

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Asongu, Simplice

## **Working Paper**

The comparative sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa

AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/17/060

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé, Cameroon

Suggested Citation: Asongu, Simplice (2017): The comparative sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa, AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/17/060, African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/191322

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# AGDI Working Paper

# WP/17/060

# The Comparative Sustainable Development in Sub-Saharan Africa

Forthcoming: Sustainable Development

# Simplice A. Asongu

African Governance and Development Institute, P.O Box 8413, Yaoundé, Cameroon. E-mails: asongusimplice@yahoo.com,

asongus@afridev.org

#### Research Department

# The Comparative Sustainable Development in Sub-Saharan Africa

#### Simplice A. Asongu

June 2017

#### **Abstract**

Motivated by sustainable development challenges in Sub-Saharan Africa, this study assesses the comparative persistence of environmental unsustainability in a sample of 44 countries in the sub-region for the period 2000 to 2012. The empirical evidence is based on Generalised Method of Moments. Of the six hypotheses tested, it is not feasible to assess the hypothesis on resource-wealth because of issues in degrees of freedom. As for the remaining hypotheses, the following findings are established. (i) Hypothesis 1 postulating that middle income countries have a lower level of persistence in carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity and heat production and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption. (ii) Hypothesis 2 on the edge of French civil law countries is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity, but not for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions. (iii) Hypothesis 3 on the postulation that politically-unstable countries reflect more persistence is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions. (iv) Hypothesis 5 on the propensity for landlocked countries to be associated with more persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions but not for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption. (v) Hypothesis 6 maintaining that Christianity-dominated countries are more environmentally friendly with regard to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions but not for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity. Implications for policy and theory are discussed.

JEL Classification: C52; O38; O40; O55; P37

Keywords: CO2 emissions; Sustainable development; Environment; Africa

#### 1. Introduction

Two main factors motivate this study, namely: (i) growing challenges of climate change and emissions of green house gases and (ii) gaps in the literature. These points are substantiated in chronological order. First, environmental sustainability has become a key policy agenda in the post-2015 development era (Asongu et al., 2016a). The particularity of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) within this framework can be substantiated with four main points, namely: the sub-region's comparatively impressive recent growth record; growing energy crisis; the sub-region's poor management of energy crises and consequences of climate change. We substantiate the highlighted points in chronological order.

(i) Over the past two decades, SSA has experienced a period of growth resurgence (see Fosu, 2015), after lost decades that were the result, in part to the ineffective Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAP). Moreover, some narratives posit that the sub-region has recently hosted seven of the ten fastest growing economies in the world (see Asongu & Rangan, 2016). (ii) In the post-2015 sustainable development era, energy crisis is one of the most challenging policy syndromes in the sub-region<sup>1</sup>. The need for energy is most apparent in SSA because: only 5% of the population have access to energy in the sub-region; the total energy consumed in SSA is about the same as that consumed by a single state such as New York in the United States of America (USA) and the consumption of energy in the sub region is below 17% of the global average (see Shurig, 2015). (iii) As recently documented by Anyangwe (2014) and Asongu et al. (2017), inefficiency has been a dominant characteristic in the management of energy in most African countries. As a case in point, Nigeria which is the most populated country resorts to petroleum subsidized fossil fuel as a means of addressing concerns related to electricity outage and shortage. Accordingly, a sustainable development policy should instead place more emphasis on renewable sources of energy as opposed to government-subsidized petroleum fuel<sup>2</sup>. (iv) The consumption of fossil fuels has a direct consequence on global warming (Huxster et al., 2015), largely because the emissions of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) associated with the consumption of such fuels, account for about seventy-five percent of global greenhouse gas emissions. According to Kifle (2008), Africa is the continent projected to be associated with the most negative consequences of global warming.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fosu (2013) defines policy syndromes as situations that are detrimental to growth: 'administered redistribution', 'state breakdown', 'state controls', and 'suboptimal inter temporal resource allocation'. Within the framework of this study, policy syndromes are considered as issues that merit policy action in order to achieve environmental sustainable development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The definition of sustainable development is consistent with that provided by the Brundtland Commission: '... development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs' (Brundtland Commission, 1987). This definition is related to the context of this study because environmental unsustainability by means of  $CO_2$  emissions can compromise the needs of future generations.

Second, to the best of our knowledge, in spite of the abundant supply of literature (notably on connections between energy consumption, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth), we know very little about the persistence of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, especially in countries projected to be the most affected by global warming. Accordingly, the positioning of this study deviates from mainstream literature which has largely articulated connections between energy consumption, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth. This mainstream literature has been established in two main strands. The first provides insights into the relationships between the pollution of the environment and economic prosperity, with particular emphasis on the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) assumption (see Akbostanci et al., 2009; Diao et al., 2009; He & Richard, 2010)<sup>3</sup>. The second strand has been concerned with: (i) linkages between environmental pollution, energy consumption and economic growth (Jumbe, 2004; Ang, 2007; Apergis & Payne, 2009; Odhiambo, 2009a, 2009b; Ozturk & Acaravci, 2010; Menyah & Wolde-Rufael, 2010; Begum et al., 2015; Bölük & Mehmet, 2015) and the relationship between economic prosperity and the consumption of energy (Mehrara, 2007; Esso, 2010).

A common denominator in the highlighted studies is the failure to engage the concept of persistence in environmental pollution. Moreover, the estimated techniques (such Granger Causality, Vector Error Correction Models and Autoregressive Distributed Lag) employed by the highlighted studies fall short of critically engaging the lagged dependent variable. In essence, models employing the lagged dependent variable may not be consistently estimated given that by construction the error term is correlated with the lagged outcome indicator via fixed effects. We address above shortcomings by focusing on CO<sub>2</sub> persistence and employing a Generalised Method of Moments (GMM) estimation approach which thoroughly addresses the concern of the correlation between the lagged dependent variable and the error term.

In order to increase the policy relevance of this study, the dataset is decomposed into fundamental characteristics of environmental degradation based on income levels (low income versus (vs.) middle income countries); legal origins (English Common law vs. French Civil law countries); religious domination (Christianity- vs. Islam-dominated countries); openness to sea (landlocked vs. coastal countries); resource-wealth (oil-rich vs. oil-poor countries) and political stability (stable vs. unstable countries). Motivations for the choice of fundamental features are critically engaged in Section 2.

In the light of the underlying theoretical insights, this study examines the persistence of environmental unsustainability. The concept of persistence in the study should be understood as

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> According to the EKC hypothesis, in the long term, there is an inverted U-shaped relationship between per capita income and environmental degradation.

the connection between how past observations in environmental unsustainability influence future observations in environmental unsustainability. From an empirical standpoint, a hypothesis on persistence can be examined using a dynamic estimation technique. An example of such an estimation strategy is the Generalized Method of Moments that has been employed in recent literature to investigate persistence in economic phenomena (Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2018; Asongu et al., 2018a).

The positioning of this study depart from recent environmental sustainability literature which has focused on *inter alia*: sustainable economic planning (Radovanovic & Lior, 2017); the role of normative beliefs on environmental behaviour (Wang & Lin, 2017); nexuses between conflict, development and environmental sustainability (Fisher & Rucki, 2017) and the promotion of work place environmental sustainability (Saifulina & Carballo-Penela, 2017).

The rest of the study is structured as follows. The theoretical underpinnings and motivations for fundamental characteristics are discussed in Section 2 while Section 3 engages the data and methodology. The empirical results are presented in Section 4 whereas Section 5 concludes with implications and future research directions.

# 2. Theoretical underpinnings and motivations for fundamental characteristics

The theoretical underpinnings for persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (e.g. per capita CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) is consistent with recent literature on persistence in inclusive development (see Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017a). The theoretical background is in accordance with the literature on per capita income convergence which has been considerably established within the framework of neoclassical growth estimations (see Barro, 1991; Barro & Sala-i-Martin, 1992, 1995; Mankiw et al., 1992; Baumol, 1986) and recently extended to other fields of economic development, *inter alia*: financial market performance (Narayan et al., 2011; Bruno et al., 2012) and inclusive human development (Mayer-Foulkes, 2010; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017a)<sup>4</sup>.

In the post-Kenynesian period, seminal growth theories which gained prominence with the birth of the neoclassical revolution have eased convergence across countries. Under this

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> It is important to note that the connection between inclusive development and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions should be seen in the light of the fact that the theoretical underpinnings of income convergence are being extended to other development fields. Accordingly, if such underpinnings have been employed for inclusive development and other macroeconomic variables, they can also be extended to environmental degradation by means of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Moreover, the fundamental characteristics in the concept of inclusive development (used by Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017b) are much related to the concept of sustainable development (used in this paper) from a conceptual point of view. In essence, such concepts are connected in the perspective that in order for inclusive development to be sustainable, it must be sustained and in order to sustained development to be sustainable, it should be inclusive (see Amavilah et al., 2017).

framework, concepts for market equilibrium have been broadened to articulate some background for theories of economic growth that predict absolute convergence. Within this context, cross-country catch-up is the outcome of policies that are conducive to 'free-market competition' (Mayer-Foulkes, 2010). Seminal studies on catch-up concluded on the absence of convergence (see Barro, 1991; Pritchett, 1997). Reasons for the absence of convergence include: differences in initial endowments and the presence of multiple equilibria. Conversely, a contending strand which articulates the exogenous growth theory argues that regardless of initial endowments, convergence is feasible in each country's common steady state or long run equilibrium.

In the light of the above, the theoretical and empirical underpinnings employed by both schools of thought to establish their positions (on evidence or not of convergence) are what matter to us for this study. In other words, both proponents for and against the presence of convergence have largely based their conclusions using the same theoretical and empirical frameworks. Therefore, we aim within the context of this inquiry to employ the same theoretical and empirical underpinnings to assess the persistence of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Our results, depending on fundamental characteristics and sub-panels may consolidate the positions of either school of the thought.

We discuss the testable hypotheses for comparative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in terms of income levels, legal origins, religious domination, openness to sea, natural resources and political stability. Recent literature has employed the underlying fundamental characteristics (see Narayan et al., 2011; Mlachila et al., 2016; Asongu & Le Roux, 2017). Hence, in the narratives that follow, we articulate how environmental degradation can be associated with these fundamental characteristics.

First, from the perspective of income levels, compared to middle income countries, their low income counterparts are less likely to be connected with more effective mechanisms of managing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This builds on the motivation that, developed countries have more resources at their disposal with which to deal with issues connected to environmental degradation. Moreover, given that institutions have been established to be positively connected to economic development, one may analogically infer that these institutions offer genuine mechanisms for resource and environmental management (see Fosu, 2013a, 2013b; Anyanwu & Erhijakpor, 2014) and the consolidation of societal change (Efobi, 2015). It is also important to note that low income nations are less industrialised and therefore associated with lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, which require less mechanisms of CO<sub>2</sub> management. Moreover, these nations are likely to attract corporations that exploit its weak environmental regulations/legislations to set

up factories that employ dirty technologies, and therefore emissions may be higher than in higher income countries.

Hypothesis 1: Compared to low income countries, middle income countries have lower persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Second, the relevance of legal origins in contemporary development has been substantially documented in the literature using African (Agbor, 2015; Asongu, 2012) and broader (see La Porta et al., 1998, 1999) samples. According to the consensus, compared to French Civil law countries, their English Common law counterparts have institutions that are more likely to address concerns about climate change because of political and adaptability mechanisms (see Beck et al., 2003). According to the adaptability mechanism, institutions in English common law countries are more likely to adapt to environmental challenges. In essence, the institutional web of formal rules, informal norms and characteristics of enforcement affect the vulnerability of the population to climate change and global warming.

Hypothesis 2: English Common Law countries have lower persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to their French Civil Law counterparts.

Third, from intuition, nations that are politically-stable are more likely to create conditions for better environmental management compared to their counterparts that are politically-unstable. This intuition is in accordance with Beegle et al. (2016, p.10) who have argued that fragility is linked with significantly less development<sup>5</sup>. By extension, poor environmental management is a product of less effective economic development. Accordingly, rules and regulations governing environmental protection are more likely to be respected in politically-stable than in politically-unstable countries. In the latter set of countries, the respect

of conflicts are taken into account.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The classification of politically-stable countries is consistent with Asongu (2014). According to the author, categorising a country as affected by conflict presents both practical and analytical hurdles. Hence, since few countries in the world are absolutely free from conflict, the distinctions are made in terms of degree of political strife and internal violence. Few researchers would object to the inclusion of Burundi, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Chad, the Central African Republic, Somalia and Nigeria. In spite of the absence of formal features of civil

war, Zimbabwe can be included owing to the severity of its internal strife while Liberia which has not fully recovered from decades of civil war and political unrest can also be considered as a conflict-affected country. Given the 26 year period of Angolan civil war, at least half of the sampled periodicity should reflect a conflict-affected country, despite calm returning to the country in 2002. The Darfur crisis in Sudan which has lasted for more than 14 years has not officially ended. In the light of classification, aspects of seasonality in the occurrence

of the State and citizens of environmental-protecting institutions that govern their interactions between them is weak.

*Hypothesis 3*: Politically-stable countries are linked with less persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, relative to politically-unstable countries.

Fourth, contrary to the motivation on the relevance of income-levels in managing environmental degradation, we posit that resource-rich countries are associated with more characteristics of environmental degradation because they are often linked with low quality institutions (Mehlum et al., 2016a, 2016b). Moreover, petroleum-rich countries (e.g. Nigeria) are very likely to subsidize non-renewable sources of energy. The underlying motivation is consistent with the narrative that nations which have acknowledged scarcity in natural resources have focused more on achieving sustainable development (America, 2013; Fosu, 2013b; Amavilah, 2015). Rwanda is such an example in Africa.

*Hypothesis 4*: Resource-poor countries are associated with lower levels of persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, compared to their resource-wealthy counterparts.

Fifth, with the same motivation that there are economic and institutional costs associated with landlockedness (see Arvis et al., 2007), it is also assumed that environmental costs are linked to the underlying institutional and economic costs. This is essentially because: (i) institutions provide more conducive conditions for the management of the environment and (ii) landlocked countries in Africa rely more on road traffic which intuitively could be more responsible for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Hence, an example of a corresponding institutional cost can be the additional time required to transport equipments needed to promote environmental sustainability. Time wasted by land transport through another neighbouring country could (in cases of emergency for instance), seriously affect the successful implementation of some environmental operations if the transportation of heavy equipments associated with the underlying operations cannot be transported by air transport because of financial, technical and logistical reasons. Moreover, given that oceans absorb CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Cole et al., 1993; Fletcher, 2017), it is reasonable to infer that countries that are open to the sea enjoy a comparative advantage of less persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Hypothesis 5: Landlocked countries are associated with more persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to countries that are opened to the sea.

In this study, religious domination is also employed as a fundamental characteristic of comparative sustainable development. The motivation for this distinction is that religious considerations build on some form of solidarity to inclusive and sustainable development (see Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017b). Moreover, neoliberal societies comparatively have better institutions than their conservative counterparts. According to the narrative, Islam-oriented countries are traditionally more conservative and associated with institutions of less quality than their Christianity-dominated counterparts. Such underpinnings influence the choice of institutions and neoliberal policies for sustainable development (Roudometof, 2014).

*Hypothesis* 6: Christianity-dominated countries are associated with lower levels of persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, compared to their Islam-oriented counterparts.

### 3.1 Data and methodology

#### 3.1 Data

This study is based on a sample of forty-four African countries with data from World Development Indicators and World Governance Indicators of the World Bank for the period 2000-2012<sup>6</sup>. Whereas the choice of the periodicity is motivated by constraints in data availability at the time of the study, the scope of the inquiry builds on the strands engaged in the introduction. Four main outcome variables are used, namely: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita; CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity and heat production; CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity. While we cannot select all the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions variables from all categories in World Bank database, the four variables are selected based on constraints in missing observations. Moreover, the modelling of persistence is contingent on the variables employed in the model. This caveat is further discussed in the concluding section.

Consistent with recent literature (see Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017a), the independent variable of interest with which persistence is established is the estimated lagged dependent variable. Four main control variables are adopted in order to control for variable omission bias, namely: Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth, population growth, educational quality and regulation quality. The choice of the control variables is consistent with recent literature on environmental sustainability (Asongu et al., 2018b).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The 44 countries are: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Congo Democratic. Republic., Congo Republic, Cote d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome & Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda and Zambia.

While the first-two variables are logically expected to positively influence CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the last-two should have the opposite impact. However, it is also important to balance the narrative by noting that when growth is not broad-based, but limited to few extractive industries, unexpected effects may be apparent. Furthermore, the expected impacts could be contingent on the weight of country-specific features that are not considered in the specification of the Generalised Method of Moments (GMM). The full definitions of variables, corresponding summary statistics and correlation matrix are disclosed in Appendix 1, Appendix 2 and Appendix 3 respectively.

The motivations for the choice of the fundamental features of comparative development have been covered in Section 2<sup>7</sup>. These fundamental characteristics have been used in recent comparative development literature (see Mlachila et al., 2016; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017b). The categorisation of countries by legal stratification is borrowed from La Porta et al. (2008, p. 289) whereas decomposition by income levels is in accordance with the World Bank's classification<sup>8</sup>. The classification of resource-wealth is exclusively oriented by the availability of petroleum resources which account for about 30% of the country's GDP for at least one decade of sampled periodicity. The Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) World Fact Book (CIA, 2011) provides the classification of religious-domination whereas Landlocked versus Coastal nations are apparent from an Africa map. Countries that are politically-unstable represent those that have witnessed political violence and/or instability for at least half of the periodicity being investigated. Appendix 4 provides the categorisation of countries.

#### 3.2 Estimation technique

#### 3.2.1 Specification

We adopt a *two-step* GMM for five main reasons. First, the number of countries is substantially more than the number of years in each cross-section. Second, the outcome variables are persistent given that the coefficient of correlation between the outcome variables and their first lags is higher than 0.800 which is the rule of thumb for establishing persistence in a dependent variable. Third, since the GMM technique is in accordance with a panel data structure, cross-country differences are considered in the regressions. Fourth, the estimation approach further takes account of endogeneity by controlling for simultaneity in the exploratory

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> While the motivations for the choice of fundamental features are the testable hypotheses that have been postulated in Section 2, in Section 3 we discuss the selection criteria for the fundamental characteristics.

There are four main World Bank income groups: (i) high income, \$12,276 or more; (ii) upper middle income, \$3,976-\$12,275; (iii) lower middle income, \$1,006-\$3,975 and (iv) low income, \$1,005 or less.

variables by means of a process of instrumentation as well as controlling for the unobserved heterogeneity through time-invariant variables. Fifth, inherent biases in the *difference* estimator are corrected with the *system* estimator.

Within the framework of this study, the Roodman (2009a, 2009b) extension of Arellano and Bover (1995) is adopted because, compared to traditional GMM techniques (*systems* and *difference* GMM approaches), it mitigates the proliferation of instruments (or restricts overidentification) and controls for cross-sectional dependence (Love & Zicchino, 2006; Baltagi, 2008; Boateng et al., 2018; Tchamyou, 2018).

The following equations in level (1) and first difference (2) summarise the standard *system* GMM estimation procedure.

$$CO_{i,t} = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 CO_{i,t-\tau} + \sum_{h=1}^4 \delta_h W_{h,i,t-\tau} + \eta_i + \xi_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$CO_{i,t} - CO_{i,t-\tau} = \sigma_1(CO_{i,t-\tau} - CO_{i,t-2\tau}) + \sum_{h=1}^{4} \delta_h(W_{h,i,t-\tau} - W_{h,i,t-2\tau}) + (\xi_t - \xi_{t-\tau}) + \varepsilon_{i,t-\tau}$$
, (2)

where,  $CO_{i,t}$  is a  $CO_2$  emissions indicator of country i at period t,  $\sigma_0$  is a constant, W is the vector of control variables (GDP growth, population growth, education and regulation quality),  $\tau$  represents the coefficient of auto-regression which is one for the specification,  $\xi_i$  is the time-specific constant,  $\eta_i$  is the country-specific effect and  $\varepsilon_{i,t}$  the error term.

# 3.2.2 Identification and exclusion restrictions

It is relevant to engage identification properties and exclusion restrictions that are essential for a good GMM specification. All explanatory indicators are acknowledged to be suspected endogeneous or predetermined variables and only time invariant indicators are considered to exhibit strict exogeneity. This process of identification is in accordance with recent empirical literature (see Boateng et al., 2018; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016b). It is imperative to note that is not very likely for time invariant variables to be endogenous after first difference (see Roodman, 2009b; Tchamyou & Asongu, 2017)<sup>9</sup>.

As concerns exclusion restrictions, given the identification process above, the years or variables that are time-invariant affect the outcome variable (or CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) exclusively via the suspected endogenous variables. Furthermore, in order for the underlying exclusion restriction assumption to be valid, the null hypothesis of the Difference in Hansen Test (DHT)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Hence, the procedure for treating ivstyle (years) is 'iv (years, eq(diff))' whereas the gmmstyle is employed for predetermined variables.

for the exogeneity of instruments should not be accepted. Failure to reject the null hypothesis of the DHT is an implication that time invariant variables influence the CO<sub>2</sub> indicators exclusively through the predetermined variables.

In the light of the above, in the findings that are reported in the empirical results section, the assumption of exclusion restriction is confirmed if the null hypothesis of the DHT related to instrumental variables (IV) (year, eq(diff)) is not rejected. This process of assessing the validity of exclusion restriction is not different from the standard IV procedure where-by, the failure to reject the null hypothesis of the Sargan Overidentifying Restrictions (OIR) test is an indication that strictly exogenous variables affect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions exclusively via the suspected endogenous variable mechanisms (see Beck et al., 2003; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016c).

#### 4. Empirical results

Table 1, Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 respectively present results corresponding to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity and heat production, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity. The basis for assessing persistence is established with the estimated lagged dependent variable. A higher magnitude of this estimated coefficient translates a higher degree of persistence because past values of the outcome variable have a more proportionate impact of future values. It is also important to note that for persistence to be established, the estimated lagged dependent variable should be within the convergence range.

The convergence criterion is that the absolute value of the lagged estimated endogenous variable should be within the interval of zero and one. The interested reader can find more information on this criterion in recent catch-up literature (see Fung, 2009, p. 58; Asongu, 2013, p. 192). Accordingly, in the standard GMM approach, the estimated coefficient can be reported and one subtracted from it to obtain  $\beta$  ( $\beta$ = a-1). Within this alternative framework, the information criterion for catch-up is established if  $\beta$ <0. Otherwise, the estimated lagged dependent variable could also be reported and the alternative criterion ('0< lagged value <1') used to assess catch-up (see Prochniak & Witkowski, 2012a, p. 20; Prochniak & Witkowski, 2012b, p. 23).

We have clarified the concepts and criteria for persistence and convergence. However, a precondition for these to be established is the validity of overall estimated models. Four

principal information criteria are used to investigate if the GMM models are valid<sup>10</sup>. In addition to the information criteria, it is important to note that the second-order Arellano and Bond autocorrelation test (AR(2)) is more relevant as information criterion than the first-order. This is essentially because some studies have exclusively reported the higher order with no disclosure of the first order (e.g. see Narayan et al., 2011; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016d). Based on these information criteria, for overall validity of estimated models, the models are overwhelmingly valid. In Tables 1-4, estimates are omitted for some fundamental characteristics because of issues in degree of freedom. Hence, in scenarios where the two subpanels within a fundamental characteristic cannot be estimated, the corresponding hypothesis cannot be tested. This exception applies to: (i) resources in Table 1; (ii) resources, religious-domination and landlockedness in Table 2; (iii) resources and political stability in Table 3 and (iv) resources, income levels, landlockedness and political stability in Table 4. It is apparent after cross-examining the tables that *Hypothesis* 4 on resource-wealth cannot be feasibly examined.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> "First, the null hypothesis of the second-order Arellano and Bond autocorrelation test (AR (2)) in difference for the absence of autocorrelation in the residuals should not be rejected. Second the Sargan and Hansen over-identification restrictions (OIR) tests should not be significant because their null hypotheses are the positions that instruments are valid or not correlated with the error terms. In essence, while the Sargan OIR test is not robust but not weakened by instruments, the Hansen OIR is robust but weakened by instruments. In order to restrict identification or limit the proliferation of instruments, we have ensured that instruments are lower than the number of cross-sections in most specifications. Third, the Difference in Hansen Test (DHT) for exogeneity of instruments is also employed to assess the validity of results from the Hansen OIR test. Fourth, a Fischer test for the joint validity of estimated coefficients is also provided" (Asongu & De Moor, 2017, p.200).

Table 1: Environmental Unsustainability with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita

					Depend	ent variable	e: CO <sub>2</sub> emis	sion per ca	pita			
	Income	Levels	Legal	Origins	Re	sources	Reli	gion	Openn	ess to Sea	Political	Stability
	L.I	M.I	Eng.	Frch.	Oil- rich	Oil- poor	Christi	Islam	Land locked	Unland locked	Stable	Unstable
Constant	0.146** (0.034)	-0.668 (0.775)	-0.227 (0.449)	0.968*** (0.000)	na	0.626*** (0.000)	0.144*** (0.009)	0.561 (0.319)	-1.868 (0.346)	0.766*** (0.000)	0.616*** (0.000)	omitted
CO <sub>2</sub> per capita (-1)	0.971*** (0.000)	1.255*** (0.000)	0.966*** (0.000)	0.810*** (0.000)		0.870*** (0.000)	0.904*** (0.000)	0.935*** (0.000)	0.955*** (0.000)	0.860*** (0.000)	0.863*** (0.000)	0.900*** (0.001)
GDP growth	-0.0004 (0.548)	-0.044 (0.389)	0.002 (0.668)	-0.005** (0.049)		-0.001 (0.548)	0.002** (0.018)	-0.039 (0.328)	-0.006 (0.441)	-0.010*** (0.005)	-0.004 (0.121)	-0.010 (0.505)
Population growth	0.001	0.308	0.017	-0.250***		- 0.106***	-0.009	0.162	0.158	-0.187***	-0.105***	0.029
Education	(0.950) -0.001 (0.153)	(0.461) 0.012 (0.701)	(0.623) 0.004 (0.407)	(0.000) -0.005** (0.047)		(0.000) -0.003** (0.020)	(0.219) -0.0009 (0.225)	(0.525) -0.010 (0.607)	(0.356) 0.031 (0.352)	(0.000) -0.003** (0.019)	(0.000) -0.005** (0.023)	(0.900) 0.006 (0.753)
Regulation Quality	0.083** (0.011)	-0.411 (0.622)	-0.026 (0.821)	-0.122 (0.365)		0.201** (0.021)	0.086*** (0.003)	-0.129 (0.795)	0.217 (0.436)	-0.005 (0.893)	0.049 (0.555)	omitted
AR(1) AR(2) Sargan OIR Hansen OIR	(0.211) (0.330) (0.013) (0.830)	(0.282) (0.905) (0.005) (1.000)	(0.298) (0.185) (0.198) (1.000)	(0.247) (0.311) (0.000) (0.675)		(0.128) (0.195) (0.000) (0.885)	(0.033) (0.230) (0.280) (0.282)	(0.306) (0.547) (0.008) (1.000)	(0.303) (0.094) (0.001) (1.000)	(0.117) (0.178) (0.000) (0.658)	(0.223) (0.302) (0.000) (0.648)	(0.432) (0.182) (1.000) (1.000)
DHT for instruments (a)Instruments in levels H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous) (b) IV (years, eq(diff))	(0.267) (0.964)	(1.000) (1.000)	(0.721) (1.000)	(0.678) (0.543)		(0.550) (0.892)	(0.155) (0.459)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	(0.249) (0.831)	(0.796) (0.448)	(1.000) (1.000)
H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous)	(0.687) (0.747)	(1.000) (1.000)	(0.877) (1.000)	(0.216) (0.880)		(0.869) (0.733)	(0.310) (0.301)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	(0.498) (0.630)	(0.746) (0.474)	(1.000) (1.000)
Fisher Instruments Countries Observations	<b>62979</b> *** 28 29 227	550*** 28 15 115	2571*** 28 17 139	6054*** 28 27 203		3608*** 28 37 286	14706*** 28 30 231	1941*** 28 14 111	9972*** 28 14 112	11443*** 28 30 230	6199*** 28 34 166	11732*** 28 10 76

Ll: Low Income countries. MI: Middle Income countries. Eng: English Common law countries. Frch: French Civil law countries. Oil-rich: Oil exporting countries. Oil-poor: Nonoil exporting countries. Christ: Christian-dominated countries. Islam: Islam: Islam-dominated countries. Landlocked: Landlocked: Unlandlocked: Unlandlocked countries. Stable: Politically stable countries. Unstable: Politically unstable countries. \*,\*\*\*,\*\*\*: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. DHT: Difference in Hansen Test for Exogeneity of Instruments' Subsets. Dif: Difference. OIR: Over-identifying Restrictions Test. The significance of bold values is twofold. 1) The significance of estimated coefficients and the Fisher statistics. 2) The failure to reject the null hypotheses of: a) no autocorrelation in the AR(1) and AR(2) tests and; b) the validity of the instruments in the Sargan and Hansen OIR tests.

The following can be established for the remaining five hypotheses. (i) *Hypothesis* 1 postulating that middle income countries have a lower level of persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid in Tables 1 (CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions), Table 2 (CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity and heat production) and Table 3 (CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption). (ii) *Hypothesis* 2 on the edge of French civil law countries is valid in Table 3 (CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption) and Table 4 (CO<sub>2</sub> intensity), but not in Table 1 (CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions). (iii) *Hypothesis* 3 on the postulation that politically-unstable countries reflect more persistence is valid in Table 1 (CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions). (iv) *Hypothesis* 5 on the propensity for landlocked countries to be associated with more persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid in Table 1 (CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions) but not in Table 3 (CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption). (v) *Hypothesis* 6 maintaining that Christianity-dominated countries are more environmentally friendly with regard to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid in Table 1 (CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions) but not in Tables 3-4 (CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity).

Table 2: Environmental Unsustainability  ${\rm CO}_2$  emissions from electricity and heat production

			Dep	endent var	iable: C	O <sub>2</sub> emissions	s from elect	ricity and	d heat produ	ıction		
	Income	Levels	Legal	Origins	Re	esources	Reli	gion	Openn	ess to Sea	Politica	l Stability
	L.I	M.I	Eng.	Frch.	Oil- rich	Oil-poor	Christi	Islam	Land locked	Unland locked	Stable	Unstable
Constant	-11.209 (0.457)	omitted	omitted	3.473 (0.768)	na	7.095 (0.637)	3.101 (0.745)	na	na	-7.242 (0.557)	-7.519 (0.627)	na
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (-1)	0.985*** (0.000)	1.130*** (0.000)	1.132*** (0.000)	1.008*** (0.000)		0.892*** (0.000)	1.079*** (0.000)			1.033*** (0.000)	1.018*** (0.000)	
GDP growth	0.434 (0.227)	-0.150 (0.579)	0.144 (0.522)	0.067 (0.503)		0.259 (0.255)	0.212 (0.436)			0.129 (0.311)	0.032 (0.869)	
Population growth	-2.287 (0.288)	-3.122 (0.768)	-0.576 (0.861)	2.430 (0.764)		-0.441 (0.886)	-1.127 (0.607)			-0.278 (0.959)	0.630 (0.755)	
Education	0.282 (0.322)	0.112 (0.827)	-0.135 (0.309)	-0.156 (0.327)		0.010 (0.971)	-0.034 (0.860)			0.057 (0.665)	0.028 (0.901)	
Regulation Quality	omitted	omitted	-3.791 (0.499)	-1.438 (0.789)		11.175 (0.327)	-0.248 (0.955)			-6.119 (0.191)	-1.925 (0.850)	
AR(1) AR(2) Sargan OIR Hansen OIR	(0.082) ( <b>0.147</b> ) ( <b>0.985</b> ) ( <b>1.000</b> )	(0.499) ( <b>0.386</b> ) ( <b>0.322</b> ) ( <b>1.000</b> )	(0.192) ( <b>0.348</b> ) ( <b>1.000</b> ) ( <b>0.763</b> )	(0.240) (0.662) (0.885) (1.000)		(0.103) (0.154) (0.919) (1.000)	(0.240) (0.462) (0.968) (1.000)			(0.003) ( <b>0.265</b> ) ( <b>0.950</b> ) ( <b>1.000</b> )	(0.109) ( <b>0.125</b> ) ( <b>0.981</b> ) ( <b>1.000</b> )	
DHT for instruments (a)Instruments in levels H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous) (b) IV (years, eq(diff))	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)		(0.103) (0.154)	(0.943) (1.000)			(0.772) (1.000)	(0.844) (1.000)	
H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)		(0.919) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)			(0.358) (1.000)	(0.834) (1.000)	
Fisher Instruments Countries Observations	177.20*** 28 14 106	1666*** 28 8 63	284*** 28 8 69	8908*** 28 14 100		107.10*** 28 18 142	<b>47844</b> *** 28 16 120			345.50*** 28 18 144	<b>52.89***</b> 28 17 139	

LI: Low Income countries. MI: Middle Income countries. Eng: English Common law countries. Frch: French Civil law countries. Oil-rich: Oil exporting countries. Oil-poor: Nonoil exporting countries. Christ: Christian-dominated countries. Islam: Islam-dominated countries. Landlocked: Landlocked: Unlandlocked: Unlandlocked countries. Stable: Politically stable countries. Unstable: Politically unstable countries. \*,\*\*\*,\*\*\*: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. DHT: Difference in Hansen Test for Exogeneity of Instruments' Subsets. Dif: Difference. OIR: Over-identifying Restrictions Test. The significance of bold values is twofold. 1) The significance of estimated coefficients and the Fisher statistics. 2) The failure to reject the null hypotheses of: a) no autocorrelation in the AR(1) and AR(2) tests and; b) the validity of the instruments in the Sargan and Hansen OIR tests.

Table 3: Environmental Unsustainability with  $CO_2$  emissions from liquid fuel consumption

	Dependent variable: CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption											
	Income	Levels	Legal	Origins	Re	esources	Rel	igion	Opennes	s to Sea	Political	Stability
	L.I	M.I	Eng.	Frch.	Oil- rich	Oil-poor	Christi	Islam	Land locked	Unland locked	Stable	Unstable
Constant	0.494 (0.848)	-121.84 (0.326)	-1.140 (0.861)	7.817*** (0.006)	na	0.856 (0.809)	1.476 (0.622)	omitted	197.928* (0.068)	0.447 (0.871)	0.011 (0.998)	n.a
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (-1)	0.873*** (0.000)	2.249 (0.100)	1.125*** (0.000)	0.902***		0.964***	0.907*** (0.000)	1.430** (0.029)	-2.658 (0.158)	0.970***	0.956***	
GDP growth	-0.115*** (0.004)	-0.189 (0.222)	-0.381* (0.073)	-0.014 (0.558)		-0.024 (0.661)	-0.034 (0.477)	2.813 (0.505)	-1.743** (0.040)	-0.028 (0.556)	-0.019 (0.716)	
Population growth	3.223*** (0.000)	7.800 (0.294)	1.284 (0.286)	0.337 (0.149)		0.892** (0.013)	0.843	-4.907 (0.541)	17.049** (0.031)	0.393* (0.070)	0.439** (0.048)	
Education	0.020 (0.519)	0.734 (0.286)	-0.054 (0.839)	0.019 (0.297)		0.032 (0.428)	0.112*** (0.002)	-0.785 (0.450)	1.501** (0.045)	0.010 (0.731)	0.054 (0.172)	
Regulation Quality	-1.125 (0.460)	13.870 (0.528)	2.169 (0.784)	1.715 (0.248)		1.662 (0.323)	0.866 (0.543)	-36.182 (0.435)	0.648 (0.833)	1.639* (0.095)	0.060 (0.972)	
AR(1) AR(2) Sargan OIR Hansen OIR	(0.012) (0.049) ( <b>0.925</b> ) ( <b>0.822</b> )	(0.656) (0.984) (0.306) (1.000)	(0.133) (0.218) (0.968) (0.997)	(0.051) ( <b>0.192</b> ) ( <b>0.898</b> ) ( <b>0.743</b> )		(0.005) (0.027) ( <b>0.694</b> ) ( <b>0.771</b> )	(0.007) (0.029) ( <b>0.864</b> ) ( <b>0.890</b> )	(0.548) (0.590) (0.122) (1.000)	(0.207) (0.165) (0.317) (1.000)	(0.010) (0.032) ( <b>0.949</b> ) ( <b>0.882</b> )	(0.006) (0.034) ( <b>0.753</b> ) ( <b>0.305</b> )	
DHT for instruments (a)Instruments in levels												
H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous) (b) IV (years, eq(diff))	(0.369) (0.909)	(1.000) (1.000)	(0.785) (0.997)	(0.608) (0.670)		(0.640) (0.689)	(0.879) (0.736)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	(0.410) (0.947)	(0.551) (0.213)	
H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous)	(0.922) (0.596)	(1.000) (1.000)	(0.918) (0.985)	(0.931) (0.481)		(0.641) (0.688)	(0.464) (0.933)	(1.000) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)	( <b>0.837</b> ) ( <b>0.747</b> )	(0.163) (0.483)	
Fisher Instruments Countries Observations	<b>714.16***</b> 28 29 227	<b>45.32***</b> 28 15 115	192.11*** 28 17 139	412.22*** 28 27 203		270.10*** 28 37 286	1324*** 28 30 231	<b>481468***</b> 28 14 11	137.26*** 28 14 112	1129*** 28 30 230	197.08*** 28 34 266	

LI: Low Income countries. MI: Middle Income countries. Eng: English Common law countries. Frch: French Civil law countries. Oil-rich: Oil exporting countries. Oil-poor: Nonoil exporting countries. Christ: Christian-dominated countries. Islam: Islam: Islam-dominated countries. Landlocked: Landlocked countries. Unlandlocked: Unlandlocked countries. Stable: Politically stable countries. Unstable: Politically unstable countries. \*,\*\*\*,\*\*\*: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. DHT: Difference in Hansen Test for Exogeneity of Instruments' Subsets. Dif: Difference. OIR: Over-identifying Restrictions Test. The significance of bold values is twofold. 1) The significance of estimated coefficients and the Fisher statistics. 2) The failure to reject the null hypotheses of: a) no autocorrelation in the AR(1) and AR(2) tests and; b) the validity of the instruments in the Sargan and Hansen OIR tests.

Table 4: Environmental Unsustainability with CO<sub>2</sub> intensity (kg of oil equivalent energy use)

					Dep	endent vari	able: CO <sub>2</sub> i	ntensity				
	Income	Levels	Legal	Origins	Re	sources	Rel	igion	Openno	ess to Sea	Politica	l Stability
	L.I	M.I	Eng.	Frch.	Oil- rich	Oil-poor	Christi	Islam	Land locked	Unland locked	Stable	Unstable
Constant	0.530 (0.899)	na	omitted	0.276 (0.535)		0.117 (0.893)	0.097 (0.924)	omitted	na	-0.151 (0.900)	0.416 (0.644)	na
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (-1)	0.926*** (0.001)		0.123 (0.890)	0.900*** (0.000)		0.978*** (0.000)	0.975*** (0.000)	1.186*** (0.000)		0.975*** (0.000)	0.974*** (0.000)	
GDP growth	0.017 (0.849)		0.369 (0.352)	0.006 (0.519)		0.002	-0.005 (0.862)	-0.004 (0.658)		-0.005 (0.866)	-0.001 (0.909)	
Population growth	-0.231 (0.902)		-6.323 (0.378)	-0.144** (0.035)		0.034 (0.976)	-0.171 (0.790)	-0.945 (0.284)		-0.062 (0.934)	-0.196 (0.707)	
Education	-0.003 (0.941)		0.370 (0.372)	0.002 (0.617)		-0.004 (0.945)	0.009 (0.748)	0.032 (0.300)		0.011 (0.738)	0.003 (0.899)	
Regulation Quality	-0.442 (0.850)		9.421 (0.353)	-0.111 (0.504)		0.119 (0.892)	-0.044 (0.953)	-1.140 (0.312)		0.145 (0.838)	0.142 (0.830)	
AR(1) AR(2) Sargan OIR Hansen OIR	(0.822) (0.823) (0.000) (1.000)		(0.246) (0.289) (0.000) (1.000)	(0.106) (0.410) (0.364) (0.993)		(0.593) (0.971) (0.000) (1.000)	(0.844) (0.897) (0.000) (1.000)	(0.280) (0.762) (0.223) (1.000)		(0.453) (0.844) (0.000) (1.000)	(0.331) (0.550) (0.000) (1.000)	
DHT for instruments (a)Instruments in levels H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous) (b) IV (years, eq(diff))	(0.990) (1.000)		(1.000) (1.000)	(0.544) (1.000)		(0.966) (1.000)	(0.977) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)		(0.999) (0.996)	(0.993) (0.997)	
H excluding group Dif(null, H=exogenous)	(0.872) (1.000)		(1.000) (1.000)	(0.060) (1.000)		(0.880) (1.000)	(0.881) (1.000)	(1.000) (1.000)		(0.878) (1.000)	(0.873) (1.000)	
Fisher Instruments Countries Observations	<b>69.46</b> *** 28 19 115		161.99*** 28 10 74	246.46*** 28 21 115		30174*** 28 26 159	<b>82521***</b> 28 21 133	862582*** 28 10 56		12474*** 28 25 159	18901*** 28 26 159	

LI: Low Income countries. MI: Middle Income countries. Eng: English Common law countries. Frch: French Civil law countries. Oil-rich: Oil exporting countries. Oil-poor: Nonoil exporting countries. Christ: Christian-dominated countries. Islam: Islam-dominated countries. Landlocked: Landlocked: Unlandlocked: Unlandlocked countries. Stable: Politically stable countries. Unstable: Politically unstable countries. \*,\*\*,\*\*\*: significance levels of 10%, 5% and 1% respectively. DHT: Difference in Hansen Test for Exogeneity of Instruments' Subsets. Dif: Difference. OIR: Over-identifying Restrictions Test. The significance of bold values is twofold. 1) The significance of estimated coefficients and the Fisher statistics. 2) The failure to reject the null hypotheses of: a) no autocorrelation in the AR(1) and AR(2) tests and; b) the validity of the instruments in the Sargan and Hansen OIR tests.

#### 5. Concluding implications, caveats and future research directions

Motivated by sustainable development challenges in Sub-Saharan Africa, this study has assessed the comparative persistence in environmental unsustainability in a sample of 44 countries in the sub-region for the period 2000 to 2012. The empirical evidence is based on Generalised Method of Moments. The dataset is decomposed into fundamental characteristics of environmental degradation based on income levels (low income versus (vs.) middle income countries); legal origins (English Common law vs. French Civil law countries); religious domination (Christianity- vs. Islam-dominated countries); openness to sea (landlocked vs. coastal countries); resource-wealth (oil-rich vs. oil-poor countries) and political stability (stable vs. unstable countries).

Of the six hypotheses tested, it is not feasible to assess the hypothesis on resourcewealth because of issues in degrees of freedom. As for the remaining hypotheses, the following findings have been established. (i) *Hypothesis* 1 postulating that middle income countries have a lower level of persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity and heat production and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption. (ii) *Hypothesis* 2 on the edge of French Civil law countries is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity, but not for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions. (iii) *Hypothesis* 3 on the postulation that politically-unstable countries reflect more persistence is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions. (iv) *Hypothesis* 5 on the propensity for landlocked countries to be associated with more persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions but not for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption. (v) *Hypothesis* 6 maintaining that Christian-dominated countries are more environmentally friendly with regard to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is valid for CO<sub>2</sub> per capita emissions but not for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> intensity. Before discussing policy and theoretical implications, we clarify *Hypothesis* 6 for which corresponding findings on its invalidity outweigh results for its validity.

We have postulated that since Christianity-dominated countries are more open to the neoliberal culture, it is more likely that they have better institutions that manage the environment more sustainably than their Islam-oriented counterparts. Unfortunately, this hypothesis has been rejected by a substantial margin (two of the three CO<sub>2</sub> emissions variables). Upon more intuition, what we have overlooked in the establishment of the testable hypotheses is the fact that nations which are more liberal are also more likely to adopt capitalistic tendencies that are not friendly to sustainable development (Roudometof, 2014). This interpretation and clarification are broadly consistent with Obeng-Odoom (2015). The author, in a critique of the 'Africa rising' narrative has argued that neoliberal policies imposed on Africa are more focused on increasing the relevance of capital accumulation, with less concern on more fundamental ethnical issues like environmental degradation and inequality. Moreover, liberal economies are generally more opened and there is an established relationship between openness and the carbon footprint of countries (Peters & Hertwich, 2008; Hertwich & Peters, 2009).

The main policy implication is that, contingent on comparative persistence in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, more resources can be devoted to addressing the policy syndrome within a fundamental characteristic. It is important to note that persistence in a negative aspect of environmental quality represents a policy syndrome. Such persistence implies that past CO<sub>2</sub> emissions positively affect future CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Furthermore, more persistence in one subpanel compared to another within the same fundamental characteristic implies that past CO<sub>2</sub>

emissions have a more proportionate impact on future CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the sub-panel exhibiting more persistence.

The theoretical contribution of this study builds on the established persistence in negative economic signals. By deviating from mainstream convergence literature which is based on catch-up in per capita income (or positive economic signals), we have shown in this study that the theoretical underpinnings of the convergence literature can be extended to negative signals. This theoretical extension is consistent with a recent stream of literature on policy harmonization based on catch-up in policy syndromes, namely: the prediction of the Arab Spring based on negative governance and macroeconomic signals (Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2016d) and the fight against capital flight (Asongu, 2014). Therefore, these findings should also be viewed through the lens of a theory-building exercise because applied econometrics should not be exclusively based on the acceptance or rejection of existing theoretical underpinnings. Accordingly, the underpinnings of an existing theory can be employed in other development fields. In essence, we have built on the theoretical underpinnings of income convergence literature (Barro, 1991; Barro & Sala-i-Martin, 1992, 1995; Mankiw et al., 1992; Baumol, 1986) to assess persistence in environmental degradation. This improves recent theoretical literature on the need to extend the theoretical underpinnings of income convergence to other development fields, notably: financial market development (Narayan et al., 2011; Bruno et al., 2012) and inclusive human development (Mayer-Foulkes, 2010; Asongu & Nwachukwu, 2017a). Moreover, the attendant literature has fundamentally been based on positive macroeconomic signals. In this study, the variables used on environmental degradation are negative macroeconomic signals because the persistence in negative macroeconomic signals may even require more policy intervention, compared to the persistence of positive macroeconomic signals.

Two main caveats are worth discussing, notably: the contingency of the analysis on the choice of variables employed and assumptions underlying the testable hypotheses. The points are expanded chronological order. First, as highlighted in the data section, it is impossible to use all the CO<sub>2</sub> emission variables from the World Bank Development database. Hence, we have been limited to a selected few based on constraints in missing observations in the other variables. It follows that the established evidence of persistence is contingent on the outcome variables as well as the variables used in the conditioning information set. This contingency of results in the variables employed in the model is a fundamental shortcoming of conditional (or contingent) convergence and/or persistence modelling by means of the Generalised of the Method of Moments.

Second, some of the motivations underpinnings the postulated hypotheses may be problematic. For instance, critics of the assumption underpinning the legal origin hypothesis maintain that the strength of British Common law vis-à-vis French Civil law may not hold for a plethora of reasons (Deakin & Siems 2010; Fowowe, 2014; Asongu, 2015). (i) It is doubted in some scholarly circles whether the distinction between Civil law and Common law is justifiable from a historical standpoint. (ii) With internationalization in the contemporary era, the distinction between Civil law and Common law is less persuasive. (iii) The categorization of countries in terms of Civil law and Common law does not take into account the following factors, *inter alia*: modifications and mixtures at the moment foreign laws were copied by former colonies, the influence of transplant law and the post-transplant period during which the law transplanted could still be altered or applied differently. Notwithstanding these caveats, we do not expect the hypotheses to be 100% accurate, which is the reason an empirical exercise is needed to either validate or reject them.

Future research can improve the extant literature by investigating whether the established linkages withstand empirical scrutiny when other regions of the world are investigated. It would also be interesting to assess the probability of occurrence of established patterns in the future with alternative estimation techniques.

# **Appendices**

**Appendix 1: Variable Definitions** 

Variables	Signs	Variable Definitions (Measurement)	Sources
CO <sub>2</sub> per capita	CO2mtpc	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (metric tons per capita)	World Bank (WDI)
CO <sub>2</sub> from electricity and heat	CO2elehepro	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity and heat production, total (% of total fuel combustion)	World Bank (WDI)
CO <sub>2</sub> from liquid fuel	CO2lfcon	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from liquid fuel consumption (% of total)	World Bank (WDI)
CO <sub>2</sub> intensity	CO2inten	CO <sub>2</sub> intensity (kg per kg of oil equivalent energy use)	World Bank (WDI)
Educational Quality	Educ	Pupil teacher ratio in Primary Education	World Bank (WDI)
GDP growth	GDPg	Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth (annual %)	World Bank (WDI)
Population growth	Popg	Population growth rate (annual %)	World Bank (WDI)
Regulation Quality	RQ	"Regulation quality (estimate): measured as the ability of the government to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development"	World Bank (WDI)

WDI: World Bank Development Indicators.

**Appendix 2: Summary statistics (2000-2012)** 

	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum	Observations
CO <sub>2</sub> per capita	0.901	1.820	0.016	10.093	567
CO <sub>2</sub> from electricity and heat	23.730	18.870	0.000	71.829	286
CO <sub>2</sub> from liquid fuel	78.880	23.092	0.000	100	567
CO <sub>2</sub> intensity	2.044	6.449	0.058	77.586	321
Educational Quality	43.784	14.731	12.466	100.236	425
GDP growth	4.851	5.000	-32.832	33.735	567
Population growth	2.334	0.866	-1.081	6.576	529
Regulation Quality	-0.607	0.544	-2.238	0.983	530

S.D: Standard Deviation.

# **Appendix 3: Correlation matrix (uniform sample size: 155)**

CO2mtpc	CO2elehepro	CO2lfcon	CO2inten	Educ	GDPg	Popg	RQ	
1.000	0.690	-0.721	0.805	-0.369	-0.057	-0.611	0.593	CO2mtpc
	1.000	-0.695	0.703	-0.502	-0.052	-0.524	0.505	CO2elehepro
		1.000	-0.551	0.246	0.020	0.364	-0.366	CO2lfcon
			1.000	-0.509	-0.055	-0.698	0.676	CO2inten
				1.000	0.104	0.515	-0.515	Educ
					1.000	0.074	-0.140	GDPg
						1.000	-0.624	Popg
							1.000	RQ

CO2mtpc:  $CO_2$  emissions (metric tons per capita). CO2elehepro:  $CO_2$  emissions from electricity and heat production, total (% of total fuel combustion). CO2lfcon:  $CO_2$  emissions from liquid fuel consumption (% of total). CO2inten:  $CO_2$  intensity (kg per kg of oil equivalent energy use). Educ: Quality of primary education. GDPg: GDP growth. Popg: Population growth. RQ: Regulation Quality.

**Appendix 4: Categorization of Countries** 

Categories	Panels	Countries	Num
	Middle Income	Algeria, Angola, Botswana, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Côte d'Ivoire, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Lesotho, Libya, Mauritius, Morocco, Namibia, Nigeria, , Senegal, Seychelles, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Tunisia.	21
Income levels	Low Income	Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Congo Democratic Republic, Congo Republic, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Niger, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe.	30
Legal	English Common-law	Botswana, The Gambia, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Malawi, Mauritius, Namibia, Nigeria, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe.	19
Origins	French Civil- law	Algeria, Angola, Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Congo Democratic Republic, Congo Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Libya, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Morocco, Mozambique, Niger, Rwanda, Senegal, Togo, Tunisia.	32
Religion	Christianity	Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Congo Democratic Republic, Congo Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, Seychelles, South Africa, South Africa, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe.	31
	Islam	Algeria, Burkina Faso, Chad, Comoros, Djibouti, Egypt, The Gambia, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Libya, Mali, Mauritania, Morocco, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Tunisia,	20
	Petroleum Exporting	Algeria, Angola, Cameroon, Chad, Congo Republic, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Libya, Nigeria, Sudan.	10
Resources	Non- Petroleum Exporting	Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Comoros, Congo Democratic Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Egypt, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Morocco, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Rwanda, Seychelles, South Africa, Swaziland, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe.	41
	Conflict	Angola, Burundi, Chad, Central African Republic, Congo Democratic Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Liberia, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Zimbabwe.	12
Stability	Non-Conflict	Algeria, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Comoros, Congo Republic, Djibouti, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Libya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Morocco, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Senegal, Rwanda, Seychelles, South Africa, Swaziland, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, Zambia.	39
	Landlocked	Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Chad, Central African Republic, Ethiopia, Lesotho, Malawi, Mali, Niger, Rwanda, Swaziland, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe	15
Openness to Sea	Not landlocked	Algeria, Angola, Benin, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Comoros, Congo Democratic Republic, Congo Republic, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Egypt, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Gabon, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Libya, Madagascar, Mauritania, Mauritius, Morocco, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Seychelles, South Africa, Togo, Tunisia.	36

Num: Number of cross sections (countries)

#### References

Agbor, J. A. (2015). "How does colonial origin matter for economic performance in sub-Saharan Africa?", In Augustin K. Fosu (Ed.), *Growth and Institutions in African Development*, Chapter 13, pp. 309-327, Routledge Studies in Development Economics: New York.

Akbostanci, E., S. Turut-Asi & Tunc, G. I., (2009). "The Relationship between Income and Environment in Turkey: Is there an Environmental Kuznets Curve?", *Energy Policy*, 37(3), pp. 861-867.

Akinlo, A. E., (2008). "Energy consumption and economic growth: evidence from 11 Sub-Sahara African countries". *Energy Economics*, 30(5), pp. 2391–2400.

Akinyemi, O., Alege, P., Osabuohien, E., & Ogundipe, A., (2015). "Energy Security and the Green Growth Agenda in Africa: Exploring Trade-offs and Synergies", Department of Economics and Development Studies, Covenant University, Nigeria.

Akpan, G. E. & Akpan, U. F. (2012). "Electricity Consumption, Carbon Emissions and Economic Growth in Nigeria", *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 2(4), pp. 292-306.

Amavilah, V. H. (2015). "Social Obstacles to Technology, Technological Change, and the Economic Growth of African Countries: Some Anecdotal Evidence from Economic History", *MPRA Paper* No. 63273, Munich.

Amavilah, V., Asongu, S. A., & Andrés, A. R., (2017). "Effects of globalization on peace and stability: Implications for governance and the knowledge economy of African countries", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 122 (September), pp. 91-103.

America, R., (2013). "Economic Development with Limited Supplies of Management. What to do about it - the case of Africa", *Challenge*, 56(1), pp. 61-71.

Ang, J. B. (2007). "CO2 emissions, energy consumption, and output in France", *Energy Policy*, 35(10), pp. 4772-4778.

Anyangwe, E. (2014). "Without energy could Africa's growth run out of steam?" *theguardian*, <a href="http://www.theguardian.com/global-development-professionals-network/2014/nov/24/energy-infrastructure-clean-cookstoves-africa">http://www.theguardian.com/global-development-professionals-network/2014/nov/24/energy-infrastructure-clean-cookstoves-africa</a> (Accessed: 08/09/2015).

Anyanwu, J., & Erhijakpor, A., (2014). "Does Oil Wealth Affect Democracy in Africa?" *African Development Review*, 26 (1), pp. 15-37.

Apergis, N. & J. Payne, J. E., (2009). "CO2 emissions, energy usage, and output in Central America", *Energy Policy*, 37(8), pp. 3282-3286.

Arvis, J-F., Marteau, J-F., & Raballand, G. (2007). The cost of being landlocked: logistics costs and supply chain reliability", *Word Bank Working Paper Series* No. 4258, Washington.

Asongu, S. A., (2012). "Law and finance in Africa", *Brussels Economic Review*, 55(4), pp. 385-408.

- Asongu, S. A. (2013). "African Stock Market Performance Dynamics: A Multidimensional Convergence Assessment", *Journal of African Business*, 40(3), pp. 186-201.
- Asongu, S. A., (2014). "Fighting Capital Flight: Empirics on Policy Harmonization", The *European Journal of Comparative Economics*, 11(1), pp. 93-122.
- Asongu, S. A., (2015). "Fighting Software Piracy in Africa: How Do Legal Origins and IPRs Protection Channels Matter?", *Journal of the knowledge economy*, 6(4), pp. 682–703.
- Asongu, S. A, & De Moor, L., (2017). "Financial Globalisation Dynamic Thresholds for Financial Development: Evidence from Africa", *European Journal of Development Research*, 29(1), pp. 192-212.
- Asongu, S. A., El Montasser, G., & Toumi, H., (2016). "Testing the relationships between energy consumption, CO2 emissions, and economic growth in 24 African countries: a panel ARDL approach", *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(7), pp. 6563–6573.
- Asongu, S. A., & Le Roux S., (2017). "Enhancing ICT for inclusive human development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 118(May), pp. 44–54.
- Asongu, S. A., Le Roux, S., & Biekpe, N., (2017). "Environmental degradation, ICT and inclusive development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Energy Policy*, 111(December), pp. 353-361.
- Asongu, S. A., Le Roux, S., & Biekpe, N., (2018). "Enhancing ICT for Environmental Sustainability in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 127(February), pp. 209-216.
- Asongu, S. A, & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016a). "The Mobile Phone in the Diffusion of Knowledge for Institutional Quality in Sub Saharan Africa", *World Development*, 86(October), pp. 133-147.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016b). "The Role of Governance in Mobile Phones for Inclusive Human Development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Technovation*, 55-56 (September-October), pp. 1-13.
- Asongu, S. A, & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016c). "Foreign aid and governance in Africa", *International Review of Applied Economics*, 30(1), pp. 69-88.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2016d). "Revolution empirics: predicting the Arab Spring", *Empirical Economics*, 51(2), pp. 439-482.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2017a). "Mobile phones in the diffusion of knowledge and persistence in inclusive human development in Sub-Saharan Africa", *Information Development*, 33(2), pp. 289-302.
- Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2017b). "The Comparative Inclusive Human Development of Globalisation in Africa", *Social Indicators Research*, 134(3), pp. 1027-1050.
- Asongu, S. A., Nwachukwu, J., & Tchamyou, S. V., (2016a). "Information Asymmetry and Financial Development Dynamics in Africa", *Review of Development Finance*, 6(2), pp. 126–

Asongu, S. A., & Nwachukwu, J. C., (2018). "Fighting Terrorism: Empirics on Policy Harmonisation", *German Economic Review*, DOI: 10.1111/geer.12126.

Asongu, S. A., Tchamyou, V. S., Minkoua, N, J. R., Asongu, N., & Tchamyou, N. P., (2018). "Fighting terrorism in Africa: Benchmarking policy harmonization", *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 492(February), pp. 1931-1957.

Asongu, S. A. & Rangan, G., (2015). "Trust and Quality of Growth", *Economics Bulletin*, *36*(3), pp. 1854-1867.

Baltagi, B. H., (2008). "Forecasting with panel data", *Journal of Forecasting*, 27(2), pp. 153-173.

Barro, R., (1991). "Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries". *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 196 (2/May), pp. 407-443.

Barro, R. J., & Sala-i-Martin, X., (1992). "Convergence", *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(2), pp. 223-251.

Barro, R. J., & Sala-i-Martin, X., (1995). Economic Growth. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Baumol, W. J., (1986). "Productivity, growth, convergence and welfare: what the long run data show", *American Economic Review*, 76(5), pp. 1072-1085.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R., (2003), "Law and finance: why does legal origin matter?", *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 31(4), pp. 653-675.

Beegle, K., Christiaensen, L., Dabalen, A., & Gaddis, I., (2016). "Poverty in a Rising Africa", Africa Poverty Report, the World Bank, Washington. <a href="http://www.worldbank.org/en/region/afr/publication/poverty-rising-africa-poverty-report">http://www.worldbank.org/en/region/afr/publication/poverty-rising-africa-poverty-report</a> (Accessed: 23/07/2016).

Begum, R. A., Sohag, K., Abdullah S. M. S., & Jaafar, M., (2015). "CO2 emissions, energy consumption, economic and population growth in Malaysia", *Renewal and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41(January), pp. 594-601.

Blundell, R., & Bond, S., (1998). "Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models" *Journal of Econometrics*, 87(1), pp. 115-143.

Boateng, A., Asongu, S. A., Akamavi, R., & Tchamyou, V. S., (2018). "Information Asymmetry and Market Power in the African Banking Industry", *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*. DOI: 10.1016/j.mulfin.2017.11.002.

Bölük, G., & Mehmet, M., (2015). "The renewable energy, growth and environmental Kuznets curve in Turkey: An ARDL approach", *Renewal and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 52(December), pp. 587-595.

Bond, S., Hoeffler, A., & Tample, J. (2001) "GMM Estimation of Empirical Growth Models", University of Oxford.

Bruno, G., De Bonis, R., & Silvestrini, A., (2012). "Do financial systems converge? New evidence from financial assets in OECD countries". *Journal of Comparative Economics*; 40(1), pp. 141-155.

Brundtland Commission (1987). Our Common Future. Oxford University Press: Oxford.

Chemutai, B., (2009). "Achieving Effective National Environmental Governance in Africa", I SS Today, <a href="https://issafrica.org/iss-today/achieving-effective-national-environmental-governance-in-africa">https://issafrica.org/iss-today/achieving-effective-national-environmental-governance-in-africa</a> (Accessed: 07/04/2017).

Cole, K. H., Stegen, G. R., & Spencer, D., (1993). "The capacity of the deep oceans to absorb carbon dioxide", *Energy Conversion and Management*, 34(9-11), pp. 991-998.

Diao, X. D., Zeng, S. X., Tam, C. M. & Tam, V. W. Y., (2009). "EKC Analysis for Studying Economic Growth and Environmental Quality: A Case Study in China", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 17(5), pp. 541-548.

Deakin, S., & Siems, M., (2010). "Comparative law and finance: Past, present and future research". *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 166(1), pp.120–140.

Efobi, U., (2015). "Politicians' Attributes and Institutional Quality in Africa: A Focus on Corruption", *Journal of Economic Issues*, 49(3), pp. 787-813.

Esso, L.J. (2010). "Threshold cointegration and causality relationship between energy use and growth in seven African countries", *Energy Economics*, 32(6), pp. 1383-1391.

Fletcher, S. E. M., (2017). "Climate science: Ocean circulation drove increase in CO2 uptake", *Journal Nature*, 542(February), pp.169–170.

Fosu, A. K. (2015). "Growth, Inequality and Poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa: Recent Progress in a Global Context", *Oxford Development Studies*, 43(1), pp. 44-59.

Fowowe, B., (2014). "Law and Finance Revisited: Evidence from African Countries", *South African Journal of Economics*, 82(2), pp. 193–208.

Fisher, J., & Rucki, K., (2017). "Re-conceptualizing the Science of Sustainability: A Dynamical Systems Approach to Understanding the Nexus of Conflict, Development and the Environment", *Sustainable Development*, 25(4), pp. 267–275.

Fosu, A., (2013a), "Growth of African Economies: Productivity, Policy Syndromes and the Importance of Institutions" *Journal of African Economies*, 22(4), pp. 523-551.

Fosu, A. (2013b). Achieving development success: Strategies and lessons from the developing world, *UNU-WIDER Policy Brief* (November), Helsinki.

Fung, M. K., (2009). "Financial development and economic growth: Convergence or divergence?", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 28(1), pp. 56–67.

He, J., & Richard, P., (2010). "Environmental Kuznets Curve for Co2 in Canada", *Ecological Economics*, 69(5), pp. 1083-1093.

Hertwich, E. G., & Peters, G. P., (2009). "Carbon Footprint of Nations: A Global, Trade-Linked Analysis", *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42, pp 6414-6420

Huxster, J. K., Uribe-Zarain, X. & Kempton, W., (2015). "Undergraduate Understanding of Climate Change: The Influences of College Major and Environmental Group Membership on Survey Knowledge Scores", *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 46(3), pp. 149-165.

Jumbe, C. B., (2004). "Cointegration and Causality between Electricity Consumption and GDP: Empirical Evidence from Malawi", *Energy Economics*, 26(1), pp. 61-68.

Kifle, T. (2008). "Africa hit hardest by Global Warming despite its low Greenhouse Gas Emissions", *Institute for World Economics and International Management Working Paper* No. 108, http://www.iwim.uni-bremen.de/publikationen/pdf/b108.pdf (Accessed: 08/09/2015).

La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1998). "Law and finance", *Journal of Political Economy*, 106(6), 1113-1155.

La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1999). "The quality of government", *Journal of Law, Economics and Organization*, 15(1), pp. 222-279.

La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A., (2008), "The Economic Consequences of Legal Origin," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 46(2), pp. 285-332.

Love, I., & Zicchino, L., (2006). "Financial Development and Dynamic Investment Behaviour: Evidence from Panel VAR". *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 46(2), pp. 190-210.

Mankiw, N. G., Romer, D., & Weil, D. N., (1992). "A contribution to the empirics of economic growth", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107 (May, 1992), pp. 407–437.

Mayer-Foulkes, D., (2010). "Divergences and Convergences in Human Development". UNDP Human Development Research Paper 2010/20, Mexico City.

Mehlum, H., Moene, K., & Torvik, R., (2006a). "Institutions and the Resource Curse", *The Economic Journal*, 116(508), pp. 1-20.

Mehlum, H., Moene, K., & Torvik, R., (2006b). "Cursed by Resources or Institutions?", *The World Economy*, 29(8), pp. 1117-1131.

Mehrara, M., (2007). "Energy consumption and economic growth: The case of oil exporting countries", *Energy Policy*, 35(5), pp. 2939-2945.

Menyah, K., & Wolde-Rufael, Y., (2010). "Energy consumption, pollutant emissions and economic growth in South Africa", *Energy Economics*, 32(6), pp. 1374-1382.

Mlachila, M., Tapsoba, R., & Tapsoba, S. J. A., (2016). "A Quality of Growth Index for

Developing Countries: A Proposal", IMF Working Paper No. 14/172, Washington.

Narayan, P.K., Mishra, S., & Narayan, S., (2011). "Do market capitalization and stocks traded converge? New global evidence". *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 35(10), pp. 2771-2781.

Obeng-Odoom, F. (2015). "Africa: On the Rise, but to Where?", Forum for Social Economics, 44(3), pp. 234-250.

Odhiambo, N. M., (2009a). "Electricity consumption and economic growth in South Africa: a trivariate causality test". *Energy Economics*, 31(5), pp. 635–640.

Odhiambo, N. M., (2009b). "Energy consumption and economic growth nexus in Tanzania: an ARDL bounds testing approach". *Energy Policy*, 37 (2), pp. 617–622.

Olusegun, O. A., (2008). "Consumption and Economic Growth in Nigeria: A bounds testing cointegration approach", *Journal of Economic Theory*, 2(4), pp. 118-123.

Ozturk, I., & Acaravci, A., (2010). "CO2 emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in Turkey", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(9), pp. 3220-3225.

Peters, G. P., & Hertwich, E. G., (2008). "CO2 Embodied in International Trade with Implications for Global Climate Policy", *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(5), pp 1401-1407.

Pritchett, L., (1997). "Divergence, Big Time". *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 11(3), pp. 3-17.

Prochniak, M., & Witkowski, B., (2012a). "Beta convergence stability among "Old" and "New" EU countries: The Bayesian Model Averaging Perspective", Warsaw School of Economics.

Prochniak, M., & Witkowski, B., (2012b). "Real economic convergence and the impact of monetary policy on economic growth of the EU countries: The analysis of time stability and the identification of major turning points based on the Bayesian methods", Warsaw School of Economics.

Radovanovic, M., & Lior, N., (2017). "Sustainable economic-environmental planning in Southeast Europe – beyond-GDP and climate change emphases", *Sustainable Development*, 25(6), pp. 580-594.

Roodman, D., (2009a). "A Note on the Theme of Too Many Instruments", *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 71(1), pp. 135-158.

Roodman, D., (2009b). "How to do xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata", *Stata Journal*, 9(1), pp. 86-136.

Roudometof, V., (2014). "Religion and globalisation", in The SAGE Handbook of Globalisation, Edited by Steger, M., Battersby, P., & Siracusa, J., Chapter 10, pp. 151-165, SAGE Publications: London.

Saifulina, N., & Carballo-Penela, A., (2017). "Promoting Sustainable Development at an Organizational Level: An Analysis of the Drivers of Workplace Environmentally Friendly Behaviour of Employees", *Sustainable Development*, 25(4), pp. 299–310.

Shurig, S. (2015). "Who will fund the renewable solution to the energy crisis?", *theguardian*, <a href="http://www.theguardian.com/global-development-professionals-network/2014/jun/05/renewable-energy-electricty-africa-policy">http://www.theguardian.com/global-development-professionals-network/2014/jun/05/renewable-energy-electricty-africa-policy</a> (Accessed: 08/09/2015).

Tchamyou, V. S., (2018). "Education, Lifelong learning, Inequality and Financial access: Evidence from African countries", *Contemporary Social Science*. DOI: 10.1080/21582041.2018.1433314.

Tchamyou, V. S., & Asongu, S. A., (2017). "Information Sharing and Financial Sector Development in Africa", *Journal of African Business*, 17(1), pp. 24-49.

Wang, E, S-T., & Lin, H-C., (2017). "Sustainable Development: The Effects of Social Normative Beliefs On Environmental Behaviour", *Sustainable Development*, 25(6), pp. 595-609.