= \ln(w_{Rt} / w_{R,t-1}) - (1 - e^{-\beta}) \left[ \ln(w_{i,t-1}) - \ln(w_{R,t-1}) - \ln(\xi_i) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where  $\xi_i = z_i^* / z_R^*$  is the relative productivity of country  $i$ .

It follows that country  $i$ 's productivity growth rate will be higher than the productivity growth rate of the reference country when country  $i$ 's actual productivity is below its steady-state value, which is equal to the reference country's productivity scaled by country  $i$ 's relative steady-state productivity level (that is,  $\xi_i w_R$ ).

Country  $i$  converges absolutely to the reference country if  $\xi_i$  is one and converges conditionally to the reference country if  $\xi_i$  is less than or greater than one. Empirical studies typically use the United States (US) as the reference country because US productivity has grown persistently over the past 100 years and it tends to be higher than that of other advanced countries. Following this approach, a country's level of conditional convergence is measured as a proportion of US productivity (that is, X per cent of US productivity, hereafter referred to as the steady-state relative productivity). The empirical growth literature has identified a number of factors that are correlated with the measures of conditional convergence which are surveyed by Barro and Sala-i-Martin (2004).

### 3. DATA

Assuming all parameters are known ( $\beta$  and  $\xi_i$ ), the framework described by (4) can be used to generate long-term projections of gross domestic product (GDP) growth for countries for which there is historical data and population projections of the working age population. In practice, the model's parameters ( $\beta$  and  $\xi_i$ ) must be calibrated or estimated from available data and empirical studies. For the reference country, the framework also requires parameters describing the evolution of its trend productivity growth. This section reviews the data underlying the estimates of the model's parameters and projections.<sup>4</sup>

#### Gross domestic product

Historical GDP is constructed using three sources, which ensures the broadest possible coverage of economies for the projections: the number of countries covered total 155. The three sources are: the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) World Economic Outlook (WEO) database; the Conference Board's Total Economy Database (TED); and Angus Maddison's historical statistics (1 — 2008AD).


Real GDP growth rates are sourced from the IMF's WEO, which closely match estimates from each country's official statistics bureau. The level of real GDP is based on the 2008 estimate from TED, which uses 2011 US dollar price levels converted at purchasing parity using the Elteto, Koves and Szulc (EKS)

---

4 See Appendix A for further details of data sources.

methodology.<sup>5</sup> In some cases TED data is not available, so the 2008 level of real GDP from Maddison is used instead. These growth and level data are combined to backcast and forecast the level of real GDP from 1980 to 2017. Where possible these data are backcast further to 1950 using growth rates from the TED and Maddison databases.

Chart 1: Real GDP



Source: Maddison (2010), Conference Board Total Economy Database (2012), IMF World Economic Outlook (April 2012), authors’ calculations.

Constructed real GDP levels for selected IMF groups/regions are shown in Chart 1.<sup>6</sup> At the broadest level, world GDP is the sum of advanced and emerging/developing group GDP. Selected GDP subgroups shown in Chart 1 include: the euro area, which is part of the advanced group; Asia, which includes economies in the advanced and emerging/developing groups; and Latin America and the Caribbean, and Sub-Sahara Africa, which belong to the emerging/developing group.

### Population

Global demographic estimates and projections are sourced from World Population Prospects (WPP) published by the Population Division of the United Nations (UN). The 2011 Revision, released in May 2011, is the most recent revision of the WPP.<sup>7</sup> This revision projects population from 2011 until 2100, with historical data back to 1950. Projections are based on assumptions regarding future trends in fertility, mortality and international migration. Four fertility scenarios are reported for each country: low; medium; high; and constant. The medium variant (which is also the central case) uses a probabilistic method for projecting total fertility based on empirical fertility trends observed for all countries between 1950 and 2010 (for more information, see United Nations, 2011). Under the low

5 For further details see The Conference Board Total Economy Database™ Methodological Notes – [http://www.conference-board.org/retrievefile.cfm?filename=Methodological-Notes\\_Jan2013.pdf&type=subsite](http://www.conference-board.org/retrievefile.cfm?filename=Methodological-Notes_Jan2013.pdf&type=subsite).

6 Appendix B provides a breakdown of economies included in the various defined groupings. Details of IMF region/group definitions can be found on the IMF WEO website, <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2013/01/weodata/groups.htm>.

7 The UN issues a new revision every two years and the next revision is due in the first half of 2013.



variant fertility is projected to remain 0.5 children below the medium variant, while under the high variant fertility is projected to remain 0.5 children above the medium variant. Finally, the constant variant assumes a fertility rate equal to the average fertility rate from 2005 to 2010. Our long-term GDP projections rely on the population projections generated using the medium variant assumption. In particular, we construct country specific productivity using UN projections of working age population, defined as male and females aged between 15 and 64.

**Table 1: Working age population (15-64) projections (billions)**

|      | Advanced | Asia | Euro area | Latin and Caribbean | Sub-Saharan Africa |
|------|----------|------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1950 | 0.39     | 0.75 | 0.16      | 0.09                | 0.09               |
| 1960 | 0.43     | 0.86 | 0.17      | 0.11                | 0.12               |
| 1970 | 0.49     | 1.07 | 0.18      | 0.14                | 0.14               |
| 1980 | 0.55     | 1.36 | 0.19      | 0.19                | 0.19               |
| 1990 | 0.60     | 1.74 | 0.20      | 0.25                | 0.25               |
| 2000 | 0.64     | 2.07 | 0.21      | 0.31                | 0.33               |
| 2010 | 0.68     | 2.43 | 0.22      | 0.37                | 0.43               |
| 2020 | 0.68     | 2.65 | 0.21      | 0.42                | 0.56               |
| 2030 | 0.67     | 2.77 | 0.21      | 0.45                | 0.73               |
| 2040 | 0.66     | 2.75 | 0.19      | 0.46                | 0.93               |
| 2050 | 0.65     | 2.70 | 0.19      | 0.46                | 1.14               |

Source: Authors' calculations and UN (2011).

The working age population projections for selected groups are shown in Table 1. With the exception of Sub-Saharan Africa, which is expected to grow strongly over the next 40 years, regional populations are expected to decline or at least plateau over the projection period. For example, Asia's working age population is expected to peak around 2030 at 2.8 billion and then decline to 2.7 billion by 2050.

## Labour productivity

Historical estimates of labour productivity, defined as GDP per worker, are calculated using the historical real GDP levels derived from the IMF, TED and Maddison databases and the UN's historical working age population data. Table 2 shows that the 2010 level of productivity of the advanced group is roughly three times as large as that of combined Asia and ten times as large as that of combined Sub-Saharan Africa.

Table 2: GDP per worker (\$US2011, thousands)

|      | Advanced | Asia | Euro area | Latin and Caribbean | Sub-Saharan Africa | Emerging and developing |
|------|----------|------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1950 | 12.4     | 2.4  | 10.4      | 6.5                 | 2.1                | 9.9                     |
| 1960 | 17.3     | 3.2  | 15.5      | 9.0                 | 2.6                | 12.3                    |
| 1970 | 25.5     | 5.1  | 24.3      | 12.4                | 3.4                | 14.0                    |
| 1980 | 33.2     | 7.6  | 32.0      | 14.4                | 3.7                | 12.6                    |
| 1990 | 39.3     | 11.4 | 36.4      | 13.3                | 3.8                | 10.1                    |
| 2000 | 49.5     | 15.7 | 46.2      | 15.1                | 4.2                | 10.7                    |
| 2010 | 56.2     | 21.1 | 51.9      | 17.8                | 5.8                | 12.9                    |
| 2020 | 68.5     | 29.9 | 60.8      | 22.0                | 6.9                | 16.8                    |
| 2030 | 80.2     | 37.1 | 69.7      | 24.2                | 7.3                | 18.3                    |
| 2040 | 94.1     | 45.4 | 80.8      | 27.7                | 8.1                | 20.7                    |
| 2050 | 110.8    | 55.1 | 94.6      | 32.3                | 9.3                | 24.1                    |

Source: Authors' calculations.

## 4. ESTIMATION

### Empirical growth model

It is important to note that the conditional convergence model outlined above provides a framework for studying transitional dynamics over long time horizons. As such it abstracts from short-run cyclical or business cycle fluctuations. This is factored into the projections by assuming that the data have identifiable cyclical (denoted by C superscript) and trend (denoted by T superscript) components:

$$\ln(w_{it}) = \ln(w_{it}^T) + \ln(w_{it}^C) \quad (5)$$

Empirical estimates of cyclical productivity are generated using the following auto-regressive model, where  $0 < \rho < 1$ :

$$\ln(w_{it}^C) = \rho \ln(w_{i,t-1}^C) \quad (6)$$

Following the growth literature the US has been chosen as the reference country, which implies the following error correction model for the trend productivity component:

$$\ln(w_{it}^T / w_{i,t-1}^T) = \ln(w_{us,t}^T / w_{us,t-1}^T) - (1 - e^{-\beta}) \left[ \ln(w_{i,t-1}^T) - \ln(w_{us,t-1}^T) - \ln(\xi_i) \right] \quad (7)$$

The final adjustment to the theoretical model is the addition of an acceleration term (that is, lagged productivity growth) to offset the approximation error introduced by linearisation, which implies a more general error correction model:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(w_{it}^T / w_{i,t-1}^T) = & (1 - \gamma) \ln(w_{us,t}^T / w_{us,t-1}^T) + \gamma \ln(w_{i,t-1}^T / w_{i,t-2}^T) \\ & - (1 - e^{-\beta}) \left[ \ln(w_{i,t-1}^T) - \ln(w_{us,t-1}^T) - \ln(\xi_i) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ .

Labour-augmenting technological progress is assumed to grow in the long run at a constant rate  $\delta$ , which implies the following relationship for US trend productivity growth:

$$\ln(w_{us,t}^T / w_{us,t-1}^T) = (1-\gamma)\delta + \gamma \ln(w_{us,t-1}^T / w_{us,t-2}^T) \quad (9)$$

Finally, GDP growth projections are derived using working age population growth rate projections:

$$\ln(y_{it} / y_{i,t-1}) = \ln(w_{it} / w_{i,t-1}) + \ln(n_{it} / n_{i,t-1}) \quad (10)$$

where  $y_{it}$  is country  $i$ 's output at time  $t$  and  $n_{it}$  is country  $i$ 's labour input at time  $t$ .

## Parameter estimation/calibration

### Growth rate of labour-augmenting technological progress ( $\delta$ )

The annual growth rate of US trend productivity ( $\delta$ ) is assumed to be 1.7 per cent. This assumption is based on forecasts of annual US trend productivity growth from 2012 to 2022 published in Congressional Budget Office (2012).

### Relative steady-state productivity ( $\xi_i$ )

There is a vast empirical literature devoted to the study of conditional convergence. This literature has uncovered a number of institutional factors that are correlated with a country's relative productivity. Using insights from this literature, a set of 66 countries was identified in the broader sample of 155 countries as being at or near their relative US steady-state productivity level.<sup>8</sup> A common empirical observation for this set of countries was that their relative productivity has been roughly constant over the past two decades. The  $\xi_i$  for this set of the countries is assumed to be their 2011 level of relative US productivity.

Establishing  $\xi_i$  for the remaining 89 countries is somewhat more challenging. Even with the benefit of a large amount of research in this area, it is a challenge to determine (and compile the data for) the factors that should be used in assessing each country's relative steady-state productivity. We circumvent this problem by relying on growth analysis conducted by the World Economic Forum (WEF). In particular, the WEF publishes an annual Global Competitiveness Report that analyses the factors underpinning productivity performance, which is summarised by its Global Competitiveness Index (GCI). The GCI provides a score of the competitiveness of countries based on over 100 indicators that, theoretically and empirically, have been shown to be important in determining a country's productivity (see, Sala-i-Martin and Artadi, 2004, and WEF, 2012, for more details).

We use the WEF's GCI scores and the relative productivity estimates of the previously identified 66 near steady-state countries to estimate the relationship between relative steady-state productivity  $\xi_i$  and the GCI. Non-parametric techniques (kernel regressions) are employed to avoid having to make strong structural or parametric assumptions about the relationship between the GCI and  $\xi_i$ . The relative steady-state productivities of the remaining 89 countries are then predicted using each country's GCI

---

8 See Appendix B for the list of countries determined to be at their steady-state productivity ratio.

score and the estimated relationship. The kernel regression used to estimate the relationship between  $\xi$  and GCI is:

$$\bar{\xi}(GCI) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m K(\psi_j) \xi_j}{\sum_{j=1}^m K(\psi_j)} \quad (11)$$

where  $m$  is the number of near steady-state countries,  $\psi_j = \frac{GCI_j - GCI}{h}$ ,  $\xi_j$  is the ratio of each country's productivity to the US,  $h$  is the estimation bandwidth and  $K(\psi_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\psi_j^2\right]$  is a Gaussian kernel. The choice of the bandwidth can affect the estimates in a non-trivial manner. Larger values of  $h$  will lower the variance of the kernel estimates but potentially increase the bias (see Pagan and Ullah, 1999, for a more extensive discussion). We minimised our choice of  $h$  subject to the constraint that the relationship between  $\xi_j$  and GCI is monotonically increasing.

Chart 2 shows the estimated relationship between the relative productivities of the 66 countries that are at or near steady state and their GCI. This regression line implies there are two critical GCI scores: GCIs below 3.8 are associated with low relative productivity and modest gains in productivity for an increase in GCI; GCIs above 4.8 are associated with relatively high productivity and modest gains in productivity for an increase in GCI; and for GCI's between 3.8 and 4.8 small increases in GCI imply significantly higher relative productivity gains.

The WEF does not publish GCI estimates for 23 economies of the 155 of the countries under study. We circumvent this problem by using the Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) published via World Bank (2012) to derive an equivalent GCI value. The WGI is chosen as there is some overlap in the sets of institutional factors covered by each index. Specifically, the six dimensions of the WGI include: voice and accountability; political stability and absence of violence/terrorism; government effectiveness; regulatory quality; and rule of law and control of corruption. Consistent with this overlap, we find a fairly close correlation between the GCI and WGI. On this basis, a WGI to GCI mapping equation is estimated for the 132 available economies using a linear regression of GCI on WGI and a constant:

$$GCI_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 WGI_i \quad (12)$$

The estimated relationship is then used to map WGI to GCI for the 23 economies that do not have GCI scores. The results of the regression are presented in Appendix C.

Chart 2: Estimated relationship between relative steady-state productivity and the WEF's global competitiveness index




Chart 3: Current versus steady-state relative labour productivity




Chart 3 plots the level of 2011 relative productivity against the GCI for all countries under study, along with the estimated relationship between steady-state relative productivity and GCI. A number of countries have current levels of relative productivity that lie well below their expected steady-state level. For example, India, Indonesia and Vietnam (highlighted by green arrows) currently have productivity levels around 10 per cent of the US level and are expected to have a steady-state level of around 20 per cent of US productivity level. There are several countries that currently lie well-above their expected steady-state relative productivity. Most of these countries are located in the Middle East and North African region (see Appendix B for complete list), with rich natural resources such as oil. Our analysis suggests that growth convergence factors summarised by the GCI will be a constraint on their long-term growth prospects.

### **Speed of convergence ( $\beta$ )**

The speed of convergence,  $\beta$ , depends on long-run technology and preference parameters, which are assumed to be common to all countries. Under this assumption the speed of convergence can be identified from panel regressions across all countries where each country's transitional dynamics are captured by equation (2). Empirical studies that follow this approach, such as Barro (1991), Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1992 and 2004), Barro, Sala-i-Martin, Blanchard, and Hall (1992) and Mankiw, Romer and Weil (1992), find that countries converge on average at an annual rate of around 2 per cent. Consistent with these studies, we assume a speed of convergence of 2 per cent for all economies.

In the case of China, whose current level of relative US productivity is around 20 per cent, a convergence rate of 2 per cent implies it will reach a relative productivity level of just over 50 per cent of the US by 2050, which is still well below its expected steady-state value of around 70 per cent.

### **Growth acceleration ( $\gamma$ )**

The linearised model summarised in (2) assumes a fixed speed of convergence. Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1992 and 2004) show that the exact speed of convergence is a decreasing function of the distance from the balanced growth path. This is captured in the empirical model by the acceleration term ( $\gamma$ ) which is calibrated to be 0.5.

### **Cyclical productivity ( $\rho$ )**

Historical labour productivity data are de-trended using the Hodrick-Prescott (HP) filter, with a  $\lambda$  of 6.25 which is consistent with the recommendations of Baxter and King (1999) and Ravn and Uhlig (2002). The cyclical components generated by this filter imply  $\rho$  is equal to 0.65.

## **5. RESULTS**


This section summarises key results produced by the long-term GDP projection framework.

### **Relative productivity**

Relative productivity is projected to remain stable for advanced, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Latin America and Caribbean economies throughout the projection period (see Chart 4), while the relative

productivity level of the Asian region is expected to more than double from around 15 per cent in 2012 to around 32 per cent by 2050. This is also the source of the rising relative productivity level of the broader emerging and developing region.


Chart 4: Relative productivity by region



Source: Authors' calculations.

Turning to countries within the Asia region, we see that China's relative productivity level is expected to more than double from its current level of around 20 per cent to a little over 50 per cent by 2050 (see Chart 5). India's relative productivity level is also expected to double over this period, albeit from a lower base of around 10 per cent.

Chart 5: Relative productivity — Asia




Source: Authors' calculations.

Many of the countries in the Sub-Saharan Africa region are scattered above and below the lower-left segment the relative productivity-GCI curve (see Chart 3). Therefore, we expect the relative productivity level of the Sub-Saharan Africa region to rise slightly over the projection period from its current level of around 4 per cent to 5 per cent by 2050 (see Chart 6). Underlying this are modest



improvements in the relative productivity of large countries in the region, such as South Africa and Nigeria.


Chart 6: Relative productivity — Sub-Saharan



Source: Authors' calculations.

At around 25 per cent of the US level, the current relative productivity level of the Latin America and Caribbean region is somewhat higher than that of the Sub-Saharan Africa region. Our analysis suggests there will be little improvement in the Latin America and Caribbean region's relative productivity level over the next 40 years, as projected improvements in countries such as Brazil are expected to be offset by declines in other countries, such as Argentina (see Chart 7).

Chart 7: Relative productivity — Latin America and Caribbean



Source: Authors' calculations.

### Regional transition paths

Table 3 highlights that emerging and developing economies have been the major driver of global economic growth over the last decade. This was particularly true for the years surrounding the global financial crisis, over which the advanced economies displayed, by their own historical levels, relatively weak growth. This pattern is expected to continue over the next two decades, with the emerging and

developing region expected to grow at twice the average annual rate of the advanced region (largely due to strong growth in Asia). Sub-Saharan Africa’s GDP is also expected to grow strongly over the projection period due to strong population growth.

World output growth is expected to slow from an average annual rate of around 4 per cent from 2010 to 2020 to an annual average growth rate of around 2 per cent from 2040 to 2050. Again, this largely reflects developments in Asia, with average annual Asian GDP growth expected to fall from 6.1 per cent from 2010 to 2020 to 2.3 per cent from 2040 to 2050.

**Table 3: GDP growth projections by region (average annual growth)**

|           | World | Advanced economies | Emerging and developing economies | Euro area | Asia | Latin America and Caribbean | Sub-Saharan Africa |
|-----------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1950-1960 | 4.7   | 4.6                | 4.9                               |           | 5.9  |                             |                    |
| 1960-1970 | 5.0   | 5.2                | 4.6                               |           | 6.9  |                             |                    |
| 1970-1980 | 3.8   | 3.4                | 4.8                               |           | 4.8  |                             |                    |
| 1980-1990 | 3.3   | 3.3                | 3.4                               |           | 5.9  | 1.5                         | 2.4                |
| 1990-2000 | 3.2   | 2.8                | 3.9                               |           | 5.0  | 3.3                         | 2.3                |
| 2000-2010 | 3.6   | 1.6                | 6.3                               | 1.2       | 6.3  | 3.3                         | 5.7                |
| 2010-2020 | 4.0   | 2.1                | 5.7                               | 1.1       | 6.1  | 3.7                         | 5.3                |
| 2020-2030 | 2.9   | 1.6                | 3.7                               | 1.2       | 4.0  | 2.3                         | 4.0                |
| 2030-2040 | 2.3   | 1.6                | 2.7                               | 1.1       | 2.7  | 1.9                         | 3.9                |
| 2040-2050 | 2.1   | 1.7                | 2.2                               | 1.4       | 2.3  | 1.6                         | 3.6                |

Source: Authors’ calculations.

Unsurprisingly, the two countries driving the strong Asian region growth are China and India (see Table 4). The relative productivity level of these countries is expected to rise by roughly the same amount (that is, they are expected to double) which implies their annual GDP growth rates will receive the same boost from growth convergence factors. In the case of India, the relative productivity improvement is coming off a significantly lower base, so any further improvement in its growth convergence criteria that pushes its GCI closer to China’s would imply significantly stronger growth over the projection period.

Sub-Saharan Africa’s combined GDP is expected to grow at an annual rate of above 3 per cent over the projection period. Given the modest improvement expected in the region’s relative productivity, this in large part reflects strong population growth, with the region’s population expected to double over the next 40 years (see Table 5).

The growth prospects of the Latin America and Caribbean region are more modest than Sub-Saharan Africa’s. This reflects little expected improvement in the former’s relative productivity and its relative weak population growth (see Table 6).

Table 4: GDP projections — Asia (average annual growth)

|           | Asia | China | India |
|-----------|------|-------|-------|
| 1950-1960 | 5.9  | 6.1   | 3.9   |
| 1960-1970 | 6.9  | 3.7   | 3.7   |
| 1970-1980 | 4.8  | 5.5   | 2.8   |
| 1980-1990 | 5.9  | 9.3   | 5.6   |
| 1990-2000 | 5.0  | 10.4  | 5.6   |
| 2000-2010 | 6.3  | 10.5  | 7.4   |
| 2010-2020 | 6.1  | 8.0   | 6.5   |
| 2020-2030 | 4.0  | 4.3   | 6.1   |
| 2030-2040 | 2.7  | 2.4   | 4.5   |
| 2040-2050 | 2.3  | 2.0   | 3.3   |

Source: Authors' calculations.

Table 5: GDP projections — Sub-Saharan Africa (average annual growth)

|           | Sub-Saharan Africa | Nigeria | South Africa |
|-----------|--------------------|---------|--------------|
| 1950-1960 |                    | 3.6     | 4.4          |
| 1960-1970 |                    | 6.0     | 5.7          |
| 1970-1980 |                    | 5.0     | 3.4          |
| 1980-1990 | 2.4                | 2.4     | 1.5          |
| 1990-2000 | 2.3                | 1.9     | 1.8          |
| 2000-2010 | 5.7                | 8.9     | 3.5          |
| 2010-2020 | 5.3                | 6.6     | 3.1          |
| 2020-2030 | 4.0                | 3.9     | 2.3          |
| 2030-2040 | 3.9                | 3.8     | 2.1          |
| 2040-2050 | 3.6                | 3.7     | 1.9          |

Source: Authors' calculations.

Table 6: GDP projections — Latin America and the Caribbean (average annual growth)

|           | Latin America and Caribbean | Brazil | Mexico |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|
| 1950-1960 |                             | 6.5    | 6.1    |
| 1960-1970 |                             | 5.7    | 6.5    |
| 1970-1980 |                             | 8.2    | 6.7    |
| 1980-1990 | 1.5                         | 1.5    | 1.9    |
| 1990-2000 | 3.3                         | 2.5    | 3.5    |
| 2000-2010 | 3.3                         | 3.6    | 1.6    |
| 2010-2020 | 3.7                         | 3.6    | 3.5    |
| 2020-2030 | 2.3                         | 3.2    | 2.7    |
| 2030-2040 | 1.9                         | 2.4    | 2.0    |
| 2040-2050 | 1.6                         | 1.6    | 1.6    |

Source: Authors' calculations.

Table 7 reports the long-term GDP projections of other agencies/institutions. The frameworks used by these institutions are similar to the approach presented in this paper, with GDP projections based on

assumptions about the growth rates of the labour force and labour productivity. Treasury’s outlook for world output growth is broadly consistent with the expectations of these other forecasters over the current decade (that is, from 2010 to 2020). In contrast, Treasury’s long-term outlook of average annual world output growth is in the range of 2 per cent which is somewhat weaker than Goldman Sachs’ expectation of average annual growth of above 3 per cent.

**Table 7: GDP projection by other agencies/institutions**


| Institution                | Publication date | Time Period | World | China | India |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Conference Board (a)       | 2011             | 2012-2016   | 3.4   | 6.8   | 6.2   |
|                            |                  | 2017-2025   | 2.7   | 3.5   | 4.5   |
| World Bank (b)             | 2009             | 2010-2015   |       | 8.4   |       |
|                            |                  | 2016-2020   |       | 7     |       |
| Garnaut (c)                | 2008             | 2005-2015   | 4.6   | 9     | 7.5   |
|                            |                  | 2015-2025   | 4.4   | 6.8   | 7.5   |
| Carnegie Endowment (d)     | 2010             | 2009-2050   |       | 5.6   | 5.9   |
| Asian Development Bank (e) | 2010             | 2011-2030   |       | 5.5   | 4.5   |
| Goldman Sachs (f)          | 2011             | 2010-2019   | 4.3   | 7.5   | 6.9   |
|                            |                  | 2020-2029   | 3.9   | 5.4   | 6     |
|                            |                  | 2030-2039   | 3.5   | 3.5   | 5.7   |
|                            |                  | 2040-2050   | 3.3   | 2.9   | 5.1   |
| HSBC (g)                   | 2012             | 2010-2020   |       | 6.7   | 5.7   |
|                            |                  | 2020-2030   |       | 5.5   | 5.6   |
|                            |                  | 2030-2040   |       | 4.4   | 5.5   |
|                            |                  | 2040-2050   |       | 4.1   | 5.2   |
| PWC (h)                    | 2011             | 2009-2050   |       | 5.9   | 8.1   |
| BBVA (i)                   | 2012             | 2011-2021   | 4.3   | 8.4   | 7.8   |

Source: (a) Chen, et al. (2012), (b) Kuijs (2009), (c) Garnaut (2011), (d) Dadush and Stancil (2010), (e) Asian Development Bank (2011), (f) Wilson, et al. (2011), (g) Ward (2012), (h) PWC (2011), (i) BBVA(2012) and authors’ calculations.

## Regional economic importance

Our analysis suggests that the economy of the emerging and developing region is currently larger than the economy of the advanced region (see Chart 8). This reflects the rapidly shifting weight of global economic activity to the fast-growing economies of Asia. We project that Asia will become the world’s largest economic region by 2020. Underlying this is the expectation that the combined economies of China and India will be larger than the economy of the advanced region by the middle of the 2030s. Chart 8 reveals that the rising global share of Asia will be offset by declining shares for both the advanced and Latin America and Caribbean regional economies.


Chart 8: Regional economic shares



Source: Authors' calculations.

The re-emergence of China and India is particularly extraordinary due to the pace at which it is occurring. According to Maddison (2010), during the Industrial Revolution, it took about 50 years for the United Kingdom to almost double its share of world output. Chart 9 shows that China doubled its share of world output in just over a decade from when it began its market oriented reforms in 1978. Moreover, in the thirty years since reforms began, China's share of world output has increased almost eight-fold. Similarly, India began its wave of reforms in the early 1990s and doubled its share of world GDP in under two decades.


Chart 9: Regional economic shares — Asia



Source: Authors' calculations.

The Sub-Saharan Africa region's share of world output is currently around 2 per cent. We expect this share to rise to 3.5 per cent by 2050 (see Chart 10). Nigeria and South Africa are expected to be the major economies of the Sub-Saharan Africa region over this period, with Nigeria's importance rising steadily in the region from 0.5 per cent of world GDP today to just under 1 per cent by 2050.


Chart 10: Regional economic shares — Sub-Saharan Africa



Source: Authors' calculations.

The Latin America and Caribbean region is expected to grow at a slower pace than world output, which implies its share of world output will decline from around 8 per cent in 2012 to 6.5 per cent in 2050. Chart 11 shows that the region's projected global share path is consistent with its trend over the past 30 years.

Chart 11: Regional economic shares — Latin America and Caribbean



Source: Authors' calculations.

**Table 8: Ranking by size of economy**

| <i>Ranking</i> | 1980          | 2010          | 2030          | 2050          |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1              | USA           | USA           | China         | China         |
| 2              | Japan         | China         | USA           | USA           |
| 3              | Germany       | Japan         | India         | India         |
| 4              | France        | India         | Japan         | Indonesia     |
| 5              | Italy         | Germany       | Germany       | Japan         |
| 6              | Great Britain | Great Britain | Brazil        | Brazil        |
| 7              | Brazil        | Russia        | Indonesia     | Great Britain |
| 8              | Mexico        | France        | Great Britain | Germany       |
| 9              | India         | Brazil        | France        | France        |
| 10             | Canada        | Italy         | Mexico        | Mexico        |
| 11             | Spain         | Mexico        | Russia        | Saudi Arabia  |
| 12             | China         | Korea         | Korea         | Canada        |
| 13             | Australia     | Spain         | Canada        | Korea         |
| 14             | Netherlands   | Canada        | Spain         | Australia     |
| 15             | Poland        | Indonesia     | Italy         | Russia        |
| 16             | Saudi Arabia  | Australia     | Turkey        | Malaysia      |
| 17             | Argentina     | Iran          | Australia     | Spain         |
| 18             | Iran          | Turkey        | Saudi Arabia  | Turkey        |
| 19             | Indonesia     | Taiwan        | Iran          | Thailand      |
| 20             | Turkey        | Poland        | Thailand      | Nigeria       |

Source: Authors' calculations.

Table 8 reports the ranking of individual economies according to their share of world output. China is expected to be the world's largest economy by 2030 followed by the US and India. Indonesia is on track to become the fourth largest economy by 2050, which implies that four of the five largest economies in the world will be in Asia.

## 6. CONCLUSION

For a small open economy, such as Australia, international trade is an important determinant of economic growth, so long-term growth projections typically rely on a considered view of the long-term outlook of its trading partners. This paper develops a framework for projecting the GDP growth of Australia's trading partners from 2012 to 2050 that is suitable for that task. The projection framework draws heavily on the existing conditional growth literature, including long-standing estimates of key convergence parameters. It adds to the large amount of research in this area by providing estimates of the level of long-run relative productivity for 155 countries. We use a novel non-parametric approach that combines the World Economic Forum ordinal measure of long-run relative productivity (that is, the Global Competitiveness Index) and actual observed productivity to produce a cardinal measure of long-run relative productivity.

Our analysis suggests that the economy of the emerging and developing region is currently larger than the economy of the advanced region. This reflects the rapidly shifting weight of global economic activity

to the fast-growing economies of Asia. We project that Asia will become the world's largest economic region by 2020. Underlying this is the expectation that the combined economies of China and India will become larger than the combined advanced economies by the middle of the 2030s. Furthermore, our analysis predicts the rising global share of Asia will be offset by declining shares for both the advanced and Latin America and Caribbean regional economies. Finally, we expect that four of the five largest economies in the world will be in Asia by 2050 (China, India, Indonesia and Japan).



## REFERENCES

- Asian Development Bank (2011) *Asia 2050: Realizing the Asian Century*, Asian Development Bank.
- Australian Government (2012) *Australia in the Asian Century: White Paper*, Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Canberra.
- Barro, R.J., (1991) Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol.106, No.2 pp. 407-443.
- Barro, R.J., Sala-i-Martin, X., (1992) Convergence, *The Journal of Political Economy*, Vol.100, No.2 pp. 223-251.
- Barro, R.J., Sala-i-Martin, X., (2004) *Economic Growth*, MIT Press.
- Barro, R.J., Sala-i-Martin, X., Blanchard, O.J., Hall, R.E., (1992) Convergence Across States and Regions, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, Vol. 1991, No.1 pp. 107-182.
- Baxter, M., King, R., (1999) Measuring Business Cycles, Approximate Band-Pass Filters for Economic Time Series, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol.81, No.4, pp. 573-93.
- BBVA (2012) *EAGLEs Economic Outlook*, *BBVA Research*, February 2012.
- Chen, V., Cheng, B., Levanong, G., (2012) Projecting Economic Growth with Growth Accounting Techniques, *The Conference Board Global Economic Outlook*, The Conference Board.
- Congressional Budget Office (2012) *An Update to the Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022*, Congress of the United States, August 2012, [http://www.cbo.gov/sites/default/files/cbofiles/attachments/08-22-2012-Update\\_to\\_Outlook.pdf](http://www.cbo.gov/sites/default/files/cbofiles/attachments/08-22-2012-Update_to_Outlook.pdf).
- Dadush, U., Stancil, B., (2010) *The World Order in 2050*, *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace: Policy Outlook*, April 2010.
- Garnaut, R., (2011) *Garnaut Climate Change Review: Update 2011*, University of Cambridge Press.
- Kuijs, L., (2009) *China through 2020: a macroeconomic scenario*, *World Bank Working Paper*, No. 55104, June 2009.
- Maddison, A., (2010) *Statistics on world population, GDP and GDP per capita, 1-2008 AD*, *Historical Statistics*, Groningen Growth and Development Centre, [www.ggdc.net](http://www.ggdc.net).
- Mankiw, N. G., Romer, D., Weil, D.N., (1992) A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol.107, No.2, 99407-437.
- Ravn, M., Uhlig, H., (2002) On adjusting the Hodrick-Prescott filter for the frequency of observations, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol.84, No.2, pp. 371-375.
- Pagan, A.R, Ullah, A., (1999) *Nonparametric econometrics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge United Kingdom.

PWC (2011) *The World in 2050: the accelerating shift of global economic power: challenges and opportunities*, PWC, January 2011.

Sala-i-Martin, X., Artadi, E., (2004) *The Global Competiveness Index*, in *The Global Competiveness Report: 2004-05*, M. Porter et al (eds), Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ward, K., (2012) *The World in 2050*, *HSBC Global Research*, January 2012.

Wilson, D., Kamkshya, T., Carlson, S., Ursua, J., (2011) *The BRICs 10 years on: Halfway through the Great Transformation*, *Global economics paper*, Goldman Sachs, No.208, December 2011.

World Bank (2012) *Worldwide Governance Indicators*, World Bank, Washington DC, <http://info.worldbank.org/>.

World Economic Forum (2012) *Global Competiveness Report 2012-2013: Full Data Edition*, Switzerland, Geneva, [http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\\_GlobalCompetitivenessReport\\_2012-13.pdf](http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_GlobalCompetitivenessReport_2012-13.pdf)

## APPENDIX A: DATA SOURCES

Conference Board (2012) *The Conference Board's Total Economy Database*™, January 2012, [www.conference-board.org](http://www.conference-board.org).

Maddison, A., (2010) 'Statistics on world population, GDP and GDP per capita, 1-2008 AD' *Historical Statistics*, Groningen Growth and Development Centre, [www.ggdc.net](http://www.ggdc.net)

International Monetary Fund (2012) *World Economic Outlook, April 2012*, IMF, Washington DC, [www.imf.org](http://www.imf.org).

United Nations (2011) *World population prospects: the 2011 revision*, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, New York.

World Bank (2012) *Worldwide Governance Indicators*, World Bank, Washington DC, <http://info.worldbank.org/>.

## APPENDIX B: COUNTRY LISTS<sup>9</sup>

| Country Name                 | Country Code | Near steady state | Region   |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|
| Albania                      | ALB          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Algeria                      | DZA          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Angola                       | AGO          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Argentina                    | ARG          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Armenia                      | ARM          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Australia                    | AUS          | *                 | Advanced |
| Austria                      | AUT          | *                 | Advanced |
| Azerbaijan                   | AZE          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Bahrain                      | BHR          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Bangladesh                   | BGD          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Barbados                     | BRB          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Belarus                      | BLR          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Belgium                      | BEL          | *                 | Advanced |
| Benin                        | BEN          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Bolivia                      | BOL          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Botswana                     | BWA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Brazil                       | BRA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Bulgaria                     | BGR          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Burkina Faso                 | BFA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Burundi                      | BDI          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Cambodia                     | KHM          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Cameroon                     | CMR          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Canada                       | CAN          | *                 | Advanced |
| Cape Verde                   | CPV          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Central African Republic     | CAF          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Chad                         | TCD          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Chile                        | CHL          |                   | EMDEV    |
| China                        | CHN          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Colombia                     | COL          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Comoros                      | COM          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Costa Rica                   | CRI          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Côte d'Ivoire                | CIV          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Croatia                      | HRV          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Czech Republic               | CZE          |                   | Advanced |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | COD          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Denmark                      | DNK          | *                 | Advanced |
| Djibouti                     | DJI          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Dominican Republic           | DOM          |                   | EMDEV    |

9 EMDEV = emerging and developing economies. \*\* Oil rich economies that are transitioning to a lower long-run relative steady-state level.

| Country Name                     | Country Code | Near steady state | Region   |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|
| Ecuador                          | ECU          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Egypt                            | EGY          |                   | EMDEV    |
| El Salvador                      | SLV          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Equatorial Guinea                | GNQ          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Islamic Republic of Afghanistan  | AFG          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Republic of Congo                | COG          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Ecuador                          | ECU          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Egypt                            | EGY          |                   | EMDEV    |
| El Salvador                      | SLV          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Equatorial Guinea                | GNQ          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Islamic Republic of Afghanistan  | AFG          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Republic of Congo                | COG          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Estonia                          | EST          |                   | Advanced |
| Ethiopia                         | ETH          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Finland                          | FIN          | *                 | Advanced |
| France                           | FRA          | *                 | Advanced |
| Gabon                            | GAB          |                   | EMDEV    |
| The Gambia                       | GMB          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Georgia                          | GEO          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Germany                          | DEU          | *                 | Advanced |
| Ghana                            | GHA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Greece                           | GRC          |                   | Advanced |
| Guatemala                        | GTM          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Guinea                           | GIN          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Guinea-Bissau                    | GNB          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Honduras                         | HND          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Hong Kong SAR                    | HKG          | *                 | Advanced |
| Hungary                          | HUN          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Iceland                          | ISL          | *                 | Advanced |
| India                            | IND          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Indonesia                        | IDN          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Islamic Republic of Iran         | IRN          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Iraq                             | IRQ          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Ireland                          | IRL          |                   | Advanced |
| Israel                           | ISR          | *                 | Advanced |
| Italy                            | ITA          |                   | Advanced |
| Jamaica                          | JAM          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Japan                            | JPN          | *                 | Advanced |
| Jordan                           | JOR          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Kazakhstan                       | KAZ          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Kenya                            | KEN          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Korea                            | KOR          |                   | Advanced |
| Kuwait                           | KWT          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Kyrgyz Republic                  | KGZ          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | LAO          |                   | EMDEV    |

| Country Name                          | Country Code | Near steady state | Region   |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|
| Latvia                                | LVA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Lebanon                               | LBN          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Lesotho                               | LSO          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Liberia                               | LBR          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Lithuania                             | LTU          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Luxembourg                            | LUX          | **                | Advanced |
| Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | MKD          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Madagascar                            | MDG          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Malawi                                | MWI          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Malaysia                              | MYS          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Mali                                  | MLI          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Malta                                 | MLT          | *                 | Advanced |
| Mauritania                            | MRT          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Mauritius                             | MUS          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Mexico                                | MEX          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Moldova                               | MDA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Mongolia                              | MNG          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Morocco                               | MAR          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Mozambique                            | MOZ          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Myanmar                               | MMR          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Namibia                               | NAM          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Nepal                                 | NPL          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Netherlands                           | NLD          | *                 | Advanced |
| New Zealand                           | NZL          | *                 | Advanced |
| Nicaragua                             | NIC          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Niger                                 | NER          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Nigeria                               | NGA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Norway                                | NOR          | *                 | Advanced |
| Oman                                  | OMN          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Pakistan                              | PAK          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Panama                                | PAN          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Paraguay                              | PRY          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Peru                                  | PER          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Philippines                           | PHL          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Poland                                | POL          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Portugal                              | PRT          | *                 | Advanced |
| Qatar                                 | QAT          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Romania                               | ROU          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Russia                                | RUS          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Rwanda                                | RWA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| São Tomé and Príncipe                 | STP          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Saudi Arabia                          | SAU          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Senegal                               | SEN          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Sierra Leone                          | SLE          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Singapore                             | SGP          | *                 | Advanced |

| Country Name             | Country Code | Near steady state | Region   |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|
| Slovak Republic          | SVK          |                   | Advanced |
| Slovenia                 | SVN          |                   | Advanced |
| South Africa             | ZAF          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Spain                    | ESP          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Sri Lanka                | LKA          |                   | Advanced |
| St. Lucia                | LCA          | **                | Advanced |
| Sudan                    | SDN          |                   | Advanced |
| Swaziland                | SWZ          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Sweden                   | SWE          | *                 | Advanced |
| Switzerland              | CHE          | *                 | Advanced |
| Taiwan Province of China | TWN          | *                 | Advanced |
| Tajikistan               | TJK          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Tanzania                 | TZA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Thailand                 | THA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Togo                     | TGO          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Trinidad and Tobago      | TTO          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Tunisia                  | TUN          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Turkey                   | TUR          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Turkmenistan             | TKM          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Uganda                   | UGA          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Ukraine                  | UKR          |                   | EMDEV    |
| United Arab Emirates     | ARE          | **                | EMDEV    |
| United Kingdom           | GBR          | *                 | Advanced |
| United States            | USA          | *                 | Advanced |
| Uruguay                  | URY          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Uzbekistan               | UZB          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Venezuela                | VEN          | **                | EMDEV    |
| Vietnam                  | VNM          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Republic of Yemen        | YEM          | *                 | EMDEV    |
| Zambia                   | ZMB          |                   | EMDEV    |
| Zimbabwe                 | ZWE          |                   | EMDEV    |

## APPENDIX C: WGI TO GCI MAPPING

Table 9: Estimated WGI to GCI mapping

| <i>Variable</i> | <i>Parameter estimate<br/>(standard error)</i> |
|-----------------|--|
| $\alpha_0$      | 4.15<br>(0.03)                                 |
| $\alpha_1$      | 0.61<br>(0.04)                                 |
| N               | 122  |
| R <sup>2</sup>  | 0.67   |

Using these parameter estimates the following GCI scores are obtained for the 23 countries without official GCI scores:

Table 10: Estimated GCI values for missing countries

|                                  |      |                       |      |
|----------------------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| Belarus                          | 3.56 | Liberia               | 3.69 |
| Comoros                          | 3.55 | Myanmar               | 3.08 |
| Central African Republic         | 3.35 | Niger                 | 3.73 |
| Democratic Republic of Congo     | 3.14 | Republic of Congo     | 3.53 |
| Djibouti                         | 3.79 | São Tomé and Príncipe | 3.92 |
| Equatorial Guinea                | 3.39 | Sierra Leone          | 3.75 |
| Gabon                            | 3.8  | St. Lucia             | 4.7  |
| Guinea                           | 3.37 | Sudan                 | 3.15 |
| Guinea-Bissau                    | 3.52 | Togo                  | 3.61 |
| Iraq                             | 3.28 | Turkmenistan          | 3.3  |
| Islamic Republic of Afghanistan  | 3.07 | Uzbekistan            | 3.35 |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | 3.56 |                       |      |