

Asongu, Simplicé; Odhiambo, Nicholas M.

Working Paper

Testing the quiet life hypothesis in the African banking industry

AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/18/015

Provided in Cooperation with:

African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé, Cameroon

Suggested Citation: Asongu, Simplicé; Odhiambo, Nicholas M. (2018) : Testing the quiet life hypothesis in the African banking industry, AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/18/015, African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/191338>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

A G D I Working Paper

WP/18/015

Testing the Quiet Life Hypothesis in the African Banking Industry¹

Forthcoming: Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade

Simplice A. Asongu

Department of Economics, University of South Africa.

P. O. Box 392, UNISA 0003, Pretoria South Africa.

E-mails: asongusimplice@yahoo.com , asongus@afridev.org

Nicholas M. Odhiambo

Department of Economics, University of South Africa.

P. O. Box 392, UNISA 0003, Pretoria, South Africa.

Emails: odhianm@unisa.ac.za , nmbaya99@yahoo.com

¹This working paper also appears in the Development Bank of Nigeria Working Paper Series.

Research Department

Testing the Quiet Life Hypothesis in the African Banking Industry**Simplice A. Asongu & Nicholas M. Odhiambo**

January 2018

Abstract

The Quiet Life Hypothesis (QLH) is the pursuit of less efficiency by firms. In this study, we assess if powerful banks in the African banking industry are increasing financial access. The QLH is therefore consistent with the pursuit of financial intermediation inefficiency by large banks. To investigate the hypothesis, we first estimate the Lerner index. Then, using Two Stage Least Squares, we assess the effect of the Lerner index on financial access proxied by loan price and loan quantity. The empirical evidence is based on a panel of 162 banks from 42 African countries for the period 2001-2011. The findings support the QLH, although quiet life is driven by the below-median Lerner index sub-sample. Policy implications are discussed.

JEL Classification: D40; G20 ; G29 ; L10 ; O55

Keywords: Financial access; Bank performance; Africa

1. Introduction

There are three main motivations for the positioning of this study: (i) surplus liquidity issues in African financial institutions and limited financial access to households and corporations (Saxegaard, 2006; Fouda, 2009; Asongu, 2014, p.70); (ii) recent claims that banks in Africa, instead of enhancing financial access, have been enjoying a “quiet life” (Asongu *et al.*, 2016a; Boateng *et al.*, 2018) and (iii) gaps in the literature because the existing bulk of studies on “quiet life” in the banking industry has failed to engage the African continent. The Quiet Life Hypothesis (QLH) is a postulation that large financial institutions would invest less in enhancing financial access through the pursuit of intermediation efficiency. According to the hypothesis, instead of using their favourable market position to increase the quantity of loans and/or decrease the price of loans, such financial institutions tend to exploit such market

advantages from their large size to improve their gains or enjoy a “quiet life” (Coccoresse & Pellecchia, 2010).

The literature accords with the perspective that relative to large banks, small banks are associated with lower interest margins (see Beck & Hesse, 2006; Ahokpossi, 2013). For instance: the size of a bank substantially influences interest spread/variations in the banking sector (Beck & Hesse, 2006); big banks are related to a higher cost of loans (see Ngigi, 2013a, 2013b) and in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), competition-friendly policies reduce the price of loans because they enhance interbank competition (Ahokpossi, 2013).

From a theoretical perspective, however, large banks with substantial market influence are expected to be linked to lower interest margins owing to internal and external economies of scale. Unfortunately, big banks have been associated with financial allocation inefficiency because they contribute to reduce financial access (Mitchell & Onvural, 1996). Three main narratives have been provided to elucidate this paradox in the recent financial development literature: (1) Large banks could be employing credit information agencies (such as private credit bureaus and public credit registries) to boost their profit margins (Brown & Zehnder, 2010; Asongu *et al.*, 2016b). (2) Large financial institutions are also associated with diseconomies of scale which engender management, organisational and coordination inefficiencies (Mester, 1992; Clark, 1996; Karray & Chichti, 2013). (3) Big banks could be more focused on enjoying a ‘quiet life’ than on leveraging on their positions to boost financial intermediation efficiency (Mitchell & Onvural, 1996; Boateng *et al.*, 2018). The positioning of the study falls within the framework of the third dimension. Hence, by investigating the QLH, we seek to clarify whether big banks are reducing financial access by increasing interest margins (price of loans) and reducing credit availability (quantity of loans).

In the light of the above, the positioning of the inquiry also complements a recent strand of African financial literature that is based on claims that big banks are associated with less financial access (Triki & Gajigo, 2014; Barth *et al.*, 2009; Tchamyou & Asongu, 2017)². The complementary character of this study is based on the fact that claims from the underlying literature are founded on policy inferences of indirect nature. This is essentially because specific “quiet life” indicators are not directly engaged. We directly assess how banks

² Moreoover, the bulk of recent financial development literature on Africa has not focused on market power in the banking industry (Daniel, 2017; Fowowe, 2014; Wale & Makina, 2017; Chikalipah, 2017; Bocher *et al.*, 2017; Osah & Kyobe, 2017; Oben & Sakyi, 2017; Ofori-Sasu *et al.*, 2017; Chapoto & Aboagye, 2017; Iyke & Odhiambo, 2017; Boadi *et al.*, 2017).

with strong influence in the banking industry affect financial access in order to bridge the identified gap.

Noticeably from existing literature on the QLH summarised in Table 1, the African continent has not been given the scholarly attention it deserves, despite being the region with comparatively more issues of financial access (Triki & Gajigo, 2014). In essence, with the exception of Ariss (2010), who has included a few African countries, the majority of studies have failed to engage Africa.

Table 1: Summary of empirical literature

Author(s)	Regions (Period)	Quiet Life Hypothesis(QLH)
Tu & Chen (2000)	Taiwan (1986-1999)	Yes
Weill (2004)	Europe (1994-1999)	No
Maudos & de Guevara (2007)	Europe (1993-2002)	No
Koetter & Vins (2008)	Germany (1996-2006)	Yes
Koetter et al. (2008)	USA (1986-2006)	No
Pruteanu-Podpiera et al. (2008)	Czech Republic (1994-2005)	No
Schaeck & Cihak (2008)	Europe & USA (1995-2005)	Yes
Al-Jarrah & Gharaibeh (2009)	Jordan (2001-2005)	No
Solis & Maudos (2008)	Mexico (1993-2005)	No (for deposit market) Yes (for loans market)
Al-Muharrami & Mathews (2009)	Arab Gulf (1993-2002)	No
Fan & Marton (2011)	SEE (1998-2008)	No
Fu & Heffernan (2009)	China (1985-2002)	No
Delis & Tsionas (2009)	Europe (1996-2006)	Yes
Fu & Heffernan (2009)	China (1985-2002)	No
Punt & van Rooij(2009)	EU (1992-1997)	No
Ariss (2010)	A sample of developing countries (1999-2005)	Yes (cost efficiency) No (profit efficiency)
Coccoresse & Pellicchia (2010)	Italy (1992-2007)	Yes
Tetsushi et al. (2012)	Japan (1974-2005)	Yes
Titko & Dauylbaev (2015)	Baltic countries (2007-2013)	No

Sources: Coccoresse and Pellicchia (2010); Titko and Dauylbaev (2015) and Author. SEE: South East European countries. EU: Europe Union. QLH: Quiet Life Hypothesis.

In order to assess the QLH in the African banking industry, two main hypotheses are investigated:

H1: The Lerner index reduces financial access.

H2: The negative effect of the Lerner index on financial access is higher in the above-median Lerner index sub-sample.

In order for the hypotheses to be confirmed, we expect the Lerner index to increase loan price and reduce loan quantity. Using Two Stage Least Squares, we assess the effect of the Lerner index on financial access proxied by loan price and loan quantity. The empirical evidence is based on a panel of 162 banks from 42 African countries for the period 2001-2011.

The findings support the QLH, although quiet life is driven by the below-median Lerner index sub-sample. Hence, Hypothesis 1 is valid while Hypothesis 2 is rejected.

The rest of the study is structured as follows. Section 2 discusses the data and methodology. Section 3 covers the empirical results while Section 4 presents concluding implications and future research directions.

2. Data and Methodology

2.1 Data

The paper examines a panel of 162 banks with data for the period 2001-2011 from 42 African countries. The data is from African Development Indicators of the World Bank and Bankscope. The adopted periodicity, number of banks and number of countries are due to constraints in data availability.

In accordance with recent banking literature (see Ariss, 2010; Boateng *et al.*, 2018), we use the Lerner index as a proxy for banks with substantial market influence. The index measures the degree to which banks set prices above marginal cost. It follows that a higher index reflects a greater monopolistic tendency. The computation of the index is discussed in sub-Section 2.2.1.

Financial access (or the dependent variable) is measured in terms of loan price and loan quantity with respectively ‘*price charged on loans*’ and ‘*logarithms of loans*’ (Coccorese & Pellecchia, 2010; Asongu & Le Roux, 2016). Three main sets of control indicators are adopted by the study, namely: (i) market-oriented characteristics (GDP per capita growth, Inflation and population density); (ii) bank-related characteristics (Bank branches and Deposit/Assets ratio) and (iii) the unobserved heterogeneity in terms of *ownership* (domestic versus (vs) foreign); *size* (small vs big) and ‘*compliance with Sharia finance*’ (Islamic vs non-Islamic). The choice of control variables is consistent with recent literature on financial

access (Boateng *et al.*, 2018; Asongu & Le Roux, 2016). In what follows we discuss expected signs.

First, with regard to market-related features, the following signs are anticipated: (1) from intuition, rising inflation should decrease the quantity of loans and increase the price of loans. In essence, given that investment (and correspondingly loan quantity) is less apparent in economic uncertainty periods (e.g. in times of chaotic inflation), the interest charged by banks or price of loans is normally adjusted to account for inflation. It is worthwhile to mention that investors prefer investing in economic environments that are less ambiguous (Kelsey & le Roux, 2017a, 2017b). (2) The density of population is anticipated to affect both loan price and loan quantity positively. This is probably because increasing demand for credit owing to increasing population density also positively influences the price of credit (or loan price). (3) GDP per capita, which is used to control for business cycle fluctuations, is projected to positively influence the quantity of loans. Conversely, it is difficult to establish the anticipated sign of loan price, essentially because the effect is contingent on market dynamism and expansion. It is also interesting to note that GDP per capita can influence financial access (or both loan quantity and loan price) because of diminishing demand. A negative impact is expected from GDP per capita because in Africa, over the past decade, on average terms, GDP growth has been growing at a slower rate than population growth (Asongu, 2013).

Second, in relation to bank-oriented features, the following can be anticipated: (1) the number of bank branches intuitively has a positive (negative) influence of loan quantity (loan price). (2) Both loan quantity and loan price are expected to increase with the ‘deposit/asset’ ratio. This is probably because the principal source of resources for banks is mobilised financial deposits. Hence, a greater proportion of liquid liabilities can increase interest rate margins and/or loan quantity, since good organisation is imperative for effective management and adequate mobilisation of financial deposits.

Third, it is very difficult to establish expected effects from the three dummy variables used to control for the unobserved heterogeneity for the following reasons. (1) Regardless of bank size (big vs small), financial institutions can be related to both positive and negative impacts from dynamics of loans, albeit financial institutions with comparatively large sizes are more linked to issues of management and coordination. Furthermore, it is important to address challenges which are inherently linked to growing bank size such as inefficiency, partly owing to issues that banks could encounter when trying to resolve conflicts associated

with customer needs and requirements. (2) Within the same logical framework, the roles of heterogeneity in ownership (domestic vs foreign) and compliance with ‘Sharia finance’ (Islamic vs Non-Islamic) depend on a multitude of features, among others: market dynamism, organisational capacities and market expansion.

A tabular summary of expected signs from the control indicators is revealed in Appendix 1, whereas the definition and sources of data are disclosed in Appendix 2. The corresponding correlation matrix and summary statistics are provided in Appendix 4 and Appendix 3 respectively.

2.2 Methodology

We are investigating the quiet life hypothesis (QLH), which is the pursuit of less efficiency by firms. Within the framework of this study, the QLH is consistent with the pursuit of financial intermediation inefficiency by banks with monopolistic power. To investigate the hypothesis, we first estimate the Lerner index. Then, using the Two Stage Least Squares estimation strategy, we examine the effect of the Lerner index on financial access proxied with loan price and loan quantity.

2.2.1 Estimation of the Lerner Index

A stochastic frontier model is employed to estimate the Lerner index.

The use of the approach is in accordance with a bulk of literature on the subject (Battese & Coelli, 1992; Coccoresse & Pellecchia, 2010; Boateng *et al.*, 2018). With respect to Coccoresse and Pellecchia (2010), when compared with alternative estimation strategies that are founded on deterministic frontiers (Aigner & Chu, 1968; Farrell, 1957), the adopted estimation approach is more efficient. The selected modelling technique accounts for: the likelihood that, beside business inefficiencies, variations between the observed output and frontier outcome can be founded on characteristics such as stochastic shocks and measurement errors.

Let us suppose that for bank i at time t , production costs are contingent on output (Q), input prices (W), random error (v) and inefficiency (u). If the related random error and inefficiency terms are identically and independently distributed (iid), then the logarithmic specification reflecting the cost function can be provided as follows:

$$\ln C_{it} = f(Q_{it}, W_{it}) + v_{it} + u_{it} , \quad (1)$$

where the error term and non-negative inefficiency terms are iid, and respectively follow a normal distribution and a truncated normal distribution. Hence, whereas v_{it} is $N(0, \sigma_v^2)$, u_{it} is $N(\mu, \sigma_u^2)$.

Cost is then estimated with the translog cost function. It encompasses three inputs and one output. The translog cost function has been widely used in both non-contemporary (Christensen *et al.*, 1971; Brown *et al.*, 1979) and contemporary (Koetter & Vins, 2008; Ariss, 2010; Coccorese & Pellicchia, 2010; Boateng *et al.*, 2018) literature.

The cost function is as follows:

$$\ln C_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln Q_{it} + \sum_{h=1}^3 \alpha_h \ln W_{hit} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \alpha_{QQ} (\ln Q_{it})^2 + \sum_{h=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_{hk} \ln W_{hit} \ln W_{kit} \right\} + \sum_{h=1}^3 \alpha_{Qh} \ln Q_{it} \ln W_{hit} + v_{it} + u_{it}, \quad (2)$$

where $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $t = 1, \dots, T$, are respectively subscripts of banks and time. C denotes the total cost, Q represents the output, W_h entail factor prices, while u_{it} and v_{it} are the error and inefficiency terms respectively.

One output and three inputs are specified while estimating the cost. The following variables are used to measure the total operation cost: total operating cost proxied by overheads, inputs by deposits price, output by total assets, price of capital and price of labour³.

As emphasised in Eq. (4), the Lerner index is then estimated from the marginal cost and price. Whereas the former is obtained from the output of a translog cost function (see Eq. (3)), the latter represents the price that is charged by banks on their output or total assets. It is calculated as the ratio of total revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income) to total assets.

$$MC_{it} = \frac{\partial C_{it}}{\partial Q_{it}} = \frac{\partial \ln C_{it}(C_{it})}{\partial \ln Q_{it}(Q_{it})} = \left(\alpha_Q + \alpha_{QQ} \ln Q_{it} + \sum_{h=1}^3 \alpha_{Qh} \ln W_{hit} \right) \frac{C_{it}}{Q_{it}} \quad (3)$$

$$LERNER_{it} = \frac{P_{it} - MC_{it}}{P_{it}}, \quad (4)$$

³ The price of labour is defined as the ratio of personnel expenses to total assets. The deposit price is derived by dividing interest expenses with the sum of deposits, short-term finance plus money market. The price of capital is equal to the ratio of 'other operating costs' to the value of fixed assets.

where P_{it} is the price that a bank charges on its output. From a theoretical perspective, the Lerner index ranges from 0 (in a scenario of perfect competition) and 1.

2.2.2 Instrumentation and Two Stage Least Squares estimations

After computing the Lerner index, a simultaneity-robust Two Stage Least Squares approach that further controls for the unobserved heterogeneity is employed. The issue about simultaneity (in endogeneity) is tackled by instrumenting the Lerner index with its first lag. Hence, the process of instrumenting the Lerner index is disclosed in Eq. (5) below.

$$LI_{i,t} = \alpha + \delta_j(LI_{i,t-1}) + \eta_i + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad , \quad (5)$$

where $LI_{i,t}$, is the Lerner index of bank i at period t , α is a constant, $LI_{i,t-1}$, represents the Lerner index in bank i at period $t-1$, η_i is the bank-specific effects and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ the error term.

The instrumentation process in Eq. (5) consists of regressing the Lerner index on its first lag and then saving the fitted values which are then employed as the independent variable of interest in the second stage of the Two Stages Least Squares process. The specification is Heteroscedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent (HAC) in terms of standard errors.

The second-stage of Two Stage Least Squares process is presented in Eq. (6) below.

$$LQ_{i,t} = \partial_0 + \partial_1 MP_{i,t} + \sum_{h=1}^5 \omega_h W_{h,i,t-\tau} + \eta_i + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad , \quad (6)$$

where $LQ_{i,t}$ is Loan quantity of bank i at period t , ∂ is a constant, MP denotes instrumented the Lerner index. W is the vector of control variables (*GDP per capita growth, Inflation, Population density, Deposit/Assets, Bank Branches*), η_i is the bank-specific effects (*Small banks, Domestic banks and Islamic banks*) and $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ the error term.

3. Empirical results

Table 2 and Table 3 respectively present baseline Ordinary Least Squares and Two Stage Least Squares. The former is performed without the instrumentation process whereas the latter entails the process of instrumentation outlined in Eq. (5). For either table, the left-hand side shows estimations corresponding to the price of loans whereas the right-hand-side reveals estimations related to the quantity of loans. For either dependent variable, three specifications are apparent, one on the full sample and two on above-median and below-median Lerner

index sub-samples. The choice of a median cut-off point is motivated by the need to have comparable sub-samples. Whereas the full sample enables us to assess Hypothesis 1, comparing the Lerner index from the two sub-samples provides insights into whether Hypothesis 2 is valid or not.

From Table 2, Hypothesis 1 is validated because the Lerner index increases (decreases) the prices of loans (quantity of loans). Hence, by decreasing financial access, the Lerner index contributes to financial intermediation inefficiency. Hypothesis 2 is not confirmed because opposite effects are apparent in the above-median Lerner index sub-sample. It follows that the QLH is fundamentally driven by banks with comparatively lower Lerner indices or the below-median Lerner index sub-sample. Most of the significant control variables have the expected signs.

Table 2: Baseline Ordinary Least Squares

	Dependent Variable: Financial Access					
	Price of Loans			Quantity of Loans		
	Full Sample	Lerner ≤ Median	Lerner > Median	Full Sample	Lerner ≤ Median	Lerner > Median
Constant	0.099*** (0.000)	0.084*** (0.000)	0.188*** (0.000)	3.510*** (0.000)	4.448*** (0.000)	0.735 (0.264)
Lerner index	0.006*** (0.000)	0.008*** (0.008)	-0.111*** (0.000)	-0.090** (0.012)	-0.077** (0.014)	2.383*** (0.001)
GDPpcg	-0.0005 (0.165)	-0.001* (0.055)	-0.0001 (0.805)	-0.020* (0.061)	-0.041** (0.031)	-0.003 (0.786)
Inflation	0.0001* (0.099)	0.0002* (0.098)	0.00008 (0.255)	0.002 (0.176)	-0.0009 (0.667)	0.003*** (0.000)
Pop. density	0.00003*** (0.009)	0.00006** (0.019)	0.00001 (0.202)	-0.0009*** (0.002)	-0.001** (0.023)	-0.001*** (0.003)
Deposit/Assets	0.011 (0.168)	0.043*** (0.000)	-0.020* (0.061)	2.093*** (0.000)	1.772*** (0.000)	2.312*** (0.000)
Bank Branches	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.046*** (0.000)	-0.089*** (0.000)	-0.028*** (0.001)
Small Banks	0.008** (0.033)	0.001 (0.775)	0.012*** (0.007)	-0.756*** (0.000)	-0.924*** (0.000)	-0.346** (0.034)
Domestic Banks	0.004 (0.173)	0.002 (0.498)	0.008** (0.049)	0.307*** (0.003)	0.029 (0.830)	0.765*** (0.000)
Islamic Banks	-0.021*** (0.001)	-0.019** (0.026)	-0.014 (0.312)	-0.425** (0.002)	-0.687*** (0.008)	-0.069 (0.762)
Adjusted R ²	0.112	0.216	0.129	0.195	0.279	0.220
Fisher	14.16***	10.94***	6.83***	36.73***	22.12***	33.47***
Observations	748	346	402	748	346	402

*, **, ***: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. IV: Instrumented Variable. The median of the Lerner index is: 0.58822.

Table 3: Two Stage Least Squares

	Dependent Variable: Financial Access					
		Price of Loans			Quantity of Loans	
	Full Sample	Lerner \leq Median	Lerner $>$ Median	Full Sample	Lerner \leq Median	Lerner $>$ Median
Constant	0.110*** (0.000)	0.100*** (0.000)	0.510*** (0.000)	3.743*** (0.000)	4.461*** (0.000)	-3.149 (0.204)
The Lerner index (IV)	-0.002 (0.753)	0.008 (0.132)	-0.674*** (0.000)	-0.489*** (0.003)	-0.430*** (0.004)	9.757** (0.016)
GDPpcg	-0.0006 (0.120)	-0.001** (0.018)	-0.0003 (0.501)	-0.017 (0.168)	-0.009 (0.624)	-0.013 (0.377)
Inflation	0.0001 (0.120)	0.00008 (0.428)	0.0001 (0.108)	0.002 (0.121)	0.001 (0.396)	0.001 (0.329)
Pop. density	0.00002* (0.062)	0.00004* (0.080)	0.000009 (0.512)	-0.0009*** (0.004)	-0.001** (0.031)	-0.001** (0.014)
Deposit/Assets	0.005 (0.563)	0.032** (0.010)	-0.018* (0.088)	2.106*** (0.000)	1.850*** (0.000)	2.242*** (0.000)
Bank Branches	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.005)	-0.047*** (0.000)	-0.081*** (0.000)	-0.034*** (0.000)
Small Banks	0.007* (0.080)	-0.0009 (0.884)	0.009** (0.036)	-0.743*** (0.000)	-0.947*** (0.000)	-0.365** (0.033)
Domestic Banks	0.003 (0.302)	0.005 (0.231)	0.005 (0.203)	0.328*** (0.003)	0.082 (0.593)	0.699*** (0.000)
Islamic Banks	-0.022*** (0.002)	-0.027*** (0.009)	-0.008 (0.552)	-0.499** (0.019)	-0.834*** (0.009)	-0.110 (0.640)
Adjusted R ²	0.122	0.191	0.164	0.202	0.267	0.212
Fisher	9.59***	7.38***	7.16***	32.22***	17.17***	18.60***
Observations	621	287	334	621	287	334

*, **, ***: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. IV: Instrumented Variable. The median of the IV Lerner index is: 0.57200.

4. Concluding implication and future research directions

One of the most serious challenges to do business in Africa is a lack of finance, which is compounded by surplus liquidity issues in financial institutions of the continent. In order to finance its growing investment needs, enhanced financial access represents an important policy concerns for the continent.

Building on concerns raised in a recent strand of the literature as well as apparent gaps in the engaged literature, this study has assessed if powerful banks in the African banking industry are enjoying a quiet life by reducing financial access. The Quiet Life Hypothesis (QLH) is consistent with the pursuit of financial intermediation inefficiency by banks with such high market share and/or substantial market influence. To investigate the hypothesis, we have first estimated the Lerner index. Then, using Two Stage Least Squares, we have examined the effect of the Lerner index on financial access proxied by loan price and loan quantity. The empirical evidence is based on a panel of 162 banks from 42 countries for the

period 2001-2011. The findings support the QLH, although quiet life is driven by the below-median Lerner index sub-sample.

The findings confirm the recommendation of Ariss (2010) that, increased market influence by large banks should be welcomed in developing countries in order to enhance bank soundness. This is essentially because the relevance of large banks on financial access depends on the degree of market influence, with banks with above-median Lerner index increasing financial access whereas their counterparts with below-median Lerner index have decreasing financial access. An immediate implication is that blanket policies based on mean values of the Lerner index may not be effective unless they are contingent on existing levels of the Lerner index and hence, tailored differently across banks with varying levels of Lerner indices. A possible reason why banks with above-median “Lerner index” behave differently from their below-median “Lerner index” counterparts may be the economies of scale associated with bank size. It will be interesting for future research to ascertain this inference. Moreover, investigating the interaction of the Lerner index with complementary mechanisms (that are theoretically designed to reduce information asymmetry and enhance financial access) is another relevant future research outlet. Such channels include: information sharing offices (such as private credit bureaus and public credit registries) and information and communication technologies.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Summary of expected signs

	Variables	Expected sign on loan price	Expected sign on loan quantity
Bank-oriented features	Deposit/Asset ratio	+	+
	Bank Branches	-	+
Market-related characteristics	GDP per capita growth	Uncertain	+
	Population density	+	+
	Inflation	+	-
Characteristics of the unobserved heterogeneity	Small versus(vs). Big banks	Uncertain	Uncertain
	domestic vs. foreign banks	Uncertain	Uncertain
	Islamic vs. non-Islamic banks	Uncertain	Uncertain

GDP: Gross Domestic Product.

Appendix 2: Variable Definitions

Variables	Signs	Variable Definitions	Sources
Market Influence	Lerner index	The ratio of the ‘difference between the Marginal Cost and Price’ on the Price	Authors’ calculation and BankScope
Loan Quantity	Quantity	Logarithm of Loans Quantity	BankScope
Price (charged on Loans or Quantity)	Price	(Gross Interest and Dividend income +Total Non-Interest Operating Income)/Total Assets	BankScope
GDP per capita	GDP	GDP per capita growth (annual %)	WDI (World Bank)
Inflation	Infl.	Consumer Price Index (annual %)	WDI (World Bank)
Population density	Pop.	People per square kilometers of land area	WDI (World Bank)
Deposits/Assets	D/A	Deposits on Total Assets	BankScope
Bank Branches	Bbrchs	Number of Bank Branches (Commercial bank branches per 100 000 adults)	BankScope
Small Banks	Ssize	Ratio of Bank Assets to Total Assets (Assets in all Banks for a given period) ≤ 0.50	Authors’ calculation and BankScope
Large Banks	Lsize	Ratio of Bank Assets to Total Assets (Assets in all Banks for a given period) >0.50	Authors’ calculation and BankScope
Domestic/Foreign banks	Dom/Foreign	Domestic/Foreign banks based on qualitative information: creation date, headquarters, government/private ownership, % of foreign ownership, year of foreign/domestic ownership...etc	Authors’ qualitative content analysis.
Islamic/Non-Islamic	Islam/NonIsl.	Islamic/Non-Islamic banks based on financial statement characteristics (trading in derivatives and interest on loan payments...etc)	Authors’ qualitative content analysis; Beck et al. (2010); Ali (2012).

WDI: World Development Indicators. GDP: Gross Domestic Product. The following are dummy variables: Ssize, Lsize, Open, Close, Dom/Foreign and Islam/NonIsl.

Appendix 3: Summary Statistics

		Mean	S.D	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
Market Influence	Lerner	0.513	0.587	0.032	0.969	893
Dependent variables	Price of Loans	0.338	0.929	0.000	25.931	1045
	Quantity of Loans (ln)	3.747	1.342	-0.045	6.438	1091
Market variables	GDP per capita growth	13.912	96.707	-15.306	926.61	1782
	Inflation	10.239	22.695	-9.823	325.00	1749
	Population density	81.098	106.06	2.085	633.52	1782
Bank level variables	Deposits/Assets	0.664	0.198	0.000	1.154	1052
	Bank Branches	6.112	6.158	0.383	37.209	1129
	Small Size	0.195	0.396	0.000	1.000	1255
	Large Size	0.804	0.396	0.000	1.000	1255
	Domestic	0.753	0.431	0.000	1.000	1782
Dummy variables	Foreign	0.246	0.431	0.000	1.000	1782
	Islamic	0.037	0.188	0.000	1.000	1782
	Non-Islamic	0.962	0.188	0.000	1.000	1782

Ln: Logarithm. GDP: Gross Domestic Product. S.D: Standard Deviation. GDP: Gross Domestic Product.

Appendix 4: Correlation Matrix

Market-Level Controls			Bank-Level Controls		Financial Access				Dummy-Controls				Lerner	
GDP	Infl.	Pop.	D/A	Bbrchs	Price	Quantity	Ssize	Lsize	Dom.	Foreign	Islam	NonIsl.		
1.000	0.136	0.007	-0.008	-0.068	-0.014	-0.026	-0.0002	0.0002	0.034	-0.034	0.0001	-0.0001	-0.016	GDP
	1.000	-0.028	0.037	-0.236	0.256	-0.009	0.046	-0.046	0.028	-0.028	-0.050	0.050	-0.062	Inf.
		1.000	0.112	0.410	-0.029	-0.125	-0.098	0.098	-0.045	0.045	-0.088	0.088	0.035	Pop.
			1.000	-0.041	0.080	0.306	-0.041	0.041	-0.062	0.062	-0.210	0.210	0.021	D/A
				1.000	-0.266	-0.227	-0.078	0.078	0.135	-0.135	-0.051	0.051	0.109	Bbrchs
					1.000	-0.075	0.094	-0.094	0.016	-0.016	-0.097	0.097	0.082	Price
						1.000	-0.171	0.171	0.052	-0.052	-0.067	0.067	-0.038	Quantity
							1.000	-1.000	0.026	-0.026	-0.020	0.020	-0.056	Ssize
								1.000	-0.026	0.026	0.020	-0.020	0.056	Lsize
									1.000	-1.000	0.089	-0.089	0.147	Dom.
										1.000	-0.089	0.089	-0.147	Foreign
											1.000	-1.000	0.006	Islam
												1.000	-0.006	NonIsl.
													1.000	Lerner

Info: Information. GDP: GDP per capita growth. Infl: Inflation. Pop: Population growth. D/A: Deposit on Total Assets. Bbrchs: Bank branches. Ssize: Small banks.

Lsize: Large banks. Domestic: Domestic banks. Foreign: Foreign banks. Islam: Islamic banks. NonIsl: Non-Islamic banks. Price: Price of Loans. Quantity: Quantity of Loans.

Lerner: Market Influence.

References

- Ahokpossi, C., (2013). "Determinants of bank interest margins in Sub-Saharan Africa", *IMF Working Paper* No. 13/34, Washington.
- Aigner, D. J., & Chu, S. F., (1968). "On Estimating the Industry Production Function", *American Economic Review*, 58(4), pp. 826-839.
- Ali, S. S., (2012). "Islamic Banking in the MENA Region", Islamic Research and Training Institute (IRTI), Working Paper Series No. 1433-01, Jeddah.
- Al-Jarrah, I. M., & Gharaibeh, H., (2009). "The Efficiency Cost of Market Power in the Banking Industry: A Test of the "Quiet Life" and Related Hypotheses in the Jordan Banking Industry", *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 6(2), pp. 32-39
- Al-Muharrami, S., & Methews, K., (2009), "Market Power Versus Efficient-Structure in Arab GCC Banking", *Applied Financial Economics*, 19(18), pp. 1487-1496.
- Ariss, R. T., (2010). "On the Implications of Market Power in Banking: Evidence from Developing Countries", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 34(4), pp. 765-775.
- Asongu, S. A., (2013). "How Would Population Growth Affect Investment in the Future? Asymmetric Panel Causality Evidence for Africa", *African Development Review*, 25(1), pp. 14-29.
- Asongu, S. A., (2014). "Correcting Inflation with Financial Dynamic Fundamentals: Which Adjustments Matter in Africa?", *Journal of African Business*, 15(1), pp. 64-73.
- Asongu, S. A., & Anyanwu, J. C., & Tchamyou, V. S., (2016b). "Information sharing and conditional financial development in Africa", *African Governance and Development Institute Working Paper* No. 16/001, Yaoundé.
- Asongu, S. A., & Le Roux, S., (2016). "Reducing Information Asymmetry with ICT: A critical review of loan price and quantity effects in Africa", *African Governance and Development Institute Working Paper* No. 16/024, Yaoundé.
- Asongu, S. A., Nwachukwu, J., & Tchamyou, V. S., (2016a). "Information Asymmetry and Financial Development Dynamics in Africa", *Review of Development Finance*, 6(2), pp. 126-138.
- Barth, J., Lin, C., Lin, P., & Song, F., (2009). "Corruption in bank lending to firms: cross-country micro evidence on the beneficial role of competition and information sharing", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 99(3), pp. 361-368.
- Battese, G. E., & Coelli, T. J., (1992). "Frontier Production Function, Technical Efficiency and Panel Data with Application to Paddy Farmers in India", *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 3(1), pp. 153-169.

Beck, T., Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Merrouche, O., (2010). "Islamic vs. Conventional Banking: Business Model, Efficiency and Stability", World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5446, Washington.

Beck, T., & Hesse, H., (2006). "Bank efficiency, ownership and market structure: why are interest spreads so high in Uganda?", *Policy Research Working Paper Series* No. 4027, The World Bank, Washington.

Boadi, I., Dana, L. P., Mertens, G., & Mensah, L., (2017). "SMEs' Financing and Banks' Profitability: A "Good Date" for Banks in Ghana?", *Journal of African Business*, 17(2), pp. 257-277.

Boateng, A., Asongu, S. A., Akamavi, R., & Tchamyou, V. S., (2018). "Information Asymmetry and Market Power in the African Banking Industry", *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, 44(March), pp. 69-83.

Bocher, F. T., Alemu, B. A., & Kelbore, Z. G., (2017). "Does access to credit improve household welfare? Evidence from Ethiopia using endogenous regime switching regression", *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 51-65.

Brown, M., & Zehnder, C., (2010). "The emergence of information sharing in credit markets". *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 19(2), pp. 255-278.

Chikalipah, S., (2017). "What determines financial inclusion in Sub-Saharan Africa?" *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 8-18.

Chapoto, T., & Aboagye, A. Q. Q., (2017). "African innovations in harnessing farmer assets as collateral", *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 66-75.

Christensen, L. R., Jorgenson, D. W., & Lau, L. J., (1971). "Transcendental Logarithmic Production Frontiers", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 55(1), pp. 28-45.

Clark, J., (1996). "Economic cost, scale efficiency and competitive viability in banking", *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 28(3), pp. 342-364.

Coccorese, P., & Pellicchia, A., (2010). "Testing the 'Quiet Life' Hypothesis in the Italian Banking Industry", *Economic Notes by Banca dei Paschi di Siena SpA*, 39(3), pp. 173-202.

Daniel, A., (2017). "Introduction to the financial services in Africa special issue", *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 2-7.

Delis, M. D., & Tsionas, E. G., (2009), "The Joint Estimation of Bank-Level Market Power and Efficiency", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 33(10), pp. 1842-1850.

- Fang, Y., & Marton, K., (2011). "Bank Efficiency in Transition Economies: Recent Evidence from South-Eastern Europe", *Research Discussion Papers* No. 5/2011, Helsinki: Bank of Finland.
- Farrell, M. J., (1957). "The Measurement of Productive Efficiency", *Journal of Royal Statistics Society*, 120(3), pp. 253-290.
- Fouda, O. J. P., (2009), "The excess liquidity of banks in Franc zone: how to explain the paradox in the CEMAC", *Revue Africaine de l'Integration*, 3(2), pp. 1-56.
- Fowowe, B., (2014). "Law and Finance Revisited: Evidence from African Countries", *South African Journal of Economics*, 82(2), pp. 193–208.
- Fu, X., & Heffernan, S., (2009), "The Effects of Reform on China's Bank Structure and Performance", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 33(1), pp. 39-52.
- Iyke, B., N., & Odiambo, N. M., (2017). "Foreign exchange markets and the purchasing power parity theory: Evidence from two Southern African countries", *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 89-102.
- Karray, S. C., & Chichti, J. E., (2013). "Bank size and efficiency in developing countries: intermediation approach versus value added approach and impact of non-traditional activities", *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 3(5), pp. 593-613.
- Kelsey, D. & Le Roux, S., (2017a). "Strategic Ambiguity and Decision-making: An Experimental Study", *Theory & Decision*, DOI: 10.1007/s11238-017-9618-8.
- Kelsey D & Le Roux, S., (2017b). "Dragon Slaying with Ambiguity: Theory and Experiments", *Journal of Public Economic Theory*, 19(1), pp. 178–197.
- Koetter, M., Kolari, J. W., & Spierduk, L., (2008). "Efficient Competition ? Testing the 'Quiet Life' of U.S Banks with Adjusted Lerner Indices", Proceedings of the 44th 'Bank Structure and Competition' Conference, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Chicago.
- Koetter, M., & Vins, O., (2008). "The Quiet Life Hypothesis in Banking-Evidence from German Savings Banks", Department of Finance, Goethe University, *Working Paper Series: Finance and Accounting* No. 190, Frankfurt.
- Maudos, J., & Fernandez de Guevara, J. (2007). "The cost of market power in banking social welfare loss vs. cost efficiency", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 31(7), pp. 2103-2125.
- Mester, L. J., (1992). "Traditional and nontraditional banking: An information-theoretic approach", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 16(3), pp. 545-566.
- Mitchell, K., & Onvural, N. M., (1996). "Economies of scale and scope at large commercial banks: Evidence from the Fourier flexible form", *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 28(2), pp. 178-199.

- Ngigi, G., (2013a, July). "CBK puts big banks on the spot over high interest rates", *BUSINESS DAILY*, <http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/CBK-puts-big-banks-on-the-spot-over-high-interest-rates/-/539552/1908098/-/7t6949z/-/index.html> (Accessed: 20/06/2016).
- Ngigi, G., (2013b, April). "High cost of loans favours big banks over small lenders", *BUSINESS DAILY*, <http://www.businessdailyafrica.com/High-cost-of-loans-favours-big-banks-over-small-lenders/-/539552/1744902/-/awkd24/-/index.html> (Accessed: 20/06/2016).
- Obeng, S. K., & Sakyi, D., (2017). "Macroeconomic determinants of interest rate spreads in Ghana", *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 76-88.
- Ofori-Sasu, D., Abor, J. Y., & Osei, A. K., (2017). "Dividend Policy and Shareholders' Value: Evidence from Listed Companies in Ghana", *African Development Review*, 29(2), pp. 293-304.
- Osah, O., & Kyobe, M., (2017). "Predicting user continuance intention towards M-pesa in Kenya", *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 36-50.
- Punt, L., & Van Rooij, M., (2009). "The Profit-Structure Relationship and Mergers in the European Banking Industry: An Empirical Assessment", *Kredit und Kapital*, 36(1), pp. 1-29.
- Pruteanu-Podpiera, A., & Weill, L., & Schobert, F., (2008), "Banking Competition and Efficiency: A Micro-Data Analysis on the Czech Banking Industry", *Comparative Economics Studies*, 50(2), pp. 253-273.
- Saxegaard, M., (2006), "Excess liquidity and effectiveness of monetary policy: evidence from sub-Saharan Africa", *IMF Working Paper* No. 06/115, Washington.
- Schaeck, K., & Cihak, M., (2008), "How Does Competition Affect Efficiency and Soundness in Banking? New Empirical Evidence", *ECB Working Paper Series* No. 932, European Central Bank, Frankfurt.
- Solis, L., & Maudos, J., (2008). "The Social Costs of Bank Market Power: Evidence from Mexico", *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 36(3), pp. 467-488.
- Tchamyou, S. V., & Asongu, S. A., (2017). "Information Sharing and Financial Sector Development in Africa", *Journal of African Business*, 18(1), pp. 24-49.
- Tetsushi, H., Yoshiro, T., & Hirofumi, U., (2012). "Firm Growth and Efficiency in the Banking Industry: A New Test of the Efficient Structure Hypothesis", RIETI Discussion Paper Series 12-E-060. Tokyo: RIETI.
- Titko, J., & Dauylbaev, K., (2015). "Testing the Quiet Life Hypothesis in the Banking Sector", 19th World Multi-Conference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics, WMSCI 2015; Orlando; United States; 12 July 2015 through 15 July 2015; Code 116973. <https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84961196128&origin=inward&txGid=0> (Accessed: 20/09/2016).

Triki, T., & Gajigo, O., (2014). “Credit Bureaus and Registries and Access to Finance: New Evidence from 42 African Countries”, *Journal of African Development*, 16(2), pp. 73-101.

Tu, A. H., & Chen, S., (2000), “Bank Market Structure and Performance in Taiwan Before and After the 1991 Liberalization”, *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies*, 3(4), pp. 475-490.

Wale, L. E., & Makina, D., (2017). “Account ownership and use of financial services among individuals: Evidence from selected Sub-Saharan African economies”, *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 8(1), pp. 19-35.

Weill, L., (2004), “On the Relationship Between Competition and Efficiency in the EU Banking Sectors”, *Kredit und Kapital*, 37, pp. 329-352.