

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Asongu, Simplice; Nwachukwu, Jacinta C.

## **Working Paper**

Mitigating externalities of terrorism on tourism: Global evidence from police, security officers and armed service personnel

AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/18/036

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé, Cameroon

Suggested Citation: Asongu, Simplice; Nwachukwu, Jacinta C. (2018): Mitigating externalities of terrorism on tourism: Global evidence from police, security officers and armed service personnel, AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/18/036, African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/204968

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



## AGDI Working Paper

## WP/18/036

# Mitigating externalities of terrorism on tourism: global evidence from police, security officers and armed service personnel

Forthcoming: Current Issues in Tourism

## Simplice A. Asongu

Development Finance Centre, Graduate School of Business, University of Cape Town Cape Town South Africa.

Department of Economics & Development Studies, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria E-mails: asongusimplice@yahoo.com / asongus@afridev.org

## Jacinta C. Nwachukwu

Lancashire School of Business and Enterprise, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, PR2 2HE, United Kingdom

Email: j.ch.nwachukwu@gmail.com

## Research Department

## Mitigating externalities of terrorism on tourism: global evidence from police, security officers and armed service personnel

## Simplice A. Asongu & Jacinta C. Nwachukwu

## January 2018

#### **Abstract**

In this paper, we investigate the role of security officers, the police and armed service personnel in dampening the effect of terrorism externalities on tourist arrivals. The temporal and geographic scopes are respectively 2010-2015 and 163 countries. Four terrorism measurements are used. They include the number of: incidents, injuries, fatalities and property damages. The main findings indicate that armed service personnel can effectively be used to modulate the damaging influence of all four terrorism externalities in order to achieve a positive net effect on tourist arrivals. Conversely, the corresponding moderating role of security officers and the police is not statistically significant. Moreover, violent demonstrations and homicides have a harmful effect on tourist arrivals while the number of incarcerations displays the opposite effect. Policy implications are discussed.

JEL Classification: D74; Z32; Z38

Keywords: Terrorism; Peace; Tourism

## 1. Introduction

The positioning of this study builds on three main tendencies in academic and policymaking circles. They are: (i) the growing challenge of terrorism across the world, (ii) the policy relevance of tackling the consequences of terrorist attacks on economic development outcomes and (iii) gaps in the tourism literature.

First, with respect to the evolving challenge of terrorism, in recent decades, the phenomena of terrorism has been on the rise across the globe. The burgeoning phenomenon has partly been fueled by the 2011 Arab Spring and corresponding negative externalities (GTI, 2014; Asongu et al., 2018a).

Second, the policy importance of addressing the negative externalities associated with terrorism fundamentally builds on corresponding costs and consequences. On the one hand, terrorists' activities inflict substantial adverse consequences on economic development outcomes. On the other, the cost of fighting terrorism is relevant to policy because the alternative investments forgone could be spent within the framework of socio-economic investments that are imperative for the achievement of sustainable development goals in the post-2015 development agenda.

Third, the literature on tourism has largely focused on the determinants of tourism without investigating how the destructive influence of such violent activities could effectively be mitigated with policy variables (Saha & Yap, 2013; Alvarez & Campo, 2014; Mehmood et al., 2016). This study departs from this existing literature by focusing on how the adverse consequences of policy syndromes (such as terrorism externalities) on tourism could be effectively modulated with policy variables (in terms of the forces of law and order). Four policy syndromes in terms of terrorist outcomes are selected, involving the number of: incidents, injuries, fatalities, and property damages. In addition, the modulating impact of two main policy variables are investigated, namely (i) security officers and the police and (ii) armed service personnel. Hence, there is an underpinning assumption that good governance practices embodied within the framework of the forces of law and order helps to lessen the potentially adverse consequences of terrorism. Therefore, the research question which the study aims to answer is: "can the forces of law and order effectively modulate the effect of terrorism externalities on tourist arrivals across the world?"

The closest strand of the literature to the present study has focused on using foreign aid policy to limit the consequences of terrorism on macroeconomic variables (Bandyopadhyay *et al.*, 2014; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017). We deviate from this stream of literature by focusing on internal policies and the tourism sector.

## 2. Data and methodology

The study focuses on a sample of 163 countries using data for the period 2010 to 2015. The data is obtained from a plethora of sources disclosed in T able 1. The choice of geographical and temporal scopes of the study is contingent on data availability constraints. This justification is in accordance with recent literature that has used the same dataset (Asongu, 2018; Asongu & Acha-Anyi, 2018).

The dependent variable is the number of tourist arrivals. The insecurity variables or policy syndromes are captured with four main terrorism externalities, namely the number of:

incidents, injuries, fatalities and property damages. The modulating policy variables are the forces of law and order comprising: (i) security officers and the police and (ii) armed service personnel. The selection of these policy syndromes and policy variables is motivated by the literature on the determinants of violence, crimes, terrorism and tourism (see Freytag *et al.*, 2011; GPI, 2016; Asongu & Kodila-Tedika, 2016, 2017; Asongu et al., 2018). The adopted control variables include: homicide, violent demonstrations and incarcerations<sup>1</sup>. These indicators are consistent with the extant studies on tourist arrivals (Saha & Yap, 2013; Alvarez & Campo, 2014; Mehmood *et al.*, 2016). The first-two variables (i.e. homicide and violent demonstrations) are anticipated to negatively influence tourist arrivals while the third (i.e. incarcerations) is expected to positively affect tourism. This is essentially because the first-two increase perceived risk to life in the destination country whereas the third reduces the perceived risk.

**Table 1: Definition of variables** 

Variables	Definitions of variables and sources						
Tourism	The number of tourists arrivals						
Security Officers & Police	Number of internal security officers and police per 100,000 people UNODC; EIU estimates						
Armed Services Personnel	Number of armed services personnel per 100,000 people The Military Balance, IISS						
Terrorism incidents							
Terrorism fatalities	Logarithm (1+ base) of Total number of fatalities caused by terrorists in a given year						
Terrorism injuries	Logarithm (1+ base) of Total number of injuries caused by terrorists in a given year						
Terrorism-related property damages	Logarithm (1+ base) of the measure of the total property damage from terrorist incidents in a given year.						
Homicides	Number of homicides per 100,000 people United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) Surveys on Crime Trends and the Operations of Criminal Justice Systems (CTS); EIU estimates						
Incarceration	Number of jailed population per 100,000 people World Prison Brief, International Centre for Prison Studies, University of Essex						
Violent demonstrations	Likelihood of violent demonstrations Qualitative assessment by EIU analysts						

Uppsala Conflict Data Program (UCDP). The Institute for Economics and Peace (IEP). The Economic Intelligence Unit (EIU). United Nations Peacekeeping Funding (UNPKF). GDP: Gross Domestic Product. The International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS).

Table 1 provides the definitions and sources of variables. We note that the summary statistics of the selected variables indicate that standard deviation of the dependent variable is much higher than the mean. Such evidence of over-dispersion of data on the outcome variable

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By incarcerations, the study refers to all types of lawful convictions (i.e. those related to terrorist activity as well as those related to drug, petty thefts, *inter alia*).

suggests that a Negative Binomial model is a more appropriate estimation technique. Consistent with Choi and Luo (2013) and Choi (2015), a Negative Binomial regression is used because the data is positively skewed. Moreover, in line with recent literature, the independent variables are lagged by one year in order to correct for potential endogeneity (Mlachila *et al.*, 2017). Owing to lack of space, the summary statistics, correlation matrix and model specification are available upon request.

## 3. Empirical results

This section presents and discusses the empirical findings. Whereas Table 2 shows findings pertaining to security officers and the police, those in Table 3 relate to armed service personnel.

Table 2: Negative binomial regressions with security officers and police

Tuble 201 (eguil (e billioni	Dependent variable: Number of Tourist Arrivals							
Constant	Without control variables				With control variables			
	15.606*** (0.000)	15.755*** (0.000)	15.641*** (0.000)	15.553*** (0.000)	16.778*** (0.000)	16.633*** (0.000)	16.715*** (0.000)	16.774*** (0.000)
Security Officers & Police(SOP)(-1)	-0.099 (0.368)	-0.0262 (0.812)	-0.028 (0.802)	-0.034 (0.479)	-0.087 (0.352)	0.003 (0.971)	-0.020 (0.828)	-0.017 (0.840)
Terrorism incidents(-1)	0.080 (0.613)				0.073 (0.550)			
Terrorism fatalities(-1)		-0.114 (0.389)				0.665 (0.048)		
Terrorism injuries(-1)			0.029 (0.806)				0.074 (0.452)	
Terrorism-related property damages(-1)				0.132 (0.500)				0.123 (0.482)
Terrorism incidents×SOP(-1)	0.077 (0.170)				0.082* (0.066)			
Terrorism fatalities×SOP(-1)		0.065 (0.154)				0.038 (0.333)		
Terrorism injuries×SOP(-1)			0.039 (0.346)				0.035 (0.303)	
Terrorism-related property damages×SOP(-1)				0.064				0.075
				(0.345)				(0.170)
Homicides(-1)					-0.508*** (0.000)	-0.583*** (0.000)	-0.556*** (0.000)	-0.523*** (0.000)
Incarceration(-1)					0.641*** (0.000)	0.688*** (0.000)	0.664*** (0.000)	0.645*** (0.000)
Violent demonstrations(-1)					-0.463*** (0.000)	-0.390*** (0.000)	-0.421*** (0.000)	-0.454*** (0.000)
Armed Services Personnel(-1)					-0.137 (0.187)	-0.101 (0.355)	-0.118 (0.274)	-0.177* (0.090)
Net Effects	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
Log likelihood Likelihood Ratio (LR) Chi-Square Alpha Observations	-9569.354 <b>54.99</b> *** <b>1.853</b> *** 580	-9593.458 <b>6.78*</b> <b>1.962***</b> 580	-9586.805 20.09*** 1.932*** 580	-9576.902 <b>39.89</b> *** <b>1.887</b> *** 580	-9443.501 <b>306.70</b> *** <b>1.361</b> *** 580	-9470.4 <b>252.90</b> *** <b>1.456</b> *** 580	-9462.947 <b>267.80</b> *** <b>1.429</b> *** 580	-9451.660 <b>290.38</b> *** <b>1.389</b> *** 580

\*\*\*,\*\*,\*: significance levels at 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. Mean value of Security Officers & Police: 2.728. Min and Maximum values of Security Officers & Police are respectively 1.081 and 5.000. na: not applicable due to the insignificance of unconditional effects of insecurity variables and/or conditional effect from the interaction between the security policy variable and insecurity variables.

In both tables, the first panel is concerned with estimations that do not involve controls variables, while the second panel includes a conditioning information set. In order to examine the importance of forces of law and order in reducing the potential adverse impact of terrorism externalities on tourism, corresponding net effects are computed in conformity with arguments in recent literature (Tchamyou, 2018).

Table 3: Negative binomial regressions with armed service personnel

	Daniel des source personner								
Constant	Dependent variable: Number of Tourist Arrivals								
	Without control variables				With control variables				
	14.655*** (0.000)	15.289*** (0.000)	14.953*** (0.000)	14.932*** (0.000)	16.165*** (0.000)	16.338*** (0.000)	16.305*** (0.000)	16.343*** (0.000)	
Armed Services Personnel (ASP) (-1)	0.411** (0.013)	0.241 (0.133)	0.376** (0.024)	0.318** (0.043)	0.020 (0.876)	-0.037 (0.760)	0.00008	-0.058 (0.638)	
Terrorism incidents(-1)	0.659***	(0.133)		(0.043)	0.454***	(0.760)	(1.000)	(0.038)	
Terrorism fatalities(-1)	(0.000)	0.138 (0.379)			(0.000)	0.217** (0.012)			
Terrorism injuries(-1)		(0.379)	0.397*** (0.000)				0.279*** (0.000)		
Terrorism-related property damages(-1)				0.707*** (0.000)				0.497*** (0.000)	
Terrorism incidents×ASP(-1)	-0.209*** (0.002)				-0.088* (0.069)				
Terrorism fatalities×ASP(-1)		-0.039 (0.662)				-0.039 (0.399)			
Terrorism injuries×ASP(-1)			-0.150** (0.014)				-0.061 (0.121)		
Terrorism-related property damages×ASP(-1)				-0.220***				-0.091	
-				(0.005)				(0.104)	
Homicides(-1)					-0.498*** (0.000)	-0.577*** (0.000)	-0.545*** (0.000)	-0.514*** (0.000)	
Incarceration(-1)					0.641*** (0.000)	0.697*** (0.000)	0.667*** (0.000)	0.643*** (0.000)	
Violent demonstrations(-1)					-0.459*** (0.000)	-0.392*** (0.000)	-0.422*** (0.000)	-0.451*** (0.000)	
Security Officers & Police (-1)					0.029 (0.701)	0.063 (0.436)	0.048 (0.547)	0.058 (0.454)	
Net effects	0.314	na	0.149	0.344	0.308	na	na	na	
Log likelihood Likelihood Ratio (LR) Chi-Square Alpha Observations	-9565.688 <b>62.32***</b> <b>1.837***</b> 580	-9593.480 <b>6.74*</b> <b>1.962***</b> 580	-9584.007 <b>25.68***</b> <b>1.919***</b> 580	-9573.867 <b>45.96***</b> <b>1.873***</b> 580	-9443.793 <b>306.11</b> *** <b>1.362</b> *** 580	-9470.558 <b>252.58</b> *** <b>1.456</b> *** 580	-9462.457 <b>268.78</b> *** <b>1.427</b> *** 580	-9451.469 <b>290.76</b> *** <b>1.388</b> *** 580	

\*\*\*,\*\*,\*: significance levels at 1%, 5% and 10% respectively. Armed S. Personnel: Armed Service Personnel. Mean value of Armed Service Personnel: 1.648. Min and Maximum values of Armed Service Personnel are respectively 1.000 and 5.000. na: not applicable due to the insignificance of unconditional effects of insecurity variables and/or conditional effect from the interaction between the security policy variable and insecurity variables.

Given the above emphasis, in the second column of Table 3, the net effect from the role of armed service personnel in modulating the possible adverse effects of terrorism incidences is 0.314 ([-0.209× 1.648] + [0.659]), where: 0.659 is the unconditional effect from terrorist events; 1.648 is the mean value of armed service personneland -0.209 is the conditional effect from the interaction between terrorist attacks and armed service personnel. It is important to note that the effects (unconditional and conditional) should be interpreted from a holistic

perspective in order to comprehensively assess the role of armed service personnel in controlling the possible damaging effects of terrorist incidences on tourist arrivals.

In the light of above computational insights, in Table 2, we may infer that security officers and police do not significantly affect terrorism outcomes in their relationship with tourist arrivals. This is presumably because net effects are not applicable due to consistently insignificant conditional and unconditional effects. Conversely, in Table 3 pertaining to armed service personnel, positive net effects are largely apparent in the relationship between tourist arrivals and three out of our four selected terrorism externalities, notably the number of: incidents, injuries and property damages. Net effects cannot be computed for the interconnections with terrorism fatalities for the same reason as in Table 2.

The significant control variables have the expected signs. Accordingly, in both tables, violent demonstrations and homicides have a negative effect on tourist arrivals while the number of incarcerations displays the opposite effect.

## 4. Concluding implications and future research directions

In this paper, we investigated the role of security officers, the police and armed service personnel in dampening the effect of terrorism externalities on tourist arrivals. From the findings it is obvious that the fight against the possible destructive influence of terrorist attacks on development outcomes is beyond the scope of internal security officers and the police. In other words, security officers and the police by themselves are necessary but not sufficient to mitigate the adverse consequences of terrorism. It is important to note that the police and security officers within the context of the study represent personnel in public agencies whose principal functions are the prevention, detection and investigation of crime and the apprehension of alleged offenders. Conversely, armed service personnel entail all service men and women on full-time duty in the army, navy, air force and joint forces (including conscripts and long-term assignments from the reserves). Thus, our results effectively capture the relevance of a military dimension in the fight against terrorism. To this end, assessing how military expenditure influences the harmful development externalities of terrorism is a worthwhile future research direction.

## References

- Alvarez, M. D. & Campo, S., (2014). "The Influence of Political Conflicts on Country Image and Intention to visit: A Study of Israel's Image." *Tourism Management*, 40(February), pp. 70-78.
- Asongu, S. A., (2018). "Persistence in Incarcerations: Global Comparative Evidence", *Journal of Criminological Research*, *Policy and Practice*,4(2), pp.136-147.
- Asongu, S. A., & Acha-Anyi, P. N., (2018). "The Murder Epidemic: A Global Comparative Study", *International Criminal Justice Review*.DOI: 10.1177/1057567718759584.
- Asongu, S. A., & Kodila-Tedika, O., (2016). "Fighting African conflicts and crimes: which governance tools matter?", *International Journal of Social Economics*, 43(5), pp. 466-485.
- Asongu, S. A., & Kodila-Tedika, O., (2017). "Trade, aid and terror", *International Journal of Development Issues*, 16(1), pp. 2-24.
- Asongu, S. A., Tchamyou, V. S., Minkoua, N, J. R., Asongu, N., &Tchamyou, N. P., (2018a). "Fighting terrorism in Africa: Benchmarking policy harmonization", *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 492(February), pp. 1931-1957.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2017). "Fuel Exports, Aid and Terrorism", *Multinational Business Review*. 25(3), pp. 239-267.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C., & Pyke, C., (2018). "The Right to Life: Global Evidence on the Role of Security Officers and the Police in Modulating the Effect of Insecurity on Homicide", *Social Indicators Research*. DOI: 10.1007/s11205-018-1992-2
- Bandyopadhyay, S., Sandler, T., & Younas, J., (2014). "Foreign direct investment, aid, and terrorism", *Oxford Economic Papers*, 66(1), pp. 25-50.
- Choi, S-W., (2015). "Economic growth and terrorism: domestic, international, and suicide", *Oxford Economic Papers*, 67(1), pp. 157–181.
- Choi, S-W., & Luo, S., (2013). "Economic Sanctions, Poverty, and International Terrorism: An Empirical Analysis," *International Interactions*, 39(2), pp.217-245.
- Freytag, A., Kruger, J. J., Meierrieks, D., & Schneider, F., (2011). "The origins of terrorism: Cross-country estimates of socio-economic determinants of terrorism", *The European Journal of Political Economy*, 27(Supplement 1), pp. S5-S16.
- GTI (2014). "Global Terrorism Index: Measuring and Understanding the Impact of Terrorism", *Institute for Economics and Peace*, pp. 2-90. <a href="http://www.visionofhumanity.org/sites/default/files/Global%20Terrorism%20Index%20Report%202014\_0.pdf">http://www.visionofhumanity.org/sites/default/files/Global%20Terrorism%20Index%20Report%202014\_0.pdf</a> (Accessed: 28/04/2015).
- GPI (2016). Global Peace Index 2016. Institute for Economics & Peace.

Mehmood, S., Ahmad, Z. & Khan, A. A., (2016). "Dynamic Relationships between Tourist Arrivals, Immigrants, and Crimes in the United States." *Tourism Management*, 54(June), pp.383-392.

Mlachila, M., Tapsoba, R., & Tapsoba, S. J. A., (2017). "A Quality of Growth Index for Developing Countries: A Proposal", *Social Indicators Research*, 134(2), pp 675–710.

Saha, S. & Yap, G., (2013). "The Moderation Effects of Political Instability and Terrorism on Tourism Development." *Journal of Travel Research*, 53(4), pp. 509-521.

Tchamyou, V.S., (2018). "Education, Lifelong learning, Inequality and Financial access: Evidence from African countries". Contemporary Social Science. DOI: 10.1080/21582041.2018.1433314.