

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Donni, Olivier; Molina, José Alberto

Working Paper

Household Collective Models: Three Decades of Theoretical Contributions and Empirical Evidence

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 11915

Provided in Cooperation with:

IZA - Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Donni, Olivier; Molina, José Alberto (2018): Household Collective Models: Three Decades of Theoretical Contributions and Empirical Evidence, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 11915, Institute of Labor Economics (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/193209

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

IZA DP No. 11915

Household Collective Models: Three Decades of Theoretical Contributions and Empirical Evidence

Olivier Donni José Alberto Molina

OCTOBER 2018



DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

IZA DP No. 11915

Household Collective Models: Three Decades of Theoretical Contributions and Empirical Evidence

Olivier Donni

THEMA and Université de Cergy-Pontoise

José Alberto Molina

University of Zaragoza and IZA

OCTOBER 2018

Any opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but IZA takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The IZA Institute of Labor Economics is an independent economic research institute that conducts research in labor economics and offers evidence-based policy advice on labor market issues. Supported by the Deutsche Post Foundation, IZA runs the world's largest network of economists, whose research aims to provide answers to the global labor market challenges of our time. Our key objective is to build bridges between academic research, policymakers and society.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

IZA DP No. 11915 OCTOBER 2018

ABSTRACT

Household Collective Models: Three Decades of Theoretical Contributions and Empirical Evidence*

Household collective models celebrate their thirtieth birthday. The collective approach constitutes, perhaps, the microeconomics topic that has produced the largest number of papers (both published and in working paper/mimeo formats) during the last three decades, beginning with the seminal paper published by P.A. Chiappori in Econometrica (Chiappori, 1988). To add to some excellent surveys of household collective models (Strauss et al., 2000; Vermeulen, 2002; Donni and Chiappori, 2011; Chiappori and Mazzocco, 2017), we here perform a bibliographic review of the literature, which includes theoretical contributions, as well as the international empirical evidence related to the collective approach. With respect to the theoretical papers, the collective framework has been used to provide theoretical results for a number of household issues; for example, labour supply, consumption and savings, household production, and intra-household allocation. As for the empirical papers, the international evidence covers the majority of developed and developing countries from all continents.

JEL Classification: D10, J22, Y10

Keywords: household, collective models, Pareto efficiency, sharing rule,

labor supply, consumption

Corresponding author:

José Alberto Molina Department of Economics Analysis Faculty of Economics Gran Via 2 5005 Zaragoza Spain

E-mail: jamolina@unizar.es

^{*} This document was mainly written while Jose Alberto Molina was Visiting Professor at the Department of Economics of Boston College (US), to which he would like to express his thanks for the hospitality and facilities provided. We are very grateful to Pierre-André Chiappori for helpful suggestions. Comments are welcome.

The collective approach celebrates its thirtieth birthday. On this occasion, we present a bibliography that includes all the papers (or at least most of them) inspired by the seminal paper of Pierre-André Chiappori, the founder of the collective approach, "Rational Household Labor Supply", published in 1988. The collective approach to household behavior arose from the hypothesis that (i) each individual in the household has specific preferences and (ii) the outcome of the decision process is Pareto efficient. In spite of important earlier contributions that already used Pareto efficiency as the basis of household behavior models (and which we have not included), the aforementioned paper and its sequel, "Collective Labor Supply and Welfare", published in 1992, introduced original ideas that have opened up new areas of research. We can point out at least three important and original contributions of these two papers:

1. The Pareto efficiency hypothesis is theoretically justified. Efficiency is seen as a fundamental axiom, comparable to the classical axioms of consumer theory. It can be challenged, but it remains the benchmark hypothesis. It also replaces the axioms of the Nash solution used in prior models of household behavior. Consequently, the collective model can be seen as the "true" neoclassical model of household behavior, while the unitary model remains a holistic deviation.

2. Pareto efficiency has two important consequences:

- **a. Testability:** Like any other model of household behavior that is susceptible to challenge, the unitary model must be testable from survey data (in Popper's sense). The collective model actually generates restrictions on household behavior that are not satisfied by any other model. These restrictions are comparable to the Slutsky conditions in the unitary case.
- **b. Identifiability:** In analogy with the unitary model, for which utility functions can be recovered up to a monotonic transformation, the structural components of the model must be recoverable from observable behavior (e.g., demand equations that are estimated from the usual data). Using the efficiency hypothesis, together with auxiliary hypotheses, it can be shown that individual utility functions and the sharing of resources can be recovered from observed behavior. Consequently, the intra-household distribution of resources can be studied by econometricians.
- **3.** A new technique of proof is proposed. Identifiability and testability is proven, according to a new technique that will be applied in many other papers.

This bibliography also includes papers that are not directly inspired by the two aforementioned papers, but have benefitted from the new interest by the profession in intra-household bargaining, with these including tests of the multi-person income-pooling hypothesis and multi-utility models of household behavior that include equivalence-scale models. We have included not only published papers, but also a number of books, chapters of books, and a number of unpublished papers that are included in reputable working paper collections. We also restrict the bibliography to "neo-classical" economic literature, even if the idea behind collective models may have been burgeoning outside this limited domain of research. Finally, one of our objectives is also to point out original papers that have been overlooked by the profession.

Despite that we have attempted to be complete and exhaustive, we are conscious that this bibliography does not do justice to some important papers (e.g., on risk sharing, or marriage) that, although they focus on the multiplicity of individuals in the household, are far from the collective approach.

Table of Contributions Relate to Collective Models (1988- October 2018)

Year	Authors	Journal	Theoretical/ Survey/Empirical	Country	Data/Years	Keywords	JEL Codes
1988	Chiappori	Econome- trica	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor Supply Intra-Household Nonparametric	NA
1989	Deaton	Book	NA	NA	NA	Household Surveys Microeconometric	NA
1989	Lommerud	JOLE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Marital Contracts Divorce Allocation of Time	NA
1990	Chiappori	AES	Theoretical	NA	NA	Demand Collective Good Pareto Efficiency	NA
1990	Haddad & Kanbur	EJ	Empirical	Philippines	1984-5	Intra-Household Inequality	NA
1990	Kooreman & Kapteyn	JHR	Empirical	Netherlands	DLMS/1982	Two-Person Games Labor Supply	NA
1990	McElroy	JHR	Theoretical	NA	NA	Nash-Bargained Household Decisions	NA
1990	Schultz	JHR	Empirical	Thailand	Socioeconomic Survey/1981	Labor Supply Fertility	NA
1990	Thomas	JHR	Empirical	Brazil	Survey/1974-75	Intra-Household Resource Allocation	NA
1990	Woolley	PhD Thesis	NA	NA	NA	Family Decisions Non-Cooperation Intergenerational	NA
1992	Bourguignon & Chiappori	EER	Survey	NA	NA	Collective Models Household Behavior	NA

1992	Chiappori	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor Supply Altruism Welfare	NA
1992	Haddad & Kanbur	EER	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Inequality	NA
1992	Lloyd	AEP	Theoretical	NA	NA	Rationality Intra-Household	NA
1992	Ott	Book	NA	NA	NA	Intra-Household Bargaining	NA
						Intra-Household	
1993	Haddad & Kanbur	AES	Empirical	Philippines	Household Survey	Nutritional Program	NA
1993	Bourguignon et al.	AES	Empirical	France	INSEE/1984-85	Intra-Household Consumption Income Pooling	NA
1993	Lundberg & Pollak	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Separate Spheres Bargaining Model Intra-Marriage	NA
1993	Thomas	AES	Empirical	Brazil	ENDEF/1974-75	Intra-Household Income Expenditures	NA
						•	
1994	Browning et al.	JPE	Empirical	Canada	FAMEX/ 1978, 82,84, 1986	Intra-Household Income Outcomes Efficiency	NA
1994	Chiappori	Chapter Book	Theoretical	NA	NA	Collective Household	NA
1994	Haddad & Hoddinot	WD	Empirical	Côte d'Ivore	CILSS/1986-87	Intra-Household Child Status Anthropometrics	NA
1994	Jerison	RES	Theoretical	NA	NA	Optimality Income Distribution	NA
1994	Kanbur & Haddad	OEP	Theoretical	NA	NA	Cooperation Household Production	NA
1994							
1994	Kawaguchi	AE	Empirical	Australia	AIDS/1986	Household Labor Supply	NA

1994	Lundberg & Pollak	AERP&P	Theoretical	NA	NA	Non-Cooperation Bargaining	NA
	-					Marriage	
1994	Thomas	JHR	Empirical	US Brazil Ghana	NLS/1986 GLSS/1987-88 ENDEF/1974-75 1986	Intra-Househod Parental Education Child Height	NA
1994	Thomas & Chen	Working Paper	Empirical	Taiwan	PSID/1980	Intra-Household Income Demand	NA
1995	Alderman et al.	WBRO	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Preferences Policy Analysis	NA
1995	Browning	RiE	Empirical	Canada	FES/ 1982-84-86-90-92	Intra-Household Savings Retirement	D13, D91
1995	Haddad et al.	OBES	Empirical	Philippines	455 households	Intra-Household Inequality Welfare	NA
1995	Hoddinott & Haddad	OBES	Empirical	Côte d'Ivoire	Survey	Female Income Household Expenditures	NA
1995	Konrad & Lommerud	SJE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Non-Cooperation Time Allocation Public Good	NA
1995	Phipps & Burton	CJE	Empirical	Canada	FES/1982, 1986, 1992	Poverty Intra-Household Financial Resources	NA
1995	Phipps & Burton	FE	Empirical	Seven countries	LIS	Intra-Household Labor Supply Gender Power	NA
1995	Strauss & Thomas	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Human Resources Empirical Decisions	NA
1996	Apps & Rees	JPubE	Empirical	Australia	IDS/1985-86	Labor Supply Household Production Intra- family Inequality	D11, D12, D13, J22

1996	Bradbury	Working Paper	Empirical	Australia	HES/1988-89	Household Income Consumption	NA
1996	Doss	WD	Survey	NA	NA	Intra-Household Resource Allocation	NA
1996	Lundberg & Pollak	JEP	Survey	NA	NA	Bargaining Intra-Household Marriage	NA
1996	Phipps & Burton	СРР	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Policy Makers Transfers Taxation	NA
1996	Udry	JPE	Empirical	Burkina Faso	ICRISAT/ 1981-1985	Gender Agricultural Pareto Efficiency	NA
1996	Wells & Maher	Working Paper	Theoretical	NA	NA	Time Surplus Allocation Intra-Marriage	NA
1997	Apps & Rees	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Household Production Labor Supply	NA
1997	Agarwal	FE	Survey	NA	NA	Bargaining Models Gender Social Norms	NA
1997	Behrman	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Intra-Household Consensus Models Non-Consensus	NA
1997	Bruce & Lloyd	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Female-Headed Household Developing Countries	NA
1997	Carter & Katz	Chapter Book	Theoretical	NA	NA	Separate Spheres Gender	NA
1997	Chiappori	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Household Production Labor Supply Intra-Household	NA
1997	Chiuri & Simmons	EJ	Theoretical	NA	NA	Decentralization Demand System Public Goods	NA
1997	Deaton	Book	NA	NA	NA	Household Survey Microeconometric Policy	NA

1997	Dey Abbas	Chapter Book	Empirical	Sub-Saharian Africa	NA	Gender Intra-Household Policy	NA
1997	Folbre	Chapter Book	Theoretical	NA	NA	Gender Coalitions Inequality	NA
1997	Fortin & Lacroix	EJ	Empirical	Canada	CCPH/1986	Labor Supply Income Pooling Slutsky Restrictions	NA
1997	Gittelsohn & Mookherji	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Anthropological Methods Intra-Household	NA
1997	Guyer	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Endowments Anthropology Whealth	NA
1997	Harris-White	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Gender Nutrition Intra-Household	NA
1997	Hoddinott et al.	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Testing Models Intra-Household	NA
1997	Katz	FE	Survey	NA	NA	Gender Bargaining Theory Household Production	NA
1997	Lundberg et al.	JHR	Empirical	UK	FES/1973-1990	Income-pooling Intra-Household Experiment	NA
1997	McElroy	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Policy Family Bargaining Marriage	NA
1997	Seaton	AEL	Empirical	UK	FES/1984	Labor Supply Revealed Preference	NA
1998	Behrman & Rosenzweig	Working Paper	Empirical	US	MTR/1994	In-Law Resources Transfers Bequests	NA
1998	Brett	JPubE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Optimal Taxation Tax Reform	D10, D61, H21, H31
1998	Browning & Chiappori	Econome- trica	Empirical	Canada	FAMEX/1974, 1978, 1982, 1984, 1986, 1990, 1992	Efficiency Bargaining Slutsky Matrix	NA

1998	Fafchamps	Working Paper	Theoretical	NA	NA	Efficiency Rural Households	NA
1998	Gray	AER	Empirical	UA	Census/1960-70-80 CPS/1968-79 PSID/1970-1980	Divorce-Laws Bargaining Labor Supply	NA
1998	Klassen	JEH	Survey	NA	NA	Sex-Mortality Gender-Bias Intra-Household	NA
1998	Phipps & Burton	Economica	Empirical	Canada	FES/1992	Income Pooling Consumption Gender Power	NA
1999	Apps & Rees	JPubE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Tax Reform Domestic Production	NA
1999	Biswal	СРР	Empirical	Canada	FES/1986-92	Income-Pooling Immigrants Married-Women	NA
1999	Bourguignon	JOPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Consumption Intra-Household Cost of Children	D10, J13, J15
1999	Chiappori	Mimeo	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor Supply Risk Sharing	NA
1999	Chiappori & Ekeland	Econome- trica	Theoretical	NA	NA	Aggregation Consumer Theory Market Demand	NA
1999	Gersbach & Haller	Chapter Book	Theoretical	NA	NA	Multi-Members Consumption Equilibria	NA
1999	Lundberg	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Retirement Bargaining Models	NA
1999	Lundberg & Rose	Mimeo	Theoretical	NA	NA	Specialization Marriage	NA
1999	Snyder	JPubE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Pareto Optimality Public Goods Revealed Preference	NA
1999	Tiefenthaler	JOPE	Empirical	Brazil	NSS/1989	Labor Supply Intra-Household Income pooling	D1, J2

2000	Blundell & Robin	Econome- trica	Theoretical	NA	NA	Consumer Separability Price Aggreation	NA
2000	Browning	SJE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Saving Consumption	D1, D7
2000	Chiuri	Labour	Empirical	Italy	SHIW/1993	Child Care Female Labor Supply	D1, J2
2000	Chiuri	RE	Survey	NA	NA	Consumption Labor Supply Intra-Household	D1, D7
2000	Dercon	JPE	Empirical	Ethiopia	NA	Nutrition Consumption Intra-Household	NA
2000	Donni	PhD Thesis	NA	NA	NA	Collective Models Consumption	NA
2000	Hallberg	Working Paper	Empirical	Sweden	STUS/ 1984-93	Time Use Unitary Model	NA
2000	Konrad & Lommerud	CJE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Bargaining Human Capital Non-Cooperation	D13, J24
2000	Maitra & Ray	Mimeo	Empirical	South Africa Pakistan	NA	Intra-Household Labor Income	I32, I38, D12,C32
2000	Moreau	EP	Empirical	France	INSEE/1994-96	Labor Supply Divorce Threat	NA
2000	Pavoni	Working Paper	Theoretical	NA	NA	Dynamic Decisions Labor Supply	NA
2000	Rubalcava & Thomas	Working Paper	Empirical	US	PSID/1968-92	Aid to Families Dependent Children	NA
2000	Snyder	SEJ	Empirical	US	NLS/1969-1971	Bargaining Revealed Preference Pareto Efficiency	D11, D12
2000	Sprumont	JET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Collective Choices Revealed Preference	C72, C92
2000	Strauss et al.	JAE	Survey	NA	NA	Intra-Household Theories Empirical	NA

2001	Aronsson et al	JOPE	Empirical	Sweden	HUS/1984-1993	Time Use Home Production Intra-Household	D13, J22
2001	Barmby & Smith	AE	Empirical	Denmark/UK	DLS/1986 FES/1984	Labor Supply Pareto Behavior Intra-Household	NA
2001	Beblo	Book	NA	NA	NA	Bargaining Time Allocation	NA
2001	Beegle et al.	SFP	Empirical	Indonesia	ILFS/1997-98	Women's Power Reproductive Decisions	NA
2001	Browning & Lechene	Working Paper	Empirical	Canada	FAMEX/1969-92	Caring Income Pooling Intra-Household	NA
2001	Bustreel	RevE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Non-Cooperation Time Allocation	D1, J22
2001	Chen & Woolley	EJ	Theoretical	NA	NA	Two-Person Family Bargaining Cournot-Nash	NA
2001	Dauphin & Fortin	EL	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Polygamy Pareto Optimality	D11, D70
2001	Fong & Zhang	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Identification Marriage Spousal Leisure	NA
2001	Gersbach & Haller	RES	Theoretical	NA	NA	General Equilibrium Intra- Household Efficiency	NA
2001	Seaton	AEL	Empirical	UK	FES/1984	Labor Supply Bargaining Revealed Preference	NA
2002	Angrist	QJE	Empirical	US	Census IPMUS/1910-20-40	Sex Ratios Immigrants Experiment	NA
2002	Apps & Rees	LE	Empirical	Australia	AustraliaTUS/1992	Child Costs Time Allocation Home Production	J13, J22, D13

2002	Attanasio & Lechene	RED	Empirical	Mexico	PROGRESA/ 1998-2000	Income-pooling Intra-Household Gender Power	J12, D13, H31
2002	Chiappori et al.	JPE	Empirical	US	PSID/1989	Labor Supply Marriage Divorce Children	NA
2002	Hallberg	Ph.D. Thesis	NA	NA	NA	Household Behavior Time Use	NA
2002	Koolwal & Ray	Working Paper	Empirical	Nepal	NLSS	Female's Share Wage Earnings Welfare	NA
2002	Ligon	Working Paper	Empirical	Bangladesh	NA	Dynamic Pareto Efficiency Intra-Household	NA
2002	Lundberg	Mimeo	Theoretical	NA	NA	Specialization Policy	NA
2002	Luo	JBES	Empirical	Canada	SFE/ 1978, 1982, 84, 86	Preferences Heterogeneity Quadratic PIGLOG	NA
2002	Moreau & Donni	AES	Empirical	France	ECHP/1994	Labor Supply Taxation Divorce	NA
2002	Ray & Basu	Working Paper	Empirical	Nepal	NLSS/1995	Balance of Power Child Labor Woman's Power	NA
2002	Rubalcava et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	Mexico	PROGRESA	Woman's Power Consumption Time Welfare	NA
2002	Thomas et al.	Mimeo	Empirical	Indonesia	IFLS/1993-97	Relative Power Intra-Household Child Health	NA
2002	Vermeulen	JES	Survey	NA	NA	Collective Models Principles Results	NA
2002	Wirl & Feichtinger	Economica	Theoretical	NA	NA	Non-Cooperation Dynamic Intra-Household	NA
2003	Andreoni et al.	JHR	Empirical	US	Gallup Organization/ 1992-94	Charitable Giving Bargaining Relative Power	NA

2003	Arias et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	Italy	IHBS/1999	Sharing Rule Clothing Equivalence Scales	NA
2003	Blacklow & Ray	ER	Empirical	Australia	AHS/1975-1999	Sharing Rule Intra-Household Demand System	NA
2003	Donni	JPubE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor Supply Income Tax Corner Solutions	H31, J22
2003	Duflo	WBER	Empirical	South Africa	SALDRU/1993	Grandparents Age Pension Intra-Household	H55, O15, I12, D13
2003	Fernandez-Val	IE	Empirical	Spain	ECHP/1994-97	Labor Suppy Intra-Household Unitary/Collective	J22, D1
2003	Lakshmanasamy	JSED	Empirical	India	NA	Unitary/Collective Income Pooling Female's Power	NA
2003	Lewbel	JEcon	Empirical	US	Consumer Expenditure Survey	Equivalence Scales Consumer Demand Bargaining	K1, D1, J17, C30, I31
2003	Lich-Tyler	Mimeo	Empirical	US	PSID/1976-86	Intertemporal Bargaining Euler Equations	D91, D19, J12
2003	Lundberg & Pollak	REHO	Theoretical	NA	NA	Marriage Efficiency Bargaining	D10, J12, J61
2003	Lundberg et al.	JPubE	Empirical	US	PSID/1979-86, 1989-92	Consumption Married Couples Retirement	D1, D91, E21
2003	Maitra & Ray	Mimeo	Empirical	Australia	AHES/1998-99	Spousal Power Expenditure Intra-Household	D13, C31
2003	Quisumbing & Maluccio	OBES	Empirical	Bangladesh, SA Ethiopia, Indonesia,	Various/1996-1998	Marriage Intra-Household Bargaining Power	NA
2004	Adam et al.	Chapter Book	Empirical	Canada	Time Series/ 1960-96	Intra-Household Bargaining Divorce	D10, J12
2004	Beblo et al.	AEQ	Empirical	Germany	GSOEP/1998	Labor Supply Intra-Household Tax Reform	D11, D12, D13, D31, J12, J22

2004	Borelli & Perali	Working Paper	Empirical	Djibouti	African Society/ 1996	Intra-Household Sharing Rule Drug Consumption	NA
2004	Bradbury	CESifo	Empirical	UK	HES/1988-89, 93-94, 98-99	Intra-Household Consumption Experiment	J10, J12, I38
2004	Carvajal & Gonzalez	JME	Theoretical	NA	NA	Nash Bargaining Revealed Preferences	NA
2004	Clark et al.	RevE	Empirical	UK	BHPS/1997	Labor Supply Sharing Rule Marriage Market	C31, C71, D10, J22
2004	Lewel	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Equivalence Scales Household Demand	K1, D1, J17, C30, I31
2004	Lundberg & Ward-Batts	Working Paper	Empirical	US	HRS/1992	Bargaining Spouses' Control Net Worth	NA
2004	Maitra & Ray	Chapter Book	Empirical	South Africa	NA	Household Composition Budget Share	NA
2004	Mazzocco	AER	Empirical	US	HRS/1992	Intertemporal Risk Sharing HARA Preferences	NA
2004	Michaud & Vermeulen	LE	Empirical	US	HRS/1992-2008	Intra-Household Labor Supply Retirement	D13, H310, J22, J26
2004	Moehling	JEH	Empirical	US	BLSCLS/1917-19	Working Children Clothing Expenditures	NA
2004	Peluso & Trannoy	Chapter Book	Theoretical	NA	NA	Lorenz Dominance Intra-Household Inequality	D10, D63, D31, D81
2004	Rapallini	RPE	Empirical	Italy	ICS/1999	Bargaining Power Sharing Rule Consumption	D13, H31
						•	
2005	Aura	JPubE	Empirical	US	CPS1989 HRS AHEAD	Nash-Bargaining Marriage Annuities	D1, J1
2005	Blundell et al.	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Public Consumption Welfare	NA

2005	Bourguignon & Chiuri	Working Paper	Empirical	France	FranceTUS/ 1998-199	Women Home Production Time Use	D13, J22
2005	Chiappori	AERAE					
2005	Hourriez	Mimeo	Empirical	France	ECHP/1994-2001	Labor Supply Non-Participation Intra-Household	D13, J22
2005	Iyigun	Working Paper	Theoretical	NA	NA	Marriage Matching Labor Supply	C78, D61, D70
2005	Jia	REHO	Empirical	Norway	Statistics Norway/ 1994-96	Labor Supply Retirement Bargaining	D10, J26
2005	Lundberg	Working Paper	Empirical	US	NLSY79	Child Gender Labor Supply Time Allocation	J22, J12, J13, J16
2005	Maitra & Ray	AEP	Empirical	Australia	AHES1998-99	Simultaneous Expenditure Bargaining Power	NA
2005	Maitra & Ray	Working Paper	Empirical	Pakistan	PIHS/1991	Expenditures Resource Pooling Intra-Household	I32, I38, D12, D32
2005	Strand	JRU	Theoretical	NA	NA	Bargaining Intertemporal Optimal Life	I12, G22, J17
2005	Vermeulen	EmpE	Empirical	Netherlands	DNBHS/1995-2003	Intra-Household Bargaining Labor Suppy	D12, J22
2006	Alessie et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	Ten European Countries	ECHP/2001	Consumption Financial Satisfaction	D12, D13,I31
2006	Bargain et al.	REHO	Survey	NA	NA	Labor Supply Intra-Household Tax Reform	D11, D12, J22
2006	Bargain & Moreau	AERAE	Empirical	France	NA	Labor Supply Tax Reform Simulations	NA
2006	Basu	EJ	Theoretical	NA	NA	Gender Balance of Power Household Equilibrium	NA

						Wive's Time	
2006	Bayudan	AE	Empirical	Phillipines	CLHNS/1984-1999	Allocation	NA
	,		1	1		Intra-Household	
						Labor Supply	
2006	Beninger et al.	REHO	Empirical	Germany	GSOEP/1998	Intra-Household	D11, D12, J22
						Tax Reform	
						Labor Supply	
2006	Beninger &Laisney	CESR	Empirical	France	NA	Policy	NA
						Simulations	
				3.7.4	27.1	Intra-Household	7.0
2006	Browning et al.	REHO	Theoretical	NA	NA	Distribution	D13
						Factors	
2006	Chiamani & Danni	AEDAE	Current	NA	NA	Non-Unitary	NA
2006	Chiappori & Donni	AERAE	Survey	NA	NA	Cooperative Non-Cooperative	NA
						Demand Theory	
2006	Chiappori & Ekeland	JET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Aggregation	D11, D13, C65
2000 Cina _p	chappon & Exclana	,21	Theoretical	1471	1111	Group Behavior	D11, D10, C00
						Marriage Market	
2006	Choo & Siow	JPE	Empirical	US	Census/	Non-Parametric	NA
		-	•		1971-72, 81-82	Matching	
						Consumption	
2006	Dauphin et al.	AERAE	Empirical	UK	FES/1982-93	Testing	NA
						Collective Model	
	D	CVF.	TT1 (* 1	374	27.1	Demand Theory	27.
2006	Donni	CJE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Slutsky Symmetry	NA
						Welfare	
2006	Donni	AERAE	Empirical	US	PSID/1990	Non-Cooperation Labor Supply	J22, D13, C79
2000	Domi	AEKAE	Empiricai	03	1310/1990	Nash	J22, D13, C/ 9
						Child Labor	
						Intra-Household	C81, D13, I21, I31, J16, J22,
2006	Fuwa et al.	DE	Empirical	India	NA	NGO	J24, O15
						Interventions	• '
						Endogeneity	
2006	Lancaster et al.	Economica	Empirical	India	NSS/1993-94	Bargaining Power	NA
						Expenditure	
		Working				Public	
2006	Mazzocco	Paper	Theoretical	NA	NA	Consumption	D10, D91, H41
						Children	
2006	MDIAD	A T A F	T	T/	C /1002.07	Household	NIA
2006	McPeak & Doss	AJAE	Empirical	Kenya	Survey/1993-97	Production	NA
						Non-Cooperation	

2006	Myck et al.	REHO	Empirical	UK	FRS/1998-99	Fiscal Reforms Labor Supply Intra-Household	D11, D12
2006	Rapoport et al.	AERAE	Empirical	France	EET-INSEE/ 1998-99	Household Production Sharing Rule	NA
2006	Vermeulen	JOPE	Empirical	Belgique	SEP/1992-1997	Female Labor Supply Tax Reform	D11, D12, J22
2006	Vermeulen et al.	REHO	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor Supply Tax Reform Non-Participation	D11, D12, J22
2006	Vermeulen et al.	AERAE	Empirical	Belgium	EB-INS/ 1996-97, 1997-98, 2000	Public Consumption Intra-Household	NA
2007	Apps & Rees	Working Paper	Theoretical	NA	NA	Cooperation Welfare Function Bargaining	D10
2007	Aura	Mimeo	Theoretical	NA	NA	Dynamic Commitment Consumption	D1, D91, J12
2007	Bargain & Moreau	Chapter Book	Theoretical	NA	NA	Taxation Intra-Household Simulations	NA
2007	Beninger et al.	JOPE	Empirical	Germany	GSOEP/1998	Labor Supply Intra-Household Tax Reform	D11, D12, J22
2007	Blundell et al.	RES	Empirical	UK	FES/1978-2001	Labor Suppy Heterogeneity Non-participation	NA
2007	Chau et al.	ChER	Empirical	China	USU-SSB/2002	Labor Supply Developing country Patriarchalism	D13, J22, O12
2007	Cherchye et al.	Econome- trica	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Revealed Preferences Nonparametric	NA
2007	Couprie	EJ	Empirical	UK	BHPS/1992-2000	Leisure Demand Time Use Welfare	NA

Daunfeldt & Hellstrom	Labor	Empirical	Sweden	HUS/1984-93	Time Allocation Household Production	C24, D13, J22
Donni	EJ	Empirical	France	INSEE/1984-85	Female Labor Supply Intra-Household	NA
Donni & Moreau	JHR	Empirical	France	INSEE/1999-2000	Labor supply Conditional demand	NA
Iyigun & Walsh	JDE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Gender Power Labor Supply Bargaining	J13, O11, O33, O40
Iyigun & Walsh	RES	Theoretical	NA	NA	Marriage Market Spousal Powers Assortative Matching	NA
Lee	EDCC	Empirical	South Korea	KHPS/1993-98	Consumption Spouses Power Dynamic	NA
Lundberg & Pollak	JEP	Survey	NA	NA	Family Economics American Families	NA
Mazzocco	RESt	Empirical	US	CEX/1984-1995	Commitment Intertemporal Intra-Household	NA
Rainer	EER	Theoretical	NA	NA	Asset Division Divorce Marital Contract	J12, K11, D78
Trannoy & Peluso	JET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Inequality Sharing Rule	D13, D63, D31
Xu	Mimeo	Survey	NA	NA	Intra-Household Bargaining Dynamics	NA
Cherchye et al.	JEcon	Empirical	Russia	RMLS/1994-2003	Revealed Preferences Programming	D11, D12, D14
Cherchye & Vermeulen	RESt	Empirical	Netherlands	DNBHS/1995-2005	Labor Supply Revealed Preferences	C13, D12, J22
Chiappori & Oreffice	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Birth Control Intra-Household Female Power	NA
	Donni & Moreau Iyigun & Walsh Iyigun & Walsh Lee Lundberg & Pollak Mazzocco Rainer Trannoy & Peluso Xu Cherchye et al. Cherchye & Vermeulen	Donni & Moreau JHR Iyigun & Walsh JDE Iyigun & Walsh RES Lee EDCC Lundberg & Pollak JEP Mazzocco RESt Rainer EER Trannoy & Peluso JET Xu Mimeo Cherchye et al. JEcon Cherchye & Vermeulen RESt	DonniEJEmpiricalDonni & MoreauJHREmpiricalIyigun & WalshJDETheoreticalLeeEDCCEmpiricalLundberg & PollakJEPSurveyMazzoccoREStEmpiricalRainerEERTheoreticalTrannoy & PelusoJETTheoreticalXuMimeoSurveyCherchye et al.JEconEmpiricalCherchye & VermeulenREStEmpirical	DonniEJEmpiricalFranceDonni & MoreauJHREmpiricalFranceIyigun & WalshJDETheoreticalNAIyigun & WalshRESTheoreticalNALeeEDCCEmpiricalSouth KoreaLundberg & PollakJEPSurveyNAMazzoccoREStEmpiricalUSRainerEERTheoreticalNATrannoy & PelusoJETTheoreticalNAXuMimeoSurveyNACherchye et al.JEconEmpiricalRussiaCherchye & VermeulenREStEmpiricalNetherlands	DonniEJEmpiricalFranceINSEE/1984-85Donni & MoreauJHREmpiricalFranceINSEE/1999-2000Iyigun & WalshJDETheoreticalNANALyigun & WalshRESTheoreticalNANALeeEDCCEmpiricalSouth KoreaKHPS/1993-98Lundberg & PollakJEPSurveyNANAMazzoccoREStEmpiricalUSCEX/1984-1995RainerEERTheoreticalNANATrannoy & PelusoJETTheoreticalNANAXuMimeoSurveyNANACherchye et al.JEconEmpiricalRussiaRMLS/1994-2003Cherchye & VermeulenREStEmpiricalNetherlandsDNBHS/1995-2005	Daunfeldt & Hellstrom Labor Empirical Sweden HUS/1984-93 Household Production Female Labor Supply Intra-Household Intra-Household Labor Supply Intra-Household Intra-Household Labor Supply Intra-Household Labor Supply Intra-Household Intra-Househol

2008	Dietrich	AFE	Empirical	China	CHNS/1991-93	Consumption Demand System Bargaining	NA
2008	Donni	JPubE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor supply Public goods	NA
2008	Donni	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Collective Models Household	NA
2008	Ermisch & Pronzato	EJ	Empirical	UK	BHPS/1991-2005	Intra-Household Father's Child Payments	NA
2008	Fafchamps	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Intra-Household Welfare	NA
2008	Kalugina et al.	EP					
2008	Lewbel & Penkakur	JEcon	Empirical	Canada	CFES/1990-92	Consumption Demand System Bargaining	D12, D11, C30, C31, J12
2008	Mazzocco	Mimeo	Empirical	US	CEX/1982-95	Consumption Intertemporal Commitment	NA
2008	Mazzocco	Mimeo	Empirical	US	CEX/1982-98	Consumption Intertemporal Identification	NA
2008	Rasul	JDE	Empirical	Malaysia	MFLS/ 1976-77, 1988-89	Fertility Bargaining Commitment	J12, J13, O12
2008	Silvennoinen	Ph.D. Thesis	NA	NA	NA	Intra-Household Time Allocation	NA
2008	Siow	CJE	Empirical	China	NA	Marriage Matching Labor Supply	NA
2008	Van Klaveren et al	REHO	Empirical	UK	BHPS/2003	Labor Supply Intra-Household Time Allocation	D12, D13, J22
2008	Ward-Batts	JHR	Empirical	UK	FES/1973-1983 (excluding 1977-79)	Income Pooling Intra-Household Expenditure shares	NA
2008	Yoshida & Kim	AE	Empirical	Japan	1996-97-98	Consumption Health Risk Income Risk	NA

2009	Allgood	JPubET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Tax Policy Household Production	NA
2009	Ashraf	AER	Empirical	Philippines	Authors' data/ 2004	Experiments Information Communication	D13, D14, J12, J16, O15
2009	Bobonis	JPE	Empirical	Mexico	PROGRESA	Intra-Household Female Consumption	NA
2009	Bonke &Browning	FS	Empirical	Denmark	DHES/1999-2005	Intra-Household Expenditure	D13, C81, C42
2009	Bonke & Browning	REHO	Empirical	Denmark	ECHP/1994	Relative Income Well-being Intra-Household	D13, D60, D63, I31
2009	Bonke & Browing	EJ	Empirical	Denmark	DHES/1999-2005	Expenditure Children	NA
2009	Bourguignon et al.	RES	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Efficiency Identification	NA
2009	Cherchye et al.	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Revealed Preference Demand	NA
2009	Cherchye et al.	JPE	Empirical	Russia	RMLS	Revealed Preference Intra- Household	
2009	Chiappori & Ekeland	Econome- trica	Theoretical	NA	NA	Non-parametric Identification Labor Supply	NA
2009	Crespo	IE	Empirical	Spain	ECHP/1994-1999	Labor Supply Intra-Household Unitary/Collective	J22, D13
2009	Deb	JET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Externalities Revealed Preference Consumption	C14, C72, D11, D59
2009	Donni	RESt	Empirical	US	CES/1980-2003	Public Goods Conditional Demands	NA
2009	Kalugina et al.	RIW	Empirical	Russia	RMLS/1994-1998	Inequality Identification Sharing Rule	NA
2009	Kalugina et al.	REHO	Empirical	Russia	RMLS/1994-2003	Intra-Household Inequality	D13, I31, C3, P36

Kato & Matsumoto	TRB	Empirical	Japan	Time Surveys/2003	Intra-Household Children Gender Spouses Job	NA
Lundberg et al.	REHO	Empirical	US	NLSY79	Children Parents Investments	NA
Sahn & Younger	НЕ	Empirical	Seven countries	LSMS	BMI Intra-Household	NA
Seitz	JLE	Empirical	US	NLSY79/1979-1994	Racial Differences Marriage Dynamic	NA
Trannoy & Peluso	JID	Theoretical	NA	NA	Poverty Intra-Household	D31, D63, I32
Zhang et al.	TRB	Empirical	Japan	NA	Intra-Household Heterogeneity Bayesian	NA
Amuedo-Dorantes et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	Denmark	DHS/1999-2005	Income Pooling Household Production	D13, D14, J12
Apps & Rees	REHO	Empirical	Australia	ATUS/2005-06 ABS/2003-04	Labor Supply Taxation Time Allocation	D13, D91, H31, J2
Attanasio & Lechene	Working Paper	Empirical	Mexico	Surveys/1998-99	Consumption Cash Transfers QUAIDS	NA
Bargain et al.	ESR	Empirical	Ireland	IHBS/2005	Demand Sharing Rule Costs of Children	D11, D12, C30, D36, I31, J12
Bloemen	EJ	Empirical	Netherlands	SEP/1990-2001	Labor Supply Non-participation Sharing Rule	NA
Browning et al.	EJ	Theoretical	NA	NA	Non-Cooperation Public Good Game Separate Spheres	NA
Cherchye et al.	JET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Consumption Revealed Preferences	D11, D12, D13, C14
Chiappori	JET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Demand Transferable Utility	C78, D11, D12
	Lundberg et al. Sahn & Younger Seitz Trannoy & Peluso Zhang et al. Amuedo-Dorantes et al. Apps & Rees Attanasio & Lechene Bargain et al. Bloemen Browning et al. Cherchye et al.	Lundberg et al. Sahn & Younger HE Seitz JLE Trannoy & Peluso JID Zhang et al. TRB Amuedo-Dorantes et al. Apps & Rees REHO Attanasio & Lechene Bargain et al. ESR Bloemen EJ Cherchye et al. JET	Lundberg et al. Sahn & Younger HE Empirical Seitz JLE Empirical Trannoy & Peluso JID Theoretical Zhang et al. Working Paper Apps & Rees REHO Empirical Esr Empirical Esr Empirical Esr Empirical Esr Empirical	Lundberg et al. REHO Empirical US Sahn & Younger HE Empirical US Trannoy & Peluso JID Theoretical NA Zhang et al. TRB Empirical Japan Amuedo-Dorantes et al. Working Paper Empirical Denmark Apps & Rees REHO Empirical Australia Attanasio & Lechene Working Paper Empirical Mexico Bargain et al. ESR Empirical Ireland Bloemen EJ Empirical Netherlands Browning et al. EJ Theoretical NA Cherchye et al. JET Theoretical NA	Lundberg et al. REHO Empirical US NLSY79 Sahn & Younger HE Empirical Seven countries LSMS Seitz JLE Empirical US NLSY79/1979-1994 Trannoy & Peluso JID Theoretical NA NA Zhang et al. TRB Empirical Japan NA Amuedo-Dorantes et al. Working Paper Empirical Denmark DHS/1999-2005 Apps & Rees REHO Empirical Australia ATUS/2005-06 ABS/2003-04 Attanasio & Lechene Working Paper Empirical Mexico Surveys/1998-99 Bargain et al. ESR Empirical Ireland IHBS/2005 Bloemen EJ Empirical Netherlands SEP/1990-2001 Browning et al. EJ Theoretical NA NA Cherchye et al. JET Theoretical NA NA	Lundberg et al. REHO Empirical US NL5Y79 Parents Investments Investments Investments Intra-Household Intra-Household

2010	Couprie et al.	JPubE	Empirical	France	FFES/2000	Expenditures Inequality Sharing Functions	D63, D13, C14
2010	Hendy & Sofer	Working Paper	Empirical	Egypt	ELMPS/2006	Labor Supply Females Distribution Factors	D11, D12, J22
2010	Linciano & Simmons	Working Paper	Empirical	UK	BHPS/1992	Labor Supply Work Travel	NA
2010	Prabhu	WD	Empirical	India	Survey/2005-06	Intra-Household Willingness To Pay	NA
2010	Yoon & Goulias	TL	Empirical	US	CSTS/2000-01	Time Allocation Intra-Household Life Cycle	NA
2011	Apps & Rees	Chapter Book	Theoretical	NA	NA	Time Use Inequality Taxation	NA
2011	Basu & Ray	Chapter Book	Empirical	Nepal	NLSS/1995	Woman's Power Child Labor	NA
2011	Cherchye et al.	RES	Empirical	Russia	RLMS/1994-95-96- 98-00-01-02-03	Revealed Preferences Consumption Sharing Rule	D11, D12, D13, C14
2011	Cherchye et al.	Chapter Book	Empirical	Russia	RMLS	Consumption Revealed Preferences	D11, D12, D13, C14
2011	Cherchye et al.	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Revealed Preference Tests	NA
2011	Cherchye et al.	EJ	Empirical	Russia	RLMS/1994-95-96- 98-00-01-02-03	Revealed Preference Consumption	NA
2011	Chiappori	REHO	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor Supply Consumption Goods Identification	NA
2011	Chiappori & Ekeland	ARE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Aggregation Demand Pareto-Efficient	NA
2011	Dauphin et al.	EJ	Empirical	UK	FES/1982-93	Children under 16 QUAIDS Intra-Household	NA

25
2
31, J22
2
2
I31, J12
, J2
D12, D13
J22
2 331 2 2 2 7

2012	Cherchye et al.	EER	Empirical	Netherlands	DCES/1978-2004	Well-being Poverty Elderly Consumption	D11, D12, D13, D63, I31
2012	Chiappori et al.	RES	Theoretical	NA	NA	Theoretical restrictions Game Theory Nash solution	NA
2012	Del Boca & Flinn	JEcon	Empirical	US	PSID/2005	Time Allocation Trigger Strategy Household Proudction	C79, D19, J22
2012	Donni & Matteazzi	AES	Empirical	US	ATUS/2003-2006	Labor Supply Home Production Identification	D13, J21, J22
2012	Haan & Myck	AE	Empirical	Poland	PHBS/2005	Labor Supply Intra-Household Work Incentives	J22, I38, D13
2012	Lundberg	Working Paper	Empirical	Germany	GSOEP	Personality Marriage Divorce	J22
2012	Mazzocco & Saini	AER	Empirical	India	ICRISAT/1975-85	Efficient Risk Sharing	J12, D86, G22, O12, O18, R23 Z13
2012	Menon et al.	EL	Empirical	Italy	CISF/2009	Expenditure Children Sharing Rule	C2,D1, D6, D7
2012	Sinha	AsER	Empirical	India	HDP/1994	Labor Supply Bargaining Health	J22, C31, I15, D13
2012	Van Klaveren & Ghysels	JLR	Empirical	Belgium	FFCS/2004-05	Labor Supply Child Care	D12, D13, J22
2013	Bargain & Moreau	JFEI	Empirical	France	FHBS/2001	Labor Supply Bargaining Tax-benefit Reform	NA
2013	Browning et al.	RES	Empirical	Canada	FAMEX/1974-1992	Consumption Economies of Scale Bargaining Power	D11, D12, C30, I31, D63, J12
2013	Cherchye et al.	ET	Empirical	NA	102 Underg. Students	Consumption Revealed Preferences Public/Private	D11, D12, D13, C14
2013	Cherchye et al.	EJ	Empirical	Belguim	116 Economic Students	Nash Bargaining Consumption	NA

2013	Chiappori et al.	Mimeo	Empirical	France	Census/1999	Location Bargaining Transportation Costs	NA
2013	Choo & Seitz	Chapter Book	Empirical	US	Census/2000	Labor Supply Intra-Household Transfers	NA
2013	Doss	WBRO	Survey	NA	NA	Intra-Household Bargaining Development	O12, O20, R2
2013	Dunbar et al.	AER	Empirical	Malawi	HIS/2005-2011	Children Resources Consumption Child Poverty	I31, I32, J12, J13, O12, O15
2013	Fujii & Ishikawa	REHO	Theoretical	NA	NA	Intra-Household Bargaining Separability	C78, D01, D11
2013	Fujii & Ishikawa	OBES	Empirical	Japan	JPSC/1994-2002	Childbirth Expenditure Intra-Household	NA
2013	Himmelweit et al.	JMF	Survey	NA	NA	Sharing Resources Gender Inequality	NA
2013	Loken et al.	Dem	Empirical	Norway	Administrative Data/2006	Marriage Gender Residential Location	NA
2013	Martinez	EDCC	Empirical	Chile	CASEN/1990-92- 94-96-2000	Intra-Household Bargaining Power	NA
2013	Mazzocco et al.	Mimeo	Empirical	US	PSID/1968-96	Labor Supply Wealth Dynamics Marriage	NA
2013	Ortigueira & Siassi	JMonE	Empirical	US	NA	Labor Supply Risk Sharing Unemployment	NA
2013	Picard et al.	ІЈТЕ	Survey	NA	NA	Transportation Urban Economics Pareto Efficiency	NA
2014	Adams et al.	AER	Empirical	Spain	ECPF/1985-1997	Intertemporal Decisions, Revealed Preferences	E24, F13, F16

2014	D'Aspremont & Dos Santos	ET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Cooperative and Noncooperative Nash Solution	C11, C72, H41
2014	Attanasio & Lechene	JPE	Empirical	Mexico	PROGRESA/ 1998-1999	Consumption Cash Transfer Distribution Factors	NA
2014	Bargain & Donni	Economica	Theoretical	NA	NA	Consumption Optimal Taxation Intra-Household	NA
2014	Bargain et al.	JDE	Empirical	Côte d'Ivoire	CILSS/2002	Intra-Household Expenditures Child Poverty	D11, D12, D36, I31, J12
2014	Bertochhi et al.	JEBO	Empirical	Italy	SHIW/1989-2010	Intra-Household Gender Financial Choices	J12, D13, E21, G11
2014	Boone et al.	IZAJOLE	Empirical	US	CEX/1998-2007	Consumption Labor Supply Non-Cooperation	D11, D12, D13
2014	Browning et al.	Book	NA	NA	NA	Family Economics	NA
2014	Del Boca & Flinn	JET	Empirical	US	PSID/2007	Marriage Market Matching Time Allocation	D13, J12, J22
2014	Donni	MSS	Theoretical	NA	NA	Bargaining Risk Durable Goods	NA
2014	Inoa et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	France	Paris	Location Commuting Intra-Household	R21, R31,C35
2014	Kebede et al.	JAE	Empirical	Ethiopia	1,200 Couples	Efficiency Experiment Spouses' Power	D13, C93, D03
2014	Lise & Yamada	Working Paper	Empirical	Japan	JPSC/1993-2007	Consumption Leisure Dynamic Commitment	D12, D13, J22
2014	Mangiavacchi & Rapallini	BER	Empirical	Italy	ITUS/2002	Household Production Inequality Time Use	D13, J16, J22, 052
2014	Mazzocco et al.	AERP&P	Empirical	US	PSID/1968-96	Labor Supply Dynamics Gender	NA

2014	Menon et al.	AJAE	Empirical	Italy	Survey/1997	Travel-Cost Model Non-Market Good	D13, H41,Q26, Q51
2014	Menon et al.	AJAE	Етірігісаі	Italy	Survey/1997	Demand System	D13, П41,Q26, Q31
2014	de Palma et al.	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Transportation Negotiation Efficiency	NA
2014	Zhang	JOPE	Empirical	US	SIPP/2004-08	Labor Supply Shocks Income Instability	D12, D13, D81, J22
2015	Beblo et al.	AES	Empirical	France Germany	156 Couples/2010	Experiment Inequality Social Norms	C71,C91, C92, D13
2015	Bonke	REHO	Empirical	Denmark	DHES/1994-2004	Income Pooling Consumption Marriage	D13, D31, C82
2015	Caiumi	EmpE	Empirical	Italy	SHIW/1995	Cost of Children Demand System Sharing Rule	D12, D13, J22
2015	Cherchye et al	Econome- trica	Empirical	US	PSID/1999-2009	Demand Sharing Rule Revealed Preference	NA
2015	Chiappori & Meghir	Chapter Book	Survey	NA	NA	Inequality Sharing Rule	NA
2015	Chaippori & Naidoo	Mimeo	Theoretical	NA	NA	Engel Curves Non-Cooperation Working-Leser	NA
2015	Chiappori et al.	JDE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Becker-Coase Consumption Marital Status	NA
2015	Couprie & Ferrant	AES	Empirical	UK	UKTUS/2000	Welfare Time Use Bargaining Power	D10, D13, D63, J12, J22
2015	Eckstein & Lifshitz	IER	Empirical	US	PSID/1983-93	Labor Supply Married Women Household Games	NA
2015	Galichon & Salanié	Working Paper	Theoretical	NA	NA	Matching Marriage Hedonic Prices	C78, D61, C13
2015	Haddad	EmpE	Empirical	Iran	HES&ASY/2008	Intra-Household Gender Ratio Divorce Rate	D13, J22

2015	Mizushima & Futagami	JE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Public Goods Household Games Time Allocation	D13, J24
2015	Naidoo	Mimeo	Theoretical	NA	NA	Testing Pareto Public Goods Non-Parametric	D13, D7
2015	de Palma et al.	ETrans	Empirical	France	Paris	Time Decision Congestion Delay Costs	D11, D70, R41
2015	Smeulders et al.	JME	Theoretical	NA	NA	Weak Axiom Revealed Preference Consumption	NA
2015	Smeulders et al.	ЕТВ	Theoretical	NA	NA	Transitivity Weak Axiom Revealed Preference	D11, D12, D13, C14
2015	Sofer & Thibout	ES	Empirical	France	FTUS/ 1998-99 2010-11	Domestic Production Time Use Gender	D13, J16, J22
2015	Voena	AER	Empirical	US	PSID&NLSYM/196 8-93 & 1967-99	Divorce Laws Intertemporal Well-being	D13, D14, D91, J12, J16, K36
2015	Yilmazer & Lich	REHO	Empirical	US	HRS/1992-2006	Portfolio Choice Risky Assets Bargaining Power	NA
2016	Blau & Goodstein	LE	Empirical	US	HRS/1992-1998- 2004	Commitment Inheritance Labor Supply	NA
2016	Browning et al.	Mimeo					
2016	Cherchye et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	US	PSID/2013	Marriage Economies Scale Revealed Preference	D11, D12, D13, J12
2016	Chiappori	EJ	Theoretical	NA	NA	Indifference Scales	NA
2016	Cochard et al.	REHO	Empirical	France	100 Couples/2008	Experiment Cooperation Efficiency Equal	C72, C91, D13

2016	Gutierrez	Mimeo	Empirical	Mexico	MxFLS/2002, 2005- 06, 2009-10	Sharing Model Deaton-Paxson Consumption	D13, J12, O15
2016	Lundberg et al.	JEP	Survey	NA	NA	Inequality Marriage Childbearing	NA
2016	Radtchenko	JFEI	Empirical	Russia	RLMS/1995-1998	Inequality Labor Supply Sharing Rule	NA
2016	Rodriguez	WD	Empirical	NA	UNICEF/ 2000, 2010-11	Inequality Children Well-being	NA
2016	Talla Nobibon et al.	OP	Theoretical	NA	NA	Revealed Preference Consumption Programming	NA
2016	Tommasi & Wolf	Working Paper	Empirical	Mexico	PROGRESA	Demand System Sharing Rule Cash Transfers	D13, D11,D12,C31, I32
2017	Beblo & Beninger	REHO	Empirical	Germany	95 couples	Intra-Household Consumption Experiment	C71, C91, C92, D12, D13, J16
2017	Bruyneel et al.	QE	Empirical	NA	100 Children	Children's Consumption Revealed Preferences	D11, D12, C14
2017	Cherchye et al.	AER	Empirical	Denmark	LISS/2012	Marriage Consumption Revealed Preference	D60, D63, H21, H23, I38
2017	Chiappori & Mazzocco	JEL	Survey	NA	NA	Static Intertemporal Intra-Household	D11, D13, D15, I38
2017	Chiappori et al.	AER	Empirical	US	Census/2008-14	Consumption Children's Welfare Matching	D12, J12, J13, J15, J24
2017	Chiappori et al.	EJ	Empirical	Canada	GSS/2001	Alimony Rights Cohabiting Matching	NA
2017	Bütikofer & Gerfin	REHO	Empirical	Switzerland	LWS/2000-2008	Sharing Rule Equivalence Scale Subjective Data	D12, C21, D19

2017	Couprie et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	France	81 Couples/ 2012-13	Experiment Stereotypes Gender Roles	D13, J16, J22, C91,C92
2017	Dunbar et al.	Mimeo	Empirical	Malawi	HIS/2005-2011	Costs of Children Sharing Rule Demand Systems	D13, D11, D12, C31, I32
2017	Goussé et al.	Econome- trica	Empirical	UK	BHPS/1991-2008	Assortative Matching Gender Social Norms	NA
2017	Goussé et al.	LE	Empirical	UK	BHPS/1991-2008	Labor Supply Assortative Matching	C78, D83, J12, J22
2017	Lyssiotou	EmpE	Empirical	UK	FES/1991-93	Child Benefits Labor Supply Public Goods	D12, I38, J16, J18, J22, H31
2017	Matteazzi et al.	AEPP	Empirical	Italy	ISMEA/1995	Farm-Household Household Production	D11, D12, D13
2017	Porter	JDE	Empirical	China	CLHLS/1998-2009	Caring Elderly Parents Sex Ratios	NA
2017	Stieglitz et al.	EvHD	Empirical	Bolivia	53 Couples	Experiment Sexual Division Labor	NA
2018	Armand et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	Macedonia	MLSP/2010-11	Gender Expenditure	D12, D13, E21, O12
2018	Bargain et al.	Mimeo	Empirical	UK	FES/1978	Costs of Children QUAIDS Sharing Resources	NA
2018	Campaña et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	Mexico Colombia	TUS/2009 2012	Labor Supply Intra-Household Bargaining Power	D10 J22
2018	Chavas et al.	TE	Empirical	Italy	HBS/2007	Consumption Welfare Inequality	D11, D12, D13
2018	Chiappori	REHO	Theoretical	NA	NA	Labor Supply Samuelson Randomized	D11, D12, D13
2018	Chiappori et al.	REHO	Empirical	Russia	RLMS/1995-2013	Divorce Marriage Matching	J12, D1

2018	Cochard et al.	EE	Empirical	France	238 Individuals/ 2010-16	Experiment Time Allocation Work Division	D13, C99, J16
2018	Cosaert & Demuynck	RESt	Empirical	US	CEX/1994-2007	Non-Parametric Welfare Demand Heterogeneity	NA
2018	Dauphin et al.	REHO	Empirical	Burkina Faso	Survey CECI/1999	Consumption QUAIDS Polygamy	D1, D7, J12
2018	Flinn et al.	EER	Empirical	Australia	HILDA/2001-16	Gender Wage Differentials Time Allocations	D1, J12, J16, J22, J31, J71
2018	Manzini & Mariotti	JET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Stochastic Choice Attraction Effect Similarity Effect	D03, D01
2018	Menon et al.	REHO	Empirical	Italy	HES/2002-03-04	Demand System Sharing Rule Alcohol	C31, C34, C51, D12, D13
2018	Molina et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	US	PSID/1997-15	Intertemporal Labor Supply	D15, J22
2018	Molina et al.	Working Paper	Empirical	US	ATUS/2003-15	Welfare Inequality Labor Supply	D15, J22
2018	Tommasi & Wolf	EL	Theoretical	NA	NA	Demand System Sharing Rule Shrinkage	D13, D11, D12, C31, I32
Forthcoming	d'Aspremont et al.	ET	Theoretical	NA	NA	Semi-Cooperation Revealed Preference	D11, C72, H41
Forthcoming	Bargain & Martinoty	JOPE	Empirical	Spain	EPF/2006-11	Mancession Intra-Household Unemployment	NA
Forthcoming	Bloemen	JBES	Empirical	Netherlands	SEP/1990-2002	Labor Supply Intra-Household	NA
Forthcoming	Donni & Matteazzi	JAEcon	Empirical	US	PSID/2009	Labor Supply Non-Participation	NA
Forthcoming	Galichon et al.	JPE	Theoretical	NA	NA	Matching Transferable Utility Heterogeneity	NA
Forthcoming	Molina et al.	REHO	Empirical	Spain	55 three-generation families, 165 indiv./ 2017	Intra-Household Intergenerational Experiment	D03, D64, D70
Forthcoming Forthcoming Forthcoming Forthcoming	d'Aspremont et al. Bargain & Martinoty Bloemen Donni & Matteazzi Galichon et al.	JOPE JBES JAEcon JPE	Theoretical Empirical Empirical Theoretical	NA Spain Netherlands US NA	NA EPF/2006-11 SEP/1990-2002 PSID/2009 NA 55 three-generation families, 165 indiv./	Sharing Rule Shrinkage Semi-Cooperation Revealed Preference Mancession Intra-Household Unemployment Labor Supply Intra-Household Taxation Labor Supply Non-Participation Matching Transferable Utility Heterogeneity Intra-Household Intergenerational	D11, C72, H41 NA NA NA NA

Short-terms of Journals:

AERAE= Actualité Économique: Revue d'Analyse Économique

AER = American Economic Review

AERP&P = American Economic Review Papers & Proceedings

AJAE = American Journal of Agricultural Economics

AES = Annals of Economics and Statistics

ARE = Annual Review of Economics

AEPP = Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy

AE = Applied Economics

AEL = Applied Economics Letters

AEQ = Applied Economics Quarterly

AFE = Applied Financial Economics

AsER = Asian Economic Review

AEP = Australian Economic Papers

BER = Bulletin of Economic Research

CESR = Cahiers d'Économie et Sociologie Rurales

CIE = Canadian Journal of Economics

CPP = Canadian Public Policy

CESifo = CESifo Economic Studies

ChER = China Economics Review

CE = Computational Economics

Dem = Demography

DE = Developing Economies

EJ = Economic Journal

ER = Economic Record

ET = Economic Theory

ETB = Economic Theory Bulletin

EDCC = Economic Development and Cultural Change

ESR = Economic and Social Review

EHB = Economics and Human Behaviors

EL = Economics Letters

ETrans= Economics of Transportation

EP = Economie & Prévision

EmpE = Empirical Economics

EER = European Economic Review

EvHB = Evolution and Human Behavior

ExE = Experimental Economics

FE = Feminist Economics

FS = Fiscal Studies

HE = Health Economics

IER = International Economic Review

IJTE = International Journal of Transport Economics

IZADP = Institute of Labor Economics Discussion Papers

IZAJLE = Institute of Labor Economics Journal of Labor Economics

IE = Investigaciones Económicas

JAE = Journal of African Economies

JAEcon = Journal of Applied Econometrics

JBES = Journal of Business and Economic Statistics

JDE = Journal of Demographic Economics

JDevE = Journal of Development Economics

JDevS = Journal of Development Studies

JEBO = Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization

JEH = Journal of Economic History

JEL = Journal of Economic Literature

IEP = Journal of Economic Perspectives

JES = Journal of Economic Surveys

JET = Journal of Economic Theory

JE = Journal of Economics

IEcon = Iournal of Econometrics

JFEI = Journal of Family and Economic Issues

JHR = Journal of Human Resources

IMF = Journal of Marriage and Family

JME = Journal of Mathematical Economics

JMonE= Journal of Monetary Economics

JOLE = Journal of Labor Economics

ILR= Journal of Labor Research

JPE = Journal of Political Economy

JOPE = Journal of Population Economics

JPubE = Journal of Public Economics JPubET = Journal of Public Economy Theory

JRU = Journal of Risk and Uncertainty

JSED = Journal of Social and Economic Development

LE = Labour Economics

OR = Operations Research

OBES = Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics

OEP = Oxford Economic Papers

OE = Ouantitative Economics

QJE = Quarterly Journal of Economics

REI = Research on Economic Inequality

RE = Research in Economics

RED = Review of Economic Dynamics

RES = Review of Economic Studies

REHO = Review of Economics of the Household

RESt = Review of Economics and Statistics

RIW = Review of Income and Wealth

RevE = Revue Économique

RiE = Ricerche Economiche

RPE = Rivista di Politica Economica

SJE = Scandinavian Journal of Economics

SEI = Southern Economic Journal

SFP = Studies in Family Planning

TD = Theory and Decision

TE = Theoretical Economics

TL = Transportation Letters

TGS = Travail, Genre et Sociétés

TRB = Transportation Research Part B: Methodological

WB = The World Bank

WBER = World Bank Economic Review

WBRO = World Bank Research Observer

WD = World Development

REFERENCES

- Adam, C., Hoddinott, J., Ligon, E. (2004). Dynamic intra-household bargaining, matrimonial property law, and suicide in Canada. In: Quisumbing, A. (ed.). Household Decisions, Gender, and Development. Washington: DC: IFPRI.
- Adams A., Cherchye, L., De Rock B. and Verriest E. (2014). Consume now or later? Time inconsistency, collective choice and revealed preference. American Economic Review 104: 4147-4183.
- Agarwal, B. (1997). Bargaining and gender relations: Within and beyond the household. Feminist Economics 3: 1-51.
- Alessie, R., Crossley, T., and Hildebrand, V. (2006). Estimating a collective household model with survey data on financial satisfaction. WP 06/19. Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- Alderman, H., Chiappori, P.A., Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J., and Kanbur, R. (1995). Unitary versus collective models of the household: is it time to shift the burden of the proof. World Bank Research Observer 10: 1-19.
- Allgood, S. (2009). The collective household, household production and efficiency of marginal reforms. Journal of Public Economic Theory, 11: 749-771.
- Amuedo-Dorantes, C., Bonke, J., and Grossbard, S. (2010). Income pooling and household division of labor: evidence from Danish couples. IZA DP No. 5418. IZA Institute of Labor Economics.
- Andreoni J., Brown, E., and Rischall, I. (2003). Charitable giving by married couples. Who decides and why does it matter? Journal of Human Resources 38: 111-133.
- Angrist, J. (2002). How do sex ratios affect marriage and labor markets? Evidence from America's second generation. Quarterly Journal of Economics 107: 997-1038.
- Apps, R., and Rees, R. (1996). Labour supply, household production and intra-family welfare distribution. Journal of Public Economics 60: 199-219.
- Apps, R., and Rees, R. (1997). Collective labor supply and household production. Journal of Political Economy 105: 178-190.
- Apps, P., and Rees R. (1999). On the taxation of trade within and between households. Journal of Public Economics 73: 241-263.
- Apps, P., and Rees, R. (2002). Household production, full consumption and the costs of children. Labour Economics 8: 621-648.

- Apps, P., and Rees, R. (2007). Cooperative household models. IZA DP No. 3127. IZA Institute of Labor Economics.
- Apps, P., and Rees, R. (2010). Family labor supply, taxation and saving in an imperfect capital market. Review of Economics of the Household 8: 297-323.
- Apps, P., and Rees, R. (2011). Household time use, inequality and taxation. In: Molina, A. (ed.). Household Economic Behaviors. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Arias, C., Atella, V., Castagnini, R., and Perali, F. (2003). Estimation of the sharing rule between adults and children and related equivalence scales within a collective consumption framework. In: Dagum C. and Ferrari, G. (eds). Household Behavior, Equivalence Scales, Welfare and Poverty. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag.
- Armand, A., Attanasio, O., Carneiro, P. and Lechene, V. (2018). The effect of gender-targeted conditional cash transfers on household expenditures: Evidence from a randomized experiment. CEMMAP working paper CWP 33/18. University College of London.
- Aronsson, T., Daunfeldt, S.O. and Wikstrom, M. (2001). Estimating intrahousehold allocation in a collective model with household production. Journal of Population Economics 14: 569-584.
- Ashraf, N. (2009). Spousal control and intra-household decision making: An experimental study in the Philippines. American Economic Review 99: 1245-77.
- d'Aspremont, C., and Dos Santos Ferreira, R. (2014). Household behavior and individual autonomy: An extended Lindahl mechanism. Economic Theory 55: 643–664.
- d'Aspremont, C., and Dos Santos Ferreira, R. (Forthcoming). Enlarging the collective model of household behavior: A revealed preference analysis. Economic Theory.
- Attanasio, O., and Lechene, V. (2002). Tests of income pooling in household decisions. Review of Economic Dynamics 5: 720-748.
- Attanasio, O., and Lechene, V. (2010). Conditional cash transfers, women and the demand for food. WP 10/17. Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- Attanasio, O., and Lechene, V. (2014). Efficient responses to targeted cash transfers. Journal of Political Economy 122: 178-222.
- Aura, S. (2005). Does the balance of power within a family matter? The case of the Retirement Equity Act. Journal of Public Economics 89: 1699–1717.
- Aura, S. (2007). Uncommitted couples: some efficiency and policy implications of marital bargaining. Mimeo. University of Missouri.
- Bargain, O., Beblo, M., Beninger, D., Blundell, R., Carrasco, R., Chiuri, M.C., Laisney, F., Lechene, V., Moreau, N., Myck, M., Ruiz-Castillo, J. and Vermeulen, F. (2006). Does the representation of household behavior matter for welfare analysis of tax-benefit policies? An introduction. Review of Economics of the Household 4: 99-111.

- Bargain, O., Donni, O. and Gbakou, M. (2010). The measurement of child costs: Evidence from Ireland. Economic and Social Review 41: 1-20.
- Bargain, O., and Donni, O. (2012). Expenditure on children: A Rothbarth-type method consistent with scale economies and parents' bargaining. European Economic Review 56: 792-813.
- Bargain, O., and Donni, O. (2014). Optimal commodity taxation and redistribution within households. Economica 81: 48-62.
- Bargain, O., Donni, O., and Kwenda, P. (2014). Intrahousehold Distribution and Child Poverty: Theory and Evidence from Côte d'Ivoire. Journal of Development Economics 107: 262-276.
- Bargain, O., Donni, O., and Hentati, I. (2018). Resource sharing in households with children: A generalized model and empirical evidence from the UK. Mimeo. Université de Cergy-Pontoise.
- Bargain, O., and Martinoty, L. (Forthcoming). Crisis at home: Mancession-induced change in intrahousehold distribution. Journal of Population Economics.
- Bargain, O., and Moreau, N. (2006). Effets d'une réforme fiscale sur l'offre de travail des ménages dans un cadre collectif simulé. Actualité Économique : Revue d'Analyse Économique 82: 207-246
- Bargain, O. and Moreau, N. (2007). Does taxation affect intra-household distribution? A Simulation Approach. In: Y. Amiel and J. Bishop (eds). Research on Economic Inequality 14: 317-344.
- Bargain, O., and Moreau, N. (2013). The impact of tax-benefit reforms on labor supply in a simulated Nash-bargaining framework. Journal of Family and Economic Issues 34: 77-86.
- Barmby, T., and Smith, N. (2001). Household labour supply in Britain and Denmark: some interpretations using a model of Pareto optimal behaviour. Applied Economics 33: 1109-1116.
- Basu, K. (2006). Gender and say: a model of household behaviour with endogenously determined balance of power. The Economic Journal 116: 558-580.
- Basu, K., and Ray, R. (2011). The collective model of the household and an unexpected implication for child labor: hypothesis and an empirical test. In: Dhar A. (ed.). Some Contemporary Issues in Development and Growth Economics. New Delhi: Allied Publishers.
- Bayudan, C. (2006). Wives' time allocation and intrahousehold power: evidence from the Philippines. Applied Economics 38: 789-804.
- Beblo, M. (2001). Bargaining over time allocation: Economic modeling and econometric investigation of time use within families. Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag.
- Beblo, M., and Beninger, D. (2017). Do husbands and wives pool their incomes? A couple experiment. Review of Economics of the Household 15: 779–805.

- Beblo, M., Beninger, D., Cochard, F., Couprie, H., and Hopfensitz, A. (2015). Equality and efficiency trade-off within French and German couples: a comparative experimental study. Annales d'Économie et de Statistique 117-118: 233-252.
- Beblo, M., Beninger, D., and Laisney, F. (2004). Family tax splitting: a microsimulation of its potential labour supply and intra-household welfare effects in Germany. Applied Economics Quarterly 50: 231-248.
- Beegle, K., Frankenberg, E., and Thomas, D. (2001). Bargaining power within couples and use of prenatal and delivery care in Indonesia. Studies in Family Planning 32: 130-146.
- Behrman, J. (1997). Intrahousehold distribution and the family. In: Rosenzweig, M., and Stark, O., Handbook of Population and Family Economics 1A: 125-187, Elsevier.
- Behrman, J., and Rosenzweig, M. (1998). In-law resources and distribution within marriage. PARC Working Paper Series WPS 98-18
- Beninger, D., Bargain, O., Beblo, M., Blundell, R., Carrasco, R., Chiuri, M.C., Laisney, F., Lechene, V., Longobardi, E., Moreau, N., Myck, M., Ruiz-Castillo, J., and F. Vermeulen (2006). Evaluating the move to a linear tax system in Germany and other European countries. Review of Economics of the Household 4: 159-180.
- Beninger, D., and Laisney, F. (2006). On the performance of unitary models of household labor supply estimated on "collective" data with taxation. Cahiers d'Économie et Sociologie Rurales 81: 5-36.
- Beninger, D., Laisney, F., and Beblo, M. (2007). Welfare analysis of a tax reform for Germany: a comparison of the unitary and collective models of household labour spppy. Journal of Population Economics 20: 869-893.
- Bertochhi, G., Brunetti, M., and Torricelli C. (2014). Who holds the purse strings within the household? The determinants of intra-family decision making. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 101: 65-86.
- Biswal, U. (1999). Testing the family "common preference" model for immigrant and non-immigrant women's labour supply. Canadian Public Policy / Analyse de Politiques 25 (Supplement: Women in the Canadian Labour Market): S95-S114.
- Blacklow, P., and Ray, R. (2003). Intra-household resource allocation, consumer preferences and commodity tax reforms: Australian Evidence. Economic Record 79: 425-433.
- Blau, D.M., and Goodstein, R.M. (2016). Commitment in the household: evidence from the effect of inheritances on the labor supply of older married couples. Labour Economics 42: 123-137.
- Bloemen, H.G. (2010). An empirical model of collective household labour supply with non-participation. The Economic Journal 120: 183-214.
- Bloemen, H.G. (forthcoming). Collective labor supply, taxes, and intrahousehold allocation: An empirical approach, Journal of Business & Economic Statistics.

- Blundell, R., Chiappori, P.A., and Meghir, C. (2005). Collective labor supply with children. Journal of Political Economy 113: 1277-1306.
- Blundell, R., Chiappori, P.A., Magnac, T., and Meghir, C. (2007). Collective labour supply: heterogeneity and non-participation. Review of Economic Studies 74: 417-445.
- Blundell, R., and Robin, J.M. (2000). Latent separability: Grouping goods without weak separability. Econometrica 68: 53-84
- Bobonis, G. (2009). Is the allocation of resources within the household efficient? New evidence from a randomized experiment. Journal of Political Economy 117 (3): 453–503.
- Bonke, J. (2015). Pooling of income and sharing of consumption within households. Review of Economics of the Household 13: 73-93.
- Bonke, J., and Browning, M. (2009). The allocation of expenditures within the household: A new survey. Fiscal Studies 30: 461-481.
- Bonke, J., and Browning, M. (2009). The distribution of financial well-being and income within the household. Review of Economics of the Household 7: 31-42.
- Bonke, J., and Browning, M. (2009). Spending on children: direct survey evidence. The Economic Journal 121: F123-F143.
- Bonke, J., and Uldall-Poulsen, H. (2007). Why do families actually pool their income? Evidence from Denmark. Review of Economics of the Household 5: 113–128.
- Boone, J., van der Wiel, K., van Soest, A.. and Vermeulen F. (2014). Kinky choices, dictators and split might: a non-cooperative model for household consumption and labor supply. IZA Journal of Labor Economics 3: 1-19.
- Borelli S., and Perali F. (2004). Drug Consumption and Intra-Household Distribution of Resources: The Case of Qat in an African Society. In: Dagum C., Ferrari G. (eds) Household Behaviour, Equivalence Scales, Welfare and Poverty. Contributions to Statistics. Heidelberg: Springer Verlag.
- Bouis, H.E., and Peña, C.L. (1997). Inequality in the intrafamily distribution of food: the dilemma of defining an individual's "fair share". In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Bourguignon, F. (1999). The cost of children: may the collective approach to household behavior help? Journal of Population Economics 12: 503-521.
- Bourguignon, F., Browning, M., and Chiappori, P.A. (2009). Efficient intra-household allocations and distribution factors: implications and identification. Review of Economic Studies 76: 503-528. Publication of the older working paper (which contained different results):
 - Bourguignon, F., Browning, M., and Chiappori, P.A. (1995). The collective approach to household behavior. WP-95-04. DELTA.

- Bourguignon, F., Browning, M., Chiappori, P.A., and Lechene, V. (1993). Intra-household allocation of consumption: a model and some evidence from French data. Annales d'Économie et de Statistique 29: 237-156.
- Bourguignon, F., and Chiappori, P.A. (1992). Collective models of household behavior: an introduction. European Economic Review 36: 355-364.
- Bourguignon, F., and Chiuri, M.C. (2005). Labor market time and home production: a new test for collective models of intra-household allocation. WP No. 131. Center for Economic Studies and Finance.
- Bradbury, B. (1996). Household income sharing, joint consumption and the expenditure patterns of Australian retired couples and single people. SPRC Disc. Paper 66, University of New South Wales, Social Policy Research Centre.
- Bradbury, B. (2004). Consumption and the within-household income distribution: outcomes from an Australian "natural experiment", CESifo Economic Studies 50: 501–540
- Brett, C. (1998). Tax reform and family decision-making. Journal of Public Economics 70: 425-440.
- Browning, M. (1995). Saving and the intra-household distribution of income: an empirical investigation. Ricerche Economiche 48: 277-292.
- Browning, M. (2000). The saving behaviour of a two person household. Scandinavian Journal of Economics 102: 235-251.
- Browning, M., Bourguignon, F., Chiappori, P.A., and Lechene, V. (1994). Income and outcomes: a structural model of intra-household allocation. Journal of Political Economy 102: 1067-1096.
- Browning, M., and Chiappori, P.A. (1998). Efficient intra-household allocations: a general characterization and empirical tests. Econometrica 66: 1241-1278.
- Browning, M., Chiappori, P.A., and Lechene, V. (2006). Collective and unitary models: a clarification. Review of Economics of the Household 4: 5-14.
- Browning, M., Chiappori, P.A., and Lechene, V. (2010). Distributional Effects in Household Models: Separate Spheres and Income Pooling. The Economic Journal: 120: 786-799.
- Browning, M., Chiappori, P.A., and Lewbel, A. (2013). Estimating consumption economies of scale, adult equivalence scales, and household bargaining power. The Review of Economic Studies 80: 1267-1303.
- Browning, M., Chiappori, P.A. and Weiss, Y. (2014). Family economics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Browning M., Donni, O., and Gørtz, M. (2016). Do you have time to take a walk together? Private and joint leisure within the household. Mimeo. Oxford University.

- Browning, M., and Gørtz, M. (2012). Spending time and money within the household. The Scandinavian Journal of Economics 114: 681-704.
- Browning, M., and Lechene, V. (2001). Caring and sharing: tests between alternative models of intra-household allocation. Discussion Paper No 01-07. University of Copenhagen.
- Bruce, J., and Lloyd, C.B. (1997). Finding the ties that bind: beyond headship and household. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Bruyneel, S., Cherchye, L., Cosaert, S., De Rock, B., and Dewitte, S. (2017). Measuring the willingness-to-pay for others' consumption: An application to joint decisions of children. Quantitative Economics 8: 1037-1082.
- Bruyneel, S., Cherchye, L., and De Rock, B. (2012). Collective consumption models with restricted bargaining weights: an empirical assessment based on experimental data. Review of Economics of the Household 10: 395-421.
- Bustreel, A. (2001). La rationalité de la non-spécialisation dans les ménages. Une rupture avec la théorie beckérienne. Revue Économique 52: 1157-1183.
- Bütikofer, A., and Gerfin, M. (2017). The economies of scale of living together and how they are shared: estimates based on a collective household model. Review of Economics of the Household 15: 433-453.
- Caiumi, A., and Perali, F. (2015). Who Bears the Full Cost of Children? Evidence from a collective demand system. Empirical Economics 49: 33-64.
- Campaña, J.C., Giménez-Nadal, J.I., and Molina, J.A. (2018). Efficient Labor Suppy for Latin Families: Is the Intra-household Bargaining Power Relevant? IZA Discussion Papers 11695, Institute of Labor Economics.
- Carter, M.R., and Katz, E.G. (1997). Separate spheres and the conjugal contract: understanding the impact of gender-biased development. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Carvajal, A., and González, N. (2004). On refutability of the Nash bargaining solution. Journal of Mathematical Economics 50, 177-186.
- Chau, T.W., Liu, P.W., and Zhang, J. (2007). Testing the collective model of household labor supply: evidence from China. China Economic Review 18: 389-402.
- Chavas, J.-P., Menon, M, Pagani, E., and Perali, F. (2018). Collective household welfare and intrahousehold inequality. Theoretical Economics 13: 667-696.
- Chen, Z., and Woolley, F. (2001). A Cournot-Nash model of family decision making. The Economic Journal 111: 722–748.

- Cherchye, L., Crawford, I., De Rock B., and Vermeulen, F. (2009). The revealed preference approach to demand. In: Slottje, D. (ed.). Quantifying Consumer Preferences: Estimating Demand Systems. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., Lewbel, A., and Vermeulen, F. (2015). Sharing rule identification for general collective consumption models. Econometrica 83: 2001-2041.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock B., and Platino, V. (2013). Private versus public consumption within groups: testing the nature of goods from aggregate data. Economic Theory 54: 485-500.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., Sabbe J., and Vermeulen, F. (2008). Nonparametric tests of collectively rational consumption behavior: an integer programming procedure. Journal of Econometrics 147: 258-265.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., Surana, K., and Vermeulen, F. (2016). Marital matching, economies of scale and intra-household allocations. IZA DP No. 10242. IZA Institute of Labor Economics.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen, F. (2007). The collective model of household consumption: a non-parametric characterization. Econometrica 75: 553-574.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen, F. (2009). Opening the black box of intra-household decision-making; theory and non-parametric empirical tests of general collective consumption models. Journal of Political Economy 117: 1074-1104.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen F. (2010). An Afriat theorem for the collective model of household consumption. Journal of Economic Theory 145: 1142–1163.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen, F. (2011). The revealed preference approach to collective consumption behaviour: testing and sharing rule recovery. Review of Economic Studies 78: 176-198.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen, F. (2011). Collective household consumption behavior: Revealed preference analysis. Foundations and Trends® in Econometrics 4: 225-312. Hanover, MA: Now Publishers.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen, F. (2012). Economic well-being and poverty among the elderly: an analysis based on a collective consumption model. European Economic Review 56: 985-1000.
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen, F. (2012). Married with children. A collective labor supply model with detailed time use and intrahousehold expenditure information. American Economic Review 102: 3377-3405
- Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., Vermeulen, F., and Verriest, E. (2011). Revealed preference tests for collective behavior. In: Molina, J.A. (ed.). Household Economic Behaviors. Berlin: Springer Verlag.

- Cherchye, L., Demuynck, T., and De Rock, B. (2011). Revealed preference analysis of noncooperative household consumption. The Economic Journal 121: 1073–1096.
- Cherchye, L., Demuynck, T., and De Rock, B. (2013). Nash bargained consumption decisions: a revealed preference analysis. The Economic Journal 123: 195-235.
- Cherchye, L., Demuynck, T., De Rock, B., and Vermeulen, F. (2017). Household consumption when the marriage is stable. American Economic Review 107: 1507–1534.
- Cherchye, L., and Vermeulen, F. (2008). Nonparametric analysis of household labor supply: goodness-of-fit and power of the unitary and the collective model. Review of Economics and Statistics 90: 267-274.
- Chiappori, P.A. (1988). Rational household labor supply. Econometrica 56: 63-89.
- Chiappori, P.A. (1990). La fonction de demande agrégée en biens collectifs : théorie et application. Annales d'Economie et de Statistiques 19 : 1990, 27-42.
- Chiappori, P.A. (1992). Collective labour supply and welfare. Journal of Political Economy 100: 437-467.
- Chiappori, P.A. (1994). The collective approach to household behavior. In: Blundell, R., Preston I., and Walker, J. (eds). The measurement of household welfare. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chiappori, P.A. (1997). Introducing household production in collective models of labor supply. Journal of Political Economy 105: 191-209.
- Chiappori, P.A. (1997). Collective models of household behavior: the sharing rule approach. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Chiappori, P.A. (1999). Labor supply and efficient risk sharing. Mimeo. University of Chicago.
- Chiappori, P.A. (2005). Modèle collectif et analyse de bien-être. Actualité Économique : Revue d'Analyse Économique 81 (3) : 405-419.
- Chiappori, P.A. (2010). Testable implications of transferable utility. Journal of Economic Theory 145: 1302-1317.
- Chiappori, P.A. (2011). Collective labor supply with many consumption goods. Review of Economics of the Household 9: 207-220.
- Chiappori, P.A. (2016). Equivalence versus indifference scales. The Economic Journal 126: 523-545.
- Chiappori, P.A. (2018). Randomized household labor supply. Review of Economics of the Household (Special issue in honor of Angus Deaton) 16: 171-188.
- Chiappori, P.A., Bundell, R., and Meghir, C. (2005) Collective labour supply with children. Journal of Political Economy 113(6): 1277-1306.

- Chiappori, P.A. and Donni, O. (2006). Les modèles non-unitaires de comportement du ménage : un survol de la littérature. Actualité Économique : Revue d'Analyse Économique 82 : 9-52.
- Chiappori, P.A., Donni, O., and Komunjer, I. (2012). Learning from a piece of pie. Review of Economic Studies 79: 162-195.
- Chiappori, P.A., and Ekeland, I. (1999). Aggregation and market demand: An exterior differential calculus viewpoint. Econometrica 67: 1435-58
- Chiappori, P.A., and Ekeland, I. (2006). The microeconomics of group behavior: General characterization. Journal of Economic Theory 130: 1-26.
- Chiappori, P.A., and Ekeland, I. (2009). The microeconomics of efficient group behavior: identification. Econometrica 77: 763-799.
- Chiappori, P.A., and Ekeland, I. (2009). The economics and mathematics of aggregation. Foundations and Trends® in Microeconomics 5: 1-151. Hanover, MA: Now Publishers.
- Chiappori, P.A., and Ekeland, I. (2011). New developments in aggregation economics. Annual Review of Economics 3: 631-668.
- Chiappori, P.A., Fortin, B., and Lacroix, G. (2002). Marriage market, divorce legislation, and household labor supply. Journal of Political Economy 110: 37-72.
- Chiappori, P.A. and Kim, J.H. (2018). A note on identifying heterogeneous sharing rules. Quantitative Economics, 8: 201-218.
- Chiappori, P.A. and Mazzocco, M. (2017). Static and intertemporal household decisions. Journal of Economic Literature 55: 985-1045.
- Chiappori, P.A., and Meghir, C. (2015). Intrahousehold inequality. In: Atkinson A.B., and Bourguignon F. (eds). Handbook of Economic Inequality 2: 1369-1418.
- Chiappori, P.A., Iyigun, M., Lafortune, J., and Weiss, Y. (2016). Changing the rules midway: the impact of granting alimony rights on existing and newly-formed partnerships. Economic Journal 127: 1874-1905.
- Chiappori, P.A., Iyigun, M., and Weiss, Y. (2015). The Becker-Coase Theorem Reconsidered, Journal of Demographic Economics 81(2): 157-77.
- Chiappori, P.A., and Naidoo, J. (2015). Noncooperative Engel Curves: A Characterization. Mimeo. Columbia University.
- Chiappori, P.A., and Oreffice, S. (2008). Birth control and female empowerment: An equilibrium analysis. Journal of Political Economy 116: 113-140.
- Chiappori, P.A., de Palma, A., Picard, N., and Inoa, I.A. (2013). Couple residential location and spouses workplaces. Mimeo. Université de Cergy-Pontoise.
- Chiappori, P.A., Radtchenko, N., and Salanié, B. (2018). Divorce and the Duality of Marital Payoff. Review of Economics of the Household 16: 833-858.

- Chiappori, P.A., Salanié B., and Weiss, Y. (2017). Partner Choice, Investment in Children, and the Marital College Premium. American Economic Review 107: 2109-67.
- Chiuri, M.C. (2000). Quality and Demand of Child Care and Female Labour Supply in Italy. Labour 14: 97-118.
- Chiuri, M.C. (2000). Individual decisions and household demand for consumption and leisure. Research in Economics 54: 277-324.
- Chiuri, M.C., and Simmons, P.J. (1997). Universal decentralization: a demand system for collective and unitary models with household public goods. The Economic Journal 107: 372-389.
- Choo, E., and Siow, A. (2006). Who marries whom and why. Journal of Political Economy, 114: 175-201.
- Choo, E., and Seitz, S. (2013). The collective marriage matching model: Identification, estimation, and testing. In: Choo E. and Shum, M. (eds). Structural Econometric Models (Advances in Econometrics, Volume 31). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Clark, A., Couprie, H., and Sofer C. (2004). La modélisation collective de l'offre de travail : mise en perspective et application aux données britanniques. Revue Économique 55: 767-790.
- Cochard, F., Couprie, H. and Hopfensitz, A. (2016). Do spouses cooperate? An experimental investigation. Review of Economics of the Household 14: 1-26.
- Cochard, F., Couprie, H. and Hopfensitz, A. (2018). What if women earned more than their spouse? An experimental investigation of work division in the couple. Experimental Economics 21: 50-71.
- Cosaert, S., and Demuynck, T. (2018). Nonparametric welfare and demand analysis with unobserved individual heterogeneity. The Review of Economics and Statistics 100: 349-361.
- Couprie, H. (2007). Time allocation within the family: welfare implications of life in a couple. The Economic Journal 117: 287-305.
- Couprie, H., Cudeville, E., and Sofer, C. (2017). Efficiency versus stereotypes: an experiment upon domestic production. Working Paper THEMA No 2015-06. Université de Cergy-Pontoise.
- Couprie, H., and Ferrant, G. (2015). Welfare comparisons, economies of scales and equivalence scale in time use. Annales d'Économie et de Statistique 117-118: 185-210.
- Couprie, H., Peluso, E., and Trannoy, A. (2010). Is power more evenly balanced in poor households? Journal of Public Economics 7-8: 493-507.
- Crespo, L. (2009). Estimation and testing of household labour supply models: evidence from Spain. Investigaciones Económicas 33: 303-335.
- Daunfeldt, S.O., and Hellstrom, J. (2007). Intra-household allocation of time to household production activities: Evidence from Swedish household data. Labour 21: 189-207.

- Dauphin A., El Lahga, A.R., Fortin, B., and Lacroix, G. (2006). Choix de consommation des ménages en présence de plusieurs décideurs. Actualité Économique: Revue d'Analyse Économique 82 (1-2): 87-118.
- Dauphin, A., El Lahga, A.R., Fortin, B., and Lacroix, G. (2011). Are children decision-makers within the household? The Economic Journal 121: 871-903
- Dauphin, A., and Fortin, B. (2001) A test of collective rationality for multi-person households. Economics Letters 71: 211-216.
- Dauphin, A., Fortin, B. and Lacroix, G (2018). Is consumption efficiency within households falsifiable? Review of Economics of the Household 16: 737-766.
- Deaton, A. (1989). Looking for boy-girl discrimination in household expenditure data. World Bank Economic Review 3: 1-15.
- Deaton, A. (1997). The analysis of household surveys: a microeconometric approach to development policy. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.
- Deb, R. (2009). A testable model of consumption with externalities, Journal of Economic Theory 144: 1804-1816.
- Del Boca, D., and Flinn, C. (2012). Endogenous household interaction, Journal of Econometrics 166, 49-65.
- Del Boca, D., and Flinn, C. (2014). Household behavior and the marriage market, Journal of Economic Theory 150, 515-550.
- Dercon, S., and Krishnan, P. (2000). In sickness and in health: Risk sharing within households in rural Ethiopia. Journal of Political Economy 108: 688-727.
- Dey Abbas, J. (1997). Gender asymmetries in intrahousehold resource allocations in Sub-Saharan Africa: some policy implications for land and labor productivity. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Dietrich, J. (2008). Testing unitary and bargaining models of Chinese household food consumption. Applied Financial Economics, 18: 397-410.
- Donni O. (2000). Essais sur les modèles collectifs de comportement du ménage. Ph. D. Thesis. Paris : École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales.
- Donni, O. (2003). Collective household labor supply: non-participation and income taxation. Journal of Public Economics 87: 1179-1198.
- Donni, O. (2006). Collective consumption and welfare. Canadian Journal of Economics 39: 124-144.
- Donni, O. (2006). Les modèles non-coopératifs d'offre de travail : théorie et évidence. Actualité Économique : Revue d'Analyse Économique 82 : 181-206.

- Donni, O. (2007). Collective female labour supply: theory and application. The Economic Journal 117: 94-119.
- Donni, O. (2007). Choix collectif des heures travaillées, consommation publique et équilibre de Lindahl. Revue Économique 58: 535-543.
- Donni, O. (2008). Labor supply, home production and welfare comparisons. Journal of Public Economics 92: 1720-1737.
- Donni, O. (2008). Collective models of the household. In: Blume, L. and Durlauf, S. (ed.). The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics, 2nd Edition. Londres: Palgrave McMillan.
- Donni, O. (2009). A simple approach to investigate intrahousehold allocation of private and public goods. The Review of Economics and Statistics 91 : 617-628.
- Donni, O. (2014). Overinvestment in marriage-specific capital. Mathematical Social Sciences 67: 34-43.
- Donni, O., and Chiappori, P.A. (2011). Non-unitary models of household behavior: a survey of the literature. In: Molina, J.A. (ed.). Household Economic Behaviors. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Donni, O., and Matteazzi, E. (2012). On the importance of household production in collective models: evidence from U.S. data. Annals of Economics and Statistics 105-106: 99-126.
- Donni, O., and Matteazzi, E. (Forthcoming). Collective decisions, household production, and labor force participation, Journal of Applied Econometrics.
- Donni, O., and Moreau, N. (2007). Collective labor supply: a single-equation model and some evidence from French data. The Journal of Human Resources 42 (1): 214-246.
- Donni, O., and Ponthieux, S. (2011). Approches collectives du ménage : du modèle unitaire aux décisions collectives. Travail, Genre et Sociétés 26: 1-18.
- Doss, C. (1996). Testing among models of intrahousehold resource allocation. World Development 24: 1597-1609.
- Doss, C. (2013). Intrahousehold bargaining and resource allocation in developing countries. World Bank Research Observer 28: 52-78.
- Duflo, E. (2003). Grandmothers and granddaughters: old age pension and intra-household allocation in South Africa. World Bank Economic Review 17: 1-25.
- Dunbar, G., Lewbel, A., and Pendakur, K. (2013). Children's resources in collective households: identification, estimation and an application to child poverty in Malawi. American Economic Review 103: 438-471.
- Dunbar, G., Lewbel, A., and Pendakur, K. (2017). Identification of random resource shares in collective households without preference similarity restrictions. Mimeo. Boston College.
- Eckstein, Z., and Lifshitz, O. (2015). Household interaction and the labor supply of married women. International Economic Review, 56: 427-455.

- Ermisch, J., and Pronzato, C. (2008). Intra-household allocation of resources: inferences from non-resident fathers' child support payments. The Economic Journal 118: 347-362.
- Fafchamps, M. (1998). Efficiency in intrahousehold resource allocation. FCND discussion papers 55, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI).
- Fafchamps, M. (2008). Intrahousehold welfare. In: Blume, L., and Durlauf, S. (ed.). The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics, 2nd Edition. Londres: Palgrave McMillan.
- Fernández-Val, I. (2003). Household labor supply: evidence for Spain. Investigaciones Económicas 27: 239-275.
- Flinn, C., Todd, P., and Zhang, W. (2018). Personality traits, intra-household allocation and the gender wage gap. European Economic Review 109:191-220.
- Folbre, N. (1997). Gender coalitions: extrafamily influences on intrafamily inequality. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Fong, Y., and Zhang, J. (2001). The identification of unobservable independent and spousal leisure. Journal of Political Economy 109: 191-202.
- Fortin, B., and Lacroix, G. (1977). A test of the unitary and collective models of household labour supply. The Economic Journal 107: 933-955.
- Fujii, T., and Ishikawa, R. (2013). A note on separability and intra-household resource allocation in a collective household model. Review of Economics of the Household 11: 143.
- Fujii, T., and Ishikawa, R. (2013). How does childbirth alter intrahousehold resource allocation? Evidence from Japan. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 75: 362-387.
- Fuwa, N., Ito, S., Kubo, K., Kurosaki, T., and Sawada, Y. (2006). Introduction to a study of intrahousehold allocation and gender discrimination in rural Andhra Pradesh India. The Developing Economies, 44: 375-397.
- Galichon, A., and Salanié, B. (2015). Cupid's Invisible Hand: Social Surplus and Identification in Matching Models. Mimeo. Columbia University.
- Galichon, A., Kominers, S., and Weber, S. (Forthcoming). Costly Concessions: An Empirical Framework for Matching with Imperfectly Transferable Utility. Journal of Political Economy.
- Gersbach, H., and Haller H. (1999). Allocation among multi-member households: issues, cores and equilibria. In: Alkan, A., Aliprantis, C.D., and Yannelis, N.C. (eds). Current Trends in Economics. Studies in Economic Theory, vol 8. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Gersbach, H., and Haller, H. (2001). Collective decisions and competitive markets. Review of Economic Studies 68: 628-642.

- Gersbach, H., and Haller, H. (2011). Groups, collective decisions and markets. Journal of Economic Theory 146: 275–299
- Gittelsohn, J., and Mookherji, S. (1997). The application of anthropological methods to the study of intrahousehold resource allocation. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Goussé, M., Jacquemet, N., and Robin, J.M. (2017). Marriage, labor supply, and home production. Econometrica 85: 1873-1919.
- Goussé, M., Jacquemet, N., and Robin, J.M. (2017). Household labor supply and the marriage market in the UK, 1991-2008, Labour Economics 46, 131-149
- Gray, J.S. (1998). Divorce-law changes, household bargaining, and married women's labor Supply. American Economic Review 88: 628-642.
- Gutierrez, F. (2016). A sharing model of the household: Explaining the Deaton-Paxson paradox and contesting the collective model. Mimeo. Vanderbilt University.
- Gutierrez, F., and Moro, A. (2017). Household bargaining power, preferences for children and household consumption. Mimeo. Vanderbilt University.
- Guyer, J.I. (1997). The anthropology of wealth and the economics of intrahousehold allocation. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Haan, P., and Myck, M. (2012). Multi-family households in a labour supply model: a calibration method with application to Poland. Applied Economics 44: 2907-2919
- Haddad, G.K. (2015). Gender ratio, divorce rate, and intra-household collective decision proves: evidence from Iranian urban households labor supply with non-participation. Empirical Economics 48: 1365-1394.
- Haddad, L., and Hoddinott, J. (1994). Women's income and boy-girl anthropometric status in the Côte d'Ivore. World Development 22: 543-553.
- Haddad, L., and Kanbur, R. (1990). How serious is the neglect of intra-household inequality. The Economic Journal 100: 866-881.
- Haddad, L., and Kanbur, R. (1992). Intrahousehold inequality and the theory of targeting. European Economic Review 36: 372-378.
- Haddad, L., and Kanbur, R. (1993). The value of intrahousehold survey data for age-based nutritional targeting. Annales d'Économie et de Statistique 29: 65–81.
- Haddad, L., Kanbur, R., and Bouis, H. (1995). Intrahousehold inequality at different welfare levels: energy intake and energy expenditure data from the Philippines. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 57 (3): 389–409.

- Hallberg, D. (2000). Does time-use data support the unitary model? A test using Swedish Time-use data from 1984 and 1993. Working Paper Series 2000:14, Uppsala University, Department of Economics.
- Hallberg, D. (2002). Essays on household behavior and time-use. Ph.D. thesis. Uppsala University.
- Harris-White, B. (1997). Gender bias in intrahousehold nutrition in South Africa: unpacking households and the policy process. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Hendy, R., and Sofer, C. (2010). A collective model of female labor supply: Do distribution factors matter in the Egyptian case? WP No. 2010.35. Centre d'Économie de la Sorbonne.
- Himmelweit, S., Santos, C., Sevilla, A., and Sofer, C. (2013). Sharing of resources within the family and the economics of household decision making. Journal of Marriage and Family 75: 625-639.
- Hoddinott, J., Alderman, H. and Haddad, L. (1997). Testing competing models of intrahousehold allocation. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Hoddinott, J. and Haddad, L. (1995). Does female income share influence household expenditures? Evidence from Côte d'Ivoire. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 57: 77-96
- Hourriez, J.M. (2005). Estimation of a collective model of labor supply with female nonparticipation. Mimeo. INSEE.
- Inoa, I., Picard, N., and de Palma, A. (2014). Intra-household decision models of residential and job location. THEMA Working Papers 2014-05. Université de Cergy-Pontoise.
- Iyigun, M. (2005). Bargaining and specialization in marriage. IZA DP No. 1744. IZA Institute of Labor Economics.
- Iyigun, M., and Walsh, R.P. (2007). Endogenous gender power, household labor supply, and the quantity-quality tradeoff. Journal of Development Economics 82:1, 138-155.
- Iyigun, M., and Walsh, R.P. (2007). Building the family nest: pre-marital investments, marriage markets and spousal allocations. Review of Economic Studies 74 (2): 507-35.
- Jerison, M. (1994). Optimal income distribution rules and representative consumers, The Review of Economic Studies 61, 739–771.
- Jia, Z. (2005). Labor supply of retiring couples and heterogeneity in household decision-making structure, Review of Economics of the Household 3: 215.
- Kanbur, R., and Haddad, L. (1994). Are better off households more unequal or less unequal? Oxford Economic Papers 46: 445-458.

- Kalugina, E., Radtchenko, N. and Sofer, C. (2008). Une analyse du partage intrafamilial du revenu à partir de données subjectives, Economie & Prévision 186: 101-116.
- Kalugina, E., Radtchenko, N., and Sofer, C. (2009) How do spouses share their full income? Identification of the sharing rule using self-reported income. Review of Income and Wealth 55: 360-391.
- Kalugina, E., Radtchenko, N. and Sofer, C. (2009) Intra-household inequality in transitional Russia. Review of Economics of the Household 7:447-471.
- Kato, H., and Matsumoto, M. (2009). Intra-household interaction in a nuclear family: A utility-maximizing approach. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological 43: 191-203.
- Katz, E. (1997). The intra-household economics of voice and exit. Feminist Economics 3: 25-46
- Kawaguchi, A. (1994). Testing neoclassical and non-neoclassical models of household labour supply. Applied Economics, 26: 9-19
- Kebede, B., Tarazona, M., Munro, A., and Verschoor, A. (2014). Intra-household efficiency: An experimental study from Ethiopia. Journal of African Economies 23: 105–150.
- Klassen, S. (1998). Marriage, bargaining, and intrahousehold resource alocation: Excess female mortality among adults during early German development, 1740-1860. Journal of Economic History 58: 432-467.
- Konrad, K.A., and Lommerud, K.E. (1995). Family policy with non-cooperative families. Scandinavian Journal of Economics 97: 581-601.
- Konrad, K.A., and Lommerud, K.E. (2000). The bargaining family revisited. Canadian Journal of Economics 33: 471-486.
- Koolwal, G., and Ray, R. (2002). Estimating the endogenously determined intrahousehold balance of power and its impact on expenditure pattern: Evidence from Nepal. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 2814. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Kooreman, P. (1994). Estimation of econometric models of some discrete games. Journal of Applied Econometrics, 9: 255-268.
- Kooreman, P., and Kapteyn, A. (1990). On the empirical implementation of some game theoretic models of household labor supply. Journal of Human Resources, 25: 584-598.
- Lacroix, G., and Radtchenko, N. (2011). The changing intra-household resource allocation in Russia. Journal of Population Economics 24: 85-106.
- Lakshmanasamy, T. (2003). Testing the unitary and Nash bargaining household models in India. Journal of Social and Economic Development 5: 197-217
- Lancaster, G., Maitra, P., and Ray, R. (2006). Endogenous intra-household balance of power and its impact on expenditure patterns: Evidence from India. Economica 73: 435-460.

- Lechene, V., and Preston, I. (2011). Non cooperative household demand. Journal of Economic Theory 146: 504–527.
- Lee, J. (2007). Marriage, the sharing rule, and pocket money: The case of South Korea, Economic Development and Cultural Change 55: 557-581.
- Lewbel, A. (2003). Calculating compensation in cases of wrongful death. Journal of Econometrics 113: 115-128.
- Lewbel A. (2004). Equivalence scales based on collective household models. In: Dagum C., and Ferrari, G. (eds). Household Behaviour, Equivalence Scales, Welfare and Poverty. Contributions to Statistics. Heidelberg: Physica.
- Lewbel, A., and Penkakur, K. (2008). Estimating collective household models with Engel curves. Journal of Econometrics 147: 350-358.
- Lich-Tyler, S. (2003). Negotiations and love songs: the dynamic of bargained household decisions. Mimeo. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. 2003 American Economic Association Meeting.
- Ligon, E. (2002). Dynamic bargaining in households (with an application to Bangladesh). CUDARE Working Papers 972. University of California at Berkeley.
- Linciano, N., and Simmons, P. (2010). Estimation of alternative models of female labour supply with fixed travel costs. Discussion Papers 10/10, University of York.
- Lise, J., and Seitz, S. (2011). Consumption inequality and intra-household allocations. Review of Economic Studies 78: 328-355.
- Lise, J., and Yamada, K. (2014). Household sharing and commitment: evidence from panel data on individual expenditures and time use. IFS Working Paper W14/0. Institute of Fiscal Studies.
- Lloyd, P. J. (1992). Individual and collective rationality in the household. Australian Economic Papers 31: 127-145.
- Løken, K., Lundberg, S., and Lommerud, K.-J. (2013). Your Place or Mine? On the Location Decisions of Married Couples. Demography 50: 285-310.
- Lommerud, K.-E. (1989). Marital division of labor with risk of divorce: the role of 'voice' enforcement contracts. Journal of Labor Economics 7: 113–127.
- Lundberg, S. (1999). Family bargaining and retirement behavior. In: Aaron, H., Behavioral dimensions of retirement economics. Brookings Institution Press.
- Lundberg, S. (2002). Limits to specialization: family policy and economic efficiency. Mimeo. University of Washington.
- Lundberg, S. (2005). The division of labor by new parents: Does child gender matter? IZA WP No 1787. IZA Institute of Labor Economics.

- Lundberg, S. (2012). Personality and Marital Surplus. IZA Journal of Labor Economics 1:3.
- Lundberg, S., & Pollak, R. A. (1993). Separate spheres bargaining and the marriage market. Journal of Political Economy 101: 988–1010. Reprinted in:
 - Lundberg, S. and Pollak, R.A. (1997). Separate spheres bargaining and the marriage market. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- Lundberg, S., and Pollak, R. (1994). Noncooperative bargaining models of marriage. American Economic Review Papers & Proceedings 84: 132-137.
- Lundberg, S. and Pollak, R. (1996). Bargaining and distribution in marriage. Journal of Economic Perspectives 10: 139-158. Reprinted in:
 - Lundberg, S. and Pollak, R. (1997). Bargaining and Distribution in Marriage. In: Persson, I. and Jonung, C. Economics of the Family and Family Policies: A Selection of Papers from the 15th Arne Ryde Symposium. New York: Routledge.
- Lundberg, S. and Pollak, R. (2003). Efficiency in marriage. Review of Economics of the Household 1: 153-167.
- Lundberg, S. and Pollak, R. (2007). The American family and family economics. Journal of Economic Perspectives 21: 3-26.
- Lundberg, R., Pollak, R., and Stearns, J. (2016). Family inequality: Diverging patterns in marriage, cohabitation, and childbearing. Journal of Economic Perspectives 30 (2): 79-102.
- Lundberg, S., Romich, J., and Tsang, K.P. (2009). Decision-making by Children, Review of Economics of the Household 7: 1-30.
- Lundberg, S., and Rose, E. (1999). The determinants of specialization within marriage. Mimeo. University of Washington.
- Lundberg, S., Startz, R., and Stillman, S. (2003). The retirement-consumption puzzle: A marital bargaining approach. Journal of Public Economics 87: 1199-1218.
- Lundberg, S., Pollak, R.A., and Wales, T.J. (1997). Do husbands and wives pool their resources? Evidence from the United Kingdom child benefit. Journal of Human Resources 32: 463-480.
- Lundberg, S., and Ward-Batts, J. (2004). Saving for retirement: Household bargaining and household net worth. Claremont McKenna College Robert Day School of Economics and Finance Research Paper No. 2004-03.
- Luo, G.Y. (2002). Collective-decision making and heterogeneity in tastes. Journal of Business and Economics Statistics 20: 213-226.

- Lyssiotou, P. (2017). The impact of targeting policy on spouses' demand for public goods, labor supplies and sharing rule. Empirical Economics 53: 853-878.
- Maitra, P., and Ray, R. (2000). Intra-household resource allocation and their impact on expenditure patterns: Comparative evidence from South Africa and Pakistan. Mimeo. Monash University.
- Maitra P., and Ray, R. (2003). On a new test of the collective household model: Evidence from Australia. Mimeo. Monash University.
- Maitra P., and Ray, R. (2004). Analysis of resource inflows and their impact on household behaviour: Evidence from South Africa. In: Dagum C., Ferrari G. (eds) Household Behaviour, Equivalence Scales, Welfare and Poverty. Contributions to Statistics. Heidelberg: Physica.
- Maitra P., and Ray, R. (2005). The impact of intra-household balance of power on expenditure pattern: The Australian evidence. Australian Economic Paper 44: 15-29.
- Maitra P., and Ray, R. (2005). An integrated test of the unitary household model: Evidence from Pakistan. ABERU Discussion Paper 7, 2005.
- Mangiavacchi, L., and Rapallini, C. (2014). Self-reported economic condition and home production: Intra-household allocation in Italy. Bulletin of Economic Research 66: 279-304.
- Manzini, P. and Mariotti, M. (2018). Dual random utility maximisation, Journal of Economic Theory 177: 162-182.
- Martínez, C. (2013). Intrahousehold allocation and bargaining Power: Evidence from Chile, Economic Development and Cultural Change 61: 577-605.
- Matteazzi, E., Menon, M., and Perali, F. (2017). The collective farm household model: Policy and welfare simulations. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy 39: 111–153.
- Mazzocco, M. (2004). Saving, risk sharing, and preferences for risk. The American Economic Review 94: 1169-1182.
- Mazzocco, M. (2006). Parents' preferences for expenditure on children when at least one parent works and preferences are non-separable. CCPR Population Working Papers 2006. University of California at Los Angeles.
- Mazzocco, M. (2007). Household intertemporal behavior: a collective characterization and a test of commitment. Review of Economic Studies 74: 857-895.
- Mazzocco, M. (2008). Intertemporal behavior and household structure. Mimeo. University of California at Los Angeles.
- Mazzocco, M. (2008). Individual rather than household Euler equations: Identification and estimation of individual preferences using household data. Mimeo. Duke University.

- Mazzocco, M., and Saini, S. (2012). Testing efficient risk sharing with heterogeneous risk preferences. American Economic Review 102: 428-468.
- Mazzocco, M., Ruiz, C., and Yamaguchi, S. (2013). Labor supply, wealth dynamics, and marriage decisions. Mimeo. University of California at Los Angeles.
- Mazzocco, M., Ruiz, C., and Yamaguchi, S. (2014). Labor supply and household dynamics. American Economic Review Papers & Proceedings 104: 354-59.
- McElroy, M. B. (1990). The empirical content of nash-bargained household behavior. Journal of Human Resources 25: 559–583.
- McElroy, M. B. (1997). The policy implications of family bargaining and marriage markets. In: Haddad, L., Hoddinott, J. and Alderman, H. (eds). Intrahousehold resource allocation in developing countries: Methods, models, and policy. Baltimore: John Hopkins University Press.
- McPeak, J.G., and Doss, C.R. (2006). Are household production decisions cooperative? Evidence on pastoral migration and milk sales from Northern Kenya. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 88: 525–541.
- Menon, M., Pendakur K., and Perali, F. (2012). On the expenditure-dependence of children's resource shares. Economic Letters 117: 739-742.
- Menon, M., Perali, F., and Piccoli, L. (2018). Collective consumption: an application to the passive drinking effect. Review of Economics of the Household 16: 143.
- Menon, M., Perali F., and Veronesi, M. (2014). Recovering individual preferences for non-market goods: A collective travel-cost model. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 96: 438-457.
- Michaud, P.C., and Vermeulen, F. (2004). A collective retirement model: identification and estimation in the presence of externalities. Labour Economics 18: 159-167.
- Mizushima, A., and Futagami, K. (2015). Non-cooperative versus cooperative family. Journal of Economics 114: 43-62.
- Moehling, C. (2004). She has suddenly become powerful: Youth employment and household decision-making in the early twentieth century. The Journal of Economic History 65: 414-438.
- Molina, J.A., Giménez-Nadal, J.I., Velilla, J. (2018). Intertemporal Labor Supply: A Household Collective Approach. IZA Discussion Papers 11276, Institute of Labor Economics.
- Molina, J.A., Giménez-Nadal, J.I., Velilla, J. (2018). Intra-household Wealth and Welfare Inequality in the US: Estimations from a Collective Model of Labor Supply. IZA Discussion Papers 11707, Institute of Labor Economics.

- Molina, J.A., Ferrer, A. Giménez-Nadal, J.I., García-Lázaro, C., Moreno, Y. Sánchez, A. (Forthcoming). Intergenerational cooperation within the household: a public good game with three generations. Review of Economics of the Household.
- Moreau N. (2000). Une application d'un modèle collectif d'offre de travail sur données françaises. Économie & Prévision 146: 61-71.
- Moreau, N., and Donni, O. (2002). Estimation d'un modèle collectif d'offre de travail avec taxation. Annales d'Économie et de Statistique 65: 55-83.
- Myck, M., Bargain, O., Beblo, M., Beninger, D., Blundell, R., Carrasco, R., Chiuri, M.C., Laisney, F., Lechene, V., Longobardi, E., Moreau, N., Ruiz-Castillo, J., and Vermeulen, F. (2006). The working families' tax credit and some European tax reforms in a collective setting. Review of Economics of the Household 4: 129-158.
- Naidoo, J. (2015). The power of tests for Pareto efficiency within the family. Mimeo. University of Chicago.
- Ortigueira, S., and Siassi, N. (2013). How important is intra-household risk sharing for savings and labor supply? Journal of Monetary Economics 60: 650-666.
- Ott, N. (1992). Intrafamily bargaining and household decisions. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- de Palma, A., Lindsey, R., and Picard, N. (2015). Trip-timing decisions and congestion with household scheduling preferences. Economics of Transportation 4: 118-131.
- de Palma, A., Picard, N., and Inoa, I. (2014). Discrete choice decision-making with multiple decision-makers within the household. In: Hess, S., and Daly, A. (eds). Handbook of Choice Modelling. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- de Palma, A., Picard, N., and Ziegelmeyer, A. (2011). Individual and couple decision behavior under risk: evidence on the dynamics of power balance. Theory and Decision 70: 45-64.
- Pavoni, N. (2000). Inefficiencies in dynamic family decisions: an incomplete contracts approach to labor supply. ChilD Working Papers (6/2000). Center for Household, Income, Labour and Demographic Economics (ChilD)
- Peluso, E., and Trannoy A. (2004). Welfare comparisons among individuals under Samuelson's model of households. In: Dagum C., Ferrari G. (eds). Household Behaviour, Equivalence Scales, Welfare and Poverty. Contributions to Statistics. Physica, Heidelberg
- Phipps, S.A., and Burton, P. (1995). Sharing within families: Implications for the measurement of poverty among individuals in Canada. The Canadian Journal of Economics / Revue Canadienne d'Economique 28: 177-204.
- Phipps, S.A., and Burton, P. (1995). Social/institutional variables and behavior within households: an empirical test using the Luxembourg income study. Feminist Economics 1: 151-174.
- Phipps, S.A., and Burton, P. (1996). Collective models of family behaviour: implications for economic policy. Canadian Public Policy 22: 129-143.

- Phipps, S.A., and Burton, P. (1998). What's mine is yours? The influence of male and female incomes on patterns of household expenditure. Economica 65: 599-613.
- Picard, N., de Palma, A., and Dantan S. (2013). Intra-household discrete choice models of mode choice and residential location. International Journal of Transport Economics 40: 419-446.
- Porter, M. (2017). Spousal bargaining over care for elderly parents in China. Imbalances in sex rations influence the allocation of support. The Journal of Development Studies 53: 514-529.
- Prabhu, V.S. (2010). Tests of intrahousehold resource allocation using a CV framework: A comparison of husbands' and wives' separate and joint WTP in the slums of Navi-Mumbai, India. World Development 38: 606-619,
- Quisumbing. A.R., and Maluccio, J.A. (2003). Resources at marriage and intrahousehold allocation: evidence from Bangladesh, Ethiopia, Indonesia and South Africa. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 65: 283-327.
- Radtchenko, N. (2016). Welfare sharing within the households: identification from subjective well-being data and the collective model of labor supply. Journal of Family and Economic Issues 37: 254-271.
- Rainer, H. (2007). Should we write prenuptial contracts? European Economic Review 51: 337–363.
- Rapallini, C. (2004). Scelte di consumo e modello colletivo : test della razionalità colletiva e stima della regola di ripartizione con i dati italiani. Rivista di Politica Economica 9-10 : 249-271.
- Rapoport, B., Sofer, C., and Solaz, A. (2006). La production domestique dans les modèles collectifs. Actualité Économique : Revue d'Analyse Économique 82 : 247-270.
- Rapoport, B., Sofer, C., and Solaz, A. (2011). Household production in a collective model: some new results. Journal of Population Economics 24: 23-45.
- Rasul, I. (2008). Household bargaining over fertility: Theory and evidence from Malaysia. Journal of Development Economics 86: 215-241.
- Ray, R., and Basu K. (2002). The collective model of the household and an unexpected implication for child labor: Hypothesis and an empirical test. Policy Research Working Papers 2813. World Bank.
- Rodriguez L. (2016). Intrahousehold inequalities in child rights and well-being. A barrier to progress? World Development 83: 111-134.
- Rubalcava, L., and Thomas, D. (2000). Family bargaining and welfare. Labor and Population Program Working Paper Series 00-10. RAND.
- Rubalcava, L., Teruel G., and Thomas, D. (2002). Welfare design, women's empowerment and income pooling. Documento de Trabajo 244. CIDE (Centro de investigatión y docencia económicas).

- Sahn, D.E., and Younger, S.D. (2009). Measuring intrahousehold health inequality: explorations using the body mass index. Health Economics 18: S13-S36.
- Schultz, T.P. (1990). Testing the neoclassical model of family labor supply and fertility. Journal of Human Resources 25: 599-631.
- Seaton, J. (1997). Neoclassical and collective rationality in household labour supply. Applied Economics Letters 4: 529-533.
- Seaton, J. (2001). Bargaining versus non-cooperation; transaction costs within marriage. Applied Economics Letters 8: 37-41.
- Seitz, S. (2009). Accounting for racial differences in marriage and employment. Journal of Labor Economics 27: 385-437.
- Silvennoinen, H. (2008). Essays on household time allocation decisions in a collective household model. PhD Thesis. Helsinki School of Economics.
- Sinha, K. (2012). Endogenous, intra-household decision-making and its impact on the labour supply of couples in rural India. Asian Economic Review 26: 137–157.
- Siow, A. (2008). How does the marriage market clear? An empirical framework. Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue Canadienne d'Économique 41: 1121-1155.
- Smeulders, B., Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., Spieksma, F., and Talla Nobibon, F. (2015). Complexity results for the Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference for collective consumption models. Journal of Mathematical Economics 58: 82-91
- Smeulders, B., Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., and Spieksma, F. (2015). Transitive preferences in multimember households. Economic Theory Bulletin 3: 243-254.
- Strand, J. (2005). Individual and household values of mortality reductions with intrahousehold bargaining. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty 31: 217.
- Snyder, S.K. (1999). Testable restrictions of Pareto optimal public good provision. Journal of Public Economics 71: 97-119.
- Snyder, S.K. (2000). Nonparametric testable restrictions of household behavior. Southern Economic Journal 67: 171-185.
- Sofer, C., and Thibout, C. (2015). La division du travail selon le genre est-elle efficiente? Une analyse à partir de deux enquêtes Emploi du temps. Economie et Statistique 478-479-480 : 273-304.
- Sprumont, Y. (2000). On the testable implications of collective choice theories. Journal of Economic Theory 93: 205-232.
- Stieglitz, J., Gurven, M., Kaplan, H., and Hopfensitz, A. (2017). Why household inefficiency? An experimental approach to assess spousal resource distribution preferences in a

- subsistence population undergoing socioeconomic change. Evolution and Human Behavior 38: 71-81.
- Strauss, J., Mwabu, G., and Geegle, K. (2000). Intrahousehold allocations: a review of theories and empirical evidence. Journal of African Economies 9: 83-143.
- Strauss, J., and Thomas, D. (1995). Human resources: Empirical modeling of household and family decisions. In: Chenery, H., and T.N. Srinivasan, T.N. (eds). Handbook of Development Economics 3 A: 1883-2023. Elsevier.
- Talla Nobibon, F., Cherchye, L., Crama, F., Demuynck T., De Rock, B., and Spieksma, F. (2016). Revealed preference tests of collectively rational consumption behavior: formulations and algorithms. Operations Research 64: 1197–1216.
- Talla Nobibon, F., Cherchye, L., De Rock, B., Sabbe, J., and Spieksma, F. (2011). Heuristics for deciding collectively rational consumption behavior. Computational Economics 38: 173-204.
- Thomas, D. (1990). Intra-household Resource Allocation: An inferential approach. Journal of Human Resources 25: 635-664.
- Thomas, D. (1993). The distribution of income and expenditures within the household. Annals d'Économie et de Statistique, 29 : 109-135.
- Thomas, D. (1994). Like Father, Like Son: Like mother, like daughter: Parental resources and child height. Journal of Human Resources 25: 950-988.
- Thomas, D., and Chen, C. L. (1994). Income shares and shares of income: empirical tests of models of household resource allocations. Labor and Population Program Working Paper Series No 94–08. RAND.
- Thomas, D., Contreras, D., and Frankenberg, E. (2002). Distribution of power within the household and child health. Mimeo. University of California at Los Angeles.
- Tiefenthaler, J. (1999). The sectoral labor supply of married couples in Brazil: testing the unitary model of household behavior. Journal of Population Economics 12: 591-606.
- Tommasi, D., and Wolf, A. (2018). Overcoming weak identification in the estimation of household resource shares. Working Papers ECARES 2016-12. Université Libre de Bruxelles
- Tommasi, D., and Wolf, A. (2018). Estimating household resource shares: A shrinkage approach, Economics Letters 163: 75-78.
- Trannoy, A., and Peluso, E. (2007). Does less inequality among households mean less inequality among individuals? Journal of Economic Theory 133: 568-578.
- Trannoy, A., and Peluso, E. (2009). Poverty orderings and intra-household inequality: The Lost Axiom. Journal of Income Distribution 18: 24-33.

- Udry, C. (1996). Gender, agricultural production, and the theory of the household. Journal of Political Economy 104: 1010-1046.
- Van Klaveren, C., van Praag, B., and van den Brink, H.M. (2008). A public good version of the collective household model: an empirical approach with an application to British household data. Review of Economics of the Household 6: 169-191.
- Van Klaveren, C., and Ghysels, J. (2012). Collective labor supply and child care expenditures: Theory and application. Journal Labor Research 33: 196.
- Vermeulen, F. (2002). Collective household models: principles and main results. Journal of Economic Surveys 16: 533-564.
- Vermeulen, F. (2005). And the winner is... An empirical evaluation of unitary and collective labour supply models. Empirical Economics 30: 711-734.
- Vermeulen, F. (2006). A collective model for female labour supply with non-participation and taxation. Journal of Population Economics 19: 99-118.
- Vermeulen, F., Bargain, O., Beblo, M., Beninger, D., Blundell, R., Carrasco, R., Chiuri, M.C., Laisney, F., Lechene, V., Moreau, N., Myck, M., and Ruiz-Castillo, J. (2006). Collective models of labor supply with nonconvex budget sets and non-participation: a calibration approach. Review of Economics of the Household 4: 113-127.
- Vermeulen F., and Watteyne, A. (2006). Quand un et un ne font plus deux : calcul d'échelles d'équivalence intrafamiliales au moyen d'un modèle collectif. Actualité Économique : Revue d'Analyse Économique 82: 53-86.
- Voena, A. (2015). Yours, mine and ours: do divorce laws affect the intertemporal behavior of married couples? American Economic Review 105: 2295-2332.
- Ward-Batts, J. (2008). Out of the wallet and into the purse. Using micro data to test income pooling. The Journal of Human Resources 43: 325-351.
- Wells, R., and Maher, M. (1996). Time and surplus allocation within marriage. Research Paper No. 1372. Graduate School of Business. Stanford.
- Wirl, F., and Feichtinger, G. (2002). Intrafamiliar consumption and saving under altruism and wealth considerations. Economica, 69: 93-111.
- Woolley, F.R. (1990) Economic models of family decision-making, with applications to intergenerational justice. PhD thesis, London School of Economics and Political Science (United Kingdom).
- Xu Z. (2007). Survey of intra-household models and evidence. Mimeo. American Institutes for Research.
- Yilmazer, T., and Lich, S. (2015). Portfolio choice and risk attitudes: a household bargaining approach. Review of Economics of the Household 13: 219-241.

- Yoon, S., and Goulias, K. (2010). The Impact of time-space prism accessibility on time use behavior and its propagation through intra-household interaction- Transportation Letters 2, 245-260.
- Yoshida, A., and Kim, Y.-S. (2008). Sharing health risk and income risk within households: evidence from Japanese data. Applied Economics 40: 1723-1735
- Zamora, B. (2011). Does female participation affect the sharing rule? Journal of Population Economics 24: 47-83.
- Zhang, J., Kuwano, M., Lee, B., and Fujiwara, A. (2009). Modeling household discrete choice behavior incorporating heterogeneous group decision-making mechanisms, Transportation Research Part B: Methodological 43: 230-250.
- Zhang, S. (2014). Wage shocks, household labor supply, and income instability. Journal of Population Economics 27: 767-796.