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Abstract
The focus of the research study is to investigate and analyses the current data processing and analytics issues in health focusing in diabetes data order to make sense of the data and use it to improve the health system. Within the investigation of the set of data from the ministry of health of Kosovo realised several types of analyses using different software tools. The current main challenge is to efficiently translate science into modern medicine that is limited by our capacity to process and understand these data. So, it is obviously needed to devise new mathematical as well as computational model with the ability to analyse Data. This will help the clinicians to retrieve useful information and then accurately diagnose and treat patients to improve patient outcomes. Scientist as well as medicine workers should become more aware and understand the value of Data analytics in providing valuable insights. Data, derived by patients and consumers, also requires analytics to become actionable. Based on the above results and test of homogeneity we assume that the first group Insuline M will in the future retain higher rate with a standard deviation of .176 compared with the first group Insuline R. This gives as opportunity to predict the management of diabetes for the next years where we can conclude that group 1 will continue to prevail and require more special treatment compared with group 2. Insights are provided as well as arguments and discussed the benefits from the study.
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Introduction
Predictive analytics which is help to healthcare organizations to analyse data collected on the past and predict the possibilities of future occurrences in order to enable proper decisions of their patient [2]. Devising such predictive model can help healthcare to understand better and bring decisions based on informed data and with this to become more effective and efficient in solving health issues. Predictive
analytics recently has been proven as very efficient in improving safety and performance of patient outcomes.

The modernization of the healthcare industry's focuses on processing massive health records, and accesses those for analysis and with this it has potential to substantially increase the likelihood of eliminating illnesses. The unstructured nature of Big Data that is collected, it is required to structure and normalise their size into smaller quantum to arrive to possible solution.

With the advancement of the technology most of medical records and information is being stored and processed electronically. This brings a lot of opportunities in the era of “big health care data” for different predictions and exploring different aspects of health care previously humanly impossible.

Devised different predictive models have the potential to improve the chances for healing of patients, use different kinds of patient information and output prognostic results in a clinical setting [2]. According to [1] “This could be used for clinical decision support, disease surveillance, and population health management to improve patient care”

One of such illness that can be predicted is Diabetes, that is considered as one of the top priorities in medical science. Today more and more people are dying from Diabetes than from Cancer and other illnesses. “Diabetes is a very serious disease that can lead to a large number of very serious long-term complications such as blindness, amputation and heart disease if not treated properly in time” [5] [6] [7]. Also, the very early stages of type 2 diabetes are asymptomatic, and therefore many patients can be undiagnosed for years [2]. “Treatment, especially with insulin, is not without adverse effects such as risk of hypoglycaemia and weight gain” [4]. Fortunately, recently we are being witnessing rapid growing awareness of the possibilities in the field of Data Science by using available information for predicting diabetes. The number of published articles has risen every year, from 5 publications in 1990 to about 300 in 2015, as illustrated in Figure 1 below.

Figure 1
Predictive Models in diabetes - Number of publications index by PubMed with keywords “predictive AND model AND diabetes.”

Note: The 2015 count is extrapolated based on the number from May 27, 2015.
Source: Authors’ work

Literature Review
Predictive Analytics involves different statistical models as well as analytical techniques that are used for devising different models that can predict many future occurrences [2].

As discussed by [6] “almost any statistical regression model can be used as a predictive model”. Generally, according to [2] “there are 2 kinds of models: parametric and nonparametric. Parametric models can make assumptions regarding the underlying data distribution, whereas nonparametric models (and semiparametric models) make fewer or no assumptions about the underlying distribution. The most common approach is to use a regression model for prediction”. This often also involves the use of classic statistical methods to devise the predictive model based on some statistical technique [5]. “These models often utilize a broad range of methods involving machine learning and pattern recognition, among others, [3], [4] and they are often, but not always, limited to classification tree, neural network, k-nearest neighbour” [7].

### Results and findings from research data from Kosovo

Our study is built on numerous studies from the reviewed published literature and then used data from Ministry of Health of Kosovo.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Insulina Humane (Gensulin M)</th>
<th>Insulina Humane (Gensulin R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orders over 2016</strong></td>
<td>The first six months 2016</td>
<td>The second sixth month 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total orders 2015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>190,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contracted amount for the year (2015-2018)</strong></td>
<td>726,000</td>
<td>85,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percentage of movement in relation to the contracted value of 2016</strong></td>
<td>380.46% (280.46% decrease below the contracted value of 2016)</td>
<td>370.47% (270.47% decrease below the contracted value of 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orders and planning throughout 2017</strong></td>
<td>Orders, the first six months of 2017</td>
<td>Planning of the second sixth month 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>135,460</td>
<td>26,838</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From Table 1 we can see the change within one year for Gensulin M and Gensulin R and the influence on the health system they have.

**Table 2**

Data Analyses of Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Gensuline</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gensulin M</td>
<td>190,820</td>
<td>89.25</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gensulin R</td>
<td>22,965</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>213,785</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ work

From Table 2 we can see the frequency of patients that use Gensulin M is much higher than the patients with Gensulin R. This influences the health system to prepare and be more engaged to patients with Gensulin M needs.

**Figure 2**

Data Analyses of Patients
In total, there are 213,785 patients and 22,965 of them (10.7%) are from the Insuline R group and 190,820 patients (89.2%) are from the Insuline M group. All of the answers are valid rate (100%).

Table 3
Data Analyses of Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gensulin M</th>
<th></th>
<th>Gensulin R</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missing</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Std. Error of Mean</strong></td>
<td>.280</td>
<td>.140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>89.25</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Std. Deviation</strong></td>
<td>1.945</td>
<td>.921</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variance</strong></td>
<td>4.784</td>
<td>.757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ work

The mean values for the group 1 are higher than group 2. (Group 1 mean = 89.25, Group 2 mean = 10.74) This shows that group 1 students were affected by current status prevalence of Gensuline M. This shows that data set is close to the normal distribution with a little skewness to the left.

Table 3
Test of Homogeneity of Variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test of Homogeneity of Variances</th>
<th>Grade Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levene Statistic</td>
<td>Levene Statistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ work

To test the Homogeneity of Variances, we apply Homogeneity test as above. This shows that our P value (.476) is bigger than the standard a level (.05) so we retain the
null hypothesis (has difference) for the assumption of homogeneity of variance and conclude that there is a significant difference between the two groups’ variances. Based on the above results and test of homogeneity we assume that the first group Insuline M will in the future retain higher rate with a standard deviation of .176 compared with the first group Insuline R.

Conclusion and future work

Within the research study much effort has been put into developing predictive model for use in the management of diabetes and its complications. Based on the study results and test of homogeneity we assume that the first group Insuline M will in the future retain higher rate with a standard deviation of .176 compared with the first group Insuline R. This means that the health system and hospitals should expect and to prepare and be more engaged to patients with Gensulin M needs. This gives as opportunity to predict the management of diabetes for the next years where we can conclude that group 1 will continue to prevail and require more special treatment compared with group 2. However, this model has not been implemented and the clinical impact has not been yet investigated and remains as future work.

Although evidence from implementation is lacking, we argue that this predictive model has the potential to transform the way health care providers use sophisticated technologies and much insight may be gained and more informed decisions made by drawing on the large amount of electronically stored clinical data. Scientists and health-care providers may learn from one another when it comes to understanding the value of Data analytics.

Data, derived by patients and consumers, also requires analytics to become actionable.
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