

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Jakob, Michael et al.

#### **Article**

Green fiscal reform for a just energy transition in Latin America

Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal

### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Kiel Institute for the World Economy – Leibniz Center for Research on Global Economic Challenges

Suggested Citation: Jakob, Michael et al. (2019): Green fiscal reform for a just energy transition in Latin America, Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal, ISSN 1864-6042, Kiel Institute for the World Economy (IfW), Kiel, Vol. 13, Iss. 2019-17, pp. 1-11, https://doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-17

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/193911

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Vol. 13, 2019-17 | March 7, 2019 | http://dx.doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-17

# Green fiscal reform for a just energy transition in Latin America

Michael Jakob, Rafael Soria, Carlos Trinidad, Ottmar Edenhofer, Celine Bak, Daniel Bouille, Daniel Buira, Hernan Carlino, Veronica Gutman, Christian Hübner, Brigitte Knopf, André Lucena, Luan Santos, Andrew Scott, Jan Christoph Steckel, Kanako Tanaka, Adrien Vogt-Schilb, Koichi Yamada

#### **Abstract**

Green fiscal reforms would contribute to climate change mitigation, increase the economic efficiency of national tax systems and provide additional public revenues. Some countries in Latin America have already taken first steps towards green fiscal reforms. This outlook article provides an overview of the major challenges for the successful implementation of such reforms and discusses how they could be overcome.

(Published as Global Solutions Paper)

**JEL** H23, E62, Q54, N16, Q48

**Keywords** Green fiscal reform; energy subsidies; Latin America; multi-objective climate policy; sequencing; distribution

#### Authors

M. Jakob, Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change (MCC), Berlin, Germany; R. Soria, Escuela Politécnica Nacional de Ecuador (EPN), Departamento de Ingeniería Mecánica, Quito, Ecuador, rafael.soria01@epn.edu.ec; C. Trinidad, Sociedad Peruana de Derecho Ambiental (SPDA), Lima, Perú; O. Edenhofer, Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change (MCC), Berlin, Germany; C. Bak, Analytica Advisors, Ottawa, Canada; D. Bouille, Fundación Bariloche, Buenos Aires, Argentina; D. Buira, Tempus Analítica, Ciudad de México, México; H. Carlino, Fundación Torcuato Di Tella (FTDT), Buenos Aires, Argentina; V. Gutman, Fundación Torcuato Di Tella (FTDT), Buenos Aires, Argentina; C. Hübner, Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung (KAS), Lima, Peru; B. Knopf, Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change (MCC); A. Lucena, Energy Planning Program (PPE), Graduate School of Engineering, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (COPPE/UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; L. Santos, Energy Planning Program (PPE), Graduate School of Engineering, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (COPPE/UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; A. Scott, Overseas Development Institute (ODI), London, UK; J.C. Steckel, Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change (MCC); K. Tanaka, Center for Low Carbon Society Strategy (LCS), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Tokyo, Japan; A. Vogt-Schilb, Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, USA; K. Yamada, Center for Low Carbon Society Strategy (LCS), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Tokyo, Japan

Citation M. Jakob, R. Soria, C. Trinidad, O. Edenhofer, C. Bak, D. Bouille, D. Buira, H. Carlino, V. Gutman, C. Hübner, B. Knopf, A. Lucena, L. Santos, A. Scott, J.C. Steckel, K. Tanaka, A. Vogt-Schilb, K. Yamada. Green fiscal reform for a just energy transition in Latin America. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 13 (2019-17): 1–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.5018/economics-journal.ja.2019-17

### 1 Background

Putting a price on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions can help reduce environmental damage linked to both local pollution and global warming (Bak et al. 2017). However, most countries in Latin America either have no such price or negative prices in the form of fossil fuel subsidies <sup>1</sup> (World Bank, Ecofys, and Vivid Economics 2017). This actively supports the use of fossil fuels, particularly for large oil producers (Di Bella et al. 2015). Green fiscal reforms that reduce subsidies for fossil fuels and introduce positive prices on emissions would not only contribute to climate change mitigation, but could also increase the economic efficiency of national tax systems and provide additional public revenues that could be employed to advance human development (Edenhofer et al. 2015).

Energy and climate policy is deeply embedded within a broad range of policy targets. For instance, many Latin American economies are dependent on extractive industries for exports and fiscal revenues and display high levels of economic inequality. Climate change mitigation can only be successful if it is part of a 'just transition' that fosters human well-being. For instance, Franks et al. (2018) emphasize the potential to cover financial needs to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) in different countries if all subsidies on fossil fuels were redirected accordingly. In some Latin America and Caribbean countries (LAC), a fossil fuel subsidy reform could cover a large part of the required finance to achieve the SDG goals, for instance, 60% in Bolivia, and 45% in El Salvador.

In 2013, when oil prices were relatively high, energy subsidies (including fuels and electricity) in LAC amounted to \$86 billion USD, which represented about 2% of GDP. In 2015, following the decline in oil prices, subsidies in LAC fell to about \$45 billion USD (Jewell et al. 2018). According to Jewell et al. (2018), under a high oil prices scenario, by 2030 LAC subsidies would grow to between \$70 and \$140 billion USD, and to \$40 to \$100 billion under a low oil prices scenario. The authors estimate that in LAC subsidy removal would lead to emission reductions of up to 5% below the base line scenario, comparable to the so-called 'conditional' NDCs<sup>2</sup> (that is, commitments dependent on international action).

Subsidies are larger in energy rich countries and in those that rank lower on measures of institutional and policy quality, such as budget transparency, rule of law, competitiveness or the ease of doing business (Di Bella et al. 2015). Among LAC oil producer countries that ranked lower in measures of institutional quality are Argentina, Belize, Bolivia, Ecuador, Suriname, and Venezuela (Di Bella et al. 2015). In these countries subsidies are seen as a way of sharing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We follow the International Energy Agency (IEA) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) definition of fossil fuel subsidies as government support of the consumption or production of oil, gas or coal that lowers their prices below market prices. This definition excludes un-priced environmental and social externalities, such as air pollution and related health effects, which are included in some other estimations, as for example in Coady et al. (2017). Failing to internalize environmental damages related to fossil fuel use also constitutes a form of a subsidy. Post-tax subsidies (which include climate and health impacts as well as foregone government revenue due to tax exemptions) are about ten times larger than the pre-tax subsidies considered by the price-gap approach.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National Determined Contributions, submitted by countries to the UNFCCC within the framework of the Paris Agreement.

resource wealth with the public, despite their benefits accruing mostly to richer households. Energy subsidies contributed to fiscal deficits, and their costs were comparable to that of education and health combined. In these countries, energy subsidies significantly constrained fiscal space and were in most cases not targeted to the poorest and most vulnerable. Energy subsidies were at times financed off budget via losses at state-owned enterprises, hampering transparency, increasing uncertainty, constraining energy sector investment and reducing economic efficiency.

On the other hand, some countries in the region have begun to implement deeper fiscal reforms to tax CO2 emissions, along with new international trends to put a price on carbon. In some cases, downstream taxes have been promoted aimed at taxing subjects that cause emissions with the consumption of fossil fuels (Chile). Other countries have maintained the structure of upstream taxes or taxes at the producer level (Colombia), but have contemplated innovative payment options that allow linking the carbon tax with carbon markets and cap and trade schemes (Mexico).

At the same time, efforts have also been made to strengthen the development of a regional carbon market. In June 2017, member countries of the Pacific Alliance committed to intensify their efforts in the measurement, reporting and verification of CO2 emissions to identify possible voluntary market mechanisms among countries in the region.<sup>3</sup> Besides, in December the same year, several countries and subnational governments in the region signed the Paris Declaration on the Price of Carbon in the Americas, which includes commitments to implement national carbon pricing policies, including the promotion of a market.<sup>4</sup>

These reforms and initiatives are recent, so it is not yet possible to have an accurate diagnosis of their effectiveness, but the projections and preliminary results are encouraging. For this reason, the next section considers how the key challenges for successful implementation of green fiscal reforms could be addressed.

### 2 Key challenges for green fiscal reform

After the adoption of the Paris Agreement, practically all countries in Latin America submitted their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) that specified their intended climate targets. Green fiscal reform would be a step to move from ambition to partial implementation of these targets. Even though there are some general insights applicable to all countries (Rentschler and Bazilian 2017; Withana 2016), the specific national situation will determine the details of policy design and implementation. The following sub-sections review the evidence provided by the

http://www.ieta.org/resources/News/Press\_Releases/2017/Declaration%20on%20Carbon%20Pricing\_FINAL.pdf

<sup>3</sup> https://alianzapacifico.net/?wpdmdl=9850

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The countries that signed this declaration were: Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Costa Rica, Canada and the states of California, Washington, Alberta, British Columbia, Nova Scotia, Ontario and Quebec.:

academic literature and compiles expert knowledge on selected countries to shed some light on possibilities for, and limitations to, green fiscal reform.

### 2.1 Identifying favorable political conditions for green fiscal reforms

A first step is to develop an understanding of the required enabling conditions for green fiscal reform. Variables such as the overall state of the economy, internal political stability, and public debt can be expected to play important roles (Karapin 2016). Developments on the international level, such as progress in international climate negotiations or introduction of green policies in other countries, may boost domestic support for green fiscal reforms. Likewise, newly appointed heads of state may have the clout necessary to successfully foster such reforms, especially when there is sufficient backing by the general population. Furthermore, trust in government effectiveness and the expectation that associated revenues would be used in beneficial ways have been found to be important factors for the successful introduction of green fiscal reforms (Drews and van den Bergh 2016; Klenert et al. 2018).

In Ecuador, the last decade saw favorable political conditions for fostering a green reform. Former President Rafael Correa was relatively popular, the oil price was very high between 2011 and 2013 (over US\$ 95 per barrel) (BCE 2019) and there was a large investment in hydro power plants (MEER 2017). Nevertheless, green fiscal reform was never introduced as the authorities were afraid of how citizens, especially poor people, would react.

### 2.2 Developing comprehensive reform plans

Energy and climate-related policies do not exclusively affect environmental issues; they also impact areas such as transport, industry, agriculture, finance, trade and social inclusion (Fuso Nerini et al. 2018). Policy-makers can build on synergies to ensure a just transition and increase support for reform (Vogt-Schilb and Hallegatte 2017). Policy areas of potential synergies include energy security (reduced reliance on fossil fuel imports), local environmental benefits and the potential to diversify the economy. On the other hand, trade-offs may arise in terms of economic competitiveness due to higher energy prices and adverse effects of renewable energy use on land-use, food production, and biodiversity (Tanaka 2011).

In addition, green fiscal reforms should consider the characteristics of different sources of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. For Latin American countries, a high share of emissions stems from land use, land use change and forestry (LULUCF), namely 42% of total emissions (CEPAL 2017). This level indicates how green fiscal reforms also require fiscal mechanisms to reduce deforestation, e.g. by channeling some of the revenues of fossil fuel subsidy reform or carbon pricing to results-based payments for forest protection.

The multi-objective nature of energy and climate policies needs to be reflected in comprehensive strategies that ensure consistency of climate targets with other policies. Such strategies will need to include all relevant ministries and encourage coordination between national and subnational public entities. Green reforms should particularly consider important

Latin American challenges such as informality, inequality, unemployment, air quality, or lack of national industries to provide capital inputs for renewable energy projects.

For instance, Argentina has recurrently aimed to reduce trade and fiscal imbalances, unemployment, poverty, and inflation while keeping external debt in check. Despite paucity in achieving those goals, an integrated green fiscal reform could accelerate investment in innovative technologies that not only reduce environmental impacts, but may also enhance competitiveness, job creation, poverty alleviation and reduce economic inequality.

In Peru, the main environmental problems are closely linked to social and energy problems, such as a lack of access to electricity and sanitation in rural areas. By replicating the successful international experiences, a green tax reform in Peru could finance payment schemes for environmental services to compensate indigenous communities and guarantee the sustainable use of forests (Trinidad and Vargas 2017). This requires coordination between various public sector agencies, such as the Ministries of Economy and Finance, Environment, Energy, Social Inclusion and Agriculture.

### 2.3 Sequencing of reforms and gradualism

In most situations, fiscal reforms cannot be introduced instantly; they normally require a preparatory phase that lowers the costs of reform, addresses barriers due to market imperfections and policy inconsistency, and ensures its legitimacy by reducing social cost of phasing out subsidies, thus reducing political resistance. For instance, fiscal incentives for renewable energy sources would create groups that would directly benefit from (and which can hence be expected to lend political support to) green policies (Meckling et al. 2015). Green fiscal reforms can also be introduced after building administrative capacity to effectively enforce the policies (e.g. by monitoring fossil fuel sales and tax payments).

In many countries price increases for LPG and diesel are politically contentious as these fuels are important for low-income households, either directly, in the case of LPG, or indirectly through public or goods transportation. On the other hand, gasoline prices can be less contentious in terms of aggravating poverty, on countries where they are predominantly consumed by richer households and are not used to produce basic goods. Suddenly raising prices for all fossil energy carriers to their desired level could cause substantial economic problems as firms and consumers require time to adjust (IMF 2013).

In 2017, Argentina introduced a tax (of approximately US\$ 10 per ton of CO<sub>2</sub>) on the carbon content of gasoline, gas oil, fuel oil, coal and other liquid and solid fossil fuels. The carbon tax has been designed to have no initial impact on the final prices of fuels as it partially replaces an existing tax on fuels. The intention has been to start preparing the ground and create consciousness among producers and consumers. As it is known, due to existing infrastructure limitations, the lack of technological alternatives at affordable prices, and the contentious tariff reform in place, the carbon tax will not, by itself, generate enough incentives for decarbonization in the scale and timeframe required. This shift will only be achieved if Argentina's carbon pricing policies are accompanied by adequate energy, infrastructure, industrial, technological and communications policies and, above all, if the macroeconomic

situation is structurally stabilized (Gutman 2018), in particular including an equitable fossil fuel subsidy reform.

Peru already applies taxes to gasoline and diesel based on the health impacts of its particulate matter and nitrous oxide emissions. Adding GHG emissions to this index would be a straightforward way to align the price of transport fuels with their true social costs (Jakob 2018). Likewise, in cost-benefit analyses of public investment, Peru incorporates an accounting price of about US\$ 7 per tCO<sub>2</sub>. These accounting costs of carbon could be raised gradually in line with increasingly ambitious national (as well as international) climate targets (Jakob 2018).

### 2.4 Understanding distribution and compensation

Phasing out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies has been a long-standing issue in the G20 negotiations. While the G7 has suggested that all countries should phase out subsidies by 2025, the G20 has not yet agreed on a date. The resistance partly stems from the fear that poor households would suffer from the phasing out of subsidies. In Latin America, a recent Inter-American Development Bank study suggests that, with energy subsidies, it costs governments US\$12 to transfer US\$1 of income to households in the poorest quintile. Gasoline and diesel are the most inefficient subsidies, costing US\$14 per dollar benefit. The most pro-poor fuels are gas or LPG, but they still costing about US\$9 on average per dollar distributed to poor households (Feng et al. 2018).

Different schemes can protect low-income households from the impacts of higher energy prices. These include direct cash transfers, in-kind transfers and the provision of health, education, social security, or public infrastructure, including public transportation (see Box 1). A further option are targeted tax reductions, such as indirect or regressive taxes and taxes on wages, but only in countries where the poorest households do indeed pay a substantial amount of taxes. In addition, numerous countries use block-pricing schemes for electricity, which allow low-income households to consume a specified amount of electricity at a reduced rate.

#### **Box 1:** Overview of compensation mechanisms

Increases in energy prices are likely to negatively affect the poorest social groups. Compensatory mechanisms that have been used in some countries in Latin America include:

**Vouchers:** When LPG subsidies were removed in 2002, Brazil introduced LPG vouchers for households that were recipients of the *Bolsa Família* social assistance program. The government later introduced a conditional cash transfer program to obviate the need for general LPG subsidies (Kojima 2013; Komives et al. 2008).

**Cash transfers:** The *Vale Gás* program in Brazil was established in 2001, and is still in operation. It assists consumption of gas by poor households by subsidizing bottle purchase and direct payment was made to registered families. Registration is combined with *Bolsa Família*.

**Reliance on general social protection programs:** In Mexico, LPG prices have been gradually increased (Toft et al. 2016). These reforms employed transfer mechanisms within existing social welfare mechanisms (*Oportunidades*) to mitigate the effects of higher prices.

**Social expenditure:** In Colombia, the income from the national carbon tax finances activities related to peace building, sustainable rural development and conservation, and environmental sustainability.

In principle, a small fraction of savings from subsidy removal is sufficient to compensate poor and vulnerable households, since poorer households benefit less than others from fossil fuel subsidies. For instance, in Ecuador the poorest 40% of the population only receive 20% of every dollar spent on subsidies for diesel and gasoline, whereas the other 80% accrue to the richest 60% of the population (Table 1). By contrast, redirecting revenues freed up by subsidy reform to spending on social security could raise the net income of the poorest 20% of the population by around 5% (Schaffitzel et al. 2018).

Table 1: Fraction of government proceeds from subsidy removal or energy taxation on different types of fuels needed to compensate poor and vulnerable households in 11 LAC countries

(i.e. households in the bottom 40% of the income distribution)

-	Diesel and gasoline	Electricity	Natural gas and LPG
Argentina	21.9%	27.4%	27.2%
Bahamas	27%	29.6%	
Barbados	14.1%	20.5%	
Chile	21.4%	27.3%	27.6%
Costa Rica	15.7%	26.7%	33.9%
Ecuador	20%	24.2%	33.6%
Guatemala	14.7%	18.7%	10.6%
Jamaica	19.1%	21.4%	23.2%
Nicaragua	17.5%	21.4%	20.7%
Paraguay	20.6%	21.4%	25.4%
Uruguay	15.1%	21.2%	28.1%

Source: Feng et al. (2018)

### 3 Conclusions

This short article has highlighted several issues that are crucial for the successful introduction and implementation of green fiscal reforms in the context of Latin American countries. The country-specific context needs to be considered to overcome political challenges. For instance, political conditions favorable for green fiscal reform may vary substantially between countries with different socio-economic conditions and political cultures. Likewise, the entities required to develop the comprehensive reform plans will crucially depend on the division of power between different ministries, and the proper sequencing of reform will be influenced by previous experience with certain policies and constrained by institutional and administrative capacities. Finally, the compensation schemes can only be implemented to the extent to which they are politically and technically feasible, i.e. not restricted by public resistance or difficulties to target recipients.

Stakeholder consultations can be an appropriate means to take into account all possible intricacies of green fiscal reforms. Such consultations would also ensure that all relevant social groups are represented in the decision-making process and that appropriate measures are adopted to alleviate excessive adverse impacts on any single group. In particular, green fiscal

reforms need to include consultation processes to guarantee that traditional rights of the indigenous peoples living in most Latin American countries are not violated. Such consultations should not only occur prior to the introduction of a reform, but its impacts and the position of key stakeholder to the reform should be continuously monitored.

In addition, the international community could play a key role in supporting green fiscal reforms. For instance, the G20 could host processes that allow the exchange of experiences to better understand the relationship between policies, their effects and their contexts. Regional development banks can play a very useful role in supporting the access of Latin American countries to international climate finance. Such international climate finance could not only be used for project finance, but could also cover the macro-economic costs of green fiscal reforms, e.g. by means of results-based payments that are tied to the introduction of a price on emissions (Steckel et al. 2017) or de-risking of clean energy and energy efficiency investments (Steckel and Jakob 2018).

**Acknowledgments** We thank the Think 20 Argentina 2018 (T20) for their support during initial stages of this work presented for the G20 Argentina 2018 meeting. We declare that there is no financial interest in the topic of the paper. Thus, there is no conflict of interest.

### References

- Bak, C., Amar Bhattacharya, Ottmar Edenhofer, and Brigitte Knopf (2017). Towards a comprehensive approach to climate policy, sustainable infrastructure, and finance. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 11 (2017-33): 1–13. http://dx.doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2017-33
- BCE 2019. Cifras del Sector Petrolero Serie Cifras Petroleras 2007–2018. File "SerieCifrasPetroleras.xls". Available to download in: https://contenido.bce.fin.ec/documentos/Estadisticas/Hidrocarburos/indice.htm Access: 06/03/2019.
- CEPAL (2017). La economía del cambio climático en América Latina y el Caribe: una visión gráfica. Comisión Económica Para América Latina y El Caribe (CEPAL). https://www.cepal.org/es/publicaciones/42228-la-economia-cambio-climatico-america-latina-caribe-vision-grafica
- Coady, David, Ian Parry, Louis Sears, and Baoping Shang (2017). How large are global fossil fuel subsidies? *World Development* 91 (March): 11–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.10.004
- Di Bella, Gabriel, Lawrence Norton, Joseph Ntamatungiro, Sumiko Ogawa, Issouf Samake, and Marika Santoro (2015). Energy subsidies in Latin America and the Caribbean: stocktaking and policy challenges. IMF. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2015/wp1530.pdf
- Drews, Stefan, and Jeroen C.J.M. van den Bergh (2016). What explains public support for climate policies? A review of empirical and experimental studies. *Climate Policy* 16 (7): 855–76. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2015.1058240
- Edenhofer, Ottmar, Michael Jakob, Felix Creutzig, Christian Flachsland, Sabine Fuss, Martin Kowarsch, Kai Lessmann, Linus Mattauch, Jan Siegmeier, and Jan Christoph Steckel (2015). Closing the emission price gap. *Global Environmental Change* 31: 132–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.01.003
- Feng, Kuishuang, Klaus Hubacek, Yu Liu, Estefanía Marchán, and Adrien Vogt-Schilb (2018). Managing the distributional effects of energy taxes and subsidy removal in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Applied Energy* 225 (September): 424–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.04.116
- Franks, Max, Kai Lessmann, Michael Jakob, Jan Christoph Steckel, and Ottmar Edenhofer (2018). Mobilizing domestic resources for the Agenda 2030 via carbon pricing. *Nature Sustainability* 1 (7): 350–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0083-3
- Fuso Nerini, Francesco, Julia Tomei, Long Seng To, Iwona Bisaga, Priti Parikh, Mairi Black, Aiduan Borrion, et al. (2018). Mapping synergies and trade-offs between energy and the Sustainable evelopment Goals. *Nature Energy* 3 (1): 10–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-017-0036-5
- Gutman, Verónica (2018). Decarbonization of the energy sector and carbon pricing: some considerations for Argentina. In 41st IAEE International Conference. International Association for Energy Economics. Groningen, Netherlands, June 10–13th. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13112.85762
- IMF (2013). Energy subsidy reform: lessons and implications. Policy Paper, January 28. http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2013/012813.pdf

- Jakob, Michael (2018). Can carbon pricing jointly promote climate change mitigation and human development in Peru? *Energy for Sustainable Development* 44 (June): 87–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2018.03.005
- Jewell, Jessica, David McCollum, Johannes Emmerling, Christoph Bertram, David E. H. J. Gernaat, Volker Krey, Leonidas Paroussos, et al. (2018). Limited emission reductions from fuel subsidy removal except in energy-exporting regions. *Nature* 554 (7691): 229–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25467
- Karapin, Roger (2016). *Political opportunities for climate policy: California, New York, and the Federal Government*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Klenert, David, Linus Mattauch, Emmanuel Combet, Ottmar Edenhofer, Cameron Hepburn, Ryan Rafaty, and Nicholas Stern (2018). Making carbon pricing work for citizens. *Nature Climate Change* 8 (8): 669–77. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0201-2
- Kojima, Masami (2013). Petroleum product pricing and complementary policies: experience of 65 developing countries s2009. Policy Research working paper; no. WPS 6396. Washington, DC: World Bank. <a href="http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/287081468139496312/Petroleum-product-pricing-and-complementary-policies-experience-of-65-developing-countries-since-2009">http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/287081468139496312/Petroleum-product-pricing-and-complementary-policies-experience-of-65-developing-countries-since-2009</a>
- Komives, Kristin, Vivien Foster, Jonathan Halpern, Quentin Wodon, and Roohi Abdullah (2008). Water, electricity, and the poor: who benefits from utility subsidies? Water P-Notes; No. 20. World Bank, Washington, DC. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/11745
- Meckling, J., N. Kelsey, E. Biber, and J. Zysman (2015). Winning coalitions for climate policy. *Science* 349 (6253): 1170–71. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab1336
- MEER (2017). Plan nacional de eficiencia energética. Ministerio de Electricidad y Energía Renovable de Ecuador. Available in:

  https://www.celec.gob.ec/hidronacion/images/stories/pdf/PLANEE%20version%20espa%C3%B1
  ol.pdf
- Rentschler, Jun, and Morgan Bazilian (2017). Policy monitor principles for designing effective fossil fuel subsidy reforms. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* 11 (1): 138–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/rew016
- Schaffitzel, Filip (2018). Energy subsidy reform in Ecuador: distributional impacts and compensation mechanisms. Master thesis presented to finish the Master course in Industrial Engineering at Technische Universität Berlin (TU Berlin), in cooperation with the Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change (MCC-Berlin). July, 2018.
- Steckel, Jan Christoph, and Michael Jakob (2018). The role of financing cost and de-risking strategies for clean energy investment. *International Economics*, 155 (October): 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2018.02.003
- Steckel, Jan Christoph, Michael Jakob, Christian Flachsland, Ulrike Kornek, Kai Lessmann, and Ottmar Edenhofer (2017). From climate finance toward sustainable development finance: from climate finance toward sustainable development finance. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* 8 (1): e437. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.437
- Tanaka, Kanako (2011). Review of policies and measures for energy efficiency in industry sector. *Energy Policy* 39 (10): 6532–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.07.058

- Toft, Lasse, Christopher Beaton, and Lucky Lontoh (2016). International experiences with LPG subsidy reform: options for Indonesia. IISD/GSI. http://www.iisd.org/sites/default/files/publications/international-experiences-with-LPG-subsidy-reform.pdf
- Trinidad, Carlos, and Samín Vargas (2017). *Opciones innovadoras de financiamiento de la conservación*. Derecho y economía ambiental. Lima: Sociedad Peruana de Derecho Ambiental. <a href="http://spda.org.pe/wpfb-file/opciones-innovadores-pdf-pdf/">http://spda.org.pe/wpfb-file/opciones-innovadores-pdf-pdf/</a>
- Vogt-Schilb, Adrien, and Stephane Hallegatte (2017). Climate policies and nationally determined contributions: reconciling the needed ambition with the political economy: climate policies and nationally determined contributions. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, August, e256. https://doi.org/10.1002/wene.256
- Withana, Sirini (2016). Overcoming obstacles to green fiscal reform. *The International Journal on Green Growth and Development* 2 (2): 161–188. https://search.proquest.com/docview/1855813595?accountid=15828
- World Bank, Ecofys, and Vivid Economics (2017). *State and trends of carbon pricing 2017*. Washington, DC: World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1218-7



Please note:

You are most sincerely encouraged to participate in the open assessment of this article. You can do so by either recommending the article or by posting your comments.

Please go to:

http://dx.doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-17

The Editor