

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Cuesta, Ana; Reyes, Angela; Roseth, Benjamin

### **Working Paper**

The importance of clarity: Impacts of Colombia's Lenguaje Claro Program on reducing administrative burdens

IDB Working Paper Series, No. IDB-WP-983

### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), Washington, DC

Suggested Citation: Cuesta, Ana; Reyes, Angela; Roseth, Benjamin (2019): The importance of clarity: Impacts of Colombia's Lenguaje Claro Program on reducing administrative burdens, IDB Working Paper Series, No. IDB-WP-983, Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), Washington, DC, https://doi.org/10.18235/0001683

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/208171

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/legalcode





IDB WORKING PAPER SERIES No

**IDB-WP-983** 

# The Importance of Clarity

Impacts of Colombia's *Lenguaje Claro*Program on Reducing Administrative
Burdens

Ana Cuesta Angela Reyes Benjamin Roseth

Inter-American Development Bank Institutions for Development Sector



# The Importance of Clarity

Impacts of Colombia's *Lenguaje Claro* Program on Reducing Administrative Burdens

Ana Cuesta Angela Reyes Benjamin Roseth Cataloging-in-Publication data provided by the Inter-American Development Bank Felipe Herrera Library

Cuesta, Ana.

The importance of clarity: impacts of Colombia's "Lenguaje Claro" program on reducing administrative burdens / Ana Cuesta, Angela Reyes, Benjamin Roseth. p. cm. — (IDB Working Paper Series; 983) Includes bibliographic references.

1. Administrative procedure-Colombia-Evaluation. 2. Government paperwork-Colombia-Evaluation. I. Reyes, Angela. II. Roseth, Benjamin. III. Inter-American Development Bank. Innovation in Citizen Services Division. IV. Title. V. Series. IDB-WP-983

### http://www.iadb.org

Copyright © 2019 Inter-American Development Bank. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons IGO 3.0 Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives (CC-IGO BY-NC-ND 3.0 IGO) license (<a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/legalcode">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/legalcode</a>) and may be reproduced with attribution to the IDB and for any non-commercial purpose, as provided below. No derivative work is allowed.

Any dispute related to the use of the works of the IDB that cannot be settled amicably shall be submitted to arbitration pursuant to the UNCITRAL rules. The use of the IDB's name for any purpose other than for attribution, and the use of IDB's logo shall be subject to a separate written license agreement between the IDB and the user and is not authorized as part of this CC-IGO license.

Following a peer review process, and with previous written consent by the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), a revised version of this work may also be reproduced in any academic journal, including those indexed by the American Economic Association's EconLit, provided that the IDB is credited and that the author(s) receive no income from the publication. Therefore, the restriction to receive income from such publication shall only extend to the publication's author(s). With regard to such restriction, in case of any inconsistency between the Creative Commons IGO 3.0 Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives license and these statements, the latter shall prevail.

Note that the link provided above includes additional terms and conditions of the license.

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Inter-American Development Bank, its Board of Directors, or the countries they represent.



Contacts: Angela Reyes, angelare@iadb.org; Benjamin Roseth, broseth@iadb.org.

### Abstract\*

Citizens confront multiple challenges when attempting to access public services. This article focuses on an aspect yet unaddressed by existing literature on administrative burdens: the complexity of the written language used by government agencies in Latin America. It presents an impact evaluation of the Lenguaje Claro Program of Colombia's National Planning Department. A randomized control trial compared two versions of a letter—the original and one with plain language—used by the property department of the city of Bogota. The study shows that clarifying content via modifications in language, content order, and formatting can reduce citizen's learning costs. Plain language thus has positive effects for both individuals and public institutions. A complementary perception survey revealed that there is significant room for additional simplification, as both the original and the plain language letter were largely poorly rated by citizens.

JEL: C93, H11, H83, Z13, Z18

Keywords: administrative burden, language simplification, plain language, public

services, red tape

Ana Cuesta is an Evaluation Economist at the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), Angela Reyes is a Digital Government Consultant at the IDB, and Benjamin Roseth is a Modernization of the State Specialist at the IDB. The authors would like to thank Nicolás Dassen, Senior Modernization of the State Specialist at the IDB and coordinator of the IDB's activities on Open Government. This evaluation was conducted as part of the Bank's support of Open Government initiatives in Latin America and Caribbean. Additionally, the authors would like to thank Daniel Jaramillo for his research assistance.

### 1. Introduction

Accessing public services is not always easy. Citizens can face a series of administrative burdens—such as finding information about the service or program, collecting documentation, completing requirements, taking a bus to a public office, standing in line for hours, waiting at the counter, reading instructions, filling out forms, or sending letters—making it difficult for them to complete the processes to access such services. This paper analyses the impact of one government intervention aimed at reducing such administrative burdens. Through a randomized control trial, this study evaluates the effects of simplifying the language, format, and content of a response letter sent by the Unidad Administrativa Especial de Catastro Distrital (the real property department, hereafter Catastro) of Bogota, Colombia, to citizens who requested a review of their property appraisal. The goal is to test whether such simplification has an impact on the number of citizens who appeal this decision. It aims to test whether a simpler and clearer response detailing the reasons and the considerations used in the appraisal can lead to a better understanding of the criteria used by Catastro, therefore reducing the number of citizens that contest the decision.

The paper contributes to the literature on transactional public services and administrative burdens, which is particularly relevant in Latin America. Roseth, Reyes, and Santiso (2018) found that Latin American citizens face these burdens, resulting in many hours and much energy spent completing transactions. On average, Latin American citizens spent more than five hours accessing a public service, and nearly half of all government transactions required more than one visit to a public office to be completed. In Colombia, the average citizen spends more than seven hours completing a public transaction and 26 percent require three visits or more to a government office.

Herd and Moynihan (2018) characterized administrative burdens by defining three types of costs that citizens may encounter when trying to access public services. The first, *learning costs*, refers to the need to learn if they are eligible for a service and understanding the process to access such service. The second, *compliance costs*, refers to following the rules, processes, and regulations established to access the service, such as filling out forms and applications, providing documentation, and completing the requirements. The third, *psychological costs*, refers to the emotions citizens experience when interacting with the government, such as the stress of complying with complicated administrative processes or of not understanding what needs to be done, or feelings associated with the stigma of applying to aid programs.

Administrative burdens can have an impact on access to public services. The harder and more costly it is to access a service or program, the lower the uptake. Kabbani and Wilde (2003)

showed that an increased number of requirements to obtain food stamps in the United States was associated with reduced enrollment. Studying the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (also in the United States), Herd (2015) demonstrated a direct link between administrative burden and uptake, particularly for the elderly. In the realm of healthcare, Herd, DeLeire, Harvey, et al. (2013) demonstrated a similar connection for Medicaid in Wisconsin in the United States.

In addition, the costs of government transactions hit lower-income individuals harder, and thus difficult transactions have a regressive effect. As Roseth et al. (2018) stated, lower-income individuals generally enjoy less flexibility at work, which makes it difficult for them to take the time off to carry out a government transaction. In addition, they are less able to forego lost income and have less resources to cover the monetary costs necessary to carry out a transaction (e.g., transportation, photocopies, and fees).

Similarly, the learning costs resulting from complex information about transaction requirements and specifications, including forms that are difficult to fill out or that are presented in a language hard to understand, are factors that also affect, to a greater extent, people with lower educational attainment and who lack the necessary tools to navigate the system. Roseth et al. (2018) found empirical evidence that administrative burdens negatively affect less educated citizens' likelihood of completing transactions to obtain public services. They found that citizens with less education complete fewer government transactions. While 42 percent of university-educated people reported completing a transaction in the previous year, only 16 percent of people with no university education and 23 percent of people with one or two years of schooling did so.

This paper focuses on exploring the effect of government interventions aimed at mitigating the learning costs for citizens. In addition to the effects on the take-up of a service, bad, unclear, or incomplete information about a public service can lead people to perform additional or unnecessary steps and procedures, increasing the administrative burden. Furthermore, increased administrative burden can also translate into additional work—and thus costs—for public institutions.

There have been government programs aimed at mitigating these administrative burdens and making the completion of government transactions easier for all citizens. In the United States, the Plain Language Action and Information Network (PLAIN) promotes the use of clear language by offering training sessions to federal agencies, sponsoring seminars, and creating guidelines to simplify government communications. The country also approved the *Plain Writing Act* of 2010,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only transactions associated with identity, education, health, social programs, transportation, and reporting crimes were considered, as these transactions are assumed to have, at the very least, an even distribution among different socioeconomic levels or an over-representation of lower-income earners.

stating that all government documents must be written clearly. Canada has a *Plain Language Act* and publishes plain language guides for public institutions and communications, while Mexico publishes a clear language manual for government entities. In Colombia, the Lenguaje Claro (Clear Language) Program, managed by the National Planning Department (known in Spanish as Departamento Nacional de Planeación, or DNP), aims to simplify and clarify the language used in public documents, forms, and letters. These interventions seek to reduce the learning costs associated with public transactions by providing clear, timely, and pertinent information to citizens, as well as simplifying forms and other types of government communications. Section 1.2 provides a detailed description of the Lenguaje Claro Program in Colombia.

### 1.1. Contribution to the Literature

This paper complements existing literature regarding the negative impacts of administrative burdens and provides experimental results in the context of Latin American countries. Several authors have documented the relationship between administrative burdens and program take-up, as mentioned above. Moynihan and Herd (2010) argued that administrative burdens can impact basic citizenship rights, such as voting, as well as the desire and capacity to effectively exercise such rights. This paper complements this strain of literature by analyzing the impact of administrative burdens on a process with implications for both citizens and the public administration.

It also expands on the academic literature that tests the effectiveness of modifications in written communications with individuals to achieve diverse public policy objectives. In recent years, such studies have taken a prominent role in the field of behavioral economics. One strain of this literature explores the potential of personalizing information. For example, in studying communications regarding enrollment in Medicare Part D prescription drug plans in the United States, Kling, Mullainathan, Shafir, et al. (2012) found that consumers provided with personalized information directly in the letter led to 28 percent of recipients switching plans, as opposed to 17 percent in the group that received a letter that contained a link where the same information was accessible. Another strain explores the potential for various language modifications in promoting tax compliance. In a field experiment in the United Kingdom, Hallsworth, List, Metcalfe, et al. (2014) found that messages highlighting social norms (e.g., "most of your neighbors have already paid") and public good (e.g., "your contribution helps pay for schools") had a positive impact on compliance. Torgler (2004) found that moral suasion (e.g., "it is the right thing to do") had no effect on compliance in an experiment conducted in Switzerland. In a related study on licensing fee evasion conducted in Austria, Fellner, Sausgruber, and Traxler (2013) found that

threatening potential evaders with legal consequences was more effective than moral appeals or referencing social norms.

A related line of research examines the potential of simplification to achieve desired policy outcomes. In a field experiment conducted in Mexico, Hastings and Tejeda-Ashton (2008) found that simplifying information about management fees of pension funds increased price sensitivity among the financially illiterate. In the realm of taxes, Bhargava and Manoli (2015) conducted a randomized evaluation to test the impact of different interventions on the number of citizens that claimed an IRS tax credit for which they were eligible. They found that providing reminder letters with simplified language had a positive effect on take-up, as did including the amount of the benefit in the letter.

The present study examines a government-led (as opposed to researcher-led) intervention—the Lenguaje Claro Program—managed by the Colombian DNP. This distinction is significant given the many legal restrictions faced by government institutions in redesigning their forms. This suggests that the results achieved by this effort may be more replicable than researcher-led interventions that may be conducted with temporary exceptions to legal restrictions. The existence of legal restrictions also suggests that the results are a lower bound of the impact that could be achieved with more thorough simplification (e.g., if legal requirements were to be relaxed). Second, the approach to the modification of the letters was holistic (with changes to many aspects at once, such as language, content, and format) as opposed to surgical and theory-driven (with small, specific changes motivated by theory on matters such as social norms). This approach is due to the fact that Lenguaje Claro is a government program guided by a set of principles designed to be applied to a wide range (and large number) of public sector communications. While this may be seen as a disadvantage empirically—making it difficult to distinguish what specific changes in the communications account for the observed results—it is also an advantage in terms of replicability, as the same principles could be (and, in the Colombian case, already are) applied to many different letters. Third, as discussed in greater detail below, the desired change in the primary outcome indicator was negative (the counterpart institution was interested in reducing the rate of repeated property appraisal requests), whereas most previous interventions attempt to increase a given behavior (such as tax payments or pension enrollment).

### 1.2. The Lenguaje Claro Program in Colombia

To tackle problems related to administrative burdens in accessing public services, the Colombian DNP, through its National Citizen Service Program, launched the Estrategia de Lenguaje Claro (clear language strategy) in 2011. The objective of the program is to improve trust and the

relationship between citizens and the government by simplifying and clarifying the language used in public documents, forms, and letters. The program was included as a specific commitment to increase citizen's access to information and the quality of information in the Colombian Second National Action Plan (2015–2017) submitted to the Open Government Partnership.<sup>2</sup> The program was informed by experiences in several other countries aiming to simplify state communications, including the *Plain Writing Act* of 2010 in the United States (H.R. 946), the Plain Language Guides of Canada, the Chilean Clear Language Network (encompassing seven public institutions), as well as Spain's legal language dictionary. In Colombia, as of late 2018, this strategy had been implemented in more than 100 public institutions and has resulted in the simplification of more than 100 public forms, documents, and letters.

To simplify official communications and translate them into simple language that is easily understandable by every citizen, the Lenguaje Claro Program uses a series of tools. For starters, it organizes Simplification Labs, a methodology to simplify the documents of entities whose programs and services have the largest impact on citizens' lives. In these labs, citizens and public officials get together to analyze forms and communications in focus groups and propose changes of language and format, among others, to make them more accessible. Additionally, it has created a Lenguaje Claro Guide for Public Officials, a document that provides practical recommendations to facilitate communication between the government and citizens, as well as an infographic with 10 steps to communicate using Lenguaje Claro. Lastly, the DNP has created an online course on Lenguaje Claro, available for all public servants. As of late 2018, the course had been completed by over 27,000 individuals.

To test the effectiveness of the Lenguaje Claro Program, the authors and Colombia's DNP devised a field experiment to determine whether the official communications in simple and clear language had an impact on citizen behavior. They wanted to know if the simplification increased understanding of such communications and reduced the administrative costs related to clarifications, reviews, and rejections. A specific communication from Catastro of Bogota was selected as the object for the experiment.

The selected communication piece was a response letter from Catastro to citizen requests for a cadastral (property) appraisal review. Citizens were randomly assigned to a treatment group that received the simplified letter or a control group that received the original letter. The details of the experimental design are provided in Section 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Second National Action Plan (commitment number 1), can be found at: https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/colombia-segundo-plan-de-accion-2015-17

As mentioned above, the DNP's Lenguaje Claro Program translated many government communications from a variety of public institutions into plain language. After a thorough review, the letter from Catastro was selected because it fulfilled all the criteria for the experiment:

- 1. The administrative data provided by the institutions connected the individual, the letter received, and the action taken after receiving the communication.
- 2. Access to administrative data on the citizens' responses to the letters allowed researchers to analyze the impact of the plain language transformation.
- 3. The communication was relevant in that it could have an impact on citizens and on the government.

In the case of Catastro, a reduction in the need to redo, clarify, and explain the cadastral appraisal could result in significant savings in administrative costs (which entails the work of engineers, lawyers, and other office staff) and less effort on the part of citizens to request such actions.

### 1.3. Catastro's Cadastral Appraisal Review Letter

Catastro is a public entity in charge of creating, maintaining, and updating the real estate inventory of the city of Bogota, Colombia. Among its functions, Catastro is responsible for appraising the value of the real estate in the city, which serves as a basis for taxation.

The communication on which this study was based is a letter sent to citizens with Catastro's response after a request for a review of the appraisal of a property. Every year, Catastro updates the real estate census to determine the value of each property in the city and informs owners of the appraised value. Citizens and companies have the right to contest this appraisal by submitting a form indicating the reason why they disagree, which can be related to the value, the characteristics of the property, property use, and location, among others.3 This appraisal review can be submitted for the current year as well as for past year appraisals. Catastro has 60 days to review these requests and inform citizens of its decision either to correct or to confirm the appraisal. This decision is communicated through a letter, which is the object of this experiment. The letter includes a number of standard fields: the declaration of Catastro's legal authority, the background of the request, technical details of the property, the decision regarding the adjustment of the appraisal, and the appraisal itself.

The notification of the decision can be made through different channels: by mail, in person at a public one-stop shop (CADE<sup>4</sup> or SuperCADE), or by posting the decision for two weeks on a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.nomasfilas.gov.co/memoficha-tramite/-/tramite/T8391

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CADE is the Centro de Atención Distrital Especializado (Specialized Municipal Customer Service Center).

public board at Catastro's offices if the person cannot be located. After the citizen has been notified of this decision, they can contest it again and have 10 working days to file a request for reinstatement or an appeal. In both reinstatements and appeals, the citizen can request any kind of change in the assessed property value (up or down). If the request is successful, the citizen gets what they asked for. The only difference between the two processes is who decides. In reinstatements, the same official is requested to re-examine the case. In appeals, a manager is requested to review the case. After this request has been filed, Catastro has 60 days to give the citizen an answer.

Processing such requests results in high administrative costs for Catastro, which has estimated that the average cost to process one appeal is US\$1,104 and the average cost to process a request for reinstatement is US\$513. Therefore, reducing the number of requests submitted by citizens could significantly reduce costs for Catastro. Historical data from recent years show that Catastro receives between 400 and 1,100 requests annually.

The experiment is based on the hypothesis that a clearer letter that informs citizens of the reasons why the appraisal was modified or why it was maintained could reduce the number of requests filed and thus the city's administrative costs. The original version of the letter is cumbersome, filled with legal terminology and lengthy citations that could affect a citizen's ability to understand the response, thus leading them to file additional appeals.

The simplified version of this letter, which was created after a workshop by the DNP's Lenguaje Claro Program, is shorter than the original version at six pages versus 10. The simplified letter follows a more intuitive order, starting with the general considerations and summary of the request. The language of some sections was clarified to improve understanding, and the document is organized in clear subsections to make browsing easier. However, it should be noted that the clarified version of the letter still included legal language in the explanation of Catastro's decision. Furthermore, the response to the request is found for the first time on page 3. Both communications are provided in Appendix 2.

### 2. Methodology and Experimental Design

With the objective of decreasing the administrative costs for the government (additional paperwork and time spent by the public servants providing clarifications) and evaluating the effectiveness of a government communication piece with clear language, Catastro agreed to randomly assign the response to property appraisal review requests during the second half of 2017 into a treatment group (simplified language) and a control group (original letter).

From July to December 2017, Catastro reviewed property appraisal requests for 1,351 properties. The properties were distributed among the 19 localities of Bogota, different use categories, and six socioeconomic levels (plus one for properties not categorized within one of the six levels). Responses were randomized using the last digit of a submission ID number.<sup>5</sup>

The goal of the experiment was to evaluate the effect of the simplified letter, particularly to gauge if the Lenguaje Claro Program effectively decreased the number of subsequent requests and appeals. Assignment to treatment and control groups was used to identify treatment effects (ATE: average treatment effects) on results of the requests for reinstatement and appeals. Equation 1 was used to estimate the effects.

$$[Request] = \alpha + \beta * [Simplified Letter] + \varepsilon$$
 (1)

Where *Request* (the behavior of the individual after being notified) is an endogenous binary indicator equal to 1 if the individual filed a request for reinstatement or an appeal and 0 otherwise, and *Simplified Letter* is a binary variable equal to 1 for those individuals that were randomly assigned to receive the simplified letter and 0 otherwise.

The analysis uses administrative data provided by Catastro, including basic information related to the citizen or company that requested the review (name and ID number<sup>6</sup>), detailed information related to the property (location, property use, and socioeconomic level<sup>7</sup>), information related to the request (date and response date), type of delivery mechanism for sending the response (personal, electronic, or public message board), and the variable of interest (if the citizen filed an appeal to the response).

The original sample included a total of 1,351 appraisal requests that were reviewed by Catastro during the second half of 2017. Of these, 862 were included in the final sample.<sup>8,9</sup> The main observable variables of interest—locality, use (i.e., residential or commercial), and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Multiple requests submitted by the same individual were assigned to the same treatment group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Catastro provided an anonymized unique ID identifier by citizen or company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The city determines socioeconomic levels by geographic area, ranging from 1 (low) to 6 (high), and a special category 0 for those without an assigned stratification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 158 individuals did not receive a response during the second half of 2017 after requesting the appraisal review and were dropped from the sample. In addition, 207 observations were dropped, as they corresponded to individuals who received both types of responses (original and simplified) after requesting reviews for more than one property, and thus we could not link their behavior to the type of communication received. Finally, five observations from individuals who filed multiple reviews were dropped from the final sample because they presented both behaviors (filing a request for one property and not for the other) after receiving the same type of response. We selected only one observation for those individuals that requested appraisal reviews for more than one property, thus eliminating 111 observations (we kept only the first review that the citizen or company filed). Lastly, eight observations were dropped because of inconsistencies with the dates of notification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> With this sample size and prevalence of behavior, effects of at least 6 percentage points difference could be detected with a power of 0.8 and a confidence of 0.05.

socioeconomic level—are balanced across experimental groups. See Appendix 1 for detailed descriptions of the experimental groups. With respect to the channel through which the letter was sent, 409 individuals (47.5 percent) were notified via email and 353 (40.9 percent) in person, while 100 (11.6 percent) notifications were posted on a public board at Catastro.

### 3. Results

As presented in detail in Section 3.1, analysis suggests that the simplified letter successfully reduced the number of additional requests: the simplified letter was associated with a 20.7 percent reduction in both types of requests and a 30 percent reduction when considering only requests for reinstatements. However, these results should be taken with caution given that these effects are found at marginal levels of significance due to the small number of individuals that filed a request during the period in which the experiment was carried out.

The tables presented in this section summarize the intention-to-treat effects, including with and without additional control variables. Additional details are provided in Appendix 3. Section 3.2 summarizes the main findings of a complementary perception survey. Overall, the survey revealed that the perception of the original and simplified letters was poor and there was only one element—the clarity of the action that should be taken—that was noticeably different between the two.

### 3.1. Intention-to-Treat Effects

A total of 95 individuals (11 percent of the sample) filed a request after receiving the notification from Catastro. Of those requests, 85 were for reinstatement and 10 were appeals. Table 1 presents the share of individuals in each experimental group that filed a request for reinstatement or appeal. Of the 95 requests filed, 55.8 percent (53) were filed by individuals in the control group (original letter). Most of the requests filed (89.5 percent) were requests for reinstatements, 59 percent of those (50) were filed by individuals in the control group.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  The historic average from 2013 to 2016 was 33.4 percent, with a maximum of 53.9 percent and a minimum of 13.4 percent.

Table 1. Type of Request Filed (by Experimental Group)

	Original	Simplified	Total
Any	53	42	95
Request for reinstatement	50	35	85
Request for appeal	3	7	10
None	378	389	767
Total	431	431	862

The results presented in this section provide estimates for the case of any type of request filed and requests only for reinstatement. Given that there were few appeals filed (10), a separate statistical analysis for this type of request is not included in this section. As mentioned in Section 2, the main observable variables of interest are balanced across experimental groups, therefore estimations without additional controls are presented in columns (a) of the tables; the results for the specifications using additional controls are presented in columns (b). Some additional control variables were included to understand how different channels through which the communication was sent affect individual behavior. Tables including the results on inclusion of additional controls are presented in Appendix 3.

Overall, the effect of the Lenguaje Claro Program had the expected direction—negative—and, when including additional controls, the effect became slightly smaller. As shown in Table 2, on average, individuals who received the original letter have a probability of 12.3 percent of filing any type of request and of 21.7 percent when including control variables (for reinstatements alone, 11.6 and 17.5 percent, respectively). The effect of a simplified letter on filing any type of request was negative (-0.025) and had a similar level when including additional control variables (-0.023). This means that individuals who received the simplified letter were 2.5 percentage points (equivalent to 20.7 percent) less likely to file any type of request after receiving Catastro's notification (18.5 percent when including additional controls). Restricting the analysis exclusively to requests for reinstatement, individuals that received the simplified letter were, on average, 3.5 percentage points (equivalent to 30 percent) less likely to file a request for reinstatement (significant at the 10 percent level); the level was similar when including additional controls (-0.032, significant at the 15 percent level, equivalent to 27.5 percent).

**Table 2. Intention-to-Treat Results** 

	Any Requ	uest Filed	Request for R	einstatement
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)
Simplified letter	-0.0255	-0.0227	-0.0348*	−0.0319 <sup>⊤</sup>
	(0.0213)	(0.0209)	(0.0203)	(0.0200)
Constant	0.1230***	0.2177***	0.1160***	0.1755***
	(0.0151)	(0.0407)	(0.0144)	(0.0389)
Includes controls	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	862	862	862	862
R-squared	0.0017	0.0430	0.0034	0.0386

*Note:* Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1, <sup>T</sup> p<0.15. Controls include socioeconomic level (1–6), city localities (19 localities), use of property (residential, plot, or productive). Details on these controls are provided in Appendix 1.

In all the specifications using additional control variables, the channel through which the notification was sent had a relatively large effect, as shown on Table A4 in Appendix 3. Individuals that received an electronic notification were less likely to file a request than those that received the notification personally (ranging from 9.3 to 10.8 percentage points, significant at the 1 percent level). Similarly, individuals that were notified by placing the notification on the public message board were less likely to file a request than those that received the notification personally (ranging from 16 to 17.7 percentage points, significant at the 1 percent level). These findings suggest that both simpler language and delivery channel can diminish the administrative burden faced by Catastro of receiving additional requests. However, the reduced rates of requests may have been due to different factors. While the reduction in requests following simplified letters may have been the result of the simplification, the reduced number of requests following delivery through email or the public message board (compared to in-person notification) may have been due to a lower proportion of citizens who effectively received and read the letter from Catastro (this assertion cannot be verified empirically with the available data). 11 Lastly, the results suggest that the time elapsed since the individual requested the initial review of the appraisal of their property and the time Catastro sent the response had no effect on individual behavior.

No evidence was found of a difference in the effect of the Lenguaje Claro Program for different property uses or socioeconomic level, as shown on Tables A6 to A9 in Appendix 3. This is relevant, as it shows that the Lenguaje Claro Program has the potential to improve

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The results were also estimated restricting the sample to those communications in which the citizens were notified personally or electronically. The details of the estimations are included in Appendix 3.

understanding and change behavior no matter an individual's socioeconomic level or the property use. Given the relatively modest observed reductions in additional requests, this also suggests that the improvements made in the simplified letter were insufficient to provoke major behavioral change in any group, segmented by property use or socioeconomic strata. The results of the perception survey, presented in the following section, support this interpretation.

### 3.2. Survey

The theory of change underlying the Lenguaje Claro Program assumes that making public sector communications simpler and more focused on key messages will increase citizen understanding and thus help guide citizens to the desired behavior. The administrative data used in this study detects the key behaviors of interest to the public institutions but does not reveal the role that the individual's perception and understanding played in determining that behavior. Analyzing citizen understanding separately from their behavior as revealed through administrative data is necessary because there are potential perverse effects of increased understanding. In the case of Catastro, it is possible that citizens who understand their rights better will be more inclined to make repeated demands for appraisal adjustments, which is precisely the opposite reaction desired by Catastro.

To fill this gap in the theory of change, the research team designed and implemented a perception survey for recipients in both the treatment and control groups. The survey covered two main elements: (i) understanding of the content (measured objectively and subjectively) and (ii) attitude toward the content and responsible institution, in addition to a series of socio-demographic control variables. The survey was administered in person and consisted of three segments:

- identifying the respondent to make sure the person answering the survey is the same person that received the communication and to gauge the extent to which the respondent recalled having received the communication;
- 2. refreshing the respondent's memory by showing them a generic (non-personalized) version of the letter received (original or simplified); and
- 3. asking the perception and socio-demographic questions.

The survey sample, which included 585 people (313 treatment and 272 controls, 57 percent of all unique observations), was surveyed between December 2017 and April 2018. Citizens were surveyed after they were notified and the legal period to take additional actions had elapsed. It should be noted that the time elapsed between receipt of the letter from Catastro and participation in the survey, though necessary to avoid influencing behavior during the experiment,

may have hampered respondents' memory of the letter received and their experience therewith. Table 3 presents a summary of the findings (simple means for treatment and control groups).

**Table 3. Perception Survey Means Comparison** 

Qu	estion	Treatment	Control	Difference
1.	Do you remember having asked anyone for help to understand the content of the letter? (% yes)	24.7	24.9	-0.2
2.	Did you take any action regarding the content of this communication? (% yes)	23.0	25.4	-2.4
3.	If you did take action, do you recall having sought help to do so? (n=T-41, C-44)	53.7	61.4	-7.7
4.	Did the respondent understand the content of the document? (question posed to enumerator) (% yes)	53.8	56.6	-2.8
5.	On a 10-point scale from 1 "very confusing" to 10 "very clear," how clear was the communication?	4.4	4.2	0.2
6.	On a 10-point scale from 1 "very difficult" to 10 "very easy," how easy or difficult was it to do what the letter suggested?	4.32	4.06	0.26
7.	On a 10-point scale from 1 "not useful at all" to 10 "very useful," how useful was the information in the letter?	4.62	4.49	0.13
8.	On a 10-point scale from 1 "very negative" to 10 "very positive," how would you characterize the feeling the letter generated?	3.5	3.51	-0.01
9.	On a 10-point scale from 1 "very negative" to 10 "very positive," what is your general perception of Catastro as an institution?	4.01	4.13	-0.12
10.	Does the letter give precise instructions regarding what to do? (% yes)	40.4	32.3	8.1**
11.	Is the main message of the letter at the beginning? (% yes)	40.1	38.6	0.5

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Table 3 reveals two significant findings for the Lenguaje Claro Program. First, the overall perception of the letters from Catastro was poor. Both letters scored around 4 on a 10-point scale for clarity. Similarly, poor results were found for the measures of the ease of doing what the letter recommended, the utility of the information presented, and the feeling the letter generated.

Second, there were few perceived differences between the two letters. The only question with a statistically significant difference (p=0.051, simple difference of means) was "does the letter give precise instructions regarding what to do?"—the simplified letter fared better than the original letter. Given the positive impact of the simplified letter on the frequency of additional requests as discussed above, the survey findings suggest that this singular question, on the precision of the instructions, is driving the results. This finding is significant given the relatively subtle change in the letters (the original Spanish versions are provided in Appendix 2). In both cases, the instructions regarding how to submit an additional request appear at the end of the letter and the language on how to do so is nearly identical. The main differences are that the simplified letter is six pages long compared to 10 pages for the original version, and that the section on how to submit additional requests is clearly marked (bold, all-caps text "RESOURCES") in the simplified letter and unmarked in the original letter.

# 4. Concluding Remarks, Policy Recommendations, and Potential Future Avenues of Research

The statistical analysis confirms the hypothesis that government communications with simple and clear language decrease the administrative burden. These findings are robust to 20 different regression estimations conducted. However, the results should be taken with caution, given that these effects are found at marginal levels of significance due to the very small number of individuals that filed a request, thus limiting the assertions that can be made regarding the success of this particular intervention.

The results emerging from the perception survey are less definitive. First, the results for both experimental groups denote a relatively low perception of clarity of the letters, which may suggest that there is still room to improve the language and content of the simplified letter in order to make it even more clear. Second, on only one of many questions designed to measure the success of the proximate objectives of the Lenguaje Claro Program, regarding whether the letter gave clear instructions on what to do, did the simplified communication perform better than the original communication. This leads to two initial interpretations:

1. When interacting with government, citizens are business-oriented. They are looking to understand what they can or should do. They may be less affected by other aspects of the communication as long as they are clear on their future actions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The comparisons were between the treatment and control groups, not side-by-side comparisons made by the same individuals.

2. The limited perceived difference between the two letters may explain the relatively small treatment effect observed in the administrative data.

Moving forward, the results discussed herein suggest several actions for policymakers in Colombia and other countries with programs similar to the Lenguaje Claro Program. First, we recommend continuing with the program, as its impact appears to be as hoped. Second, we suggest further simplifying communications to improve citizen perception and in turn improve the administrative indicators. Third, we advise deepening empirical analysis of language simplification efforts, in particular to understand if there is a distinct impact on specific subgroups.

This paper also highlights potential future avenues of research in the field of public administration and the study of administrative burdens. First, as one of the first efforts to understand the effects of a broad-based government-led language simplification program on administrative burdens, there are many important questions left unanswered. For example, it would be useful to understand the specific keys to success in language simplification efforts (e.g., pertaining to terminology, structure, design format, tone, etc.). Second, it may be valuable to understand the different impacts that different simplification methodologies produce (e.g., translations done by civil servants vs. via collaborative efforts with citizens). Third, it would be important to understand how language simplification could, or should, be tailored to different groups (e.g., socioeconomic, cultural) to obtain maximum impact.

### References

- Bhargava, S., and D. Manoli. 2015. Psychological Frictions and the Incomplete Take-Up of Social Benefits: Evidence from an IRS Field Experiment. *American Economic Review* 105(11):3489–529.
- Fellner, G., R. Sausgruber, and C. Traxler. 2013. Testing Enforcement Strategies in the Field: Threat, Moral Appeal and Social Information. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 11(3):634–60.
- Hallsworth, M., J. A. List, R. D. Metcalfe, and I. Vlaev. 2017. The Behavioralist as Tax Collector:

  Using Natural Field Experiments to Enhance Tax Compliance. *Journal of Public Economics* 148:14–31.
- Hastings, J. S., and L. Tejeda-Ashton. 2008. Financial Literacy, Information, and Demand Elasticity: Survey and Experimental Evidence from Mexico (No. w14538). Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Herd, P. 2015. How Administrative Burdens Are Preventing Access to Critical Income Supports for Older Adults: The Case of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. *Public Policy & Aging Report* 25(2):52–5.
- Herd, P., T. DeLeire, H. Harvey, and D. P. Moynihan. 2013. Shifting Administrative Burden to the State: The Case of Medicaid Take-Up. *Public Administration Review* 73(s1):S69–S81.
- Herd, P., and D. Moynihan. 2018. Administrative Burden: Policymaking by Other Means. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Kabbani, N. S., and P. E. Wilde. 2003. Short Recertification Periods in the US Food Stamp Program. *Journal of Human Resources* 38(S):1112-38.
- Kling, J. R., S. Mullainathan, E. Shafir, L. C. Vermeulen, and M. V. Wrobel. 2012. Comparison Friction: Experimental Evidence from Medicare Drug Plans. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 127(1):199–235.
- Moynihan, D., and P. Herd. 2010. Red Tape and Democracy: How Rules Affect Citizenship Rights. *The American Review of Public Administration* 40(6):654–70.
- Roseth, B., A. Reyes, and C. Santiso. 2018. Wait No More: Citizens, Red Tape, and Digital Government. Washington, DC: Inter-American Development Bank.
- Torgler, B. 2004. Moral Suasion: An Alternative Tax Policy Strategy? Evidence from a Controlled Field Experiment in Switzerland. *Economics of Governance* 5(3):235–53.

# **Appendix 1. Description of Experimental Groups**

**Table A1. Balance Between Treatment and Control Groups** 

		Original Letter (control) N = 431	Simplified Letter (treatment) N = 431	
		M	ean	Mean Difference
	Antonio Narino	0.021	0.012	0.009
	Barrios Unidos	0.014	0.016	-0.002
	Bosa	0.107	0.084	0.023
	Candelaria	0	0.009	-0.009**
	Chapinero	0.044	0.06	-0.016
	Ciudad Bolivar	0.07	0.063	0.007
	Engativa	0.107	0.107	0
	Fontibon	0.03	0.026	0.005
	Kennedy	0.13	0.121	0.009
Localities	Martires	0.009	0.014	-0.005
	Puente Aranda	0.014	0.016	-0.002
	Rafael Uribe	0.032	0.026	0.007
	San Cristobal	0.053	0.032	0.021
	SantaFe	0.021	0.007	0.014*
	Suba	0.118	0.183	-0.065***
	Teusaquillo	0.042	0.042	0
	Tunjuelito	0.014	0.007	0.007
	Usaquen	0.125	0.146	-0.021
	Usme	0.049	0.03	0.019
	Residential	0.594	0.594	0
Use	Plot	0.111	0.09	0.021
	Productive	0.292	0.299	-0.007
	Est_0	0.406	0.406	0
	Est_1	0.063	0.049	0.014
	Est_2	0.223	0.213	0.009
Socioeconomic level	Est_3	0.13	0.146	-0.016
IGVEI	Est_4	0.093	0.088	0.005
	Est_5	0.039	0.058	-0.019
	Est_6	0.046	0.039	0.007

Source: Authors' elaboration.

As shown in Table A1, the main observable variables of interest are balanced across experimental groups. That is, the treatment (simplified letter) and control (original letter) groups

are balanced in most of the observable variables that capture the characteristics of the property (location among the 19 localities of Bogota), use (residential, unconstructed plot of land, or productive/commercial), and socioeconomic level. There are a few imbalances for some of the location characteristics in three localities: Candelaria, Santafe, and Suba.<sup>13</sup>

Table A1. Share of Observations (by Locality)

Locality	Number of Observations	%
Antonio Narino	14	1.6
Barrios Unidos	13	1.5
Bosa	82	9.5
Candelaria	4	0.5
Chapinero	45	5.2
Ciudad Bolivar	57	6.6
Engativa	92	10.7
Fontibon	24	2.8
Kennedy	108	12.5
Martires	10	1.2
Puente Aranda	13	1.5
Rafael Uribe	25	2.9
San Cristobal	37	4.3
SantaFe	12	1.4
Suba	130	15.1
Teusaquillo	36	4.2
Tunjuelito	9	1.0
Usaquen	117	13.6
Usme	34	3.9
Total	862	

Source: Authors' elaboration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In Candelaria, the ex-ante randomization rule assigned all the responses (4) to the treatment group.

Table A3. Share of Observations (by Socioeconomic Level)

Socioeconomic Level	Number of Observations	%
0	350	40.6
1	48	5.6
2	188	21.8
3	119	13.8
4	78	9.1
5	42	4.9
6	37	4.3
Total	862	100

### Appendix 2a. Original Letter (Catastro)



RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS

### RESOLUCIÓN No. 41150 DE CARRA

"Por La Cual Se Corrigen Unos Avalúos Catastrales"

EL SUBGERENTE DE INFORMACIÓN ECONÓMICA DE LA UAECD, en ejercício de las atribuciones legales y estatutarias. CONSIDERANDO OUE:

CONSIDERANDO QUE:

CONSIDERANDO QUE:

Mediante escrito radicado en la Gerencia Camercial y de Arteción al Usaniró de la UABCD bajo los números en la considera de la Camercia Camercia de la Camercia del Camercia de la Camercia de la Camercia del Camercia de la Camercia del La Camercia de la

Allega con su petición, fotocopias de ofertas, autorización del señor EAT RATIBILIO ROS TURASTOCHES al señor MANISTER FORDRO FORD, y fotocopia de recibo del impuesto p

El articuto 3o. de la Ley 14 de 1983 dispone que las autoridades catastrales tendrán a su cargo las l de formación, actualización y conservación de los catastros, tendientes a la correcta identificación jurídica, fiscal y económica de los inmuebles.

Los predios que nos ocupan fueron objeto de actualización estastral para la vigencia 2016, en cumplimiento a lo ordenado en la Ley 14 de 1983, y comunicada mediante Resolución No. 2977 de 2015 de la UAECD.

Los avalúos que figuran incorporados para la vigencia 2016 son resultado de aplicar los valores para M² de terreno y construcción, establecidos en las Resolución No. 2976 de 2015 de la UAECD.

new catastroboosts gov.co Información: Linea 195





Y

ALCALON MAYO

RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS

RESOLUCIÓN No. 41150

Continuación de la Resolución "Por la cual se configen unos Avalúos Ca

KR 4.	3B 22A 75 OF 30											
угражсы	zero	1845	78ND		5991	TAUGS	эм				MALDE	VALORS/
		AREAM!	MALCH N	VARY JOLYT	Valor a'	1480	ATEA SEA	ARD FOMOT	Prus Ye p	GRETIND.	CATAMINA	HTERRY.
2016	02220151FE114 179500	49,96	\$ 1786-959	81,23		CIB D45	81,20	1091	\$7	23	\$ 374 (80) 000	5 4 6 13 4 11, 23

KR 43	3B 22A 75 OF 30;	2											
MARKEN	21970	104	PIENO		0011	TRUCO	24		``		atat (in	Wednut.	ĺ
		Anna er	VALOR Nº	EXHER TOTAL	Wage H <sup>1</sup>	uto	AREA UTO	ARC EDIST	PFJE TENE	EN(311102	CYANGO	NIFOWN	ı
2016	5822215150114 178500	80.60	8 1 785 cm3	20,40	8 5 515 160.31	CD 045	90.40	Sheet	52	20	5.454.203.000	5 4 642 224 24	Ĺ

	2016	5822215153114 178500	89.80	8 1 785 cm	26,60	8 3 515 160,31	CB 045	96,60	1900	57	20	\$ 404,795,000	\$4 612 201,24
										-			
	CK 43	B 22A 75 OF 201					_						
1			169	FEND		coun	TAULG	24					
- "	OBUCU.	zero			AREA YOUAL		_				CHESTO	AVALOR DATASTRAL	WALGERY NYSERY
L			WARN Wy	PARCE N,	COMBL M.	ASTON M.	1900	W <sub>1</sub>	AND COMST	TPO		till a till	Name.
L	2016	6212215120114 178601	40,70	\$ 1726,609	76,11	8 3 518 151,20	CB 945	76,10	1031	57	23	5 351,061,000	\$ 4,611,547,06

KR	4	B 22A 75 OF 202											
Many	Est	2160	101	SENO		COR	HINDER	SN .				AVMLOG	VM-01 W
			Anex.us	ANTOS IN.	ARHA TOTAL DONST HI	AMERICAN IN	U60	MEAURO M	180 COUST	77.85 1970	DESTRICT	PRINCIPAT	SALEGRAF
100	6	G222216153114 1705000		5 1 705 000	92,10	\$ 3 517 399,21	CB M6	12,10	1999	57	23	\$ 379,742,000	5 4 613 17E.05

- TIPÓ DE PREDIO: Oficinas.
   NORMA URBANISTICA: N.A.
   INVESTIGACIÓN ECONÓMICA DE MERCADO INMOBILIARIO

  VICANTA DE MERCADO INMOBILIARIO

843.	prescrión	VALOR OFERTA	VALOR REGOCIADO	AMEA TERR IN <sup>3</sup>	AREA GONST	WALDE MZ INSOSTR	COSTRIVACIONES
1	KR 42 22 11 AF 202		8 360,000 000		63,60	\$4.517.647	FOCA 2016 ID 217284
2	CL 224-474-17-AP 703		\$ 365,000,000		65,00	\$ 4 5 10 162	FDGA 3915 ID 212415
3	AC22 449 18 AP 505		5 202 000 900		68,00	\$433333	POGA 201510 217495
	400 474 224 01 AP 403-		5 280 000 900		61,00	5.4 117 0.7	FOCA 2016/ID 217MIE

ENTIDAD NTO 800 1,0000 NTO 80\* 10000,0000 All 90-201399996 A | Ho 5G-70120050-10-4





# RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS CATASTRALES

RESOLUCIÓN No. 41150 per company

Página 2 de 9

Continuación de la Resolución
"Por la cual se corrigen unos Avalúos Catastrales"

El proceso de Actualización de la Formación Catastral consiste en el conjunto de operaciones destinadas a renovar los datos de la Formación catastral, mediante la revisión de los elementos físico y jurídico del catastra y la eliminación en el elemento económico de las disparidados originadas por cambios físico, axiráciones de uso e de productividad, obras púgliega y condicionas locales del mercado immobiliario, como lo establecar los asteulos 92, y7 de las Resoluciones Nos. 070 de 2011 y 1053 de 2012 del.IGAC, respectivamente.

respectivamente.

La instancia con el propósito de establecer la venda del dicho del peticionario y para mejor -proveer, ordenó a través de auto de 20 de mayo de 2016, la práctica de las siguientes procesas: 1. Establecer si los valores fijados para el MP de terreno y construcción, se ejustan e las canacciráticas del predio y al mercado inmobiliario del sector para la vigancia 2016, según solicitud. 2. Pronueciane sobre los argumentos esgrinidos por el interesado y las pruebas aportadas. 3. Revisar fos documentas costarvales que existan en la UAECD sobre el predio, 4. Surtir las demas pruebas que el perio avaluador estime pertinentes y sobre las cuales debe pronunciarse en el informe técnico, y 5. Diligeneiar el correspondiente informe técnico.

Surtidas en su integridad las pruebas decretadas, el funcionario comisionado para el efecto rinde el correspondiente informe técnico, cuya parte pertinente se transcribo a continuación:

No. de RADICACION: 2016-512909/513046/513065/513115	FECHA RADICACION: 20/84/2016
TIPO DE TRAMÍTE: REVISIÓN DE AVALÓO 📑	•
VICIENCIA (S) OBJETO DE TRAMITE: 2016	
FECHA DE COMITÉ: 09/16/2016	
FECHA ELABORACION DE INFORME TECNICO, 09/06/2016	

NOMENCLATURA:	CHIP
KR 43B 22A 75 OF 301	AAA0157YZBR
KR 43B 22A 75 OF 302	AAAPI57YZCX
KR 43B 22A 75 OF 201	AAADI57YYZE
KII. 43B 22A 75 OF 202	AAADI57YZAF
CODIGO DE SECTOR:	
006208 16:24:001 03001	1
106208 16 24 001 03002	1
000208 15 2-1 001 0200L	
006208 16 24 001 02000	NOMBRE SECTOR, QUINTA PAREDES
LOCALIDAD: 13- TEUSAQUILLO	ESTRATO: N/A
AÑO DE LA ULTIMA VIGENCIA DE ACTUALIZACION Y No. D	
RESOLUCION	VIGENCIA 2016 - RESOLUCION 2976 da 2015

¥

# RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS CATASTRALES

RESOLUCIÓN No. 41150 DE LA CONTRACTOR DE

Continuación de la Resolución
"Por la cual se corrigen unos Avalúos Catastroles"

Observaciones: No se ubicaron ofertas de oficinas en el sector con las mismas características, por lo que se tomaron ofertas de apartamentos para determinar el valor promedio para bienes en propiedad horizontal, con el fin de realizar ofertas de apartamentos para determinar el valor promedio para bie una comparación para el estudio de mercado. 5. RESPUESTA A ARGUMENTOS DEL SOLICITANTE

ostenia de apartamentos para determinar el valor promoción para bienes en propiedad horizontal, cen el fin de realizar una comparción para el estudio de mensado.

5. RESPUESTA A ARGUNENTOS DEL SOLICITANTE
Luego de reviolación ba heches de inconformidad camucidado en su escrito, se informa lo signicate.

"El estator os el invostario o econo, debidomente excindicado y clasificados, de la hierar instrubiles personaciones al Estator o la el invostario o econo, debidomente excindicado y clasificados, de la nicione instrubiles personaciones al Estator y acto personaciones en la identificación, descripción y clasificados del terrora y de las cubificacións del reductor de la deficiación, esta enco-catar, chianos, magnio, historyados a descripción y clasificación del terrora y de las cubificacións del reductor de la deficiación, esta enco-catar, chianos, magnio, historyados a descripción, productor de estado y a cubificia esto en probacto que cumpla con la misma fascilició esta del terrora y de las cubificacións del composito estado y a substituida de composito del consecuente de la demissión constituidad del composito de la propietario o posención, y el descripción y unever en les indicementes catarante de la demissionada cividad civil del descripción en securidad del producto propercionado o correctiva en la decuminación del novalino catarante del predio respensación, y el descripción de las condicionados en la complicación de las correctionados del predio propercionado de correctiva del predio properciona del predio properciona del predio properciona del predio de

www.catastrohogota gov.co Información: Lines 196

No.8G-2013005910 A -120 8G-2013305814 H

MEJOR

60,000,24,31



# RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS CATASTRALES

RESOLUCIÓN No. 41150 Exercitame ión de la Resolución
"Por la cual se corrigen unos Avalúos Catastrales"

Continuacion de la Resenterior

"Por la cual se corrigim una Avvidure Centrariales"

Los puntos de investigación económica son aquellos predios tipo, que representa a los otros dentro de una minma 21HF y soleccionados dentro del farea urbama o trasal de la unidad orgánica estanstral para establecer valores unitande del terrorio, accidinate el malista de la información directa e indirecta de precios nen el mercedo involvidados del terrorio, accidinate el malista de la información directa e indirecta de precios nen el mercedo involvidados del terrorio, accidinate el malista de cardiologos consemplatas en las articulos 77 y 98 de la Resolución 070 del 2011, para el Procoso de Actual Euro actividados consemplatas en las articulos 77 y 98 de la Resolución 070 del 2011, para el Procoso de Actual Euro actual de la desenvalor de la consemplación de la precion de la consemplación de

processos, que se seturen en a tenta tamanta en contrataria, con contrataria de reajusta manalmente de contrataria perdiste ubicados en el processo de Conservación Catastral, el avalido catastral se reajusta manalmente de acestral al futiles de Valoración Inanobillaria Urbama y Rumi (IVIUR), que establece el Gobierno Distrital, previa aprolone fois del CONFIS, de conformidad con lo precepturado por el Artículo 3 de la Lay No. 661 de 2000.

Pera los perdios agues es encuentram en el Processo de Actualización Catastral, como se si ceno del previo objeto de la Pera los perdios que se encuentram en el Processo de Actualización Catastral, como se el ceno del previo objeto de la Conformida del Canadral en al Distrito Capital, que es la UAECD, debe realizar un estudio desfalado que confenigla entre del Catastral como del Riconscientimo Procedia, la determinación de las Zonas Homigofenes Fisicas y Coosendomicas y la forma lacida de los modelos connentracios, con el fin de renever los aspectos fisicos, jurídicos y

As the second of the second of

Averida Carrera 30 No. 25-90. Torre A Pisca 11 y 12 Torre B. Pisc 2. Commutator 2347600 nove catastrologiote, gov. co Información: Linea 195

ISO GOOLOUS NTO OP TOCOLOUS OP TOCOLOUS

No SC 2849/6/2010 & PRIN SC 2017/00/00 NO.



# RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS CATASTRALES

RESOLUCIÓN No. 41150

Continuación de la Reselución "Por la cual se corrigen unos Avalúos Catastrales"

económicos de los precios objeto de actualización; por esta ruzón, el incremento o disminución del avaliso cuatarral. 
No es igual para los precios del Proceso de Conservación Contestud que para los precios del Proceso de 
Verificada la información del precios de conversación Contestud que para los precios del Proceso de 
Verificada la información del precio. Se evidenció que el predio deta de 1909, hosiendo en cuerta que el reglamento 
de Propisada Horizontal escrivara. Pi 1430 de la nostrá 6 se del 120(1909).
El soliciante arena como peudos una oferta de una ofician del lacotor capo volor integral es de 53.214.285 n2. Es de 
anotar que realizado ol inadialis in borita, data corresponde a una costa adecunda al uno de ofician y cuya área es de 
420n2 y las oficianse en estudio están entre 72.10 y 92 n2, por lo que no son comparables en cuatro ni larez. Anexa 
fotos de los insumables.

Para corroborar si el avalis casastral fijado pana la vigencias 2016 está acorde con el mercado inmobilitario de la zora 
y el estado estata del predio, se restirios un nuevo estudio económico.

6. CONCLUSIONES

Dan ver verificada la información insertíta en la base de dutos entáne y afranunteiro.

6. CONCLUSIONES
Dan vez verificada la información insertía en la base de disos gráfica y alfinamérica, de áreas, uso, características de la construcción y destino, casa se manticine.
Realizada un inservo estudio económico, no se ubicaron ofertas de oficinas en propiedad horizantal, sin embargo antilizado el inservado de la zona se evidencia que es un sector principalmente residencial, por lo que se tomano ofertas de paramenatos con el fini es detentenian su nutro prometio para bisace se propiedad horizantal las scuales están por el ordez de \$4.21.702m2, excentrando que los predios objeto de estudio están superando los valores que tarraje el mercado aimebiliario la ciono es observa en el inten del depresente informa. También se veludencia que to valores integrates cantaratas de los locales de esta propiedad están por debejo de los valores arrojados a las oficinas, in como incomo proceso.

in cenna indica el rottetanios.
Acorde can lo antierro, se propone valor metro cuadrado de construcción para el uso CB045- oficinas y consultorios en PH, son los caubes el avalso de los predios es ajusta a los valores de mercado.
Tenisido en cuento la outerios es corrige el avallo estetaral para la vigencia 2016.
7. AVALUO CATASTRAL APROBADO
[KR-38 Z2A/35 O5 730]

WHENCH	2474	767	IKEND .		0.094	FRUESO	in.			055700	energy.	VINCORAL
		ATEAN"	ANTON W.	EGMETH!	ANTON IS.	990	AMERICA D	ofto Stando	77/E 1970	4881990	EATASTRAL.	HTEDAN.
2815 422221	5152114 176600	-9 10	\$ 1 109 989		\$ 2.154 500 00		11.20	1605	1.7	23	\$ 253 0% 000	9 2 200 976 96

VINNELA	2160	14.5	HEMD.		ООМ	TRUODI	ON				AWALIO	MALORIE*
		there.	AWOUR,	AREA TOCAL CONST W	WALLOW M.	บเถ	fmen unc	AAO DOHOT	75.8 199	DESTAND	CATABIBAL	WARDING TO
2016	6222216153114 178885	80.60	\$ 1765,000	90,00	\$ 9 155 300,00	Gb 945	90,00	1605	18	23	5 315 527 000	8 5 100 070 90

KK 43C	22A /3 OF 201											
VMANUE	2476	Tam	MENO.		ÉDAR	TRIUCO	CRE				(white	VALPADY
		HEAR	VALOR N	ARRA TOTAL COMMT IN	ANTON B.	UBD	AVENUED N	A140 CO 167	TIPE	DESTIND	CATARONIL	Indepart
5016	1222215153114 177500	45.70	8 5 703 000		5 2 105 000 00	C9 048	76.10	1600	87	29		4 8 200 394 std

Avenida Carrera 30 No. 25-90. Torre A Pisos 11 y 12 Torre B. Pao 2, Cornutation 247500 wyw.catan www.catastrobogoja.gov.co Información: Linea 195

ENTIDAD No 5G-1012805816 A / No SQ-03130868189



#### RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS CATASTRALES

resolución no. 41150 🟎

Continuación de la Resolución "Por la cual se corrigen unos Avalúos Catastrales"

KR	43	В	22A	75	OF	202
1		Ŧ	-411-11		-	202

	THE TO ST EVE												
verson	ZHPa	110	TEHO		9010	TAUCC	D S				WWW.Big	VALUE IV	
		APIEK MT	With the same of t	AREA TOTAL GONST IN	VILOR IF	una	ÁTELUSO Nº	AFIO CONST	P731 TIPD	2017112	CATASTRAL	HITESON.	
2015	1222215153114 153000	50.40	\$ 1785.000	27,17	8 2 105 000,00	DE 045	87.10	1500	63	72	3,207,381,006	6 N 200 FD1 T2	

El Catastro es el censo, debidamente clasificado y periódicamente actualizado, de los bienes immuebles ubicados en un espacio determinado, en lo que corresponde a sus caracerísticas físicas, jurídicas, económicas y fisaclas. En el Catastro se conocen tres procesos. Estos son: formación, actualización y conservación. En lo conocerimente a la solicituda que usted nos presenta, debe descrive que el sector en donde se ubican los innuebles relacionados con anterioridad, fue incluido dentro del proceso de octualización contante para la vigencia 2016, el cual consiste en el conjunto de operacionas destinadas a recovar sod datos recogidos durante el proceso de formación: Ello, mediante la revisión de los elementos físico, jurídeo y económico, ya mencionados, y la eliminación, en el elemento económico, de las disparidades originadas por cambios físicos, variaciones de uso e de productividad, obras públicas y condiciones locales del mercado inmobiliario, según corresponda.

En consecuencia, los avalúos catastrales para el año 2016 de los bienes anteriormente citados, fueron el resultado del mencionado proceso de actualización. Lo anterior, en camplimento a lo ordenado en la Ley 14 de 1983, Decreto 3466 de 1983, artículos 37 s.s. de la Respublición No. 2076 de 2011 del 1603.

Realizado el estudio de su solicitud se verificó la odad de la construcción del innucible encentrando que o Regimento de Propiedad Horizontal del edifício fue constituido en 1999, según consta en los follos de martícula de los innucibles objeto de seudio, situación que colicide con la información incorporada en la base catastral (Sistema Integrado de Información Catastral SHC).

Petromento	 NOTES OF			 		
ROTE SAD SERVICITAL			OL 100 HOLD US DO		COMMINATION TO CONTINUE AS COMMEY REPRESENTACIONES CONSTRUES L'UNA CONSTRUES L'UNA	
	i		TROPIEDAD			

Resulta oportuno manifestar que el objeto de la UAECO es "responder por la recopilación de la información de la propiedad inmueble del Distrito Capital en sus aspectos fisho, juridito y económica y facilitar el acceso a la información-geográfica y especial para contribuir e, la toma de decisionen del Distrito Capital". Así mismo, una de sus principales funciones es la de "Realizar, muntener y actualizar al censo catastral del Distrito Capital", capital en sus diversorse aspectos, en particular fifer el valor de los bicines immuebles que sirve como base para la determinación de los inspuestos sobre dichos bienes", razón por la



MEJOR PARA TODOS MANAGEMENT

:000017A



#### RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS CATASTRALES

Continuación de la Resolución
"Por la cual se corrigen unos Avalúos Catastrales"

cual no es competencia de esta Unidad la liquidación, tarifa, y pago del impuesto predial unificado, que es del resorte de la Dirección de Distrital de Impuestos de la Secretaria Distrital de Hacienda.

Ahora blen, de acuerdo con el estudio realizado a los immuebles en cuestión, se evidenció que es un sector principalmente residencial, eucontrando que los predios objeto de estudio están superando los valores que arreja el mereado iamobiliario tal cemos se observa en el iten 4 del presente informe anteriormente descrito. En consecuencia la Dirección de la Unidad explidió la Resolución No. 1168 de 29 de junio de 2016, acto administrativo a través del cual se asignó avalúo especial para los usos CBUSS OFICINAS Y CONSULTORIOS PH, situación que genera que se cerrijan los avalúos catastrales para la vigencia 2016 para los predios ya mencionados.

Ensalment, senga presente que si la información oconômico del predio fue actualizado y prosente diferencias en sua obligaciones tributarias, de ocuerdo con el parágusto del Artículo 1º de la Ley 601 de 2003, se supiere que en oce se unifica de la presente Resolución, se carque e los puntos de unerción de la Dirección Dantes de Impuestos de Bogotá, para que gestione la corrección el la declavación del Impuesto predioi onificado que haya lugar.

En mérito de lo expuesto,

#### RESUELVE

Artículo 1. Retirar para los predios que se contraen en la parte motiva de la presente Resolución, los siguientes avalúos catastrales:

No	NOMENCLATURA	CEDULA CATASTRAL	VIGENCIA	AVALL:0
1	KR 45B 22A 75 AV 301			\$374 660 DHI
1	HR 10D 22A 75 CF 362	- A0620010240010260 <del>2</del>		5454 766 000
3	-NR 43D 224 75 Ct 201	- 1-08620816240010200T	2016	\$351,091,000
1	HR 12D 22A-75-05 202	- 00(200162400+(2###		5378,742,900

Artículo 2. Fijar en su lugar, los siguientes avalúos catastrales

No	NOMENCLATURA	CÉDULA CATASTRAL	VIGENCIA	AVALUO
1	ALIA 43D 32A 75 OF 301	09(306343-09-03009		\$259,916,000
2	#4F-10D 22A 76 GF 302	-00(200162-00+00+00	2016	\$315,527,000
3	440 450 224 75 GF 201	- 000206701-00102007	2110	\$243.330.DBB
4	415 - 511 - 7 A 75 - 61 - 762	-00/2001/24/00/02002-		\$262,785 Door

Artículo 3. Notifiquese Personalmente del presente Acto Administrativo al señor MAURICIO FORERO LEAL ya identificado, en los términos establecidos en el Artículo 67 de la Ley 1437 de 20||.

Avenida Carrera 30 No. 26-90. Torre A Pisos 1 § y 12 Torre B. Piso 2. Commutador 2347600 www.catastrobogota.gov.co Información: Linea 195







# RESOLUCIÓN POR LA CUAL SE CORRIGEN UNOS AVALÚOS CATASTRALES

RESOLUCIÓN No. 41150 DE Continuación de la Resolución "Por la cual se corrigea unos Avalúcio Catastrales"

Artículo 4, La presente Resolución rige a parrir de la fecha de su expedición y contra ella proceden los Recursos de Reposición ante el Subgerente de Información Económica y/o Apelación ante el Señor Director de la Unidad, de los cuales habrá de hacerse uso, por escrito en la diligencia de notificación personal o dentro de los diez (10) días siguientes e ella o a la notificación por aviso, o al vencimiento del término de publicación, según el caso; de acuerdo con el artículo 76 de la Ley 1437 de 2011.

CONUNÍQUESE, NOTIFÍQUESE Y CÚMPLASE
Dado en Bogos, D.C., a los 13/07/2016

Sobjetico de la contraction de la contraction

UNIDAD ADMINISTRATIVA ESPECIAL DE CATASTRO DISTRITAL NOTIFICACION En Bagala a los -11 dias del mes de -11de 2014 se notificó personalmente de fax ause/men expedido (a). Por Marson de fecha (a) la fecha el Señor (a) Harman Foras hal-

en su carácter de Cuien se identifica cu i Cui

Avenida Carrera 30 No. 25-90. Torre A Pisos 11 y 12 Torre B. Piso 2. Comunidado 2347-600 vyvv. calastrologoja gov. co información Linea 195

ENTIDAD INC 9004 2008 NFC 8P 1000-9008 CHATIFICADA No 50-2012/05816 A 1 No 5G-2012005910 H

MEJOR PARA TODOS

### Appendix 2b. Simplified Letter (Catastro)



POR LA CUAL SE RESUELVE UNA SOLICITUD DE REVISIÓN DE AVALÚO CATASTRAL

#### RESOLUCIÓN No. 87453 DE 23/11/2017

LA SUBGERENTE (C) DE INFORMACIÓN ECONÓMICA DE LA UNIDAD ADMINISTRATIVA ESPECIAL DE CATASTRO DISTRITAL -UAECD. En uso de sus facultades legales y estatutarias, en especial las contenídas en los numerales 1 y 2 del artículo 15 del Acuerdo 064 de 2012 del Consejo Directivo de la Unidad Administrativa Especial de Catastro Distrital. y CONSIDERANDO:

Que el 28 de septiembre de 2017, mediante escrito radicado con el n.º 2017-1321212, la señora predio incorporado en los archivos catastrales con la nomenclatura KR 13 116 65 AP 204 Cédula Catastral No. 1 CHIP seguina de los avalúos catastrales para las vigencias 2015, 2016 y 2017, teniendo como argumento en su petición:

- Que se encuentra en desacuerdo con el aumento de los avalúos catastral.
   Que el bien no ha sufrido cambios y/o construcciones.

RAZONAMIENTOS DE LA SUBGERENCIA DE INFORMACIÓN ECONÓMICA DE LA UAECD

El inciso primero del artículo 133 de la Resolución 70 de 2011 del Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi
-IGAC-, en consonancia con el artículo 9 de la Ley 14 de 1983, estipula que el propietario o poseedor
podrá obtene la vervisión del avalido non tale autoridad catastral correspondiente. Asimismo, el artículo 25
de la referida resolución identifica entre las autoridades catastrales a la Unidad Administrativa Especial
de Catastro Destrala -IJAECD.

De igual forma, el literal "a" del artículo 63 del Acuerdo Distrital 257 de 2006 establece que la Unidad Administrativa Especial de Catastro Distrital –UAECD- es la encargada de "Realizar, mantener y accrudirar el carno catastra del Distrito Capital en sus diversos acapectos, en particular fijor el veltor de los bienes immuebles que sirve como base para la determinación de los impuetas sobre dichos bienes."

A su tumo, los numerales 1 y 2 del artículo 15 del Acuerdo 004 de 2012 del Consejo Directivo de la UAECD disponen que la Subgerencia de Información Económica tiene entre sus funciones "Liderar el mantenimiento de la capa de información económica del Catastro" y "Orientar la respuesta a las solicitudes de los usuarios en relación con los procesos a cargo".

Por lo tanto, la Subgerencia de Información Económica de la UAECD es competente para resolver la solicitud de revisión del avalúo catastral.

Av Cra 30 No 25 – 90 Código postal 11 13 H2 Torre A Pisos 11 y 12 - Torre B Piso 2 Tel: 234 7600 – Info. Line a 195 www.catastrobogota.gov.co





VALORAI' INTEGRAL

POR LA CUAL SE RESUELYE UNA SOLICITUD DE REVISIÓN DE AVALÚO CATASTRAL

### RESOLUCIÓN No. 87453 DE 23/11/2017

2016
2

ALLEY.	2.00					DESTINO	
		ÁREAAF	VALOR N	ÁREA MY	VALOR N°	ECONGIMICO	CATASTRAL
9 -	6222215153415	5550	\$5,400 600/20	1030	\$1.481,072.74	101	\$323,097,098,90
6	F823/29.5133413	35.50	£1, 211, 019 (30	90,300	\$ 1.579.7mpa	01	£336,656,000,00
5.	602219493113	35.50	\$3,060,016,00	12,16	\$ 1,620,141,30	0)	\$336662.0mpm
	6	7 - 6222215157415 6 6222215137415	AREA 4P  - 4222151515115 5500  - 62222151515115 35500	ÁBEA AF         VALDR M²           2 - 6222315451415         35,000         \$3,000,000           6 - 6222315451435         35,000         \$3,000,000	AREA SF VALOR AF AREA SF  2 422233153145 5550 \$5.00 \$2.001.00000 92,00  - (22223315315 5550 \$5.0000000000 92,00	AREA-H* YALOE N* ÁREA-H* VALOE N*  4 42723351515 5500 \$3.4044000 52.00 \$3.44632.N  4 4272343343 5500 \$3.4044000 92.00 \$1.41632.N	ARCA   VALOR of ARCA   VALOR of COMMINGO

### 2. ANÁLISIS DE LA INFORMACIÓN PREDIAL.

nda en la base predial se determinó que no hay lugar a su modificación.

### RESPUESTA A LOS ARGUMENTOS DEL SOLICITANTE

3. RESPUESTA A LOS ARGUMENTOS DEL SOLLCITANTE.
Luego de revisiodos los hechos de incomformánda causacións on sa escrito, se informas lo siguiente:
El predio que nos ocupa fue objeto del Proceso de Actualización Catastral en las vigencias 2015/2016/2017 en camplimiento a los ordenados en la Ley 1 de 1983 y su Decreto reglamentario 3406 de 1983, Ley 1450 de 2011, Resoluciones No.: 070 de 2011 en sus artículos 97 y s.s., 1008 de 2012 y 1055 de 2012 del IGAC.
Dicho proceso consiste en el conjunto de operaciones destinados a removar los datos de la formación catastral, mediante la revisión de los elementos físicos y jurídico del catastra y la eliminación en el elemento económico de los dispariedades originados por cambión físicos, variaciones de usos o de productividad, obras públicas o condiciones locales del mercado inmobilitario, como lo establecen los artículos 97 y ss., de la Resolución No. 070 de 2011 del IGAC. modificada por el arriculo 7 de la Resolución 555 de 2012.
Para establecer el avalúo catastral se realizan las labores de identificación predial, determinación de zonas homogénesas fisions y goecocomínicas (ZHF y ZHO), determinación de valores unitarios para los tipos de edificación y liquidición de los avalúos catastrales (Artículos 98 Res. 070/2011).

los predios sometidos al régimen de propiedad herizontal los avalúos se determina teniendo en cuenta valores integrales.

Por los anteriormente citado, os importante precisar, que su predio ha presentado un cambio en el avalúo catastrapoducto del citado proceso de Actualización Catastral, por tal razión, el avalúo no se incremento por indica lestado producto del citado proceso de Actualización Catastral, por tal razión, el avalúo no se incremento por indica del actualización (1910). El producto del mercado inmobiliario.

El importante echara que en Bogatá, las políticas de respisate de los avalúos catastrales se concentran reguladas en la Distrito Capital", disposición per excuede sun autorización a los contribuyentes del Impuesto Predia Unificado en el Distrito Capital", disposición del concendo de la procesa de procesa de destratine y poblique el Ostrito Capital", disposición concendo se requisarion anualmenten de procesario de destratine y abultique de Gobieno Distrita en el mes de diciembre de cada año, de acectró con los indices de valeración inmobilitaria unhas y runal, previo concepto del Consegó de Política Económica y Fiscal, CONFIS del periodio comprendido entre el primero de septiembre del respectivo año y la misma fecha del año anterior." (Sinbrayado por fiera del texto) En consecuencia, no es posible la aplicación del IVIUIR, pues solo opera para los predios conservados, es decir, para aquellos insuedes que NO faeron objeto de proceso de actualización catastral.





POR LA CUAL SE RESUELVE UNA SOLICITUD DE REVISIÓN DE AVALÚO CATASTRAL

#### RESOLUCIÓN No. 87453 DE 23/11/2017

2. Procedencia La solicitude de revisión del avalión catastral objeto de estudio es procedente, por cuanto se ajusta a lo la comparación de en el artículo 9º de la Ley 14 de 1983 y en los artículos 133 a 136 de la Resolución 70 de 2011 del IAGA, toda vez que fie presentada per el propietario o poseedor del predio os anapolerado o reorrecentante legal, por escrito e indicando la(s) vigencia(s) de los avalúos catastrales objeto de revisión.

- Establecer si los valores fijados para el m² de terreno se ajustan a las características y condiciones del immeble y al mercado inmobilitario del sector para las vigencias 2015, 2016 y 2017.
   Pronunciares sobre los argumentos esgrimidos por el interesado y las pruebas aportadas.
   Revisar los documentos catastrales que existen en la UAECD, obre el preció.
   Satri las demás pruebas que el perito avalando estime pertinentes. A las cuales deberá referirse en

#### 5. Análisis de la Subgerencia de Información Económica

INFORME TÉCNICO DE AVALÚO CA No. de RADICACION: 2017 - 1321212	FECHA RADICACION: 28/09/2017
TIPO DE TRAMITE: REVISION DE AVALUO	
IGENCIAS OBJETO DE TRAMITE: 2015-2016-20	017
ECHA DE VISITA: N.A.	FECHA DE COMITÉ; N.A.
ECHA ELABORACION DE INFORME TECNICO:	: 21/11/2017
I IDENTIFICACIÓN E INFORMACIO	ÓN DEL PREDIO
	CHP
NOMENCLATURA:	ÓN DEL PREDIO  CHIP NOMBRE SECTOR: SANTA BARBARA CINTRAL
IOMENCLATURA:	CHIP
IOMENCLATURA: IODIGO DE SECTOR:	NOMBRE SECTOR: SANTA BARBARA CENTRAL
NOMENCLATURA CODIGO DE SECTOR:	CHIP
IDENTIFICACIÓN E INFORMACIO     NOMENCLATURA     CODIGIO DE SECTOR:  AMALEA (Alempanen-persode pada depos formación del del deservo de 1978)  AV. Cra 30 No 25 – 90	NOMBRE SECTOR: SANTA BARBARA CENTRAL

MEJOR PARA TODOS



POR LA CUAL SE RESUELYE UNA SOLICITUD DE REVISIÓN DE AVALÚO CATASTRAL

### RESOLUCIÓN No. 87453 DE 23/11/2017

La competencia para tratar temas como las tarifas, cobro y liquidación del impuesto predial unificado es de la Secretaria de Hacienda Distrital. Una vez verificada la información inserita en la base de datos gráfica y alfanumérica, de areas, destino económico, usos y caracteristicas de la construcción, esta se mantiene. Observando los valores que arruja la dinamica immobiliaria del sector según la destinación económica del predio para las vigencias malizadas y los valores cantarirales, se obtiene que estos se encientran acordes con la información que reposa en la entidad, y por tanto, se confirma el avalito catastral para esos años.

Una vez verificada la información incorporada en la base de datos de la entidad, y realizado un nuevo análisis o mercado innobiliario, se comprobó que el avalióo catastral para el predio objeto de revisión es inferior a los valo obtenidos en la investigaçión económica, por lo anteriormente expuesto se confirma el avalióo catastral para vigencias 2015-2016-2017.

Las normas que regulan la actividad catastral son, entre otras, la Ley 14 de 1983 – incluidas las reformas expresas y tácitas que otras leyes han hecho de esta –, su Decreto Reglamentario 3496 de 1983. la Ley 1450 de 2011 y las Resoluciones 70 de 2011, 1008 y 1055 de 2012 expedidas por el Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi (IGAC) como ente rector en materia catastral.

El Artículo 8 de la ya citada Resolución No. 70/2011, modificado por el Artículo 1 de la Resolución 1055 de 2012 también del IGAC, establece que: "Avalia cotastral: El avalia catastral consiste en la determinación del valor de los predios obtenido mediante investigación y análisis estadístico del mercado inmobilitario. El avalido catastral de coda predio se determinará por los adeixios de los vadioss parciales procticados independientemente para las terrenos y para las edificaciones en el comprendidos

(...) Parágrafo 20. El avalúo catastral es el valor asignado a cada predio por la autoridad catastral en las l'acogrago 20 Et avaino cunature es en non segonous compresso poi noncontra l'approcesso de formación, actualización de la formación y conservación culastral, tomando como referencia los valores del mercado inmobiliario, sin que en ningún caso los supere. Para el efecto, los autoridades constroles desarrollarán los modelos que refejeir el valor de los predios en el mercado inmobiliario de acuerdo a sus condiciones y características" (Negrilla por fuera del texto)

Sobre las condiciones particulares del bien, se consultó la base catastral (Sistema Integrado de Información Catastral SIIC) evidenciando que el bien figura inscrito con un área construida de 92.80 m² distribuida así:



Av Cra 30 No 25 – 90 Código postal 111311 Torre A Pisos 11 y 12 - Torre B Piso 2 Tel 234 7500 – Info Linea 195 www.catastrobogota.gov.co





POR LA CUAL SE RESUELVE UNA SOLICITUD DE REVISIÓN DE AVALÚO CATASTRAL

#### RESOLUCIÓN No. 87453 DE 23/11/2017

"Por la cual se resuelve una solicitud de revisión de avalúo catastral"

En lo referente a la carga de la prueba en materia catastral, tenga presente que el Instituto Geográfico Agustía Codazzi (IGAC) ente rector en materia catastral se pronunció sobre el asunto, argumentando lo siguente: (Concepto 8002014E66913 de 4 el ginino de 2014)

3. En principio, quien debe probar el valor del avalto de un predio es la Autoridad Catastral. Abora bien, si quien solicita la revisión del avalto es el propietario, poseedor o tercero, por considerar que el avalto carlizado por la fatidad no corresponde a la realidad, será éste el encargado de probar el respectivo valor del bien.

Inicialmente es necesario aclararle que no se debe confundir el avalio catastral con el impuesto predial. Si bien la base gravable para liquidar el impuesto predial es el avalio catastral, la fiquidación es un acto y la fijación del avalion es dra. La Unidad Administrativa Especial de Catastro Distrata únicamente fija el avalio catastral que sirve como referente para la liquidación del impuesto predial, en consecuencia no tiene competencia alguna para determinar tarfas o impuestos.

Teniendo en cuentra lo expuesto en el punto anterior, es evidente que la Unidad Administrativa Especial de Catastro Distrital — UAECD actúa de conformidad con la Constitución y la Ley, razón por la cual cumple sus funciones repetando los derechos de todos y cumpliendo con sus funciones y deberes. Es necesario precisar que siendo Catastro la entidad eneargada de mantener el inventario o censo debidamente actualizado y clasificado, de los bienes inmuebles pertenecientes al Estado y a los particulares y no se encarga de la liquidación y/o recaudo del impuesto predial, no le es posible hacer exoneraciones tributarias.

Finalmente, de las pruebas recaudadas frente a los hechos y a las pretensiones del peticionario, se hace necesario reiterna que el predio descrito con anterioridad, fue objeto de Actualización Catastral para las vigencias 2015, 2016 y 2017, de conformidad con lo establecido en la Ley 14 de 1983 y los parimetros tecnicos contenidos en las Resoluciones Nos. 070 de 2011 y 1055 de 2012 del IGAC, respectivamente. Ahora bien, según el informe técnico antes trascrito, los valores que figuran en la base catastral está acorde con las carneteristicas del predio, razón por la cual se confirman los avaltios catastrales para las vigencias 2015, 2016 y 2017.

Oue en mérito de lo expuesto.

#### RESUELVE:

Artículo 1. Decisión. Confirmar para el predio identificado en las consideraciones de la presente resolución el siguiente avalúo catastral:

N.º	NOMENCLATURA	CÉDULA CATASTRAL	VIGENCIA	AVALÚO
			2017	\$323.699.000
1			2016	\$336.656.000
- 1		_	2015	\$326,062,000

Av Cra 30 No 25 – 90 Código postal: 11 13359 Torre A Pisos 11 y 12 - Torre B Piso 2 Tel: 234 7600 – Info: Línea 195 www.catastrobogota.gov.co





Página 6 de

#### POR LA CUAL SE RESUELVE UNA SOLICITUD DE REVISIÓN DE AVALÚO CATASTRAL

### RESOLUCIÓN No. 87453 DE 23/11/2017

"Por la cual se resuelve una solicitud de revisión de avalúo catastral"

Artículo 2, Notificación, Notificar personalmente el contenido de la presente resolución a la señon enteriormente identificada. Si no pudiere hacense la notificación personal se procederá a notificar mediante aviso. Lo anterior en los términos establecidos en los artículos 67 a 69 de la Ley 1437 de 2011.

Artículo 3. Recursos. Contra la presente resolución proceden los recursos de reposición ante elda) Subgerente de Información Económica y/o apelación ante el/la) Directoría de la UAECD, los cuntos deberán presentanse por escrito en la difigencia de notificación personal, o dentro de los des (10) dias siguientes a ella, o a la notificación por aviso, según el caso, de acuerdo con los artículos 76 y 77 de la Ley 1437 de 2011.

COMUNÍQUESE, NOTIFÍQUESE Y CÚMPLASE. Dada en Bogotá D.C., a los 23/11/2017

MARÍA ISABEL OGGUA MORENO

Elabori: Zennya Andrea Flores Pentin § Rossot: Alasta Indel Cogna Marcao 1. 2003, 1731712

> Av. Cra 30 No 25 – 90 Código postal. 111311 Torre A. Pisos 11 y 12 - Torre B. Piso 2 Tel. 234 7600 – Info. Linea 195 www.catastrobogota.gov.co



# **Appendix 3. Additional Results Tables**

Table A4. Intention-to-Treat Results, Including Channel of Notification

	Any Request Filed		Request for Reinstatement	
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)
Simplified letter	-0.0255	-0.0227	-0.0348*	−0.0319 <sup>T</sup>
	(0.0213)	(0.0209)	(0.0203)	(0.0200)
Electronic notification		-0.1073***		-0.0924***
		(0.0225)		(0.0215)
Board notification	-0.1773***			-0.1603***
		(0.0351)		(0.0335)
Notification time (elapsed)		-0.0001		0.0000
		(0.0002)		(0.0002)
Constant	0.1230***	0.2177***	0.1160***	0.1755***
	(0.0151)	(0.0407)	(0.0144)	(0.0389)
Includes controls	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	862	862	862	862
R-squared	0.0017	0.0430	0.0034	0.0386

Source: Authors' elaboration.

*Note:* Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1,  $^{\mathsf{T}}$  p<0.15.

Table A5. Intention-to-Treat Results, Without Board Notifications

	Any Request Filed		Request for Reinstatement	
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)
Simplified letter	-0.0269	-0.0258	-0.0376*	-0.0361
	(0.0239)	(0.0237)	(0.0228)	(0.0226)
Electronic notification		-0.1075***		-0.0923***
		(0.0240)		(0.0229)
Notification time (elapsed)		-0.0001		0.0000
		(0.0002)		(0.0002)
Constant	0.1380***	0.2223***	0.1302***	0.1779***
	(0.0169)	(0.0453)	(0.0161)	(0.0433)
Includes controls	No	Si	No	Si
Observations	762	762	762	762
R-squared	0.0017	0.0275	0.0036	0.0250

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Table A6. Heterogeneous Effects (by Property Use Type)

	Any Requ	Any Request Filed		Request for Reinstatement	
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	
Simplified letter	-0.0089	-0.0056	-0.0005	0.0022	
	(0.0386)	(0.0379)	(0.0368)	(0.0362)	
Residential	-0.0245	-0.0282	-0.0126	-0.0137	
	(0.0340)	(0.0335)	(0.0323)	(0.0319)	
Plots	0.0328	0.0227	0.0486	0.0422	
	(0.0530)	(0.0522)	(0.0505)	(0.0498)	
Simplified*residential	-0.0263	-0.0284	-0.0425	-0.043	
	(0.0475)	(0.0466)	(0.0452)	(0.0445)	
Simplified*plots	-0.0040	0.0008	-0.0893	-0.0863	
	(0.0778)	(0.0763)	(0.0740)	(0.0728)	
Constant	0.1339***	0.2442***	0.1181***	0.1885***	
	(0.0278)	(0.0490)	(0.0264)	(0.0468)	
Controls	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Observations	862	862	862	862	
R-squared	0.0078	0.0495	0.0089	0.0440	

Table A7. Heterogeneous Results (by Property Use), Excluding Board Notifications

	Any Requ	Any Request Filed		Reinstatement
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)
Simplified letter	-0.0101	-0.0062	-0.0006	0.0025
	(0.0434)	(0.0429)	(0.0413)	(0.0409)
Residential	-0.0284	-0.0314	-0.0150	-0.0155
	(0.0381)	(0.0378)	(0.0363)	(0.0361)
Plot	0.0260	0.0221	0.0438	0.0434
	(0.0583)	(0.0578)	(0.0555)	(0.0552)
Simplified*residential	-0.0280	-0.0330	-0.0466	-0.0492
	(0.0534)	(0.0528)	(0.0509)	(0.0504)
Simplified*plot	0.0038	0.0022	-0.0915	-0.0934
	(0.0862)	(0.0851)	(0.0821)	(0.0813)
Constant	0.1518***	0.2507***	0.1339***	0.1927***
	(0.0312)	(0.0546)	(0.0297)	(0.0522)
Controls	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	762	762	762	762
R-squared	0.008	0.0347	0.0093	0.0310

*Note:* Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1.

Table A8. Heterogeneous Results (by Socioeconomic Level)

	Any Request Filed		Request for Reinstatement		
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	
Simplified letter	-0.0132	-0.0097	-0.0246	-0.0213	
	(0.0236)	(0.0232)	(0.0224)	(0.0221)	
High stratum	-0.0074	0.0142	-0.0147	0.0056	
	(0.0394)	(0.0390)	(0.0374)	(0.0372)	
Simplified*high	-0.0662	-0.0707	-0.0543	-0.0578	
	(0.0552)	(0.0543)	(0.0525)	(0.0518)	
Constant	0.1243***	0.2169***	0.1186***	0.1763***	
	(0.0166)	(0.0414)	(0.0158)	(0.0396)	
Controls	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Observations	862	862	862	862	
R-squared	0.0059	0.0456	0.0076	0.0409	

Source: Authors' elaboration.

Table A9. Heterogeneous Results (by Socioeconomic Level), Without Board Notifications

	Any Request Filed		Request for Reinstatement	
	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)
Simplified letter	-0.0114	-0.0110	-0.0246	-0.0240
	(0.0265)	(0.0262)	(0.0252)	(0.0250)
High stratum	-0.0045	0.0168	-0.0131	0.0069
	(0.0444)	(0.0443)	(0.0423)	(0.0423)
Simplified*high	-0.0813	-0.0796	-0.0670	-0.0648
	(0.0620)	(0.0613)	(0.0590)	(0.0585)
Constant	0.1388***	0.2211***	0.1325***	0.1784***
	(0.0185)	(0.0461)	(0.0177)	(0.0440)
Controls	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	762	762	762	762
R-squared	0.0068	0.0304	0.0086	0.0276