

Srinuan, Pratompong; Phansatarn, Thunwar; Srinuan, Chalita

Conference Paper

Spectrum cap and firms' performance: Thailand's experience

22nd Biennial Conference of the International Telecommunications Society (ITS): "Beyond the Boundaries: Challenges for Business, Policy and Society", Seoul, Korea, 24th-27th June, 2018

Provided in Cooperation with:

International Telecommunications Society (ITS)

Suggested Citation: Srinuan, Pratompong; Phansatarn, Thunwar; Srinuan, Chalita (2018) : Spectrum cap and firms' performance: Thailand's experience, 22nd Biennial Conference of the International Telecommunications Society (ITS): "Beyond the Boundaries: Challenges for Business, Policy and Society", Seoul, Korea, 24th-27th June, 2018, International Telecommunications Society (ITS), Calgary

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/190401>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Spectrum cap and firms' performance: Thailand's experience

Pratompong Srinuan^a, Thunwar Phansatarn^b, Chalita Srinuan^c

^aNational Broadcasting and Telecommunications Commission, Thailand

E-mail: pratompong.s@nbt.go.th

^bCorresponding author, Faculty of Economics at Sriracha, Kasetsart University, Thailand

E-mail: thunwar.p@ku.th

^cFaculty of administration and management, King Mongkut Institute of Technology Ladkrabang

E-mail: kschalit@kmitl.ac.th

Abstract

Spectrum auction has been accepted as an efficient tool for allocating the radio spectrum and increasing competition level among service providers in the telecommunication market. Most of National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs) use spectrum cap as an incentive instrument to facilitate new entrants and to reduce a chance of natural monopoly in acquiring spectrum. These two different regulatory instruments seem to provide contradictory results. On one hand spectrum auction should allow Mobile Network Operator (MNO) to obtain as much as spectrum bandwidth. It may lead to one MNO may gain most of spectrum bandwidth in the market or being a dominant player in the market. On another, spectrum cap limits amount of bandwidths where the MNO could obtain. It aims to provide an opportunity to smaller and/or new comer operator to gain spectrum bandwidths as well as its competitive advantage. The National Broadcasting and Telecommunications Commission (NBTC) has followed the practices from other NRAs by implementing spectrum auctions together with setting spectrum cap for each bidder in an event of auction. This paper aims to investigate impact on firms' performance, i.e. data share to spectrum share ratio, subscriber share to spectrum share ratio, etc., in Thailand. The findings show that 40% sub-1GHz cap produces a better output in term of spectrum efficiency. It is somehow contrast with other studies. However, this may result from a long term implementation of spectrum cap. Mobile operator needs to prepare itself to handle the limitation of spectrum.

Keywords: Spectrum cap, Firms' performance, Thailand

Spectrum cap and firms' performance: Thailand's experience

1. Introduction

All of telecommunications services including fixed telephony, mobile telephony, and Internet services in Thailand, used to be provided by state-owned enterprises and private companies under concession system. However, Thai's telecommunications industry has been transformed to licensing scheme in a recent decade. Mobile services, particularly, have been changed adequately. Radio Spectrum has been allocated by mean of auction as mentioned in the Act on the Organization to Assign Radio frequency and to Regulate the Broadcasting and Telecommunications Services B.E. 2543 (called NBTC Act). Therefore, three events of auction had conducted by the National Broadcasting and Telecommunications Commission (NBTC) in less than a decade.

The first spectrum auction in 2100 MHz had been conducted in 2012. Three existing mobile network operator, AIS, DTAC, and True Mobile, have obtained the 2x15 MHz spectrum license. The spectrum bandwidths of 1800 MHz and 900 MHz have also distributed to the existing ones, AIS and True Mobile, in the later auctions. They earns 2x15 MHz and 2x10 MHz respectively. The NBTC, recently, has announced that there will be 1800 MHz auction of 45 MHz bandwidths and 700 MHz auction in 2018 and 2020, respectively. If the NBTC remains setting the same spectrum design by implementing spectrum cap for an auction event, it will create non-competitive environment to smaller operators as well as new entrants. The existing operators in particular will gain most of the spectrum released by auction since they have significant budget to place bid from auctions. This raises the concern of radio spectrum distribution to the NBTC and Thai mobile industry. The telecom regulator should implement a more intervention spectrum cap regulatory instrument, i.e. overall cap, sub 1 GHz cap, or set aside, to ensure that the market stays healthy.

This paper intends to analyze impacts of spectrum cap regulatory instrument, in particular event-related cap and sub 1GHz cap, on firms' performance and employs scenario analysis as a

method of study. Next section presents literature reviews on spectrum cap study. An overview on Thai market situation is displayed in the third section. Method of study is shown in section 4. Conclusion and policy recommendation is presented at the end of this paper.

2. Prior studies

Definition and types of spectrum cap

Spectrum cap is a regulatory instrument where a quantity of spectrum is allowed to be held by a mobile operator in any market (Cramton, 2001, Cramton et al, 2011; Cave, 2009). The main benefit of implementing spectrum cap is ensure a specific number of mobile operator is in each market (Cramton, 2001). Therefore, ultimate goal of implementing spectrum cap is to promote competition.

There are two different types of spectrum cap. Spectrum cap, on one hand, concerns with a limited amount of bandwidths where one mobile operator or separate mobile operators in the same group can hold (Cave, 2009). In a past decades, there are many countries implementing this type of spectrum cap including the United States, Canada, Mexico, Chile, India, and the United Kingdom (Arthur D. Little, 2009)¹.

Nowadays, only few countries is continue implementing this type of spectrum cap. UK telecom regulator (OFCOM), for instance, has imposed an overall cap of 340 MHz on total spectrum holding or 37 percent of all spectrum in play by 2020² (Mobile Europe, 2017). India is another example. Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI) has set a 50 percent of any spectrum band as its spectrum cap. It also sets a 25 percent of all bands as another cap. In November 2017, TRAI has recently recommended that caps in individual bands should be removed and an overall ceiling for spectrum holding should be increased to 35 percent³ (Philipose, 2017).

¹ Useful information about spectrum cap can be found in Arthur D Little, Mobile Broadband, Competition and spectrum cap: an independent paper prepared for the GSM Association, January 2009.

² Mobile Europe (2017). Ofcom imposes spectrum cap on UK market, leaves Three fuming
<https://www.mobileeurope.co.uk/press-wire/ofcom-imposes-spectrum-cap-on-uk-market-leaves-three-fuming>

³ Mobis Philipose (2017). Trai recommended spectrum caps will accommodate emerging oligopoly
<https://www.livemint.com/Money/fbHwcbSuMGEL1FwJKDV3K/Trai-recommended-spectrum-caps-will-accommodate-emerging-oli.html>

The US telecom regulator (FCC) has removed spectrum cap since 2004 to a spectrum screen process which is a case-by-case assessment. The spectrum screen provides a spectrum threshold which can be used as an additional review. It is unlike spectrum cap and can vary market to market. Spectrum screen will be utilised when new spectrum bands become available. FCC implements the amount of spectrum an operator can hold to a third of the spectrum available in the market as spectrum screen, ensuring that at least three operators are in any market (Nichiforov-Chuang, 2015).

Spectrum cap on amount of spectrum can be held by any mobile operator is considered as a direct intervention instrument from NRA. It can be a penalty for efficiency because a mobile operator is prevented meeting its demand and gaining market share by improving quality of service (Cave, 2009). Therefore, only few regulators have implemented this type of spectrum cap in the markets.

On another hand, NRA can intervene the market by imposing spectrum cap on licensing award to a mobile operator (Cave, 2009). This gives an opportunity to NRA to set a specific number of mobile operator and ensure entry to new comer to enhance competition in the market (Little, 2009). Spectrum cap on licensing award aims that enough spectrum is allocated to a sufficient number of operators generating effective infrastructure competition (Cave & Webb, 2013). Thus, cap at spectrum awards may provide an effective outcome that can benefit end users (Cave, 2009). This spectrum cap is widely implemented in the countries where auctions have been taken place. It could also use in a single band and multi-bands auction (see Little (2009); Cave (2009); Cave & Webb (2013)).

Impacts of spectrum cap on industry and firms' performance

Spectrum cap often promotes competition both in the auction and in the market for mobile services by bringing new comer(s) to the market (Cave, 2009; Cave & Webb, 2013; Cramton, 2013), however, it does not necessary increase auction revenues. Canada, UK and some

European countries represent a case where the spectrum cap⁴ can enhance competition as well as increase auction revenues (Cave & Webb, 2013).

There are also some critics that spectrum cap could harm auction efficiency as well as revenues, and could be unsuccessful in promoting competition (Cave & Webb, 2013). In the case of Germany and Austria show that setting a spectrum cap could not ensure the same results if market conditions are different (Cramton, 2013). Earle and Sosa (2013) supports that European countries were initially successful in attracting new entrants through a spectrum cap and set-aside, however, it fails to sustain the number of mobile operators in these countries a decade later⁵. A similar result presented by Nichiforov-Chuang (2015). One of ten mobile operators who entered and launched services since 2010 have been out of their business or merged with other operators. In other words, most of new entrants emerged by set-aside play less significant impact on market structure, a number of factors, excluded the spectrum cap, instead involving on the success and lifespan of new entrants.

3. An overview of Thai Mobile Market Situation

Concession Era

Thai telecommunications sector was reformed since the two telecom state enterprises, TOT and CAT, allowed private companies to participate in both fixed and mobile services by mean of concession in 1986⁶. Private companies, AIS, DTAC and True Mobile, has become mobile operator providing mobile services since then.

AIS started its business by signing a concession on 900 MHz band with TOT in 1986. In the flowing year, DTAC signed another concession with CAT on 1800 MHz and 800 MHz bands. True Mobile is considered as a late comer in Thai mobile market. CAT and True Mobile agreed

⁴ UK had set five licenses, two large licenses and three smaller licenses. No bidder could win more than one license. Canada used set-aside for new entrants as a spectrum cap.

⁵ See, e.g., Robert Earle & David Sosa, *Spectrum Auctions Around the World: An Assessment of International Experiences with Auction Restrictions*, Analysis Group, Inc. (July 2013) (hereinafter “Earle & Sosa”), attached to Ex Parte Presentation of Mobile Future, GN Docket No. 12-268 & WT Docket No. 12-269 (July 31, 2013).

⁶ See more detail on Thai mobile market development from Srinuan et al. (2011).

on concession on 1800 MHz. It started the mobile business with Orange⁷, French mobile operator, in 2002.

The concession agreements as well as Telecommunications business Acts (2001) allow private operators providing mobile services until the agreements are ended. The right over radio frequency using by those mobile operators will be terminated to hands of NBTC when the concession agreements are finished (Srinuan et al, 2013).

Though True Mobile entered the market later than AIS and DTAC, its concession agreement was ended the first in September 2013. The other concessions are due in 2015 and 2018 respectively. True Mobile could temporarily use this spectrum band for one year due to the auction had not taken place before the date of expiration. AIS also got the same scheme but a shorter duration. NBTC recently has announced that the spectrum from DTAC's concession will be auctioned in August 2018, one month before the expiration.

Emergence of mobile licenses

After the establishment of the NTC (NTC, the former of NBTC) in late 2004, licensing scheme is started to implement in every telecommunications service. NTC attempted to issue 3G licenses on 2100 MHz band. The first attempt was in 2010. There were four licenses where would be allocated to one 15 MHz license and three 10 MHz licenses. The initial bidding price was set at 12.8 billion baht. Though the auction day was set on September 20, 2010, the auction was cancelled by the administrative court's order a few days before the scheduled date. The main reason of the court order is a lack authority of the NTC. Therefore, the allocation of 3G spectrum should wait until the authorized organization, the National Broadcasting and Telecommunications Commission, NBTC, is established (Srinuan et al., 2012).

The second effort was promoted after the NBTC was established in 2012. The auction design in this round was somewhat different from the first attempt. Spectrum cap was set at 15 MHz for

⁷ After a few years of operation, Orange sold its share back to True Corporation.

each license. The reserved price was at 4.5 billion baht per 5 MHz (TeleGeography, 2012)⁸. All existing private mobile operators obtain the 3G licenses.

In 2014 and 2015, the NBTC organized two separate auctions for 1800 MHz with 2 licenses of 2x15 MHz and 900 MHz with 2 licenses of 2x10 MHz respectively. In these events, JAS Mobile participated in both events and won one license of 900 MHz, but it failed to pay the first installment. The military government got involved on, then the NBTC could redo an auction for 900 MHz later 2016. AIS was an only participant in the latest auction and it obtains a left-over spectrum package at the price of JAS mobile should pay.

Table 1. Mobile operator, subscribers, spectrum bands and holding

| Operator (1 st year of operation) | Subscribers in Mil. at the end of 2017 (Shares) | Frequency band (Expired year) | Spectrum holding (MHz) |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| AIS (1986) | 25.88 (43.9%) | 2100 MHz (2027) | 2x15 |
| | | 1800 MHz (2033) | 2x15 |
| | | 900 MHz (2031) | 2x10 |
| DTAC (1987) | 14.91 (25.3%) | 900 MHz* (2018) | 2x10 |
| | | 1800 MHz* (2018) | 2x45 |
| | | 2100 MHz (2027) | 2x15 |
| True Mobile (2002) | 16.98 (28.8%) | 2100 MHz (2027) | 2x15 |
| | | 1800 MHz (2033) | 2x15 |
| | | 900 MHz (2031) | 2x10 |

⁸ <http://www.telecomasia.net/content/nbtc-cut-spectrum-cap-prevent-duopoly>

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------|------|
| TOT3G (2002) | 0.06 (0.1%) | 2100 MHz (2027) | 2x15 |
| | | 2300 MHz** (2025) | 60 |
| CAT MY (2010) | 1.12 (1.9%) | 850 MHz (2025) | 2x15 |

Source: Office of NBTC and compiled by authors

Note: *this spectrum band was granted to DTAC by mean of concession from CAT.

** TDD spectrum

Market structure and spectrum holding

Mobile market structure in Thailand is somewhat different from other countries. Private companies play an important role in this market. All participants have foreign ownership involve. AIS, a Singaporean own operator, gains highest market share and obtain 80 MHz of spectrum in 2100 MHz, 1800 MHz and 900 MHz. DTAC who owned by Telenor, Norwegian state enterprise, has 25.3% share of the market. It used to be the second largest in term of market for a few decades. DTAC holds 30 MHz of spectrum through 2100 MHz license. It has over 100 MHz spectrum via concession expiring by September 2018. This puts DTAC in pressure and it just losses its competitive advantage to the follower, True Mobile, due to fierce competition. True Mobile has become the second rank in this market. It earns almost 30% of market share and has the same amount of spectrum with AIS. It also has an agreement to rent out CAT's mobile capacity through MVNO agreement. It is no surprise that two unfinished privatized stated-owned companies have very less impact to the market. TOT owns 90 MHz of spectrum in 2100 MHz and 2300 MHz bands, however, its market is less than 0.5%. CAT is also in a similar position with a slightly better market with 30 MHz spectrum holding (See Table 1).

By the end of 2017, total amount of spectrum in Thai mobile market is 420 MHz. DTAC owned 33.33% of it. However, 80% of its current spectrum will be returned and auction by August 2018. TOT, a state-owned enterprise who gains less than 1% market share, has 90 MHz. AIS and

True Mobile have the same amount of spectrum at 80 MHz. CAT has the smallest amount of spectrum (see Table 2).

Table 2 Spectrum holding for each mobile operator by the end of 2017

| Operator | 850 MHz | 900 MHz | 1800 MHz | 2100 MHz | 2300 MHz | Total |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| AIS | - | 20 | 30 | 30 | - | 80 |
| DTAC | - | 20* | 90* | 30 | - | 140 |
| True Mobile | 30 | 20 | 30 | 30 | - | 80 |
| TOT | - | - | - | 30 | 60 | 90 |
| CAT | | - | - | - | - | 30 |
| Total | 30 | 60 | 150 | 120 | 60 | 420 |

Source: NBTC

Note: * will be expired and return back for auction

Market and operators' performance

Mobile subscriber in Thailand is growing in the past few years. The number increases from 80 million subscribers at the end of 2012 to 120 million subscribers by the end of 2017. With the same growth, it will reach almost 130 million by 2018 (see Figure 1).




Figure 1 Unique Subscribers and Mobile Penetration

Source: NBTC and Authors

Note: CAGR is annual calculation. Sim register is required for all people in 2015

Mobile data usage is growing along with mobile subscriber growth. A mobile subscriber, on average, spent less than one Gigabytes per month at the end of 2012, however, it reached 7 Gigabytes per month within five years and it continues grows (see Figure 2). A significant growth of data consumption in recent years may come from tremendous demand on streaming contents as well as




Figure 2 Average Data per Unique Subscriber

Source: NBTC and Authors

Note: CAGR is annual calculation.

Implementation of spectrum cap and spectrum release plan

Thailand first implementation of spectrum cap was in the 2100 MHz auction, so call event-related cap⁹. NBTC implemented a spectrum cap of 15 MHz out of 45 MHz available. This was resulted in each existing private mobile operator earns 15 MHz each. In the later auctions, NBTC also implemented event-related cap. In 1800 MHz auction in 2016, There were 30 MHz spectrum available. NBTC divided into two blocks, 15 MHz each, and allowed each bidder could bid only one block. Similar setting was implemented again in 900 MHz in 2016 auction. 20 MHz spectrum was divided into two blocks and 10 MHz each.

Spectrum release plan 2020 has been implicitly taken place. Various spectrum holders will both voluntary and forced return some amount of spectrum back to the NBTC. DTAC, for example, will hand 100 MHz spectrum of 900 and 1800 MHz back, but only 90 MHz of 1800 MHz will be auction in 2018. The rest will be on hold for high speed rail communication where it needs field

⁹ Arthur D Little (2009) categorized a few spectrum caps where the NRAs have implemented.

trail ensuring no significant interference will be made. NBTC also expects to do spectrum refarming of 2600 MHz from a dominant broadcaster, MCOT in 2019. In addition, digital switch off will be complete by the end of 2019 and some digital TV broadcasters will return their licenses as well as spectrum back to the NBTC. Hence 90 MHz of 700 MHz band will be available for mobile services in 2020.

Table 3. Spectrum release plan 2020

(Unit: MHz)

| Current Holder | Broadcasters | DTAC | | MCOT/PRD |
|----------------|--------------|---------|----------|---------------------|
| Year/Band | 700 MHz | 900 MHz | 1800 MHz | 2600 MHz |
| 2018 | - | 2x5** | 2x45 | - |
| 2019 | | | | 2x70*** + 40**** |
| 2020 | 2x45* | 90 | | |

Source: NBTC

Note: * depending on digital TV switch over plan and negotiations
 ** The original amount is 2x10, however, it will be allocated to State Railway of Thailand at 2x5 MHz for high speed rail project. Another 2x5 MHz is on hold for implementing field trial on interference, *** FDD, **** TDD

4. Methodology and Findings

Scenarios

This paper employs scenario analysis to evaluate the impacts of different set spectrum caps on mobile operators' performance in particular spectrum usage efficiency. There are a few assumptions in the scenario analysis. The first assumption is that the NBTC will impose no set-aside in next auctions. Next, the amount of 1800 MHz, which will take place soon in 2018, will be allocated equally to three existing mobile operators. The third one is spectrum holding is counted by right to use rather than actual owned spectrum. The analysis also assume that market structure remains unchanged and the growth rate of mobile subscribers and data traffic are at three year annual average (CAGR 2015 - 2017). Two scenarios are constructed as following;

Scenario 1 (Event-related cap)

This scenario is used as a base case. Most of NRAs, includes NBTC, usually implement event-related cap in an event of auction. NBTC applies this spectrum cap since its first auction in 2012. In this scenario, 700 MHz band is allocated to three existing mobile operators equally. True Mobile obtains 170 MHz out of 490 MHz. DTAC and AIS gain 150 and 140 MHz, respectively (see Table 4).

Table 4 Spectrum holding for each mobile operator by implementing event-related cap

| Operator | 700 MHz | 850 MHz | 900 MHz | 1800 MHz | 2100 MHz | 2300 MHz | Total |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| AIS | 30 | - | 20 | 60 | 30 | - | 140 |
| DTAC | 30 | - | - | 30 | 30 | 60 | 150 |
| True Mobile | 30 | 30 | 20 | 60 | 30 | - | 170 |
| TOT | - | - | - | - | 30 | - | 30 |
| CAT | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Total | 90 | 30 | 40 | 150 | 120 | 60 | 490 |

Source: NBTC and authors

Note: Spectrum refers to right to use with license or concession. Amount of spectrum is already calculated in 2x X MHz for FDD bands except 2300 MHz.

Scenario 2 (40% sub-1GHz cap)

Spectrum bands which are sub-1GHz bands are also known coverage band. Bands includes 450 MHz, 700 MHz, and 900 MHz. These bands are normally scarce resource and high demand. Mobile operators will invest less amount of money in rolling out their network if they could gain these bands. Many NRAs have set sub-1 GHz cap recently and they tend to cap between 30% - 40% vary by markets. This paper employs 40% sub-1GHz cap as another scenario to show the maximum impact on mobile operators' performance. 700 MHz band is divided to AIS (30 MHz) and DTAC (60 MHz) in this scenario. As a result, DTAC obtains 180 MHz, while True Mobile has 140 MHz.

Table 5 Spectrum holding for each mobile operator by implementing 40% sub-1HGz Caps

| Operator | 700 MHz | 850 MHz | 900 MHz | 1800 MHz | 2100 MHz | 2300 MHz | Total |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| AIS | 30 | - | 20 | 60 | 30 | - | 140 |
| DTAC | 60 | - | - | 30 | 30 | 60 | 180 |
| True Mobile | - | 30 | 20 | 60 | 30 | - | 140 |
| TOT | - | - | - | - | 30 | - | 30 |
| CAT | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Total | 90 | 30 | 40 | 150 | 120 | 60 | 490 |

Source: NBTC and authors

Note: Spectrum refers to right to use with license or concession. Amount of spectrum is already calculated in 2x X MHz for FDD bands except 2300 MHz.

Mobile operators' performance indicators

Six indicators of mobile operators' performance will be employed in the analysis. Indicators consist of:

- A. Share of mobile traffic
- B. Share of subscribers
- C. Share of spectrum
- D. Data share per spectrum share ratio
- E. Subscriber share per spectrum share ratio
- F. Data share per subscriber share ratio

The first three indicators represent structure of market, while the last three parameters represent performance of mobile operator. Market share of a mobile operator will be calculated by share of mobile traffic and share of subscribers (A and B). Market share can also determine by share of spectrum holding (C). Data share to spectrum share ratio (D), subscriber share to spectrum share

ratio (E), and data share per subscriber share ratio (F) are created to compare mobile operators' efficiency.

Findings

For both scenarios, AIS has the same amount of spectrum share, while True Mobile and DTAC are in the second rank of scenario 1 and 2, respectively. The Scenario 2 produces a better result in term of spectrum efficiency. It suggests that imposing 40% sub-1GHz cap tends give a mobile operator efficiency in using spectrum. It is, in fact, contradict with the hypothesis that more intervention will produce a worse outcome. However, in the case of Thailand, NBTC has intervene by using spectrum cap since the first auction in 2012. The later auctions also limited the amount of spectrum where bidders could bid. This also implies that an efficiency use in spectrum may be a long term outcome since this market is treated by spectrum cap where three existing mobile operators could have almost the same amount of spectrum to produce mobile services. Hence, higher degree of intervention, i.e. 40% sub-1GHz cap, will strengthen an efficiency in spectrum usage.

From the analysis, AIS, who has least percentage of spectrum share (but highest market share in term of mobile data and subscribers) is the most efficient in using of spectrum in both scenarios. This may suggest that in the market where spectrum cap is seriously implemented. The largest mobile operator needs to plan their business carefully in order to handle the limitation it would face.

Table 6 Scenarios' results

| Operator | Share of mobile data (%) | | Share of subscribers (%) | | Share of spectrum (%) | | Data share per spectrum share ratio* | | Subscriber share per spectrum share ratio** | | Data share per subscriber share ratio*** | |
|----------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| AIS | 41.70 | 41.70 | 43.65 | 43.65 | 28.57 | 28.57 | 2.29 | 2.75 | 1.89 | 2.27 | 2.29 | 1.21 |
| DTAC | 19.48 | 19.48 | 24.69 | 24.69 | 30.61 | 36.73 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| True Mobile | 38.82 | 38.82 | 29.66 | 29.66 | 34.69 | 28.57 | 1.76 | 2.56 | 1.06 | 1.54 | 1.76 | 1.66 |

Source: Calculation

Note: 1 = Scenario 1, 2 = Scenario 2

* Data share per spectrum share ratio is calculated by dividing share of mobile data with share of spectrum and normalize by using the mobile operator who provides the lower figure.

** Subscriber share per spectrum share ratio is calculated by dividing share of subscribers with share of spectrum and normalize by using the mobile operator who provides the lower figure.

*** Data share per subscriber share ratio is calculated by dividing share of mobile data with share of subscriber and normalize by using the mobile operator who provides the lower figure.

5. Conclusion

Thailand is a country where spectrum cap in particular an event-related has been implemented since its first spectrum auction. A scenario analysis has been conducted in order to display the impacts of different setting of spectrum caps. The results show that 40% sub-1GHz cap produces a better output in term of spectrum efficiency. It is somehow contrast with other studies. However, this may result from a long term implementation of spectrum cap. Mobile operator needs to prepare itself to handle the limitation of spectrum.

References

- Cave, M. (2009). Anti-Competitive Behaviour in Spectrum Markets. *TPRC Conference*.
- Cave, M., & Webb, W. (2013). Spectrum Limits and Auction Revenue: the European. Sprint Corporation.
- Cramton, P. (2001). Spectrum Auctions. (M. Cave, S. Majumdar, & I. Vogelsanf, Eds.) *Handbook of Telecommunications Economics*.
- Cramton, P. (2013). The Rationale for Spectrum Limits and Their Impact on Auction Outcomes *FCC*. Retrieved from <https://ecfsapi.fcc.gov/file/7520942733.pdf>
- Cramton, P., Kwerel, E., Rosston, G., & Skrzypacz, A. (2011). Using Spectrum Auction to Enhance Competition in Wireless Services. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 54.
- Earle, R., Sosa, D. (2013) Spectrum Auctions Around the World: An Assessment of International Experiences with Auction Restrictions, Analysis Group, Inc. (July 2013) attached to Ex Parte Presentation of Mobile Future, GN Docket No. 12-268 & WT Docket No. 12-269 (July 31, 2013).
- Little, A. (2009). *Mobile Broadband, Competition and spectrum cap*. GSM Association.
- Mobile Europe (2017). *Ofcom imposes spectrum cap on UK market, leaves Three fuming*. Retrive from <https://www.mobileeurope.co.uk/press-wire/ofcom-imposes-spectrum-cap-on-uk-market-leaves-three-fuming>
- Nichiforov-Chuang, D. (2015). *Spectrum for new entrants, lessons learned*. GSMA Intelligence.
- Office of NBTC. (n.d.). Name of Telecommunication Licensee. Retrieved May 24, 2018, from รายชื่อผู้ได้รับใบอนุญาต ประกอบกิจการโทรคมนาคม: <http://apps.nbt.go.th/license/>
- Office of NBTC. (n.d.). Telecommunication Industry Database. Retrieved May 24, 2018, from ฐานข้อมูลอุตสาหกรรมโทรคมนาคม: <http://ttid.nbt.go.th/main.html>
- Office of NBTC. (n.d.). Telecommunication Market Report. Retrieved May 24, 2018, from รายงาน สภาพตลาดโทรคมนาคม: <http://www.nbt.go.th/Business/commu/%E0%B8%81%E0%B8%88%E0%B8%81%E0%B8%B2%E0%B8%A3%E0%B9%82%E0%B8%97%E0%B8%A3%E0%B8%84%E0%B8%A1%E0%B8%99%E0%B8%B2%E0%B8%84%E0%B8%A1/%E0%B8%82%E0>

%B9%89%E0%B8%AD%E0%B8%A1%E0%B8%B9%E0%B8%A5%E0%B9%80%E0
%B8%9C%E0%B8%A2%E0%B9%81%

Srinuan, C., Srinuan, P. and Bohlin, E. (2012), “An analysis of mobile Internet access in Thailand: Implications for bridging the digital divide”, *Telematics and Informatics*, 29 (3), 254-262.

Srinuan,P. Annafari, M.A and Bohlin,E. (2011), “ An analysis of switching behavior in the Thai cellular market”, *Info*, 13(4), 61-74.

Srinuan, Madden, Bohlin, and Srinuan (2013). An analysis of mobile number portability effects on customer switching behavior in Thai mobile service. ITS Perth Conference

Annex

| Operator | Below 1 GHz | | Above 1 GHz | | Total | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 |
| AIS | 50 (31.25%) | 50 (31.25%) | 90 (27.27%) | 90 (27.27%) | 140 (28.57%) | 140 (28.57%) |
| DTAC | 30 (18.75%) | 60 (37.50%) | 120 (36.36%) | 120 (36.36%) | 150 (30.61%) | 180 (36.73%) |
| True Mobile | 80 (50.00%) | 50 (31.25%) | 90 (27.7%) | 90 (27.7%) | 170 (34.69%) | 140 (28.57%) |
| TOT | - | - | 30 (9.09%) | 30 (9.09%) | 30 (6.12%) | 30 (6.21%) |
| CAT | - | - | - | - | - | - |

