

Zi, Yuan

**Working Paper**

## Trade liberalization and the hukou system of the People's Republic of China: How migration frictions can amplify the unequal gains from trade

ADB Working Paper, No. 887

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Asian Development Bank Institute (ADBI), Tokyo

*Suggested Citation:* Zi, Yuan (2018) : Trade liberalization and the hukou system of the People's Republic of China: How migration frictions can amplify the unequal gains from trade, ADB Working Paper, No. 887, Asian Development Bank Institute (ADBI), Tokyo

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/190308>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/>



**ADB Working Paper Series**

**TRADE LIBERALIZATION AND THE *HUKOU* SYSTEM  
OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA:  
HOW MIGRATION FRICTIONS CAN AMPLIFY  
THE UNEQUAL GAINS FROM TRADE**

---

Yuan Zi

No. 887  
November 2018

**Asian Development Bank Institute**

Yuan Zi is assistant professor at the University of Oslo, Norway.

The views expressed in this paper are the views of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of ADBI, ADB, its Board of Directors, or the governments they represent. ADBI does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper and accepts no responsibility for any consequences of their use. Terminology used may not necessarily be consistent with ADB official terms.

Working papers are subject to formal revision and correction before they are finalized and considered published.

The Working Paper series is a continuation of the formerly named Discussion Paper series; the numbering of the papers continued without interruption or change. ADBI's working papers reflect initial ideas on a topic and are posted online for discussion. Some working papers may develop into other forms of publication.

The Asian Development Bank recognizes "China" as the People's Republic of China.

In this report, "\$" refers to United States dollars.

Suggested citation:

Zi, Y. 2018. Trade Liberalization and the *Hukou* System of the People's Republic of China: How Migration Frictions Can Amplify the Unequal Gains from Trade. ADBI Working Paper 887. Tokyo: Asian Development Bank Institute. Available: <https://www.adb.org/publications/trade-liberalization-and-hukou-system-prc>

Please contact the authors for information about this paper.

Email: [yuan.zi@econ.uio.no](mailto:yuan.zi@econ.uio.no), [yuanzi.economics@gmail.com](mailto:yuanzi.economics@gmail.com)

I thank the Economics Department of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) for their hospitality during my visit as a doctoral researcher, as well as the Sociology Department of Peking University and Renmin University of the People's Republic of China for providing me with access to some of the data used in this paper.

Asian Development Bank Institute  
Kasumigaseki Building, 8th Floor  
3-2-5 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku  
Tokyo 100-6008, Japan

Tel: +81-3-3593-5500  
Fax: +81-3-3593-5571  
URL: [www.adbi.org](http://www.adbi.org)  
E-mail: [info@adbi.org](mailto:info@adbi.org)

© 2018 Asian Development Bank Institute

**Abstract**

The emergence of the People's Republic of China (PRC) as a great economic power has stimulated an epochal shift in patterns of world trade, accompanied by a remarkable level of internal migration within the country. Hundreds of millions of Chinese workers have moved from inland areas to coastal cities, contributing to the PRC's manufacturing growth and export surges. However, alongside this significant reallocation in labor and increased economic growth, the redistribution of the gains from trade has been extremely limited due to the PRC's household registration system (*hukou*). By prohibiting migrant workers from accessing various social benefits in their actual cities of residence, urban areas experiencing positive trade shocks may receive smaller migration inflows. At the worker level, a larger proportion of the gains accrues to workers holding local hukou, and hence the uneven regional gains from trade are translated to uneven gains across people holding different types of hukou. At the national level, the hukou system has prevented the country from achieving the optimal spatial adjustments of labor to trade shocks.

**Keywords:** trade liberalization, spatial labor reallocation, hukou frictions, migration

**JEL Classification:** F11, F13, F16, R23, O15

## Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
2.	THE TRADE AND HUKOU REFORMS OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. 3	
2.1	Trade Liberalization in the People's Republic of China: Before and After the WTO .....	3
2.2	The Hukou System .....	4
2.3	Exogeneity of Trade Liberalization .....	6
2.4	Tariff Reductions, Trade Surge, and Employment Changes .....	7
3.	MEASUREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS.....	9
3.1	Empirical Specification .....	12
3.2	Empirical Results.....	13
4.	WELFARE QUANTIFICATIONS .....	16
5.	COUNTERFACTUAL HUKOU ABOLISHMENT.....	19
6.	CONCLUSION .....	24
	REFERENCES .....	25

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of the People's Republic of China (PRC) as a great economic power has stimulated an epochal shift in patterns of world trade, in contradiction to the conventional wisdom regarding the impact of trade on labor markets in developed countries (Autor, Dorn, and Hanson 2016). The global effects of the PRC's trade and economic growth has been widely documented (Autor, Dorn, and Hanson 2013; Bugamelli, Fabiani, and Sette 2015; Balsvik, Jensen, and Salvanes 2015; Giovanni, Levchenko, and Zhang 2014; Hsieh and Ossa 2011), reshaping our understanding of the consequences of trade for wages, unemployment and other labor market outcomes.

On the other hand, equally significant transformations can be identified within the PRC itself, including the remarkable degree of internal migration occurring within the country. Hundreds of millions of Chinese workers have moved from inland areas to coastal cities, contributing to the PRC's manufacturing growth and export surges. However, the extent to which they have benefited from the country's trade liberalization remains less clear: migrant workers are usually treated as second-class citizens and are prevented from accessing various social benefits provided at the local level due to the country's unique household registration system (*hukou*). Does the *hukou* system contribute to the unequal distribution of gains from trade? Does this labor market distortion prevent the PRC from fully reaping the gains from trade reforms? These are relevant policy questions that require empirical underpinning.

From a theoretical point of view, trade liberalization is often considered an important driver of economic development, as it can raise a country's income through increasing specialization in sectors with a comparative advantage, providing access to cheap foreign inputs, and facilitating the adoption of new technologies. However, prominent trade theories typically focus on long-run equilibria, assuming that the reallocation of resources across economic activities is frictionless. However, in reality, factor adjustments tend to be slow, costly, and heterogeneous across firms, sectors, and space. As long as some production factors are spatially immobile and trade is not frictionless, the extent to which a country can gain from trade is ultimately contingent on labor mobility. This point has long been recognized but has become increasingly emphasized by trade and labor economists, as we find more and more evidence regarding the adverse impact of trade shocks on labor market outcomes.

Nevertheless, demonstrating this point empirically is not easy. First, it is very difficult to find a clear measure of migration friction, as most factors or policy shocks affect both goods and people at the same time. Second, according to most studies, internal migration reacts negligibly to trade shocks. Some indirect evidence exists that labor immobility can explain a large proportion of the negative impact of trade,<sup>1</sup> but owing to the aforementioned difficulties, a direct test remains missing. I exploit the PRC's liberalization episode following its accession to the World Trade Organization (WTO) to test how its internal migration reacts to trade shocks. Using a *hukou* friction measure constructed in Zi (2016), I shed light on the interaction between trade and migration frictions.

---

<sup>1</sup> See for instance, (Autor, Dorn, and Hanson 2013; Topalova 2010; Dix-Carneiro and Kovak 2017) for the case of the United States (US), India, and Brazil, respectively.

Drawing on a rich dataset that I assembled on the PRC's regional economy, I find that prefectures that experience more positive trade shocks have seen a relative increase in employment, and the effect is strongest in provinces with a lower amount of hukou friction. A prefecture at the 75th percentile of effective tariff exposure experiences a 3.4 percentage points greater employment increase (or smaller decrease) than a prefecture at the 25th percentile. In a prefecture with the lowest amount of hukou friction, the effect is three times larger than the average effect. On average, over 30% of the regional variation in employment changes can be attributed to trade liberalization. Moreover, the total population and the working age population of prefectures react to trade shocks and their interaction with the hukou measure in a quantitatively similar way to employment, suggesting that the observed regional employment changes are primarily driven by interregional labor adjustments. Direct focus on migration flows yields similar results. Most importantly, I only find that trade shocks result in increases in the population holding local hukou in prefectures where hukou frictions are low. This result suggests that in spite of labor mobility between prefectures, migrant workers can only obtain a local hukou in prefectures with less stringent hukou systems. This supports the validity of my hukou measure and confirms the existence of hukou frictions.

Although the focus of this paper is on the PRC, the message and policy implications are not limited to this country. According to the 2013 World Population Policies (United Nations 2013), 60% of governments in the world desired a major change in their countries' spatial labor distribution and 80% of these countries had policies in place to influence internal migration. In general, gaining a greater understanding of when and where trade is costly and how various domestic frictions shape the impact of trade on workers/individuals/households of different groups is central to the research agenda of trade economists. Implementing effective policies to eliminate or mitigate these frictions and effectively targeting the most adversely affected individuals should represent a salient issue to policy makers and applied economists.

The content of this paper is based on the analysis of Zi (2018). I begin by discussing the PRC's trade reforms since the late 1970s and their acceleration following the country's accession to the WTO. I then provide a detailed description of the PRC's various hukou reforms. In the following section, I identify the trade shocks and migration frictions embedded in the hukou system at the local level, present evidence regarding the ways in which trade shocks have stimulated a substantial degree of spatial labor reallocation in the PRC, as well as how regional hukou frictions influence this effect. I subsequently offer a simple conceptual framework that guides our inquiry on measuring and interpreting the welfare impacts of the observed labor reallocation. Finally, I present welfare calculations from Zi (2018), demonstrating how the hukou system has prevented optimal spatial adjustments of labor to trade shocks in the PRC, and how this in turn amplifies the negative distributional consequences of trade.

## 2. THE TRADE AND HUKOU REFORMS OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

### 2.1 Trade Liberalization in the People's Republic of China: Before and After the WTO

Prior to its economic reform in the early 1980s, the average tariff level in the PRC was 56%.<sup>2</sup> This tariff schedule was implemented in 1950, with almost no change since, partly due to the relative unimportance of trade policy under the centrally planned economy. Under the planned economy, import and export quantities represented governmental decisions rather than reflections of market supply and demand (Ianchovichina and Martin 2001). During this period, Chinese trade was run by 10 to 16 foreign-trade corporations that were de facto monopolies in their specified product ranges (Lardy 1991).

In 1982, the PRC commenced its first tariff modification, and gradually reduced its average tariff by 13% in the following five years. From 1992 onwards, in order to pave the way for its accession to the WTO, Beijing engaged in a series of voluntary tariff cuts on over 5,000 products, driving the simple average tariff down from 43% in 1992 to 24% in 1996 (Li 2013).

However, these episodes of tariff reductions were accompanied by pervasive and complex import and export controls. Import quotas, licenses, designated trading practices and other non-tariff barriers were widely used (Blancher and Rumbaugh 2004). There was also a substantial level of tariff redundancy resulting from various preferential arrangements. To name a few, imports for processing purposes, for military uses, by special economic zones (SEZs) and in certain areas near the Chinese border were subject to waivers or reductions in import duties. According to Ianchovichina and Martin (2001), only 40% of imports were subject to official tariffs. In addition, the Chinese renminbi (RMB) depreciated by more than 60% in the 1980s, and further by 44% in 1994 to help firms export (Li 2013). As a result, changes in tariff duties do not fully reflect the changes in actual protection faced by Chinese firms or the accessibility of imported inputs during these periods.

In 1996, the government implemented substantial reforms that removed most of restrictive non-tariff barriers to fulfill the preconditions of WTO accession. Trade licenses, special import arrangements, and discriminatory policies against foreign goods were reduced or eliminated to render tariffs the primary instruments of protection. The share of all imports subject to licensing requirements fell from a peak of 46% in the late 1980s to less than 4% of all commodities by the time the PRC entered the WTO. The state abolished import substitution lists and authorized tens of thousands of companies to engage in foreign trade transactions, undermining the monopoly powers of state trading companies for all but a handful of commodities. The transformation was similarly far-reaching on the export side (Lardy 2005). The duty-free policy on imports for personal use by SEZs was gradually abolished in the 1990s, and preferential duty in Tibet was abolished in 2001. Moreover, the PRC also abolished, modified or added over a thousand national regulations and policies. At the regional level, more than 3,000 administrative regulations and about 188,000 policy measures implemented by provincial and municipal governments were ceased (Li 2011).

---

<sup>2</sup> This is the 1982 unweighted average tariff documented by Blancher and Rumbaugh (2004).



From 2001, phased tariff reductions were implemented following the PRC's WTO accession, with the goal of reducing both the average tariff levels and the dispersion of tariffs across industries. In 2000, the PRC's simple average applied tariff was 17%, with a standard deviation across the HS6 products of 12%. By the end of 2005, the average tariff level was reduced to 6% and the standard deviation had almost halved. The average tariff level stabilized after 2005.<sup>3</sup>

## 2.2 The Hukou System

A hukou is a household registration record that identifies a person as a resident of a particular area in China. It officially identifies a person as a resident of an area in the PRC and determines where he or she is officially allowed to live. The PRC introduced its hukou system in the early 1950s to harmonize the old household registration systems across regions. However, under the centrally planned economy, economic resources were mostly devoted to urban areas, as the government hoped to extract the country's agricultural economic surplus to fuel urban industrialization. This uneven allocation of resources led to a massive influx of migrants into the main cities, which in turn resulted in substantial unemployment in urban areas whilst threatening agricultural production in rural areas (Kinnan, Wang, and Wang 2015). As a result, the hukou system was soon repurposed to restrict both interregional and rural-to-urban migration. In 1958, the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress adopted the Household Registration Regulations. According to the regulation, citizens could only apply to move after the registration authority had granted them the local hukou. From then on, the PRC entered an era with strict internal migration controls, with the hukou being at the center of the migration control system.

By the end of the 1950s, free migration became extremely rare. Migrant workers would require six passes to work in provinces other than their own. Moreover, rural-to-urban migrants would have to adhere to the above restrictions and also first acquire an urban hukou, the annual quota of which was 0.15% to 0.2% of the non-agricultural population of each city (Cheng 2007). Under the central planning system, coupons for consumption goods, employment, housing, education, healthcare and other social benefits were entirely allocated based on local hukou, and urban dwellers without local hukou would be fined, arrested and deported. Thus, it was impossible for people to work and live outside their authorized domain (Cheng and Selden 1994).

In the early 1980s, the PRC latched onto a labor-intensive, export-oriented development strategy that created increasingly large labor demand in cities. Accordingly, migration policy began to relax over time. In 1984, the State Council allowed rural populations to reside in villages with self-sustained staples. In the following year, the Ministry of Public Security of the PRC allowed people to migrate freely conditional on applying for a temporary residential permit upon arrival. In 1993, the PRC officially ended the food rationing system and internal migration was no longer limited by hukou-based consumption coupons. Gradually, the distinction between rural and urban hukou also became less important (Bosker, Brakman, Garretsen, and Schramm 2012). The rural-to-urban migration quotas were officially abolished in 1997; for many cities and towns, the rural/urban distinction of the hukou type was also eliminated (Chan 2009).


---

<sup>3</sup> All numbers are calculated using the simple average of MFN applied tariffs at the HS6 level from the United Nation's TRAINS database.

Nevertheless, the hukou system continues to serve as the primary instrument for regulating interregional migration. Certain cities have limited capacity for large quantities of labor due to historical or environmental issues, and so they continue to seek to keep migration under tight control; some regions close to national borders or that contain large proportions of ethnic minority groups are also sensitive to migration inflows, largely due to stability concerns. In addition, without fiscal transfers from the central government, prefectures generally have very little incentive to provide public services to migrant workers. Consequently, discrimination against migrant workers on the basis of hukou status remains widespread. Individuals who do not have a local hukou in the place where they live are not able to access certain jobs, schooling, subsidized housing, healthcare and other benefits enjoyed by those who do. As a result, the ease of obtaining a local hukou still influences one's migration decisions to a considerable extent.

Importantly, as part of a contemporaneous reform devolving fiscal and administrative powers to lower-level governments, local governments have largely acquired the authority to determine the number of hukou to issue in their jurisdictions. Since 1992, some provinces have introduced temporary resident permits for anyone with a legitimate job or business in one of their major cities, and some grant hukou to high-skilled professionals or businesspeople who make large investments in their region (Kinnan, Wang, and Wang 2015). The most significant change is the introduction of two types of residential registration, the so-called temporary residential permit and the blue-stamp hukou. Unlike the regular hukou, these are not administered by the central government; instead, their design and implementation are determined by local governments. While the temporary resident permit can be issued to anyone who has a legitimate job or business in the city, citizens who want a blue-stamp hukou are usually required to pay a one-time entry fee called the urban infrastructural construction fee, which varies between a few thousand RMB in small cities and 50,000 Chinese RMB in more "attractive" cities. However, the stringency of these policies and general hukou issuing rules differ significantly across regions. For instance, it is notoriously difficult to obtain a hukou in Beijing or Shanghai, while Dongguan, a coastal city in Guangdong province, offers relatively generous granting rules to attract low-skilled migrants for its booming manufacturing sectors. It is this heterogeneity in hukou-granting practices that provides variation in the hukou friction measure.

The aforementioned practices resulted in formal hukou reform, launched by the central government in 1997. The major aspects of the reform included officially abolishing the rural-to-urban migration quotas and approving the selective migration policies in cities. Following an experimental period, a national implementation of the reform began in 2001. However, this reform, which is largely an affirmation of local policies that were already in place, has been largely put on hold since mid-2002 due to stability concerns (Wang 2004). According to Chan and Buckingham (2008), it only had a small impact in facilitating internal migration. In spite of the general increase in the number of migrants in the country during the last quarter-century, the annual number of hukou migrants recorded by the Ministry of Public Security remained stable between 1992 to 2008 (Chan 2013). In 2011, "a hukou reform" was mentioned again in the country's Five-Year Plan, but the exact plan only began to take shape in 2014.

**Figure 1: Tariff Changes and Pre-liberalization Tariff Levels**

Notes: This figure plots log tariff changes over the 2000–2005 period against the log 2000 tariff levels. The sectoral tariff is calculated based on the simple average of MFN-applied tariff rates at the HS6 product level from the TRAINS database. Correlation:  $-0.84$ ; regression coefficient:  $-0.43$ ; standard error:  $0.044$ ;  $t$ :  $-9.60$ .

## 2.3 Exogeneity of Trade Liberalization

The validity of the empirical analysis relies on the variation in tariff changes across industries. To draw any causal implications of input trade liberalization, tariff changes must be unrelated to counterfactual industry employment growth. As discussed in Kovak (2013), such a correlation may arise if trade policy makers impose smaller tariff cuts to protect weaker industries, or if larger industries lobby for smaller tariff cuts (Grossman and Helpman 1994).

There are several reasons to believe that these concerns are less important in the case of the PRC. Viewing WTO membership as a means of locking the country on a path of deepening economic reform and openness, the Chinese government has demonstrated a greater desire to open rather than protect its domestic industries (Woo 2001). Additional supporting evidence comes from examining the relationship between tariff cuts and pre-liberalization employment. If policy makers had permitted “stronger” industries to bear larger tariff cuts, industries with higher employment *growth* between 1990 and 2000 would have experienced greater tariff reductions; if large industries lobbied more or were more likely to be protected due to employment concerns, industries with larger employment (in *levels*) in 2000 would have experienced lower tariff cuts. However, I find only marginal and statistically insignificant correlation between tariff changes and pre-WTO industry employment in both *changes* and *levels*, the simple correlations being 0.13 and 0.16, respectively.

**Table 1: Imports and Tariffs**

	Import Values		Varieties		Unit Values	
	All Products	Intermediates	All Products	Intermediates	All Products	Intermediates
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Output tariff	-0.22*** (0.05)	-0.16*** (0.06)	-1.06*** (0.12)	-1.01*** (0.13)	0.02 (0.02)	0.05** (0.02)
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HS6 FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	35,457	26,380	35,457	26,380	33,695	25,193
R-squared	0.08	0.09	0.27	0.29	0.10	0.09
Number of hs2002	5,222	3,904	5,222	3,904	5,124	3,830

Notes: Coefficient on tariffs from HS6 product level regression of log import value, Hs8 variety numbers, unit value on lagged output tariffs, HS6 product fixed effects, and year fixed effects. An observation is HS6-year. The data covers non-processing trade from time period 2000–2006. Robust standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*  $9 < 0.05$ , \*  $p < 0.1$ .

Following the approach of Goldberg and Pavcnik (2005), Figure 1 demonstrates that industries with high tariffs in 2000 experienced the largest tariff cuts, with the correlation between the 2000 tariff levels and the change in tariffs being  $-0.84$ . The fact that the pre-WTO tariff levels largely determined the tariff changes following the PRC's WTO accession implies that the primary goal of policy makers was to reduce tariff rates in general and to smoothen cross-industry variations. This rules out the industry protection and political economy concerns.

Most importantly, even after rounds of voluntary tariff reductions, the Chinese tariff structure in 2000 remained similar to that of 1992,<sup>4</sup> with a correlation of 0.93. On the other hand, the *bound* duties after joining the WTO were largely imposed externally, benchmarking the tariff levels of other WTO members. Unlike in many other developing countries, there is almost no gap between the PRC's *bound* and *applied* duties, and the binding coverage is 100%. This implies that the pre-liberalization tariffs in the PRC were based on a protection structure that was set a decade earlier, while post-liberalization tariffs were externally set. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that tariff reductions between 2000 and 2005 are correlated with counterfactual industry employment changes.

## 2.4 Tariff Reductions, Trade Surge, and Employment Changes


Before analyzing the relationship between input tariff reduction and labor reallocation, I first examine whether the tariff reduction induced by the PRC's WTO accession was systematically related to its trade expansion. To summarize the findings, I find that (1) lower tariffs led to an overall increase in trade values, (2) lower tariffs led to an increase in imports in the number of varieties within HS6 categories, and (3) lower tariffs resulted in lower unit values of existing product lines, with particularly pronounced effects on intermediate products.

These results are summarized in Table (1). I begin by examining the responsiveness of import values to tariffs by regressing the log import value of an HS6 product on HS6 log tariff levels, HS6 fixed effects and year fixed effects. I restrict my analysis to the period 2000–2006, in which I have access to customs data to calculate HS6 product variety numbers. Extending the analysis to the period 2000–2010 yields similar results. For all regressions, I exclude processing trade flows as they are not affected by tariff

<sup>4</sup> 1992 is the earliest year that the Chinese tariff data at the HS6 level are available.

reductions. Column (1) of Table (1) reports the coefficient estimates of tariffs for all sectors, and column (2) for intermediate sectors based on BEC classification. In both cases, we can note that declines in tariffs are associated with higher import values.

**Figure 2: Regional Employment and Import Changes**



Notes: See text for details.

Recent theory also emphasizes the benefits gained by increasing the imported varieties. As we can see from column (3) and (4), this channel also plays a role in our context. I define varieties as the number of distinct HS8 products within a given HS6 product category. I then regress the number of varieties on the tariff, HS6 fixed effects and year fixed effects. For all sectors and only intermediate sectors, a decline in tariffs is associated with an increased number of varieties. Lastly, we examine the impact of tariff reduction on the unit price of imports. The estimation results are presented in columns (5) and (6). Tariff declines are associated with decreases in import unit values, but this relationship is only statistically significant for intermediate sectors. That is, the benefits from trade that occur through increasing imports at a lower price is particularly true of intermediate goods, consistent with the beneficial effects of input tariff reduction on regional employment, which we probe formally in later sections.

Next, we examine the relationship between increased imports and employment changes across Chinese cities. In Figure 2, I plot the log difference between 2000–2010 log employment against the change in logged non-processing imports between 2000–2006 at the prefecture city level. As we can see from Figure 2, cities with larger import increases are also associated with larger increases in regional employment. Combining our examination of the relationships between tariff reductions and trade surges, we are confident that the impact of input tariff reductions on spatial labor reallocation is indeed channeled through changes in trade flows.

### 3. MEASUREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS

#### Local Labor Markets

Throughout the empirical analysis, local labor markets are defined as prefectures. A prefecture is an administrative division of the PRC that ranks below a province and above a county. Given that most regional policies, including the overall planning of public transportation, are conducted at the prefecture level (Xue and Zhang 2001), I expect counties within the same prefecture to have strong commuting ties and to be economically integrated. In order to account for prefecture boundary changes, I use information concerning the administrative division changes published by the Ministry of Civil Affairs of the PRC to create time-consistent county groups based on prefecture boundaries in the year 2000. This results in 337 geographic units, which I describe as prefectures or regions, including four direct-controlled municipalities and 333 prefecture-level divisions that cover the entire PRC. Relative to commuting zones in the United States, the Chinese prefectures are about twice as large on average and 1.5 times the size when the 10 largest (but sparsely populated) prefectures in autonomous regions are excluded.

The empirical analysis in this paper studies 10-year changes in prefecture employment, total and working-age populations, the most recent five-year migrant inflows from other provinces, and the population holding local hukou in each prefecture. I collect these variables at the county level from the Tabulation on Population Census of the PRC by county for the years 2000 and 2010, and then aggregate them to prefectures based on the time-consistent county groups. Notably, the employment measure includes informal workers, the lion's share of whom are migrants.<sup>5</sup> Figure 3 displays the prefecture employment changes in the PRC between 2000 and 2010. I outline provinces in bold and prefectures in dashed lines. The darker prefectures experienced larger employment increases (or smaller decreases). Between 2000 and 2010, the PRC underwent a significant change in its spatial distribution of employment, with some prefectures seeing over a 50% increase in local employment, while others experienced more than a 30% decrease.

#### Regional Trade Shock Exposures

To construct the exposure of local labor markets to *input tariff reductions*, I combine data on regional industry employment with data on tariffs and industry cost shares. Data on regional employment by industry in the year 2000 were collected from the Tabulation on the 2000 Population Census published by each province. The original data are by county and by 92 two-digit 1994 Chinese Standard Industrial Classification (CSIC1994), which I aggregate to prefecture level.<sup>6</sup> I use the simple average of MFN-applied tariffs at the HS6 product level from the United Nation's (UN) TRAINS database to calculate tariff changes. The cost share of each industry is constructed as


---

<sup>5</sup> According to Park, Wu, and Du (2012), informal employment in the PRC is defined either based on (i) whether or not the employer fails to provide all of the three most important types of social insurance that employers are expected to provide in the PRC (i.e., pensions, health insurance and unemployment insurance), or (ii) whether workers have a labor contract. Migrant workers contribute to 49.0% of the informal employment in the PRC under the first definition and 65.7% under the second. The employment data from population census include all informal workers, as long as they engaged in at least one hour of paid work the week before the survey date, or were on leave.

<sup>6</sup> The 2010 employment by industry has many missing values, so I perform all analyses at the regional rather than the region-industry level.

its share of value in the output industry using the 2002 Chinese National IO table.<sup>7</sup> To utilize these various datasets, I also construct a common industry classification, which consists of 71 industries, including five agricultural and 28 non-traded industries.<sup>8</sup>

**Figure 3: Regional Employment Changes**



Notes: 10-year change in log prefecture employment. See text for details.

As is standard in the literature, I measure input tariff cuts ( $\Delta IT$ ) as the input-cost weighted average of tariff reductions:

$$\Delta IT_s = \sum_{k \in K} \alpha_s(k) d \ln(1 + t_k)$$

where  $\alpha_s(k)$  represents the cost share of industry  $s$  due to purchases from industry  $k$ ,  $t_k$  is the tariff rate of industry  $k$ , and  $d$  represents the long-difference (Autor, Dorn, and Hanson framework) between 2000 and 2005. Following Kovak (2013) and Dix-Carneiro and Kovak (2017), I calculate the regional input tariff cuts ( $\Delta RIT$ ) as follows:

$$\Delta RIT_i = \sum_{s \in K} \delta_{is} \Delta IT_s$$

where  $\delta_{is} = \frac{L_{is} \frac{1}{\phi_s}}{\sum_{s \in K} L_{is} \left( \frac{1}{\phi_s} \right)}$ ,  $L_{is}$  is the initial amount of labor allocated to industry  $s$  in region  $i$ , and  $\phi_s$  is one minus the wage bill share of the industry value added. In a

<sup>7</sup> Given that trade liberalization began in 2001, I use the IO table of the closest year. I do so under the assumption that industries' cost structures adjust slowly to trade reforms. I do not use the 1997 IO table for two reasons: first, the 1997 IO table uses an industry classification that is less consistent with employment data; second, it might understate the importance of tradable inputs due to the Asian financial crisis.

<sup>8</sup> The common industry classification is created to achieve the maximum disaggregation between different classifications; the 2002 IO table consists of 122 industries and is coded similarly to the 1994/2002 Chinese Standard Industrial Classification (CSIC1994/CSIC2002).

specific-factor model with a constant returns production function,  $\frac{1}{\phi_s}$  represents the labor demand elasticity (Kovak 2013). The weight  $\delta_{is}$  captures the intuition behind the construction of  $\Delta RIT$ : a prefecture will experience a larger increase in employment if its workers are specialized in industries with large input tariff declines, and more so if these industries are elastic in labor demand. Nevertheless, my empirical results are robust to using a weight that is based on employment only.

I present the results of this calculation in Figure 4, with darker prefectures facing larger input tariff cuts. Evidently, disparities in industry weights across regions generate substantial variations in their exposure to input trade liberalization. The three hubs of the PRC's trade and economic growth, the Bohai Economic Rim, the Yangtze River Delta, and the Pearl River Delta are among the top beneficiaries of input trade liberalization. Western prefectures that are specialized in animal husbandry or basic food processing and manufacturing benefited greatly from tariff cuts in farming industries, and hence also experienced large reductions in regional input tariffs.

Similarly to calculating the regional *input tariff cuts*, I compute regional *output tariff reductions* as a  $\delta_{is}$ -weighted average of industry-specific tariff reductions over the 2000–2005 period. In order to calculate external tariff reductions, I first use Chinese customs data for 2000 to compute prefecture exports and calculate the export share by destination country for each industry and prefecture. I then take the export-share weighted average of the tariff changes across destination countries to obtain prefecture industry-specific tariff reductions. In the final step, I compute the weighted average tariff changes across industries using  $\delta_{is}$  for each prefecture.

### ***The Hukou Measure***

The primary dataset that I use to construct the hukou measure is the 0.095% random sampled data of the Population Census in 2000. The complete dataset covers the entire population of the PRC, and the sample I obtained was randomly drawn at the household level, with a unique identifier linking individuals in the same household. The dataset contains rich individual-level information including one's hukou registration status and migration history in the last five years, from which I can infer the stringency of a prefecture's hukou system based on the likelihood of an individual obtaining a local hukou after settling in that prefecture. In reality, the likelihood of an individual acquiring or being granted a local hukou also depends on various individual characteristics. In order to draw out these effects, I calculate the hukou measure as follows: focusing on individuals who moved between 1995 and 2000 to a prefecture that is not their birthplace,<sup>9</sup> I regress a dummy equal to one if the individual had already obtained a local hukou before November 2000 (when the census was conducted) on age, age squared, gender, ethnicity (Han versus the other), marriage status (ever married), difference in log gross domestic product (GDP) per capita between the migrate-out and migrate-in provinces,<sup>10</sup> migrate-from-rural-areas dummy, migrate-within-province dummy, categorical variables for education and for the years of residence in the current city, and prefecture fixed effects. I then take a simple average of the estimated prefecture fixed effects by province and normalize it from zero to one to obtain the final measure.


<sup>9</sup> In the early 1990s, most internal migration was state-planned, guaranteeing local hukou to migrants. I therefore focus on the most recent five years. The raw dataset contains 1,180,111 observations; given that most people never migrate, the number of observations in my regressions is 62,289.

<sup>10</sup> I obtain GDP per capita data from the 2000 provincial statistical yearbooks. Note that it is important to control for GDP differences, as a migrant from a more developed area might not be willing to switch and acquire a local hukou.



The hukou measure is an *inverse* indicator of migration frictions associated with the hukou system: it equals zero if a province has the most stringent hukou granting practice. Figure 5 presents the estimated calculation. Migrants in darker provinces have a greater chance of obtaining a local hukou than do migrants in lighter provinces. I also list the five most and least difficult provinces to obtain the local hukou. Consistent with common knowledge, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangdong are among the most difficult provinces to obtain a local hukou. In addition, there is no correlation between the GDP per capita of a province and its hukou policy. For instance, Qinghai and Tibet also have very stringent hukou policies, which are more likely driven by limited farming land and political stability concerns.<sup>11</sup> Hukou stringency is not determined by the initial population density of a region either, with some densely populated provinces such as Henan having a rather liberal hukou system, while other densely populated regions like Beijing have a stringent system.

**Figure 5: Province-level Hukou Measure**



Notes: The measure of hukou frictions for each province, with lighter provinces having more stringent hukou systems in 2000. See text for details.

### 3.1 Empirical Specification

Given the regional input tariff cuts and the hukou measure at hand, I estimate the following equations in the next subsection:

$$\Delta Y_i = \beta_1 \Delta \text{RIT}_i + D_p + \mathbf{X}'_1 \gamma + \epsilon_i \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Delta Y_i = \beta_2 \Delta \text{RIT}_i + \beta_3 \Delta \text{RIT}_i * \text{Hukou}_p + D_p + \mathbf{X}'_2 \gamma + \epsilon_i \quad (2)$$

where the second specification explores the heterogeneous regional effect of input tariff reductions depending on the hukou frictions. Here,  $\Delta Y_i$  is the decadal change of the log value of a regional outcome variable such as employment or total population;  $\beta_1$  captures the regional effect of input trade liberalization on the variable of interest during the 2000–2010 period, while  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  represent the heterogeneous impact of

<sup>11</sup> Even in 2016, internal migration remains highly restricted in Tibet, and the police constantly check the identity of migrant workers.

input tariff reductions depending on hukou frictions;  $D_p$  are province-fixed effects, and  $\mathbf{X}$  represents a set of additional controls. In the main specification,  $\mathbf{X}$  includes regional output tariff reductions, external tariff reductions, and the pre-liberalization level of the outcome variable to control for increased import competition, improved market access,<sup>12</sup> and possible mean convergence.  $Hukou_p$  is the hukou friction measure; in the second equation, I also control for its interaction with external and output tariff reductions.

### 3.2 Empirical Results

The impacts of input tariff cuts on the PRC's regional labor adjustments are summarized in Table 2. In columns (1) and (2), I first present the results of regressing employment changes on regional input tariff cuts. The standard errors are clustered at the provincial level, accounting for the possible covariance between the error terms across prefectures within the same province. Regressions are weighted by the log of the employment in the initial period. As we can see from column (1), the coefficient of  $\Delta RIT$  is significant at the 1% level and has the expected positive sign. The estimate of 4.92 implies that a prefecture facing a 1 percentage point regional input tariff cut experiences an almost 5 percentage point employment increase. The difference between regional input tariff cuts in regions at the 25th and 75th percentiles is 0.7 percentage points. Evaluated using the estimate in column (1), a region at the 75th percentile experiences a 3.4 percentage point larger employment increase than a region at the 25th percentile. Consistent with the existing literature, I find that regional output tariff reductions have a negative impact on employment, although at a smaller magnitude compared to the impact of input tariff cuts. The effect of external tariff reductions has the expected positive sign but is statistically insignificant.

In column (2), I add the interaction term between input trade liberalization and the hukou measure, probing whether input liberalization-induced employment adjustments are more pronounced in provinces with relatively free hukou systems. Given that I normalized my hukou measure to the unit inter-Val, coefficients of  $\Delta RIT$  directly reflect the impact of input tariff cuts in prefectures with the highest hukou frictions. The coefficient for the interaction term is positive and statistically significant. Input tariff reductions have no impact on regional employment in the most hukou-stringent provinces. In contrast, in regions with the most relaxed hukou systems, a 1 percentage point increase in input tariff cuts leads to a 16 percentage point relative increase in employment, which is much larger than the 5 percentage point average found in column (1). I also find a weak relationship between the effect of output tariff reductions and hukou stringency, although the result is only significant when fixed effects are included. This is consistent with the fact that the hukou system is primarily designed to control for migration inflows. On the other hand, the interaction terms between external tariff change and hukou frictions have the opposite sign. Calculated based on the specification in column (2), the partial R-squared of regional input tariff cuts, regional output tariff cuts and their interactions with the hukou measure is 0.35. This suggests that when considering both input and output channels, over 30% of the regional variation in employment changes could be accounted for by trade liberalization.

---

<sup>12</sup> External tariff reductions capture the positive impact of tariff reductions by the PRC's trading partners following its WTO accession. However, this is less of a concern as most countries had already granted the PRC MFN status prior to 2001.

**Table 2: Effect of Input Tariff Cuts**

	<b>Employment</b>		<b>Working Age Population</b>	
	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(3)</b>	<b>(4)</b>
Regional input tariff cuts ( $\Delta$ RIT)	4.92*** (1.44)	−0.06 (1.53)	4.33*** (1.46)	−1.00 (1.50)
Regional input tariff cuts x Hukou		15.70*** (4.45)		16.43*** (4.31)
Regional output tariff change	−2.73*** (0.67)	−3.81** (0.92)	−2.20*** (0.59)	−2.83*** (0.83)
Regional output tariff change x Hukou		4.52** (2.04)		3.53* (1.88)
Regional input tariff cuts ( $\Delta$ RIT)				
External tariff change	0.10 (0.19)	0.73 (0.54)	0.16 (0.22)	1.10* (0.54)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Province fixed effects (31)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	337	337	337	337
R-squared	0.62	0.65	0.58	0.63
	<b>Migrant inflows</b>		<b>Hukou population</b>	
	<b>(5)</b>	<b>(6)</b>	<b>(7)</b>	<b>(8)</b>
Regional input tariff cuts ( $\Delta$ RIT)	13.16** (5.56)	−5.55** (2.05)	1.25 (0.77)	−2.51 (2.59)
Regional input tariff cuts x Hukou		61.99*** (15.41)		10.23** (4.65)
Regional output tariff change	−3.73 (2.49)	−2.92 (2.61)	−2.84*** (0.70)	−2.06 (1.35)
Regional output tariff change x Hukou		3.14 (5.39)		−1.26 (2.66)
Regional input tariff cuts ( $\Delta$ RIT)				
External tariff change	0.72 (1.23)	−0.06 (3.76)	0.17 (0.12)	0.68* (0.36)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Province fixed effects (31)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	337	337	337	337
R-squared	0.41	0.44	0.70	0.72

Notes: The dependent variable is the difference in log employment, log working age population (15 to 64 years old), log population that migrated from other provinces between 2005-2010 and 1995-1990, and the 10-year change in log prefecture population holding local hukou permit for columns (1) and (2), (3) and (4), (5) and (6), (7) and (8), respectively. The sample contains 333 prefectures and four direct-controlled municipalities. All regressions include the full vector of control variables; models with interaction terms further include the interaction between the hukou measure and other tariff changes as in column (6) of Table 1. Prefecture birth and death rates are also controlled in columns (7) and (8). Robust standard errors in parentheses are adjusted for 31 province clusters. Models are weighted by the log of beginning-period prefecture population. \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1.

In combining the results from (1) and (2), we know that prefectures facing larger input tariff cuts experience a relative increase in employment, and the effect is stronger in provinces with a lower amount of hukou friction. This observed change in regional employment can be caused by both intra- and interregional adjustments. A positively affected region may experience a decline in unemployment and an increase in labor force participation, both of which may result in an increase in local employment. To ensure that it is the spatial reallocation of labor that drives the employment adjustment, I next look at how working age (15 to 64 years) populations respond to input tariff reductions. If the observed employment changes are mainly due to intraregional adjustments, trade shocks should have no impact on the local population, whereas if the change is primarily due to interregional adjustments, the local population should react to trade shocks in a quantitatively similar way to that of employment.

Columns (3) and (4) report the results of regressing the regional change of log working age populations on regional input tariff cuts, with and without interactions. I include the full set of controls and cluster standard errors at the provincial level. The results strongly support interregional labor reallocation: column (3) shows that prefecture-level working age populations react positively and significantly to input tariff cuts, and the coefficients are quantitatively similar to those of employment. On average, a 1 percentage point increase in regional input tariff cuts leads to 4.33 percentage point increases in the working age population of a prefecture. In a prefecture with the least hukou frictions, a 1 percentage point increase in regional input tariff cuts leads to a 16.43 percentage point increase in working age population, which reinforces the notion that the observed regional employment adjustment is due to interregional labor reallocation.

Compared to indirectly inferring spatial adjustments in labor from regional population changes, it would be preferable to examine migration directly. However, the ideal measure, i.e., the decadal change in net migration inflows, is not available. Therefore, I instead consider the most similar variable available in the census: the number of migrants from other provinces in the past five years. It is important to note that compared to the ideal measure, this variable is likely to provide an insignificant estimate. First, interregional migration occurs much more frequently within provinces than across them. Second, since this variable counts migrant inflows in five-year periods, I compare the number of migrants between 1995 and 2000 with those between 2005 and 2010. As tariff reductions began in 2001, if their impact levels off quickly, I will not be able to find a significant result.

With the above concerns in mind, I regress the change in the log 5-year inflow of population from other provinces on regional input tariff reductions, with and without interactions. The results are presented in columns (5) and (6) of Table 2, respectively. Column (5) reports that a 1 percentage point increase in regional input tariff reduction leads to a 13.16 percentage point increase in migrant inflows from other provinces. Column (6) confirms that input tariff cuts result in larger migrant inflows when the hukou system is less stringent. Both estimates are significant at the 5% level. Since migration is a flow rather than a stock variable, the magnitude of the estimates is much larger. These results provide additional support to the finding that regional input tariff cuts increase local employment through attracting labor from other locations, and this effect crucially depends on frictions caused by the hukou system.

Interestingly, I find that neither the regional output tariff reductions nor the external tariff changes have a significant effect on migrant inflows, nor does their impact heterogeneously depend on hukou frictions. These results further suggest that among various trade shocks associated with the PRC's accession to the WTO, input tariff

liberalization seems to have played the dominant role in shaping labor reallocation in the country.

Finally, columns (7) and (8) of Table 2 show how the number of individuals holding local hukou (hukou population) in a prefecture responds to input tariff reductions. In these regressions, I further control for prefecture birth and death rates to address two additional concerns. One is that input tariff cuts may generate different life expectancies across regions, affecting hukou population changes. The second concern is that it may generate different family planning behavior across regions (i.e., in positively affected areas, families may be willing to have more children). The latter is going to impact hukou population via birth rate changes, as the children of local hukou holders are automatically granted local hukou.

If local hukou can be obtained without cost, the hukou population should be highly correlated with total population in a given region, and hence react positively to input tariff reductions. The empirical results, however, point to the contrary: column (7) indicates that on average, reductions in regional tariffs do not cause significant changes in the hukou population. However, in prefectures with less stringent hukou systems, the hukou population does increase in positively affected regions. Column (8) indicates that in a prefecture with the freest hukou system, a 1 percentage point increase in regional input tariff cuts leads to a 10.23 percentage point increase in the hukou population. The magnitude, however, is only two thirds of the input liberalization-induced increase in working age population (column (4), Table 2). This implies that hukou frictions are substantial even in regions with the least stringent system. This represents evidence that even though many migrant workers moved to cities that were positively affected by trade shocks, few were able to obtain a local hukou.

## 4. WELFARE QUANTIFICATIONS

The empirical results presented in the previous section can be viewed through the lens of a multi-sector spatial equilibrium model, which allows for input-output linkages and migration frictions. Falling trade costs allow firms to access cheaper intermediate inputs and hence produce less expensive final goods. As a result, demand for local production increases. Regions whose labor is concentrated in industries facing larger input tariff reductions are more positively affected, thus pushing up wages and attracting workers from other places. Immigration increases the price of non-tradeables and depresses wages until the economy reaches a new equilibrium. When migration frictions are high, employment changes are small due to limited migration, and real wages instead react strongly to trade shocks. In contrast, when migration friction is low, employment reacts more strongly to trade shocks and real wages react less.

In standard economic geography models with no migration frictions, workers will move to arbitrage away the utility difference of living in different cities. When we allow for amenity or productivity difference across locations and heterogeneous workers, real wages can differ across cities. In this case, a city with a high wage in equilibrium either reflects its relative technology superiority, or the fact that it is less livable than the other cities, and so people demand higher wages to compensate for the relatively poor local amenities. In equilibrium, we may observe that some cities offer more employment than others, but from the workers' perspective, they are indifferent about where to live given the equilibrium wage and amenity in each location. Therefore, when the economy is hit by a trade shock, the expected utility change will be the same among all workers.

However, with the presence of the hukou system, a larger fraction of the gains accrues to workers with local hukou. This is intuitive: as migrant inflows are limited in positively affected regions when hukou friction is high, real wages increase. Workers with local hukou face no constraints on living in the particular region, and hence benefit more significantly from the local wage increase. In other words, the presence of hukou affects the welfare distribution across otherwise identical worker groups.


In Table 3, I present the quantification of the welfare change of workers holding hukou of different provinces in the PRC, and the simulated regional adjustment in other margins following trade liberalization. The five provinces with the largest increases in employment are Beijing, Shanghai, Guangdong, Tianjin and Fujian, with Beijing experiencing an increase in employment of 0.55% and Shanghai of 0.40%. The five provinces with the lowest employment increase are Hubei, Hunan, Sichuan, Anhui and Jiangxi, consistent with our observations in the real data. These simulations are based on static models, hence the magnitudes of adjustments are smaller than our observations from real data.<sup>13</sup>

Column (2) shows that real wages increase in all provinces and that they are positively correlated with changes in employment. When comparing changes in real wages and employment, two patterns stand out. First, regional employment reacts less to trade shocks than do wages (regressing employment changes on wage changes yields coefficient of 0.47), indicating substantial internal migration frictions in the PRC. Second, a region with a larger real wage increase is not necessarily a region with a greater increase in employment. To see this dynamic, compare Fujian with Guangdong. The latter has a smaller rise in real wage in equilibrium, but its labor inflows rise twice as much. This suggests that migration frictions differ significantly across Chinese regions.

Column (3) presents changes in provincial real GDP, adjusted for the local price index. Every region gains from tariff reductions, but the level differs significantly across regions. The most positively affected provinces are those that were the most developed before the introduction of tariff reductions, implying that trade liberalization has exacerbated regional inequality in the PRC. Column (4) presents changes in the local consumption price index. Beijing, Tianjin and Shanghai experienced the largest price decrease, suggesting that they are the top beneficiaries of cheaper foreign goods. Columns (5) and (6) present the total changes in exports and imports, and show that both increased in all provinces, with some provinces experiencing a larger increase in total exports than imports. There are two main economic forces behind these changes in trade flows. The first is related to industry composition. When sectors with limited regional importance experience substantial tariff cuts, limited import competition is introduced but a broad range of sectors may benefit. This boosts local exports more than imports. The other subtler force works through trade diversion. Cheaper intermediates directly lower production costs in all regions in the PRC. For a Chinese province, it therefore becomes optimal to source more intermediates locally and from other Chinese provinces. This also suppresses growth in imports from the rest of the world.

---

<sup>13</sup> The simulated numbers may change slightly depending on model assumptions; see Zi (2018) for details.

**Figure 6: Individual and Regional Gains from Trade**

Notes: This figure plots individual welfare changes in terms of hukou provinces (individual gains from trade) against the changes in provincial real income per capita, i.e., regional gains from trade). The green line is the linear fit and the red is the 45-degree line. Correlation: 1.00; regression coefficient: 0.97; t: 178.04; R-squared: 0.999.

As suggested by the last column of Table 3, all Chinese regions (in terms of people's hukou status) gain from tariff reductions, but the distribution of the gains is uneven. Individuals with a Beijing and Shanghai hukou experience welfare improvements of 1.69% and 1.50%, respectively, while individuals holding a hukou from Shanxi and Gansu provinces only gain 0.37% and 0.31%, approximately 80% less. The hukou population-weighted average welfare increase is 0.63%, with the (hukou population-weighted) standard deviation being 0.27%.

Note that when labor is perfectly mobile, workers may choose to move to different places due to idiosyncratic amenity draws, but the welfare changes should be equal across individuals due to migration. When labor is perfectly immobile, the labor reallocation term equals zero, and hence individual gains from trade equal the real income increase of the individual's hukou province. To explore the extent to which internal migration has alleviated the uneven welfare gains, Figure 6 plots individual welfare changes in terms of their hukou (individual gains from trade) against the changes in provincial real income per capita (regional gains from trade). The relationship is strikingly linear with the data points lying around the 45-degree reference line. This suggests that the redistribution of wealth via migration is limited: although we can see large changes in real income, most of the gains in booming areas accrue to local hukou holders due to the high costs of migration.

**Figure 3: Regional Adjustments to Trade Liberalization**

Province	(1) Employment	(2) Real Wage	(3) GDP	(4) Price	(5) Exports	(6) Imports	(7) Welfare
Guangdong	0.19	0.95	1.12	-1.77	5.27	7.05	0.93
Beijing	0.55	1.80	2.27	-2.06	9.62	3.34	1.69
Shanghai	0.40	1.61	1.93	-1.85	6.30	4.02	1.50
Tianjin	0.17	1.43	1.57	-1.96	7.62	4.65	1.38
Fujian	0.08	0.97	1.04	-1.69	6.67	7.14	0.96
Zhejiang	0.06	0.89	0.93	-1.60	5.18	5.69	0.87
Jiangsu	0.05	1.14	1.17	-1.79	9.40	6.85	1.12
Xinjiang	0.03	0.67	0.67	-1.53	6.42	5.25	0.64
Liaoning	0.02	0.96	0.97	-1.76	8.12	6.50	0.95
Hainan	0.01	0.74	0.76	-1.66	2.76	6.44	0.75
Jilin	0.00	0.73	0.73	-1.74	6.71	5.08	0.73
Yunnan	-0.01	0.49	0.48	-1.49	7.48	5.15	0.49
Shandong	-0.01	0.70	0.68	-1.71	5.92	8.72	0.69
Inner Mongolia	-0.02	0.52	0.50	-1.54	4.76	6.75	0.52
Ningxia	-0.02	0.40	0.38	-1.51	9.17	3.99	0.41
Qinghai	-0.02	0.40	0.38	-1.51	6.72	5.12	0.40
Shanxi	-0.02	0.37	0.35	-1.48	7.23	4.27	0.37
Shaanxi	-0.02	0.46	0.43	-1.60	5.15	5.58	0.46
Heilongjiang	-0.03	0.59	0.56	-1.66	4.06	6.97	0.59
Chongqing	-0.03	0.48	0.45	-1.54	2.67	4.32	0.48
Gansu	-0.03	0.31	0.28	-1.52	5.72	3.82	0.31
Hebei	-0.05	0.41	0.36	-1.62	5.20	7.88	0.42
Henan	-0.05	0.38	0.33	-1.56	5.15	5.23	0.40
Hubei	-0.05	0.48	0.43	-1.59	5.14	5.13	0.50
Guangxi	-0.05	0.55	0.50	-1.50	6.59	4.81	0.57
Guizhou	-0.05	0.40	0.35	-1.53	4.76	4.50	0.42
Hunan	-0.07	0.46	0.39	-1.53	7.48	5.12	0.49
Sichuan	-0.08	0.47	0.39	-1.58	4.27	4.81	0.49
Anhui	-0.11	0.52	0.41	-1.53	4.34	5.18	0.55
Jiangxi	-0.12	0.39	0.28	-1.47	3.85	5.36	0.44
Weighted average							0.63
Standard deviation							0.27

Notes: This table presents the counterfactual percentage changes in regional employment, real wage, real GDP (total value added divided by local consumption price index), consumption price index, exports and imports when Chinese tariff structure changed from its 2000 to 2005 level, holding hukou frictions constant. The nominal wage of the constructed rest of the world is the numeraire.

## 5. COUNTERFACTUAL HUKOU ABOLISHMENT

The empirical exercise in Section 2 suggests that the PRC's internal labor reallocation over 2000–2010 can be explained in large part by its integration into the global economy. Moreover, the labor market distortion caused by the hukou system has a significant impact in shaping the effects of trade on migration. A question that naturally follows is, what would happen if the PRC abolished the hukou system? In particular, what is its direct impact on wage, employment, trade and GDP growth in different Chinese regions? What are its distributional consequences? Would the PRC have



reaped more gains from trade reforms if the hukou system had been abolished before its accession to the WTO? These are intriguing questions not only of academic interest but also relevant for today's policy debate. For example, reforming this system appears high on the agenda of the Chinese government today, but the exact roadmap is much less clear. Exploring the counter-factual result of hukou abolishment could provide valuable guidance regarding the ongoing hukou reforms in the PRC.

### Effects of Hukou Abolishment

Table 4 presents the regional adjustments that would have taken place following the abolishment of the hukou system. I report the five provinces that would experience the most significant expansions or contractions. Beijing, Shanghai and Guangdong are the top migrant-receiving provinces, with an employment increase of more than 10%. Jiangxi, Sichuan, Anhui, Hunan and Guangxi are the provinces with the largest migrant outflows. The large migrant outflows in Guangxi and Jiangxi are (among other factors) due to their geographic proximity to Guangdong, while for Anhui these outflows are due to its proximity to Shanghai. In the case of Hunan and Sichuan, locals may face fewer migration frictions for other reasons, such as their strong historical ties with Guangdong. This is also reflected in the fact that their regional employment reacts strongly to tariff reductions (Table 3). In expanding provinces, increased labor supply lowers real wages and boosts local GDP; given the increased economic size, more intermediates can now be sourced locally with a cost advantage, hence the local consumption price decreases.

There are two forces that govern changes in trade flows. A province experiencing expansion requires more intermediate inputs, which implies an increase in both exports and imports; at the same time, increased economic size also means the region gains a cost advantage in producing a wider range of intermediates, suggesting an increase in exports and a decrease in imports. These two forces work in the opposite direction in contracting provinces. Therefore, exports should always rise while the changes in imports are ambiguous in provinces with worker inflows, and the opposite is true in provinces with worker outflows. The calibration exercise shows that imports in all top expanding provinces decrease, suggesting that the latter force prevails. On the other hand, imports increase in some contracting provinces but decrease in others.

In the last column of Table 4, I present the individual welfare changes. Although increased regional employment hurts local hukou holders by bidding up structure rents and lowering wages, relaxations in the hukou system makes it easier for individuals to move to provinces where they have higher amenity draws, which always improves welfare. Therefore, while individuals holding hukou from provinces with worker outflows benefit from hukou reforms unambiguously, those with hukou from migrant-receiving provinces may not necessarily lose. As shown in the last column of Table 4, the top expanding provinces' hukou holders do experience significant welfare losses. However, of the 17 provinces that experience employment increases, their hukou holders' welfare only decreases in six. The average gains across provinces is 1.56%, which is twice as high as the gains from trade reforms.

**Table 4: Regional Effects of Hukou Abolishment**

Province	(1) Employment	(2) Real Wage	(3) GDP	(4) Price	(5) Exports	(6) Imports	(7) Welfare
Beijing	18.99	-5.68	11.75	-1.43	17.47	-2.57	-5.93
Shanghai	18.33	-5.45	11.47	-1.31	13.80	-2.89	-5.52
Guangdong	13.20	-0.37	8.90	-1.23	10.46	-2.55	-3.62
Tianjin	5.26	-1.48	3.62	-0.46	3.54	-1.17	-1.24
Xinjiang	4.69	-0.73	3.91	-0.57	4.61	-1.25	-0.46
Hainan	4.36	-0.77	3.44	-0.33	3.22	-0.97	-0.27
Zhejiang	3.42	-0.91	2.46	-0.39	1.97	-1.03	0.27
Fujian	2.85	-0.64	2.18	-0.48	1.16	-0.96	0.23
Qinghai	2.09	-0.34	1.77	-0.44	3.00	-1.27	0.21
Yunnan	1.26	0.25	1.47	-0.21	1.10	-0.82	0.46
Inner Mongolia	0.60	0.39	0.94	-0.07	0.26	-0.62	0.98
Liaoning	0.53	0.01	0.54	-0.19	0.52	-0.47	0.31
Shanxi	0.48	0.40	0.83	-0.24	1.04	-1.08	0.66
Jiangsu	0.08	0.04	0.12	-0.20	-0.15	-0.56	0.94
Ningxia	0.02	0.39	0.36	-0.14	-0.03	-0.70	0.88
Shandong	-0.46	0.39	-0.09	-0.11	-1.35	-0.47	0.78
Jilin	-0.59	0.71	0.07	-0.07	-0.49	-0.54	1.32
Hebei	-0.81	0.78	-0.09	-0.03	-2.51	-0.82	1.39
Shaanxi	-1.10	0.67	-0.48	0.02	-0.99	-0.24	1.32
Heilongjiang	-1.26	0.79	-0.48	-0.05	-0.87	-0.38	1.69
Gansu	-1.39	0.84	-0.60	-0.03	-1.36	-0.48	1.49
Chongqing	-1.83	1.37	-0.59	0.06	-2.91	-0.90	2.26
Henan	-2.44	1.03	-1.48	0.08	-3.31	-0.25	2.04
Guizhou	-2.67	1.41	-1.36	0.08	-3.21	-0.51	2.73
Hubei	-3.12	0.99	-2.20	0.26	-4.20	0.05	2.44
Guangxi	-3.46	1.66	-1.91	0.10	-4.80	-0.88	3.34
Hunan	-4.73	1.82	-3.10	0.60	-8.54	0.32	3.76
Anhui	-5.06	2.38	-2.90	0.46	-6.17	-0.21	4.40
Sichuan	-5.37	2.02	-3.60	0.82	-8.10	0.72	4.21
Jiangxi	-6.17	2.08	-4.30	0.63	-8.65	0.05	4.66
Weighted average							1.51
Standard deviation							2.22

Notes: This table presents the counterfactual percentage changes in regional employment, real wage, real GDP (total value added divided by local consumption price index), consumption price index, exports, imports and hukou population's welfare when hukou frictions are reduced to zero in all provinces, holding tariffs constant. The nominal wage of the constructed rest of the world is the numeraire.

### Effects of Tariff Reductions Given the Elimination of Hukou Frictions

I next explore whether the impact of trade liberalization would be different if the hukou system were abolished before the PRC's accession to the WTO. Starting from the post hukou-abolishment equilibrium, I repeat the first quantitative exercise by shocking the system with tariff changes. Table 5 presents the regional effects for the five provinces with the biggest and smallest increases in employment. Comparing these results with those in Table 3, we observe that regional employment reacts more strongly to trade shocks with the elimination of hukou frictions, while real wages react less. For instance, the change in Beijing employment increases by more than 50%, while the change in its real wage declines by 6%. The absolute changes, however, remain small. One

plausible explanation regards data aggregation: calibrating the initial labor distribution at the province level overestimates the initial migration frictions, therefore abolishing hukou seems to have only a marginal effect in shaping the impact of trade as the model suggests very high migration frictions in levels even after abolishing hukou.

**Table 5: Regional Adjustments to Tariff Reductions, without Hukou Frictions**

Province	(1) Employment	(2) Real Wage	(3) GDP	(4) Price	(5) Exports	(6) Imports	(7) Welfare
Beijing	0.84	1.68	2.44	-2.07	9.97	3.36	1.57
Shanghai	0.58	1.51	2.01	-1.85	6.39	4.00	1.40
Tianjin	0.27	1.39	1.62	-1.96	7.65	4.70	1.33
Guangdong	0.25	0.92	1.15	-1.76	5.12	7.01	0.89
Fujian	0.12	0.95	1.07	-1.68	6.50	7.15	0.94
Zhejiang	0.09	0.87	0.94	-1.59	5.09	5.71	0.86
Jiangsu	0.07	1.13	1.19	-1.79	9.36	6.90	1.11
Liaoning	0.03	0.96	0.98	-1.75	8.11	6.54	0.94
Xinjiang	0.03	0.66	0.67	-1.52	6.39	5.32	0.64
Hainan	0.02	0.73	0.77	-1.65	2.76	6.48	0.75
Jilin	-0.01	0.73	0.72	-1.73	6.55	5.11	0.73
Shandong	-0.02	0.70	0.67	-1.70	5.82	8.79	0.70
Yunnan	-0.02	0.49	0.48	-1.48	7.40	5.20	0.50
Ningxia	-0.03	0.41	0.37	-1.50	9.09	4.04	0.41
Inner Mongolia	-0.03	0.53	0.49	-1.53	4.60	6.83	0.53
Shaanxi	-0.04	0.46	0.42	-1.59	4.99	5.62	0.47
Shanxi	-0.04	0.37	0.33	-1.48	6.99	4.37	0.38
Qinghai	-0.04	0.41	0.36	-1.50	6.69	5.20	0.41
Heilongjiang	-0.04	0.59	0.54	-1.65	3.94	7.04	0.60
Gansu	-0.05	0.31	0.26	-1.52	5.55	3.86	0.32
Chongqing	-0.05	0.49	0.44	-1.53	2.59	4.37	0.50
Guangxi	-0.08	0.56	0.49	-1.49	6.44	4.90	0.59
Henan	-0.08	0.40	0.31	-1.55	5.04	5.30	0.42
Hubei	-0.08	0.49	0.41	-1.58	5.05	5.17	0.52
Hebei	-0.08	0.42	0.33	-1.61	4.98	7.98	0.44
Guizhou	-0.09	0.42	0.32	-1.52	4.63	4.56	0.44
Hunan	-0.11	0.48	0.37	-1.52	7.27	5.16	0.52
Sichuan	-0.12	0.49	0.36	-1.57	4.16	4.87	0.53
Anhui	-0.17	0.54	0.36	-1.52	4.14	5.23	0.60
Jiangxi	-0.19	0.42	0.23	-1.45	3.68	5.41	0.49
Weighted average							0.64
Standard deviation							0.25

Notes: This table presents the counterfactual percentage changes in regional employment, real wage, real GDP (total value added divided by local consumption price index), consumption price index, exports and imports when Chinese tariff structure changed from its 2000 to 2005 level after eliminating hukou frictions, holding tariffs constant. The nominal wage of the constructed rest of the world is the numeraire.


The final column of Table 5 presents the changes in welfare of hukou holders of a given province. Comparing these results to those in Table 3, we can see that the top five beneficiaries remain hukou holders from Beijing, Shanghai, Tianjin, Jiangsu and Fujian. However, they gain less due to the larger increase in migrant inflows. On

the other hand, provinces with net migration outflows also experience an increase in regional employment response to trade shocks, and this is associated with larger welfare improvements.

The last two rows of column (7) of Table 5 report the weighted average and the standard deviation of welfare increases. Average gains from trade increase by about 2%, from 0.71% in the case with hukou frictions to 0.72%. Compared with Monte, Redding, and Rossi-Hansberg (2015), who demonstrate that by permitting commuting across US counties, gains improve from a 20% reduction in domestic trade costs by 0.8%, the additional gains from trade due to hukou friction elimination are considerable. In addition, calibrating the model at the prefecture city level would likely produce larger estimates. Therefore, we can interpret the 2% gains from trade due to hukou abolishment as a lower bound estimate.

The standard deviation of welfare gains across worker types falls from 0.24% to 0.22%. Freer migration leads to greater employment increases in more positively affected regions, and the opposite in less positively affected regions. This narrows the spatial wage gap, meaning that individuals who stay in contracting regions are less negatively affected. In addition, freer migration makes individuals migrate to booming areas to improve their welfare. Both effects lead to more evenly distributed gains. Figure 7 plots individual gains from tariff reductions without hukou frictions to those with hukou frictions; the plot is flatter than the 45-degree line, suggesting that the elimination of hukou frictions alleviates the distributional effects of trade.

**Figure 7: Individual Gains from Trade with and without Hukou Frictions**



Notes: This figure plots individuals' welfare changes from tariff reductions in terms of hukou provinces (individual gains from trade) with hukou abolishment against the changes without hukou abolishment. The green line is the linear fit and the red line is the 45-degree line. Correlation: 0.999 Regression coefficient: 0.9; t: 101.55; R-squared: 0.997.

### The Role of Internal Geography: General Assessment

I now investigate the importance of accounting for internal geography in computing gains from trade. I compare the results of my model (benchmark) to a multi-region model with no internal migration, as well as to a two-country model treating the PRC as a unit of analysis. I calibrate each of these models to the year 2000 and compute the

welfare response and its decomposition to the tariff reductions from the country's WTO accession. Table 6 presents the simulated welfare effects implied by different models. The first row represents the benchmark results. The second row presents the welfare results for the no-migration model, and the third row presents the results for the model treating the PRC as a whole.

Table 6 suggests that the welfare effects are smaller for the model without migration compared to the benchmark model. The average gain decreases from 0.63% to 0.61%. It is also distributed more unevenly, with the standard error rising from 0.27% to 0.31%. Allowing inter-regional migration diminishes both effects.

When treating the PRC as a unit of analysis, the welfare increases substantially to 0.80%, almost 30% more than the benchmark model. The results reflect the importance of accounting for domestic geography. The intuition for this result is related to that of the no-migration model. By treating the PRC as a unit of analysis, I implicitly assume that both goods and factors are perfectly mobile within the country, and thus I get much larger gains from trade. In short, the results from this subsection illustrate the importance of accounting for economic geography within a country to evaluate the effect of trade policies, especially where the country has significant spatial heterogeneities.

**Table 6: The Gains from Tariff Reductions: Role of Internal Geography**

Model	Average	SD
Benchmark	0.63%	0.27%
No migration	0.61%	0.31%
China as a whole	0.80%	0.00%

Notes: This table presents the average of counterfactual percentage changes in welfare in terms of individual Hukou provinces and its standard deviation. In models with many Chinese regions, both the average and standard deviation of welfare changes are Hukou population weighted.

## 6. CONCLUSION

Trade liberalization can lead to significant spatial labor adjustment within a country, and internal migration frictions are important in shaping the impact of trade. In the context of the PRC, input-liberalization has stimulated significant labor reallocation across prefectures, as well as the presence of migration frictions caused by the hukou system. Quantitatively, tariff reductions improve the PRC's aggregate welfare by 0.71%, but magnify regional disparities. Abolishing the hukou system leads to a sizable improvement in aggregate welfare but it also has a strong distributional impact. In addition, it increases the gains from trade and alleviates its negative distributional consequences. These results shed light on the benefits of eliminating migration frictions and the importance of taking these frictions into account when evaluating both aggregate and distributional consequences of trade reforms.

While the focus of this paper has been on the PRC, existing literature suggests that migration frictions are pervasive in many other countries. According to the 2013 World Population Policies (United Nations 2013), 60% of governments around the world desired a major change in their countries' spatial labor distribution, and 80% of these had policies to influence internal migration. Therefore, this paper's exercises may inform migration policy and stimulate further studies in other national contexts.

## REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., Autor, D., Dorn, D., Hanson, G. H., and Price, B. (2016). Import Competition and the Great US Employment Sag of the 2000s. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 34, S141–S198.
- Allen, T., Arkolakis, C., and Li, X. (2014). On the existence and uniqueness of trade equilibria.
- Arkolakis, C., Costinot, A., and Rodríguez-Clare, A. (2012). New Trade Models, Same Old Gains? *American Economic Review*, 102, 94–130.
- Artuç, E., and McLaren, J. (2012, 11). Trade Policy and Wage Inequality: A Structural Analysis with Occupational and Sectoral Mobility. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w18503
- Artuç, E., Chaudhuri, S., and McLaren, J. (2010). Trade Shocks and Labor Adjustment: A Structural Empirical Approach. *The American Economic Review*, 100, 1008–1045.
- Artuç, E., Lederman, D., and Rojas, D. (2015). The rise of China and labor market adjustments in Latin America. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*.
- Atkin, D., and Donaldson, D. (2014). Who's Getting Globalized? The Size and Implications of Intranational Trade Costs. Mimeo.
- Autor, D. H., and Dorn, D. (2013, 8). The Growth of Low-Skill Service Jobs and the Polarization of the US Labor Market. *American Economic Review*, 103, 1553–97. doi:10.1257/aer.103.5.1553
- Autor, D. H., Dorn, D., and Hanson, G. H. (2013). The China Syndrome: Local Labor Market Effects of Import Competition in the United States. *The American Economic Review*, 103, 2121–2168.
- Autor, D. H., Dorn, D., and Hanson, G. H. (2016). The China shock: Learning from labor-market adjustment to large changes in trade. *Annual Review of Economics*, 8, 205–240.
- Bai, X., Krishna, K., and Ma, H. (2015, 5). How You Export Matters: Export Mode, Learning and Productivity in China. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w21164
- Balsvik, R., Jensen, S., and Salvanes, K. G. (2015). Made in China, sold in Norway: Local labor market effects of an import shock. *Journal of Public Economics*, 127, 137–144.
- Blanchard, O., and Katz, L. F. (1999). Wage Dynamics: Reconciling Theory and Evidence. *American Economic Review*, 69–74.
- Blancher, N. R., and Rumbaugh, T. (2004). China: International Trade and WTO Accession. Working Paper, International Monetary Fund.
- Bosker, M., Brakman, S., Garretsen, H., and Schramm, M. (2012). Relaxing Hukou: Increased labor mobility and China's economic geography. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 72, 252–266.
- Brandt, L., Van Biesebroeck, J., and Zhang, Y. (2014). Challenges of working with the Chinese NBS firm-level data. *China Economic Review*, 30, 339–352.

- Bryan, G., and Morten, M. (2017, 6). The Aggregate Productivity Effects of Internal Migration: Evidence from Indonesia. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w23540
- Bugamelli, M., Fabiani, S., and Sette, E. (2015). The Age of the Dragon: The Effect of Imports from China on Firm-Level Prices. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 47, 1091–1118.
- Cai, F. (2010). Demographic transition, demographic dividend, and Lewis turning point in China. *China Economic Journal*, 3, 107–119.
- Caliendo, L., and Parro, F. (2015). Estimates of the Trade and Welfare Effects of NAFTA. *Review of Economic Studies*, 82, 1–44.
- Caliendo, L., Dvorkin, M., and Parro, F. (2015, 5). The Impact of Trade on Labor Market Dynamics. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w21149
- Caliendo, L., Oromolla, L. D., Parro, F., and Sforza, A. (2017, 8). Goods and Factor Market Integration: A Quantitative Assessment of the EU Enlargement. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w23695
- Caliendo, L., Parro, F., Rossi-Hansberg, E., and Sarte, P.-D. (2014, 5). The Impact of Regional and Sectoral Productivity Changes on the U.S. Economy. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w20168
- Chan, K. W. (2009). The Chinese hukou system at 50. *Eurasian geography and economics*, 50, 197–221.
- Chan, K. W. (2013). China: internal migration. In *The Encyclopedia of Global Human Migration*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. doi:10.1002/9781444351071.wbeghm124
- Chan, K. W. (2013). China: Internal migration. In I. Ness (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Global Human Migration*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Chan, K. W., and Buckingham, W. (2008). Is China abolishing the hukou system? *The China Quarterly*, 195, 582–606.
- Chen, B., Lu, M., and Zhong, L. (2010). Household Consumption Constrained by Hukou System. *Economic Research Journal*, 1, 62–71.
- Cheng, M. (2007). How do we lose the freedom of movement: formation of China's household registration system in the 1950s and its evolution. *Modern China Studies*, 4, 6.
- Cheng, T., and Selden, M. (1994). The origins and social consequences of China's hukou system. *The China Quarterly*, 139, 644–668.
- Conley, T. G., and Topa, G. (2002). Socio-economic distance and spatial patterns in unemployment. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 17, 303–327. doi:10.1002/jae.670
- Coşar, A. K., and Fajgelbaum, P. D. (2013, 12). Internal Geography, International Trade, and Regional Specialization. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w19697
- Dauth, W., Findeisen, S., and Suedekum, J. (2014). The rise of the East and the Far East: German labor markets and trade integration. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 12, 1643–1675.
- Dean, J. M., and Lovely, M. E. (2010). Trade growth, production fragmentation, and China's environment. In *China's Growing Role in World Trade* (pp. 429-469). University of Chicago Press.

- Dekle, R., Eaton, J., and Kortum, S. (2008). Global Rebalancing with Gravity: Measuring the Burden of Adjustment. *IMF Staff Papers*, 55, 511–540.
- Dix-Carneiro, R. (2014). Trade liberalization and labor market dynamics. *Econometrica*, 82, 825–885.
- Dix-Carneiro, R., and Kovak, B. K. (2017). Trade liberalization and regional dynamics. *American Economic Review*, 107, 2908–2946.
- Eaton, J., and Kortum, S. (2002). Technology, geography, and trade. *Econometrica*, 70, 1741–1779.
- Enrico, M. (2011). Local labor markets. *Handbook of labor economics*, 4, 1237–1313.
- Facchini, G., Liu, M. Y., Mayda, A. M., and Zhou, M. (2017). The impact of China's WTO accession on internal migration. *Mimeo*, 1–48.
- Fajgelbaum, P. D., Morales, E., Serrato, J. C., and Zidar, O. M. (2015, 11). State Taxes and Spatial Misallocation. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w21760
- Fajgelbaum, P., and Redding, S. J. (2014, 6). External Integration, Structural Transformation and Economic Development: Evidence from Argentina 1870–1914. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w20217
- Fan, H., Li, Y. A., and Yeaple, S. R. (2015). Trade liberalization, quality, and export prices. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 97, 1033–1051.
- Fan, J. (2015). Internal geography, labor mobility, and the distributional impacts of trade. *Mimeo*, University of Maryland, 1–49.
- Feenstra, R. C., Inklaar, R., and Timmer, M. P. (2015, 10). The Next Generation of the Penn World Table. *American Economic Review*, 105, 3150–82. doi:10.1257/aer.20130954
- Firpo, S., Fortin, N. M., and Lemieux, T. (2011). Occupational tasks and changes in the wage structure.
- Galle, S., Rodríguez-Clare, A., and Yi, M. (2017, 8). Slicing the Pie: Quantifying the Aggregate and Distributional Effects of Trade. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w23737
- Gawande, K., and Bandyopadhyay, U. (2000). Is protection for sale? Evidence on the Grossman-Helpman theory of endogenous protection. *Review of Economics and statistics*, 82, 139–152.
- Giovanni, J. d., Levchenko, A. A., and Zhang, J. (2014). The Global Welfare Impact of China: Trade Integration and Technological Change. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 6, 153–183.
- Glaeser, E. L., and Gyourko, J. (2005). Urban Decline and Durable Housing. *Journal of Political Economy*, 113, 345–0.
- Goldberg, P. K., and Maggi, G. (1999). Protection for Sale: An Empirical Investigation. *American Economic Review*, 89, 1135–1155.
- Goldberg, P. K., and Pavcnik, N. (2005). Trade, wages, and the political economy of trade protection: Evidence from the Colombian trade reforms. *Journal of International Economics*, 66, 75–105.



- Goldberg, P. K., and Pavcnik, N. (2007). The effects of the Colombian trade liberalization on urban poverty. In *Globalization and poverty* (pp. 241–290). University of Chicago Press.
- Grossman, G. M., and Helpman, E. (1994). Protection for Sale. *The American Economic Review*, 84, 833–850.
- Head, K., and Ries, J. (2001). Increasing returns versus national product differentiation as an explanation for the pattern of US-Canada trade. *American Economic Review*, 858–876.
- Hsieh, C.-T., and Ossa, R. (2011, 2). A global view of productivity growth in China. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w16778
- Ianchovichina, E., and Martin, W. (2001). Trade liberalization in China's accession to WTO. *Journal of Economic Integration*, 16, 421–445. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23000767>
- Kennan, J., and Walker, J. R. (2011). The effect of expected income on individual migration decisions. *Econometrica*, 79, 211–251.
- Kinnan, C., Wang, S.-Y., and Wang, Y. (2015, 7). Relaxing Migration Constraints for Rural Households. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w21314
- Kovak, B. K. (2011). Local labor market effects of trade policy: Evidence from Brazilian liberalization. Mimeo, University of California, Carnegie Mellon University, 1–64.
- Kovak, B. K. (2013). Regional effects of trade reform: What is the correct measure of liberalization? *The American Economic Review*, 103, 1960–1976.
- Lardy, N. R. (1991). *Foreign trade and economic reform in China, 1978–1990*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lardy, N. R. (2005). Trade liberalization and its role in Chinese economic growth. In *India's and China's Recent Experience with Reform and Growth* (pp. 158–169). Springer.
- Li, H., Li, L., Wu, B., and Xiong, Y. (2012). The end of cheap Chinese labor. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 26, 57–74.
- Li, L. (2011). Chinese laws and regulations cleanup work lasted for 10 years without interruption.
- Li, Q., Junsheng, H., and Hong, D. (2001). *Comparative analysis of Xiangang, the Chinese Style of Laying Off Workers*. Tsinghua University Press.
- Li, S.-m. (2004). Population migration and urbanization in China: A comparative analysis of the 1990 population census and the 1995 national one percent sample population survey. *International Migration Review*, 38, 655–685.
- Li, X. (2013). China as a Trading Superpower. Mimeo, London School of Economics and Political Science, 1–7.
- McLaren, J., and Hakobyan, S. (2010, 11). Looking for Local Labor Market Effects of NAFTA. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w16535
- Montalvo, J. G., and Reynal-Querol, M. (2005, 6). Ethnic Polarization, Potential Conflict, and Civil Wars. *American Economic Review*, 95, 796–816. doi:10.1257/0002828054201468

- Monte, F. (2015). The local incidence of trade shocks. Mimeo, Georgetown University, 1–59.
- Monte, F., Redding, S. J., and Rossi-Hansberg, E. (2015, 11). Commuting, Migration and Local Employment Elasticities. Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w21706
- Nations, U. (2013). World Population Policies 2013. Tech. rep., United Nations, New York, NY. Retrieved from <http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/policy/WPP2013/wpp2013.pdf>
- Notowidigdo, M. J. (2011). The incidence of local labor demand shocks. Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Park, A., Wu, Y., and Du, Y. (2012). Informal Employment in Urban China. Tech. rep., World Bank, Washington, DC. Retrieved from <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/383331468220789675/pdf/777370WP0Infor00Box377299B00PUBLIC0.pdf>
- Ramondo, N., Rodríguez-Clare, A., and Saborío-Rodríguez, M. (2016, 10). Trade, Domestic Frictions, and Scale Effects. *American Economic Review*, 106, 3159–84. doi:10.1257/aer.20141449
- Redding, S. J. (2016). Goods trade, factor mobility and welfare. *Journal of International Economics*, 101, 148–167. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2016.04.003>
- Rossi - Hansberg, E., Sarte, P. - D., and Owens, R. (2010). Housing Externalities. *Journal of Political Economy*, 118, 485–535. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/653138>
- Tolbert, C. M., and Sizer, M. (1996). US commuting zones and labor market areas: A 1990 update.
- Tombe, T., and Zhu, X. (2015). Trade Liberalization, Internal Migration and Regional Income Differences: Evidence from China. Mimeo, 1–42.
- Topalova, P. (2007). Trade liberalization, poverty and inequality: Evidence from Indian districts. In *Globalization and Poverty* (pp. 291-336). University of Chicago Press.
- Topalova, P. (2010). Factor immobility and regional impacts of trade liberalization: Evidence on poverty from India. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2, 1-41.
- Topel, R. H. (1986). Local labor markets. *The Journal of Political Economy*, S111–S143.
- Wang, F. (2004). Reformed migration control and new targeted people: China's hukou system in the 2000s. *The China Quarterly*, 177, 115–132.
- Woo, W. T. (2001). Recent claims of China's economic exceptionalism: Reflections inspired by WTO accession. *China Economic Review*, 12, 107–136.
- Wu, K., Zhang, L., and Chen, X. (2010). The Barrier of Hukou Reform: An Analysis of the Qualifications for the Urban Hukou. *Chinese Journal of Population Science*, 66–74.
- Zi, Y. (2016). Trade liberalization and the great labor reallocation. Mimeo, University of Oslo.