

Sticky prices in the euro area: a summary of new micro evidence

Luís Álvarez

(Banco de España)

Emmanuel Dhyne

(Banque Nationale de Belgique)

Marco Hoeberichts

(De Nederlandsche Bank)

Claudia Kwapil

(Oesterreichische Nationalbank)

Hervé Le Bihan

(Banque de France)

Patrick Lünnemann

(Banque Centrale du Luxembourg)

Fernando Martins

(Banco de Portugal)

Roberto Sabbatini

(Banca d' Italia)

Harald Stahl

(Deutsche Bundesbank)

Philip Vermeulen

(European Central Bank)

Juoko Vilmunen

(Bank of Finland)



Discussion Paper
Series 1: Economic Studies
No 02/2006

Editorial Board:

Heinz Herrmann
Thilo Liebig
Karl-Heinz Tödter

Deutsche Bundesbank, Wilhelm-Epstein-Strasse 14, 60431 Frankfurt am Main,
Postfach 10 06 02, 60006 Frankfurt am Main

Tel +49 69 9566-1

Telex within Germany 41227, telex from abroad 414431, fax +49 69 5601071

Please address all orders in writing to: Deutsche Bundesbank,
Press and Public Relations Division, at the above address or via fax +49 69 9566-3077

Reproduction permitted only if source is stated.

ISBN 3-86558-110-2 (Printversion)

ISBN 3-86558-111-0 (Internetversion)

Abstract:

This paper presents original evidence on price setting in the euro area at the individual level. We use micro data on consumer (CPI) and producer (PPI) prices, as well as survey information. Our main findings are: (i) prices in the euro area are sticky and more so than in the US; (ii) there is evidence of heterogeneity and of asymmetries in price setting behaviour; (iii) downward price rigidity is only slightly more marked than upward price rigidity and (iv) implicit or explicit contracts and coordination failure theories are important, whereas menu or information costs are judged much less relevant by firms.

JEL codes: C25, D40, E31

Keywords: Price setting, Price stickiness, Consumer prices, Producer prices, survey data

Non-Technical Summary

This paper brings together original evidence on price setting in the euro area based on recently available quantitative individual price data underlying official consumer (CPI) and producer (PPI) price indices, as well as qualitative information from surveys of firms. The quantitative datasets are particularly well suited for the analysis of the key features of price setting behaviour, since they have a comprehensive coverage of retail and manufacturing prices and are made up of a huge number of price quotes that extend over several years. This contrasts with the bulk of previous micro-studies, which mostly focused on very specific products or markets, referred to the United States and analysed consumer prices only. In addition, we use survey based data that complement the previous ones, given that certain aspects of firms' pricing policies can only be investigated on the basis of this information. Specifically, firms' responses can provide insights into the relative importance of nominal versus real rigidities or the type of information set used in the revision of prices.

Our main findings on price setting practices at the micro level can be summarised in 9 main stylised facts.

1. Firms in the euro area change their prices infrequently, on average around once a year. Price durations are significantly longer than in the US.
2. Price adjustment is heterogeneous across sectors. For consumer prices, flexibility is highest for energy and unprocessed food and lowest for services. For producer prices, flexibility is highest for energy and food and lowest for capital goods.
3. Price decreases are common, so there is no evidence of strong downward price rigidity. The service sector is the main exception.
4. When price adjustments occur, they tend to be quite large: the absolute magnitude is around 8-10 percent in the retail sector and about 5 percent in the producer sector.

5. The frequency of price changes is affected by macroeconomic conditions (such as the inflation rate), sectoral conditions (such as the cost structure or the degree of competition), time factors (like seasonality) and specific shocks (such as VAT changes, the euro cash changeover, etc.). Survey evidence also supports the coexistence of firms with time and state dependent pricing strategies
6. According to surveys, mark-up pricing is the dominant strategy; price setting according to main competitors' prices is also relevant.
7. Survey evidence suggests asymmetries in the adjustment of prices in response to cost versus demand factors. In particular, prices respond more strongly to cost increases rather than decreases, while they react more to a fall in demand than to a rise.
8. Surveys show a coexistence of forward and backward looking price setters.
9. Surveys indicate that implicit or explicit contracts and strategic interactions among competing firms are the main sources of price stickiness. Menu and information costs theories are judged much less relevant by firms.

Nicht technische Zusammenfassung

Dieses Papier präsentiert neue Erkenntnisse zum Preissetzungsverhalten im Eurogebiet. Sie basieren auf erst vor kurzem zugänglich gemachten quantitativen Preis-Einzeldaten, die den Konsumentenpreis- und Erzeugerpreis-Indizes der statistischen Ämter zugrunde liegen, sowie auf qualitativen Angaben aus Unternehmensbefragungen. Die quantitativen Angaben eignen sich besonders gut für die Analyse von zentralen Eigenschaften des Preissetzungsverhaltens, da sie die beiden Warenkörbe der Konsumentenpreis- und der Erzeugerpreis-Indizes nahezu vollständig abdecken. Außerdem bestehen die Datensätze aus einer sehr großen Anzahl von Einzelpreisen und erstrecken sich über mehrere Jahre. Dies unterscheidet das vorliegende Papier von dem Großteil früherer Studien, die zumeist ausgewählte Güter und Märkte behandelten, die sich auf die USA bezogen und die ausschließlich Konsumentenpreise analysierten. Zusätzlich verwendet das vorliegende Papier Umfragedaten, da gewisse Aspekte der Preispolitiken von Firmen nur auf Grundlage dieser Informationen untersucht werden können. Insbesondere können die Umfragedaten Einblick in die relative Bedeutung von nominalen Rigiditäten gegenüber realen Rigiditäten oder in die Art der Information, die Eingang in die Überprüfung von Preisen findet, geben.

Die zentralen Ergebnisse zur Preissetzungspraxis auf der Mikroebene können in neun stilisierten Fakten zusammengefasst werden:

1. Firmen im Euroraum ändern ihre Preise vergleichsweise selten, im Durchschnitt etwa einmal im Jahr. Zum Vergleich zu den USA werden Preise über einen deutlich längeren Zeitraum hinweg nicht geändert.
2. Es gibt sektorale Unterschiede in der Preisanpassung. Die Flexibilität von Konsumentenpreisen ist für Energie und für unverarbeitete Nahrungsmittel am höchsten. Sie ist am niedrigsten für Dienstleistungen. Bei den Erzeugerpreisen ist die Flexibilität für Energie und Nahrungsmittel am größten und für Kapitalgüter am niedrigsten.

3. Preissenkungen sind weit verbreitet. Somit gibt es keinen Hinweis auf starke Preisrigidität nach unten. Der Dienstleistungssektor ist dabei die wichtigste Ausnahme.
4. Werden Preise angepasst, dann in der Regel kräftig: die absolute Höhe bewegt sich in einer Größenordnung von 8 bis 10 Prozent im Einzelhandel und beträgt rund fünf Prozent bei den Erzeugerpreisen.
5. Die Häufigkeit von Preisänderungen wird durch makroökonomische Größen (beispielsweise die Inflationsrate), sektorale Größen (wie die Kostenstruktur oder die Wettbewerbsbedingungen) und spezifische Schocks (wie Änderungen der Mehrwertsteuer, dem Wechsel vom DM- zum Euro-Bargeld, etc.) beeinflusst. Die Umfrageergebnisse bestätigen, dass es zeitgleich Firmen gibt, die ihre Preise in regelmäßigen Abständen oder zu regelmäßigen Zeitpunkten ändern, und Firmen, die den Zeitpunkt einer Preisänderung von ökonomischen Faktoren abhängig machen.
6. Den Umfrageergebnissen zufolge werden Preise überwiegend durch eine Gewinnaufschlag auf die Kosten gesetzt. Die Orientierung am Preis des wichtigsten Wettbewerbers wurde ebenfalls häufig genannt.
7. Die Umfrageergebnisse legen den Verdacht nahe, dass sich Veränderungen der Kosten und der Nachfrage asymmetrisch auf die Preise auswirken. Preise reagieren stärker auf den Anstieg von Kosten als auf deren Rückgang und stärker auf einen Rückgang der Nachfrage als auf deren Anstieg.
8. Die Umfrageergebnisse zeigen, dass es sowohl Firmen gibt, die sich bei ihrer Preissetzung an der Vergangenheit orientieren, als auch Firmen, die dazu verstärkt Erwartungen heranziehen.
9. Die Umfragen deuten darauf hin, dass explizite Verträge, wie auch solche, die auf stillschweigenden Vereinbarungen beruhen, und strategische Interaktionen zwischen Wettbewerbern die Hauptursache für eine zögerliche Preisanpassung sind. Theorien, die auf physischen Preisanpassungskosten oder Informationskosten beruhen, werden von den Firmen als weniger relevant angesehen.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Firms price setting: stylized facts	3
3	The mechanics of price-setting	8
3.1	Competition and price-setting rules	8
3.2	Asymmetries in price reaction to shocks	9
3.3	Time-dependent versus state-dependent price reviewing	10
3.4	The role of information in pricing behaviour	11
3.5	The main theories of price stickiness	11
4	Conclusions	13

Lists of Tables and Figures

Figure 1	Examples of individual price trajectories	2
Table 1	Measures of price stickiness in the euro area and the US	4
Table 2	Frequency of price changes by type of good	6
Table 3	Occurrence and size of price increases and price decreases	7
Table 4	Survey evidence on price setting	8
Table 5	Survey evidence on price reviewing	10
Table 6	Theories of price stickiness	12

Sticky prices in the euro area: a summary of new micro evidence¹

1 Introduction

A better empirical understanding of individual price setting is crucial for building macro models of inflation with adequate micro foundations that may help in the design and conduct of monetary policy². Micro founded macro models of inflation are typically based on highly stylised assumptions on firms pricing behaviour, as in Calvo (1983) and Taylor (1980). However, implications for inflation dynamics are not invariant to the type of micro price setting. In addition, the speed of adjustment of inflation to shocks to the economy is directly linked to the speed of price adjustment of individual agents.

This paper summarises original evidence on price setting in the euro area based on recently available quantitative individual price data underlying official consumer³ (CPI) and producer⁴ (PPI) price indices, as well as qualitative information from surveys of firms⁵. These empirical analyses have been produced in the context of the Inflation Persistence Network (IPN), a large research effort conducted by economists of the Eurosystem. This approach has allowed to obtain unprecedented evidence for the euro area, based on three types of data sources⁶.

¹ Corresponding author: L. J. Álvarez (ljalv@bde.es).

Authors affiliations: Banco de España (L. J. Álvarez), Banque Nationale de Belgique (E. Dhyne), De Nederlandsche Bank (M. Hoeberichts), Oesterreichische Nationalbank (C. Kwapil), Banque de France (H. Le Bihan), Banque Centrale du Luxembourg (P. Lünemann), Banco de Portugal (F. Martins), Banca d'Italia (R. Sabbatini), Deutsche Bundesbank (H. Stahl), European Central Bank (P. Vermeulen), and Bank of Finland (J. Vilmunen).

We are grateful to national statistical institutes for providing the individual price records, to all IPN members, especially S. Fabiani, J. Galí, V. Gaspar, I. Hernando, J. Hoffmann, T. Mathä, F. Smets and G. Veronese as well as participants at the 2005 meeting of the European Economic Association and at a CEMFI seminar for helpful comments and suggestions. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the central banks to which they are affiliated.

² See Angeloni *et al* (2005)

³ See Álvarez *et al.* (2005a), Álvarez and Hernando (2004), Aucremanne and Dhyne (2004, 2005), Baudry *et al.* (2004), Baumgartner, *et al.* (2005), Dias *et al.* (2004, 2005), Fougère *et al.* (2005), Hoffmann and Kurz-Kim (2005), Jonker *et al.* (2004), Lünemann and Mathä (2005a), Veronese *et al.* (2005), Vilmunen and Laakkonen (2005) and the summary by Dhyne *et al.* (2005).


⁴ See Álvarez *et al.* (2005b), Dias *et al.* (2004), Dossche (2005), Sabbatini *et al.* (2004), Stahl (2005a) and the summary by Vermeulen *et al.* (2005).

⁵ See Álvarez and Hernando (2005), Aucremanne and Druant (2004), Fabiani *et al.* (2004), Hoeberichts and Stokman (2004), Kwapil *et al.* (2005), Loupias and Ricart (2004), Lünemann and Mathä (2005b), Martins (2005), Stahl (2005b) and the summary by Fabiani *et al.* (2005).

⁶ CPI evidence for the euro area is based on the analysis of a common basket of 50 product categories observed during the January 1996- January 2001 period. Corresponding figures for the US are based on a similar basket of 50 products when statistical information at the product category level was available (Bils and Klenow (2004)). PPI and survey evidence are based on

The first corresponds to micro consumer prices collected by National Statistical Institutes (NSIs) to construct national CPIs. Available databases contain several millions monthly price quotes for 10 euro area countries (Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal and Spain). The second source corresponds to individual producer prices also collected by NSIs to compute PPIs. Databases are available for 5 euro area countries (Belgium, Germany, Italy, Portugal and Spain). The typical CPI and PPI quantitative information used is the price trajectory associated to one particular product sold in one particular outlet (in the case of CPI) or by one specific manufacturing firm (in the case of PPI). Examples of price trajectories taken from the Belgian CPI and Italian PPI datasets are given in Figure 1.

Figure 1 - Examples of individual price trajectories



Note : Actual examples of price trajectories, from the Belgian CPI and Italian PPI databases (See Aucremanne and Dhyne (2004) and Sabbatini (2005)). Prices are in Belgian Francs and Euro, respectively.

Such large datasets are particularly well suited for the analysis of the key features of price setting behaviour, since they have a comprehensive coverage of retail and manufacturing prices and are made up of a huge number of price quotes that extend over several years. This contrasts with the previous micro-studies⁷, which mostly focused on very specific products or markets, referred to the United States and analysed consumer prices only.

more heterogeneous national samples, although results emerge consistently in all the euro area countries analysed.

⁷ Cecchetti (1986) for consumer prices and Stigler and Kindhal (1970) and Carlton (1986) for producer prices are seminal papers in this area. Recently, Bils and Klenow (2004) for the US and Baharad and Eden (2004) for Israel have exploited similar consumer price data to the ones we use.

The third source of information stems from surveys of firms, following the seminal work by Blinder *et al.* (1998). In the surveys, performed by euro area national central banks (NCBs), more than 11,000 firms from 9 countries (Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal and Spain) were questioned about their price-setting practices. These qualitative data are complementary to the previous ones, since there are certain aspects of firms' pricing policies that can only be investigated on the basis of this information. In particular, firms' responses can provide insights into the relative importance of nominal versus real rigidities or the type of information set used in the revision of prices. Furthermore, survey analysis allows to empirically assess alternative theories on price stickiness. Finally, survey results are also useful in cross checking the evidence obtained from quantitative databases⁸.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a set of stylised facts describing firms' price setting practices as they can be captured by the available quantitative data. Section 3 investigates different aspects of price-setting behaviour, dealing with issues such as time- and state dependency, asymmetries and factors underlying price stickiness. Finally, section 4 concludes and highlights implications for monetary policy.

2 Firms price setting practices: stylised facts

The following stylised facts emerge consistently in the different euro area countries investigated:

Fact 1 – Firms change their prices rather infrequently: on average around once a year.

On average, 15 p.c. of consumer prices (see Table 1) are changed in a given month in the euro area compared to 25 p.c. in the US (Bils and Klenow, 2004). Producer prices set in the euro area seem to be adjusted slightly more frequently than retail prices: around 20 p.c. of them are changed in a given month. These frequencies imply average price durations close to one year in the euro area, while the corresponding duration in the US is slightly above half a year. In addition, survey results show that in the euro area about two-thirds of firms do not change their prices more than once a year (Fabiani et al., 2005).

⁸ In this respect, it is worth mentioning that most surveyed firms sell their main product predominantly to other firms. This means that survey prices are closer to producer prices than to consumer prices.

Table 1 – Measures of price stickiness in the euro area and the US

(p.c. per month unless otherwise stated)

	Statistics	Euro area	US
CPI ¹	Frequency	15.1	24.8
	Average duration (<i>months</i>)	13.0	6.7
	Median duration (<i>months</i>)	10.6	4.6
PPI ²	Frequency	20.0	n.a
Surveys ³	Frequency	15.9	20.8
	Average duration (<i>months</i>)	10.8	8.3
NKPC ⁴	Average durations (<i>months</i>)	13.5-19.2	7.2-8.4
Internet prices ⁵	Frequency	95.5	94.7

¹ Dhyne *et al.* (2005) for the euro area, Bils and Klenow (2004) for the US. Euro area refers to the aggregate of Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal and Spain. ² Vermeulen *et al.* (2005). Euro area corresponds to the aggregate of Belgium, Germany, Italy, Portugal and Spain. ³ Fabiani *et al.* (2005) for the euro area and Blinder *et al.* (1998) for the US. Euro area refers to the aggregate of Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal and Spain. Converted from original interval grouped figures ⁴ Gali *et al.* (2001, 2003). Estimates correspond to the GDP deflator and are converted from original quarterly figures. ⁵ Lünemann and Wintr (2005). Euro area corresponds to the aggregate of Germany, France and Italy and are converted from original daily figures.

These results are also consistent with the estimates of New-Keynesian Phillips curves for the euro area and the US by Gali *et al.* (2001, 2003). In contrast, Lünemann and Wintr (2005) find that the frequency of price adjustment does not differ substantially between the US and the three largest euro area countries using Internet prices for a selection of product categories.

Several factors can be put forward to explain the discrepancy in the frequency of consumer price changes observed between the euro area and the US: (i) differences in the level and variability of inflation, (ii) in the structure and degree of competition of the distribution sector, (iii) in the methods followed by National Statistical Institutes to collect elementary prices, (iv) in the frequency and magnitude of cost and demand shocks, and (v) in the composition of the consumption basket. Next we briefly report a few facts underlying each of the above arguments.

First, both the level and the volatility of inflation was somewhat higher in the United States than in the euro area in the considered sample period (average monthly inflation was, respectively, 0.21 p.c. and 0.12 p.c. and its standard deviation 0.20 p.c. and 0.16 p.c.) and the frequency of price adjustment is found to be positively related to inflation and its variability. Second, small corner shops have a higher market share in euro area countries, while super and hypermarkets play a more substantial role in the US (Pilat, 1997) and available evidence suggests that large retailers change their prices more frequently⁹. The third factor is also relevant, particularly as regards the statistical treatment of sales and promotions: in the

samples used, price changes due to sales we not considered in most euro area countries in contrast with the US. The fourth factor might also play a role, since the analysis of the frequency of price changes does not control for differences in cost shocks. To the extent that wage and other input prices are more flexible in the US, this could help explain the lower frequency of price changes in the euro area. Finally, the difference in the degree of price stickiness is unlikely to be due to differences in consumption patterns, as the expenditure share of the more flexible components of the HICP (see Table 2) is larger in the euro area compared to the US.

Fact 2 – Price adjustment is heterogeneous across sectors.

Large differences are observed across sectors in the frequency of price adjustment. As shown in Figure 1, firms that change their prices very frequently (e.g. almost on a continuous basis for gasoline products) coexist with those keeping their prices constant for relatively long periods.

Consumer price changes are relatively frequent for energy products (only refined petroleum products are considered) and unprocessed food (see Table 2). On the opposite, prices change very infrequently in the service sector and, to a lesser extent, for non-energy industrial goods. Processed food occupies an intermediate situation. The same ranking is also observed in the US.

As regards producer prices, energy and food products are also characterized by more frequent price changes, whereas capital goods and durables are the stickier components. It seems that the frequency of price changes decreases with the degree of sophistication of the product. Capital goods and products at the end of the production line (durables and non durables other than food) are characterised by less frequent price changes than food products and intermediate goods.

⁹ See Baudry *et al.* (2004), Dias *et al.* (2004), Jonker *et al.* (2004) and Veronese *et al.* (2005)

Table 2 – Frequency of price changes by type of goods (*in p.c per month.*)

CPI ¹	Unprocessed food	Processed food	Non-energy industrial goods	Energy	Services	
Euro area	28	14	9	78	6	
US	48	27	22	74	15	
PPI ²	Food	Durable goods	Consumer non durable non food	Energy	Intermediate goods	Capital goods
Euro area	26	10	12	70	22	9
Surveys ³	Goods	Trade	Other services			
Euro area	16	18	11			

¹ Dhyne *et al.* (2005) for the euro area, Bils and Klenow (2004) for the US

² Vermeulen *et al.* (2005)

³ Authors' calculations based on Fabiani *et al.* (2005)

Finally, survey evidence points out that prices of services other than trade are stickier than those for manufacturing goods and trade. Within trade, prices of food and energy change more frequently than for other goods or services, in line with CPI evidence¹⁰.

Heterogeneity in the degree of consumer price flexibility is found by Hoffmann and Kurz-Kim (2005) to be related to the volatility of the respective input prices (wages, producer and import prices). In line with this result, Álvarez *et al.* (2005b), Álvarez and Hernando (2005) and Sabbatini *et al.* (2005) document that differences in the cost structure across sectors help explain differences in the degree of price flexibility. Specifically, it is found that labour intensity negatively affects the frequency of price adjustments, given that wages are typically changed once a year, whereas the share of intermediate goods (e.g. energy) in overall inputs affects it positively. Survey evidence in Álvarez and Hernando (2005) also shows that sectors in which the perceived degree of competition is high feature less sticky prices (See Section 3 below). Similarly, Lünnemann and Mathä (2005a) report that the larger the number of competitors a supermarket has the higher the frequency of price adjustment, whereas a larger market share reduces the frequency of price reductions.

Fact 3 – Price decreases are common.

Price changes occur infrequently in the euro area but this is not due to generalised downward nominal rigidities (see Table 3). As regards micro CPI data, around 40 p.c. of the price changes observed in a given month are price decreases and a share of 45 p.c. of price

¹⁰ See Álvarez and Hernando (2005).

decreases is found with micro PPI data. This somewhat surprising fact is in line with the evidence obtained by Klenow and Kryvstov (2005) for the US and characterises all euro area countries. The higher price stickiness observed in the euro area compared to the US is, therefore, not the result of an excess of downward nominal price rigidity.

Nevertheless, it has to be pointed that although there is not general evidence in favour of downward price rigidity, large sectoral discrepancies are again observed. Particularly, price decreases are relatively uncommon in the service sector, where only 1 price change out of 5 is a price reduction (Dhyne *et al.*, 2005). This may reflect that variable costs for services are rarely reduced, reflecting the intensive use of labour in services and the fact that wages do not go down frequently.

Table 3 – Occurrence and size of price increases and price decreases

	CPI ¹	Euro area	US
Price increases	Frequency (<i>in p.c per month.</i>)	8.3	16.1
	Average size (<i>in p.c per month.</i>)	8.2	12.7
Price decreases	Frequency (<i>in p.c per month.</i>)	5.9	13.2
	Average size (<i>in p.c. per month</i>)	10.0	14.1
PPI ²			
Price increases	Frequency (<i>in p.c per month.</i>)	11.0	
Price decreases	Frequency (<i>in p.c per month.</i>)	9.0	

¹ Dhyne *et al.* (2005) for the euro area, Klenow and Kryvstov (2005) for the US

² Vermeulen *et al.* (2005)

Facts 4 – Price changes, when they occur, are sizeable.

When prices change, they are changed by a large amount. Thus, on average, consumer price increases have a size of 8.2 p.c., with 10 p.c. being the size of the average price cut. In the US the size of consumer price decreases is also slightly larger than that of price increases. Based on evidence presented in Vermeulen *et al.* (2005), it seems that the typical size of producer price increases and decreases are smaller than the figures for comparable consumer prices.

With regard to the sectoral dimension, we observe in the unprocessed food sector not only frequent but also very large price changes (see Dhyne *et al.* (2005)). Furthermore, price increases and decreases tend to offset each other, since the frequency and the size of price increases and decreases are almost identical. This suggests that prices in this sector are driven largely by supply-side factors related to the seasonal nature of many unprocessed food items.

Energy prices change very often but by a limited amount in most countries. This is consistent with the pronounced variability of marginal costs (oil prices) and the large incidence of indirect taxation on these products.

3 The mechanics of price-setting

In this section, we analyse price-setting practices of firms in the euro area, drawing on the evidence from surveys (Fabiani *et al.*, 2005) and from various econometric analyses conducted using quantitative price data.

3.1 Competition and price-setting rules

The degree of market competition is a key factor in firms' pricing strategies. In a market with perfect competition, prices are set at a unique market clearing level, which equals marginal costs and there are no mark-ups. Thus, price rigidities after shocks do not arise. Price stickiness is thus only possible in the presence of some departure from perfect competition. Under the New-Keynesian sticky price models framework, firms are assumed to operate in monopolistic markets. Survey results show that, even though the majority of firms seem to operate in a highly competitive environment, most of them still possess some degree of price-setting autonomy.

Table 4 - Survey evidence on price setting

(mean scores, unless otherwise stated)

Use of price setting rules (percentages)			
Markup	54		
Competitors' price	27		
Other	18		
Importance of factors driving price increases		Importance of factors driving price decreases	
Costs of raw materials	3.0	Costs of raw materials	2.5
Labour costs	3.0	Labour costs	2.1
Competitors' price	2.4	Competitors' price	2.8
Demand	2.2	Demand	2.5
Financial costs	2.2	Financial costs	1.9

Source: Fabiani *et al.* (2005)

Note: Mean scores correspond to a scale from 1 (not important) to 4 (very important)

¹¹ Other measures, such as the number of competitors in the main market or the market share, were also analysed but they were considered as poor indicators of how firms' behaviour is affected by the degree of competition.

Indeed, as shown in Table 4, mark-up pricing is the dominant pricing rule identified in the euro area: Fabiani *et al.* (2005) find that, using GDP weights, 54 p.c. of euro area firms report to follow such a rule. Furthermore, as expected, the use of mark-up pricing increases as the perceived level of competition goes down.

In addition, survey results show that firms facing strong competitive pressures – proxied by the importance they attached to competitors’ price changes – tend to adjust their prices more frequently¹¹.

3.2 Asymmetries in price reaction to shocks

There is some empirical evidence that price responses are sensitive to the nature and direction of shocks hitting the economy¹². Survey analysis provides evidence not only on the relative importance of various factors driving price changes and whether there are asymmetries in price reactions to the direction of shocks but also on the speed of price responses to different types of shocks. Regarding the former, cost shocks are more relevant in driving prices upwards than downwards, while changes in market conditions (in demand and competitors’ prices) matter more for price decreases. Fabiani *et al.* (2005) provide evidence that labour and raw materials costs are the most important factors driving prices upward (see Table 4), while these factors rank fourth and second in explaining price decreases. With regard to market conditions, the surveys show that the competitor’s price is the most important factor explaining price decreases, while it ranks third among the explanations for price increases. In addition, firms in highly competitive markets are more likely to respond to shocks, in particular to those affecting demand.

As to the time dimension of price responses, Fabiani *et al.* (2005) conclude that the time lag of the median firm for a price reaction after a shock lies between 1 and 3 months. This is broadly in line with a mean lag of around 3 months reported by Blinder *et al.* (1998) for the US. Furthermore, on the basis of information coming from the mean lag of a price reaction to four different types of shocks (cost and demand shocks, both positive and negative), Blinder *et al.* (1998) conclude that there is no evidence that prices (i) adjust faster upward than downward, and (ii) respond more rapidly to cost shocks than to demand shocks. The findings in the euro area are in line with those obtained for the US.

¹² For instance, Peltzman (2000) shows that prices respond asymmetrically to positive and negative cost changes.

3.3 *Time-dependent versus state-dependent price reviewing*

In the theoretical literature time-dependent and state-dependent rules are considered for modelling price-setting behaviour.¹³ In the presence of shocks, time-dependent rules might lead to stickier prices than state-dependent ones.

When looking at the micro price datasets there are several indications for the presence of both rules, although it is difficult to clearly distinguish between the two. In all countries, there is clear evidence that prices exhibit a seasonal pattern: prices are more likely to be changed in the first quarter, especially in January, or after the summer, especially in September. However, this pattern itself does not discriminate between rules, as the observed behaviour could reflect changes in costs or in demand, which are subject to seasonal patterns as well, or be related to time-dependent behaviour of price setters. This is also corroborated by the evidence coming from survey analysis, where firms were directly asked whether their prices are predominantly reviewed at a well-defined frequency or in response to market conditions. The results show that firms in the euro area apply both time and state dependent rules (see Table 5): around one-third of them follow pure time-dependent rules whereas the remaining two-thirds use pricing rules with some elements of state-dependency. Among this last group of firms those applying a mixed strategy, i.e. that follow time-dependent rules but switch to state-dependent ones in the event of specific circumstances, are predominant (46 p.c. of total firms). These findings are in line with those obtained by Blinder *et al.* (1998) that report that in the United States the share of firms following time-dependent rules is 40 p.c. Additional evidence supporting the use of state-dependent pricing strategies comes from quantitative data on consumer and producer prices. For instance, Dhyne *et al.* (2005) report that the frequency of price adjustment or the probability of price change is generally found to be influenced by sectoral or aggregate price or wage developments. It is also systematically found to be affected by changes in indirect taxation and the euro-cash changeover.

Table 5 - Survey evidence on price reviewing

(percentages)			
Price reviewing rules		Information set used in price reviews	
Time-dependent	34	Rule of thumb	n.a.
State-dependent	20	Past and present	34
Both	46	Present and future	48
		Past, present and future	n.a.

Source: Fabiani *et al.* (2005)

¹³ Under time-dependent rules, prices are reviewed at discrete time intervals, which are independent of the state of the economy and can be either fixed as in Taylor (1980) or stochastic as in Calvo (1983). As opposed to time-dependent rules, in state-dependent rules the timing of price reviews is endogenous and firms decide to review their prices only when there is a sufficiently large shift in market conditions, as in Sheshinski and Weiss (1977) or Dotsey, King and Wolman (1999).

3.4 *The role of information in pricing behaviour*

One unresolved issue in macroeconomic theory is whether inflation should be modelled primarily as a backward-looking variable, as in the so-called traditional expectations-augmented Philips Curve, or as a forward-looking variable, as in New Keynesian Philips Curve (NKPC). In this debate, the main point lies in the short run behaviour of inflation and its implications for monetary policy (see, for instance, Galí *et al.*, 2001). The unsettled nature of this issue has led some authors to prefer hybrid versions of the Phillips Curve that also include backward-looking terms (see, for instance, Fuhrer, 1997).

Survey analysis, by asking firms directly about the information set they take into account when reviewing their prices, can help assess the relative relevance of the two paradigms. According to the evidence collected, around half of the interviewed firms (48 p.c.) review their prices taking into account a wide range of information, which includes expectations about future economic developments¹⁴. However, one-third of firms build their price decisions without looking to economic forecasts. This is important evidence since departures from fully optimising behaviour could be an additional source of stickiness in the response of inflation to shocks. Further evidence that firms do not follow a fully optimising behaviour when reviewing their prices is available for Belgium, Luxembourg, Portugal and Spain: around 30% of firms indicate that a “rule of thumb” (e.g. indexation based on the consumer price index or on wage growth) is used.

3.5 *The main theories of price stickiness*

In the various national surveys, firms were also asked directly about the reasons which prevent prompt adjustment of their prices. Each option, explained in a language that could be easily understood, aimed at capturing one of the most common theories of sticky prices¹⁵. The theory of “implicit contracts” ranks first among the explanations (see Table 6) underlying price stickiness. It is based on the idea that firms want to establish a long-run relationship with their customers in order to make their future sales more predictable. To do so, they try to win customers’ loyalty by changing their prices as rarely as possible. Customers are attracted by stable prices because it helps them to minimise search costs (e.g. shopping time). This

¹⁴ The question asked by Blinder *et al.* (1998) for the US relates only to the role of inflation forecasts in firms’ price setting. They found that half of respondents never take into account economy-wide inflation forecasts when setting their prices.

¹⁵ A detailed description of each theory as well as their rankings can be found in Fabiani *et al.* (2005).

empirical result is consistent with others found in the surveys, in particular with the fact that most of the firms (70 p.c.) reported that they have a long-term relationship with their customers and may also explain why firms are more likely to increase their prices in response to cost shocks than to demand shocks, as they try not to jeopardise customer relationships.

Table 6 – Theories of price stickiness

	Euro area (mean score)	US (ranking)
Implicit contracts	2.7	4
Explicit contracts	2.6	5
Cost-based pricing	2.6	2
Co-ordination failure	2.4	1
Judging quality by price	2.1	12
Temporary shocks	2.0	
Change non-price factors	1.7	3
Menu costs	1.6	6
Costly information	1.6	
Pricing thresholds	1.6	8

Sources: Euro area: Fabiani *et al.* (2005). US: Blinder *et al.* (1998)

Other explanations underlying price stickiness considered as important by the interviewed firms were explicit contracts which are costly to renegotiate, marginal costs that vary too little when costs are an important determinant in firms' pricing decisions (cost-based pricing) and coordination failure problems arising from the preference of firms not to change prices unless their competitors do so. In contrast, alternative explanations of price stickiness such as menu costs, pricing thresholds and costly information were not considered very relevant by respondents. These results are in line with previous studies (Apel *et al.*, 2005, Amirault *et al.*, 2005, Blinder *et al.*, 1998, Hall *et al.*, 1997). However, it is interesting to note that the existence of implicit or explicit contracts as a source of price stickiness is considered somewhat less important in the US (Blinder *et al.*, 1998) than in the euro area. This could also partly explain the higher frequency of price changes observed in the US.

Finally, it is worth noting that price adjustment takes place in two steps, namely a price *review* and a price *change*. The four theories indicated by firms as the main explanations underlying price stickiness concern the second stage of price setting, suggesting that the main impediments for more frequent price adjustments lie at the stage in which firms consider the possibility of changing the price, without necessarily taking any action. Indeed, the theory of "costly information", namely the costs associated with the gathering and processing information for pricing decisions at the first stage of price adjustment, received the lowest score in the euro area surveys.

4 Conclusions

The research summarized in this paper has produced numerous new empirical results on the characteristics and determinants of price-setting in the euro area. Three of the most noticeable are the following. First, prices in the euro area are sticky and considerably than in the US. Second, there is no apparent general downward price rigidity: around 40 p.c. of price changes are decreases, although there exist important sectoral differences (in particular in services this share is around 20 p.c.). Third, price-setting in practice cannot be easily reconciled with one simple model given the evidence of heterogeneity and of asymmetries. As regards the factors driving price stickiness, the relevance of some theoretical explanations is confirmed by survey analyses (explicit contracts, marginal costs and coordination failure); others, instead, are judged much less relevant by firms (menu costs, pricing thresholds and costly information).

Regarding monetary policy, the first finding has two implications. On the one hand, a longer duration of price spells is expected to reduce the impact of adverse shocks on inflation. On the other hand, assuming a positive relationship between price rigidity and inflation persistence, a given deviation of inflation from target requires a stronger reaction of monetary policy to stabilize inflation under sticky prices than under flexible prices. The second finding suggests that the Eurosystem can in the long run pursue a low inflation target without impeding real price adjustments. The implications of the third finding are likely to be more involved, which suggests that studying optimal monetary policy under asymmetry and heterogeneity is an important research avenue.

References

- Álvarez, L. J. and I. Hernando, (2004), "Price Setting Behaviour in Spain. Stylised Facts Using Consumer Price Micro Data", *ECB Working Paper Series* No 416.
- Álvarez, L. J. and I. Hernando, (2005), "The price setting behaviour of Spanish firms: evidence from survey data", *ECB Working Paper Series* No ///
- Álvarez, L. J., Burriel, P. and I. Hernando, (2005a), "Do Decreasing Hazard Functions Make Sense?", *ECB Working Paper Series* No 461.
- Álvarez, L. J., Burriel, P. and I. Hernando, (2005b), "Price-setting behaviour in Spain: evidence from micro PPI data", *ECB Working Paper Series* No 522
- Amirault, D., Kwan, C. and G. Wilkinson (2004), "A survey of the price-setting behaviour of Canadian companies", *Bank of Canada Review*, Winter 2004-2005, pp. 29-39.
- Angeloni, I.; Aucremanne, L.; Ehrmann, M.; Galí, J.; Levin, A. Y Smets, F. (2005): "New evidence on inflation persistente and price stickiness in the euro area: implications for macro models and policy". Paper presented at the 20th Congress of the European Economic Association.
- Apel, M., R. Friberg and K. Hallsten (2005), "Micro foundations of macroeconomic price adjustment: survey evidence from Swedish firms", *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 37(2), pp. 313-338.
- Aucremanne, L. and E. Dhyne (2004), "How Frequently Do Prices Change? Evidence Based on the Micro Data Underlying the Belgian CPI", *ECB Working Paper Series* No 331.
- Aucremanne, L. and E. Dhyne (2005), "Time-dependent versus State-dependent Pricing: A Panel Data Approach to the Determinants of Belgian Consumer Price Changes.", *ECB Working Paper Series* No 462.
- Baharad, E. and B. Eden. (2004) "Price Rigidity and Price Dispersion: Evidence from Micro Data", *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 7 pp. 613-641.
- Baudry, L., Le Bihan H., Sevestre, P. and S. Tarrieu (2004), "Price Rigidity- Evidence from French CPI micro-data", *ECB Working Papers Series* No 384.
- Baumgartner, J., E. Glatzer, F. Rumler and A. Stiglbauer (2005), "How Frequently Do Consumer Prices Change in Austria? Evidence from Micro CPI Data", *Oesterreichische Nationalbank*, mimeo.
- Bils, M, and P. Klenow (2004), "Some evidence on the importance os sticky prices", *Journal of Political Economy* 112, pp. 947-985.
- Blinder, A.S., E. Canetti, D.E. Lebow and J.B. Rudd (1998), *Asking about prices: a new approach to understanding price stickiness*, Russell Sage Foundation, New York.
- Calvo, G. (1983), "Staggered Prices in a Utility Maximizing Framework", *Journal of Monetary Economics*. Vol. 12, pp. 383-98.
- Carlton, D. (1986), "The rigidity of prices", *American Economic Review*, 76, pp. 637-658.
- Cecchetti, S. (1986), "The frequency of price adjustment: a study of the newsstand prices of magazines", *Journal of Econometrics*, 31, 255-274.
- Dhyne, E., L. Álvarez, H. Le Bihan, G. Veronese, D. Dias, J. Hoffman, N. Jonker, P. Lünemann, F. Rumler and J. Vilmunen (2005), "Price setting in the euro area: some stylised facts from individual consumer price data", *ECB Working paper* n° ///

- Dias, D., C. Robalo Marques, and J. M. C. Santos Silva (2005), "Time or State Dependent Price Setting Rules? Evidence from Portuguese micro data", *Banco de Portugal*, mimeo.
- Dias, M., Dias, D., and P. Neves (2004), "Stylised Features of Price Setting Behaviour in Portugal: 1992-2001", *ECB Working Paper Series No 332*.
- Dossche, M. (2005), "The Patterns of Price Setting in the Belgian Manufacturing Industry", *National Bank of Belgium*, mimeo
- Dotsey, M., R. King and A. Wolman (1999), "State-dependent pricing and the general equilibrium dynamics of money and output", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 114, pp. 655-690.
- Fabiani S., M. Druant, I. Hernando, C. Kwapil, B. Landau, C. Loupias, F. Martins, T. Mathä, R. Sabbatini, and A. Stokman (2005), "The pricing behaviour of firms in the Euro Area: new survey evidence", forthcoming *ECB Working Paper*.
- Fabiani, S., A. Gattulli and R. Sabbatini (2004), "The pricing behaviour of Italian firms: new survey evidence on price stickiness", *ECB Working Paper No 333*.
- Fougère, D., Le Bihan H. and P. Sevestre (2005), "Heterogeneity in Price Stickiness: a Microeconomic Investigation", forthcoming *ECB Working Paper*.
- Fuhrer, J. C. (1997), The (Un)importance of Forward Looking Behavior in Price Specifications, *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 29(3), pp 338-350.
- Galí, J., M. Gertler and D. López-Salido (2001), "European inflation dynamics", *European Economic Review*, Vol. 45, pp. 1237-1270.
- Galí, J., M. Gertler, and D. López-Salido (2003), "Erratum to European Inflation Dynamics [European Economic Review 45 (2001), 1237-1270]", *European Economic Review*, 47(4), 759-760.
- Hall, S., M. Walsh and A. Yates (2000), "Are UK companies' prices sticky?", *Oxford Economic Papers*. Vol. 52, pp. 425-46.
- Hoeberichts, M. and A. Stokman (2005), "Pricing behaviour of Dutch companies: main results from a survey", *De Nederlandsche Bank*, mimeo.
- Hoffmann, J. and J.-R. Kurz-Kim (2005), "Consumer price adjustment under the microscope: Germany in a period of low inflation", *Deutsche Bundesbank*, mimeo.
- Jonker, N., Blijenberg H. and C. Folkertsma (2004), "Empirical analysis of price setting behaviour in the Netherlands in the period 1998-2003 using micro data ", *ECB Working Paper No 413*.
- Klenow, P. and O. Kryvtsov (2005), "State-Dependent or Time-Dependent Pricing: Does it Matter for Recent U.S. Inflation?", mimeo
- Kwapil, C., J. Baumgartner and J. Scharler (2005), "The price-setting behavior of Austrian firms: some survey evidence", *ECB Working Paper No 464*.
- Loupias, C. and R. Ricart (2004), "Price setting in France: new evidence from survey data", *ECB Working Paper No 423*.
- Lünnemann, P. and T. Mathä (2005a), "Consumer Price Behaviour in Luxembourg: Evidence From Micro CPI data", forthcoming *ECB Working Paper*.
- Lünnemann, P. and T. Mathä (2005b), "New survey evidence on the pricing behaviour of Luxembourg firms", *Banque Centrale du Luxembourg*, mimeo.
- Lünnemann, P. and L. Wintr (2005): "Are Internet Prices Sticky?", mimeo

- Martins, F. (2004), "The price setting behaviour of Portuguese firms: evidence from survey data", *Banco de Portugal*, mimeo.
- Peltzman, S. (2000), "Prices rise faster than they fall", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 108, no. 3, pp. 466-502.
- Pilat, Dirk (1997), "Regulation and Performance in the Distribution Sector", *OECD Economics Department Working Paper No 180*
- Sabbatini, R, Fabiani S., Gattulli A. and G. Veronese, (2005), "Producer price behaviour in Italy : Evidence from micro PPI data", *Banca d'Italia*, mimeo
- Sheshinski, E. S., and Y. Weiss (1977), "Inflation and Costs of Price Adjustment", *Review of Economic Studies*. Vol. 44, pp. 287-303.
- Stahl, H. (2005a), "Producer Price Adjustment at the Micro Level: Evidence from Individual Price Records Underlying the German PPI", *Deutsche Bundesbank*, mimeo.
- Stahl, H. (2005b), "Price setting in German Manufacturing: New Evidence from New Survey Data", *Deutsche Bundesbank*, mimeo.
- Stigler, G. and J. Kindhal (1970), *The Behavior of Industrial Prices*, NBER General Series, No. 90, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Taylor, J. B., (1980), "Aggregate Dynamics and Staggered Contracts", *Journal of Political Economy*. Vol. 88 (1), pp. 1-23.
- Taylor J. (1999), "Staggered price and wage setting in macroeconomics", *Handbook of Macroeconomics*, vol. I, J. Taylor and M. Woodford, ed. chapter 15.
- Vermeulen P. *et al* (2005), "Price setting in the euro area: some stylised facts from individual producer price and survey data", ECB, mimeo.
- Veronese G, S. Fabiani, A. Gattulli. and R. Sabbatini (2005), "Consumer Price Behaviour In Italy: Evidence From Micro CPI Data", *ECB Working Paper No 449*.
- Vilmunen, J. and H. Laakkonen (2005), "How Often Do Prices Change in Finland ? Evidence from Micro CPI Data", *Suomen Pankki*, mimeo.

The following Discussion Papers have been published since 2005:

Series 1: Economic Studies

1	2005	Financial constraints and capacity adjustment in the United Kingdom – Evidence from a large panel of survey data	Ulf von Kalckreuth Emma Murphy
2	2005	Common stationary and non-stationary factors in the euro area analyzed in a large-scale factor model	Sandra Eickmeier
3	2005	Financial intermediaries, markets, and growth	F. Fecht, K. Huang, A. Martin
4	2005	The New Keynesian Phillips Curve in Europe: does it fit or does it fail?	Peter Tillmann
5	2005	Taxes and the financial structure of German inward FDI	Fred Ramb A. J. Weichenrieder
6	2005	International diversification at home and abroad	Fang Cai Francis E. Warnock
7	2005	Multinational enterprises, international trade, and productivity growth: Firm-level evidence from the United States	Wolfgang Keller Steven R. Yeaple
8	2005	Location choice and employment decisions: a comparison of German and Swedish multinationals	S. O. Becker, K. Ekholm, R. Jäckle, M.-A. Muendler
9	2005	Business cycles and FDI: evidence from German sectoral data	Claudia M. Buch Alexander Lipponer
10	2005	Multinational firms, exclusivity, and the degree of backward linkages	Ping Lin Kamal Saggi

11	2005	Firm-level evidence on international stock market comovement	Robin Brooks Marco Del Negro
12	2005	The determinants of intra-firm trade: in search for export-import magnification effects	Peter Egger Michael Pfaffermayr
13	2005	Foreign direct investment, spillovers and absorptive capacity: evidence from quantile regressions	Sourafel Girma Holger Görg
14	2005	Learning on the quick and cheap: gains from trade through imported expertise	James R. Markusen Thomas F. Rutherford
15	2005	Discriminatory auctions with seller discretion: evidence from German treasury auctions	Jörg Rocholl
16	2005	Consumption, wealth and business cycles: why is Germany different?	B. Hamburg, M. Hoffmann, J. Keller
17	2005	Tax incentives and the location of FDI: evidence from a panel of German multinationals	Thiess Buettner Martin Ruf
18	2005	Monetary Disequilibria and the Euro/Dollar Exchange Rate	Dieter Nautz Karsten Ruth
19	2005	Berechnung trendbereinigter Indikatoren für Deutschland mit Hilfe von Filterverfahren	Stefan Stamford
20	2005	How synchronized are central and east European economies with the euro area? Evidence from a structural factor model	Sandra Eickmeier Jörg Breitung
21	2005	Asymptotic distribution of linear unbiased estimators in the presence of heavy-tailed stochastic regressors and residuals	J.-R. Kurz-Kim S.T. Rachev G. Samorodnitsky

22	2005	The Role of Contracting Schemes for the Welfare Costs of Nominal Rigidities over the Business Cycle	Matthias Paustian
23	2005	The cross-sectional dynamics of German business cycles: a bird's eye view	J. Döpke, M. Funke S. Holly, S. Weber
24	2005	Forecasting German GDP using alternative factor models based on large datasets	Christian Schumacher
25	2005	Time-dependent or state-dependent price setting? – micro-evidence from German metal-working industries –	Harald Stahl
26	2005	Money demand and macroeconomic uncertainty	Claus Greiber Wolfgang Lemke
27	2005	In search of distress risk	J. Y. Campbell, J. Hilscher, J. Szilagyi
28	2005	Recursive robust estimation and control without commitment	Lars Peter Hansen Thomas J. Sargent
29	2005	Asset pricing implications of Pareto optimality with private information	N. R. Kocherlakota Luigi Pistaferri
30	2005	Ultra high frequency volatility estimation with dependent microstructure noise	Y. Aït-Sahalia, P. A. Mykland, L. Zhang
31	2005	Umstellung der deutschen VGR auf Vorjahrespreisbasis – Konzept und Konsequenzen für die aktuelle Wirtschaftsanalyse sowie die ökonomische Modellierung	Karl-Heinz Tödter

32	2005	Determinants of current account developments in the central and east European EU member states – consequences for the enlargement of the euro area	Sabine Herrmann Axel Jochem
33	2005	An estimated DSGE model for the German economy within the euro area	Ernest Pytlarczyk
34	2005	Rational inattention: a research agenda	Christopher A. Sims
35	2005	Monetary policy with model uncertainty: distribution forecast targeting	Lars E.O. Svensson Noah Williams
36	2005	Comparing the value relevance of R&D reporting in Germany: standard and selection effects	Fred Ramb Markus Reitzig
37	2005	European inflation expectations dynamics	J. Döpke, J. Dovern U. Fritsche, J. Slacalek
38	2005	Dynamic factor models	Sandra Eickmeier Jörg Breitung
39	2005	Short-run and long-run comovement of GDP and some expenditure aggregates in Germany, France and Italy	Thomas A. Knetsch
40	2005	A “wreckers theory” of financial distress	Ulf von Kalckreuth
41	2005	Trade balances of the central and east European EU member states and the role of foreign direct investment	Sabine Herrmann Axel Jochem
42	2005	Unit roots and cointegration in panels	Jörg Breitung M. Hashem Pesaran
43	2005	Price setting in German manufacturing: new evidence from new survey data	Harald Stahl

- 1 2006 The dynamic relationship between the Euro overnight rate, the ECB's policy rate and the term spread Dieter Nautz
Christian J. Offermanns

- 2 2006 Sticky prices in the euro area: a summary of new micro evidence Álvarez, Dhyne, Hoerberichts
Kwapil, Le Bihan, Lünnemann
Martins, Sabbatini, Stahl
Vermeulen, Vilmunen

Series 2: Banking and Financial Studies

1	2005	Measurement matters – Input price proxies and bank efficiency in Germany	Michael Koetter
2	2005	The supervisor’s portfolio: the market price risk of German banks from 2001 to 2003 – Analysis and models for risk aggregation	Christoph Memmel Carsten Wehn
3	2005	Do banks diversify loan portfolios? A tentative answer based on individual bank loan portfolios	Andreas Kamp Andreas Pfingsten Daniel Porath
4	2005	Banks, markets, and efficiency	F. Fecht, A. Martin
5	2005	The forecast ability of risk-neutral densities of foreign exchange	Ben Craig Joachim Keller
6	2005	Cyclical implications of minimum capital requirements	Frank Heid
7	2005	Banks’ regulatory capital buffer and the business cycle: evidence for German savings and cooperative banks	Stéphanie Stolz Michael Wedow
8	2005	German bank lending to industrial and non-industrial countries: driven by fundamentals or different treatment?	Thorsten Nestmann
9	2005	Accounting for distress in bank mergers	M. Koetter, J. Bos, F. Heid C. Kool, J. Kolari, D. Porath
10	2005	The eurosystem money market auctions: a banking perspective	Nikolaus Bartzsch Ben Craig, Falko Fecht
11	2005	Financial integration and systemic risk	Falko Fecht Hans Peter Grüner

12	2005	Evaluating the German bank merger wave	Michael Koetter
13	2005	Incorporating prediction and estimation risk in point-in-time credit portfolio models	A. Hamerle, M. Knapp, T. Liebig, N. Wildenauer
14	2005	Time series properties of a rating system based on financial ratios	U. Krüger, M. Stötzel, S. Trück
15	2005	Inefficient or just different? Effects of heterogeneity on bank efficiency scores	J. Bos, F. Heid, M. Koetter, J. Kolatri, C. Kool

Visiting researcher at the Deutsche Bundesbank

The Deutsche Bundesbank in Frankfurt is looking for a visiting researcher. Among others under certain conditions visiting researchers have access to a wide range of data in the Bundesbank. They include micro data on firms and banks not available in the public. Visitors should prepare a research project during their stay at the Bundesbank. Candidates must hold a Ph D and be engaged in the field of either macroeconomics and monetary economics, financial markets or international economics. Proposed research projects should be from these fields. The visiting term will be from 3 to 6 months. Salary is commensurate with experience.

Applicants are requested to send a CV, copies of recent papers, letters of reference and a proposal for a research project to:

Deutsche Bundesbank
Personalabteilung
Wilhelm-Epstein-Str. 14

D - 60431 Frankfurt
GERMANY

