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The location advantages and persistence of the performance for the Taiwan logistic company: A case study

Chiu-Ming Hsiao, Lai Pin-Reuy, Sun Li-Yun and Tsai Yun-Jean

Abstract: This study empirically analyzes the persistence of the performance for the Taiwanese logistic company. It is the first study to address the hot hand effect on the performance of logistic stations. Generally, the well-performed stations (Winner) will still have a better performance in the following period; the poor-performed stations (Loser) will stay in the worst group. Moreover, we find there is a strong evidence of location advantage for the business stations which supports the discussion of Hernández and Pedersen. It reveals that a non-metropolitan station is well performed rather than the metropolitan station. Either to increase the number of cargo or to decrease the number of workers will promote the performance of the company. Our empirical results can also be extended to the logistic companies in the emerging markets and transition economies. Policy-makers can provide some incentives to make the logistics industry more vigorous development and create economic prosperity.

Subjects: Industrial Economics; Development Economics; Corporate Finance; Production, Operations & Information Management; Strategic Management; Small Business Management; Entrepreneurial Finance; Services Marketing; Retail Marketing; Organizational Change
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PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT
We account the logistic company's operating performance as revenue per number, revenue per item. This research empirically analyzes the persistence of the performance for the Taiwanese logistic company.

We find that the well-performed stations (Winner) will still have a better performance in the following period; the poor-performed stations (Loser) will stay in the worst group. It is called the hot hand effect. And this is the first study to dress it on the performance of logistic business stations.

Here, we also find that the more rural the station locates, the better performances the station gains. And the non-metropolitan stations are better than the metropolitan stations.
I. Introduction

The goal of supply chain management is a linkage of the marketplace, the distribution network, the manufacturing process, and the procurement activity. In such a way, the customers are serviced at higher levels and yet at a lower total cost. As discussed in Stock (1987), the decision-making unit (DMU) should concern in the acquisition of a professional service (logistics consulting services) and the acquiring processes. As the discussion of Mentzer et al. (2002), the managers and researchers pay more and more attention on the return management in the field of supply chain management. Min and Zhou (2002) said that the supply chain management helps firms to integrate and coordinate the inter-functional and inter-organizational synergies. Antràs and Chor (2013) also showed that the incentive to integrate suppliers varies systematically with the relative position. Derwik, Hellström, and Karlsson (2016) pointed out that logistics and supply chain managers may more rely on the aging competences in practice rather than supply chain management expertise. For providing a start point to professional services, Chen, Anselmi, Falasca, and Tian (2017) develops a conceptual return management in logistics by revisiting the managers of the supply chain companies. Grushevska and Notteboom (2014) said that logistics is a key area to improve Ukraine’s competitiveness and to improve the ease of doing business in the country.

However, Liu et al. (2012) discussed the green supply chain management (GSCM) based on the Chinese company, Yangtze River Delta. They found that environmental management capacities of a company will be strongly enhanced by frequently internal training of employees to increase its involvement in GSCM practices. And Al-Najjar and Anfimiadou (2012) investigated the effect of environmental policies on the UK transport company’s performance. Oberhofer and Dieplinger (2014) showed that some environmental factors will affect the performance of Austrian transport and logistics companies. And they suggested that the Austrian Government sector can further support the transport and logistics companies in improving environmental management to promote their sustainable performances. In Maas, Schuster, and Hartmann (2014), they posited that the moderating role of environmental communication on the pollution-prevention-differentiation and the service-stewardship-differentiation linkages based on natural resource thinking. Dobler, Lajili, and Zéghal (2014), furthermore paid attention on the nexus between environment performance and environment risk. Their study based on the UK non-financial industries and found that environmental performance, risk and the likelihood of risk management all differ across firms and industries. Choi, Chiu, and Chan (2016) then discussed the risk management of logistics.

Moreover, Yuen and Thai (2017) discussed the difference the performance of product and service supply chain. And, Zaid, Syaeufuddin, and Halim (2017) developed the value chain model to increase the sustainable value added in cocoa oil industry in North Kolaka Regency of Southeast Sulawesi Province, Indonesia. In their model, the need of the marketing chain is an important part. It also needs a well-functional logistic channel to distribute the cocoa oil products. As the openness of international trade, logistic industry is threatened by many types of uncertainties, such as terrorist attacks, major infectious diseases, oil crisis, and financial turmoil. As shown in Ko and Liu (2017), all the kinds of those threats will affect the sustainability of logistic industry and have some effects on the company’s performances.

On the other hand, as shown in Hsiao (2015), global economic growth and cross-border investment in production can also promote the development of the global supply chain for the logistics industry to generate better interests. Furthermore, the e-shopping also grows rapidly in the recent years. In 2008, the revenues of Chinese logistic industry were about 5.545 trillion yuan (779 billion USD), increased by 16% of 2007. And the total value reached to 89.9 trillion yuan (12.84 trillion USD), increased by 19.5% of last year. The total cargo volumes passed 24 billion tons and the total cargo
turnovers to 10.3 trillion ton-kilometers. As reported by the CNN Money news, from 2013 to 2016, the sales online in the Singles Day (11 November) summed up 8, 84, 135, and 278 billion USD on the China e-shopping platforms, respectively. On the other hand, it combined only 3 billion USD sold in the US for Black Friday and Cyber Monday, according to the Boston Consulting Group.

As discussed in Cogent Analytics (2017), there are three important issues should be considered in the transportation and logistics industry: economic outlook, the need for labor, and technology innovation and data analyzing. Here, we are concerned on the allocation of labor and station and try to give some microeconomic meanings for it by analyzing the operating data of the logistics company. There are many studies to investigate the factors that affect logistics performance, however, it is lack of micro-economic analysis in station or hub level of logistics. Therefore, our study also tries to fill the gap for discussing the logistics operating performance in economic perspective with location advantage. Moreover, this paper is the first study to address the performance persistent property of logistic business stations.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Following the introduction, Section 2 is the literature review. Methodology is in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the empirical data and descriptive statistics. The empirical analysis is also in the Section 4. And then concluding remarks are given in the Section 5.

2. Literature review

2.1. Location advantage and decision of hubs

The decision of business stations or hubs is an important strategy for supply chain companies. Since it will affect not only the cost of land, inventory, and labor, but also the difficulty of transport and time of delivery. In Taniguchi, Noritake, Yamada, and Izumitani (1999), they adopt queuing theory and nonlinear programming techniques to find the optimal solution in determining the size and location of public logistics terminals. Shang and Marlow (2007) pointed out that logistics and supply chain management has been elevated to a strategic level whereby firms can simultaneously achieve differentiation and low cost for sustained competitive advantages. They have revealed that logistics performance was positively associated with financial performance. Their findings also implied that logistics competency has an indirect effect on financial performance through logistics performance. Giannakis (2007) tried to establish an analytical and innovative model for assessing the performance of supplier relationships (SRs). His model can be utilized with qualitative as well as quantitative data. Blankley (2008) discussed the nexus between supply chain management technologies (SCMT) and financial performances. He found that the financial performance benefits can be promoted by the investment in supply chain management technology. To this end, he suggested a model to evaluate it.

Moreover, Lee and Lee (2011) examined the comparative corporate performance of logistics companies in Korea, China, and Japan using Data Envelop Analysis (DEA). Their primary results regarding corporate efficiency among Korean, Chinese, and Japanese logistic companies are as follows: in the multimodal industries, Japanese firms have revealed the highest level of efficiency, with Korean firms coming in second and Chinese firms ranking third with distinctly inferior performance. On the other hand, Park, Min, and Park (2011) further indicated that relationship with partners has more effect on logistics security accomplishment than sharing of logistic information. Just as relationship between corporations in chain of supply and sharing of information among them are important elements in management of supply chain, the relationship with partners and sharing of logistic information will have positive effect on supply chain security accomplishment and raise its effectiveness.

Secondly, some studies have discussed the location advantages of business stations. Murray (2009) said that location theory is the basis for examining how and why the arrangement of cities and markets has come to be and provides the rationale for siting decision-making and service
allocation. Moreover, according to Li, Liu, and Chen (2011) proposed that the purpose of adequate location of a logistics hub is to make products available to different markets through the best possible connections, allowing for a better use of the logistics and transportation infrastructure available.

Meanwhile, as discussed in Christopher (2016), the literature about logistics are always associated with the supply chain management, logistics performance, and locations of city logistics facilities. For instance, logistics and supply chain management have been elevated to a strategic level whereby firms can simultaneously achieve differentiation and low cost for sustained competitive advantage. And, May, Shepherd, and Sumalee (2004) used the genetic algorithms (GA) to solve the choice of optimal charge location of a business station. El-Baz (2011) developed an effective decision tool based on fuzzy set theory for the performance measurement of a supply chain in manufacturing companies. Besides, Bae (2012) had empirically analyzed gaps in performance among development stages of integration in supply chain management (SCM).

And, Kuo (2011) indicated that the decision problem of location selection should be complex with multi-criteria and multi-stage problem. Such that, as stated in Tan, Lyman, and Wisner (2002), a firm with a better location management strategy will have the location advantage. Also, from the empirical results of Zhang, Kwon, and Kim (2011), the strategic location of city logistics facilities may help to establish more efficient urban logistics systems, to reduce social and environmental costs of urban freight transport, and to improve urban traffic conditions. In our study, we concern the problem of selecting a location for a city logistics facility while considering linguistic factors. So, alike to Zhang et al. (2011), our results are expected to help municipal government on the selection of locations for city logistics facilities and quantify the advantages and disadvantages of alternative locations.

Furthermore, there are many approaches to find the optimal location of the supply chain company. According to Zhang and Kwon (2010) and Zhang et al. (2011), they used a fuzzy synthetic evaluation approach to find the best location selection. And in Tolga, Tuysuz, and Kahraman (2013), it was the first study that applied a fuzzy real option valuation approach for the retail location selection. Simić, Ilin, Tanackov, Svirčević, and Simić (2015) implemented Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) and k-means method to decide the best location for logistics distribution center. Chang, Liao, Tseng, and Liao (2015) also adopt an ANP-based TOPSIS approach to investigate the location selection of Taiwanese service firms. Vieira and Luna (2016) used the both multi-criteria and single-criterion model to find the best hub location regarding a variety of transportation network designs. Zúñig and Martínez (2016) presented the development of third-party logistics (3PL) operators in El Salvador. They concluded that Salvadoran Logistics Provider (SLP) deployed the framework of highly reliable organizations, to manage the risk and complexity of its customers’ supply chains.

Moreover, Liu et al. (2016) applied an efficient location-aware analytics system (ELAN) to find optimal location services using big data analysis. Ashenbaum and Maltz (2017) also develop a conceptual framework of purchasing-logistic integration (PLI) which will be useful and help to promote the performance of suppliers. Jazairy, Lenhardt, and Haartman (2017), Li and Bathelt (2017) investigated the location strategies of Canadian and Chinese MNCs in international and domestic investment decisions at the metropolitan level. And, Dobler et al. (2014), Maas et al. (2014), Ko and Liu (2017) investigated the environmental strategy for SMEs to keep sustainable competitive advantages.

However, as to now, there is no academically economic analysis of logistics researches for this issue. It is very important to the managers and decision-makers of logistic firms to allocate the labor and cargos in a suitable position to reduce its operating cost or to generate more profit. As a result, our study will try to fill the gap for discussing the logistics performance in economic perspective with location advantage by testing the following hypothesis:

\[ H_0: \text{The business stations located near metropolitan area have advantages} \]
2.2. Persistence of performance

Gunasekaran, Patel, and McGaughey (2004) indicated that supply chain management has been a major component of competitive strategy to enhance organizational productivity and profitability. They adopt a series of performance metrics to measure the British supply chain company’s performances. And, Angerhofer and Angelides (2006) showed that a decision-supporting environment may improve the performances of a collaborative supply chain. In Bhagwat and Sharma (2007) first developed a balance scorecard approach for the supply chain performance management. It helps managers to evaluate SCM performance in a much-balanced way from all aspects of business. Carter and Rogers (2008) surveyed the literature for the sustainability management of supply chain and then developed a new theoretical framework to investigate sustainable performance management. On the other hand, Cho, Lee, Ahn, and Hwang (2012) investigated the factors having impact on the operating performance of the service supply chain companies. According to their work, we focus on the operating performances using the reception per worker and per cargo, respectively. Under this assumption, we find an interesting phenomenon: a well-performed station will be good in the following period. It is called the “Hot-Hand Effect,” which refers to the tendency for people to expect streaks in sports performance to continue. Gilovich, Vallone, and Tversky (1985) said that many sports fans, commentators, players, and even coaches share a belief that a particular player might have the hot hand in some period of time. Moreover, Johnson, Tellis, and Macinnis (2005) discussed the effect of hot/cold hand and the gambler’s fallacy on the stock markets. And Stöckl, Huber, Kirchler, and Lindner (2015) use experimental approach to discuss the hot hand effect. In the laboratory experiments, they showed that communication and group decision-making do not impact subjects’ overall proneness to the hot hand fallacy and to the gambler's fallacy.

In finance, Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) first documented that strategies which buy stocks that have performed well in the past and sell stocks that have performed poorly in the past generate significant positive returns over 3- to 12-month holding periods. And, Hendricks, Patel, and Zeckhauser (1993) studied the hot hand effect on mutual funds. They found that the performance of mutual funds persists for short term, which is different to the findings of Grinblatt and Titman (1989), persistence in mutual fund returns over five-year period. Hereafter, Carhart (1997) used the “Winners” and “Losers” to stand for well-performed and poor-performed group, respectively. His great work found that hot hand effect is driven by the momentum effect. Moreover, Islam (2014) presented the financial performance persistence of banks in Bangladesh. Fu and Liu (2017) investigated the persistence timing of mutual funds and the ability of timing.

In the field of accounting, the earnings persistence is also an unsolvable anomaly. Sloan (1996) found that firm’s earnings in the annual report will persist in the following year. There are many studies5 extended the results of Sloan (1996) and then indicate that the relation between past earnings volatility and earnings persistence is robust to the additional controls and to a correction for sampling bias, but that earnings volatility does not predict stock returns.

In the management viewpoint, Bocken, Rana, and Short (2015) indicated that different managers of the business station may have different management goals, such as operating model, marketing strategies, and so on. The performance of the business station will be depended on the abilities of its manager. Hence, the CEO in the headquarter wants to know why a poor-performed station is always performing worse and how to improve it. Therefore, this paper is the first study to address the performance persistent property of logistic business stations by testing the following hypothesis:

\[ H_0: \text{The performance will persist, that is, there is a momentum effect of performances} \]

Mimicking to Carhart (1997), we will calculate the monthly performance of each station in the first half-year and take average of the monthly performances. Since the total revenues are correlated to the size of the station, i.e. a station with higher revenue may result from more workers or more cargos. Since the value per person is defined the ratio of total revenue to the employees, which measures the value created by each worker in every station. Similarly, the value per cargo is defined the
ratio of total revenue to the cargos, which measures the value created by each cargo in every station. Therefore, our measurements are the values created by each person and each cargo, denoted by VPP and VPC, respectively, to eliminate the size effect of station.

3. Methodologies

3.1. Comparative tests

Here, we will compare the average performance of each comparative group to distinguish their difference. We may use the comparative tests suggested by Lee and Lee (2011) to compare the difference of between two or more groups, such as, north versus south, metropolitan versus urban, and Winners vs. Losers, etc. Lee and Lee (2011) compared the financial performance of the logistic companies in Korea, Japan, and China. They found that the logistic companies in China are the highest growth than that of Korea and Japan, but the worst efficiency. Kumari and Priya (2017) investigated the factors that affect the managerial employees’ commitment to the bank performance using comparative analysis of the 633 managers of banking sector located in Uttar Pradesh, the most populous state in the Republic of India. Using the statistical testing hypothesis, we may find the performances between groups whether significantly different, or not.

3.2. Multivariate regression models

Beside the comparative tests, we also adopt the multivariate regression analysis to study these issues. Differently, the comparative tests can only find the difference between two groups, but the multivariate regression analysis will reveal the reasons of the existence of the difference. To investigate the difference, we will imbed some explanatory variables to the multivariate regression model. We can classify the data into some groups using some categorical variables (dummy), Location.

Moreover, the size of the station is also an important factor for generating revenues. As the discussion in Ho, Wang, and Shieh (2016), they classified the Taiwanese electronics firms into three categories by the firm’s size. Here, we use the number of workers and cargos, respectively, to proxy the station’s size. The more the workers or cargos, the larger the station’s size. And as discussion in Yogi (2015), the operating performance of logistic firm is also affected by their business model: delivery business or receiving business. Such that, the revenue generated by their business should also be considered.

The relationship of the company’s performance and explanatory variables can be given as follows:

\[ y_t = f(\text{Revenue}, \text{Location}, \text{Size}, X_t), \]

where \( y_t \) is the operational performance of the company in the \( t \)th month, i.e. the revenue per item and revenue per package, respectively. And \( X_t \) is the control variable.

Our results of the multivariate regression analysis are reasonable and fruitful. In the results of multivariate regression analysis, we may find the factors that affect the performance of the firm; we also can evaluate the magnitude of each factor to the firm’s performance. And by the dummy variables, we can compare the difference between the groups, difference of performance or the composition of performance.

4. Empirical analysis

4.1. Data and its descriptive statistics

Our empirical data are collected from CF Express Co., Ltd., an affiliated company of Taiwan well-known and branded logistics company. It was established at 1954/12/21 and was a pure domestic logistic company in Taiwan before 2008/11/21. After that, it becomes a member of the international group in Malaysia. Its IPO on Taiwan Security Exchange was at 1990/12/20.
The CF Express was established at 1984/12/20 and its service packages include international express services encompassing Taiwan, China, and Hong Kong, domestic same-day delivery, air express to and from Penghu and Kinmen, payment acceptance, and intra-urban express service. We collect the CF Express’s monthly performance data from April 2010 to April 2014. There are 49 months data and 2672 station-months data. All the summary statistics are listed in the Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, we may find that the patterns of delivery services are similar to that of the reception services. The value of each delivery service is almost equal to that of corresponding reception service. Moreover, in the Figure 1(A) and 1(B), the delivery service and reception service have a growth in January and September, but a rainfall in February.

Traditionally, the Chinese New Year holiday is usually at the end of January to early of February. Traditionally, the Chinese will purchase new products or buy gifts for their friends before the Chinese New Year Eve. Moreover, every company will hold a year-end feast and a lucky-draw to all stuffs. Such that, it can be observed the growth of delivery and reception services and then increase its operating performance. After the New Year holiday, consumer spending fell sharply, and the volume of delivery and reception inclined, therefore, resulting in the company’s operating performance decreased.

In addition, the pattern of the delivery service and reception service is similar in Figure 1(A) and 1(B). It coincides with the results of Table 1.

4.2. Comparative tests

Here, we want to investigate the station’s performances will vary depending on the business location. As the discussion in Shukla, Sharma, and Thumar (2016), a partnership will be a helpful relationship to promote the development quality agriculture and infrastructure. Such that, the higher the quality of infrastructure, the more the agribusiness development. It should be the same in the logistic industry.

4.2.1. Performances persistence

According to Gorane and Kant (2017), they used operational performance, customer satisfaction, and financial performance to be the proxies of supply chain organization performance. To investigate the performance persistency, we sort the values per cargo and per worker, respectively. Next, we find the top-10 stations to form the “Winners” and the bottom-10 stations to form the “Losers.”

Table 1. The summary statistics of monthly data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panel A: Delivery services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2,471,196</td>
<td>263,720.8</td>
<td>1,591,363</td>
<td>3,019,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Package</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6,685,520</td>
<td>761,918.3</td>
<td>4,363,433</td>
<td>8,609,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (tons)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>173,378</td>
<td>18,970</td>
<td>111,479</td>
<td>218,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue (1,000NT$)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>444,846</td>
<td>54,386</td>
<td>279,775</td>
<td>560,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel B: Reception services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2,445,150</td>
<td>264,757.4</td>
<td>1,588,515</td>
<td>2,892,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Package</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6,570,159</td>
<td>764,020.5</td>
<td>4,256,882</td>
<td>8,239,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (tons)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>180,524</td>
<td>19,765</td>
<td>116,629</td>
<td>218,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue (1,000NT$)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>439,180</td>
<td>52,220</td>
<td>284,250</td>
<td>541,338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The company’s monthly operating performance can be measured from thee aspects: Number, Package, and Weight of the service business. Number is the monthly total number of packages, Package is the monthly total packages, and Weight is the monthly total weight of packages, in tons. Moreover, Revenue is the monthly income of the company generated by the operating services. Panel A is for the delivery services, panel B is for the reception services, respectively. The data period is from July 2011 to June 2014.

Source: CF Express Co., Ltd.
Observing the three-year data (six half-year data), we can find the change of the composition of each group. Figure 2(A) and 2(B) show the average values of “Winners” and “Losers.” The average workers and cargos in the winners group (solid lines) are flatter than that in the losers group (dashed lines). There is a rainfall of “Losers” in the 2012. It might be a lay-off of employees in 2012 result from the China’s economic growth slow. The revenues from delivery services and reception services also decline in the same period. However, the company recruited number of employees and purchased cargos in the next year. So the value created by the cargo increased in 2013.

On the other hand, as shown in Figure 2(B), the pattern of average values created by the cargo (VPC) and values created by the worker (VPP) are different in the two groups (solid lines for the “Winners” and dash lines for the “Losers”). It is obvious that the average values created by the cargo and values created by the worker of the “Winners” are higher than that of the “Losers,” respectively. Hence, we can test the following hypothesis to investigate the property of performance persistence:

**Hypothesis I (A):** The winners are still well-performed

**Hypothesis I (B):** The losers are still poor-performed
Reassigning the number of each station, we want to test whether two samples in the consecutive two periods for the same group are different. The two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test which is applied to test whether the two sample data come from the same distribution, can be used to test it.

According to the Table 2, we may find the hypothesis I(A) is significant at 5% level. That is, a station which is good performance will be still well performed in the following half-year. In our sample, we may observe that the higher the efficiency of the working environment, the higher the station’s performance, such as the Airport Office Station and Linkou Station. They are located nearby the Taiwan Taoyuan International Airport and the highway. Also, the company incurs more robots in these two stations to promote efficiency.

On the other hand, as shown in the Table 3, we may also find the hypothesis I(B) is significant at 10% level using the two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests. That is, a station which is worse performance will be still unperformed in the following half-year. This result is coincide with Wang, Gunasekaran, Ngai, and Papadopoulos (2016), Rahman, Esmailpour, and Zhao (2016). In our sample, there are two types of “Loser.” One is located in the area with lower development of infrastructure, such as the Puli, Kingman, and Penghu three stations. The lower the development of infrastructure, the lower the station’s performance. Although these business stations are either located in the...
Table 2. The “Winners” of station’s semi-annual performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Airport Office</td>
<td>Airport Office</td>
<td>Airport Office</td>
<td>Airport Office</td>
<td>Airport Office</td>
<td>Airport Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Zhongli</td>
<td>Dafa</td>
<td>Hualien</td>
<td>Linkou</td>
<td>Hualien</td>
<td>Linkou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dafa</td>
<td>Linkou</td>
<td>Linkou</td>
<td>Dafa</td>
<td>Linkou</td>
<td>Hualien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Linkou</td>
<td>Zhongli</td>
<td>Zhongli</td>
<td>Hualien</td>
<td>Dafa</td>
<td>Guanyin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tainan</td>
<td>Tainan</td>
<td>Dafa</td>
<td>Zhongli</td>
<td>Taishan</td>
<td>Taishan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hualien</td>
<td>Gangshan</td>
<td>Gangshan</td>
<td>Gangshan</td>
<td>Tainan</td>
<td>Gangshan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anping</td>
<td>Shulin</td>
<td>Taishan</td>
<td>Tainan</td>
<td>Guanyin</td>
<td>Beigang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lukang</td>
<td>Lukang</td>
<td>Tainan</td>
<td>Taishan</td>
<td>Gangshan</td>
<td>Dafa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Taishan</td>
<td>Taishan</td>
<td>Shulin</td>
<td>Beigang</td>
<td>Zhangli</td>
<td>Tainan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Qianzhen</td>
<td>Hualien</td>
<td>Qianzhen</td>
<td>Lukang</td>
<td>Shulin</td>
<td>Zhangli</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Thousands of NT$)

- VPP: 158.16 222.06 208.52 222.08 211.19
- VPC: 287.84 459.79 383.14 440.89 461.11
- Delivery Revenue: 7,332.32 11,094.04 12,092.92 11,177.04 11,683.66
- Reception Revenue: 7,463.03 10,448.91 11,036.40 10,635,688 11,141.58
- Workers: 49.7 52.9 51.6 52.5 51.3
- Cargos: 31.8 33.6 32.8 31.6 30.9

Notes: We sort the station’s half-year revenue per worker and per cargo, respectively. Next, collect the top-10 stations to form the “Winner” group.
Source: CF Express Co., Ltd.

Table 3. The “Losers” of station’s semi-annual performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Songshan</td>
<td>Puli</td>
<td>Songshan</td>
<td>Songshan</td>
<td>Penghu</td>
<td>Puli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Xindian</td>
<td>Songshan</td>
<td>Chaohou</td>
<td>Penghu</td>
<td>Songshan</td>
<td>Penghu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kinmen</td>
<td>Xindian</td>
<td>Taichung</td>
<td>Taichung</td>
<td>Xindian</td>
<td>Sanchong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Taichung</td>
<td>Kinmen</td>
<td>Xindian</td>
<td>Xindian</td>
<td>Chaohou</td>
<td>Songshan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Zhonghe</td>
<td>Taichung</td>
<td>Puli</td>
<td>Taichung</td>
<td>Beigang</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Keelung</td>
<td>Zhonghe</td>
<td>Kinmen</td>
<td>Puli</td>
<td>Sanchong</td>
<td>Xindian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Beilou</td>
<td>Penghu</td>
<td>Tainzong</td>
<td>Kinmen</td>
<td>Puli</td>
<td>Zhanghe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Xinying</td>
<td>Chaohou</td>
<td>Keelung</td>
<td>Sanchong</td>
<td>Kinmen</td>
<td>Taichung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Puli</td>
<td>Keelung</td>
<td>Penghu</td>
<td>Zhonghe</td>
<td>Tianzong</td>
<td>Chaohou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sanchong</td>
<td>Sanchong</td>
<td>Zhanghe</td>
<td>Tianzong</td>
<td>Keelung</td>
<td>Keelung</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average (Thousands of NT$)

- VPP: 130.46 146.17 134.94
- VPC: 195.19 228.60 206.00
- Delivery Revenue: 8,901.81 9,462.26 9,950.76
- Reception Revenue: 9,285.97 9,344.82 10,892.74
- Workers: 65.7 63.1 62.2 67.7
- Cargos: 43.3 40.6 39.0 44.1

Notes: We sort the station’s half-year revenue per worker and per cargo, respectively. Next, collect the bottom-10 stations to form the “Loser” group.
Source: CF Express Co., Ltd.
outlying islands or remote mountainous areas, where may have lower cost of land or labor, but it does not have sufficient population concentration, so that cannot generate more revenue.

Second, the stations are located in the metropolitan areas, for instance, Songshan, Zhonghe, Xindian, Sanchong, and Taichung 5 stations. Geometrically, these five stations are located in the Taipei City, New Taipei City, and Taichung City, respectively, where are the metropolitan areas in Taiwan. It is an interesting result: they are located in the convenient, population concentrated, and infrastructure high-developed areas, while they are poor performed. This result is different to the prior studies. There are several reasons should be considered: costly land, heavy traffic problem, and lined alleys. Although the higher the density of the population can generate more receptions, however, the city's business station premises of the high rent, high housing tax, high land value-added tax, etc., which will erode its income. Therefore, it cannot be out of the performance of backwardness. And the narrow lanes and heavy traffic in the metropolitan areas will increase the inconvenience of transporting goods, and the possibility of accident in the delivering process. Such that it should result in increasing the delivery time and cost. All that will erode its revenue and make the station performed worse.

Consequently, the policy-makers can provide incentives to improve the working environment and then promote station's performance. They can provide the logistics industry to set up the stations in the vicinity of the metropolitan areas by improving the infrastructure, modifying the land use policies, setting the supply chain areas, tax incentives. As the results in Rivera, Gilgor, and Sheffi (2016), the logistics clustering will generating several aspects of benefit, such as, collaboration-related benefits, offering of value-added services, career mobility for the logistics workforce within the cluster, and promotes job growth at multiple levels within the cluster. Furthermore, as the implications of Ajamieh, Benitez, Braojos, and Gelhard (2016), they can introduce green energy vehicles and IT industry and the robots to replace the manpower for reducing company's personnel costs, can make the logistics industry more vigorous development and create economic prosperity.

4.2.2. Tests for location advantages

In general, the metropolitan areas, such as Taipei, New Taipei, Taoyuan, Taichung, and Kaohsiung, most of them are located in the north area of Taiwan and are closed to the capital of Taiwan, except Kaohsiung City. They all have a higher quality of transportation infrastructure than the other cities in Taiwan, such as Expressway Network, High Speed Railway, and MRT system, etc. Besides that, there are higher house prices and living standard in metropolitan, thereby the costs of living are more expensive and has a higher land tax in metropolitan. So the business stations in the area will incur a higher operating cost. In addition, traffic in the metropolitan area is also higher, it will affect the traffic when the drivers either load or unload the bulk of goods, which may also cause cargo damage or traffic accidents. According to Ghoseiri, Szidarovszky, and Asgharpour (2004), they defined three major problem levels of the station decision: (1) long-run strategic for 5–20 years; (2) a midterm tactical for 1–5 years, and (3) short-term operational up to only one year. And in Repolho, Church, and Antunes (2016), they applied a mixed-integer linear programming approach to solve the station location problem under the three different levels. Since the station location decision is not only a compete strategy, but also affects to the operation performance of each business station and so to the whole enterprise (Figure 3).

According to the above discussion, we found that the performance of each station has different patterns. There may be some difference of performance in north, central, and south area of Taiwan. Hence, we will test the following sub-hypothesis:

Hypothesis II (A): The performances of south station are higher than that of north station

Hypothesis II (B): The performances of metropolitan station are higher than that of non-metropolitan station
4.3. Empirical regression results

Next, we adopt the multivariate regression models to find the factors that affect the station’s performance. Consider the following regression model:

\[ y_{it} = a_i + \beta_i X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}, \]  
(2)

where, \( y \) is the operational performance of \( i \) station in \( t \) month, here, revenue per number and revenue per package, respectively. \( X \) is the explanatory variables vector, i.e.

\[ X \equiv [\text{Fee, VPP, VPC, SIZE, Area_id}]. \]  
(3)

Here, VPP and VPC are the value created by worker and by cargo, respectively; SIZE is the size of each station, the number of employees and cargos. And the Area_id is a dummy variable that the station locates in which area. We categorize the data into two categories, one is 3-zone dummy (0 for north, 1 for central, and 2 for south and east of Taiwan), and the others are 8-distinct, ranging from 1 (north) to 8 (south and east of Taiwan). Fee is the delivery revenue (\( \text{Fee}_d \)) or reception revenue (\( \text{Fee}_r \)).

In this study, we take logarithmic value of the revenues to avoid the scale effect and eliminate the multicollinearity.

The fruitful regression results are shown in Tables 4 and 5. In the Table 4, we may find that the revenue per number is increasing as cargo increases, but decreasing as the employee increases.

Table 5, although the revenue per package is increasing as cargo and employee increase, but employee is partially insignificant. Such that, increasing of the station size is not necessary to increase the revenue. Moreover, the revenue per number and revenue per package are positively correlated to the location of the station.

Moreover, we find that the more southern the stations, the more revenues they generate. These results are consistent to the results using the comparative tests. In the southern of Taiwan, there are
more agricultural areas rather than industrial areas. And, it is very inconvenient in public transporta-
tion system in the urban of southern of Taiwan. Such that, the farmers can only transport their own
crops to the nearest township agricultural marketing cooperatives by themselves. As the long-dis-
tance delivery, they have to rely on the logistic firms. Thus, the drivers will have advantages that they
knew where the farmers are and how the quality of crops by chatting with the farmers. Such that,
the station's manager may ask their drivers to contact with the farmers and to do the delivery busi-
ness for generating more profit. It coincides with the suggestions in Kantanen, Julkunen, Hiltunen,
and Nickell (2017). Moreover, these results are also strong evidence of location advantage for the
business stations which supports the discussion of Hernández and Pedersen (2017)

Table 4. Regression results of the monthly revenue per number regressing on the explanatory
variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model I</th>
<th>Model II</th>
<th>Model III</th>
<th>Model IV</th>
<th>Model V</th>
<th>Model VI</th>
<th>Model VII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>934.32***</td>
<td>942.86***</td>
<td>886.44***</td>
<td>741.70***</td>
<td>757.78***</td>
<td>962.04***</td>
<td>797.36***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(30.97)</td>
<td>(29.46)</td>
<td>(31.43)</td>
<td>(30.09)</td>
<td>(30.73)</td>
<td>(28.52)</td>
<td>(28.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnDelRev</td>
<td>−23.8***</td>
<td>−23.8***</td>
<td>−19.5***</td>
<td>−9.83***</td>
<td>−6.57***</td>
<td>−20.08***</td>
<td>−7.84***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.91)</td>
<td>(1.91)</td>
<td>(1.99)</td>
<td>(1.91)</td>
<td>(1.98)</td>
<td>(1.98)</td>
<td>(1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnRecRev</td>
<td>−24.2***</td>
<td>−24.2***</td>
<td>−25.0***</td>
<td>−27.0***</td>
<td>−26.9***</td>
<td>−33.07***</td>
<td>−34.14***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.07)</td>
<td>(1.07)</td>
<td>(1.06)</td>
<td>(0.99)</td>
<td>(0.99)</td>
<td>(0.97)</td>
<td>(0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPP (Value per Worker)</td>
<td>0.0006***</td>
<td>0.0006***</td>
<td>0.0004***</td>
<td>0.0000***</td>
<td>0.0000***</td>
<td>0.0000***</td>
<td>0.0000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0004)</td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPC (Value per Cargo)</td>
<td>−0.0002***</td>
<td>−0.0002***</td>
<td>−0.0001***</td>
<td>−0.0000***</td>
<td>−0.0000***</td>
<td>−0.0000***</td>
<td>−0.0000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>−1.20***</td>
<td>−1.44***</td>
<td>−1.17***</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.62***</td>
<td>−0.62***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
<td>(0.22)</td>
<td>(0.23)</td>
<td>(0.22)</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cargos</td>
<td>0.65***</td>
<td>2.34***</td>
<td>2.68***</td>
<td>2.13***</td>
<td>0.84***</td>
<td>1.39***</td>
<td>1.39***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.70)</td>
<td>(0.35)</td>
<td>(0.32)</td>
<td>(0.33)</td>
<td>(0.31)</td>
<td>(0.29)</td>
<td>(0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area_id</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.27***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.62***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−52.6***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−52.6***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−45.6***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−45.6***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. R²</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs.</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>1852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The regression models are given as follows:

\[ \text{Rev\_per\_Number}_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \cdot \text{LnDelRev}_t + \beta_2 \cdot \text{LnRecRev}_t + \delta_1 \cdot \text{VPP}_t + \delta_2 \cdot \text{VPC}_t + \theta_1 \cdot \text{Cargos}_t + \theta_2 \cdot \text{Workers}_t + \lambda \cdot \text{Location}_t + \epsilon_t, \]

where, Rev\_per\_Number is the dependent variable and stands for the station's monthly revenue per number of package. LnDelRev and LnRecRev are the logarithmic values of fees generated by delivery service and reception service, respectively. Workers and Cargos are the proxy measures of the station's size. VPP and VPC are the monthly revenue deflated by the station's size. Area_id is a location variable that ranges from 0 to 7 when the business station locates in north to in east areas of Taiwan. We also categorize the business stations in three groups, North, Central, South, and East. The benchmark is North. The values in the parentheses are the standard error of each estimate.

Source: CF Express Co., Ltd.

***Stands for the 5% significant level.

more agricultural areas rather than industrial areas. And, it is very inconvenient in public transporta-
tion system in the urban of southern of Taiwan. Such that, the farmers can only transport their own
crops to the nearest township agricultural marketing cooperatives by themselves. As the long-dis-
tance delivery, they have to rely on the logistic firms. Thus, the drivers will have advantages that they
knew where the farmers are and how the quality of crops by chatting with the farmers. Such that,
the station's manager may ask their drivers to contact with the farmers and to do the delivery busi-
ness for generating more profit. It coincides with the suggestions in Kantanen, Julkunen, Hiltunen,
and Nickell (2017). Moreover, these results are also strong evidence of location advantage for the
business stations which supports the discussion of Hernández and Pedersen (2017)
5. Concluding remarks

With globalization, international trade transactions increasingly warm. And the goal of supply chain management is to link the marketplace, the distribution network, the manufacturing process, and the procurement activity in such a way that customers are serviced at higher levels and at a lower total cost. The logistics plays an important role to the multinational enterprises. Our study handy collected the monthly performances from the CF Express Co., Ltd. It is a well-known and branded logistic company in Taiwan.

Our findings are fruitful. Generally, the purchasing power in the metropolitan area should be higher than the non-metropolitan areas. Infrastructure and transport facilities in the metropolitan area are more hi-tech than that of the non-metropolitan areas. Hence, the performances of the urban stations are thought to be higher than the performances of the non-metropolitan stations. However, as shown in Table 3, the results are precisely the opposite of this idea. We find that, in Taiwan, the

\[
\text{Rev}_{\text{per Package}} = \alpha + \beta_{\text{DelRev}} \cdot \text{LnDelRev} + \beta_{\text{RecRev}} \cdot \text{LnRecRev} + \beta_{\text{VPP}} \cdot \text{VPP} + \beta_{\text{VPC}} \cdot \text{VPC} + \beta_{\text{Workers}} \cdot \text{Workers} + \beta_{\text{Cargos}} \cdot \text{Cargos} + \beta_{\text{Area}} \cdot \text{Location} + \epsilon
\]

where, \(\text{Rev}_{\text{per Package}}\) is the dependent variable and stands for the station’s monthly revenue per package. LnDelRev and LnRecRev are the logarithmic values of fees generated by delivery service and reception service, respectively. Workers and Cargos are the proxy measures of the station’s size. VPP and VPC are the monthly revenue deflated by the station’s size. Area is a location variable that ranges from 0 to 7 when the business station locates in north to in east areas of Taiwan. We also categorize the business stations in three groups, North, Central, South, and East. The benchmark is North. The values in the parentheses are the standard error of each estimate.

Source: CF Express Co., Ltd.

***Stands for the 5% significant level.
higher the urbanization, the more the convenient traffic, the lower the performance of its business stations. It was consistent to the results in Cepolina and Farina (2015).

On the other hand, we find an interesting result that the persistence of the station’s performance. As the discussion in finance and accounting, applying the Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests, our result (Tables 2 and 3) shows that the well-performed stations (Winner) will still have a better performance in the following period; the poor-performed stations (Loser) will stay in the worst group. Analyzing the size of each station, we find that the more compact operating station, its contribution to the company’s performance higher. Although the larger size stations will generate higher reception, yet, its huge labor costs and maintenance cost of vehicles will erode the revenue. This result coincides to the conclusion of Lam and Bai (2016). Such that, the executives responds to various business station for proper planning to strike a more effective operation and performance.

Our empirical results can also be extended to the logistic companies in the emerging markets and transition economies. In addition to providing logistics professionals in the effective management of performance, and can give the government policy-makers in the industry promotion a substantive planning direction. Policy-makers can provide the logistics industry to set up the stations in the vicinity of the metropolitan areas by improving the infrastructure, modifying the land use policies, setting the supply chain areas, tax incentives. Furthermore, they can introduce the IT industry and the robots to replace the manpower for reducing company’s personnel costs. And in the future, as stated in Rahman et al. (2016), the logistics companies may incur machine learning with big data to find more effective stations location and to improve their services performance, can make the logistics industry more vigorous development and create economic prosperity.

Funding
The authors received no direct funding for this research.

Author details
Chiu-Ming Hsiao1,2
E-mail: shiaucm@gmail.com
Lai Pin-Reuy3
E-mail: 10316@mail.sunfar.com.tw
Sun Li-Yun4
E-mail: likelihood@kimo.com
Tsai Yun-Jean4
E-mail: ghost762431@gmail.com
1 Department of Finance, National Yunlin University of Science and Technology, No. 123, Sec. 3, University Rd., Douliu, Yunlin County 640, Taiwan (R.O.C.).
2 Graduate Institute of Finance, National Chung Cheng University, No. 168, Sec. 1, University Rd., Minhsing Township, Chiayi County 621, Taiwan (R.O.C.).
3 Graduate Institute of Economics, National Chung Cheng University, Chiayi, Taiwan (R.O.C.).

Citation information
Cite this article as: The location advantages and persistence of the performance for the Taiwan logistic company: A case study, Chiu-Ming Hsiao, Lai Pin-Reuy, Sun Li-Yun & Tsai Yun-Jean, Cogent Business & Management (2018), 5: 1422961.

Notes
1. See the website is https://www.cogentanalytics.com/3-hot-topics-transportation-logistics-industry/ on 2017/07/25.
2. See Gunasekaran, Subramanian, and Papadopoulos (2017). They investigated the competitive advantages of logistics by implementing the information technology (IT).
5. As discussed in Richardson, Sloan, Saliman, and Tuna (2005), Dichev and Tang (2009), Frankel and Litov (2009), and Chen, Folsom, Paek, and Sami (2014).
6. More discussion and applications can be found in Daniel (1990).
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