

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Asongu, Simplice; Odhiambo, Nicholas M.

Working Paper

Governance, CO₂ emissions and Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa

AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/19/011

Provided in Cooperation with:

African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé, Cameroon

Suggested Citation: Asongu, Simplice; Odhiambo, Nicholas M. (2019): Governance, CO₂ emissions and Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa, AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/19/011, African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/204948

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



A G D I Working Paper

WP/19/011

Governance, CO2 emissions and Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa¹

Forthcoming: Energy Exploration & Exploitation

Simplice A. Asongu

Department of Economics, University of South Africa. P. O. Box 392, UNISA 0003, Pretoria South Africa. E-mails: asongus@afridev.org

Nicholas M. Odhiambo

Department of Economics, University of South Africa. P. O. Box 392, UNISA 0003, Pretoria, South Africa.

Emails: odhianm@unisa.ac.za, nmbaya99@yahoo.com

1

¹ This working paper also appears in the Development Bank of Nigeria Working Paper Series.

2019 African Governance and Development Institute

WP/19/011

Research Department

Governance, CO2 emissions and Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa

Simplice A. Asongu & Nicholas M. Odhiambo

January 2019

Abstract

This study investigates the relevance of government quality in moderating the incidence of environmental degradation on inclusive human development in 44 sub-Saharan African countries for the period 2000-2012. Environmental degradation is measured with CO2 emissions and the governance dynamics include: political stability, voice and accountability, government effectiveness, regulation quality, the rule of law and corruption-control. The empirical evidence is based on the Generalised Method of Moments. Regulation quality modulates CO2 emissions to exert a net negative effect on inclusive development. Institutional governance (consisting of corruption-control and the rule of law) modulates CO2 emissions to also exert a net negative effect on inclusive human development. Fortunately, the corresponding interactive effects are positive, which indicates that good governance needs to be enhanced to achieve positive net effects. A policy threshold of institutional governance at which institutional governance completely dampens the unfavourable effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development is established. Other policy implications are discussed.

JEL Classification: C52; O38; O40; O55; P37

Keywords: CO2 emissions; Economic development; Africa

1. Introduction

This research on the relevance of governance in moderating the effect of environmental degradation on inclusive human development, builds on four main factors in scholarly circles, notably: (i) the growing challenge (or policy syndrome) of economic growth because economic growth should be pro-poor in the post-2015 era in which shared economic prosperity is fundamental for the achievement of most Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)²; (ii) issues surrounding the degradation of the environment, (iii) concerns of poor governance when it comes to addressing environmental degradation issues and (iv) gaps in the attendant literature.

First, as recently documented by Asongu and Odhiambo (2018a, 2019), inclusive human development is a central theme in SDGs. This importance of inclusive development is even more crucial in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) because in spite of the sub-region achieving more than two decades of resurgence in economic growth, the population living in extreme poverty has been consistently increasing and hence, close to half of the countries in the sub-region failed to achieve the Millennium Development Goal (MDG) target of reducing extreme poverty by half (Tchamyou, 2019a, 2019b). The nexus between poverty, economic growth and inclusive development can be understood from the perspective that the fruits of economic prosperity have not been trickling to the poor factions of the population (Fosu, 2015; Asongu & Kodila-Tedika, 2017; Asongu & le Roux, 2018).

The underlying policy syndrome of exclusive growth is an important policy issue because the objective of completely eradicating poverty in the sub-region by 2030 (i.e. in the light of the SDGs) is very less likely to be reached unless inclusive human development is fostered across SSA: "This paper examines its feasibility for Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), the world's poorest but growing region. It finds that under plausible assumptions extreme poverty

.

_

²In the light of the extant literature, a policy syndrome is conceived and defined by Fosu (2013) to reflect conditions that are unfavourable for economic development, notably: "administered redistribution", "state breakdown", "state controls", and "suboptimal inter temporal resource allocation". Following Asongu (2017), a policy syndrome denotes a gap in knowledge economy between two countries or fundamental characteristics of economic development. Consistent with recent inclusive development literature (Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017a; Tchamyou *et al.*, 2019), a policy syndrome is a factor of exclusive development, notably: income inequality and the presence of growth that is not pro-poor.

will not be eradicated in SSA by 2030, but it can be reduced to low levels through high growth and income redistribution towards the poor segments of the society" (Bicaba et al., 2017, p. 93). In this study, we contribute to addressing the discussed concern of exclusive human development by assessing how governance is relevant in moderating the effect of environmental degradation on inclusive human development.

Second, another important concern in the SDG agenda is the sustainability of the environment (Akpan *et al.*, 2015; Mbah & Nzeadibe, 2016; Asongu *et al.*, 2016; Asongu *et al.*, 2017). This concern in SSA is premised on at least three factors, notably: (i) the startling evidence of the energy crisis across the sub-region and (ii) consequences of global environmental degradation. These points are expanded in the same order as they are highlighted. (i) About two-thirds of the African population (i.e. approximately 620 million inhabitants) does not have access to "affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern electricity", which is crucial to achieve SDGs (Akinyemi *et al.*, 2015; Shurig, 2015; Jarrett, 2017).

(ii) As documented in recent literature, the ramifications of fossil fuel consumption would be most detrimental in SSA (Kifle, 2008; Huxster *et al.*, 2015; Asongu *et al.*, 2017). This is essentially because, *inter alia*: carbon dioxide emissions (CO2) constitute about 75% of greenhouse gas emissions in the world (Akpan & Akpan, 2012; Asongu *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, as maintained by Jarrett (2017), the unreliable supply of power is a principal hurdle for corporations in Africa. According to the author, about 30 countries on the continent experience regular blackouts and shortages which cost their economies approximately between 2 and 5% of GDP. In summary, the energy deficit on the continent continues to retard economic prosperity, agricultural transformation, job creation, education and improvement of health facilities. It is further acknowledged that in order to achieve SDGs, it is crucial for the leaders on the continent to improve governance standards, especially in relation to how policies can be tailored to increase socio-economic development by making energy access clean, reliable and affordable for all (Jarrett, 2017). The outcome variable of this study (i.e. inclusive human development) and policy variables (i.e. good governance dynamics) are consistent with the underlying narratives and recommendations.

Third, good governance is important in understanding the energy crisis because decades of mismanagement and neglect in SSA have led to some of the worst functioning grid systems in the world. In essence, according to the attendant literature, not enough political will have been

garnered to effectively manage energy and environmental issues (Odhiambo, 2010; Afful-Koomson, 2012; Apkan & Akpan, 2012; Hongwu, 2013; Jones, 2003; Chemutai, 2009; Odhiambo, 2014a, 2014a; Anyangwe, 2014; Akinyemi *et al.*, 2015, 2018; Jarrett, 2017; Asongu *et al.*, 2018; Asongu, 2018a; Efobi *et al.*, 2018).

Fourth, this study is positioned on assessing how good governance can modulate the effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive development because of an apparent gap in the literature. Accordingly, the attendant literature has largely focused on nexuses between economic development, environmental degradation and energy consumption. The first stream of this attendant literature has investigated the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis (Diao *et al.*, 2009; Akbostanci *et al.*, 2009; He & Richard, 2010)³. This stream therefore focuses on the nexus between environmental degradation and economic growth. The second stream has two main branches: (i) connections between the consumption of energy and environmental pollution (Jumbe, 2004; Ang, 2007; Apergis & Payne, 2009; Odhiambo, 2009a, 2009b; Ozturk & Acaravci, 2010; Menyah & Wolde-Rufael, 2010; Bölük & Mehmet, 2015; Begum *et al.*, 2015; Le Van & Chon, 2017; Cui *et al.*, 2018; Rui *et al.*, 2018) and (ii) linkages between energy consumption and economic growth (see Mehrara, 2007; Esso, 2010)⁴.

Noticeably, a common shortcoming in the engaged literature is the fact that providing nexuses between indicators of macroeconomic development are not enough to effectively inform policy makers. This research argues that such nexuses should be tailored such that they assess how policy variables moderate policy syndromes in order to affect targeted outcomes. In the light of the challenging policy imperative of inclusive development regarding SDG, this research extends the underlying literature by assessing how good governance modulates environmental degradation to affect inclusive human development in SSA. Hence, the corresponding research question is the following: how does good governance modulate the effect of environmental degradation on inclusive human development in SSA?

While the preceding paragraph has substantiated the connection between governance and environmental degradation, it is also worthwhile to articulate the nexus between

³According to the EKC, in the long run, there is an inverted U-shaped relationship between per capita income and environmental pollution.

⁴ Also see Olusegun (2008) and Akinlo (2008).

environmental pollution and inclusive human development. Therefore, in line with Asongu and Odhiambo (2018b), we argue that the degradation of the environment affects constituents of the inequality-adjusted human development index (IHDI) used in this study as the outcome variables, notably: education, health and long life. First, environmental pollution can affect the income of a family by influencing the capacity of workers within a household to work effectively and search for jobs (Zivin & Neidell, 2012). Second, it is reasonable to argue that the degradation of the environment directly influences parents' ability to have their children go to school (Currie *et al.*, 2009). This is more apparent in the presence of pollution in the atmosphere and lack of adequate facilities of transport. Furthermore, such pollution of the atmosphere can also influence the ability of students and pupils to study effectively in class (Clark *et al.*, 2012; Sunyer *et al.*, 2015). Third, still building from intuition, environmental pollution and degradation also affect healthy living and by extension, the life expectancy of the population (Boogaard *et al.*, 2017; Rich, 2017).

Given that this study is motivated by SDGs, it is also relevant to discuss the linkages between inclusive development and sustainable development. According to Amavilah*et al.* (2017), inclusive development is related to sustainable development in the perspective that in order for inclusive development to be sustainable, it should be sustained and for sustained development to be sustainable, it must be inclusive. This research is also positioned as a theory-building empirical study because applied econometrics is not exclusively based on the rejection and acceptance of existing theories. Hence, we are consistent with a recent strand of the literature in arguing that an empirical study motivated by sound intuition is a relevant scientific activity (Narayan *et al.*, 2011; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016a), especially in the light of challenges to SDGs.

The remainder of the study is organised as follows. The data and methodology are covered in Section 2 while Section 3 presents the empirical results. Section 4 concludes with implications and future research directions.

2 Data and methodology

2.1 Data

This research focuses on forty-four countries in SSA with data for the period 2000-2012⁵. The data is from four main sources, notably: (i) the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) for the outcome variable (i.e. the inequality-adjusted human development index); (ii) the World Governance indicators of the World Bank for six governance indicators (political stability/no violence, voice and accountability, regulation quality, government effectiveness, corruption-control and the rule of law); (iii) the Financial Development and Structure Database (FDSD) of the World Bank for a control variable (i.e. credit access) and (iv) the World Development Indicators of the World Bank for the policy syndrome variable (i.e. environmental degradation) and two control variables (i.e. education quality and foreign aid). The adopted periodicity and number of countries are contingent on the constraints in data availability at the time of the study.

In the light of the motivation of the study, the adopted outcome indicator is the inequality-adjusted human development index (IHDI): the human development index (HDI) that is adjusted for the prevalence of inequality among the population. This indicator has been used in recent literature on environmental sustainability. In the light of the attendant literature,

"The human development index (HDI) denotes a national mean of results in three principal dimensions, notably: health and long life, knowledge and basic living standards. The IHDI goes a step further by adjusting the HDI to prevalent levels of inequality in the aforementioned three dimensions. In other words, the IHDI also takes into consideration the manner in which the three underlying achievements are distributed within the population" (Asongu et al., 2017, p. 355).

CO2 emission per capita is used to measure environmental pollution or the policy syndrome, in line with recent environmental degradation literature (Asongu, 2018b). The policy variables are six main governance indicators highlighted above. These indicators which

⁵The 44 countries are: "Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Congo Democratic. Republic., Congo Republic, Cote d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome & Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda and Zambia".

are from Kaufmann et al. (2010), are increasingly being used in African governance literature (Andres et al., 2015; Anyanwu & Erhijakpor, 2014; Efobi, 2015; Oluwatobi et al., 2015; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017b; Pelizzo et al., 2016; Pelizzo & Nwokora, 2016, 2018; Nwokora & Pelizzo, 2018). According to the attendant literature: "The first concept is about the process by which those in authority are selected and replaced (Political Governance): voice and accountability and political stability. The second has to do with the capacity of government to formulate and implement policies, and to deliver services (Economic Governance): regulatory quality and government effectiveness. The last, but by no means least, regards the respect for citizens and the state of institutions that govern the interactions among them (Institutional Governance): rule of law and control of corruption" (Andres et al., 2015, p. 1041).

Consistent with recent inclusive human development literature (Asongu&Odhiambo, 2018b), three main control variables are used to account for variable omission bias, namely: education quality, credit access and foreign aid. Foreign aid and education quality are anticipated to reduce inclusive human development whereas credit access is anticipated to have the opposite incidence. Using the same indicator of inclusive human development, Asongu (2014) has established that development assistance has a negative incidence on the outcome variable. Conversely, financial access has been documented to be an instrument of inclusive development (Tchamyou, 2019a, 2019b; Meniago & Asongu, 2018).

The education quality indicator is the pupil-teacher ratio such that an increasing ratio is associated with poor education quality because more pupils have to be accommodated by a smaller teaching staff. Hence, in terms of measurement, the indicator appreciates poor education quality. This primary education indicator is preferred to higher levels of education because it has been documented to be more associated with socio-economic development when economies are at initial stages of industrialisation (Asiedu, 2014; Petrakis & Stamakis, 2002; Asongu & Odhiambo, 2018a). The expected negative sign from poor education quality is consistent with the established positive nexus between education and inclusive development (Dunlap-Hinkler *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, education is a component of the IHDI.

It is important to note that the motivation for limiting indicators of the conditioning information set to three variables (in order to avoid concerns of instrument proliferation) is consistent with the attendant empirical literature based on Generalised Method of Moments

(GMM) that has used a zero control variable (Osabuohien & Efobi, 2013; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017c) or less than three control variables (Bruno *et al.*, 2012). The definitions and sources of the variables are provided in Appendix 1 while the summary statistics are disclosed in Appendix 2. Appendix 3 presents the correlation matrix.

2.2 Methodology

2.2.1 GMM: Specification, identification and exclusion restrictions

Consistent with the underlying literature, the adoption of the Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) as an empirical strategy is motivated by four main factors (Tchamyou, 2019a, 2019b). First, the primary condition of having the number of cross sections exceed the number of periods within each cross section is fulfilled because the study is dealing with 44 countries over a span of 11 years (i.e. from 2004 to 2014). Second, the outcome variable is persistent because its correlation with its first lag is greater than 0.800, which is the rule of thumb for establishing persistence in a variable (Tchamyou *et al.*, 2019). Third, cross-country differences are taken on board given the panel nature of the dataset. Fourth, endogeneity is addressed because: (i) simultaneity or reverse causality are tackled with an instrumentation process and (ii) time invariant variables are used to account for the unobserved heterogeneity.

The research adopts the Roodman (2009a, 2009b) extension of Arellano and Bover (1995) essentially because it has been established in the attendant literature to limit the proliferation of instruments (Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016b; Tchamyou *et al.*, 2019; Boateng *et al.*, 2018).

The following equations in level (1) and first difference (2) summarise the standard *system* GMM estimation procedure.

$$\begin{split} HD_{i,t} &= \sigma_{0} + \sigma_{1}HD_{i,t-\tau} + \sigma_{2}CO_{i,t} + \sigma_{3}G_{i,t} + \sigma_{4}COG_{i,t} + \sum_{h=1}^{3} \delta_{h}W_{h,i,t-\tau} + \eta_{i} + \xi_{t} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \\ (1) \\ HD_{i,t} - HD_{i,t-\tau} &= \sigma_{1}(HD_{i,t-\tau} - HD_{i,t-2\tau}) + \sigma_{2}(CO_{i,t} - CO_{i,t-\tau}) + \sigma_{3}(G_{i,t} - G_{i,t-\tau}) + \sigma_{4}(COG_{i,t} - COG_{i,t-\tau}) \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{3} \delta_{h}(W_{h,i,t-\tau} - W_{h,i,t-2\tau}) + (\xi_{t} - \xi_{t-\tau}) + (\varepsilon_{i,t} - \varepsilon_{i,t-\tau}) \end{split}$$

where, $HD_{i,t}$ is the human development variable of country i in period t, σ_0 is a constant, CO represents CO2 emissions, G entails governance (political stability, voice & accountability, government effectiveness, regulation quality, rule of law and corruption-control), COG denotes an interaction between a CO2 emission variable and a governance dynamic ("political stability" \times "CO2 emissions", "voice & accountability" \times "CO2 emissions", "government effectiveness" \times "CO2 emissions", "regulation quality" \times "CO2 emissions", "corruption-control" \times "CO2 emissions", and "rule of law" \times "CO2 emissions"), W is the vector of control variables (education quality, credit access and foreign aid), τ represents the coefficient of auto-regression which is one within the framework of this study because a year lag is enough to capture past information, ξ_t is the time-specific constant, η_t is the country-specific effect and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ the error term.

2.2.2Identification and exclusion restrictions

In order to ensure robustness in the estimation approach, it is worthwhile to articulate identification and exclusion restrictions that are paramount for a tight GMM specification. In line with contemporary empirical literature (Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016c; Tchamyou & Asongu, 2017; Boateng *et al.*, 2018; Tchamyou *et al.*, 2019), the identification strategy is such that the time invariant variables are considered as strictly exogenous whereas the endogenous explaining variables are defined as predetermined. This strategy of identification is supported by Roodman (2009b) who has argued that it is not feasible for time invariant indicators to be first-differenced endogenous⁶.

In the light of the identification framework, the assumption of exclusion restriction is confirmed if the null hypothesis corresponding to the Difference in Hansen Test (DHT) for instrument exogeneity is not rejected. The null hypothesis is the position that the identified strictly exogenous variables elucidate the outcome variable exclusively via the predetermined variables. This process is not dissimilar to the standard procedure of employing classic instruments in which, the null hypothesis corresponding to the Sargan test should not be rejected in order for selected instruments to be valid (Beck *et al.*, 2003; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016d).

_

⁶Hence, the procedure for treating *ivstyle* (years) is 'iv (years, eq(diff))' whereas the *gmmstyle* is employed for predetermined variables.

3. Presentation of results

3.1 Empirical results

The empirical results are disclosed in this section in Table 1. The findings are presented in three main groups pertaining to indicators of political governance(consisting of political stability and "voice & accountability"), economic governance(entailing government effectiveness and regulation quality) and institutional governance (encompassing corruption-control and the rule of law), respectively. Each of the governance dynamic entails two indicators of governance, in the light of definitions and classifications provided in the data section. Four main criteria are used to investigate the post-estimation validity of the GMM findings⁷. In the light of these criteria, the models on government effectiveness and corruption-control do not pass all post-estimation diagnostic tests because the null hypothesis of the Hansen test is rejected. This null hypothesis is the position that instruments are valid. It is relevant to note that the Hansen test is robust but weakened by instrument proliferation, whereas the Sargan test is not robust but not weakened by instrument proliferation. Hence, a measure of dealing with the conflicting criteria is to adopt the Hansen test and control for instrument proliferation by ensuring that in each specification, the number of cross sections is higher than the number of instruments. This approach is adopted for the study.

In order to assess research question or the overall effect of government quality in modulating the effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development, net effects are computed from the unconditional effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development and the corresponding conditional effect from the interaction between CO2 emissions and government quality dynamics. For instance, in the fourth column of Table 1, in the regressions pertaining to regulation quality, the net effect of regulation quality in moderating the effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development is -0.0028 ([0.013×-0.604] + [0.005]). In the computation, -0.604 is the mean value of regulation quality; the unconditional effect of CO2 emissions per capita is 0.005, whereas the conditional impact from the

_

⁷ "First, the null hypothesis of the second-order Arellano and Bond autocorrelation test (AR (2)) in difference for the absence of autocorrelation in the residuals should not be rejected. Second the Sargan and Hansen over-identification restrictions (OIR) tests should not be significant because their null hypotheses are the positions that instruments are valid or not correlated with the error terms. In essence, while the Sargan OIR test is not robust but not weakened by instruments, the Hansen OIR is robust but weakened by instruments. In order to restrict identification or limit the proliferation of instruments, we have ensured that instruments are lower than the number of cross-sections in most specifications. Third, the Difference in Hansen Test (DHT) for exogeneity of instruments is also employed to assess the validity of results from the Hansen OIR test. Fourth, a Fischer test for the joint validity of estimated coefficients is also provided" (Asongu & De Moor, 2017, p.200).

interaction between CO₂ emissions per capita and regulation quality, is 0.013. This approach to establishing an overall incidence based on net effects is consistent with contemporary interactive regressions literature (Tchamyou & Asongu, 2017; Agoba *et al.*, 2019).

Table 1: Governance, CO2 emissions and Inclusive Development

	Dependent variable: Inclusive Human Development									
	Political Governance		•	Governance	Institutional Governance					
	Political Stability	Voice & Accountability	Regulation Quality	Government Effectiveness	Rule of Law	Corruption- Control				
Inclusive Development (IHDI)(-1)	0.949*** (0.000)	0.957*** (0.000)	0.921*** (0.000)	0.906*** (0.000)	0.943*** (0.000)	0.954*** (0.000)				
CO2 emissions (CO2)	-0.002 (0.311)	-0.005* (0.050)	0.005* (0.064)	0.0001 (0.953)	-0.001 (0.631)	-0.001 (0.764)				
Political Stability (PS)	0.002 (0.347)									
Voice & Accountability (VA)		0.008*** (0.009)								
Regulation Quality (RQ)			-0.020*** (0.000)							
Government Effectiveness (GE)				-0.001 (0.720)						
Rule of Law (RL)					-0.007 (0.189)					
Corruption-Control (CC)					(0.189)	-0.010** (0.037)				
PS × CO2	-0.0004 (0.865)									
VA×CO2	(0.803)	-0.006 (0.162)								
RQ×CO2		(0.162)	0.013***							
GE × CO2			(0.001)	-0.003						
RL×CO2				(0.491)	0.010* (0.090)					
CC×CO2					(0.090)	0.008** (0.033)				
Education	-0.0003*** (0.000)	-0.0004*** (0.000)	-0.0003** (0.014)	-0.0004*** (0.000)	-0.0002** (0.023)	-0.0002*** (0.004)				
Private Domestic Credit	0.000005 (0.957)	0.0002** (0.043)	-0.00004 (0.639)	0.000) 0.0003** (0.021)	-0.0001 (0.248)	-0.00006 (0.558)				
Foreign Aid	-0.00003 (0.188)	-0.00007** (0.035)	-0.0001*** (0.000)	-0.0001*** (0.001)	-0.00004 (0.116)	-0.00005** (0.041)				
Time effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Net effects	na	na	-0.0028	na	na	na				
AR(1)	(0.128)	(0.131)	(0.109)	(0.126)	(0.179)	(0.075)				
AR(2)	(0.749)	(0.971)	(0.946)	(0.657)	(0.843)	(0.644)				
Sargan OIR	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)				
Hansen OIR	(0.158)	(0.131)	(0.110)	(0.070)	(0.219)	(0.091)				
DHT for instruments										
(a)Instruments in levels	(0.450)	(0.025)		(0.045)		(0.004)				
H excluding group	(0.129)	(0.027)	(0.100)	(0.047)	(0.135)	(0.081)				
Dif(null, H=exogenous)	(0.234)	(0.375)	(0.185)	(0.172)	(0.314)	(0.170)				
(b) IV (years, eq(diff)) H excluding group	(0.045)	(0.060)	(0.281)	(0.154)	(0.121)	(0.034)				
Dif(null, H=exogenous)	(0.583)	(0.428)	(0.201) (0.106)	(0.110)	(0.455)	(0.034)				
Fisher	55862.15***	1.15e+06***	38200.76***	33699.51***	20430.30***	1.82e+06***				
Instruments	36	36	36200.76*** 36	36	36	36				
Countries	37	37	37	37	37	37				
Observations	217	217	217	217	217	217				

^{*, **, ***:} significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. *DHT*: Difference in Hansen Test for Exogeneity of Instruments' Subsets. Dif: Difference. *OIR*: Over-identifying Restrictions Test. The significance of bold values is twofold. 1) The significance of estimated

coefficients, Hausman test and the Fisher statistics. 2) The failure to reject the null hypotheses of: a) no autocorrelation in the AR(I) and AR(I) tests and; b) the validity of the instruments in the Sargan OIR test. Na: not applicable because at least one estimated coefficient needed for the computation of net effects is not significant. Constants are included in the regressions. The following are the mean values of governance variables: -0.486 (political stability); -0.543 (voice & accountability); -0.697 (government effectiveness); -0.604 (regulation quality); -0.663 (rule of law) and -0.590 (corruption-control). Constants are included in the regressions.

The main finding in Table 1 is that regulation quality modulates CO2 emissions to exert a net negative effect on inclusive development. Net effects pertaining to the other governance dynamics cannot be computed because either the model does not pass post-estimation diagnostics tests or at least one estimated coefficient needed for the computation of net effects is not significant. The significant control variables have the expected signs.

3.2 Robustness checks

In order to assess whether the established findings in Table 1 withstand further empirical scrutiny, the six governance indicators are bundled into four other governance dynamics, notably: political governance (consisting of political stability and voice & accountability), economic governance (entailing government effectiveness and regulation quality), institutional governance (represented with the rule of law and corruption-control) and general governance (i.e. encompassing political, economic and institutional dynamics of governance). The approach used for the retention of common factors is the Kaiser (1974) and Jolliffe (2002) criterion for the selection of principal components in principal component analysis. According to the criterion, only principal components with an eigenvalue greater than the mean should be retained (Asongu *et al.*, 2019). This criterion is adopted in the retention of composite governance indicators in this study. The approach to bundling governance variables for robustness purposes by means of principal component analysis is consistent with recent literature (Tchamyou, 2017; Asongu & Odhiambo, 2018c).

In Table 2, results pertaining to economic governance and general governance do not pass post-estimation diagnostic tests because the null hypothesis of the Hansen test is rejected. The main finding from the table is a net negative effect from the role of institutional governance in modulating the effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development. The significant control variables have the expected signs.

Table 2: Robustness checks

	Dependent variable: Inclusive Human Development								
	Political Governance	Economic Governance	Institutional Governance	General Governance					
Inclusive Development (IHDI)(-1)	0.952*** (0.000)	0.913*** (0.000)	0.968*** (0.000)	0.896*** (0.000)					
CO2 emissions (CO2)	-0.0007 (0.775)	-0.007 (0.127)	-0.013** (0.019)	-0.002 (0.633)					
Political Governance (Polgov)	0.003* (0.061)								
Economic Governance (Ecogov)		-0.004** (0.035)							
Institutional Governance (Instgov)			-0.004* (0.061)						
General Governance (Ggov)				-0.0001 (0.903)					
Polgov × CO2	-0.002 (0.210)								
Ecogov × CO2		0.004 (0.135)							
Instgov × CO2			0.005*** (0.007)						
Ggov × CO2				0.0006 (0.707)					
Education	-0.0003*** (0.000)	-0.0004*** (0.003)	-0.0002*** (0.005)	-0.0006*** (0.000)					
PrivateDomesticCredit	0.00009 (0.364)	0.00008 (0.627)	-0.0001 (0.126)	0.0001 (0.332)					
ForeignAid	-0.00003 (0.283)	-0.0001*** (0.001)	0.000002 (0.126)	-0.0001*** (0.002)					
Time Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes					
Net effects	na	na	-0.0122	na					
AR(1) AR(2) Sargan OIR Hansen OIR DHT for instruments	(0.105) (0.941) (0.000) (0.141)	(0.185) (0.959) (0.000) (0.088)	(0.170) (0.683) (0.001) (0.212)	(0.271) (0.666) (0.000) (0.093)					
(a)Instruments in levels H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous) (b) IV (years, eq(diff))	(0.058) (0.296)	(0.078) (0.169)	(0.076) (0.382)	(0.050) (0.217)					
H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous)	(0.137) (0.267)	(0.256) (0.090)	(0.223) (0.287)	(0.237) (0.103)					
Fisher Instruments Countries	1.41e+06*** 36 37	8.08e+06*** 36 37	16775.73 *** 36 37	8159.59** 36 37					
Observations	217	217	217	217					

^{*, ***, ***:} significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. DHT: Difference in Hansen Test for Exogeneity of Instruments' Subsets. Dif: Difference. OIR: Over-identifying Restrictions Test. The significance of bold values is twofold. 1) The significance of estimated coefficients, Hausman test and the Fisher statistics. 2) The failure to reject the null hypotheses of: a) no autocorrelation in the AR(I) and AR(2) tests and; b) the validity of the instruments in the Sargan OIR test. Na: not applicable because at least one estimated coefficient needed for the computation of net effects is not significant. Constants are included in the regressions. The following are the mean values of governance variables: 0.140 (political governance); 0.205 (economic governance); 0.144 (institutional governance) and 0.284 (general governance). Constants are included in the regressions.

4. Concluding implications and future research directions

This study has investigated the relevance of government quality in moderating the incidence of environmental degradation on inclusive human development in 44 sub-Saharan African countries for the period 2000-2012. Environmental degradation is measured with CO2 emissions while the governance dynamics include: political stability, voice and accountability, government effectiveness, regulation quality, the rule of law and corruption-control. The empirical evidence is based on the Generalised Method of Moments. The following main findings are established. First, regulation quality modulates CO2 emissions to exert a net negative effect on inclusive development. Second, when the six governance indicators are bundled by means of principal component analysis for robustness checks, institutional governance (consisting of corruption-control and the rule of law) modulates CO2 emissions to also exert a net negative effect on inclusive human development.

While this net effect pertaining to regulation quality is negative, it is worthwhile to emphasise that both the conditional and unconditional effects are positive. Hence, the negative net effect is largely traceable to the fact that the average value of regulation quality for the sample countries is negative. In other words, the fact that regulation quality is negatively skewed implies that regulation quality needs to be further improved in order for net positive effects to be achieved. As a policy implication, enhancing regulation quality is essential for the government dynamic to effectively modulate CO2 emissions for the expected positive net effects on inclusive human development

It is also worthwhile to note that the conditional effect pertaining to institutional governance is positive, which implies that enhancing institutional governance modulates the unconditional negative effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development. Moreover, a threshold of institutional governance at which the conditional positive effect completely dampens the unconditional negative effect is 2.6 (0.013/0.005). This threshold makes economic sense and it feasible from a policy perspective because the maximum limit of institutional governance disclosed in the summary statistics is 3.766. Hence, at a critical mass of 2.6, the net effect of institutional governance in modulating the effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive development is zero: $0 ([0.005 \times 2.6] + [-0.013])$. As a policy implication, a level of institutional governance beyond the established 2.6 threshold ensures that institutional governance completely modulates the unfavourable effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development. Above the threshold, positive net effects are apparent.

The findings broadly show that there is a need for greater action in the governance dynamics from which significant findings could not be established. Such greater action is also relevant for governance dynamics that significantly modulate the effect of CO2 emissions on inclusive human development.

Future studies can employ appropriate estimation techniques for country-specific studies in order to assess if the established findings withstand empirical scrutiny from country-oriented frameworks. This recommendation for country-specific studies builds on the caveat that country-specific studies are not considered in the GMM approach. Accordingly, country-specific effects are eliminated by first-differencing in order to avoid inherent concerns of endogeneity linked to the correlation between the lagged inclusive human development indicator and country-specific effects.

Appendices Appendix 1: Definitions of variables

Variables	Signs	Definitions of variables (Measurements)	Sources		
CO ₂ per capita	CO2mtpc	CO ₂ emissions (metric tons per capita)	World Bank (WDI)		
Political Stability	PolS	"Political stability/no violence (estimate): measured as the perceptions of the likelihood that the government will be destabilised or overthrown by unconstitutional and violent means, including domestic violence and terrorism"	World Bank (WGI)		
Voice & Accountability	VA	"Voice and accountability (estimate): measure the extent to which a country's citizens are able to participate in selecting their government and to enjoy freedom of expression, freedom of association and a free media".	World Bank (WGI)		
Political Governance	Polgov	First Principal Component of Political Stability and Voice & Accountability. The process by which those in authority are selected and replaced.	PCA		
Government Effectiveness	GE	"Government effectiveness (estimate): measures the quality of public services, the quality and degree of independence from political pressures of the civil service, the quality of policy formulation and implementation, and the credibility of governments' commitments to such policies".	World Bank (WGI)		
Regulation Quality	RQ	"Regulation quality (estimate): measured as the ability of the government to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development".	World Bank (WGI)		
Economic Governance	Ecogov	"First Principal Component of Government Effectiveness and Regulation Quality. The capacity of government to formulate & implement policies, and to deliver services".	PCA		
Rule of Law	RL	"Rule of law (estimate): captures perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence".	World Bank (WGI)		
Corruption-Control	CC	"Control of corruption (estimate): captures perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as 'capture' of the state by elites and private interests".	World Bank (WGI)		
Institutional Governance	Instgov	First Principal Component of Rule of Law and Corruption- Control. The respect for citizens and the state of institutions that govern the interactions among them	PCA		
General Governance	Ggov	First Principal Component of Political, Economic and Institutional Governances	PCA		
Inclusive Development	IHDI	Inequality-Adjusted Human Development Index	UNDP		
Educational Quality	Educ	Pupil teacher ratio in Primary Education	World Bank (WDI)		
Credit Access	Credit	Population growth rate (annual %)	World Bank (WDI)		
Foreign Aid	NODA	Net Official Development Assistance (% of GDP)	World Bank (WDI)		

WDI: World Bank Development Indicators. WGI: World Governance Indicators. PCA: Principal Component Analysis. UNDP: United Nations Development Program.

Appendix 2: Summary statistics (2000-2012)

	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
CO ₂ per capita	0.911	1.842	0.016	10.093	532
Political Stability	-0.486	0.923	-2.660	1.192	496
Voice & Accountability	-0.543	0.687	-1.838	0.986	496
Political Governance	0.140	1.230	-2.653	2.583	496
Government Effectiveness	-0.697	0.584	-1.960	0.934	496
Regulation Quality	-0.604	0.542	-2.110	0.983	496
Economic Governance	0.205	1.225	-2.288	3.807	496
Rule of Law	-0.663	0.614	-2.113	1.056	496
Corruption-Control	-0.590	0.565	-1.566	1.249	496
Institutional Governance	0.144	1.282	-2.391	3.766	496
General Governance	0.284	2.040	-4.567	5.561	496
Inclusive Development	0.450	0.110	0.219	0.768	431
Educational Quality	43.892	14.775	12.466	100.236	397
Private Domestic Credit	19.142	23.278	0.550	149.78	458
Foreign Aid	11.944	14.712	-0.253	181.187	531

S.D: Standard Deviation.

Appendix 3: Correlation matrix (uniform sample size: 269)

	Governance Dynamics								Control variables			Dep.			
	Political Governance		Economic Governance		Institutional Governance							Variable			
	PolS	VA	Polgov	CO2mtpc	RQ	Ecogov	RL	CC	Instgov	G.gov	Educ	Credit	NODA	CO2mtpc	IHDI
PolS	1.000														
VA	0.712	1.000													
Polgov	0.929	0.920	1.000												
GE	0.688	0.805	0.805	1.000											
RQ	0.674	0.755	0.771	0.894	1.000										
Ecogov	0.700	0.803	0.811	0.976	0.969	1.000									
RL	0.805	0.835	0.886	0.890	0.872	0.912	1.000								
CC	0.715	0.722	0.777	0.854	0.822	0.862	0.868	1.000							
Instgov	0.786	0.806	0.860	0.908	0.877	0.918	0.967	0.966	1.000						
G.gov	0.841	0.884	0.931	0.942	0.916	0.955	0.968	0.912	0.973	1.000					
Educ	-0.286	-0.30	-0.319	-0.422	-0.37	-0.410	-0.38	-0.39	-0.400	-0.396	1.000				
Credit	0.335	0.489	0.443	0.573	0.561	0.583	0.517	0.522	0.538	0.548	-0.42	1.000			
NODA	-0.113	-0.02	-0.074	-0.212	-0.26	-0.243	-0.14	-0.17	-0.166	-0.168	0.139	-0.16	1.000		
CO2mtpc	0.356	0.388	0.402	0.557	0.419	0.506	0.454	0.517	0.502	0.493	-0.46	0.711	-0.230	1.000	
IHDI	0.456	0.393	0.460	0.606	0.524	0.583	0.550	0.550	0.569	0.565	-0.54	0.559	-0.388	0.650	1.000

PolS: Political Stability. VA: Voice & Accountability. Polgov: Political Governance. GE: Government Effectiveness. RQ: Regulation Quality. Ecogov: Economic Governance. RL: Rule of Law. CC: Corruption-Control. Instgov: Institutional Governance. Ggov: General Governance. Educ: Education quality. Credit: Private Domestic credit. NODA: Net Official Development Assistance. CO2mtpc: CO2 emissions per capita. IHDI: Inclusive human development.

References

Afful-Koomson, T., (2012). "Governance Challenges for a Green Economy in Africa", United Nations University,

https://unu.edu/publications/articles/governance-challenges-for-a-green-economy-in-africa.html(Accessed: 07/04/2017).

Agoba, A. M., Abor, J., Osei, K. A., & Sa-Aadu, J. (2019). Do independent Central Banks Exhibit Varied Bahaviour in Election and Non-Election Years: The Case of Fiscal Policy in Africa. *Journal of African Business*: Forthcoming.

Ajide, K. B, & Raheem, I. D., (2016a). "Institutions-FDI Nexus in ECOWAS Countries", *Journal of African Business*, 17(3), pp. 319-341.

Ajide, K. B, & Raheem, I. D., (2016b). "The Institutional Quality Impact on Remittances in the ECOWAS Sub-Region", *African Development Review*, 28(4), pp. 462–481.

Akbostanci, E., S. Turut-Asi&Tunc, G. I., (2009). "The Relationship between Income and Environment in Turkey: Is there an Environmental Kuznets Curve?", *Energy Policy*, 37(3), pp. 861-867.

Akinlo, A. E., (2008). "Energy consumption and economic growth: evidence from 11 Sub-Sahara African countries". *Energy Economics*, 30(5), pp. 2391–2400.

Akinyemi, O., Alege, P., Osabuohien, E., &Ogundipe, A., (2015). "Energy Security and the Green Growth Agenda in Africa: Exploring Trade-offs and Synergies", Department of Economics and Development Studies, Covenant University, Nigeria.

Akinyemi, O., Efobi, U., Asongu, S., & Osabuohien, E., (2018). "Green Growth Strategy and Trade Performance in sub-Saharan Africa", Department of Economics and Development Studies, Covenant University, Nigeria.

Akpan, G. E. &Akpan, U. F. (2012). "Electricity Consumption, Carbon Emissions and Economic Growth in Nigeria", *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 2(4), pp. 292-306.

Akpan, U., Green, O., Bhattacharyya, S., &Isihak, S., (2015). "Effect of Technology Change on CO2 Emissions in Japan's Industrial Sectors in the period 1995-2005: An Input-Output Structural Decomposition Analysis", *Environmental and Resources Economics*, 61(2), pp. 165-189.

Amavilah, V., Asongu, S. A., & Andrés, A. R., (2017). "Effects of globalization on peace and stability: Implications for governance and the knowledge economy of African countries", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 122 (September), pp. 91-103.

Andrés, R. A, Asongu, S. A., & Amavilah, V. H., (2015). "The Impact of Formal Institutions on Knowledge Economy", *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 6(4), pp. 1034-1062.

Ang, J. B. (2007). "CO2 emissions, energy consumption, and output in France", *Energy Policy*, 35(10), pp. 4772-4778.

Anyangwe, E. (2014). "Without energy could Africa's growth run out of steam?" *theguardian*, <a href="http://www.theguardian.com/global-development-professionals-network/2014/nov/24/energy-infrastructure-clean-cookstoves-africa(Accessed: 08/09/2015).

Anyanwu, J., & Erhijakpor, A., (2014). "Does Oil Wealth Affect Democracy in Africa?" *African Development Review*, 26 (1), pp. 15-37.

Apergis, N. & J. Payne, J. E., (2009). "CO2 emissions, energy usage, and output in Central America", *Energy Policy*, 37(8), pp. 3282-3286.

Arellano, M., &Bover, O., (1995), "Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error components models", *Journal of Econometrics*, 68(1), pp. 29-52.

Asiedu, E., (2014). "Does Foreign Aid in Education Promote Economic Growth? Evidence From Sub-Saharan Africa", *Journal of African Development*, 16(1), pp. 37-59.

Asongu, S. A., (2014). "The Questionable Economics of Development Assistance in Africa: Hot-Fresh Evidence, 1996-2010", *The Review of Black Political Economy*, 41(4), pp. 455-480.

Asongu, S. A., (2017). "Knowledge Economy Gaps, Policy Syndromes and Catch-up Strategies: Fresh South Korean Lessons to Africa", *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 8(1), pp. 211–253.

Asongu, S. A., (2018a). "CO2 emission thresholds for inclusive human development in sub-Saharan Africa", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(26), pp.26005-26019.

Asongu, S. A., (2018b). "ICT, Openness and CO2 emissions in Africa", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(10), pp. 9351-9359.

Asongu S. A. & De Moor, L., (2017). "Financial globalisation dynamic thresholds for financial development: evidence from Africa", *European Journal of Development Research*, 29(1), pp. 192–212.

Asongu, S. A., &Kodila-Tedika, O., (2017). "Is Poverty in the African DNA (Gene)?", South African Journal of Economics, 85(4), pp. 533-552.

Asongu, S. A., & le Roux, S., (2018). "Understanding Sub-Saharan Africa's Extreme Poverty Tragedy", *International Journal of Public Administration*. DOI: 10.1080/01900692.2018.1466900.

Asongu, S. A., El Montasser, G., &Toumi, H., (2016). "Testing the relationships between energy consumption, CO2 emissions, and economic growth in 24 African countries: a panel ARDL approach", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(7), pp.6563–6573.

- Asongu, S. A., le Roux, S., &Biekpe, N., (2017). "Environmental degradation, ICT and inclusive development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Energy Policy*, 111(December), pp. 353-361.
- Asongu, S. A., le Roux, S., &Biekpe, N., (2018). "Enhancing ICT for environmental sustainability in sub-Saharan Africa", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 127(February), pp. 209-216.
- Asongu, S. A., le Roux, S., Nwachukwu, J. C., &Pyke, C., (2019). "The Mobile Phone as an Argument for Good Governance in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Information Technology & People*, DOI: 10.1108/ITP-01-2018-0011.
- Asongu, S. A., &Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016a). "Revolution empirics: predicting the Arab Spring", *Empirical Economics*, 51(2), pp. 439-482.
- Asongu, S. A., &Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016b). "The Role of Governance in Mobile Phones for Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Technovation*, 55-56 (September-October), pp. 1-13.
- Asongu, S. A., &Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016c). "The Role of Governance in Mobile Phones for Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Technovation*, 55-56 (September-October), pp. 1-13.
- Asongu, S.A, &Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016d). "Foreign aid and governance in Africa", *International Review of Applied Economics*, 30(1), pp. 69-88.
- Asongu, S. A., &Nwachukwu, J. C., (2017a). "Quality of Growth Empirics: Comparative Gaps, Benchmarking and Policy Syndromes", *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 39(5), pp.861-882.
- Asongu, S.A, &Nwachukwu, J. C., (2017b). "Is the Threat of Foreign Aid Withdrawal an Effective Deterrent to Political Oppression? Evidence from 53 African Countries", *Journal of Economic Issues*, 51(1), pp. 201-221.
- Asongu, S. A., &Nwachukwu, J. C., (2017c). "Foreign Aid and Inclusive Development: Updated Evidence from Africa, 2005–2012", *Social Science Quarterly*, 98(1), pp. 282-298.
- Asongu, S. A., &Odhiambo, N. M., (2018a). "Basic formal education quality, information technology, and inclusive human development in sub- Saharan Africa", *Sustainable Development*. DOI: 10.1002/sd.1914.
- Asongu, S. A., &Odhiambo, N. M., (2018b). "Environmental Degradation and Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Sustainable Development*, DOI: 10.1002/sd.1858.
- Asongu, S. A., &Odhiambo, N. M., (2018c). "Governance and social media in African countries: An empirical investigation", *Telecommunications Policy*. DOI: 10.1016/j.telpol.2018.10.004.

- Asongu, S. A., &Odhiambo, N. M., (2019). "How enhancing information and communication technology has affected inequality in Africa for sustainable development: An empirical investigation", *Sustainable Development*. DOI: 10.1002/sd.1929.
- Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R., (2003), "Law and finance: why does legal origin matter?", *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 31(4), pp. 653-675.
- Begum, R. A., Sohag, K., Abdullah S. M. S., &Jaafar, M., (2015). "CO2 emissions, energy consumption, economic and population growth in Malaysia", *Renewal and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41(January), pp. 594-601.
- Bicaba, Z., Brixiova, Z., &Ncube, M., (2017). "Can Extreme Poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa be Eliminated by 2030?," *Journal of African Development*, 19(2), pp. 93-110.
- Boateng, A., Asongu, S. A., Akamavi, R., &Tchamyou, V. S., (2018). "Information Asymmetry and Market Power in the African Banking Industry", *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 44(March), pp. 69-83.
- Bölük, G., & Mehmet, M., (2015). "The renewable energy, growth and environmental Kuznets curve in Turkey: An ARDL approach", *Renewal and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 52(December), pp. 587-595.
- Boogaard, H., van Erp, A. M., Walker, K. D., & Shaikh, R., (2017). "Accountability Studies on Air Pollution and Health: the HEI Experience", *Current Environmental Health Reports*, 4(4), pp. 514–522.
- Bruno, G., De Bonis, R., & Silvestrini, A., (2012). "Do financial systems converge? New evidence from financial assets in OECD countries". *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 40(1), pp. 141-155.
- Chemutai, B., (2009). "Achieving Effective National Environmental Governance in Africa", I SS Today, https://issafrica.org/iss-today/achieving-effective-national-environmental-governance-in-africa (Accessed: 07/04/2017).
- Clark, C., Crombie, R., Head, J., van Kamp, I., van Kempen, E., & Stansfeld, S. A., (2012). "Does Traffic-related Air Pollution Explain Associations of Aircraft and Road Traffic Noise Exposure on Children's Health and Cognition? A Secondary Analysis of the United Kingdom Sample From the RANCH Project", *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 176(4), pp. 327-337.
- Cui, G., Ren, S., Rui, Z., Ezekiel, J., Zhang, L., & Wang, H., (2018). "The influence of complicated fluid-rock interactions on the geothermal exploitation in the CO2 plume geothermal system", *Applied Energy*, 227(October), pp. 49-63.
- Currie, J., Hanushek, E. A., Khan, E. M., Neidell, M., &Rivkin, S. G., (2009). "Does Pollution Increase School Absences?", *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 91(4), pp. 682-694.

- Diao, X. D., Zeng, S. X., Tam, C. M. & Tam, V. W. Y., (2009). "EKC Analysis for Studying Economic Growth and Environmental Quality: A Case Study in China", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 17(5), pp. 541-548.
- Dunlap-Hinkler, D., Kotabe, M., &Mudambi, R., (2010). "A story of breakthorough versus incremental innovation: Corporate entrepreneurship in the global pharmaceutical industry", *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 4(2),pp. 106-127.
- Efobi, U., (2015). "Politicians' Attributes and Institutional Quality in Africa: A Focus on Corruption", *Journal of Economic Issues*, 49(3), pp. 787-813.
- Efobi, U., Tanankem, B., Orkoh, E., Atata, S. N., Akinyemi, O., &Beecroft, I., (2018). "Environmental Pollution Policy of Small Businesses in Nigeria and Ghana: Extent and Impact", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*: DOI: 10.1007/s11356-018-3817-x.
- Esso, L.J. (2010). "Threshold cointegration and causality relationship between energy use and growth in seven African countries", *Energy Economics*, 32(6), pp. 1383-1391.
- Fosu, A. K. (2015). "Growth, Inequality and Poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa: Recent Progress in a Global Context", *Oxford Development Studies*, 43(1), pp. 44-59.
- He, J., & Richard, P., (2010). "Environmental Kuznets Curve for Co2 in Canada", *Ecological Economics*, 69(5), pp. 1083-1093.
- Hongwu, L., (2013). "How to solve African governance and development issues: A perspective from China", BRIDGES AFRICA, http://www.ictsd.org/bridges-news/bridges-africa/news/how-to-solve-african-governance-and-development-issues-a (Accessed: 07/04/2017).
- Huxster, J. K., Uribe-Zarain, X. & Kempton, W., (2015). "Undergraduate Understanding of Climate Change: The Influences of College Major and Environmental Group Membership on Survey Knowledge Scores", *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 46(3), pp. 149-165.
- Jarrett, M. B., (2017). "Lights out: poor governance and Africa's energy crisis", *The Africa Report*. http://www.theafricareport.com/News-Analysis/lights-out-africas.html (Accessed: 01/12/2018).
- Jolliffe, I. T. (2002) Principal Component Analysis (2nd Ed.) New York: Springer. Kaiser, H. F. (1974) "An index of factorial simplicity" Psychometrika 39(1), pp. 31–36.
- Jones, K. R., (2003). "Multilateral Environmental Agreements in Africa: Efforts and Problems in Implementation", *International Environmental Agreements*, 3(2), pp.97-135.
- Jumbe, C. B., (2004). "Cointegration and Causality between Electricity Consumption and GDP: Empirical Evidence from Malawi", *Energy Economics*, 26(1), pp. 61-68.
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A &Mastruzzi, M., (2010). "The worldwide governance indicators: Methodology and analytical Issues". *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* No 5430, Washington.

Kifle, T. (2008). "Africa hit hardest by Global Warming despite its low Greenhouse Gas Emissions", *Institute for World Economics and International Management Working Paper*No. 108,http://www.iwim.uni-bremen.de/publikationen/pdf/b108.pdf(Accessed: 08/09/2015).

Le Van, S., &Chon, B. H., (2017). "Effective Prediction and Management of a CO2 Flooding Process for Enhancing Oil Recovery Using Artificial Neural Networks". *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 140(3), 032906, pp. 1-14.

Mbah, P.O., &Nzeadibe, T. C., (2016). "Inclusive municipal solid waste management policy in Nigeria: engaging the informal economy in post-2015 development agenda", *Local Environment. The International Journal of Justice and Sustainability*, 22(2), pp. 203-224.

Mehrara, M., (2007). "Energy consumption and economic growth: The case of oil exporting countries", *Energy Policy*, 35(5), pp. 2939-2945.

Meniago, C., & Asongu, S. A., (2018). "Revisiting the finance-inequality nexus in a panel of African countries", *Research in International Business and Finance*, 46(December), pp. 399-419.

Menyah, K., &Wolde-Rufael, Y., (2010). "Energy consumption, pollutant emissions and economic growth in South Africa", *Energy Economics*, 32(6), pp. 1374-1382.

Narayan, P.K., Mishra, S., & Narayan, S., (2011). "Do market capitalization and stocks traded converge? New global evidence". *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35(10), pp.2771-2781.

Nwokora, Z., & Pelizzo, R., (2018). "Measuring Party System Change: A Systems Perspective", *Political Studies*, 66(1), pp. 100-118.

Odhiambo, N. M., (2009a). "Electricity consumption and economic growth in South Africa: a trivariate causality test". *Energy Economics*, 31(5), pp. 635–640.

Odhiambo, N. M., (2009b). "Energy consumption and economic growth nexus in Tanzania: an ARDL bounds testing approach". *Energy Policy*, 37 (2), pp. 617–622.

Odhiambo, N. M., (2010). "Energy Consumption, Prices and Economic Growth in Three SSA Countries: A Comparative Study" *Energy Policy*, 38(5), pp. 2463-2469.

Odhiambo, N. M., (2014a). "Energy Dependence in Developing Countries: Does the Level of Income Matter", *Atlantic Economic Journal*, 42(1), pp. 65–77.

Odhiambo, N. M., (2014b). "Electricity Consumption, Exports And Economic Growth in the Democratic Republic of Congo: An ARDL-Bounds Testing Approach", *Journal of Developing Areas*, 48(4), pp.189-207.

Olusegun, O. A., (2008). "Consumption and Economic Growth in Nigeria: A bounds testing cointegration approach", *Journal of Economic Theory*, 2(4), pp. 118-123.

Oluwatobi, S., Efobi, U.R., Olurinola, O.I., Alege, P. (2015), "Innovation in Africa: Why Institutions Matter", *South African Journal of Economics*, 83(3), pp. 390-410.

Osabuohien, E. S., & Efobi, U. R., (2013). "Africa's money in Africa", *South African Journal of Economics*, 81(2), pp. 292-306.

Ozturk, I., & Acaravci, A., (2010). "CO2 emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in Turkey", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(9), pp. 3220-3225.

Pelizzo, R., Araral, E., Pak, A., & Xun, W., (2016). "Determinants of Bribery: Theory and Evidence from Sub- Saharan Africa", *African Development Review*, 28(2), pp. 229-240.

Pelizzo, R., & Nwokora, Z., (2016). "Bridging the Divide: Measuring Party System Change and Classifying Party Systems", *Politics & Policy*, 44(6), pp. 1017-1052.

Pelizzo, R., & Nwokora, Z., (2018). "Party System Change and the Quality of Democracy in East Africa", *Politics & Policy*, 46(3), pp. 505-528.

Petrakis, P. E., &Stamatakis, D. (2002). "Growth and educational levels: a comparative analysis". *Economics of Education Review*, 21(2), pp. 513-521.

Rich, D. Q., (2017). "Accountability studies of air pollution and health effects: lessons learned and recommendations for future natural experiment opportunities", *Environment International*, 110(March), pp. 62-78.

Roodman, D., (2009a). "A Note on the Theme of Too Many Instruments", *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 71(1), pp. 135-158.

Roodman, D., (2009b). "How to do xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata", *Stata Journal*, 9(1), pp. 86-136.

Rui, Z., Wang, X., Zheng, Z., Lu, J., Chen, C., Zhou, X., &Patil, S., (2018). "A realistic and integrated model for evaluating oil sands development with Steam Assisted Gravity Drainage technology in Canada", *Applied Energy*, 213(March), pp. 76-91.

Shurig, S. (2015). "Who will fund the renewable solution to the energy crisis?", *theguardian*, http://www.theguardian.com/global-development-professionals-network/2014/jun/05/renewable-energy-electricty-africa-policy (Accessed: 08/09/2015).

Sunyer, J., Esnaola, M., Alvarez-Pedrerol, M., Forns, J., Rivras, I., López-Vicente, M., SuadesGonzález, E., Foraster, M., Garcia-Esteban, R., Basagaña, X., Viana, M., Cirach, M, Moreno, T., Alastuey, A., Sebastian-Galles, N., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., &Querol, X., (2015). "Association between Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Schools and Cognitive Development in Primary School Children: A Prospective Cohort Study", PLOS MEDICINE, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001792.

Tchamyou, V. S., (2017). "The Role of Knowledge Economy in African Business". *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 8(4), pp. 1189-1228.

Tchamyou, V. S., (2019a). "Education, Lifelong learning, Inequality and Financial access: Evidence from African countries". *Contemporary Social Science*. DOI: 10.1080/21582041.2018.1433314.

Tchamyou, V. S., (2019b). "The Role of Information Sharing in Modulating the Effect of Financial Access on Inequality". *Journal of African Business*: Forthcoming.

Tchamyou, V. S., & Asongu, S. A., (2017). "Information Sharing and Financial Sector Development in Africa", *Journal of African Business*, 18(7), pp. 24-49.

Tchamyou, V.S., Erreygers, G., & Cassimon, D., (2019). "Inequality, ICT and Financial Access in Africa", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*,139(February), pp. 169-184.

Zivin, J. S. G., & Neidell, M. J., (2012). "The impact of pollution on worker productivity," *American Economic Review*, 102(7), pp. 3652-3673.