

Skjerpen, Terje

Working Paper

The dynamic factor model revisited: the identification problem remains

Discussion Papers, No. 369

Provided in Cooperation with:

Research Department, Statistics Norway, Oslo

Suggested Citation: Skjerpen, Terje (2004) : The dynamic factor model revisited: the identification problem remains, Discussion Papers, No. 369, Statistics Norway, Research Department, Oslo

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/192351>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Discussion Papers No. 369, March 2004
Statistics Norway, Research Department

Terje Skjerpen

The dynamic factor model revisited: the identification problem remains

Abstract:

The lack of identification of short run effects in a system of regression equations consisting of a dynamic translog cost function and cost share equations derived from this cost function is shown.

Keywords: Dynamic cost function

JEL classification: C32; D21

Acknowledgement: I thank Anders Rygh Swensen for valuable comments.

Address: Terje Skjerpen, Statistics Norway, Research Department, P.O.B. 8131, N-0033 Oslo, Norway. E-mail: terje.skjerpen@ssb.no

Discussion Papers

comprise research papers intended for international journals or books. As a preprint a Discussion Paper can be longer and more elaborate than a standard journal article by including intermediate calculation and background material etc.

Abstracts with downloadable PDF files of
Discussion Papers are available on the Internet: <http://www.ssb.no>

For printed Discussion Papers contact:

Statistics Norway
Sales- and subscription service
N-2225 Kongsvinger

Telephone: +47 62 88 55 00
Telefax: +47 62 88 55 95
E-mail: Salg-abonnement@ssb.no

1. Introduction

Urga (1996) claims that he has solved the identification problem concerning dynamic effects within singular dynamic demand systems in the producer case by joint estimation of the underlying dynamic cost function based on the Translog and (n-1) of the derived dynamic cost share functions, where n is the total number of inputs. The modeling framework has later been utilized by Allen and Urga (1999), Urga (1999) and Urga and Walters (2003). Urga (1996) builds upon work by Berndt and Savin (1975), Norsworthy and Harper (1981) and Anderson and Blundell (1982). For instance Anderson and Blundell (1982) considering a dynamic model in cost shares emphasized the inherent identification problem as far as short run effects are concerned. Urga (1996) asserts that the identification problem is overcome when the underlying dynamic cost function is added to the analysis. However, in this note we show that the modifications Urga proposes do not resolve the original problem, when formulated in a usual linear regression framework taking the singularity constraints into account: the design matrix still does not have full rank. Below we demonstrate this for the case when the system consists of three inputs. We show that a constrained dynamic case considered by Urga (1999) is also not identified.

2. The dynamic translog cost function and the derived cost share equations

The point of departure is equation (9) in Urga (1996, p. 208). In the following we assume that the long-run parameters are known, and we also assume that the parameter m, which is relevant for the dynamic adjustment is known and focus on the identification of the remaining parameters. In the following let $p_{i,t} = \log(P_{i,t})$, $i=1,2,3$ and $t=1,\dots,T$. Equations (1)-(3) below show the dynamic cost function and the two first derived dynamic share equations

$$(1) \ln C_t - m \ln C_t^* - (1-m) \ln C_{t-1}^* - (1-m) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 S_{i,t-1} p_{i,t-1} - \sum_{i=1}^3 S_{i,t-1}^* p_{i,t-1} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{ij} z_{j,t-1} p_{i,t},$$

$$(2) \Delta s_{1,t} - m \Delta s_{1,t}^* - m z_{1,t-1} = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{1j} z_{j,t-1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3) \Delta s_{2,t} - m \Delta s_{2,t}^* - m z_{2,t-1} = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_{2j} z_{j,t-1}.$$

The starred variables are the long-run variables, and since the long-run parameters are assumed known we can treat these as ordinary variables. The deviation between the long-run share and the actual share is defined as

$$(4) z_{j,t} = S_{j,t}^* - S_{j,t}, j=1,2,3.$$

Again since the long-run parameters are known we can treat the z-variables as known. From adding-up we furthermore have that

$$(5) \sum_{j=1}^3 z_{j,t} = 0 \forall t = 1, \dots, T.$$

The question is can we identify the parameters b_{ij} ($i,j=1, 2, 3$) using Eqs. (1)-(3).

Let the matrix B be defined by $B = \{b_{ij}\}_{ij=1,2,3}$. Because of the singularity of the system we have, as also Urga (1996) does, to impose the restriction that the rowsum is equal for all the columns of B. Let $\iota' = (1, 1, 1)$. The restrictions then imply that

$$(6) \iota' B = b \iota',$$

where b is a scalar. In the following we operationalize the restrictions as

$$(7a) b_{11} = b - b_{21} - b_{31},$$

$$(7b) b_{22} = b - b_{12} - b_{32} \text{ and}$$

$$(7c) b_{33} = b - b_{13} - b_{23}.$$

Thus we now only have the 7 parameters which we collect in the vector θ ,

$$\theta = (b, b_{12}, b_{21}, b_{13}, b_{31}, b_{23}, b_{32})'.$$

3. Identification of short-run effects

Let us introduce some simplifying notation and define y_t [i.e. the variables on the left hand side of (1)-(3)] as

$$(8) y_t = \begin{pmatrix} \ln C_t - m \ln C_t^* - (1-m) \ln C_{t-1} - (1-m) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 S_{i,t-1} p_{i,t-1} - \sum_{i=1}^3 S_{i,t-1}^* p_{i,t-1} \right) \\ \Delta s_{1,t} - m \Delta s_{1,t}^* - m z_{1,t-1} \\ \Delta s_{2,t} - m \Delta s_{2,t}^* - m z_{2,t-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let furthermore x_t be a 12×1 vector (consisting of (i) products of log-prices and shares and (ii) shares), where the respective elements are given below

$$(9) x_t' = (z_{1,t-1} p_{1,t}, z_{2,t-1} p_{1,t}, z_{3,t-1} p_{1,t}, z_{1,t-1} p_{2,t}, z_{2,t-1} p_{2,t}, z_{3,t-1} p_{2,t}, z_{1,t-1} p_{3,t}, z_{2,t-1} p_{3,t}, z_{3,t-1} p_{3,t}, z_{1,t-1}, z_{2,t-1}, z_{3,t-1}).$$

Let us now write the system using all observations $t=1, \dots, T$. Let y be the $T \times 3$ matrix defined by $y' = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T]$ and let x be the $T \times 12$ matrix defined by $x' = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T]$.

Stacking the columns of y and including additive errors, (1)-(3) take the following form:

$$(10) \text{vec}(y) = (I_3 \otimes x) D \theta + \text{vec}(\varepsilon),$$

where $H = (I_3 \otimes x) D$ is a $3T \times 7$ design matrix, ε is a $T \times 3$ matrix of errors and \otimes is the Kronecker product. The matrix D has dimension 36×7 and is given in appendix A. The design matrix takes explicitly account of the (singularity) restrictions represented by (7a)-(7c). The matrix H is given in Eq. (11).

$$(11) \ H = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^3 z_{j,0} p_{j,1} & z_{2,0}(p_{1,1} - p_{2,1}) & z_{1,0}(p_{2,1} - p_{1,1}) & z_{3,0}(p_{1,1} - p_{3,1}) & z_{1,0}(p_{3,1} - p_{1,1}) & z_{3,0}(p_{2,1} - p_{3,1}) & z_{2,0}(p_{3,1} - p_{2,1}) \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 z_{j,1} p_{j,2} & z_{2,1}(p_{1,2} - p_{2,2}) & z_{1,1}(p_{2,2} - p_{1,2}) & z_{3,1}(p_{1,2} - p_{3,2}) & z_{1,1}(p_{3,2} - p_{1,2}) & z_{3,1}(p_{2,2} - p_{3,2}) & z_{2,1}(p_{3,2} - p_{2,2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 z_{j,T-1} p_{j,T} & z_{2,T-1}(p_{1,T} - p_{2,T}) & z_{1,T-1}(p_{2,T} - p_{1,T}) & z_{3,T-1}(p_{1,T} - p_{3,T}) & z_{1,T-1}(p_{3,T} - p_{1,T}) & z_{3,T-1}(p_{2,T} - p_{3,T}) & z_{2,T-1}(p_{3,T} - p_{2,T}) \\ z_{1,0} & z_{2,0} & -z_{1,0} & z_{3,0} & -z_{1,0} & 0 & 0 \\ z_{1,1} & z_{2,1} & -z_{1,1} & z_{3,1} & -z_{1,1} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{1,T-1} & z_{2,T-1} & -z_{1,T-1} & z_{3,T-1} & -z_{1,T-1} & 0 & 0 \\ z_{2,0} & -z_{2,0} & z_{1,0} & 0 & 0 & z_{3,0} & -z_{2,0} \\ z_{2,1} & -z_{2,1} & z_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & z_{3,1} & -z_{2,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{2,T-1} & -z_{2,T-1} & z_{1,T-1} & 0 & 0 & z_{3,T-1} & -z_{2,T-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For identification of θ H must have full column rank. However if we add columns 1, 5 and 7 in H we obtain the zero-vector and hence H has reduced rank. Thus the b-parameters are not identified.

Urga (1999) considered a constrained symmetric model in which $b_{21} = b_{12}$, $b_{31} = b_{13}$ and $b_{32} = b_{23}$.

Below we show that identification is not obtained even in this model. Instead of (10) we now have

$$(12) \ \text{vec}(y) = (I_3 \otimes x) D_s \theta_s + \text{vec}(\varepsilon),$$

where $\theta_s = (b, \ b_{12}, \ b_{13}, \ b_{23})'$.

The matrix D_s is of dimension 36×4 and is given in Appendix A. We can now derive the $3T \times 4$ matrix

$H_s = (I_3 \otimes x) D_s$ as

$$(13) \ H_s = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^3 z_{i,0} p_{i,1} & z_{1,0}(p_{2,1} - p_{1,1}) + z_{2,0}(p_{1,1} - p_{2,1}) & z_{1,0}(p_{3,1} - p_{1,1}) + z_{3,0}(p_{1,1} - p_{3,1}) & z_{2,0}(p_{3,1} - p_{2,1}) + z_{3,0}(p_{2,1} - p_{3,1}) \\ \sum_{i=1}^3 z_{i,1} p_{i,2} & z_{1,1}(p_{2,2} - p_{1,2}) + z_{2,1}(p_{1,2} - p_{2,2}) & z_{1,1}(p_{3,2} - p_{1,2}) + z_{3,1}(p_{1,2} - p_{3,2}) & z_{2,1}(p_{3,2} - p_{2,2}) + z_{3,1}(p_{2,2} - p_{3,2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^3 z_{i,T-1} p_{i,T} & z_{1,T-1}(p_{2,T} - p_{1,T}) + z_{2,T-1}(p_{1,T} - p_{2,T}) & z_{1,T-1}(p_{3,T} - p_{1,T}) + z_{3,T-1}(p_{1,T} - p_{3,T}) & z_{2,T-1}(p_{3,T} - p_{2,T}) + z_{3,T-1}(p_{2,T} - p_{3,T}) \\ z_{1,0} & z_{2,0} - z_{1,0} & z_{3,0} - z_{1,0} & 0 \\ z_{1,1} & z_{2,1} - z_{1,1} & z_{3,1} - z_{1,1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{1,T-1} & z_{2,T-1} - z_{1,T-1} & z_{3,T-1} - z_{1,T-1} & 0 \\ z_{2,0} & z_{1,0} - z_{2,0} & 0 & z_{3,0} - z_{2,0} \\ z_{2,1} & z_{1,1} - z_{2,1} & 0 & z_{3,1} - z_{2,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{2,T-1} & z_{1,T-1} - z_{2,T-1} & 0 & z_{3,T-1} - z_{2,T-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix is also of reduced rank. Multiplying column one with column three and adding the three remaining columns yields the zero matrix. Thus even adding symmetry does not secure identification. A more rigid restriction which leads to identification is to assume a *simple independent adjustment error correction mechanism*. This model is implemented by assuming that $b_{ij} = \delta_{ij} b$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$), where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ for $i=j$ and 0 for $i \neq j$.

References

Allen, C., Urga G. 1999. Interrelated factor demands from dynamic cost functions: An application to the non-energy business sector of the UK economy. *Economica* 66, 403-413.

Anderson, G.J., Blundell, R.W. 1982. Estimation and hypothesis testing in dynamic singular equation systems. *Econometrica* 50, 1559-1571.

Berndt, E.R., Savin, N.E. 1975. Estimation and hypothesis testing in singular equation systems with autoregressive disturbances. *Econometrica* 43, 937-957.

Norsworthy, J.R., Harper, M.J. 1981. Dynamic models of energy substitution in US manufacturing. In: Berndt, E.R., Field, B.C. (Eds.), *Modeling and Measuring Natural Resource Substitution*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, Chapter 9.

Urga, G. 1996. On the identification problem in testing the dynamic specification of factor-demand equations. *Economics Letters* 52, 205-210.

Urga, G. 1999. An application of dynamic specifications of factor demand equations to interfuel substitution in US industrial energy demand. *Economic Modelling* 16, 503-513.

Urga, G., Walters, C. 2003. Dynamic translog and linear logit models: A factor demand analysis of interfuel substitution in US industrial energy demand. *Energy Economics* 25, 1-21.

The design of matrix D and D_s

The unrestricted case: Matrix D

For ease of exposition the matrix D is partitioned in the three submatrices D_1 , D_2 and D_3 , each of dimension 12×7 .

$$(A1) \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 \\ D_2 \\ D_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The submatrices are given by

$$(A2) \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad D_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The restricted (symmetric) case: Matrix D_s

The matrix D_s is partitioned in the three submatrices $D_{s,1}$, $D_{s,2}$ and $D_{s,3}$ each of dimension 12×4 .

$$(A3) \quad D_s = \begin{bmatrix} D_{s,1} \\ D_{s,2} \\ D_{s,3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The submatrices are given by

$$(A4) \quad D_{s,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad D_{s,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad D_{s,3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recent publications in the series Discussion Papers

- 278 H. Dale-Olsen and D. Rønningen (2000): The Importance of Definitions of Data and Observation Frequencies for Job and Worker Flows - Norwegian Experiences 1996-1997
- 279 K. Nyborg and M. Rege (2000): The Evolution of Considerate Smoking Behavior
- 280 M. Søberg (2000): Imperfect competition, sequential auctions, and emissions trading: An experimental evaluation
- 281 L. Lindholt (2000): On Natural Resource Rent and the Wealth of a Nation. A Study Based on National Accounts in Norway 1930-95
- 282 M. Rege (2000): Networking Strategy: Cooperate Today in Order to Meet a Cooperator Tomorrow
- 283 P. Boug, Å. Cappelen and A.R. Swensen (2000): Expectations in Export Price Formation: Tests using Cointegrated VAR Models
- 284 E. Fjærli and R. Aaberge (2000): Tax Reforms, Dividend Policy and Trends in Income Inequality: Empirical Evidence based on Norwegian Data
- 285 L.-C. Zhang (2000): On dispersion preserving estimation of the mean of a binary variable from small areas
- 286 F.R. Aune, T. Bye and T.A. Johnsen (2000): Gas power generation in Norway: Good or bad for the climate? Revised version
- 287 A. Benedictow (2000): An Econometric Analysis of Exports of Metals: Product Differentiation and Limited Output Capacity
- 288 A. Langorgen (2000): Revealed Standards for Distributing Public Home-Care on Clients
- 289 T. Skjerpen and A.R. Swensen (2000): Testing for long-run homogeneity in the Linear Almost Ideal Demand System. An application on Norwegian quarterly data for non-durables
- 290 K.A. Brekke, S. Kverndokk and K. Nyborg (2000): An Economic Model of Moral Motivation
- 291 A. Raknerud and R. Golombek: Exit Dynamics with Rational Expectations
- 292 E. Biørn, K-G. Lindquist and T. Skjerpen (2000): Heterogeneity in Returns to Scale: A Random Coefficient Analysis with Unbalanced Panel Data
- 293 K-G. Lindquist and T. Skjerpen (2000): Explaining the change in skill structure of labour demand in Norwegian manufacturing
- 294 K. R. Wangen and E. Biørn (2001): Individual Heterogeneity and Price Responses in Tobacco Consumption: A Two-Commodity Analysis of Unbalanced Panel Data
- 295 A. Raknerud (2001): A State Space Approach for Estimating VAR Models for Panel Data with Latent Dynamic Components
- 296 J.T. Lind (2001): Tout est au mieux dans ce meilleur des ménages possibles. The Pangloss critique of equivalence scales
- 297 J.F. Bjørnstad and D.E. Sommervoll (2001): Modeling Binary Panel Data with Nonresponse
- 298 Taran Fæhn and Erling Holmøy (2001): Trade Liberalisation and Effects on Pollutive Emissions and Waste. A General Equilibrium Assessment for Norway
- 299 J.K. Dagsvik (2001): Compensated Variation in Random Utility Models
- 300 K. Nyborg and M. Rege (2001): Does Public Policy Crowd Out Private Contributions to Public Goods?
- 301 T. Hægeland (2001): Experience and Schooling: Substitutes or Complements
- 302 T. Hægeland (2001): Changing Returns to Education Across Cohorts. Selection, School System or Skills Obsolescence?
- 303 R. Bjørnstad: (2001): Learned Helplessness, Discouraged Workers, and Multiple Unemployment Equilibria in a Search Model
- 304 K. G. Salvanes and S. E. Førre (2001): Job Creation, Heterogeneous Workers and Technical Change: Matched Worker/Plant Data Evidence from Norway
- 305 E. R. Larsen (2001): Revealing Demand for Nature Experience Using Purchase Data of Equipment and Lodging
- 306 B. Bye and T. Åvitsland (2001): The welfare effects of housing taxation in a distorted economy: A general equilibrium analysis
- 307 R. Aaberge, U. Colombino and J.E. Roemer (2001): Equality of Opportunity versus Equality of Outcome in Analysing Optimal Income Taxation: Empirical Evidence based on Italian Data
- 308 T. Kornstad (2001): Are Predicted Lifetime Consumption Profiles Robust with respect to Model Specifications?
- 309 H. Hungnes (2001): Estimating and Restricting Growth Rates and Cointegration Means. With Applications to Consumption and Money Demand
- 310 M. Rege and K. Telle (2001): An Experimental Investigation of Social Norms
- 311 L.C. Zhang (2001): A method of weighting adjustment for survey data subject to nonignorable nonresponse
- 312 K. R. Wangen and E. Biørn (2001): Prevalence and substitution effects in tobacco consumption. A discrete choice analysis of panel data
- 313 G.H. Bjertnær (2001): Optimal Combinations of Income Tax and Subsidies for Education
- 314 K. E. Rosendahl (2002): Cost-effective environmental policy: Implications of induced technological change
- 315 T. Kornstad and T.O. Thoresen (2002): A Discrete Choice Model for Labor Supply and Child Care
- 316 A. Bruvoll and K. Nyborg (2002): On the value of households' recycling efforts
- 317 E. Biørn and T. Skjerpen (2002): Aggregation and Aggregation Biases in Production Functions: A Panel Data Analysis of Translog Models
- 318 Ø. Døhl (2002): Energy Flexibility and Technological Progress with Multioutput Production. Application on Norwegian Pulp and Paper Industries
- 319 R. Aaberge (2002): Characterization and Measurement of Duration Dependence in Hazard Rate Models
- 320 T. J. Klette and A. Raknerud (2002): How and why do Firms differ?

- 321 J. Aasness and E. Røed Larsen (2002): Distributional and Environmental Effects of Taxes on Transportation
- 322 E. Røed Larsen (2002): The Political Economy of Global Warming: From Data to Decisions
- 323 E. Røed Larsen (2002): Searching for Basic Consumption Patterns: Is the Engel Elasticity of Housing Unity?
- 324 E. Røed Larsen (2002): Estimating Latent Total Consumption in a Household.
- 325 E. Røed Larsen (2002): Consumption Inequality in Norway in the 80s and 90s.
- 326 H.C. Bjørnland and H. Hungnes (2002): Fundamental determinants of the long run real exchange rate: The case of Norway.
- 327 M. Søberg (2002): A laboratory stress-test of bid, double and offer auctions.
- 328 M. Søberg (2002): Voting rules and endogenous trading institutions: An experimental study.
- 329 M. Søberg (2002): The Duhem-Quine thesis and experimental economics: A reinterpretation.
- 330 A. Raknerud (2002): Identification, Estimation and Testing in Panel Data Models with Attrition: The Role of the Missing at Random Assumption
- 331 M.W. Arneberg, J.K. Dagsvik and Z. Jia (2002): Labor Market Modeling Recognizing Latent Job Attributes and Opportunity Constraints. An Empirical Analysis of Labor Market Behavior of Eritrean Women
- 332 M. Greaker (2002): Eco-labels, Production Related Externalities and Trade
- 333 J. T. Lind (2002): Small continuous surveys and the Kalman filter
- 334 B. Halvorsen and T. Willumsen (2002): Willingness to Pay for Dental Fear Treatment. Is Supplying Fear Treatment Social Beneficial?
- 335 T. O. Thoresen (2002): Reduced Tax Progressivity in Norway in the Nineties. The Effect from Tax Changes
- 336 M. Søberg (2002): Price formation in monopolistic markets with endogenous diffusion of trading information: An experimental approach
- 337 A. Bruvoll og B.M. Larsen (2002): Greenhouse gas emissions in Norway. Do carbon taxes work?
- 338 B. Halvorsen and R. Nesbakken (2002): A conflict of interests in electricity taxation? A micro econometric analysis of household behaviour
- 339 R. Aaberge and A. Langørgen (2003): Measuring the Benefits from Public Services: The Effects of Local Government Spending on the Distribution of Income in Norway
- 340 H. C. Bjørnland and H. Hungnes (2003): The importance of interest rates for forecasting the exchange rate
- 341 A. Bruvoll, T.Fæhn and Birger Strøm (2003): Quantifying Central Hypotheses on Environmental Kuznets Curves for a Rich Economy: A Computable General Equilibrium Study
- 342 E. Biørn, T. Skjerpen and K.R. Wangen (2003): Parametric Aggregation of Random Coefficient Cobb-Douglas Production Functions: Evidence from Manufacturing Industries
- 343 B. Bye, B. Strøm and T. Åvitsland (2003): Welfare effects of VAT reforms: A general equilibrium analysis
- 344 J.K. Dagsvik and S. Strøm (2003): Analyzing Labor Supply Behavior with Latent Job Opportunity Sets and Institutional Choice Constraints
- 345 A. Raknerud, T. Skjerpen and A. Rygh Swensen (2003): A linear demand system within a Seemingly Unrelated Time Series Equation framework
- 346 B.M. Larsen and R.Nesbakken (2003): How to quantify household electricity end-use consumption
- 347 B. Halvorsen, B. M. Larsen and R. Nesbakken (2003): Possibility for hedging from price increases in residential energy demand
- 348 S. Johansen and A. R. Swensen (2003): More on Testing Exact Rational Expectations in Cointegrated Vector Autoregressive Models: Restricted Drift Terms
- 349 B. Holtmark (2003): The Kyoto Protocol without USA and Australia - with the Russian Federation as a strategic permit seller
- 350 J. Larsson (2003): Testing the Multiproduct Hypothesis on Norwegian Aluminium Industry Plants
- 351 T. Bye (2003): On the Price and Volume Effects from Green Certificates in the Energy Market
- 352 E. Holmøy (2003): Aggregate Industry Behaviour in a Monopolistic Competition Model with Heterogeneous Firms
- 353 A. O. Ervik, E.Holmøy and T. Hægeland (2003): A Theory-Based Measure of the Output of the Education Sector
- 354 E. Halvorsen (2003): A Cohort Analysis of Household Saving in Norway
- 355 I. Aslaksen and T. Synnøve (2003): Corporate environmental protection under uncertainty
- 356 S. Glomsrød and W. Taoyuan (2003): Coal cleaning: A viable strategy for reduced carbon emissions and improved environment in China?
- 357 A. Bruvoll T. Bye, J. Larsson og K. Telle (2003): Technological changes in the pulp and paper industry and the role of uniform versus selective environmental policy.
- 358 J.K. Dagsvik, S. Strøm and Z. Jia (2003): A Stochastic Model for the Utility of Income.
- 359 M. Rege and K. Telle (2003): Indirect Social Sanctions from Monetarily Unaffected Strangers in a Public Good Game.
- 360 R. Aaberge (2003): Mean-Spread-Preserving Transformation.
- 361 E. Halvorsen (2003): Financial Deregulation and Household Saving. The Norwegian Experience Revisited
- 362 E. Røed Larsen (2003): Are Rich Countries Immune to the Resource Curse? Evidence from Norway's Management of Its Oil Riches
- 363 E. Røed Larsen and Dag Einar Sommervoll (2003): Rising Inequality of Housing? Evidence from Segmented Housing Price Indices
- 364 R. Bjørnstad and T. Skjerpen (2003): Technology, Trade and Inequality
- 365 A. Raknerud, D. Rønningen and T. Skjerpen (2003): A method for improved capital measurement by combining accounts and firm investment data
- 366 B.J. Holtmark and K.H. Alfsen (2004): PPP-correction of the IPCC emission scenarios - does it matter?
- 367 R. Aaberge, U. Colombino, E. Holmøy, B. Strøm and T. Wennemo (2004): Population ageing and fiscal sustainability: An integrated micro-macro analysis of required tax changes
- 368 E. Røed Larsen (2004): Does the CPI Mirror Costs.of.Living? Engel's Law Suggests Not in Norway