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Or. Mario Lamberten of the Fhilippine Ingtitute for vevelop. mont studies, has carefully gone over all reports in thit serife, including the present one. We areatly appreciste his comments and eriticisme tince they bave helped as to sharpen our thinting or to cuarify our positions in several inetances. findeed, the weader will mote that in eeverah inctances we use this report to rempend to some of the more oritiosl fointeraieed by Do. LantEerte.)

Our greatest apfreciation, howover, is reserved for the pecple who sarved as our respondents, which is to say thoee rural folts who graciously allowed us to come unanoounced into Wher homes, with ald worte of questione about areas whem are estentially permonal and privates their meager emrainge and few
 anes. Wet a single pereon selected into the eamphe refuecd to parbicipate and gnty a hamoful showed widd displeasure viten the time required to complete the interview extemded fas it so often (did) to an bour or more. There is an oft-umrecognized moral dilemma here, fartioularly for thoee researchers who mould forget that bhis Eort of eseential hospitality deserves a eomparable respence on their part. In the words of the American writer,
 tion inte the 1 iwing conditione found among AJaban temant farmo ere,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "it seeme to me curicus, mot to sar obeceme and thorougho }
\end{aligned}
$$

в

The only solution to this dilemma, we believe, ie for the researcher to depiet the situation of the rural poor with as much accuracy, imsight amd cempaseion as mintobe humanly poseiblea This we trave attempted to do, highlighting therefore the many problems faced by our rempondents without, we would hope, falling into the error of false ewntimemtajoty- For ite party we woul o hope that our readers will also recognize the implicit debt which they owe bhe Eample howemolds and, ae euch, be willing to concider our findimge cerefully, eritiquing them where neceseary but ultimately acting upon them in one way or anotherg be it omly to write a letter here or to spend whour there discuseing othe vabaried of health care finamoing. For the wocial mectianjent ias sembhow out of balames. The scales of life-wand of death, ats well - Wave eurely bem tipped againet the great bulk of our rural. brothers and sisteren not who is there to get them right agan if not ourselveg?

## Chapter One．Introductory Matters

## Factiground and Fewearch Desinn

This etudy is concermed with the health care needs，atti－ tudes behavions and financing strategies of the rural poor．In generaj thig group is＂made up of smallocoale farmers and fistrer－ mem，the landlecs laborers，women headed households and disadwan－ taged minorjty groufs．Their poverty arises from their jact of productive ascets（for example，dand，fishing gears），low wages， and the lacl of remunerative employment opportunities＇（Getubiny 1992，F－4）

Even though the poverty class has certain commonalities （e．g．low incomes）it would be an error to regard it as a com－ pletely bomogenecus entity．Smad．farmers and landlees agricul－ tural wormers are both poor，but recent studies in the rural sociology of the Fhilippines have indicated that insecurities are greater in the latter cast than in the former seng．lodesma，
 Eixe and compoeitiony in Etage of the life cycle，and in place of residence，to mame just a few，may also be expected to further djeferentiate the rural poor．Specific groupsexperience varicus problems，thereby implying that programmatio．responees should pertaps mot be 1 imited to some single mpproach or strategy－As 1．F＇Getubig 〔199天，p．13）has noted，there is thus a question
＂of whether it is necessary to have a differ－
ent social security instrument or delivery
Gyetem for wach major group amonget the poor
〔for example，．．．．farmers versus anadjess wort：－
ers versus fishermen）．．．．Are not their neede
and situations divergent enough to require a
different instrument for each？If so，in what
ways are these inetrumente different？What are
their escential features as regaros design，
organization，management，implementationy ete？
How do you prioritize between these groups and
amongst various programmes and bemefits？＂

The present study may be viewed as a response to these sorts of guestions It seeks to compare eight different residential／occupational＂communities，＂all of which can be clasm sified as falling within the general category of the rural poor． These commundeies are follows：

[^3]3. Small farners in an isolated upland setting SMarangay Mat-i, Claverin, Misamis Oriental;
4. Lamoless agricultural worbers on rice farms in Farangay Dumarait, Falingesagy Misamic Orientala
E. Lamdess abrioultur-l worters on eugar plantations in Southern Eutijothon (Earamgay Eubong, Ruezom mumacipadity);
G. Landtese agricultural worters on rubter plantatione ith Farampay Gan Itsidro, Talal:ag, Eukidmom;
 of barambay Luyong fombon, Opol, Hisanis Orientaly and
Q. Subsistence fishermen in a community with poor mawtet aceess (Farampay North/South, Medine, Mitamis Oriental).

Our general assumption in this regard is that a muber of eigmificant difformoces on healtheare related variablet will be fruma to exist among thece various "commumitien."

Evan thoubli, major emphasis will be given over to antrocommanity comparisons, it is evident that this is only one of Eeveral Jevele of analysis which come into play in the determination of health care behaviors and attitudes. on the one hand, both interhousehold variations (eng. income, parental education, household wize and compositions and intrahousehold variations (E.g. aender, ane) whould be taken into account. On the other, macrowlevel factore (regional, natiomal, international) must aleo be coneidered. Figure i illustrates these different levele of analyees by means of a meries of concentric circles.

The term "Community" is generally defined along geographie (or ecological) lines, i.e. ets a group of households citstered together in ppace, bounded by various criteria such as political demarcations or the distribution of day-to-day exchanges and interactione. In the present instance we retain the locational aspect ssince all eight communities are foumd to jie within a single barangay, adding to this the criterion that the heade of those householde beleeted into each of the eight wubsamples chould bejorg to the induEtrial category under consideration feng Emall farmers growing corn, landess worters in the sumer inductry) . Various operational definitions were therefore needed at this point to mate it rlear as to which househojde were "lamdese", which farmers were tilling "emall" plots of lands anc the life.

It is important to stress at this point that the present effort may best be underetood as a descriptive study rather than as an example of explanatory (hypothesis testing) research:


Figure 1. Varying Levels of Analysis for Studies of Health
Care-related Phenomena

In the beginming, there is descriptionWhen one does not know anything at all about a problem, he must understand it in a neneral way before beginning to matee epecifice ino quriries about.a. uthe subject.

A descriptive study does not have a set of clearly delineated depentent and independent variables....(Simon, 1969, Pp. 巨e-53).

Selection of the descriptive approach seems appropriate in this case intofar as we are dealing here with a rather unwieldy study problem, ore which calls for a variety of methodological approachet amo a widewranging set of study variables. The general issue is this: the governmentys Medicare program appears not to be offerima much astittance to the bulk of the rural poor-mmall farmere, landese agricultural workers, fishermen. There is a need, therefore, to come up with some inovative approches along these lines, if only to keep faith with the Constitutional guarantees that there is a "right to health" and a "priority for the needs of the underprivileged siof" (Articles II and XIII of the 1907 Fhilippine Constitution). Eut how can this be done? What really are the major health probleme of the rural poor? How are they now coping (or failing to oope) with these protides? whion of the varicus etrategies for health care financing assistamee which have been suggeeted would be most attractive to members of the mural poverty class? Which will be most feasithe for actual innlementation?

The immediate implication of all this was that a spectrum of datawnathering techmaues would be heeded by the study. on the one hatio, therefore, we carried out more than 300 etructured interviems as based upon randomly selected sampleg of 40 housem holds per "community". These interviews were in mearly all cases held with the spouse of the household head although there were a few ingtomees 《e.g. widowers and female-headed householde) in which the houtiehold head served as the respondent. The purpose of the intervigus was to quantify various individual and household factors reiated to the study. Ae a supplement to this, howevery information was also needed on the local commumity itself since it is within this particular geographic context that householf-level decisions are made. (Onts mightexpect, for exampley to find lower levels of "modern" health care atijization in barangayk where there are no physicians, midwives or registered matere holding regular affice hours.) A communtylevel impentory of local conditions and resources was therefore
 ante.

Yet a thirg methodolmgiral technique was adopted in the form of a series of jntengive tollow-up interviews with a few selected households. These "case studies" were undertaten with a view towards adding an exploratory, or hypothesis generating, perspec-
tive to the study. Again, this is not an uncommon etratery to follow for a descriptive-type etudy, insofar as anajpses of thiss type will typically employ a variety of datamgathering techmiques (cf. Seldtiz, Wrighteman and Cook, 1976, p. 10e).

## Health Care Factors and the Fural Foor: General Consideration

There are at least two reasons for expecting that the health bare sjtuation of the poor will be iotierently problematice In the first place, it ie quite likely that the fhilifpine poor may well be lese healthy than those persens or householde who are fortubate enough to jive above the poverty line. Foorer families are generally more subject to Euch problems as malmutritiom, umsani-. tary water supply, inadequate housing and high fertility, all of which are in turn inted to higher levels of morbiclity and mortality (a.g. Costello, 199日).

Even as they experience more frequent illnest episodes, members of the poverty class may alec be expected to experience lower levele of accest to adequate health pare facilitiet. Various ; कudies have thus shown lower class households (i.e. those with dow incomes and few aseets including paremtal educam
 upper clamese, their resort to preventive headth care services it lese frequemti, even as their choice of curative strategies is less lifely to lie within the confines of the modern medicaj. approanh fe.g. Fecter, et, 1993 ; Cleland and van Gimmeken,
 pines the very poorest groupe are considerably dess able to utiljae high quality private tector health care facilities. In fact, they are even lese likely than middle stratum familijes to visit one of the govermment-funded clinics or hospitale which were supposedly Eet up to serve their specific needs (Solon, et al., J.وक्). foorer households also tend to delay for a longer period before segking medical care and are generally less litely to comply fully with the course of treatment preserjbed to them.

The nature of the relationship between socioeconomic status and boalth care behaviors has been addreseed by at least tuo major theories. for its part, the sociocultural explanation amphatime whch factort as the overall political climate, oulturm al congitions which encourage or discourage femate autonomy, mass media use amd educationy partioularly maternal education. Foorer householde or mations generally do not fare well an indicatore of these variables, thereby explaining their equally low ranfing on health and mortality measures. Efforte to inculoate improved healdh habite amomy the poor and to mate them a more active or assertive health care elientele, however, could turn this situation around, wem when imoomes and living standards remain low
(e.g Caldwell, 1996). In contrast, the economic paradigm has emphasjaed the manmer is which the low and irregular ineomes accruing to poorer households matce it difficult for them to afford decert care, ever as macro-level economic constrainte (eng. the international debt problem) prevent many Third world govermmente from improving their admittediy inadequate headth care delivery systems (e.t. Crook, 1953)

Assuming that the economic paradigm is at least partly correct, it immediately becomes apparent that the quection of health eare finameing must play an important role in any discussion of the relationehip between poverty and headth. At present, higher juccme families in the country are spending ten to twenty times as much on health eare as is true for the poorest rural groups. Further still, the rural poor are practically ifmored by government-based health insurance programs. Indeed, onjy 30 percent of the philippine population is covered by medicare, with most of these persons comprisimg urban-tased salaried workers and their dependents (Solon et al, 1902, pp. at and 2פ). It is evident that major differentiale of this sort must inevitably be refleeted in parallel inequalities in current health status.

Various suggestione have been made for improving the health care fimancimg options of the poor. Some of these wort within the presemt medicare syetem, attemptimg in one way or another to expand the proportion of households that are covered by this. Thus, appropriate macroeconomic policies for stimulating ecomomic growth could be of some help in this regard, by acceleratimn the structural transformation of the country's labor force out of agriculture and into the urban, formal sector. Unfortunately, progres: in this regard has been slow. (1) This beint the case, exparded guidelimes for medicare coverage might next be conejoered, although here, too, some probleme to have cropped up:
"(The Fhilippines). mehas probably made the
most Eerious effort to expand coverage to the
informal sector, microenterprises and andicul-
tural workers. For instance, the minimum
number of employees required for an enterprise
to be covered has been fradually redueed from
eoo to 1., and agricultural worters, employed
at least half of the year, are now entitled
to coverage However, nomeompliance is
very higho.." (Mesa-Lago, 199e, p. 79).

A mumber of jomovative fimanoing strategies have therefore been Eugqested, both as a means of extending heath care coverage to the poor and as a way of improving the effieiency, and ultimately the quality, of the present delivery eystem. Four of these approaches were diseussed extensively at a kegional seminar on Health Care Financing, which was held in 1937 in Manila fasian Development Fants, Et al., n. d.). These included (1) community
financing wohemes, (e) systems of user charges, (3) various health insurance programs, and (A) new ways of involviry the private sector.

The present study is not the place to diseuss the definitions, strengths and weathesses of these alternative finameing gohemes. Interested readers may be referred to review papers or these approaches which were.prepared for the lianila Seminary particuatrly those by Abel-Smith and Dua (rime), lyers (n.d.) , Griffim (in.d.), Alsin (n.d.) and Andreano and Helminial (n. (d.). What je apparent, though, is that policy or programatic support for one or a mumber of these options camot exist in an informa tional. Yactum. Fesearch is sorely needed on the mammer jin whict poverty groups are presently dealing with their health care probleme as well as on their readinese to take part in orie or anotiver of the proposed altermatives:
"TG achieve greater service atilization effi-
ciency through the design of mechanisms or
incentive structures that will modify the
behavior of consumers and froviders with
respect to service utilization, and through
the design a dej.jery strueture that will
maximize utilization of services and facili-
ties, it is necessary to understand, through
careful researoh and amalyeis, both the demond
and supply factors jofluencing health eervice
utilization" (Herrin, 19Э2, p. eg).

The present tudy takes this goal for its ultimate objective, ir the majo by forusing on demand-side factors found among the different ruraj poverty groupe.

## Major Study Variables

Uariables amalyzed in the present study may be visualized as fallimg within four major blocks: (1) background factors, (2) health eare inpute, (3) health care outputs, and (4) health care fimancing factore.

The most important background factor is, of course, the particular poverty group, or "community" which the responcent anc her family belong to. Other variables found within this bloct are whe etandard sociajy ecomomic and demographic factors: age and wexy education, marital status, religion/ethmicity, jncome (mafitituse and seasonality of), ownership of productive ascete and or consumer durables, media use, accessibility to public ant private health care facjuties. Some background factore refer more to tho household than the individual, e. q. household eixe amd age compositiom.

Health care imputs may be comtrasted along various limes such as modern we, traditional, public vs. private, preventive vs. curative and selfotreatment vs. getting help from a medical specialiet: Uaricus KAF ("hnowledye, attitudes, practice") items relating to these different dimensione were therefore included on the survey jnstrument. Dther health care inputs which were investigated include delays in seefing outsopecalized health care practitiomers and the quality of doctormpatient relations experi... enced in the past. As far as this later factor is concernedy Cleland arat Uan Ginmeten (1999, pu 96 ) see the Thjed worlo poor who seet help from modern eector health care practitionert as undergojmg an experience which is "overwhelming amd bewildering. alien and frightiful, thereby suggesting at least one reaton why they do tifis so rarely and so reluctantly.

An important component of the health inputs question comsiste of health-related expenditures. These can be subetantial, even whem the public-sector delivery system is utilized. As one study has pointed out,

For many rural Indians, the direct and indireet costs of even "free" health care are significant. Surveys oftem show sizabje outwofwpocket expenses for transport, unorfiwial fees, amd purchases of drugs prescribed in free facilities but net availale there. The opportunity costs to poor families of travel ame waitimg time at health facilitiese are-also important (Eerman, 199t, p. 20).

The curvey imetrument used in the present study thus ineluded questione on anch of these dimensions for all illomeses which occupred (amd were treated) durimg the month precedimg the sur-.. vey $\quad$ Additaonal questione werfe also athed on health care expenditures jocurred during the most recent occasion when a family member was hospitalized.

The bealth care outputs measured in this study revolve around the twin poles of morbidity and mortality. Data are avail... able on infant/childhood deathe and on the type of illmess experienced for cases of morbidity and mortalityy as well as on chronic diseases and dieabinities.

The health care financing variables may refer either to the current sjtuation of the respondent in this regard or to their attithede towards various proposed schmes. For the former cate it will be jmportant to see just how well (or how poorly) the cur... rent Medjcare pregram is coverimg our respondente we wijl aleo want to bonow about membership in 2 ocal Hoge, about coverage by other health cape fimameing mechanisme sean worl-baced programe, privabe dreurance programe and about the meane by which the respondente were able to raise sufficient funds to pay for what-
ever medical emergencies may have arisen during the past fev years. In this abter case wh might well expect heavy reliance upon informal funding sources, such as loans from friends or relatives. (e)

In other cases, questions of a more bypothetical sort have been ashed so as to provide some'feedbact on alternative finanoing schmee. Would the respondent being willing to pay some modest amount for the use of publiehealth eare facilities? It ehe amenable to joining a health care fund euch as those now operat-ing in Thailand (Myers, mod.)? Would the adult household members be willimg bo offer their labor for a commumity self-help project? If to, what stille can they contribute in thite regard? Answers to these and eimilar questiome could help to desjan more successful health care fimancing prourame in the years to come.

Appendix I offers further detaile on the etudy's empjrical frameuort by listing all study variables measured durimg the course of the household Eurvey.

## Eural Foverty Groups Compared: Some Initial Hypotheses

If the above represente, for the most part, a detailed listime of the etudy's many "fependent variabjes" it is perhaps appropriate at this time to say something about our major explanatory factor.

The generat assumption here is that the different sustemance patterns umder obeervation (farmingy agricultural labor, fjehing) will be it gome ways associated with corresponding differences in livimg etandarde, world views, patterms of health care utiliantion, and the lif:e. A full-fledged explication of this argument is beyond the scope of this study but a few illustrative examples may perhaps be given.

To begin with, a certain amount of inter-community variation may be expected as far as income and living standards are concermed. Cone would expect, for example, that the earnings reported by landless worrers will generally be enaller than those found for the emall-scale farmers. This problem may well be most apparent for those workere employed on rice farms insofar as this now appears to be evolving into something of a declinjng industry (Lopez 1993). Fice farms in Northern Mindanao also tend to be rather wmaly thereby implying that landlese worters in this industery wil. not have a tingle regular employer, that they widj. froguentily be out of work, and that whatever wages they are able to eamm may often fail to meet the standards set by minimum wage legishation. (3) In comparison, both sugar and rubber are plantation crope, a ejtuation which may offer certain advantages to persone worting in these two incustries. for exampley wome of larger plantations may have agreed to offer sss and Medicare benefite to their regular worteres)

AE Tor the municipal tithermeng a mumber or stuozet nave emmerated their economic constraints: a generally low level of trechmology, marleteng and credit difficulties, increased competition from commercial trawlers and probable declines in fimh stocks, as due to various environmental problems ee-g. IEraelSobritchea, $199 E ;$ Herrin, et al, 1979 ; Ardales and Davja, $1985 ;$ Samonte and ontega, 19Эコ). Here, too, however, gome intermal variation might well le found within this apparently homogencous groupu fon particular, we would expect the wubersetence fishermen of Medina bo be experiencing even greater financial hardenife than thote in Opol. Opol is located adjacent to Cagayan de Oro, thereby malsing available other (part-time) employment opportunitiest along with greater martiet acecess. Gome of opolye younger fishermen have also found werte on the handful of commercial trawlere that regularly doot there.

Other differentials might also be posited at this point. Landless agriculturaj. worbers should be somewhat younger than the small-weale farmersy if only because of the general, secular trend over time towards decreased availability of farmand, on either an ownerehip or tenancy bases (cf., for example, Suner and Cabacungany dges). In the sugar industry, too, it might be expected that plamtation worlecte will be less able to requewt help from Extended relatives during medical energencies. At presenty many of these worlewe were "imported" fron liegros by the big
 tive may well be humdreds of miles away.

## Endnotes

1. 

"The Fhilippine economy is characterized by unusually slow structural transformation. The fercentage of employment in industry in general, and in manufacturing in particular has remained stamant at around eo percent for the 1 at 30 yoars" (Solon, et al, 1392, p. 13). 2
Cf., however, Sohmiot (139e, pp. e5-ee) who motes that "today, many traditional. systems camot quarantee minimal protec... tion to their members any more. These communities are overburdened by the task of maintaining customary compensation mechanishs while, at the same time, leeping pace with the rapio sociaj. and economio transformation. In the abeence of complementary state imetitutionsy reciprocal networks, based on mutual help, become increatjmgly important." 3

In her study of landless agricultural workers in Central Luzon, Ma, Corazon J. Veneracion (IgBj) found that wortors ir rice were earming less than those in either tobacco or eugar. The rice morters, however, were more lifely than thoes in the sumar industry to have aceess to one or anotier secondary income source.

# Chapter Two. Community Frofiles of the Small Farmer, Landless and Fishing Groups 

## Introduction:

In this chapter we will attempt to give a broad overview of the eight commurities under obeervation in this study Data fave betentaten from a special instrument--the "Communty-level Ineti-tutional Chectijest"-developed specifically for this purpose.

Guestions on the chectinst refer to the fresence or absence of Eeveral intettutional services in the barangay" These include , transport and communication facilities; schoole, health care centers, and major business establishmentsj electrical, water and irrigation infrestructures; availability and cost of some common consumer goods; and the presence and viability of nongovermmentaj. organiantions (HGOS). Fiespondents were lnowledgeable persons living in the baramgay--edg. the Earangay Captaing church officials, HGD leaders and clinic adminietratore. Amswers biven by the various "hey informants" were in all cases compared and reconciled before the final profile was established.

## Commumity Frofijes

Smal Farmere. Small farmere, both tenants and owners, were interviemed in three contrasting commonities. These consisted of corn farmere in Farangay Kauyonan (Kitaotao, Eutadmon), cocomut cultivators in a rural barangay (Odiongan) of gingoon city, Misamig Oriental and the highly inaccessible upand barangay of Mat-i, Caveriar mjeamis Oriental.

In werms of accessibility to Cagayan de oro these are roughly equivalant. Mat-i lies closest to Cagayan de oro in terms of strainhtidme distance but the fifteen biloneter trip to the town center is fairly expensive and infrequent 8 pesos one way, with about one trip being made every hour). Tt is another as filometers to Cagayarn, with that trip tationg about an hour. Odiongan is connected to gimgoog's poblacion by a concrate road. The trip takes about $e 0$ minutes on a jeepney and coste 3 pesos. The subeequent riale to cagayan then takes about two hours coingoog is located 1 e9 filometers east of (agayan de orol. kitaotao jate more than 150 lilometers due south of Cagayan. A jeepney ride, costing a pesos, ts abso moded to get from kauyonan to the town center.

Electricity is found in all three study sites. The froportions of atl households comested ranges from about two-thirds in Odiongan to hajf in Mat-i and only about a guarter in Kanyoman. Hone of the householde in the latter setting, along with only a handful in odiongan have piped water. In comparison, about a third of Mati-i's households have this service.

There are no irrigation or communication facilities in either Dijomgan or Kauyonan. About fifty of mat-i"e farms are irrigated. You cam also send an Emergenty message from there via the logal forest guarde radio. All locales had an elementary school and a Itaramyay Health Stationa None had a dentist or a pharmacy. Kauyonam has no etecondary school but the other two baramgays do mave acoess to such a faciljty. Foth the Department of Agricutture and the DSuD operate a few proprame in Kauroman. These are alse availatig in the other two efettinge along with programs from the Fhilippine Coconut Authority and the Dhe (Oniongan) amd the DEbN"s Integrated Social Forestry program in


Matwi has two mmall eateries. Odiongan one and kauyonan mome at all. Kauronan also has fewerzetilled wortere di.e. nonfarmers like bailors or blacksmiths) and suffers from somewhat higher prices for basio comsumer items (e-g. soap, fiegesic). Hone of the three locations has a large buginess estatisishment.

Kauyoman i s serviced by only onte cooperative, the Kauroman Multi Furpose Cooperative, or kmpen It has fifty members and a duly elected set of offjecre. A similar organization (the odiongan Multi-murpose Cooperatives also operates in odiomgan, along
 Farmer" $\#$ AEsociation and a DAF-affiliated organization for landlese agricultural. worters. Mat-i is eharacterized by the mogt complex tit of non-governmental organjations. These include the Soil and Water Concervation Foundation, the Isf Farmere, Fereficiaries Ascociation, the Datu Manaro Tree Farmers, Association, the Farola Tree Farmers Froject Acsociation, the Mat-i Fural Waterworls and Samitation Association, the Matioj Irrigatores Aseociabion, tho Integrated Farmer's Cooperative and the Mat-i Multimpurpose Cooperative. A number of these have been set up in connection with the forestry stewardship program of the DENR, Others are based on local concerns, Euch as irrigation, water and the tomato rrewing dndustry.

Lnodyese Anricultural Worbere. The first subeample of lamo less workers was taken from families in Earangay Dumarait, malingasady Hisamis Orithtal. The household heads in this case have no secure position but must instead hire themselves out on local rice farms whenover some wort becomes available.

Walimgasag is loceted 4E kilometers east of Canayan de Oro. A jeepmey trip betwewn these two locales costs 10 pecos amotates about in hour. Another twenty to thirty minutes is then required to get to Dumarajt, which is accesexterendy to motorelawy oftem after a lemgthy wate

The eecond group of reepondente contisets of suger workere in arangay Futomg, Guezon, Fukidmon. Several darge haciendas bave een established in this area, including one being operated by he Futsjomon sugar Company (EuSCO). FuSCO also operates a sugar ill in Quezon, a town located about tso. Hiometers south of agayan de oro. sa bus trip takes about thtee and onewhaf hours, ith a cost of fifty peeos. The jeepney ride from Quezon's pobla… ion to Futong costes an additional five pesos. )

The third etudy site for landese workers lies within the umicipajaty of Talakag. Eukidnon. This munitipaljty bordere iagayan on the south. Jeepreys ply the route at a cost (one-way) of thirteen pesoc per trip. Talatan is located ir a moderately slevated setting and stidl retains Eome forest cover. A major noustry js the cultivation of rubber trees. For our sample we those forty rubber plantation worbers who were residing in faranby $\operatorname{san} \mathrm{T}$ aidrom

All three study sites are eerviced by electricity. Coverage is highest in Futong and least common in Sam Isidro. San Isidro ind Dumarait both lack any sort of waterworks, whereas about a Fourth of the householde in futong have piped water. ©This is a urivate mervice for the Eusco werters.) Fadios are available for in emergemcy communication in Butong and san feidro, but not in Jumarait.

The inetitutional profilg of futong is the most highly feveloped among the three study sites. The barangay has three alementary/primary schooley five olinics cone whs and four pria pately run odmies operated by the larber haciendast, a dentiet (from ausco), a dozen large business estabjestments, everal. Faterise and a fairly jarge number of goveriment programs or วffices fDA, DTI, DAF, Etc.). It has a baranyay council with an musuaday a arge budpety drawn from taxes imposed on local estab1ishméntw。

Dumarait appears to rank the lowest in terms of institution al complexity. It has a public elementary school but still lacks a flarangay Health Station. There is no dentist, pharmacy, eatery, or large business establichment.

Gan rejdro has all institutione found in Dumarait along with an addjtional primary Echool, the EHS, two eateries and two large businest establishments sthe FAFBECO rubber plantation and a paper min!.

AII of the bacic comsumer items inquired about during the course of our eommumity survey (tiogesic, Vicks vapor Eub, toilet paper, soap, sugar, mill, bandages, newspaper, ort solution and razor blades) could be purchased in Futong- Thie was the only interview sjte in the study for which this was true, Frices, however, were slightly higher in futong than in the other two locales, frobably because of the greater distance to cagayan de oro.

Eutong has three major cooperatives. Two of these are aseo. eiated with the sugar industry workers. A third ethe Futong handlests Farmers, and Worters' Multi-Furpose Cooperatives has been set up for the purpose of acquiringilands under the rew comprehensive Agrarian Feform Frogram (CAFFi).

The major MGO in San lesatro is the Firet Agrarian Feform Demeficiaries Cooperative (FAREECO). Thas orgamization acquired a formerly Menai-owned rubber plantation under the CARF. It now operates the plantation on a cooperative basis. Anctier capp... related NGO ©the Associates for Commundty Fural Developmemty or ACCORD $i s a l$ as kated in San Isidro.

Three NGOs were located in Dumarait. One of these (the Dumarait Multi-Furpose Farmere' Cooperative) has been set up mainly for small farmers while another (Dumarait Comprehenejve Agrarian Reform Farners" Association) appeals more to the land... less agricultural worters. There is also a fairly active youth group--the Camacawan Youth and Civie Organization. In the last few years this group has constructed a bashetbalj court and a multi.wpurpose bujidimg.

Fishing Communties. Interviews were conducted in two fish ing communtite. The firet of these was Farang ay Luyong fonbom in the municipality of Opol, Hisamis Oriental. This was consjomered to be the "marleet integrated" fishing community because of its accessibility to the regional capital of Cagayan de oro. Opol lies immedsately adjacent to Cagayany on its western boumdery. A jeephey ride between the two cities coste about four pesos amd takes omsy twonty- five minutes.

Aceowding to our imformants, most homes in Luyong Fonbon are serviced by electricity and Riped water facilities. The local economy je stilj. fairly simple, although two large butinest establjehments $\{$ a warehouse operated by San iniguel and a processing plant for a prawn exporting firm have opened up in the past few yeare A few specialized workers live in the area (dressmaker, mechanic) and one can also buy a number of simple concumer jtems \{gugar, soap, milk, bandages, razor blades, toilet paper; but neither a newspaper nor OFT pactetes). Even soy many resjdente prefer to conduct theit shopping in cagayan, where selection is 日reater and prices sidithtiy cheaper.

Four large boats operate out of opol's port. Only one of these is owned by a local. resident.

The baramgare imstitutiomal. prefile is nodest but sufficient for most purposes. There is public elementary school but the ondy DoH clinic ig in the town poblacion, found near to Luyong monbon. The doctor aseigned to the cidinie comes on Tuesdays and Thuredays. There is also a full-time mionife and a volunteer health worker. The nearest drug store is in the town center, although one can buy monpreseription drugs at local aari-
sari stores. The goverrment's Fisheries Fureau is credited with rumning a few programs. Occasional immunization and feeding programs are also held. There is one major NGO--the Rural Waterworks Sanitation Association. This tas a Eoard of Directors which meets once or tuice every month.

The execond fighing community as Earangay Morth/south in the town of Medina. This municipality is also jocated in Misamie Oriental. but in this case the trip takes about two and orewhaje hours. (The fare is also concomitantly larger at about es pesos per trif. A An additiomal twenty to sixty minutex, depending on whether one walles or tatees a motorela, is needed to get from the baramgay to the town renter.

Fost resicderts in the area are credited by our informants as enjoying both electrical service and piped water. There are no large establishments, howevery and the only consumer items available locally are sugar and soap. There is no health elinic or pharmacy within the barangay, but traditional healers are available, along with a Farangay Health Worter.

The barangay lacke any comection to the outsje world (telephone, radio). It must also mate dowithout an elementary school. The great majority of residents are Foman Catholic, as opposed to the predomimance of aglipayans and seventh ady fduan tiste in kuyomg monbon. There are two major HGO\%s operating in the commumity the Fural Improvement Center (fic) and the Seatide 'Associatiom. The former ig a women'g group with about 15 active members. Tt operates a eanteen and gives chasese in cooking and sewing. The latter is less formally structured and does mot at present taye a set of officers.

## Hearth Facijities

Table e.l provides an overview of the medical facilities available in the eightstudy communities. In two instances (Dumarait and Luyong Fonbon) there is no Dof facjility in the barangay, mot even a simple EHS. In the lateer case this ig perhape not a major concerm since both the town center and the nearby eity of Cagayari de Oro can be reached fairly quickly via a jeepney ride along the all-weather highway.

Fost barangay-baged elinicg are poorly equipped, lacking ag they do ful. $-t i m e d o c t o r s$ or murses and the more sophisticated instrumentes for a 1 aboratory or eurgical procedure. A posejele exception to this rule is found in the case of the sugar area (Futorig). In this case there is not only the publije facility (THE) but also froee private olinirs operated by the jarger plantations. Our field investigator was not able to gajn acoess to these ciniose we are therefore unsure as to their staffing and equipments. Gur general impression, though, is that these company-based elinjos are superior to the typical farangay Health Stations.

Table 2.1 --Health Facilities Available for the Eight Study Communities

|  | Kayyonan (Corn) <br> Btgy. Toun |  | Odiongan (Coconut) |  | Mlat-i: (Upland) |  | Dunarait (Rice) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Brgy- | Town | Brgy. | Yown | Brgy. | Yown |
|  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |
| Suber | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| ipe | EHS | RHU | HHS | Various | EHS | Various | (none) | Various |
| ketors | 0 | 1 | 0 | $20+$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 |
| mines | 0 | 2 | 0 | $20+$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 |
|  | a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minives | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | $20+$ | 1 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| Wher professions represented | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Ws | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1004 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| quiprent: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. . Kit | * | * | * | * | * | * | 0 | * |
| finle | * | * | 0 | * | * | * | 0 | * |
| - mistoscope | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | * |
| hatal Chair | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | * | 0 | * |
| ! 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | k |
| mosthesia | 0 | 0 | 0 | * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.1--(Continued)


In cases where the patient is brought to the town centers the provieion for hie or her health care jes universally improved. All towns are ewrved by at least one doctor although the physia cian of Opol. ${ }^{\text {e Rural Health Unit only holds office hours for two }}$ days a week. Many families in the town commute to cagayan when someone is gick. Nurses and miduives are also umiversally foumd in the town centers, although the aituation for other medical professjonals $\quad$ medical technolomiets, $x$-ray techmicians, nutritionists, dentists, etc. $i s$ more varjable. Medical equipments are also improved although most towns are not frepared for any thing approactimg major surgerya Overally Odiongan rated as the barangay with the greatert accese to a full eet of health care facijities. This is due to ite proximity to the poblacion of Gimgoog City. Luyong Eonbon is.also close enough to Cagayan de Oro to do well in this regard. rKauyonan, Hat-i, and Sam TEidro appear to rank as the weakest on the question of aceess to health care facilitues.

## Other Community-1evel fiformation-

In this section we briefly jesoribe some additiomal factore about the ejght communities studied, as were related to our field workers by the bey informants. In the ease of Kauyonamy the majn problem faced by local residents is the fluetuating price of corn. At the time of our first visit (Aunust 1992) corn wess seljing for wix to beven pesos per kilo. Within the next three months, howevery this had plunged to only ma. 50 . Given the high cost of famm inputs, many farmers ended up losing money on their December corn erop. According to eur informants, the barangay has been experiencing a net outflow of people during the fast decade. This is particularly true for the landless families in the area.

Odiongan is located in the morthern part of Gingoon City, along the coastal highway. Most families support themselves through farming or fishing. As with the corn farmers of Kauyonang a major problem in the area consiets in the fluetuating prices for farm products, in this case copra. In 1990 prices reached as low as pis. 50 per kilo. This later shot up to nine pesos in 1992 but had fallen again to pengo as of our last visit (Jamury 1993). Mamy of the coconut tress in the barangay are old now, thereby peducing thejr output.

In a more positive vein, the people of odiongan were reported by our informante to be willing to work together under a baxamitan wort: arrangement (in local parlance this is eajued the gahina wyetem. . They have constructed their Faramgay Hali in thic manner, along with eome wating sheds.

The upland and isolated location of hat-i has affected it it many waye. About half of the land in the baramgay is still for ested. However, small-time (illegal) logiers are gtill at wort i: the area, leading to ever-yrowing denudation. Mamy of the farmer are trying to acquire tenure to their land under the DEMF": social forestry program. About a hundred fatmilies moved into ths barangay in the hopes of gaining lawd in this way. Most of these applicamte are now romplaining that thejr papers are takimg tos long to. be procesesed.

Mat-m was the geene of fierce fighting between gowernmen troops and the NFA during the 1990 . More than fifty people wer billed at this time. Many families were forced to evacuate. Th present situation is more peaceful. Many farmers are now growin cash orope, dike beans and tomatoesn Agajng however, prices hava fluctuated widely during the past decade.

Farms in Dumarajt are generally small. Rice is the maja crop. The barangay is commected to the town center by a grave road. It has an elementary school but lacks a health center, s. that reaidents have to go to phe of two nearby barangaye if the want to visit a PHS.

A mew amoustry in the community uas introduced by the ettab. 1isumemt of three new papaya farms in 199 . There is also recruiter worting in the area who brings teenage girls worl i: Manjla as domestic helpers.

The barangay of Eutong is in many ways the most abypica commonity included in the study. The four large haciendas foun thereif have led to many changes, such as the establishment o nonapricultural enterprises (emall stores, eateries, wuleanizin shops, etc. . Tax collection is also signjificant, so that tho amnual. budget for the barangay government now stands at over million pesos.

In migration to the community has been heavy. Many of the newcomers are sugar worters from Hegros. There have aleo bee some attempte by organized squatter groups to "invade" some o the sugar estates. These have not been successful, however Indeed, two of the squatters were lilled in a recent confronta tion over this issue.

Gan Teddro is the northermose barangay of Talakay Thas pute it only 24 lijometers away from Cagayan de Oro. (In order t reach the city, though, you must firet travel eouth so as to pas: through the Talatag town center.)

The Menti Development Corporation sa large anriculdura enterprise wats ordered by the government (Departnent of Anraria Reform to award its lands to an association of rubber workers the FAFEECO. There are still some unsettled legal questien concerning thie issue, but the FAFEECO is now operating th, plaritation.

Meyond the boundaries of the platoation, several small farme are also founcl in the baramgay. The main crop is come As with Ddiongan and Dumarait, local informante said that the people are still wildjing to work together under the pabina gystem.

For the two fishing communities, some conformity was found to the experted pattern of Luyong Fonbon being a more "market integratad" area. Four large fiehing boats operate out of thit port, thus allowing for a comparisom in this case between the fishermen which work as paid/commiseioned employees on these boats and thase who still operate their own benca. For thoes in the latter aategory, data frem the municipal profile indicate that about seventy-five percent of these are working out of a non-moteriaed eraft. The most common fishing gear listed for this broup ise aldo the simplegt: hoot and line. Lees tham half own nets.

Competition between the big ard small boats is apparent. The municipal fishermen say they have to do their fishing far from Dpol since the presence of industrial establishments and arger boates has reduced their fixh catch. Gometimes, though, a mhall 'isheman may wort in coordination with one of the big boats. He gots ahead of the larger wesese at might, wearohing (with lights) for a ectiool of fish. Drice this is logeted he sends a message to the barger hoat. After the fish are eaught the fieterman is then entitled to ome-eighth of the ratch, borters on the large boats are also patd on a percentage basis.

The mundeipality of opolaxtende far indand, into mone imaceeceible upland areas. In order for a midwife to reach theew places she woudd either have to walk ald day or pay eighty pesos (one way). One of the advantages of Luyong fombon is thus its ease of accessibility. The barangay ajso benefits from a watepworts program buift in 1.930 with govermment and foreign aseistance. At present, water ig even more available in this barangay than in Opol'e poblacion.

For barampay Horth/South (Medimal there are no large boats and no major industries. The distance from Cagayan is much greater than for Opoly although one smaller chartered city (oingoog) is rejatively close. Most of the barangay residente have acoese to piped water. The town is alse terved by a National Comprehensive High School, a privately-run puriculture eenter, the 10 oh Fural Health hrot and a dentieto Most of the fighing householde in the area stifer from low amd fluctuating incomes.

## Gummary

That chapter has presented data on community-uevel characteristios for the eight different groupis being compared in this study. $B t$ is experted that the information fresented herein may be of some utility in interpreting the survey resulte which wjul. be presected in the next six chapters. For exampee the presence of a jarae mumber of company-run tiendth clinice in emtont will perhape facilitate aceecs to health care services naong the surar
 Dunayait do mot fawe this sort of opportunity ta facty thejr home batamgay does not even have a Dof-run farangay Health station, thengty lewding us to believe that thje group may well rante among the lowest in terms of bealth care servicese The accesejbility prothem may also loom large for the corn farmers of maramgay Kallochan, which lies far from any major urban gemter and for whon the pof midwife cones only one day a weelt.

# Chapter Three. Social, Demographic and Econom: frofiles of the Three Study Groups 

Introduction and Sampling Considerations

Data presented in this chapter have been taten from the ousehold aurveys conducted in the eight communities. They are soncermed ohiefly with the demographiey social and economice characteristice of the different study groups Unless otherwise oted, the sariple size for this analyeis is approximately 3 go
 interview in each of the eight barangays.

Fesearch assistants initiated the survey phase of the study by obtainimg from the barangay captain a list of all local resijents. They went over the list carefully with this official <or sone other knowledgeable informant) to determine which persons on the list were ejigible for interview (i.e. which households were readed by fishermen, landless rice farmers, etc, forty housem colds were then selected randomly from each of the resulting lists, uith verification then being made in the field as to Wether or not the household had been properly categorized by the local informant. For example, some "handese" rice worleert were found to be worling on one of the local papaya farms, or to own some farmland. Elimimations were made and substitutions provided in all Eucti cases.

Even though the individual respondents were sejected in a random fashion, results from this study canot be generalized to any larger geographic area, such as Northern minclanao or the nisamitwFukidnon subrerion. This. is so becauce of the purposive nanner in which each study community was selected. Honethefess, He have aone ahead and reported the results of some simple (bivariate) statistical tests, so as to provide a unjform standard for aseessing the magnitude of intergroup differences.

## Empirical Findings

Social and pemonraphie Fertore. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 present some of the major background factors associated with each group. vote that in these misalyeet we are concentrating chiefly upon the comparatjue characeeristics of the small farmer, landjess and fishimg groupen Additional, and more detadled tabulations have also been made, fowever, for the eight separate communities. Even though these data will not be fully and formally presented in this chapter, they will typically be referred to in a summary fashion, so as to give some indication of wariations which can exist within the larger (aggregated) groupings.

Table 3. $1-$-gocial and Demographici Frofile of Threw Fural Foverty Groups, Horthern mindanao, d.g日e

1. Ant of Houcebold Head (meam mubor of yeare)
Farming
45.8
$F=20.98, p<.001$
Land aese
36.1
Fichima
41.0
2. Spoutere flace of Eirthy (percent born outside ef Eenion $x$ )

| Farming | 29.2 | $x:=7.64, p<-05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landiess | 46.2 | $w=-1.5$ |
| Fishing | $35-0$ |  |

3. Husbagd"e Ehace ef Firth Spercent born outeide Feqion x)

|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farming | 36.0 | $x:=$ | 7.15, | P | く | - 0 |
| L-mmedtess | ¢3. 4 | $v=$ | - 1.5 |  |  |  |
|  | 42.3 |  |  |  |  |  |

4. Languape gpoben at Home Spercent speating some 1anguage other than CEtwanos

|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -artajimg | 14.2 | $x:=$ | 14.11, | F | . 001 |
| handless | 19.2 | $v=$ | - 21 |  |  |
| Fituram | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |

5. Eeligign (percent non-Catholic).

| Farming | 25.9 | $x^{2}=10.06, p<.01$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landese | 13.3 | $v=-18$ |
| Fishing | 31.2 |  |

6. Housghold size (mean mumber of residents)
Farming $\quad 6.0 \quad F=$ e. $76, \mathrm{P}$ :.10
handlese
E. 3
Fischimg
5.6

Table 3.e-meducationg Media Use and Community Farticipation among Three Fural Foverty Groups, Horthern Mindanao, 1992

1. Edreation ef Household Head (mean mumber of yedres)

| Farming | 5.2 | $F=2.60, p<-10$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landiess | 5.6 |  |
| $F i=h i n g$ | 6.0 |  |

2. Education of spouse (mean number of years)

| Farming | 5.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landes | 6.5 |
| $F i \operatorname{shing}$ | 7.1 |$\quad F=3.50, p<-05$

3. Ability to Speat Ennlish eperoent able to speat: "well" or ""altule")

| Farming | 40.8 | $x=10.45, p<-01$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landigs | 55.0 | $0=-1.8$ |
| Finhing | 63.3 |  |

4. Ability to speab Tanalon spercent able to speat "well" or "a $1 \times+1+5$ ")

|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Framing | 49.8 | $x=$ | 6. 5 , | P |  | . 02 |
| Lambless | 64.2 | $V=$ | - 1.6 |  |  |  |
| Fichina | 67.5 |  |  |  |  |  |

5. Farifuges (reprent 1 istenjing "every day")

|  | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farming | E6. 7 | $x=$ | 16.37; |  | < | -001 |
| Landleas | 79.3 | $v=$ | - 33 |  |  |  |
| Fiching | \% 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

 "frequentty"


Table 3. e-- (Comtinued)
7. Feading Hewspapers (percent who eometimes read)

9. Earticipation in Eefinious Groups (mean mumber joined)

| Farming | 0.35 | $F=2.72, p<-10$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laniodess | 0. อ¢ |  |
| Ficshing | 0.J. 6 |  |

9. Farticipation in Community Groups (mean number joined)

| Farming | 0.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fandest | 0.38 |
| Fanimg | $0.3 E$ |$\quad F=16.88, p<-001$


river first nroun mentioned)

|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farming | 42.7 | $x:=$ | 11.5e, | $p$ | 01 |
| Landlyess | 59.0 | $v=$ | - 23 |  |  |
| Fithimg | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left(H^{\prime}=141\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Head! of farming households appear to be significantyy older, or average, than those for either of the other two groupe. In comparison, landese worleses smany of whom were borm after the chance to homestead lamd in Mindamac had aloeady passed) are the youngest. Indeed, sugar worters average only 33.5 years of age, whereas the typicet eoconut farmer $x=$ more than fifty these differential abe profiles should be hept in mind during subeequent reperte since it is likely that they will affect both the various health imdicators as well as health care eervice utilization and expenditures.

A littie more than a thind of all respondents are in migrants to the study areas from some other region of the fhilippines. Thie pattern is most comom among the laraless agricultural worters and their spouses, particularly thoge for the subcommunities $\quad$ muolved in sugar and rubber production. The former group is commonly aldened to come largely from the sugar growing areas of Fiegion UI (Western Visayas), a pattern which is further confirmed by the data on language spoleen at home. (A arge majority of all respondents are Cebuano speakers except for the case of eugar cultivators, in which $e a s e ~ o n l y ~ 4 B$ percent speak this language at homen)

Fice worbers, uphand farmers, and representatives of toth fishing groupe are dese difely to be migrants. These groups are also dominated very heavily by Cebuanos.

While the overall percentage Catholic appears to be aroumd 85 percent for the fhilippines, this figure falls to only a littie more than three-quarters for the rural poverty groupe taken as a whole. The proportion of mon-catholics is hithest anong fisherfolts and farmers. In the former case, however, there is a rather extreme variation between the martet-linted fichers (wherey by historical accident, a majority or local resjafints belong to the Aglipayan religion) and the subsistence fishermen, which turned out to be entirely latholic. About a third of the upland and corn farmers are Frotestantsy thereby indieating that Frotestant prowelytizing efforts may be bearing fruit among geographically isolated members of the small farming class.

Household sizes are, on average, largest for farmere and smallest for the landess worters. This finding may be related either to tie lower lovels of liwing believed to te foumd among this latter group or to their younger age profile. Again, however, come juteresting antrabroup variatione are apparent. In particular, the upland farmers have by far the largest households (7. m mbers on averate), whereas the corn farmers ramby alomg with the sugar and rice wortere, dowest in that regard.

Table 3ne continues with the atove analysis, in this case focusing on educational attaimment, media use and membership in communjty organizationt. As things turn out, these three "social-
izing" factort do mot correlate that higrly with one another. Among the fisherfoths, for exampley eturational levels are relan tively high while participation in extra-familial groupinge $\dot{\operatorname{jom}}$ decidedly low. This group also ranke low on radio and newspaper use, at the same time rating highest on the question about tele... vision viewing. This laterer finding may well be attributable to the proximity of the marbet-linked fishing community to Cagayan de Oro. Fully 7 E percent of the respordents from opol said that they were watehing television on at least an occasional basjen In comparisong only about a quarter of the farmers lisumin in the most isobated locales (corn and upland farmers) were able to do this. $x t$ is poseible that the reseption of TV wignals may be particularly problematio in these areas.

Intragroup variations are aleo in evidence for some of the other variables. Om the educational indicatorg the intermediate position of the landess worbers actually turns out to be an agolomeration of a fairly high level of educational attainment for the rice and rubber wortere as combined with a very low level (ondy 3.0 years on average for the acee of household heade) among the whidar worbers. Sugar mowters and upland farmers also ramb low in terms of their professed ability to speat ejther English or Tanajom.

Variations in TV viewership has been summarized aboved For the question about listening to the radio, all three of the landless groups rant high, with more than eighty percent of the rice worler househojds listening on a daily basis. Hewspaper reading is not especially common among amy of the groups under observation. More than hadf of the members of the farming and landless groupe and sixty percent of the fisherfolk admitted that they "mever" have aceess to this type of media. these patterne are particularly evident among upland farmers and sugar worters.

The Jow level of group participation in the fithing communities has been alluded to above. This may be related in some fashion to some wortimg patterns found among this group which will be ditecuseed gubeequently (Jn generaly fishermen wort long houre every day; their wives are also more libely to be employed in some capacity. ) Farticipation in both rejigicus and community groupe was found to be highest among the rubter worters and, in particular, the coconut farmers. In contrast, sugar and rice wortere have very lew levele of participation in both typee of groups. Tin fact, mot a single household member from the sugar commanity was found to belong to a conmunity organization.

In the cast of the 141 respondents who did belong to at least one commanity organizationg a follow-up question was asted concerning the hature of this group. A lit'use lese than hajf of thees cases reported that they were members of a eooperative or eredit union. This later pattern was most commonjy founcl among the coconut farmers and the rubber workers. \&Hote that the formeCo Corporation mentioned in théprevious project report was beimg
run on a cooperative bacje. Fer their part, exactly, half of the cocomut farmers reported themselves as being members of the former Gamahamy Nayong which is now ealled the Odiongan Multi-purpose Cooperative.)

Levels of luvirg. Table 3.3 initiates our investigation into the ecomomic status now being experjenced by the different study groupse We begin in this case with data on their housing conditions. The general pattern appears to be that the jandless agria cultural worters ramb lowest in this regard. Farmers are somevhat more lifely to own thejr homes, and may also be living in stronger duellinge. ncoest bo services. fpiped water, tailets, electricity) is relatively good in the fishing commanities, while the landexs scope low on mearly all indicators.

If we ge into these patterms in more detail, we can firct note that hone ownerehip is particularly high among the coconut and corn groupings. In comparisony ald landjess groups-opartioularly those in the sugar industry- ramb low on this dimemsion. Forty, thirty and twenty-eight percent, respectivejy, of the sugar, rice and rubber workers do not own their home. For coconut and corn farmers these figures fall to only about ten percent.

Accese to Electricity is good for both fishing proups, especially the one residing an Opol. Corn farmers <ae percert combeted to electriciby) and subar worteers (none of which had an electrical connections score lowest in thjs case.

Fesulte for the question on piped water were similar in patterm, though the overall aseociation is even stronger in this case. Himety percent or more of both fishing groups enjoyed access to this basic service, as compared to only eight percemb of all coconut farmers, five percent of the corn, farmers and none of the sugar worters.

One result of the above finding is that the smadi farmer and landsess worler mroupe must spend considerably more time on average--ten times as much, in fact--in fetching water than do members of the fisherfoll households. Com farmers, in particular, needed am arerage of almost 49 minuteg to fetch water each day. One can inagine the difficulties entailed in the pursuit of cleandiness and ganitation when go much time is needed to even get a single pail of water.

About three quarters of the two fishing groupe claimed ownership of a water-wealed toilet. This was particularly commom ( 82 percent) in the market-linked community of opol. In comparison, 4 , percent of the corn farmers and only 12 percent of the sugar worbere emjoyed acceat to thise type or tojuet.

Title 3. 3--Housingmpelated Variables among Three Fural Foverty Groups, Horthern mindenac, lage

1. Hore numerghip ppercent owring)

| Farmimg | 87.5 | $x=15.24, ~ P<-001$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lammdese | 67.5 | $y=-2{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Fixhing | B6. |  |



| Farmimg | 45.0 | $e$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lamdese | 40.0 | $y=10 . a E, p<-01$ |
| Finhimg | 63.3 |  |

3. Acsese to fiped Water (percent comented)

| Farming | 32.5 | $x:=92.61, p<-001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landese | 33.3 | $v=-54$ |
| Fiching | 95.6 |  |

4. Yime Fuqured to fetrh water (mean muber of minutes)

S. Yes of roilet epecent withonter-sealedtoilet)

|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farming | 46.7 | $x=$ | 16.61, | P | く | .001 |
| L. and 1 es cs | 45.8 | $v=$ | . 23 |  |  |  |
| Fimhtor | $7{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. 3-- (Continued)
6. Matecialefor Walle fercent made from emooth poor or hollow B1actas

7. Wetarials of Figor Epercent made from stromg materials)

| Farming | 67.5 | $x=23.73, p<.001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lundiese | 33.3 | $v=-30$ |
| Fiching | 45.0 |  |

8. Eoofing Materials spercent made from stronn materjals)


A iatte more than a marter of all fisherfolt had a home wioh used good quality materiale (hollow blocks or smoothly eut. vood) for its walls. Anain, this was more common (3e percent) in Jpol. Few members of the other groups; including none at all. among poth the sumar worters and the updand farmers, could olajm lisewise.

Smad. farmers as a general group did comparatively well for the questions on flooring and roofing materialg. Corn farmers scored bigh on both measures, while the rice worters ranted dow in both inetances. Results for the other groups on these two survey itteme were mixed.

Table 3.A comtinued thig line of investigation by presenting fata on the ownership of some common consumer items as well as on income levels for a "typical" monthe Somewhat surprisingly, fullyone fifth of the fishing households report that they own one ur another type of motor vehicle. This pattern is strongest in Jpoly with es percent of the populace being vehicle owners. With the exception of the corn farmers and the subsistence fishermen (both with 13 percent ownership), all other groups ecore very low on this indicator. (1)

A Eimilar patterm holde for stove ownership. About a quarter of tine marbetwlinked fishers, along with $\beta$ percent of those from गedina, own a "modern" 〔gas, electric or keroeene) Etove. Dunership for all other groups is negligible.

A consumer goods scale based on responses about ten geparate items <elfotric stove, elertric fan, television, sewing machine, refrigerator, baracke, Eala set, camera, photo album and wall (locl؛ was aleo comstructed. Actually, am eleven-item scale hat origimally been constructed, but a relidability amalysis using ©rombach" Alpha showed that one of the original iteme (radio ownerwhip) did not scale wela. Thjs factor was therefore elimimated.

The results of the scale are interesting though admittedly not definitioue As might have been expected, coneuner goode ownership is lowest momg the lamdess agriculturad workers, with the fieherfolles rantimg highest and the small farmers tabing an intermediate position. The magnitude of the differentials in… volved $i$, this oase was not lange enough to attain statistical
 was foumd when the more detailed (eight eategory) comparison was made. In this latter instance the sugar workers rank exceedingly Low, witim an average mumer of itens owned of only 0.4. Fice worters and upland farmers also oome out on the scale as relatively poos, with ath auerage of 0.0 and 1.1 iteme, respectivelySomewhat unexpectedly, the rubber worters (like those in rice and sugar industry a fandest group) ended up ranking highest on the consumer ownerghip scale.

Table 3. 4 ...n t ncome and Ownership of Consumer Items among Three fural Foverty Groups, Horthern Mindanao, 199?


| Farming | $7 . E$ | $x:=23.43, p<-001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landese | 1.7 | $y=-27$ |
| Figning | 21.3 |  |

2. Type of Btrop spercent owning an electrich nas or terotence stoves

|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farming | 0.0 | $x:=$ | 36.05, | p | < | . 001 |
| Landlests | 0.0 | $v=$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fiehjng | 16.3 |  |  |  |  |  |

3. Consumer Goods Ounership Scale (mean score)

| Farming | 1.73 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lamdiess | 1.42 | $F=1.55, p=n .5$. |
| Fishing | 1.84 |  |

4. Monthly focome of the Household all Gourees smean for s "(veical" month)
```
Farming
Lamdjese
P1432.0 F=5.26, \(p<-01\)
-amojess 1990.e
Fisching
15:
```

a
See accompanying text for a farther discussion of definition of a "motor vehicme."

Our conclusion that the resulte for the consumer ownership scale are "rot definitive" was made incofar as the Ecale is based in large part upon the ownership of electrical appliances. Wheth er the very low etamaing of sunar worters on the scale is actually a sign of overwhelming poverty on their part is thus at deast partially open to debate. Another explamation might mimply te that they are unwilling to spend theirearnings on electrical. appliances, given the fact that they have no way of using the same. fFleaterefer to our earlier diecuseion of household acoest to an electrical conmection. None of the sugar workers enjoyed thiteprivitane.)

Fe this as it may, the ecale is still a uefful one in many ways. In the firet place, electrical connections are becoming more and more common in the area, so that a majority, even of our rural poverty groupen can lay claim to this advantage. At the same time, the scale is also useful for showing the generally low living standards found among our the respondents. on the average, they dis not even own two of the twelve itemsy despite the fact that seme (e-g.photo album, camera) can be purchased relatively ohearay.

Another foy indigator is the household"e income. In this case we have acted about earminge during a typical month- These include monies paid to the persons actually living in the household as well ats any remittances sent bact by family membere living mod working albewhere (i.e. cjrculators). (e)

Jin that cate the results turn out quite contrary to what was origimally expected. The landless worters claim to be earning the highest incomes at nearly two thousand fesos per month. They are folowed in turn by the fisherfolls with the farmers coming in last (a 1432 per month, on average). Of course, these figures refer to cash incomes and it is quite possible that the farmers are also berefitting from significant earnings in kind. Nonethem less, the each differences are certainly of some practical importancey besictes bejng large enough to attain statistical signifi-canct- They hint at the likely possibility that small farmers may often be short of eash to deal with emergency medical probleme.

A detailed tabulation of the income data chows that the high value abtieved by the landeesenroup is due entirely to the favorable aarnimge profile of the sugar and rubber workerme For their part, thoughy the rice workers rate lowest among aju eight groups. Thedeed their monthly income is less than a thousand pesoe (about Be U. B dollars) on averate.

The ateove finding is of some theorecical interest ineorat aw it is the eugar and rubber worters who are employed by jarge agricultural enterprises ("agribusinese" or corporate farme). Such establiehmente are ofter criticized by defenders of the "family"Eized farm," which approsimates more closely the situa-
tion of rice farmer in Farangay Dumarat. Our findings himt at the 1 jifely possitility that these latter entiojes may sjmply ber unable to provide enough worts for landlege agricultural laborert in the vicinity to earn a decent livingi (3)

Table 3.5 presents further detaile on the living standarde questiong jin this cate focusing on the ownewship of potentially produstive asests. A quite consistent pattern emerges herte with the small farmers soing better that either of the other two groups on all indicators. For their part, the landless also rante lowest im all cases.

What are these indicators? Two refer to land ownerehip (homelot and cultivated farmand), so it it certainly no surprise to see the landless agricultural worlers rating poorly in this regard. (4) Three others refer to farmwrelated aseets; named.y, farm, equipment, livestocty, and poultry. Finally, respondente were astéd to estimate the value of each asset enumerated--the total. of these estimated values thus represents our finaly summary measure of the assets dimension. Differences on this latter indicator are wepecially oramatic, with the assets owned by the small farmers being worth nearly eight times as much on, average, as those found among members of the landess class. (5)

Findings from the detailed tabulations for these variables revealed weveral additionel findimge. First, the rubber workers score relatively high on the various indicators found in Table 3. E, certaimly more to than either the rice or eugar worters. The rice worters, in fact, only average about 700 pesos sas i. S. dollare) in totaj aseete. In comparison, the corn farmert are doing much better with an average asset ownership of nearly thirty-four thousand pesos. The coconut farmers of odiongam and the fisherfolt of opol rark second and thindy respectivelyy on this dimension, with a net ownership a little less than hajf as large as that found among the corn farmers. (Note that aseet ownerthip among the fiehermen of Opol, is more than three times as large as that found for the case of Medina:)

Job-related Factore. In this section we present bacheround detaile pertaining to the wort situation of each group. We start with a question on the gtatus of the household heads main oceupation (firet panel of Table 3. 6 ), findimg therein the not surprisjug fact that practically all of the landese arioncultural wortere hold an employete statusy whether permanent or casuale About a gumpter of the fishermen are in a sinilar gtate; these persone comejst entirely of that subsample of market-linted fishere who were found to be working on a large fishing boat. Finally, mearly all farmers (alomg with most fishers) may bes categori atd as melf formployed persons.

Table 3. Gwownerwhip of Other Ascets among Three Fural Foverty Groups, Horthern Mindamao, 199 e

1. Dwaserife of Homelot (percent owning)

| Farming | 33.3 | $x=16.70, \mathrm{~B}=.001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lamdese | 1.1 .7 | $v=-33$ |
| Fighimg | 29.0 |  |

2. Quneretifeof Farming (percent owning)

| Farming | 37.5 | $x=76.76, \quad p<-001$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Landess | 0.0 | $v=-47$ |
| Fishing | 3.3 |  |

3. Ownership ef Farm Equipment (percent owninn)



| Farming | 64.9 | $x^{2}=18.99, p:-001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landeses | 36.7 | $y=-24$ |

5. Ountrenipef Foultry (percent owning at least one)

6. Estimated Yalue of Al 1 other Assets (mean)
```
Farming A19,077.3 F = 19.44, P<.00.
Landlese \(\quad 2,500.3\)
Fiehing
    9, 04 A 。
```

Table 3．6－－Job－related Factors among Three Fural Foverty Groups，Wortherm．Findanad， 1992

1．Status of Houtghold HEads Tob sercent permanent or capual pmeleyees）

Farming
4．

Fichiv！

「9．
09.9

2．Amount of Tjme spent worting at Current Tot coesmmumer of montte）

Farming
259． $F=32.75, p<.001$.
l．andlese
$137 . \mathrm{P}$
Fishim！
ジーム． 4
3．Number of Days borbed at Current Job During the west Freced－ jnn the surver smean）


4．Humber of Hours Worded per Day at Current Job（mean）


5．Employment Status of Spouse（percent wortimt）

|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farming | 11.7 | $x=$ | 35．25y |  | く | 001 |
| L．．ambless | 2．6 | $V=$ | － 34 |  |  |  |
| Ficticno | 32． 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

6．Humber of Employed Mambers in the Household（mean）


7．Humber of Heusehold Members Worting Elewhere（mean）
Farmimg
0.51
$F=0.06, p<.01$
Landtess
O． 2.1
Fiwhimg
O． 2

We have arteady noted the relatively youthful age distribution of the $l a n d e s e$ laborers. As a eorollary to this we would also expect them to have been worting at their present job for a shorter period of time than for the other two groups. This is, in fact, true althounh it should also be added that the landese wortere had been at this type of work for the relatively lengthy period of ten or more years on average. foir farmers and ficher. mem, though, this latter figure is higher stilly with their mean value coming to more than twenty years.

Even thougt many worters may complain atout having too mach to do, it can be an even greater problem for the rural poor when wort: opportunities are lacting. When asked about the number of days worled during the wett preceding the survey, gross differences between the three groups were hot large enough to achieve statistical significance. A more detailed look at these data showed, however, that the rice and eunar workers were bas expected) significantly more beset ty the problem of underemploynent than were any of the other groupe. On the averages both of these groups had worles for a little less than four full days during the previous weets In comparisong the rubber worters had tojled for e. days on average, a figure which was high enough to tate the lead position as the least underemployed, as well as to pull up the average for the general antegory of dandess workers.

When our attention shifts to the number of hours worled per day a different pattern emerges. In this case we find the fiehermen from Medina toijing for 10.6 houre on average, while those from Opol rank second highest in this regard (B. 3 hours). The three farming communtijes emerged as the lowest rantimg on this indicator-

Fieftermen can also boast of having contributed the most family members on average to the local labor martet. rhis pattern may be attributed in large part to the much higher employment rates foums among the spouses for this group. No doubt many of these women are working hard to sell the fish aught by their husband. Somewhat surprisingly, though, this pettern of mainfully employed spouses is found more typically in Medina (where 47.4 percent of all spouses are employed than in the so-called "market-lint:ed" eector of Cagayan de Oro. Thjs finding may have come about because many of the fishers in opol are worting as a crew member of someone else" boat. These persons are generally padd in cash rather than reind, so that the household head would actually mot hawe much in the way of fish for his wife to eell..

Female (j.e. ©pousal) employment is rare among all three of the Jandese communities. A similar pattern is also found for the quection on the total number of employed houeghold members, wioh is low for the landless and higher for the fisherfolk The monam vailability of employment opportunities for spouses of landless workers bas no doubt contributed significantly to their lower levels of monthly aswh imcomes.

Frevigus studies on the phenomenon of "circuration" (i.e temporary Jator mobility) have "emphatited the predominence of landeses agricultural wortere in this groupt This may be true for other Jocules but in the Nisamis-Eutionom case there ise a cighificantly prester mumber of circulators on average from the emall farmer group than from the landmessu fin farty the landless worters also ramb lowsr than the fisherfollw, These patterne may be rellated not andy to the type of job tateen on by the housetiolal head but also te aceessjbility te a bin city. The fichers of opol and the rubber wortere of Talateag both rate very low in terms of the airculation factor. Ho doubt this finding may be attributed to the fact that younger members of these households who are looting for a job do mot have to circulate to and from Cagayam de oro in order to mehieve this; imstead, they can simply commute on a daj.ly basis.

In Table 3.7 we present the resulte of several questions which are derived from the special circumstances of each group. In the case of the small farmers, for example, specifie questions were asked on irrigation, tenancy status, and actual possession of a tithe, in those cases where the respondent cladmed to own hisfher fromiot. For the first of these fartors, the data whowed a fifth of ald upland farmers to have acoess to some form of irrigation. For the other two groups, though, this acyantage was virvanal. nomesistemt.

On the temamoy status question only a small proportion (eight pereent) of the upland famers listed themesjues as owners. Many of these cases have mo formal temaney status at ajl. (i.e. they are squatting on public lands) while others have applied to the oENF for etewardehip status over their farmot.

About half of the corn and coconut farmers said that they owned the lamd which they were cultivating. When asked dif they actually posesesed the legal titae for this, however, more than half of these respondente admitted that they did not. This factor will no doubt affect their ability to raise money during one or another health care emergency. SFersons with a titued piece of land will generally find it basier to borrow money at these times - )

Turning our attention for now to the landjess agriculturad laborers, our first comeern is to estimete the extent bo which these workere have had to suffer, from periodice bouts of umemployment and a resulting decline in income. As expected, virtually all of the ridee and sugar wortere said that thje problem was indeed a reality in their lives. For the rubber worbere, though, a very differemt result turned up. In this ease a great majority (G7. fluctuate from whe momth to amotrer. This differential, whioh may be linted in some fachion to the different plantingharvesting cycles of rubber, rice and sugar, could be a major reason for the more favorable economic eituation which has generally been found for the rubber worlers.

> Tatic 3.7....Additional Information on Factors Sperific to Eact Decupational Community; Three Fural Foverty Groupt, Northern Mindanais. 1992

1. Smallearmers
2. Accesete irciation feercent partialy or fuly irpigated)

E. Tenamey 5tabus (gercent owners)

3. Ig Farmlot Titled? ©ercent of all owners with tityed land)

| Corn | 50.0 | $(M=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cocomut | 35.1 | $(b=a 3)$ |
| Uplaind | E6. 7 | (1) = 3 |

$\therefore$ Gariges Andeutural Worters

1. Incomefuctuations Epercent answering that their income $\frac{\text { fluetuates on a monthly basies }}{2}$
Fise $\quad 97.5 \quad x=110.26, p<-001$
Sugar $\quad 100.0 \quad V=.96$
Fubber
2. 5
3. Cipeilation fpercent of household heads who wate oceasion. aty in other townseities)

| Bice | 44.7 | $x^{2}=-37.79 ; p<-001$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Sugar | 0.5 | $v=-57$ |
| bubper | 0.0 |  |

3. Wheinarayenegmonth math


$$
\text { Table } 3.7--(\text { Continued })
$$

## C. Fighermen:

1. Income Flurtations Eercent ancuerjng that their income
fuctuates on a monthy basios

2. Prevemes in Family of Someone who Fuys/selis Figh (percent with tomeone doing this)

> e

Martet-linted 3 e. $\quad x=12.93, p<-001$
Subsistence 7 距 $5 \quad U=.40$
3. Fort Owiership (eercents owning)

 with an engime)

5. Bet ownerehespercent owninu)

| Market-1inted | $37-5$ | $x^{2}=5.00, p<.05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subsistence | 62.5 | $U=-25$ |

6. Qurerchipof other Fishing Geare (percent owning)

|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martast - - jintaed | 20.0 | $x=$ | 49.57 | p | く | . 001 |
| gubsistance | 97.5 | $v=$ | . 75 |  |  |  |

On the next Eurvey item we again asted about the eiroulation phenomenom, in this case with specific reference to the household head. The data showed very few of the sugar worters and nome at all of those employed on the rubber plantation to be entaging in thic bype of behavior. Nearly half (44:7 pereent) of the rice worters, however, did say that they ocoasiomally travellers to other towms or cities to tate on some sort of gainful employment.

One reasom for the above pattern appears to be the signifinantiy freater problem of rural underemployment found among the rice worleers. On the average, these respondente were only able to find about fourteen days of employment per monthy as compared to 24 and eb, respectively, for the sugar and rubber workers. Againg there doet semm to be some advantage in this regard for those landess wortere who are able to wort as a moremor-less regular employee of a large agricultural eetate.

We fiave seen that monthly income fluctuations are the general rule for the rice and sumar worterex That the bame is also true for both of the fishing groups is shown by data found in the bottom portion of Table 3.7. The fishing industry is aleo chareme teriaed by addjetional opportunties for the employment of household members other them the head. Overall, a litule more tham half of the fieting households repurbed at abst one of theit members as specializing in the buying and selling of fish. fin most oases it is no doubt the wife who does this. Againg a rather unexpected finding herejn is that the "buy-and-selil" pattern is found most commonly, not in the "marteteminted" community of Opol, but: among the wubsistence fishermen or Medina.

Another apparent paradox lies in the more widespread ownership of the various paraphenelia used by fishermen among members of the fiedjan subsample Given the greater poverty found for members of this group (at least as far as the monthly income question $i s$ concerned), we wouls have expected them to also own fewer fishing materials. Suchy however, is not the case. Significantly greater mumbers of fiehermen in Medina were found to own their own boat, fishimg net or other fishing gears. Again, our best hypothesis at this time is that the comparative figure for those fishermen operating out of opol has been brought down insofar as wome household heads from this locale have been employed to worle on a large fishing boat. In these cases, of coursen the "ownership of the means of production" passes from the hands of the individual fisternan to an investor or group of investors wealthy enough to put up the millions of pesos neaded for a large and moderniaed fiching eraft.

## Summary

This ohapter has presented bacturound statistice on the variougs "epecial beneficiary groups" bejng investigated ju the present study. Our general expectation: was that the landless agricultural worters, a group identified by at least one author (Ledesmay $193 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{P}$. BO ) as "the poorest among the pural poorg" would rant 3 ower than the other two aroups on most of our eocjoeconomic indicators. The general pattern in thit case was indefed somethimg along those lines. Important exceptions were, however, also noted at this point. For example, the landless group generally rated wifier that the small farmers in terms of educationel attainmbet and abo scored higher interme of media use and monthly (cash) jnecomes.

Detaiter tabunations for the eight separate communities coverted by the study revealed some noteworthy internat variations among such supposedly homageneous groups as "small farmers" or "landyess agricujtural laborers". In the latior instamee, for examples the siturtion of the rubber worters in Talatast was almost always superior to that found among either the sugar or rice worteres. The fact that the rubber plantation was in fact being ounct and operated on a cooperative basis may well be a bey reason for this. Comtinued resort to such arrangemomte on the part of the Department of Agrarian Reform would seento be supported by this finding. Even without this, it is also evident that the $]$ arge-s aleo more capable of redounding to the benefit of the landess clase than ite the case for the small and poorly capitalized rice farmo or bumaratio.

Tn the case of the small farmer subcategory the corm and comonut groupe were frequently found to be rather similar with regand to thetr social and economic profile Conditions among the upland farmerg of farangay Hat-i, however, were genterally inaust picious. Inoomes and consumer goodes ownership were quite low for this proxp, amd they were also lese litely to own other productive assets fite farm equipment, livestoct or poultry.

In atenorat, therefore, we may eonclude that one of the underiyinit hypothefes of the study--that each special bemeficiary group would be fosma to have its own unique probleme and poten-tials--je well an the way to being confirmed. The Department of Healeh and fte afilidath bemeies would be well aduased to tate this ewtef cocial fifferomeiation into account when desiming health care and health sare financing programe

## Endmotes

1
A further jospection of the original surver forms was made so as to ehect on the reasons why so many fisherfolk were said to be ownerg of a "motor vehicle." The translation of this term from English anto visayan was dome via the phrase "Eakyanang de noter." $x t$ wat tubsequentily discovered that this phrase may be underetood to refer to all types of motorized tirameport equipments, including ears, tructs, motorcycles and motorboats. The reader mhould thus be informed that in almost all of the cases wherein a fishing family owns a "motur vehjele, " the vemicle concerned is either a "pump boat" or a "fishing boat with motor." E

Cagh mamaings by members af the rural poverty chats are, of course, highly seasonal in nature. Our survey findings in this regard should therefore be interpreted with some caution. 3

Current efforts by the Department of Agriculture to encourage the cultivation of high value crops (e.g. sugar and rubber) also receive gome gupport from the above findings. For another study which whowed a favorable econcmic impact of aginbusinesttype of farme, of cowtello (19日名)

4
Gome "landess" workers have beer frund to men homelot parcels. This ean occur insofar as our defining prosedure for selecting andicultural workers gave priority to getablishing their nomownership of any land which was actually being used for farming purposes. Felatively smalt homelots (e.g. 1000 square meters or leses were allowed for the "landless" catenory. 5
The variable summarizing the total worth of ajl assets was obtained by summing the individually-estimated worths for the following items: motor vehicle (presented as an individual item in Table 4 of thbs report, homelot, farmland, farming equipmert, livestock, poultry and fishponds (owmed by virtualily no respond . ents and therefore not presented as an individual item in any table).

## Chapter Four. Major Health Froblems and Their Responses

## Empiricel Findings

Mejor Health Froblems: Mortality and Morbiditye Eefore going into the health care finameing patterne of our difterent poverty groupey it will be useful to deseribe their major heath probleme and the various preventive and curatuve strategies which they have adopted over time. The present chapter has therefore been 'intended as a means for attainimg this objective.

Our first concern is with brosd petterne of fertility mor… tality and morbidity's as found within the 3 eo householde under observation. Data presented in the top panel of Table 4. 1 would thus appear to show that levels of childbearimg activity, as. measured by the mean number of children ever born, are significantly higher among the farming housetuolds than for the other two qroupse On averape, the wives of the small farmers report themselves as having given birth to 5.6 children, as compared to $4 . g$ for the fieherfolle and only 4.0 ror the landese families.

These differences are intriguing insofar as they rum counter to prevailing theory (and empirical rindings) in the field, beth of which argue for a fertility owepreseing inpact of dand ownership (Stotec and sohutjer, 19ea). the ract that the small farmers are havimg an average of a a more children than those in the landese category in therefore quite unexpected. Further etili, there may well be a conscious element to this difference since our data gof famidy plaming, as will be diccussed below, alea show the landless respondente to be significantly more lifely to be practioing some form of contraception. At the same time, though, it must also be noted that the smalj farmers are sigidian cantily older than the fishermen--nearly ten years so, on average. It will therefore be netessary to subject these findings to a more detailed, multivariate analysis before any decisive conclusions can be reached in this regard.

When the eight different communities are compared, the cocanut farmers and those from he upland areas were found to have the highest fertility. Gugur awd rubter worlers soored lowest in this regard, with a respective average of only 3 . 5 and 3.9 ohideren ever born. It is perhaps noteworthy that these are the two groups when are employed on large corporate farms, a setting which is not only nore integrated into the formal eector of the eromomy but which is allom more likely to offer certain health care benefite seat Medicare cowerage, access to company rum clinice with family plamoing eservices).

An attempt was made to follow up on the above finding by comparing curwent pregnancy status among the different groups. In neither, case, however, (i.e. either the three- or eight-group comparjecm was a statistically significant relationshap revealed.

```
Talge A. 1--- Fertility, Mortality and Morbidity Levels among Three Fiural foverty Groups
```

1. Eertidyth wean foy of Children Ever Foris

| Farmimy | 5.63 | $F=7.9 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| funditese | $\therefore .04$ | p < - 00. |
| Fichtime | 4.77 |  |

2. Fuscht weamang etutus (Fereent Fregnant)

| Farming | 9.0 | $x=1.07$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lammdemes | 8. 5 | $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{n} .5$ |
| Fishin |  |  |

3. Mortality fogn He of Chidren Ever Dying
Farming
0.54
$F=0.34$
Landlece
0.37
$p=n .5$.
Fishimg
0.59
4. Chronie Conditions Mean Ho. in Household
Farming
0.96
$F=9.69$
Famblese
0.53
p <.001
Fiching
1.25
5. Disatilities (Mean Ho. in Household)
Farming
0.00
$F=0.55$
lamotess
0.07
$p=\mathrm{n}=$.
103月420
0.04
展
6. Dcompational Bafety Cercent of Household Hadis Who Feel Their Job is Dangerous)

| Farming | $2 e .5$ | $x=20.89$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| handiese | 43.3 | $p<-001$ |
| Fishing | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |  |

The question of infant and child mortality is, of coursey of central importance for the present study overall, about 10 percent of the children ever born to our sample respondentes have already died. Neacured in terms of the mean number of children dying this a ame to 0.37 for the landless group, 0.54 for the farmers and 0.5 for the fisherfolk. While these figures would appear to show the landless respondente to be enjoying the most favorablemortality situation, it would be premature, and probably incorrest, to reach such a conclusion. For one thing, we have already noted that the landiess respondents already have fewer children to begin with. They are also gomewhat younger than the small farmers, thereby implying that children of the atter group have had about wime or ten years, on average, of additional expow sure to the possibility of dying.

We should also note at this point that the statistical test for our indicator of children every dying does not reveal any significant difference between the three groups. Nor was any revealed when the eight-group categorization scheme was used. In this latter case, however, some interesting differences did occur, particularly for the upland farmers and the rubber plantation families. The forner group had the highest average number of children ever dying ( 0.90 ) while the latter ranked lowest with an average of only 0.a3.

A simple way of taking into account the targer or smaller numbers of ehildren ever born into each group is to compute, for each househcili the proportion of all live-born ehildren who later dipd.(1) If we then find the mean proportion for each group the rosults are as follows:

| Farmers | 0.066 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Landiess | 0.056 |
| Fieherfolt | 0.000 |

Fegultis for the more detailed classificatory scheme are also of some interest:

| Corn farmers | 0.053 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cocomut farmers | 0.058 |
| Uplarm farmers | 0.086 |
| Rice worteres | 0.048 |
| Sugar workers | 0.070 |
| Rubition worters | 0.050 |
| Marleet-linked fiehters | 0.067 |
| Sunsistence fishers | 0.094 |

In nejther case does the ascociated statistical test show a significant (or particularly large) differential. Nonetheless, it is of interest to note the fairly problematio situation of the fisherfolls, particularly those living in the subsistence fishing community of medina. The upland farmers and sugar worters were also experiencing above-average levels of mortality while the 'rice and rubber workers were doing somewhat better than average.
f fimal perspective on our mortality data may be had by comparing them to comparable figures from a national-level study of the "poorest of the poor" which was carried out by Herrin and Faceljs (19g3). This analysis reported on data from a Eample curm vey of the bottom 30 percent of the mational income distribution. As such, it provides a useful contrast to the present study which (1) limited iteelf strictiy to rural areas jin Horthern Mindanao and ( 3 ) utilized a purposive approach in eselecting ite major study conmumitiets.

The authore of this national-wevel report provide a table (Table TI. C. 1) which shows the dietribution of children ever dying among all sampled householas. Ey recomputing these data to show the mean mumber of deaths per family, we arrive at a figure of o.at chilethood deathe on average. Note that this is substan… tially lower than the figures reported for any of our three major poverty groups. While one reason for this may lie in the possibly older age profile of respondents in the present survey bby interviewing only epouses of household heads we excluded those younger couples who would still jiving with their in-laws in an extended family housetiold, this comparison may be taten as inclicating that our data-pathering procedures have been relatively valide on the one handy the higher mortality estimates found in the present study demonstrate that we were indeed able to identify some very poor families for our sample. Secondly, it would also appare that the jesue of urderemumerated ohild deaths sa frequent outcome in the Fhillippime context-wef. Madigan, Abermathy, Herrin and Tan, 1976) is probably 1 ess problematic for the present data set than for some of the other demographiceand heal.th surveys which have been carried out in the country.

The fourth and fifth indicators shown in Table 4. 1 concerm the presence, among all household members, or chromic conditions and disabilities. These, we shotild point out, are selforeported measures, so there is a certain element of subjectivity in both. That is, the survey instrument simply asted, for each person in the household, whether he or she had "any form of disability" or "any chronic: condition like diabetes, heart diseasey ulcers or the lifee," thus leaving it up to the respondent to decide on the specific application of these concepts.

In general, very few households from any of the eight communities reported themselves as having a dieabled member. The average number of disabled persone ranged from only or per housefold di.e. only one case in the total subsample of 40 households) for the subeistemce fishermen to -13 per household for the coconut farnere.

Chronic conditione were considerably more common tn this case, tooy some singificant differemees did crop up with the landess froup ranting lowest fan average of o.ss eases per houtehold), followed by the small farmers ( 0.96 eases) and fisherfolh (1. é Gases per household). Comparisons for the dem
tailed subgroups were even more variable, though not meogetarily move reatily explicable. In this case we find the mbisistencetype fthorfoll: ranting highest at e. 32 cases per household white those from opod sthe marbet-1inbed fishermen ranked $]$ owest. Upland fathorg abso ranked somevhat low, while the comand coconut fatmers ware second and third tighest in terms of chronic comditigne - theofar as the Medina, Odiondan and Kauyonan respond... ents were all above average ju terms of the age of the household head, it could well be that thit is an underlying explanation of the abovewroted differentials.

Bespondente were asted if they thought their hughand s job was in stome way dangerous, While we origimally experted that the threat of drewning would loom large in the minds of the fisher familaes this was apparently not the case In fact, none of the respondembe from the fiehing conmunities felt that the household head": job was a dangerous one. In comparisom, tion percent of the 1 andy, mis and ae. 5 percent of the emall farmers felt that this was the sitse for them. When the relationship was tested for the more detasied classification of poverty groups, the comparisons were esin nore striling (Chi square $=149.99, ~ p$.$\} , 001), with$ 67.5 perrent of the upland farmers and 35.0 percent of the rice workers astarting that their jobs were dangerous versus none at all amomy both fiehing groups, the corm and cocomat farmere and the rabisu wortere of Talatag.

Why did so many upland farmers cansider their jok to be dangerous? The major. reason for this appears to be the high level of pertitife ute found there. Overall, 41 of the 43 oases who sadd thes job wats damperous ofted their exposure to harmful.
 percent of the upland farmers admitted (in reply to another quesetion that they were using pesticides on their farm. This was a much highar figure than that found for either the cocomut (b.0 percent) or the com (12.5 percent) farmers. These differentials sumpett thaty whilf pesticide use is potentially harardous, it is by no manos a universal phemomenon among Filipino farmers. Health educationg campaigns on this issue may thus have to be developed and applijed at the local level, depending on the meed found theresing

In cames whorg a child had died the respondent was asted to idembify hie or her cause of death. Again, this is aselforeported astersthenty and one which was probably never verified for some casec by ar atberining phyeician. Nometheless, the data are of some intorest for mowing us what would appear to be the major cubces of tath amomg ohildrem born into the poors ruraj families under imuetstuntion in this etudy. (e)

We thus turn our attertion to Table A.e. Fased on the rate. gorization soheme foum therein, the major causee of childhood mortality may be ranted as followes diarrheal disease, "other contagious dieeases" fridmey infection, measles, malariay rabies,



| Cause of neath | Farming | luardices | Fithims |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ |  |  |  |
| Diamberal Diswases | 23.e | 14.3 | 50 |
| c |  |  |  |
| Sespiratomy bisemtes | 50 | e3. 9 | 12\% |
| $d$ |  |  |  |
| Hewr Comtagamb Oimates | E1.A | 3.7 | $1{ }^{5}$ |
| E |  |  |  |
| Dietary Ditemasems | 3.6 | 11.9 | 2. |
| Pemature Exatherimth Defects | 7.1 | 2.4 | 2. |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| necidertestamiedes | 5.4 | 7.1 | 7 |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| Dther Couses | 14.3 | 4.9 | 12. |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
|  | ( $0=6$ | (景) | (4) |
| a |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| from that used in competing the nean (exe Table 1). Data on wase of death mot avalable in 21 of 159 cases. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $b$ |  |  |  |
| © |  |  |  |
| Irketudes "asthmag" "bronctial illmess," "crmmisioms/high fevery fever, " "ccaighy" "influmaz," and "tuberculosis." |  |  |  |
| d |  |  |  |
| Irkiudes "fismey infection," "malaria," "measjes," "paralyaed," |  |  |  |
| E |  |  |  |
| Trwhudes (omly') "berri-teria." |  |  |  |
| $f$ |  |  |  |
| Theludes 'hamelippecl," "prematare birth" awd "umperforated awnen" |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  "heart ai home" ard "din dicease." |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

tetamus), warious respiratory diseases, हother causes," aceidente. or homicides, dietary disease, and deaths due to birth-related problemg or conditione. The first thres of these catepories account for nearly three quarters of ali the deaths reported in our study. Thas is in one way encouraging since it is precisely such conditions as diarrheal diseste, acute respjratory infec-u tions, meashes and tetamus that the Department of Health has pinpointed in ite primary health care program. of couree, the efficacy of thestefforts may etill be up for debate in some quarters, but at least the DoH seeme to be getting ite priorities ringt.

Comparing the different poverty groups, the most noticeathe differemce is perhapt the stronger impect which diarrheal diseace has had umon chitoren from the fiehimu vidjages. In comparjeong, the senall farmers score relatively high on respiratory diceases and "other caumes" while the two major categories for the 1 andless are respiratory disease and "other contagious diseases." It may be that emuirommental conditions (e.g. the more densely populated living conditions found in the fiehing communities) have somethimu to do with these differentials.

Table 4.3, which deale with ohronit conditions combimues this dime of amalysis. In thice case, however, we can asmame that the date refe largely to adult eonditaone.

Chronic health problems reported by our low-inocome respondents include the following: stomach conditions the most frew quently mentioned of which was "ulcer"), respiratory probleme, comditions actociated with old age (e-g. arthritit), "other internal. emoditione," "other conditions," degenerative diseaces (cancer, beart ailments), nutritional disorders, and etin problems. These tinve been listed in oroder of their relutive frequency, therfby indicating that the chronic health problems experienced by the filifpino rural poor are indeed rather different from those associated with the chindhood deathe and diseases discussed above. (3) findeed, the large number of eerious conditions listed in Table 4.3 mates one wonder if the great importance given by the DOH to primary health care and matermal and child health mipht rot: be leading to a complementary underemptasis upon such painful and potentially fatal adult conditions as ulders, problems of the heart and the circulation systen, tuberoulogis and its associated conditions, bidney diseases (the largest component of the categsery for "other internal disease"), hepatitio, and arthritio. Many of our respondents need badly to gee a specialist in intermal medicine. Whether they will be able to afford such a service, however, ie very muct open to question.

Eomparing acrose categoriet, the problem of ghromic respiraw tory conditions appears to be most salient among the fishing families. Stomäh conditions (in particular, self-reported ulcers) are most prevalent anong the landess, whereas "other internal conditione" (especially fidney problems) are found moet
 (all lwasetwold Menikers), Three Furaj. Fquerty Groups

| Type of Comxitiman | Farming | Laratlese | Fichimg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a |  |  |  |
| Respratary monditionse | 19.3 | 0.0 | 87.7 |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| Mutritionel disomutre | ต\% | 4.3 | 4.9 |
| c |  |  |  |
| Stenamh monditicme | 19.3 | 40.0 | 0.B |
| d |  |  |  |
| Depereratave dimeases | 7.0 | 14.3 | 7.9 |
| e |  |  |  |
| Other internal conditions | 20.9 | 11.4 | 7.9 |
| Stin diseate | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Conditions asmenemtad f |  |  |  |
| with old and | 17.4 | 4.3 | 10.8 |
| ! |  |  |  |
| Other comatitimes | 10.4 | E.7.7 | 10.3 |
| Totas | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | ( 1 \% 1 ) | (o) | (100) |
| a - - |  |  |  |
|  "tometitas" and "tabertulogis." |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| © <br> Trentudes 'heartburn", "wtomach acher" and "uteern" |  |  |  |
| $d$ |  |  |  |
| Irkeluden "eancerv", "cyst," 'heart ailmemt" and 'high bleod |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Trkelurfas "f"eder," יff <br>  "Werveumaners" "raven | re," "ep ppetite, ," "rela | $y, "$ "head nestrual ard "toot | Gimetits " 'nawar "" |

frequently in the families of the mmall farmerse Conditione ascociated with old agt are found least of all among the landleas, a group which we have already noted as being somewhat younger on average than either the fishers or the farmers.

A chectijst of 23 specific medicat protems, representima both ehronice conditions and acute incidents of illness, was also presented to the respondents. The question in these cases ashed whether any household member had experienced these problems durimg the month immediately preceding the survey. Data from this exercise are presemted in Table A. A.

Conditians cited most frequently by our respondente included the followimg: cough, cold, fever, headachey toothacte, "numbness in the body'e extremities," blurred vision and "easily fatigued." Those occurring with intermediate frequency include etomech pains, "lose of appetite," shin probleme, "rheuma," dizainesty chest patims, fore throat, painful urination and diarrbea. (This latter condition was actually quite rare among the farmers and the landees but not at all uncommon among the fisherfolf, a finding that reinforces our earlier finding on the high level of deaths dua to diarrheal dieease found among the children in the fishime villagest. Conditions mentioned least frequently were nauseny freguent urination, ear diectiarge, lose of weight, loss of consobusmese atod eyst or tumor.

About half ale out of e3) of the comparisone were able to show a statistically Eignificant difference, at the os jevely among the three poverty groups. Three others were significant at the - 10 level. In nearly all of these the farming community scored higliest in terns of the total mumber of cases reported to the interviewer. This may be in some way due to the older age profile of the small farmers. Indeeds many of the comparisorse which attained statisetcal significance do seem to ber aseociated with old age 〔easily fatigued, loss of appetitey blurred vision, chest pains, mumbness and bacts pains). In other easesy bhough, the explanatory power of this factor is somewhat less convincingy e.g. for the cases of headaches, fever, and toothache. In any event; it is apparent that the country"s farming seetor is beginning to afe noticeable, due in large part to the outmigration of young people from rural areac. It is therefore high time for the DOH to tatse account of this factor in plaming for the health needs of farming communities.

In adidtan to the comparisom for diarrheal disease, there was one other gategory (lose of consciousness) where the fishers scored aigmificantiy ingher than the other two groups. In another ("lose of webght") it was the landese who secred highest. There seems to be no readily apparent reason why these two differtatials would have occurnes.

Inspection of the more detailed (eight-group) tabulation shows that the largest number of symptoms are generally reported by the Medina fisherfolk whereas those from opol tend to rant:

Table 4,4 - Nean thumer of trawehold Members Feporting Varicas Symptems During the Nonth Freceding the Gurver, Three fural foverty Goxpess

| 9 smotam | Ferming | Larchlesss | Finhers | Signi ficance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Headactue | 0.8 | 0.34 | 0.65 | $5=937 \% \times-\infty$ |
| Dizzimess | 0.8 | 0.16 | 0.18 | $F=0.44, \quad \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{nu} \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Lost comericuserste | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.10 | $F=3.14, p<\ldots$ |
| Fever | 1.20 | 1. 1.18 | 0. 73 |  |
| Easily fatiguest | 0.Ex | 0.33 | 0.42 | $F=5$ |
| Loses of weitut | 0.03 | 0.1 .3 | 0.12 | $F=3.44, p<.0=$ |
| Loss of apretite | 0.37 | 0.15 | 0.15 | $F=5.40, p<.01$ |
| cyetermer | (6..7) | (2, 5) | (Ei.0) |  |
| Sin arimment | O.9® | 0.2 L | 0.111 | $F:=2.6, p<-10$ |
| Hurred visicm | $\omega_{\mu} \omega$ | O. 26 | 0.20 |  |
| Ear discharre | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.04 |  |
| Sore throat: | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.ee | $F=8.7 e_{4} \mathrm{~F} \times \ldots 10$ |
| Coughircold | 1.3. | 1.30 | 1. 1.3 | $F=0.47, p=3 \%$. |
| chest pain | 0.0 | 0.009 | 0.25 | $F=80 . \mathrm{P}$ 5, 01 |
| Mublewes :in extramitiens | 0.67 | 0.39 | 0.35 |  |
| lack pain | 0.78 | 0.10 | 0.50 | $F=6.57, p<.01$ |
| Stomach pains | 0.67 | 0.97 | 0.89 | $F=0.04, p=n \omega t$ |
| Nausea | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.19 | $F=1.43, p=\mathrm{mas}$ |
| Diarthea | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.23 |  |
| Fraquevt urimation | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.12 |  |
| Painful urimatam | 0.93 | 0.15 | 0.10 | $F=2.43, p<.10$ |
| "Fheuma" | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.34 |  |
| Toothactie | 0.5 | 0.E5 | 0.6 .1 | $F=4.84, p<.01$ |

[^4]rather consimtenty among the lowest. As euch, the fishing families most typically end up tating an intermediate ranking on the different symptom questionse while it is tempting to argue that the modima owepondents have more health problems because they are somewhat older and poorer than those from the martetulinded commanity ef apol, more litely explanation is simply that there are some idiesyocratic differences between the two groups in terme of thedr widinghese to report illnesses. ome rather suggestive finding does oceur for the diarrhea measurey thoughy,
 (.001) with Hedina and opol ranking first an seconcj highest, respectively, anomg all groups. In comparison the rubber and sumar proupt both seore very low on this indicator. (4)

A few other interesting differences can also to noted at this point. In the first case, upland farmers were fown to rank highest in terms of stim allergies, a finding which gerves to recall our praviaue observatiom about the heavy use of pesticides in the uplame setting. This particular differential iss not large enough to attain statistical significance but it is in the ex - pected difoction. Alag, it is one of only three case in which the uplanders rambed piret or second highest on one of that symptomet. ic measurss. (s)

We fove also noted an apparently high prevalemes of gtomach disorders ationg the $\quad$ and ese agricultural worlers. This pattern receivem mome confirmation in the present date wet insofar as the rice amd wurar worters rants second and third highest fafter the Nedina fithtomen sm the question about "stomach pains:" (6)

The bhres oldeststudy groups are the coconut fermers, the corm farmers aro tho whbsistence fisherfolk of Medina. It is thus of , mberest to mots that these three communities alsu ramb eis.. nificantiy higher $(F=12,2 e, p$ ( 001 ) on the question atout "blurred visiom:" This differential should perhape remind ut thaty in addition to the heavy responesbility which it beare with regard to sefaguarding the health of our young people, the Dolt should aleo bey reminded of the substantial numbers of elderly pereons. abso foumd in the rural billieu. It would appear that a faitly $\quad$ arge pooportion of these persons are developing oataract condjtions, probatyy with little or no chance of ever having this condition adsevated. (7)

On avemope tis ghall farmers were reporting f, me ailments per housebidd tog tie mombh preceding the survey. This figure wat
 the both rhe damdteme or the fishing communties whict respec. tively reportad averages of 6uld and 6. 90 ajamente.

Whem the more detailed elaseification scheme was used, the following intergroup differentiajs were noted:

Group Averane No. of ailmente

| Corn farmers | 9.65 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cotonut farmers | 10.52 |
| Uplabd farmers | 7.50 |
| Wice wortere | 8.59 |
| Sugar worterss | 3.95 |
| Fiubter worters | 6. 70 |
| Fishers (martset) | 2.50 |
| iehers (subsistence) | 11.30 |

Again, the older age profiles found among the subsistence fishermem, the coconut farmers and the corm farmers may be wat gested as a major reason for their higher levele of morbidity- In general, the Doll would do well to teep in mind the special problems of aging rural communities, particularly eince this phenomenon is sure to become even more widespread in the years to come.

Heath Pare Fractices. We now turn to an analysis of the health care practices, both prevertive and curative, which characterize our rural poverty groups. Table 4 . 5 containe a mumer of indicatore pertinent to this issue. The first of these rearerenter an extensjon of the data found in the preceding table. That is, for every condition mentioned by the respondent as occurring during the month preceding the survey, a follow-up item wats acted on whether or not the sich family member had betan able to eed a health case borter. The topmost panel of Table q. a gummarizes the results of this analysis by reporting the mean mumber of health care womfere comsulted by all family members during the time in question.

On averages the dandess worker families had more consultations (with a mean value of 1.77) than either the fishers (1.14) or the small farmers (1.0e). A one way analyste of bariance shows


The eariest explanation for this finding would be that, thanks to their ease of access to company-run healbh care clinifs, it is the worters on the sugar and rubber plantations who are able to haye the most comeultations. For the landess rice workers, thotigit iag expected to find a pattern of less frequent consultabions, Guch, however, did not prove to be tine casen while the rubber abd eugar worters did rant: second and thisd highest on this meature (with meane of 1.7 and $1.5 s$ visits) they were nonethelews surpased by the rice workers se-os visits on average). The reaton for this pattern is not readily apparent.

The least mumer of vieitis were turned in by the fishers of Opol, the coconut farmers ard the upland farmers. Each of these groups averaged only o. 7 a health care consultations during the month prior to the survey.

# Table 4. 5 … Use of Health Care Services Among <br> Threer Fural Foverty Groups 

1. Coneuteations with a Health Care Worber During the precedima montry sean wo.
Farming
1.02
$F=1.1 .02$
Lamderes
1.77
$\mathrm{P}<.001$

2. 14

$\frac{\text { Hegenga on the first Congutation Hentioneds }}{2}$
```
Farming \(19.1 \quad X=0.55, \mathrm{p}<.02\)
Laind 1 ess \(\quad 11.8 \quad U=-20\)
Fibhing 31.5
\((N)=0.5\) )
\(X=0.55, p<-02\)
Fithing 31.5
\((N=2.5)\)
```
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Fatmong
50.3
lundlecs - 30.0
$x=12.42, p<01$
Fightige - 51.8
$y=-20$
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Wisited for the corsultation
(Fercent Besgh to G Gov't. su certer
「:armitag
54.4
haradese
49.5
$x=4.73, p: .10$
$v=-15$

2

Fiching
35.2
(Fercent Going Outeide Home Farangey)
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8. Hogetaidzation Fitterne fercent who have Ever fad at Leabt Gue Famidy dember Hocpitaljzed)


By way of review, we have seem that the small farmere were beset by the yreatest number of ailmentes, at the same time being the group which scored lowest on the summary count of the total. number of bealth care worters consulted. In comparisom, the landleste are in exactly the opposite position, with relatively more consultations and fewer ailmente. ft is apparenty therefore, that the lateter group will exhibit the proportionately highest level of consultations, as minht be comphted by comparing the meane of the ratio of the total number of consultations per household bo the total number of ailments. ( $Q$ )

The empirical results meet this enpectation fully. On averate, 33 . G percent of the ailments in the landless households were brounht to a liealth care morsery as compared to ag. a percent for the fishimg houmeholds and only 104 percent for the small farmers. rhis difference is a highty significant one (F : 10.6 , $p$ ' $0.001, \mathrm{E}=-33$ ).

Some fairly strong differentials also emerged when a similar analysis was undertaten for the full complement of the eight different poverty communities:

| Grous |
| :---: |
| Cora farmers |
| Cocomut farmers |
| Upham farmere |
| Fice worters |
| Ategar worters |
| Eubber worters |
| Fitstiers (market) |
| Fiehers (subeistemee) |

Averate Eercent Consulterl
17.7
9.1
10.5
26. 3
43.7
95. 6
37.2
1.3 .0

The infrequitacy with which the upland and coconut farmers sem out professional services for the ill members of their households is apparert from these figures. For the former caseg their isolated location, with the aseociated difficulties of travel, is mo doubt a major factor in this regard. The equally prevalent reluetance on the pert of the cocomut rarmers is somewhat more difficult to explain although, agaim, their older age profile might have someinding to do with this." (fote that the two other "elderly" groups rante third and fourth lowest in terms of their ratiog of consultations te ailmente.

The eugar merters rank highest among all groups in terms of ; thed wifthuness to seef out one or another heath care worter. This finaling fits with many of our observatione on this groupe The reaker may alto wish to note that the two other landese Groupur atand with the fisherfolt of opol, also fare well on this meature.

Further insight into this problem may be had by investigating the type of health care worter visited. We limit our minlysis to the firet medical condition mentioned by the respondent although jit ehould bee noted that rather similar results were aleo found for the eecond illnets brought to a health care worber fin those cases where two or more illnesses were mentioned).

The results show that it was the fishing families which were wost lifely to conealt a tratitiomal healer. Thirty wome percent of this aroup fand 33 percent of those living in the subsistence fishing commanity of medimal brought their sich member to a hilot or herbalario, ate compared to 19 percent of the small farmere and only 10 percent of the landess. This difference is significant at the . Oe level of probability. Along with the medina fiehermen, the upland farmers and the cocomit farmers also ranted high in this regard, while the corm farmere and sugar worlere were the least l ifely to see a traditiomal healer.

A sijght variation on the above question may be had by inspecting data from a survey item which asted about the person consulted "when someone is sich in the family." The remults are similar imeofar as it is the land less worter familiets who were most, lilsely to memtion a "modern" health care worler foctor, murse, midwifes rather than a traditional healer, a momprofes-



Most frequent resort to someone other than a modern health cars worter was found among the three oldest groups: corn farm ers, coconut farmers and the Medina fisherfolta Gromps giviong this respomse least often included the sugar worbers, uphamd farrits ard the rice worticre.

If we form anain to the first health care consultation for the month preceding the survey, we find that a little leste than half of ald respondents went to a government-run center (afts, Fifl or DOH hotpital). For the aggregated groups this was gomewhat less litely to orecur among the fisherfolk than for the other two groups (Chj. square $=4.73, p(.10)$.

When the eight subcommuitieg are compared, a somewhat
 Hearly seven out of ten rice werters had gone to a government clinim, leading them to score highest in this regard. This finding seeme plausible since it is unlikely that many in this very poor group would be able to go to a private-eoctor physician or clinic. itt the same time, though, we have moted (above) that membere of this group were getting bealth consultations rather frequentiy, with most of these cases not representiog a visit to a traditamal healer. It io therefore logical to assume that thay must be compensating for their low incomes in some other way, an this case by going to a DOH clinic.

Groupe secring lowest on the use of a governonent facility were the tachers of Medina and the sugar workers of Quezon manioipality ribe former pattern is no doutt linked to thie groupe preference for traditional healers. in contrast, we can anain cite the accestibility of companympun clinics for the sunarworters arfa as a plausjble explanation for their lacts of interm ese in goind bo a DOH elinio.
"Fhabsibde," pertabs, but mot definitiver A final question on the place of consultation concermed whether or not the household membar had to be brought to a place of treatment iocated beyond the boundartes of hisher home barangay. In thateres it it the 3 añalass in gemeral and the sugar wortere jor particular who are mest libely to follow such a pattern. Indeed, more that nimety perteqt ef all ehe sugar household cases were brought outside the baranuay (fn comparison, less than a thitu of bine sick persomb from sifh groups as the Medina fishers, the upland farmers, the corn farmers amo the rice worlers were taten outaide the baramaday ?

These figures do not jive well with the above explanation incofar as the company clinics are located on the plantation premises, bett within Farangay Futong. So why would the sugar worters mant de ge somewhere elec? Some explanations phen bear further imvestigation include the posesbilities that at the company oltmes be mot provide eerviees ror wertom" ohildran, (2) many of the wortere are living outside Farangey Futong and only travel there to wort (or to visit the medical elinio) and (3) the mash salaries paid to workers make it easy for then to visit privats pratettiontere located in the municipal poblaciom. Their Modiciare temefite also mate it womewtiot easter for this group to he hospatalized (in various places outside of mutomb〉 in casem where orofe serious conclition is found.

No Gignificant difference could be found among the three groups on the question of whether or not they had ever visited the meartuy marangay llealth Gtation. For the eight-group eomparison howevery a Eignjficant differential did emerge chi gatuare = 16. 3 . $\quad \mathrm{P}$ (-00). It is interesting to note in this regard that the rices ulorters were the most lifely among all groups to have viejted a misy while the sumar workers were the least likely (9) Foth of thepe findinge fit well with the preceding-disiomsion.

Difformoces amora the small farmer, landess and fiehing groupe were atse neblatible on the item which asked about prior visite to a poth hompital. hiain, however, a significant differential did emerim for the datailed comparison of the adith poverty groupe. A tixjo: factar fothis case appears to be exte of acoess. Foth the roconut farners of Gingoog city and the fishermen of Opol have litthe problem in reaching DoH bacpital. For the woco. nut farmers this is the govermmentron facility in the city centar of Gingoog, while residents of barangay Luyong fonbon in Opol live only a twenty-minute jeepmey ride away from the regional training hoepital in Cagayan de Oro. It is thus mot surprising
to find fint geaf percent of the former aroup and ge. the Iateve ong have beem able to mate such a visit. In contrasty only 47 . Fiveremt of the sutar worters, 4 s. 0 percent of the Medina fisherfolt and 35.0 percent of the uptand farmers can matee
 located im a fairly inaceessable rural setting.
 gr mot arighe jo the family tadever bean hospitalized. In a little fore than oo Fercent of all eates a positive response was given to this question Intergroup comparison among the fatming, lardlese amd fichang commanties are not statisticady eigmifi... cant tut minnificance is atain reached for the more detailed comparison (Chi sumare = $34.77, p$ (-00.). Differences in thise cate show the rice workers and the wpland farmere to ramb lowest in this resard. Omby 37.5 percent of the former group and 30.5 percent af the 1 atter had Ever experienced the hospitalization of a family member. In comparison, such groups as the sugar morterts (67. $\Xi$ percent with a hogpitalized member), the fitherfolb of
 percemts were much more lifesy to have used a hospitall facilityf日aiu we note the relatively favorable position of the gunar and rubber wortere, pertiops becuase of thejr probable etatus as Medicare membere.

Table ane uontimues bhe above lime of imoestination, in thje case by ateroimg im on the specifice case of maternal arid child health care practices. We tegin with an item on the use of family plamoing, the resulte for which have already beten mentioned in an earlier comtext. As the data in the table"s top panel show, it is the wives of the landless worter who are most lifely to be using some form of comtraception. ln fact, the percent of this group who are eurrent umers (e. 7 f percent) is actuadity rather higher than that found for the country as a whole, a figure which imcludes, of course, large mumbers of urbair midalemelate families. (10) Further study of the reasons behind this high level of family plaming adoption would certainly appear called for. Againg the presence of company-run clinices for the sumar and rubber workerts may be playing eome sort of role in this regardy although it is 1 ibewtse apparent that this camot constitute the sole explamationu Overall, prevalence is highest among the sugar worteres \{ 70.3 nercent current umers), fodlowed in order by the rubber workers 6t-5 percobt) and the rice workgrs (s9. 5 percent).
 (in farticular) the wipand farm famitieg; only ab. 9 percent of whom report contraceptive wee.

When ashed if their younget child had received frenatal
 "tiven This was partacularty true among the landyess worbere, abo very mearty raported universal prenatal coverane Comparative statistice for the farming and fiching commanities were significamtly lower, with only about 4,3 percent coverage in each case,

Table 4.6 .-. Maternal and Chidd Health, Care Fractaces, Amomeg Threte Eural foverte Groupe


 a
Care werter)

|  | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factictu | 1.79 | $x=$ | 14.23, | $p$ | ¢ | 001 |
|  | 19.3 | $v=$ | . 23 |  |  |  |
| richimg | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

$a$

Famman
60.3
$X=10.13, p<-01$
L. amd ees
6.
$y=-15$

04.6
 Attendant of Hone at Ald, LeEt Hirth)
Farming $\quad 7$ es $\quad x=5.99, p<-05$

Fighing
66.7

Caswe without any prenatal care treated as not applicatien

```
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E. Elace of Girth (Eercent Giving Firth at Homer Last Child)

7. Immunzation (Ferecot with Younget Child Fully Immunad

| Farnimg | 70.6 | $X=13.14, p<-001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-\operatorname{condews}$ | 70.4 | $v=-24$ |

Q. Water Furity Eercent Who "Always"or "Gometimes" Foil their Drimbing Waters

Farming
19.2

를

Lamalese 19.
$x=15.74, p<.001$

Fishing
41.3

Againg the com and coconut farmers both scored low on this dimension, along with the Medina fistaerfolitn A platsible explanam tion for the differential, therefore, is that is the groups with more older couples (who gave birth to their yourngest child during a time when prenatat care was lest routinely administerted which show evidence for the least adequate coverage.

Most couplet prefer to go to a modern nedicad practitiomer (in most cases a miduife) for their prenatal care. Mone of the fixherfolk amd a litule lese than a fifth of the small farmers and the 1 awdeses reported themselves as buving gone to a traditional bitth attendant (TEA) for this etervice. (il)

Use of UHS or a FHOU for prematal care appears to be fadrly
 groups reported went themselves as having used one of these two govermment...run facilities, with an even larger proportion ses percent) of the fisherfolk doing likewise. An even wider intergroup differential is found for the detailed comparison with 80 percent or mare of both fiehing groupe, the corn farmers and the rubber worlere going to a goverament facility, as compared to only 4 p pertent of the upland farmers and 27 pereent of the sugar



Faraldel quetions were ashad concerning hesith eare serve. iese used during the birth of the respondents youngest ohild. In this cate it was the traditional birth attendants who were most typacally choesen to act in thic capacity rather than a DoH mid.
 had used coly a THA (or no health care worker at all) during their most recent birth:

Intergroup differences on this variatbe were only modestiy
 son. lin this case it was the landless worters who were most litely to use a TBA, while the fisherfolt rambed lowest. Again, however, the detatided classification showed a wider differemtial, ranlim! from the 92 percent of all rice worters who spurned a modern practitioner to only 51 percent of the Medina fieherfolt who did likewites.
 seen, are also most lifely to fequest assistance from atme.

Most (70 percemb af the cpouses of the small farmers and
 chind hail hegn filly immanized. For some reason, however, the
 (only 4 - 6 percent fully immunized) in this regard. The reasom for this differential is not immediately apparent, particularly since we had earlier moted that it was the fieherfoll: who were

Eofewhat more libely to have ever vjefted a fifs. In any event, the inmumjation problem was particularly acute in the subsictence fishimg community of hedina where only 31 perceat of the youngest chaldren had been fuday immamazed.

Our final indicator eoncerne the respondent'g readinese to Engage in a wecond type of prevertive heajth care. Thice ics the practice of boiling the family's drambing water, so as to reduce the poseibility wf besoming infected with ome or another diar rieal disedse. Fhysicians frequentiy advise that this practice should be followed in Third wordd countries. It is, however, both expencive and tomewhat bothersome to do so. Ace euch, only a emall mincoity of our families elaimed that they fajthfally bojled the household, drimbing water, Most, in fact, do not even do the om an occasiomed tasis..

We have moted the increased prevalemce of diarrheal illmesees in the fishing households. It would seem that the fisherfolt: themselves are also aware of this problem. overally atiout al percemt of these families were "always" or "sometimes" boiling their water, as opposed to only 1 p percent or the other respond ents. Thic differemce is gtatistically significant at the ool level of probubiduty.

Loobing at thig pattern in more detajly we find both fiehing
 neasure. The rubber worters, too, are more careful in this regard, with 40.0 percent of these households boiling their water on ab least an oceamional basis. In comparison, very few of the rice worbere comly 10.0 percent) and the sugar worters ( 5 percerty peport themsedves as tejng concerned enough to tahe this sort of prwcoution.

## Summaryand Conchusjons

We again tabe note of our initial hypoblesie that the rural. poor are not as homogeneous a group as is commonly acsumed. Data presented in this ofapter provide widewranging support for this perspective aroadty epeating, health care problems hre by no meame uncommon among the rural pcior. Indeed, about half of our sample families experienced the death of one of their ohiledrem. The ppecifice fatterns taken in those instances, however, can and do vary widely among the djfferent etudy communities.

Fertiape the most interestimg example of this tendency is found for the landese agricultural morters. Foverty, insecurity of tenure, low levels of educational attainment-wall these problems are practically coterminous with toe landese etate. And yet this group is by no meane the most probjematio on several health care imotcatore. Their fertility is surprigingly low, and thejr use of family planining fairly widespread. They report fewer ailmente and a shaller proportion of children ever dying. They also rank comparatively high on such indicatore as visite to a
health (are worter \&both in aboolute and proportionate terms), the use of moderm pratitioners and the use of prenatal care services. We have bpeculated that compary-man chinice and eligibility for the Medicure progran have thedped facilitate these developmente, although the case of the landess rice worbers presemte womethimg of an anomaly in thic rase. (The rice worters do mot mave the company alinic option and are probably not cove ered by Medicare. Nometheless, they do well on some of the above andicetors, most moticeably the use of modern practitionere for nommaternity orelated problems and family planimg servicem. On the other hand, they seem to rely etrongly on traditional birth attendante for prenatal care amd durimg the birth iteejf. Ficee wortere aleo report themeelves as beimg lese willing or able to brimg their family membere to a hospital during a corejs mituan tion.)

Turning now to the three commamities of wmall farmers, Eome special problems and potentiale are also apparent in this caste. flong with the subsistemce fisherfolt of Medina, the corn and coconut farmers bave a relatively older age profile than the other groupe. x'm general, they are beset with more of the chronic conditions af old age. lt is our impression that the DOH has givem ralatively Jesgemphasis to trese sorte of inlnesseme If this je imdeed true, it is a problem which should mote be addretsed, as the rumatutoruban migration of farm youth continues durimg the mert decade, Even as the rural birth rate ameinues to decline, the proportion of elderly persons in the ritilippine countryside wjll marely increate. Freparations mhould mow be underway for incurins that proper medical wervices car be whtod-
 pay for the same Sometjmes, tooy it is not just a materer of money. The cecomat farmere were not the poorest. group in our study or the farthast removed from a health clinecend yet they rames lowest in terme of the proportion of all ailmente that were brought to the attention of a health care worlece ferhaps in some of these casem the old person has no one to bring him or her to the doctor. Or maybe they telieve that the looal, mese just there fo provide matermal and child care.

Upjamd farmers are somewhat younger on average. Their main problem may well be that of access to the modern health services which are most typically located in an urban or semi warban settiol. The uplandere have been found by our study to make fewer health sere viette (both absolutely and proportionately) and to resort more wommomly to a traditional practitionter Thair fertil... ity ite high and thetr use of family plamong infrequent. They rants Jabeet amang at groupt in terms of visite to or use of a hospital. thedr rates of childhood mortadity are high. Againg sericut ! hombtimust be given to developing mew ways and meane by whict the moeds of upland agriculturalasts may be mety partionabarly ejmee this is the one rural sector which may be expected to contimue growing and attractimg inmmigrants throughout the coming


Special health problefos may occasionally be linbed to one or another wovjrcmmental factor. We have seen at least tur inistances of this phenomenom. The firet is the greater prevalence of diarheal conslitions in the two fishing combunities. Our hypothesjs in this cose is that the more crowded living conditions found in coastal fishing villages may represent an underlying cause of this differential.

The \#ecoma example concerns the use of pesticides. For reasome which are not immediately clear, this practice is comeid¥rably more common among the upland farmere of Claveria. (de) As a result, more respondente in this setting reported a concern that whe tousehold head's job was a potentially damgerous onex So also fid we nete a Jies for this aroup.

Finalimgs from our study lend support to the strong emphasie jiwen by bhe Dof to primary health care Levels of childhood nortality among our rural poverty groups are still high, with about one out of every ten children ever born having already died. Such infectiout and communicable conditions as diarrheal. diseacey acute respiratory illnesses, measles and tetanus were aleo foumd to be major contributors to this patterm of early jeath.

He the same time, howevery the widespread prevasence ef三everal. chroitic conditions was aleo noted. Kanting particularly ifgtin this regard were ulcers and bidney probjems. Heart ailmente, arthritis, asthmas, tuberculosis and eye problems \{eng. atiaracts) wert also common. It is to be hoped that the government health service will be aceorded the financial and manpower resources that it will meed to tactile these problems, too, alomg with the ehildhood comditions pinpointed by the thrust towarde orimary health carem

## Endnoteg

1
In carrying out such an operation it will. of course, be necescary to exelude ald Gases of childess couples.

2
Even though the data refer to the children of our sample reepondent: it shouha be noted that they do not relate exactiy to the quemtion of "infant and child" mortality. This is so insofar ase mas ohifdren of the respondents are already adults. A few of the deathe to this group must thererore have occurred well after the ohilahood etage had been paesed.

3
For those readers who may feed that the adegories jisted in Table 4 - 3 are too bross to allow for ar abalysie of the efe. sific conditions now besetting the filipino rural poor, it may be of interest to mote that nint specific conditions were mentioned
ten or more times. These includes, in order of the frequency with which they werce mentioned, the foylowing: "ulcer" (ed cases), ki(1)ty problem (20 cuses), asthma (1a cases), arthritis (17 2ases), rownt (a7 cases), heart ailment (J5 cases) y tuberculosis
 ances). The very large number of ridney condjtions and alleged aleste is particularly noticesble from these figures. Hubritional deficiencies, strese, impure water and difficulties in maintain". ing pereonal hygeme may perhape be eome of the moot important factors brjmging abont these ronditione.

4
The wagar worlece, in fact, report mo cases of diarrhea ambing all mablest of wheir ferty

5
For the question on "fever," the uphand farming fanidiee tied for the highest ranting along with the corn farmers and rubber worbers. For that omear discharges they ranted highest, rollewed by the rice worters. Thellater case was statictically significant but the former wat not.

6
The comparison in this case is statistically significant


7
Half or more of the householde in the three graupe menm tioned above hat at keast one member experiencing blurred vision.「his made this particular symptom as one of the most frequently reperted conditionse in the table, following only guch common illmestes as headactien fever, coughtectd, bact pain and tooth achie.

3
Again, all wix householde without any ailmente mere excluded frem bhe analyeit when these ratiog were computed.

9
All rice workers families reported at leate one visjuto a [us. This figure falls te omly 7 atis percent for the eugar wort ers.

10
The previously"eited study of the "poorest of the poor" foumd 48 a percent of the currently married women in this group
 2.2).

11
Comparing acrose the more detailed categories it ie inter. Esting (aind mot wot whrpsising) to note that the upland farmere
 siow to uet a trat. $1 \%$

A pectible Explanetiong however, may be advanced. This its
 ria hat emcouraged a more widecpread use of pestedeides in athe setting than for the ecmi-wubsistence eorn and coconut farmers.

# Chapter Five. Heliefs and Attitudes about Health Care Frovision 

In thie shapter we seet to describe the beliefe and attiow tudes about bealth eare which are held by the membera of the einto different powerty groupe.

Heatu Care Oefigions Health care practioes are preeminently social phemomena, and not only because they are conditioned by cultural, economie, abo geographical factore. In the final analysisy sucti betaviors may be infuenced more by bousebolat level factors than by individual ones. Many siolt persons (the young, the very old, the eeriously ill) are umable to decjae on
 the aecision to see a health care specialist must instead by made by someone alse, usually the household head and/or his spouse. Household-level decisions must also be made with regard to such questions as compliance with the specialist's pregeriptions, additional visite to the clinic, preventive-health care practices and the life tecause some households, will be more fefective than othors. in coping with all these demands, it therefore becomes apparent that family etruetural and interaction patterns will atso become potent predietors of health care responees and
 migration of the rural poor typically cute them off from the traditiomal cultural millieu of the countryside, as weld as from those edderly extemded fin who tetili resort to traditional herbad remedtag whan ltoy are sictens such, the use of herbal medicimes among the umban poor is generaldy uncommong even when explicit efforte are :axde by moos to encourage such practiges \{Mardon, 1991).

It thorefore becomes important to determine the health care beljefe and attitudes of our respondents. (In nearly all cases these consist ef the spouse of the fousehold head, i. es the very same pertom who wild ajeo play the moge central role in deciding
 firet Etep in this direction may be had by inepecting the data foumd in Tably 5uj, which were gathered by presenting the reme spondent mith two hypothetical situations and asting her about the response whint efte would qive to each.

The fifot wituation wat deseribed at follows:
"Your inem baby is showing some wigns of diato rhes. You want to be wure be will not bet vory efot: from this disease. What will you do?"
 (ine it was an "open-wnded" item): In generaly and as finown in the top panel of Table 5.ly most claimed that they would bring the ohild bo a doctor. A fairly large minority 《a little legs tham eo percent also chose to see some other modern-sector

Table E. L. - Fesponse to Two Medical Energencies, Fural Foverty Groups

Stuation 1: Wabe with "Siqus of Diarrhea"
Eeperowe Farming Eandless Eishing
Gee docter
Go to phe
other responee

Total.
4G.e
70.3
69.8

2e. 1
27.7
15.2
74.5
other response
$100.0 \quad 100.0$
1.00 .0
$x=25.79, \quad p<.001 \quad y=-20$

Situation e: Chin with Cough and Fever

| Resporace | Earming | Landless | Fithing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| see doetor | 60.5 | 74. 江 $^{\text {a }}$ | 71.3 |
| Co to lits | 4.2 | 10.8 | 1.3 |
| other response | 35.3 | 15.0 | 27.5 |
| Totar | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100:0 |
| $x_{z}^{2}$ | 19.85 | p<..001 | $v=-10$ |

health eare worter, in this ease by saying that they would go to the faramgab Health Station 〔EHS). That leaves another eo percent or so who selected some other responee, euch as using a home remeay or mabimg use of a traditiomal bealer.

A number of eigmificant intergroup differences were foumd for this quetebom, In gemeral, the landees were most jately to say that they would brimg their ohild to a doctor, This comparece well with the fimdinge on health care practices descojbed in the previous refore. The fieherfoll, too, preferred treatment by a toctor, though they were 1 ese in favor of the ats. lin contrast, the smali farmexe rambed loweat in terms of proposing to visit a physician therety making them moet likely to either go to the frys or to giver eome other response.

Comparing acrose the eight poverty groups, it was the upland farmers who were least likely to say that they would go to the doctor for this type of illness. Only $37-5$ percent of the upland... ers Eedected this options as compared to three-quarters or more of the sugar worters, the medina fisherfojk and the rubber plantation mployecs. Anaim, a similar fatern was neted in our earlier diecueston of actual health care pratubes.

The second wituation posed a wimilar sort of problemy tisausin in this case an explicit reference was made to the finamojaj. consequences of visiting a physician:
"Your baby is two years old. All day yesterday
whe was eoughing badly Last might Ehe had a figh
fever and aid not sleep well. Your neighbor sug…
getets that you choudd bring her to the doctor tut
you know that will cost more than ploo. What do
you think is the best thing to do?"

Responsestortha open-ended question were atajn elassified into the threg categordes of visitimg a doctor, foing to the EHAS and deciding upon some other curative resort.

As whomm by the bottom panel of rable sum a similar pattern to that for the diarrhead diseases incident prevailed in thig case . The Jamdiete were most supportive of the ploysician optiony followed by the fieherfolle and the small farmersa those living in
 just as the whail farmert ramseri fighest in terms of eelecting some other option.

If there is a differemce between the responees to the twositualione, thje would lae mainly in the significantay smalder mubers of respondents who felt that the acute respiratory infeco tion (fiky deecribed in the gecond situation could best be treated at the FHS. Nore respondente in all three groups would appear to fesl that the BHS is better equipped to handle an attack of diarrhea se-g. through the provision of Oral Fehydration Solution? tham for a case of ART.

Besulte. for the eight roup comparison are aleo quite wain lar to those showis earljer. Again it is the upland farm respond-. ente who were leact likely to plam on eecirg a doctor, white the Medina fietierfolk, the sugar workers and the rice worters rarked higluet. As pointed out in earlier reporte, the higher cash imeomeen medicare tenefite and accese to companyormo medical.
 Eet more amerable to viedting medical practitionerey even though the fimaboial outhay im wheh cases will usually be rather higho

Table Siz provides further information on preferences about preventive amd eurative resorts. In the topmoct pangl we report the percentage of adz respondents, who agreed with the gtatement that "rf my child is sich $T$ prefer to bring him to the doctor right away, rather than wationg two or three days." The resultes are largely consjetemt with our preceding diecussjone For the threewoategpiry comparison the Iandlese group was foumd to be sinaificantiy mowe lifely to agree with this sentiment than were either the smadi farmers or the fishers. For the more detaided comparisom, loo, the rice and sugar werters rant highest, with doth of theste groups showing universal agreement that a sicts chida whould be brought to a decter "right away." Sosio poestble explanations for why the sugar worters would tate this perspeotive bi., ere afeady been noted above. The willingnese of the rice wortere to tale this cource, howevery is lese expected, given not only their obvicus powerty but also their general exclusuon from any Eort of oompany benefite. One posedble explanation for this. patererm min!u be the rice workers greater willinghese to uce the Whe serviede fa finding highaghted in the preceding ohapter).
 midwife rather bhan to "a doetor" but this rather fine dietinc... tion might biate beten overioobed by many respondenter

Somentiat iater in the questianmaire, respondante were acted the followin! question:
"Some poople prefer to go to a hilot when a porson in their family je siche others life to go to a regular medical doctor. Whach one do you Whint ie usualiy better for a sich person?"

Most respomitorts ameqered this question in terms of the aimple
 the respondeot sabi womethang on the order of preferring the doctor under cettmin eminditioms and the hilot at other timee.
ra Table 5 . 2 we have thus presented only the percentages for each group that \&ove the unqualified ancwer, "docter". The rew sulte now show the landless to rathe lowest on this meneure, although it whould also be noted that the relatiometifis as a weat
 it must be admitted, does not fit very well with our preceding

Table 5. a. - - Welitefs on Mealth Care Mamagement, Three Fural Foverty Groups

1. Uregry of Frimang Sich Child to Doctor pfercent Eleiming The woutd Go "Pintit Away")

Farming $\quad 70.3 \quad x=19.95, p<.001$
Lamdese $\quad 95.3 \quad$ 75
Fishime
75.0
2. Health Wroter Ereference. Comparing Dortor and Hilot ieproent Qivinn umqualified noprovel of poctor)

| Farming | 00.2 | $x=5.43, p<-10$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landless | 66.4 | $U=-13$ |

Landlese
6E. 4
$u=-1.3$
Fiwhing
71.3
3. Ereferrod Furchase, Comparing Toilet and Televigion Set (Fercemt Choosing "Toilet")

discuseion. worth noting, however, is the ftrong doctor preference found among the sugar worters. Nore than 90 percent of this group chose "doctor", thereby ranting theminigheat in this regard. The weate prefertace for doctors (39.5.percent) found amorn the rice workeres was thus a major reason for the low ranking found for the genteral eategory of landless workers. © Employees of the rubler phantation took an intermediate poeition with G7-E pereent preferriang the physician.)

The late of our situetion questione presented our respondente with the following choices
"Your relative in Gaudi Arabia eemos you a gift of מiw, ooo. Your house doesm"t have a flush toinet and you would lite to inetall ome of these. You would also like to buy a television. If you could only buy one of thesey whieh. would you choose?"

The resulte showed a strong preference for toiletsy with 90 percent or more of all three groups choosing this option. of course, some responclents may have given this answer because it ceems to be the more "respectable" ancwer between the two In Some casesy too, the television set'represents a useless alternative because the household dees notenjoy a direct ejectrical commetwon. one should not, thereforey mate too much of the eefmingly hint leved of eupport for toilets over television sets. In any eventy mo statistically significant differencer was found for either tio threeraroup or eight-wroup comparisom.

Dttitures Toward the Department of Health In Table 53 may be fouma a muber of attitudinal items concerning the comparison between public.mestor and privatersector health care wervices. On the one hand, we might expect the DoH to be highly thought of by our respondente considering that it. is offering them medical care for free or at a very minimal cost. On the other hand, though, etudjes conducted jon the rhilippines have often described the perceaved wealmesses of the govermment's health programy perceptions that are held not only by medical experts and better-educated persons, but even by the "man (and woman) in the etrete" as well. Fublicesector health persominel have thus been criticized by logal residents for being ill-trained, unfriendyy, and liable to hold infrequent amd irregular office hours sex. Falma-Gealza, 1903). Maramgay health etations, too, are seen as laclang the newessary equipment mind mediexmes needed for proper diannowie and treatment.

A Eeries of wtatements was read to the respondent on this topic. Feplies could rambe from "Eyrongly abree," to "anreey" to "disagrete" and "strongly dieatrees" For example, and as shown in the topmost panel of Table s. 3 , about 60 percent of all respondente were willing to either "agree" or "strongly agreg" with the statement that doctors from the DOH were "just as good" as those
Sector Heajth Care Services, Three Fural
Foverty Groups

1. DoH Doctore ve Those in Frivaterractice fercent Anceping


| Farming | $6 E .5$ | $x=-31,44, p<-001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lamdese | $77-5$ | $y=-31$ |
| Fishing | 39.8 |  |

 Chargex Were "the same")

3. Eublic ve Frivate Hospitale Gereent Croosimy Frivate if NeEded Te Qo How)

4. Qpimion on Doly Eeremmel (Eercent Anreping They Are "Kind gucturefut')

5. Interaction with Dof Doctore Fercent Admitting They Fepl
"Shy" to 'talr to Them)
Farming
42.9
Land lose
45.8
$x=1.57, p=\pi .0$.
Fiehian
51.9
e


Farming
Land atese
74,1
$x:=5.07,0<.10$
Fiwhing
00.0
$u=\ldots 13$
87.3

## Table E. 3.--(Continued)

7. Opinion on wh Servioes Percentruting Them "Good"or "Uegy ogod")

Q. Gpimion on Sewices from DOH Hospitale percent Eating Yhem b
"Goog" or "Very Good")
$a$
Fespondents 1 imited to those who had visited a rets at least once. b Fespondents inimited to those who had visited a bob-rim hospital at leate moce.
engaging im private practice. This figure was by mo means uniform across all poverty eategories, though, varying as jt did from
 small farmero and only 39.9 percent for the fieherfolke Uariation was even areater for the detailed categorization scheme with 75 percemt or more of the corn farmers, the sugar worters and the rice worbere showing agrewnent as comparedito a mere 3 percent of the Medina fishermem.

Fiesults for the seven other items found in Table 5.3 are gemerally consistent with the above pattern. Jn all cases it is tha fisherfolk who express the greatest doubts about the medical servicese offered by the polt. We thus find the following:

1. . Hinety-one percent of the fisherfolk alleged that they would prefer a private to a publie hogpital if the charges were "the same";
2. Geventyofour percent of this group said that they would choose to go to a private hospital if there was an im mediate meed for thiseg.
3. Ondy 7 l percent of the fishers argued that the DoH persommel are "rimd anc helpful;"
G. More than half (se percent) of those from the fishing villages agreed that they feed "shy" to talle to the DOH doctors;
※.. Eighty-seven percent felt it best to brimg a sick family member straight to a DOH hospitaly thereby voting to brpass the warangay Health Station;
G. Only 73 percent were willing to grant a rating of "good" to the services provided by the rifs; and
4. Only 69 percent gave a "good" rating for espoiees guen by DOH hospitale.

In two of the above cases (preference for private hospitale if charges were the eame and willingness to bypass the FHS) a majority of the mmall farmers and landese agricultural workers aleo expressed doubtes about the fiffoacy of the por programs. NometheJets, the peneral pattern for these groups seemed to be one of moderate approval for the government"s health care efforts. In contrast, the fishers were comsistently more dubious, with this differential often \{in four of eight oases) bejng a statisticaljy significant once.

A11 comparisons save one (choice of a putije or private hospital if the charges were "the same") were found to be tignificant for the eight-group comparison. In every single one of
these cases it was the Medina fifhermen who ghowed the least support for the DoH programe. Insofar as there is no a priorj reason why this might be the easey one possibility is wimply that the DOH personnel who are now assigned to redjna just happen to not be very accomodationg, thereby pulling down the evaluation scores for the respondente living in that municipality- (1) As Euch, we chould perhape caution the reader not to read to much into thia differential, consigtent as it may be.

Feturnimg for now to an earlier point, the overall impres... sion from the data found in table 5. 3 is one of moderate, but not enthusiatetey wupport for the DoH effortsu Most respondente feed that the mis and the DOH hospitala are dojong a "good" job- Moet alaim that boH doetors "liamd and helpful" in their mamer and "just as good" ase private eector physicjams. At the same time, however, almost half of all respondents admit to feeling "thr" in the presence of dot persommel, white a consjoerable majerity feed. that it is generally beet to bypass the local EHS in order to bo straight to the mearest DOH hospital. Moet telling of all, more than os percent admit openly that, if only the private secter charges were not eo high, they would chooese to go to a private hoseptal rather than a publie one. Indeed, more than half of all, recpomdents- woor as they are-way that, fif some oritiond illmess were to come up in the mear future, they would choose to go to a privatedy wom hospitad. Given the much tigher eoster of going to such establishbentey it is apparent that the predominant feeling among our respondente is that the private hoepitales must be offerimg better quajity care.
 of selected low income countriese which have been able to bring down their mortajity leveley Johm Caldwell has argued that a prerequisite for this sort of demographic change is the mobilization of lower clase household to press for a widespread provision, by the publice sector, of quality health care and educational services. As Caldwell (198G, p. 191) puts it, "grase-roots political activism and radicalism are fey elements in making health syetems work, probably more important than governmental radicalism in establishing the programs." In other words, the question $i$ s not only one of constructing a chain of health clin. ice throughont the thousands of barangays found in the rurad. Fhilippinesy but of 〈1〉 energizing the local community to put sufficient preswure on local health cifinic persomed to insure efficient, caring servioe and (a) organizing larger coalitions of Euch fraseroots orgamizations to lobby with municipal, provincial amd matiomal govermments for increased budgetary attention to heal ath and education neede.

Three surver iteme relate in some way to this issue. on the first of these the respondent was asted to agrece or disagree with the statement that traditional (i.e. herbal) medicine is "only for the poor." Agremment with this etatement, we would arguey may be interpreted as showing a certain amount of resignation on the
part of our poorer respondente to their lot and to the medical paradigm which is said to be appropriate fer their "station" in 13.fe。

A second item atsed for opinione on the etatement that "the rich have fewer health probleme than the poor." This could again serve ase an indirect indicator of the redicaljem dimensiom, intofar ate those who ditagree with the staternent might well be mowe "Eympathetic" to the "proteleme" of the rich and therefore leste wiling to join a mititant, classmbaced movement.

The final item was the simple statement that "heajth care jos a bateic human right." As things turned out, every single one of our respondents agreed with this motion. We therefore formed a diehotomy by dividing the ample into those who "strongly" agreed wjeth the statement, as opposed to those who meredy agreed
 img a greater potential far political mobjaization or activismy particularly Eince the whole question of "human righta" ie generally perceived ac being a "leftist" issut in the fhilippines.

The resulte of this analyeie may be found in Table Ent. on two of the three comparieons ftraditional medicine as beime "oris' for the pocs" and the belief that health care ie a basio human right) the fisterfolt are again found to assume a variant perspective. In this case they turn out to be the more militamt group, a finding which in mome ways fite with their generally high teved of diseatisfaction with the eervices now being provid ed by tre Dotw in both rases, too, the comparisom iss statistical1\% sinnficamt. Thise is particularly true for the tey quewtion on whether or not health care mhomid be viewed as a basic human rintit.

Differences between the small farmer and the landese group are mejther darge mor contistent in direction. An examination of the detailed olassificatery comparisons aleo fails to show much of an underjyjng paterem other that a continued tendency for the Hedina respomadente to take an outider position on most items. Thus, the subsistemce fieherfoll were least likely to agree with the first and ececoms etatements found in Table s. 4 as well as being most in agreement with the human righte iseue. Jm all three catese, it might be noted, the opol fieherfolk came ciosest to adherimg te the Nedima point of wiew, thereby hintimg that the latter $s$ variant stance may not be merely an idiosymoratic matter after al. Ferfabe the weminoman residential etatus of the fieherfolty ar the oface baced conflicts which they are now engaged in with well …financed trawlerty have worked to convince membere of this group that they ought to talee a more radical and activist stance an curremt ixeme affectimg their welfare.

Viewed as a whole, respondents to our survey do not seem to be the most lifely candidates for succestfully preseuring the government to make a real commitment towards alleviating the

Tatide Gut.m-ndditional Healeh Care meliefs Held wy Members of Three Fural Foverty Groups

Fercent
Statement Agreedmg Gignificance

1. "Traditiomal itiertand medieine ia only for the pocr""

| raming | 93.3 | $x=30.54, p<.001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| landiws | 90.0 | $0=-3.1$ |

2. "The rich have fewer health problems than the poor."

2
Farming $34.2 \quad x=5.89, p<.10$
L.andless
$79.8 \quad \vartheta=-14$
Fishimg
70.0
a
3. "Heath care je a basic human right."

$\because$
All respondents agreed with this wtatement, Fercentages thown jn the table refer to recpondents whe "strongly agree" with the statement.
health care Froblems of the poor. Table Eu has already whown a certain willinguess on the part of those interviewed to give the DoH the "benefit of the doubt" on a number of dimensions. In Table. EA as well, we find widespread but relatively tepjd Eupport. for the comoentional hotion that everyone has a right to some form of health care. Yes, all respomedete agree with thits ideay but only a mimority are willing to do eg strongly.

In a time when the efficacy of putije eector programe is increasingly coming into question, it seems evident that a way must be found to mate locally wased DOH persomed more profes. sional and wencitive to the needs of their conetituents. Frivate sector health worters will gemerally strive to meet these goale insofar as any failure to do so will inevitathy mean a lose of clients ard, therefore, income. Fublice exctor wortere, however, are jo a quite djfferemt position Their monthly incomes will remain the same mo matter how many (or few) persoms they serve. If anythjon, they would probably prefer to reduce their client load.

For some, the most obvious molution to this dilemma will be to privatize the DOH. As we thall eet in a eubsequert report, howevery there are sone definite financial constrajnts at work in this cese, That je, the poorest rumal fanilies simply cannot afford a strictly marbetwetyle health case approach. fromor for them to be servec, Eome sert of putite subsidy is aoing to be neweded.
n third etrategy thereforey might be to stay with the current publio sector approach but te encourage the arowth of "watchdog" graserootsmevel argamizatione that will defend the intereste of the rural poor in health care matters. For example, Caldwell (1986, p. 203) reports that "substantial recent decilines in mortality in rural West fengal pre now reported... because they have used the party eystem to appoint cadrese at every heajeth center to report on doetors or murses who do mot put all thejr time and effort into their services or who djecriminate between patients." Though this idea might at first seem counterintuitive (why would the govermment encouräge consumersy groups to be critioal of itt own programs? such an approach can at least be expected to rost 1 ess than any grandiose wcheme to help the poor by umidateraldy uparading public healbh care facilities. At the same time it also acts to keep in place a mechanism which, despite ite failures, has at least attempted to pay seme attention to the health care meede of the poorest of the rural poor, a group which will wurely end up being bypassed by a fulamfledged privatisation eftort.

Ideological support for the "watchdog" approach coutd perhape be derjved from the current administration'e pledge to use "people power" for foetering mational development. The primary motivating force for convincing people to join the group could in
turn be ome or amother health insurance soheme, a topice which will be taken up in our next report. A cotinection could also be made with the variout "women in developmentreaproaches ineofar as it je generally the epouse of the household head who has traditionally begn expected to loolt after the family ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~g}$ health
 that of molaciaxing the local populace by mating them more aware of thed.r own needs and of the good and bad aspects of the servi. ices beimg provided by the DOH. At the same time, of course, there will be a meed to find waye by which their coldective efforts mintre we used to help them afford more, and better quali-ty, health care..

## Sumaters

This chapter has dealt with beliefe and attitudes about heath care provision which are held by members af three rural. powerty groupswomed farmers, landlese agricultural worters and fisherfoll: Even though these groupe represent the wo-called "poorest of the poor," they generally seen to take a fairly modern wtamee on heath care iseues. On the whole, our respond ents sade that they would rather choose a modern-sector phytioiam for thejr ejob whild than to opt for the cheafer solutione of...
 nized the meed for not delaying unduly before bringing their child to a doctor There was evem coneiderable eupport for at: least one approach to proventive medicine. Hore than ninety percent said that they would rather have a fush toilet than a televjejom if they were aiven a choice botween the two.

Of coures, there is an on obvious problem here with "face Eavint" responees. Some respondente who elaimed to prefer the todiet would no doubt aetually buy the TV set ineteed. And our parente do delay before bringing their children to the doctor, If nothimg eles, however, we can at least way that they do know the "eorrect" responee to mave, even if culturaj or fimamodal. conetrainte wish sometimes force them to do otherwice.

Attitudes toward publio eector health care were mixed. Ow the surface, most responclents prajeed DoH personome for bejng "fjed and helfful" ard "just as good" as those in the private sectore whem the ohipe are down, howevery most say that they woud d rather ao to a private hospital than to one operated by the government. And that is Eo even with their very low incomes and the hiah eowte jmvedved. Gjven a hypothetical ejtuation of prime vatemecotor costw being "the same" as those for a public sector hospdtal, more than as percent of the respondents opted for the private facility There alto appeared to be subetantial uncer.... tainly over the qualixy of oare provided at the farangay Health Stations, with thresequarters or more of ati respondente saying that it is beet to simply go straight to a DoH hospitala This latter finding is supported by other research studies \&eat. Falma-sealra, 1.093) which have commented upon the widecpread underutilitation of the futs clinics.

A final iseut concerned the respondenter readiness to tabe a more activist ("radical") stance on health care icsues. A reEtarch study by Tohn Calduell has irdicted that this sort of perspective could, if mobilized, help to lothy for a more effective and etheitive public health care wyetem. Should this indeed be the case, our general impression was that most of our respendente were not yet prefared to talse a highly active etance in presesing for their constitutionally-guarantead right to decent bealth care some suggestions were thus made about approarhex which could incorporate or emcourage a graseroots movement for beteter amo mort relevant health care

Thtergromp differentials have also beer explored aral ditw cusemed. In generaly the landlest worlers bespecially those in the Eugar areas) were most voeiferous in elaiming that they would go to a modernmsector physjeian for their health care metsen Surprisjing as this finding may be, we should nonetheless point out that it ite generally supported by data from the previous chapter, in which actual health care practices were discussed. For their Fart, the fictimg families were most eritical of the doH and most swportive of the grassmode mobilization perspectioe Ho immedi-atwe and readidy acceptable explanation for this later pattern cond be identified.

The previous chapter has pointed to ar apparent problem of acceses to heajth care eervices on the part of the upland farmerse Data reported herein continue to eupport that perspective. For Exampley upland farmers were least likely to say that they would brimg a child wict with either diarrhea or acute respiratory iflmees to a doctor. Contimued attention to the neede of this farcifung groupy e.g. through improved traneport and communicatjom limkages, appeare meeded.

## Endnotes

Sixty five percent of the Medina fishermen eais that they felt "shy" to tallt to the Dold doctore, while only a elingthemorm it\% (Efe percemt aigreed that the Dof physiciane are "kind and helpful. " Comeiderims the cultural value placed by fillipinos upon euphemism and conflict avoidance (thereby encouraging the socalled "courtesy response") these figures would indeed weem to point to some problems in interpersonal relations for this group.已
over tite lom rum, of coursen there will be a need to iovolve the smade) household head in additionad "domestio" tawle, including care of the Giet. The above rocommencation whoud that be contadered at a ehortwon alternative which has beem whageated for the practical reacon that mades will probably be lese inter.. ested tham femajes jn local health care initiatives.

## Chapter Six. Fatterns of Healt' Care Financing

In this chapter we focus specifically on the economic dimensions of health aare provision armong the rural poor. be begim with a brief review of the difficulties which poor rural househodde are facing in thedr attempt to provjde decent medical care for their members, moving on from there to imvestigate current and proposed methods of health care financing for this group.

At present, the fhilippine government is guaranteeing a certain minimal level of health care coverage, even in cases where the family will not te able to pay for thise many curative services, though, are not covered by the present program. Medicines, hospitalization costs, surgical fees-athesem must be paid for by the family itaclf, through one means or another. of course, there is the governmentwrun hospitalization insurance program ("Medicare") but this has only limited impact, insofar as lavge mumbers of Filipinos are not covered by the program. This latuer protelem would eeem to be particularly true for the groupe bejng analyzed in thitestudy: mmall farmers, landlese agricultural worteres and fisherment

Qiven these cometraints, it becomes apparent that the rural. poor will only have a limited number of options to atocee from if someone in their family becomes seriously ill. These consist of (1) paying for the medical costs from their personal earnings andfor savings, (a) berrowing money to pay these costs, (3) findime a Gharitable organization who will pay all of part of their costs, or (4) becoming a member of a formal health care
 include a number of adternative options including the governmentwrum Medicare program; purchase of a health care poliey from a private insurance company, acquiring sometype of job-based medical benefits from one's employer and joining a communitybased health care financing plan. In this chapter we will review the extent to which these different options are actually being resorted to by the rural poor, the various correlates of these different options, and prospects for integrating rural folk within one or another of the formal approaches to health care financing.

## The Eronomio gituation Eeviewed

Frevious chapters have already demonstrated tha more-or-bess tautological finding that the rural poverty groups contacted during bhe coures of this etudy are indeed quite poor. Cash incomes for a "typicaj" month averaged less than two thousand pesos for all thret of the major groupe, even dropping bejow a thousand peses a month for the landess rice worters. Ownership of consumer items--including those as cheap as a radio or a photo albun---is. by no means widespread. On average, the small farmers do own some fairly valuable assets (a hectare or two of land;
some livetwocin or poultry, farm implements) but the fisherfoll: have onjy their. boats (usually nonmotorized) and the landless have virtually nothing worth eelling or mortgaging to help tide them over during a major emergeney.

Cath incomes are highly irregular for all three groups. When asted if thejr incomes fluctuated from one month to another, twothigde of the landess worlers and 97 percent of the fisherfollt answered gh the affirmative. (t)

Feamwhile, health care costs are escalatirig rapicliy, in a pateern which hat reached ever the most renote barions of the nation. In all, a littie more than 60 percent of the respondente have had at least one family member hospitalized during some time in the past. The average amount spent on these occasions was
 of our responderts to come up with. Further etill, these figurese are based on peat expenditures. Ho cloubt current hospitalization costs will average out to a figure than is 50 percent or higher still than that.

Even when a member of the househodd does not require hospitalization, sigmificant medical eosts ean still be incurred. To illustrate, more than half (sen. 4 percent) of the households had at least one member who consulted a health care practitianer (whether modern or traditionaly private sector or affiliated with the DoH) durjing the month preceding the survey. In more than threewfourths of these cases, some consultancy fee was paid, ramgimg from $\leftrightarrows$ to woo pesoex The median category for this ques. tion was wio-19.99, with fourteen percent of all who parid something incurring a bill of ploo or more. Other expentes were also incurred on these eccasions: travel costs experienced in el percent of all cases), charges for medicines (found in 47 percent of all cases) and testing fees (7 percent of all cases). For those experiencing such charges the median amount paid came to
 249. 97 (for teste). These figures are clearly going to represent significant expenditures for most of our respondents. ( 2 )

When incomes are both low and irregular, even as medical coste are high, it is to be expected that gome gituations will. arise jon which the family camot come up with the money needed bo bring one of their gict members to a aloctor. The survey instrumert included an item which asted if this had ever occurred. The results showed that exactly ag percent of all respondents admitted that this had happened to them on one or more oceasion. Ae shown below, this problem oceurred most frequently among the fiteherfolt and least of all anong the landlese agricultural workers:

Fercent unable to bring siet:

Grour

Smad farmers
Landless
Fisherfoll:
family member to a doctor -
15.0
13.3
41.8

The above results are highly siginificant statistically (Chị
 it that the lives of our rural poor are still very much at risk, due in large part of their very low economic status.

## Sourcesof Heath Carerigancing

A total of 200 fanilites were located which had experienced the hospitalization of ome of their members. These respondents were asted how they had acquired the funds needed to pay the resulting charges. As demonstrated in Table G. 1 , the chief stwategy used in these cases was to mate the paymente from cura rent galaries or savings. This was used as the only souree of health care funde by ed. 5 percent of the respondents. The nextranking options were the sale or mortgaging of property (10.0 percent, borrowing money from someone or getting a cash advance from one's employer 9.0 percent), using the family"s Medicare privijeges (7. $\bar{F}$ percent) and getting a donation from some friend, relative or agency 《 8.5 percent.).

Several patterne may be moted from the data shown in Table G.1. Fowemost among these is the frequency with which the rural pore seeb out a multiplicity of funding sources for their hospitalization expensess In $3 A_{\text {a }} 5$ percent of the cases two differtent strategies were used, while another 7 - o percent of the householdte had to abtain the necessary funds from three or more sources. Whine Euggestive, this finding would seem to be open to varicus interpretatione. Im one sense the resort to multiple parment sources is fumetional, since it indicates that (1) the rural poar do have access te a variety of aseistance otamels and (e) these are often available to them on an interest-free basis. Converee... ly, the eituatjon of extreme poverty experienced by our respomdents, as typified in this case by their difficulties in acquiring ready cash; is also apparent here. Indeedy one almost gets the picture here of the household head and his epouse rushing frantically from one possible source of help to another, all the while wondering if the bill can ever be paid.

Other impressions may aleo be noted. Medicare payments are by ho means unheand of; but they do represent, nonethelessy a defimite minority of all casess Only 7 - Epercent of all hospital bille were pationtirely by modicare while in another eo. O perm cent of the racas some partiat farding came from this sourcen

Other formal health care financing echemes fare even mere poorly in fhis regard. In fact, they don"t appear at all, whether in the form of employer benefit pachages, pravate sector plans or combundey-wased approaches. In most casee, thereforen the rural. household is thrust bach uponites own resources as aseisted often enough by whatever help it car obtain from friend or relatives. (3)

Table 6.1. - Fercentage Distribution, Source of Money for Fost Fiecent Hospitalization Expenees, Fural Foverty Groups in Horthern Mindahao, 1992

| Gource | Fercemtase |
| :---: | :---: |
| Own Eavioge/mulary | 21.5 |
| Sodd miontanged property | 10.0 |
| L.cimin | 9.0 |
| Medicare | 7. 7 |
| DonationsfCharity assistance | G. 5 |
| Savings and property (sold/mortgaged) | $\underline{B}$ |
| Gavings and loan | 3.5 |
| Gavinge and Medicare | 9.0 |
| Savings amd donations | 4.0 |
| Froperty (soldmortyaged) and loan | 2.0 |
| Froperty and Medicare | 0.5 |
| Froperty and domations | 1.0 |
| Loan and Medicare | 11.0 |
| l.oan and domations | 2.0 |
| Other contimations (three or more sourcess). | $\frac{9.0}{100.0}$ |

In the remsinoter of this shapter we will loole more deeply imto the various strategies for health care firancing mentioned above. We begin with the personal funde from the household itself; as rajesd through savings or the sale/mortgage of property-

Household Sourges If most poorer households are already relying on their own earmings for paying off their medical expenses, does this mean that the situation is already well in handy with little newd for additional inpute from government planners or policy maters? Apparently notin Data from the presentetudy show that, even thomgh this approach may be the most widespread, it can searcely be characterized as bejmg ejther higtily effective or reliable. For one thing a separate questionnaire item on savings revealed that only 9 g percent of the respondents could boast of having any cash savings at all as of the surver date.

This inability to set any money aside for a "rainy day" is prevalent anong all three groups, most especially the landese agricultural worters and the fisherfolk. While about one out of every five farmers had managed through the years to acquire gome savinge, the comparable figures for the fisherfolls and the landlese worliers are only 7.5 and 0 percent, respectivoly (4)

In tiecory our respondents are all in favor of improving their record in this regard. When athed if they thought it is a good iteat to save money, a full 98.4 percent of them answered in the affirmative. And, when they were acted to name their firstrathed purpose for saving some cash, the most frequently-oited justifjeation was the need to provide for possible illmestes in the family. Ferty-tinee percent of ald respondents mentioned thie as their firetwranked rationale, as compared to 29. 4 percent whe were motivated by their ohildren"s educational needs, 17.2 per... cent who feared becoming unemployed, and about one-temth who mentioned either housing or retirement.

The omly problem, of course, is that it is a lot easier to agree that it is a "good thing" to save money than it is to actually acquife gome savings. Further stilly a single illness can wipe out the tiard-earned accumalation of years in a matter of days. Thws, for those families who had paid from their savings for ald or part of the most recent hospitalization expensen about three fourthe (74.4 percent) sadd that these had been fully depleted by the time the final bill was paid. An additional aspect may alse be noted in this regard, namely that most of these families had been hoping to use theit savimge for some other purpose, most typically for setting upor expanding a fami$y^{\prime \prime}$ b busimese or for putting one of theit ohildret through collegen 《ac such, it would appear that the low levels of aceess to a workable syetem of health care financing is reducing in some ways the potential of the rural poor for upgrading their ecomomic status.)

In other cases, household property was sold or mortgaged. Among the families who had hospitalized ore or more memberts, this mode of payment was used 12.5 percent or the time. The most typical item sold or mortgaged was a farm amimal (es out of 40 cases), but in other circumstances it became necessary to dispose of household consumer jtems, ayriculturak produce, or even the land itselfa (5) The necessity of malsing these transactions within a short period of time generally meant that the family had co tate a lose on the deal. When asteed the actual value of bhe property which was sold or mortgaged the average figure given was F 9,10 ag am amount which is more than so percent higher than the mean amount (as, geg) actually realized by the tale.

When a medical emertency meceseitates the mertgabe or sade of income generating property the household loses in two ways. First, it must absorb the cost of the hospital bill. Gecondly, itg ability to generate income in the future may also be sitnif-icantly inpaired. For the farmer who mortgages his land or the fisherman who sells his boat the ultimate result is mast probably going to be dowmard social motility--the movement from a life that ie alroady difficult to ome of sheer destitutionn Even if this latier outcome is avoided, the periodic occurpence of medical pmergencies mates it extremely unditely that the rural poor will ever fs able to improve their lot. Hen kerkvinet: analyeis of the socaal olase hierarchy in a village of central -uzon provides an esample:
"During diecuseions concerning such questions as 'why are some people rish while mote others are poor?-.. the explanation often heard is "luct and ※hance" (Enwerte, Eapalaran)....

From a snial tenart farmer comesn.. (an) illustration.... Just about the time I think I"m going to climb out of poverty, something bad happenc.' One time it was his son bejnghit by a car and practically losing his leg. Hospital expense set back the whole family for years..." \{Kertuliet, 1980 , p. 43).

Of course, one could always forego the painful process of seld.ju ome" s lamd or worb tools. Why not borrow from someone else who has the money? Fut, as we shajl see in the mext section, this etrategy, too, has a eatoh. Loane from a friend or relative wilj. uEually be far too small to cover all of the expenses involwed. After all, nott acquaintamees of poor foll: are themeelves poor and therefore unable to offer very much. Or wise you bo to the usurer, thereby reducing significantly (as was the caee with the wale of incomewenerating property) the net income wheth the Family will be bringing in during the next year or two bith the cards so stacter against them, is it any wonder that the rural poor see their lives as being determined more by sumerte than by all their efforts to work hard and plan their fanily ${ }^{\circ} s$ future?

LQans. About 30 percent of the families with a hospjtalized iember had borrowed some money in order to help pay for the थesulting expenses. This option, therefore, would appear to be me which is used with some frequencri-

Hot everyone, though, is able to Eorrow. This was demomitrated in the present case by asting the following questions
"Suppoete your family had a big emergency, for example, if someome wes very sick. Do you thinl: you could borrow money from somecome to belp solve that problem?"

In response, twenty percent of the respondente said that, or, they did not have someone they could turn to in such an smergencey.

A follow-up item was then asked as to whether or not the family had ever borrowed some money in a time of trouble. Again there were some \{A3. 9 percent in ajl\} who answered in the nena tive. Note, too, that this figure is more than twice ag large as that abtamed for the above question on a hypothetical future loan. It is therefore quite possible that some or the ores who believe that they will be able to borrow some money may eventual... ly receive the unpleasant surprise that they wont be able to do this after all.

In general, the amount of money which can be acceseded through loans is not very impressive. The average amount which the responcentes believe they can raise in this maner is
 amount of money actually brought in by past loans samong those who had imourred a debt) was lower still, averaging out to only P830. 20 with a median value of F300. These statistics would have been lower still if all those respondents who confessed to their inability to get a loan or who were unable to ever do so in the past had aleo been imeluded. ( 6 )

The source of past and projected loans is also of some relevance for our topic. We have already mentioned two possibilities, mamely the person'e primary group contacte 《friends, neighbore, redatives amd the local usurers. As shown in the top panel of Table ane, however, at least four other sources might also be approached; ine., formal jemding institutions franks, credit unions), wommunity groups (HGOs or FOE), employers or amdlords, and the middleman (gulti) who usually buys the farmer's produce or the fieformants catch.

In general, the most common source for loans both actual and projected lies in the respondente friends and redatives A majority of responses on both iteme (s3 percent for projected loansy $e^{4}$ percent for those actually made in the fast) fell. within these two categories. Employers or landlords (the former

Table E. a--Data on Loans for Emergency/medical Furposes, Fural Foverty Groupe in Horthern Mindamao, 1972


Table E. e-- (Combinued)


## 4. Source gf wrojected Loany by Foverty Group

| soures | Earmers | L-andlese | Ejshers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Famb, etc. | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Employer | 1. 1 | 51.4 | 3.6 |
| Friend, relative | 76.7 | 26.1 | (6). 1 |
| Other sources | 17.8 | 10.9 | 23.6 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |

a
All respondents have been included in these tabulations. Those who sudd bint they could not borrow money from anyone were coded as "g" on the atouye meacure.
more oftem than the latter) were also an important option, com prising as they did 23 percent of all projected loans and 21 percent of the actual ones.

The other eategories were of less importance About 4 perrent of the respondents believed that they could get a loan from a bank: Ho one, though, had actually subceeded in doing this. Uuerers represented eix percent or lese of both actual and projected loans. Community groups were mentioned in a few instances for the question on past loans but no one sefmed to expect them to continue this practice in the future. Finally, the Euti wate expecter to help by 13 . $\}$ percent of the would be borrowers but had, in the past, andy given help to eng percemt of the respondents.

Sone interesting variationsexisted among the different poverty groups on theee questions. (7) In qeneral, it is not the farmerts and fisherfoll who are moet optimistic about their prospects for borrowing moner (see the eecond panel of Table a). This is true in spite of the fact that it is these groups which are most lifely to own some aseets (a hectare or two of land, a boat) which could serve as the collateral for such a transaction. In all, ga, percent of the landest worters said that they could borrow some money in an emergeney, as compared to 7 o of percent of the farmere amd Gouspercent of the fisherfolta

The high percentage obtained for landlese worters on this item steme laruely from the experience of the Eugar and rubber workers. Every eingle one of the respondents in these two groups answered the question on emergency loans in the affirmative, ate compared to a rather lower figure (77. worlers.

Gigmificant variations also existed for the amount of money which could be borrowed and the source of the projected loan. Again it is the landess workers in generaj and the sumar and rubber plamtation employees in particular who stand out in this respect. For the item on the total amount which might be borrowed the landess workers felt that they could come up with an average loan of more than P1, 200 as compared to a770 for the farmers and a merte fage for the fisherfolk. This differential may be attributed largely to the very high averages turned in by the sugar and rubber worters (bly tee and pe, ego, respectively) since the comparative rigure for the rice worlece (pe30) is very low indead. ©as

[^5]erergency arises. (9) Again, too, it is the sunar ard rubber wort:-ers...-j.enthose employed on the larger, formad sector farming enterprises--who are most likely to elain that it is their em ployer who will help them out once the need arises. (In facti, every single one of the sumar workers said that they would deal with a medjeal emergency by getting:a loan from their employer. It $i s$ thas an irony of modern corporate agriculture that it preserves mowe fully the functional aspects of the atpeold pa-tron-wement relationship than can now be poseible within the context of the fhilippine rice industry, dominatea as it is by smell and often unprofitable farmbolde.

We have earlier mentioned the usury problem. To some extent the data from this study show this to be a relatively uncommon state of affairs, at least as far as medical emergenciess and hospitalization episodes may go. For the es families who had borrowed, some money to pay for the hospitalization of one of their members, poly 5 said that they had gone to a usurer for this purpose. This may well be due as much to the usurers" unwillingness to talse on the poorer rural families as their clients as it is to a reluctance on the part of small-time agriculturalists and fishermen to approach a usurious moneylender. In any event, it certainly noteworthy that most of the loans offered by redatives, friends and even employers appear to be made on an intersst-free basis. A question on this revealed that such wor the case in gs percent of ajo joansifor hospital expenses. There also appear to have been fairly $\quad$ iberal terms with regard to the time requjred for repayment. It was argued that thirtymfour percent of tie loans traneacted could be repais in four or more monthe while amother 18 percent had, in fact, not yet been repaid att all as of the time of the wurvey (10)

Charisy. Ho questions about charitable help for medical bills were included in the survey instrument. We have noted, however, that about 13 percent of all families with a hospitalization episoode volunteered the information that they relied on "donationg" to pay all or part of their final bill. Four cases (e percent of all householde with a hospitalized member) were also identified in which the hospital bill had not, in fact, been paid in full. Fierhaps this represents a sort of "charity" on the part of the hospital. In all probability the actual proportion of umpaid bil.ls may well be hisgher, assuming, that is, that most respondents would mot want to royesl information of this mature.

As is the tame with loans from friends and relatives, charjtable ascieturice is memeraly mot a very practisal solution for the health care finamcing needs of the rural poor. For one thing, the probliem ie just too jarge to be allesiated by the relatively Kimitl Euris that cam be raised vis private donations. In mortherw Mindanao alone there are approximately half a milion households which fall into the small farmer, landess worter or fisherfolle category. Even if these had only one major emergency <costing a thousand pesos on averages every five years, this would still require an anmual fund of a hundred million pesos in order to meet the resulting expenses.

There are also reasons for expecting that most medical foundations set up along charitable lines will concentrate in cities rather than in ruraj areas. Ease of access is areater in the cities, thereby heightening economies of scale. Doctors associated with such efforts tend to prefer life in the city, since this gives them aceess to higher-income clientele, modern techmologiesy and better sehoobs for their children. Internation… al furdimg agencies are also notoriously depencent upon their urban-based comtacts. As such, romparative studies of rural and urban areas have shown that city parents are more likely to bring their children to a charitable elinic than are these living in
 Manilambeted amalysis, in fact, even noted that mothers in the Netional. Capital Fitgion can "piot and chocee" among various charitable options, thereby leading them to only patronize those that will give them free medicines along with the expected complimentary visit with a physician (Hardon, 1991).

Medicare. An additional way in which the medical <especially hospitalizatiom neede of the rural poor may be met would be vis thejr participation in ome or another formal sector health care financing Erheme. Foremost among these in tite Fhiljppine context is the statwesponcored medicare program.

- Fecause Medicare payments are most typically made in the form of payroll deductions, this option has. generally been limited to families headed by that minority of filipino workers who have been able to get a fullotime, formal sector jobu Th theory, Eslfombioloyed persons are also eligible for the program but in practice bhis dowe not happen very often. Indeed, ara Hermimy et al. (1993, p, g7) have noted,
> "Medicare covers only about a percent of the total employed labor foree. The failure to cover more....is directly traceable to the slow structural transformation of the ecomomy. A large propor.. tion of the labor force remaing in hard to cover/hard to enroll abricultural and service sectors of employment."

Given this mort of bacturound, we weremildly wurprised to note that the findings of the present etudy showed 2si. 3 percent of all wample houwwholds to be covered ty the govermmente social incuramer program. How could a figure of this magntude some which $x=$ apparently larger than that found for the mation ae a wholes, be reached for a study which was aimed specifically at the "hard to cover" rural poverty clase?

The amswer, of course, lies in our purposive selection of those very same agrjoultural worleer categories wifich have eo frequentily differed from the other groupings in this study, ine. the sugar worbers of Guezon municipality and the rubber tappers
bf Talakam. Fully 90 percent of the househcidd heads in these two subeamples were bona fide Medicare members as compared to none of the landless rice workers and a mere 4 percert of those in the other five poverty groups. (11)

The same point is demonstrated in the top panel of Table E. 3 , thounh in this case the statistic under review is the mean number of household members who belong to Medicare. The statisti-m Cal test, in this case is therefore a one way analysis of varjance which turns out to be nighly significamt. In fact, the $E=$ Chi square'statietic scorrelation ratio) for the more detailed typology shows that no 1 ess tham 63 percent in the variation of the dependent variable may be in this case be accounted for by the explanatory factor (occupationad type).

To return to an earlier point, however, it should perhaps be emphasized that our data on levels of Medicare coverage have been gathered äs part of an exploratory, theory-building study. As such, they camot be said to accurately depict the "normal" situation in rural Mindanao, which is characterized by a considerably larger number of small farmers than of agricultural workers on plamtationnite farming enterprises it is therefore almost certainly the case that twenty-five percent of fegion $x$ y rusal poor do net participate in the Medicare prograni, nor is it lifely that they will do so in the foresemable future.

For those few who were able to use the Medicare propram to pay for the family"s last hospitalization episodey the procedures involved do not Eeem to be too onerous. Eighty-five percent of the claiments were able to file their papers within a single day and ald. (100 percent) said that payment was made immediatelyo (This apparemtly means that the hospital immediately deducted the amount payable by Medicare from the patient's bill.')

Medicare is also popular among those not currently covered by the program. When these respondents were ashed if they would libe to be emrolded in Medicare, nearly all (94. B percent) replied in the affirmative. These sorts of positive attitudes were held somewhat more strongly by the landless worters and the fisherfolt than by the small farmers fplease see the second panel of Table 6.3).

With health care costs increasing rapidiy, there may eventually be a meed to raise the Medicare premiume, whether absolutely or perhaps as a proportion of the workerys total salary. Fespondents who are currently enrolled in the propram were therefore asked if they would be willing to have a larger sum deducted from their regular eajary "in order to have more benefite from Medicare." th spite of the generally poedtive attitucter held toward the program, a sjight majority (GA perceint) gaid that they would prefer that this got be the case. Again, the basio dilemma which runs life a thread through the present analysis is encounteredy the rural poor have wo many needs and so little money to satisfy them. And these, it should be added, are real needs, not the artificial eravings of an urban, consumer-based society.
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Should Medicare be for everyone, even those who do mot hold "regular jobe"? A question to this effect was included on the survey instrument. A large majority of our respondents gave an affirmative ancwer to this query. Seventy-one percent "agreed" with this proposal while another al percent went even further and "strongly agreed" with it. As shown by the data in the bottom panel of Table Eus, however, the three major poverty groups differed somewhat in this regard. In particular, it is the landess workers who have the most doubts about this proposal, protatly because they have little or nothing to gain by it and may, in fact, even fear that it would somehow wort to dilute their present benefite. (1e) As a working bypothesis, therefore, it may be proposed that support for expanded Medicare coverabe will generally be weakest among thoge groups already covered by the program. Unfortunately, it is precisely persons falling into this category sas exemplified by the better educated and middle or upper class residents of urban centers) who now exercise the most influence over the political decisionmaking process in the countiry.

Frivate Incuramee plans. If the Hedicare program might be viewed as somewhat urban based and middle class oriented, how much more might thise satie drawback be said to apply to privater sector incurance schemes. Nost such plams have a limited number of participating hospitals for Northern Mindanao these will generally be confined to the regional urban centers of Cagayan de Oro and Butuan City) and their premiums will typically be rect:oned in the thousands of pesos every year. It is thus not surprising see that the present study was able to locate only seven cases in which the respondent claimed to be emrolled in a program of this sort. Even more telling is the fact that not a simgle in. stance was found in which the most recent hospdtalization costs had been covered, whether in part or in full, by this option.

This does not eeem to be merely a matter of "negative" attitudes or misinforned opinions. Actually, most respondents were willing to concede the point that private sector sehemes can make a lot of sense. Thus, when they were asked to evaluate the statement that it is a "waste of money" to buy health insurance, most (73.5 percent) of the interviewees eaid that they did not agree with. this sentiment. (13) Again, however, the real problem is financial in natures there is just mo way the rural poor are going to be able to afford to participate in these pronrame, given their present orjentation towards the "A-E" market.

Job-based Health Care Generitg. Thirteen percent of the respondentes waid that their husband"s employer was offering come sort of health ware benefits. In most of these cases 30 of at families coverage was provided for both the consultation fee and any associated medicimes that might be meeded. In the great majority of cases, too, coverage was extended to the whole fanily instead of just the employee.

Intergroup variations in this sort or privilege were ex treme, to cay the lest. Virtually all (97. 5 fercent) of the sugar worters waid that their job provided some sort of health carte benefite while all other groups had either no Euch bereficiarjes or only one. (14) What is apparently being demonstrated here is the . previcucyy-noted pattern whereby the bigger gugar haciendag of Goutherm mulidnon are providime compariy-fun medicel olimice for thejer worteres and theidr families.

Communto besed Health Care Frograms Increasing interest has. been ehown in recent yeare regarding the prospecte for cetw ting up community wated health care financing programs for the rural poor. As we will wee, this is an idea which doeg have Eome applicabilitey to the poverty groupe deserjeed in this report. The bad news, though, in that the actual implementation of the concept, at least in those areas of Mindanao gtadied by the present project, is practically nonexistent.

To illustrate, not a single person said that their membership in a community-based health care program had helfed them to pay for the most recent hospitalization of a famjuy membera only five respordents averred that they were currenty endisted in such a program and even some of these appeared to hold a somewhal bordectime status seag. the one who belonged to an imformally organized 'huluga', the two who mentioned the locat warmay Health Worlers Aseociations. As for the 3 as respoincote who did not cladm membership, only two had even heard of any aroup which had been organized ajong these lines. Clearly, then, the commumibybased hwalth care fimancing concept wtill has a lomg way to go before it can become a reality for the barrio folt in forthern Mjadamao.
[ry order for the community-based approach to succeed, at least bhree prerequjsites will be needed. First, there must be viable organization in place, which is to say a group with a semet of fjecal integrity and good prospecte for surviving the test of time. The record of rural-based foos and cooperatives in the whilippinces jey of course, far from perfect in this reepect.

Data which were presented in Chapter Two are of some relevance for aseessing this problem, not so much for the question of professional manayement and accounting practices, but for the gimple matter of Hog availability and interest. They show, for examplo, that both of the two fishing communities would probably Fave some probjems jn this regard. For the subsistence fishima community of medima, the largest NGO available ie a looad woman' a group (the kural Jmprowement Center) with only about fiftefo active members. As for the martet-itinked setting, there is but a
 large enough and sufficiemtly stable to wort for the intended purpose, but what se the quarantee that its moard of Directers would everi thinh of involving the group in a health care scheme?

A greater number of HGOE are found in the agricultural barangays. Mary of these, however, are either multi-purpoese cooperatives (which do not have a good trach record in terme of staying power) or associations of agrarian reform beneficiaries, for which the comection with health eare problems does not \&ppear to be very immediate. Again, too, these groups are often small, with irregular meetimgs and an all-too-evident dichotomy between a core group of active members amd a larger mass of the lese committed.

Assuming for now that a viable group is in place, the second requirement for a successful community-baeed frogram will be to recruit a large, active and enthlisiastic eet of memberse Data from the present study give mixed wignals as to the probebility that, Euch an outcome can be achieved. On the one hand, large 'majorities of our respondents hold positive attitudes towards people-based commumity improvement projects. Consider the following items from the survey instrument:
"Some persons have suggested that the people here
should try to make their community a better place by
worlimg on some "self help" projects. For example, they
could help to repair the echool building or work at the
commanity health center. Do you think thit is a very good
iclea, a good idea, a bad ideay or a very bad idea?"
"Would you be willing to work every weets on a eself-- Melp' project "ike this?"
"Guppose your family was asked to work on a commumity project.... You see that some of your neighbore are helpingy but many are not. Would you still be willing to help if that happened?"

For the first question, every single respondent felt that "selfhelp" projects were ejther a "good idea" or a "very good idea." Eetter still, B6. 6 percent averred that they themselves would be willing to contribute their labor to such an effort. (1s) Nor will the example of uncooperative neighbors prove a serious drawbact: (or so they say). When the last question was asked, no less than 97. B percemt said that they would still helpy even when community support for the project was lese than unamimous.

In a more negative vein, however, there do seem to be at least theee potential problems. First of ally and as hinted above, there are quite a lot of poorer rural couples uto cannot lay clajm to being a nember of a single HGO (wonngovenmemtal organization), oooperative, or fo (people’s organizatiom). In the Fresemt Etudy we found thits tewe true for 44 . eqercemt of aur respowdente. Any 1 arger program which proceeds merely by recruit... ing already exjeting groups to eerve as local affiliates may therefore be expected to bypass a significant number of the rural poor, perbaps including those very families who stand moct in need of health care finameing assimtance.


#### Abstract

A secomd difficulty lies in the fact that we cannct safely assume that each and every barangay in the: Fhilippines as also a 'community," if by this latter term we mean a territorially-based jroup bourd by ties of sentiment and mutual cooperation. Anthrosological studies of peasant societies \{exg. Foster, 1965; ManField, 1958) have shown that, insteat, the predominant feelings in such settings may sometimes be thoge of envy, suspicion and 'amoral familism' \{i.e placing the personal jnterests of one"s family far ahead of any local meeds). Should this be true for our ural poverty commundties, it will obviously weaten the prospects for a communjty-based approach.

In order to look into this igsuen the following question was astesd:


"How do you feel about the people here in sname of baramayy? Do you feel that all of them are good and helpful, most of them, or onjy some of them?"
[t is noteworthy, we bedieveg that the fairly subetantial figure If $4 x$. 1 percent anreement was found for the last of the above アFtione, ine that only gonte of the local residente are "good and ielpful". It may thus be worthwhile to remember that the idyllic sortrait of a comesjy-hinje rural community, still imbuted with the moitiot bayaniban spirit, may only be a vestige of the pisti, if ndeed it erer was true at all.

A thitr problem with the eelf-help approach, as well as sith proposed wtrategies that would allow the rural poor to נarter their laber for a certain degree of health care coverage, . $s$ that there may be a poor matoh between the labor shills ofFered and those needed by the community or the project. We have ilready noted how many of the corn and coconut farmers are getjing on in years. Health problems are not uncommon and work :xperience outside of farming or fishing is more the exception shan the rule. Educational levels are low. As such, when they dere ashed what sort of skills they could contribute to a commu-dity-batsed eelf-help project, a majority of the respondente were Forced to answer that neither they nor their husbands had any ©al mbills at all. About one in five of the busbands knew sarpentry and smaller numbers (along with their wives) had diched up some other "blue collar" oraft through the yeare, but eaw semmed ready to serve as typists, accountants or bill collec:ors, even thounh these would surely te the most applicmble wort oles for a health care financing project. \&Flease see the top ghel of Table 6-4 for a presentation of data on the iseme of जäilable wort: whills.

If the firet prerequisite is related to the group iteself and he secome refert more to the judividual members, the third fimension which must also be taten into account is finamoing. To se Eure, there are ecoromies that can be realized when a wuffi:iently larte mumber of beneficiaries are brought together. It
might also prove possible for the local government to come up with some sort of subsidy. Eut sooner or later the coop or NGO members themeelves are going to have to mate their own contribution to the plan. The question therefore becones, "how much are they able and willing to pay?"

A series of three survey items ite reflective of our respontenter thoughte on this mateter, rhe firet of these ashed if they would be willing to give money to a fund "which would guararteet to help pay your medical bills if someone in your family gets Eiche" Most (91.5 percent) of the respondents answered this query in the affirmative. For thoce that did, a follow-up question was then posed on the amount which the family could "afford to fay every year for this privilegte " The average amount mentioned in this case was a mere frie3, while the medjan (fioo) was even lower. We thus have one in ten respondents who say that they cannot or will not pay anything at all for this service as coupled with a larger group that is willing to pay but only able to come up with about four or five dollars (U. S.) in this regard.

A thind question went as follows:
"In some countries the people pay about $n$ eso every
year to get a epeciab card. This card allows them to sece
the doctor for free it someome in their family je siof.
They also get to save on hospital expenses in case some.
ona has to be hospitalized. Would you be willing to pay
thet much every year in order to get a card life that?"

Fiesulty in this case were a bit more encouraging in the senee thet the amount suggested is larger and therefore more likely to have a realistio chance of contributing to a health care fund Jarge enough to provide something more than only the most basic of medical services. At the same time; however, the proportion anewering that they would opt to not participate in wuch a plan also increased somewhat. For the sample as a whole, 70.9 percemt affirmed that they would accept the suggested tran sition, while as. percent said that they would not.

In any event, even the suggested figure of peso pabout 9 or 10 U .9 m doljares is still not very targe. It seems likely that any coveratge benefite provided by a program with these sorts of premiums must inevitably be rather small.

A brief amalysis of the relationship between occupational type and womte of the factors mentioned above may help to ehed further 1 ight on the matter. As may be seen in the second panej. of Tabje E.4, the differemt poverty groupt vary signjfieamtay from one another in wheir past proclivity to have joined a corimum nity-besed MGO. Well over half of the emall farmers have done this but the corresponding ratio for landless agricultural worters and fisherfols $i s$ less than one in three. A comparison of the eight-group typology is even more revealing in thig regard with

```
Table G.A--Data on Community-based Fimancing
    Schemes, Fural Fovertiy Groups in
    Horthern Mindanao, 1992
```

1. Still for Comtribution to a "Selfonelp"Froject fercentare Distritution)

| 36: 111 | Eespondent | Srouee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hone | 74.4 | 54.5 |  |
| Leadershipy plamomb | 3.3 | 0.7 |  |
| Other "white collar" | 3. 2 | 5.9 |  |
| Trade | 3.5 | 0.3 |  |
| Construction | 0.3 | 1.9 . 4 |  |
| Other "blue collar" | 13.6 | 15.9 |  |
| "Cooperation" | 1.3 | 0.7 |  |
| "Entertainment" | 0.6 | - 0 - 3 |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| 2. Membership in an mgo or wo (Fercent Belonning to a Group) |  |  |  |
|  | $\because$ | 2 |  |
| Small farmers | 64. | $x=31.14$, | < 0.001 |
| Landless | 32. 3 | $v=0.3 \mathrm{~L}$. |  |
| Fitsherfoll: | 31.2 |  |  |
| Corn farmers | 47.5 |  |  |
| Cocomut farmers | 90.5 | 2 |  |
| Upland farmers | 5 Sc - | $x=107.05$. | $p<.001$ |
| Fiece morbers | 920 | $v=0.59$ |  |
| Sugar workers | 0.0 |  |  |
| Rubter worleme | 75.0 |  |  |
| Martet-minted fishers | 47.5 |  |  |
| Subsistence fighers | 15.0 |  |  |

Table G. $4 \cdots-($ Contimued $)$

4. Willingness to Fey peso for Medical Card (Fercent Wijling)

| Small farmers | 63.5 | $x=$ | E.33 p | <.10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landless | 77.1 | $v=$ | 0.13 |  |  |
| Fisherfolt | 73.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Corn farmers | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Coconut farmers | 79.4 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Upland farmers | 57.5 | $x=$ | 16.28, | P | < . 05 |
| Fice worbers | 71.8 | $v=$ | 0.83 |  |  |
| Gugar worters | 日2. 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Fubber workere | 76.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Farset-linked fishers | 82. 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Subsistence fishers | 62. |  |  |  |  |

membership levels varying from a high of 92 and $7 s$ percent (among the coconut farmers and the rubber workers) to a mere 15 percent among the subsistemce fisherfolf and none at all for the sugar worters.

Chear majorities 〔varying from 7 p perchert for the fishers to Be percent among the landess) in all three groups say that the houmetiold head would be willing to give sorie time each weet to the proposed "gelf help" project. In general, the willingness to tate on this responsibility was lowest among the corn farmers (for reasons which are not particulary clear) and the two fisher groups. This latter finding may be lintsed jan some way to the long worling hours which are most typically turned in by municipal fishermen. (Cf. our findings on this point from Chapter Three.)

As for the question on the 250 pesos health care privilegen it is anain the corn farmers who are least supportive of this proposal as joined in this instance by the upland farmers, the subsistence fishere and the rice worters. Since these are four of the poorest groupe in the study it is probably fair to say that the income factor remains a basic constaint in limiting the appljcability of such a plam. rhe arguments for institutionalizimt some looal Variation on Thailands Health Card fund GMyers, n. C.$)$ are no doubt compeliting in their logic, but no one should be surprised if the very poorest of the rural poor are bypassed by this approach.

In sum, we ramot help but strite a somewhat more pessimiste mote on the prospecte for community-based health are finameing schemes than $i s$ often found in the literature There are a number of very real problems with this approach, ranging from group formation and recruitment to the financial constraints faced by smal. farmers, landless worlers and fishefolk. An optimist might look: at the Worthern Mindanades dearth of community based probrams and see in this merely a need for stronger efforts to dicseminate a new and attractive idea. Fut we must also consider an opposite conclusion, mamely that this appreach has not caught on because it just isn't going to work.

## Concluding observations

Thie chapter has dealt with the sources of health care financing, both actual and proposed, that can be used by rural poverty groupts in Horthern Mindanao. farticipation in formally organized insurance schemes (Medicare, private sector health insuramee, commumity bated approaches) is generally low, although we did find etromg evidence for the proposition that landess worters on corporate farming enterprises supar haciendas, a cooperatively-run rubber plantation were gaining entrance to the government"s Fedicare program. Ongoing trends towards the commercialization of Fhilippine agriculture (debatable though these might be on other counts) should therefore provide some long-run help in this regard, especially given the current level of govermmental support for this approach (e.g. Lopez, 1733).


#### Abstract

At present, most health care coste anomg the rural poor are being, paid out-of pocket or by obtaining help from relatives, employers or friende. Or they are not faid at all which is to say that , the famjly simply decinmes to make full use of the modern sector health care services which are just now becoming availatele throughout the region. To take but one example, fully 37. 3 percent of the sampled respondents admitted that not a single member of their family had ever been hospitalized. And this is for a population that $\mathrm{i} s$ somewhat older than the fhilippine norm and one which had generally experienced high levele of fertility and child mortajity. The argument that mo ome has been hompjtalized because there was mo meed to do so is therefore highly improtable. What about all the births our respondents experjenced? What also of the childhood aceidents, the respiratory illuesses or diarrheal casese that suddenly take a turn for the wores? And what of the rural elderly, with their own long list of medical probm lems-wheart atteacts, Gancerous growths, fevers which threaten to become pheumonia? Ho. The meed is surely there. Fut it was never attended to, not at least by health care practioners working withim a modern medieal paradigm.

In short, there can be no- cloubt about the necessity of institutionaljzing some sort of health care financing mecnanism for the rurel poo\%, The anly problemis how this can be done. Foth medicare ard the private sector plans may be faulted for their inability to sufficiently extend their coverage outsjde the urbaby middle elasersetting. The communaty-based approach would therefore seem to be the only viable altermative but it, too, has problems. Many rural barrios lach a group which could eerve in this eapacity and large numbers of the rural poor canot lay claim to membership jn a single figo or fo. Ferhape the rural churches could help in this regard, but here, too, the issue of marginal. membership (as exempiffed by low attendance rates at weeriy religious services is by no means a negligible one. Most important of all, the poorer rural families are generally umable to pay the eort of premiums that would be needed to give them anything approaching adequate health care coverage.


It therefore appears as an inescapable conclusion that amy serious attempt to alleviate the health care problems of the Filipino rural poor must necessarily be one in which the government plays some sort of major role. Current calle for increased privatization of health care services are all well and good, but this is not going to werve the intereste of, say, the landets rice wortere of barangay Dumarait, with their average monthly income wf lese than tos.00 (U. 3.3 . It eseme, in short, that some sort of subsidy will be needed, most properly one which would mot be bated om monies graerated by the regressive and inefficient tax system now in place. And thit, of course, is going to tate political will, as based on some of the "old fashioned". notions that free martet enthusiasts are so fond of debunting-ideas life the proposition that health eare is a basic
human right and thot the better-off members of the mational communty have a maral responeibility to belp those who are now being denied this imperative.

The danger is that a nation grown inoteatingly urban and now on the verge of a long-awated economic takewof may well prove reluctant to accept thise sort of responeibility. Jtes a jong way from the shoppimg malle of Namila to Earangay Dumarait. And as the Maleyeian woter, Helen Amm Feters (1993, pe 43), hat recently observed, "money often dulls the conecience and mates us blind to the plight of the less fortunate.... Some caju it compasejon
 ly. Visible amomg the momoeaux riches of fsia." Ms. Feters was referrimt, no doubt, to the "tiger sconomies" of Eacter" Asia, but the contimued esience given jn answer to the crying meeds of the filipino rural poor eamot help but matee one wonder if her remarts. might also have a epecial relevance for better-off filipinos as well. (16)

## Endnotes

1
This question was not asked to the small farmers but at as to be expected salmoet by definition) that they would face the Eame protlem. It is also interesting to note that all but one (i.e. 99 percent) of the rice and sugar worloers said that their incomes vary from one month to another. In comparison, $9 \boldsymbol{g}$ peroent of the rubber worleer adid that they do not experience this phe... nomenom. It would weem that rubber is much less affected by seasonal fluctuations in production than is the case for either rice or Eutar.

2
Do medical coste vary across the different poverty groupts? For thote who paju Eomething for any of the abovediscussed dimensions, the results showed mo significant differences for, either travel costs (duringtore illness episode in the month preceding the survey) or the most recent case of hospitalization. For consultation fees and medicines (again for the month preceding the survey the fisherfoll were found to be paying sjonificantiy more than either the farmers or the landese agripultural wortere. This group is more urbanized than the smaller farmetrg a factor which may increase ite demand for bettermonalim ty medical caren at the same time, it does not \{as is the dase for wome of the landless agricultural workers) benefit from any employermbased medical benefits, as could be used to reduce the coet of such oonenttations.

3
Another $i$ tem asked the retpondent where he or she could get added fumde during an emergemcy- kesults were commatimo with those discussed above. A arige proportion <4A. 4 they, would get money from an firformal source <frie
(elative) while another 7.6 percent mentioned help from tifir mployer or landjord. Twelve percent thought that thejr persomaj. savings would suffice and $a$ percent said that they could Eell their livestoct or some other asset. A jittle less than two percent mentioned Medicare. (No other formal health care plan was nentioned.)

4
These differemces are statietically significant
(chi-square $=17.7 B, p\langle .001, y=0 . e 4$ ).
5
The lateer outcome occurred in 6 of the 40 cases. $E$

That je, one could code all euch cases as "o" for the items on projected and actual loans. ry doing eo, of coureter we vould ratise the sample sire to its full complement of 3 oo cases, thereby decreasimg sigmificantly the mean and median ariourt: loaned. 7

The data presented for this topic deal soledy with the puestion on projected (i.e. hypothetical) loans. Generally Eimilar results were obtaimed when the items dealing with actual (past) Joant were amalyaed.

0
Another umusually high estimate is the average projected
 zited as to why this figure would te fo much bigher than that Found for edther the coconut or the upland farmers. 9
"Less satisfactory" because (i) there is a greater Libelibood of being turned down mo metter how elose they feel to ou, one's friends and relatives may lact: the ready oash meeded so help and (e) the average amount torrowed will gemerally met $\jmath \in$ very large, at leagt among friends or relatives of poverty : Jase famjites euch as those being analyzed in this study. 10

Nor is there much emphasis upor specifying the collateral jeeded to secure a loan. In all, this gtipulation was only made "or a. 3 percent of all past loans. 11

In point of fact the number of "household heade" from the ther groups said to be Medicare members is lower etill. The bove figures actually refer to households which are covered by ledicare, i, ex because either the head or his spouse is a member. or most of the shald farmer and fisher householde it was bhe pouse who turned out to be the enrolleey e.g. when she happened :o be the Jocal school beacher or some other lower-bevel white ollar worker. 12

Thit point emerges even moris olearly whem we inspect the lanmer in which the respontots for the item on expanded ledicare overage corredute with the eightmember poyerty group typology. wenty-two percent of the rubter worleers and thirty fercent of the sugar cane cutters opposed the proposed expansjon as compared :o a mere z. 5 percent of all other resporidents.

## 1.3

Two of the poorer groups (upland farmers and rice worters) contained slight majorities who agreed with the waetemof-money perapective. In comparison the combined percentage holdjng this opinion among membert of the other six groups came to only is pereent.
1.4

The , resulting statistics for this comparjson were there …
 15

A question on the willingness of the respondent"s spouse to hejp out on such a project was also included. In this case the proportion willing to help was a little jower- ao.e percent.

16
In faishese to the DOH, the basic problem is not that it has failed to prioritize the needg of rural areas, but rather that ite share of the national budget is so small bHerrin, et
 itiy that "for some time government must continue to provide and thereby finance the avajlment of bealth services on behalf of eon 30 percent of the poorest segments of the population..." (Anonymotie, 1953, p.a).

## Chapter Spven. Summary and ConcJiusions

## Pacleqround and study Questions

Fecent yeare have represented a peried of both statility and chamge for the bhilippime health acre Eystem "Statility" tecauce budretary aldocaticons for the oof remain as mimisemje as everg alse because earlier doclines in mortality have now biven way to a patbern of unchanged for poseibly even increasjmg deaths rates
 of chamgey whoh as at new hocal Govermment Code which devolves the tast of hearth ecruice provision to the local political unte amol an increased emphamis upon finding waye in which the whole preco ess of health care fimancing may be made more efficienty effeco tive and equitable. The present gbudy refresente an outgrewth ef thite , Juter eomeern. $x t y$ focus is on that forty percemt of the total Fhilippine population who mow oomprise tie rural poor. Ite major tast ite to determine the ways in which this aroup might or might, not benefit from rertain suggested changes in the present syctem of health care fjomejng.

The importamed of such an amalyeis is immediabely apparente The rhindppime tural poor are etramg in mubere but fraid jadeed

 ermmental policies or, indeed, increased lomgevity Their incomes are Jow and their mertahity rates high. The quality of health care services offered "to them is generally inferior. Further
 to onte amother, thereby implying the need for gystemic reforma
distribution patterne marted by mase povertyy aneh poor
housebolde would have diffjeutties im accewsing health
ware goode and services whem financing is mainly through
creates inequities as the dietribution of illmesses and
bealth reeds jt met lifely to mateh the distribution of
the meane to pay for health care When comeumere utilize
health wart aceording to cafacity to pay....inefficienciem
arise lewding to wrong prices being paids wrong goods and
wervices aind wrong quantitiet being concumed, amd wrong
timimg of concumptian. on the other hand, when providere
imefficienciem are hitewise oreated landing to woond
nologies, wromg iovectmeme, and dimited pooidng of
rimlen.. " (Herrin, Ut_I., 1993, F- 26).

Thut, a poor temant farmer and bis wife lose theit chind te a bout with acuterespiratory infection because the local govera ment health etation had mo budget for mounting a health edticaticn campaign on this condition, because the couple delayed treatment

By first gojug to a bilot, because they faijed to buy thö full regimen of antibiotice which was eventualy preseribed amd be... cause they had no health sare incurance to pay for hospitaliza" tion once the chilame concition became life-threateninge

Severat research questione served bo guide the etudy at ite
 atic the wituatian would appear to be right now. Te be ture, previous Etudies have already been conducted on the financiad plight of the rural poor (exg. Madigan, d2eg) but fewer etilj.
have focuect on thejr major heale problems, thejr headth care utilixation patterns or the manner in which they go atout fimanco ing their medical exfenditurese In particular, there has bema vory little wtudy of attituces and practices relating to some of the more jmaovative tuggettone now being developed alomit these 1.ines: an expanded Medicare program, mew approachos to cojlectimg user charges, private sector incuramee programs amd communtyo based health care finameing sohemes.

A Elighty different perepective ean be taten on the above question once the fajrly olvious point is made that bhe rural.
 The living conditions of small farmers and munieifal fishermen

 wase of andyese agricultural 1 aborers. Troteroal variatione may as well be presert withim these groupe. Th Northern mindambe, for
 mon wit. differ in ewveral waye from thoee who bave wore recently wt up farmeteade in the forested areas of the region"s updands. At the very least we can expect to find differemoce in this \&ase for five major wariables, i.e. tenure status, eeit fextility,
 services. others may exist as well. The wecond etudy objeotive thus build: upon the first by wetting out to chart the wompara. tive situation of the different rusal poverty groups.

A third jewue is more broadiy theoretical in naturen $x f$ we ascume for mow that the rural poor are beset by a wide variety of headth care froblems (high rates of morbiditymortality lesem than optimal patterme of service utitiantion), the quewtion might welt be ratewd as te the wideryym! reasome for abl this. The
 at. first wutget a simple ecomomio explanation, i-ge bhat the peor are not well Eerved by the present syetem beenuse they anme affors to fey the wharget that go abog with it mut a seconct theory, owe which restes wore on cultural er "ideational" factore than on whem, jrocme effecte, might also be broached. Thje aratu

 Lowiat Etatus, iofrequent medxa use añd decreased protability of exhibiting the charawteristace aseociated with a "modera" type of persomality (Imbeles and Smith, 1974). In other cates they may
have adapted to their condition by accepting certain "rationay" Cthough dysfunctionel, at least in the long rum betaviose ar
 inherent in the "culture of poverty" (Lemje, l96e) jilustrates thie latemp point.

Cultural explanations turn up frequently in the literature on the health cate proveices of poorer households. why do the peor prefer traditional bealth care worters over modero sector physiciame? feceuse, say the culturad theory advocstey, the social dietance between. Thitd korld patiente and thejr docterer is so wide as te lead to miccommanicatjom and etrese. cledamd amd

 whelming and bewinderimg, adiem and frightfula" why de the poor not join invuramoe echemes? Ferhaps berause health care equices in gemeral are not highly valued by them or as due to their feeding that there is no meted to pay for private sector services ás long as the government is giviog them a free ride:

> " It woe moted that in many developing conntries....the povermmert heat th sector (often) provid...
aradidule whother wr mot rter persor wr revered whder a
plan. Ta the perple who did wot attach any particular
priesjt\% to health, the ineurance eoncept would net: noternat
"a common danguage, a shared get of redigious belabe and a fajry uniform and undifferentiated tet of social classes provide a strong basis upon which communty action $E a n$ be more readily built....Commmity health projecte...tend to be mere succeseful when there are traditions at the viluage jevel of other types of copper ative society and a high valuation is placed on local


THew abthore aler mote, bowever", that "different persons whe Live clowe together aremet alwas cooferating imcividuala, aroupe or organazations with oommon goals, thereby brimging te wind the aldeged "orab mentality" of the Filipino pocon





If de asterme that the poor are whabse co cover health care coste emtirely from their own savimge ard that the government is also unwilling to frovide such eerviees entirely for free, it becomes, apparent that some sort of prepayment or rishocharjing Echeme may be in order. Game alternatives along these linee might include Mecicarey private esetor \{profitwbased) ineurance prow grams, amd commumitybased finkncing sohemes, for which pabmente may be made jin caet, in bind or evem im labor. frovieion fer a more effective arideguitable system of user eharges should also be incluted ase an additionad oftion now bejmg comeidered by cash ... Etrapped governmemt offices. In view of the rather latge expendi... tures which will be jnvolved in any attempt to eet up one or another of these altermative approachesy to eay nothing of the hamen lives that might be doct if the wromg decjejom is maden it obvicusly liecomes of eritical importance to gather whatever anformation is how available on these issues. trapariculaw, there is a mesd to aseese acceptable coste levele for the differ ent options, prewexstimg participation in programs of thie sort, widningmeserabidity to tocome involved at some ruture date, and the life Thinge are already moving very fast in this area, thereby jmplying the need for immediate feedbacts, before coetly and irrevercible misetates are made. (t)

## Ectarch Dewing



 agricultural worters and ficherfollomthought to be characterietso of the rhilippine eourtryside. Further differentiation inte a more complex set of poverty sutgraupsy ase were found to residing
 were ase foldowe:
A. Corn farmers in 区arangay Kaumoman, Kitaotao, Fubithon;
E. Coconut farnowe in Marangay Odiongan, Gingocu Cjtya
3. Upland farmers growing corn, beana and tomatoes in Farangay Matioy CJuvaria, Misamie Orientat;
 M!abay, Migamje Orientalg


G. We, : wr in the rubber industry, Earangay Gan yedro,

' 7. Fisherfolt living im the "martet."linted" wetting of Marangay Luyong Fontomy Opol, Misamis Orientalg and
9. Subsistence-type fienerfolk from Farangay North South, Mectimá, Mjeamie oriental.

Variout criberia were taten into account in formuleting the ytudy's Eamplimg strategy. To begim with, the focus om enecifio
 as one of the major purpeses of the etudy was to doots at the

 oonsjowationty tog, phamed a part in this deciexon, aince the budget coude mot aceomodate anything libe a regionalyy represemtatioo sample from a large mumber of bocal communties.

Gelectjom of the particular sample ejtes, listed above may be easily defended for three eases: the sugar worlessy the rubber worlers, ama the marketminted fishetman. Earangay mbong ig located in the very heart of the southern Eutidnons sumar counco


 region with emount rablatme rubber worlare to meet an: minimat sample size of AO cases. Finally, the "martet-1inted" criteriom Euggects a fjebing wite located adjacent to gagayan de Oron Thisa wowd have been fromerther Gpol in the West or Tagojoan in the Eate. Tm the latter sate, however, the eonstruction of the rytum DEC Tndustrial Ewtate has forcer many fishers from theiy hures,
 welyemed.

For the other groups, it is indeed true that diterally humdreds of other barriog in Northern lindanac could have sufficed to represent (for example? the Eliallotime corm farmers or the subtistemet fishermane The ohojee of the particutar wtudy sites might therefore be regarded ag somewhat arbitrary in these


Even so, we would ljke to beliseve that our results do have oome deceriptjve valjoity, at $l$ eate in the semee that they will iot be widely divergent from the actuad eituation of forthern
 arative otatistice from at least ane wtudy show resulte phiot
 whate im a biat in the present wtudy it is one for whioh the difection can be predictody thereby facilitating the final jnterarotation of our rewut?

The combarative study referred to above is a recent analy
 abol poor." Comfarative data are avadable from this analysis on

 houd, mortality Overall, the data from our oinht sample sites compare well with those reported by HETrim and Fiacelis. Differc...
 or in the expectac directiom. (2)











 to be able vo partacipate in many of the alternative modele now



 try precemt s, would be atmanged by drawing a mose geographioajly utbpartect
 problematicy thereby leading the report to agme uf with an avem以

Another potential atuantage of the present study wtmo from
 This was iottexping with ite basia acherenco to a deseriptive
 analysisy, a mousetabd survey was conductet in forty randomly



 íterviews with以




 ,




## Major Study Findinge

The vegple and Their Commatites. Our analysis begint aith He Eocial and demographic profiles of the different poverty Groupe. Members of the emall farmer class were sighificantiy shder than either the fishers or, in particular, the landeese agrimbtural worters. The extreme cases in this regard varied from the cocomut farmers (with a mean age of more than fifty (eare) on down to the sumar wortere, who averaged 33.5 yeare of age. About a thitd of all hougehold heade were inmigrante to region $x$, with thje pattern being most prevajent among the gugar and rubber wortere.

Cebuamos and foman Catholics predominate in all groups. The sugar workerw, thougt, contained a large number of flongoes, and the upland and corn farming groupe included numerous protestanta. Whamd parmerte alto ranted quite high in berme of household eize, wh th an average of 7 . E members.

Educational Jovels for all major groups hovered around five te six youre on average for household heads and six to seven fate for their wewues. Most respondente said that they eould
 Ay better off in the 1 attor language fadio ute was fardy heavy
 on daidy basis. Oceamional television watching was the general
 frequently ased of all media sources, particularly amomg the ublam farmere and the engar worters.

Threewforthe of the small farmers belenged to at ieast cone 1.ocaj commumity roup (e. a. cooperative, fatmers ascociation). The comparative figure for the other two groupe, however, is ondy about onéthimd. Supar and rice wortere experaenced the jobect Ievel of partiedpation, both for NGOE ard churehorelated groups. fin fact, not a ejngle household member from the eugar commanty was found to belong to a community organixation.

Information was ajse collected on various housimg-related factore. These included home ownership and housing materiale along with such comveniemces as piped water, electricity and water sealed toilets. As a general rule, the fishing communities rated fatry high on these variables while the landese ranked lowewt. Fetamee most small farmer and landess households did not have pifod water, a significant amomb of tame cmore than half an house, on average) was required for them to fetch water.

A coneumer gooss ownerenip seale, bated on questione about tem weparate iteme, was conetructed. on average, respondente only owned about 1.6 of these iteme, even thounh some of them ben a camera" phota athum, wall olocts could be purehaned rather cheap... ly No widnifoamb differeaces could be found among the groupt
for, the seale, but a follow-up question on cash earnings during a "typical" mombh Ehowed the landless workers to ecore significant1\% himher on thie variable than either of the other two groups. Further analyeje showed the rubber and sugar wortere to be doing reasonably well in thit regard, while the rice worbere were quite poor, earnimg on average jess than a thoumancl pesos per momttia (Comparative figutes for the small farmers and the fisherfoul


If the fichere were best off on the heusing variables and the landleserawhed highest in terms of ineomeg it ise the emall. farmers who fare best in terms of ownership of aseete rhe land lews Intorers, of course, ecore very low in this resard wincey to begin, withy they were umiversally devoid of any significant land holdings. Most lasolese worters also own nothing in the way of farm equipment, bvestucty or even a chicten or two. Among the three different 3 ardyese graupe it is the rubber workers who rank highest on asset ownership while those laboring on rice farms were by far the lowest. On average, the rice worters owned about A. FoO worth of asetet, a figure which compares poorly with the other who groupe of exricultural worteri, the fistermem ؛ 9,000
 in aswets)。

## Fietrermen eemmed to be worting longer houre than either of

 the cther two arompto-9. A rours a day on average as compared to 7. 0 for the 1 andlece and 7.0 for small farmere. There were aleo

 whew. An the bhall farmer cample and a mere 3 percent af thote from the damdjese category were employed at the time of the anterview. Indecd, one of the structural problems of the rhilipe pime rural eronomy would eem to be the lact of formal emplovmemt opportumitites for women found therein (also wee costello, 1994).

A'fev questicosw wewe ashed to only one of the thres major aroupas For the tand farmers, the major finding from this amalyEis probata, resated to the item on farmlot ownerghip. Thje varied froi a high of es percent amomg the coconut farmere to a low of o pervent for those from the upland eetting.

Amoma woth the landeme wortere and the fisherfolle income fluebumtionc reprecont a major problem of subsistancer thje watern was, found fer virtually ald reppondents exoept thome worlimg an the mbber plantation" (Harvesting operatione are apparently ognducted on a moreworness continual basio in the
 oreps.) Fubte wortere were aleo bumefitting, along with thoee in
 mett reobet month. for emparicom, the rice workers were much more heavidy under maployed.

Fy way af whmary we can point to our earlier expectation Wiat. as one author (bedesma, 1902 , pa eom) hat put it, the a abdees agricultural worlere would turn out to be "the poorest among the rumal peor." Our empirical resulte, however, showed the二ituation of thit froup to be bomewhat more complex than thitu Te co whien the landexe did fare poorly on all the ownership varian

 atse outrambed the smath fatmers on the question of educational attanmbert amd were using the wartous media sources with sone frequency An additional compatcation is added by the intermad vatiation that is found within the andames group. In generaly the xumar and rubter worlact ste experiencing a much better time

 and housimg ecmedtione wretched.

Another exampe of within-shass heterogeneity ie found for the small farmers. Jin generaly the circumetamese aseociated with the upland farmian wate were lese auspicious than thoce found for wither the corn wr the ococont culeivators -

The above dutw ate for individuala ond housohoste. we may


 mide the resulte of this amalyeis are too detailed fer full presentation at this point, they do whow some interesting



 जam, for exampla, the midwifereporte endy one day every wetra Hearby town gentere were usually better equipped but here, too, the facilities for comducting medical teste or surgjoal proce dures were gemeraljy taching-

It: will probably be difficult to implement a communty based predran of heajth ware finameing in those areate where a nomgoy-




 wtives, many of which secmed to be extwting more "on paper" than in terme of a whang flow of ubefut activjtios.


 lisomselves inte what geene to be one of the latger and more
 searche Fer thedr part, too, the sugar wortere of Guezon mumicipality had accoss to a fairdy large number of medical clinics, as were being provided by their employersu

Beographic accest could also prove to be an important fac. tor. The market-lintsed fistiermen of Earangay Luyong Fonbon can thus brimg sick members of their houethold to a hospital in Cagayan de oro within the span of a twenty-mimute jeepmey riden The higher abor force participation rates found among the fisherfoll wives may aleo be linted in some fashion to this commuma ty" greater aceese to formal sector wort opportumites.

One asoantate of the present btudy is that it hes been comducted as part of a larger program of research on a variety of health care finameing iseues. As part of this program a parallel etudy on health care finameing froblems among the wram poor wat
 comparative ainaysis would appear to suggest that the urban poor modd eeveral "advantages" over their rural cohortey at least at they are represented by respondente to the present study In general, the urbarites interviewed for the malanyan study had higherionstin incomes and fewer personsy on average, in the household to provide for. The household head and his wife were typically younger and better edueated than for those found in our wemion $x$ Egrgece They were aleo more likely to have joined one or ancerer Noa. These differences, which may be largely due to
 would appear to indicate that the health care finencing powne abs of the rural. poor may well be more difficult to solve than will be the case for those residing in. urban sjumb and squatter areas.

Heat thredeted Factors. Fatterise of health care finamedmg are etromgly intated to the basio demographie variables ffertiliw ty, mortajaty, morbidity) as well as to health care practices, bnowledgeatility and attitudes. Effective programs of primary hoalth care are typicallyemtablished with a view towards influencing these parameters. To the extent that this goal ean be euciessefully reached, a corresponding decline in illnese episodes amd, through this, headth care expenditures, should be effected.

Fertility levede were highest among all the emall farmer aroupe, with an average of nearly eix children per family Since
 their chidedearimg yoars, it ig evident that fertijaty levele are indeed quite high in thice case.

The a and dese group had given birth to the fewest ohjidren on average. Whale this may be related in sone fashion to thejr youmber age profile, it is interestang to note that nearty two thimo of thi! group were ueing eome form of family flanming at the time of the ctudy. Thie figure ie quite unexpected since,
 most marginaliaed groupe in the country, it is monetheless sub... etamtiajly higher than the mational average.

Nearly half of the sample householde had experienced the death of a child. Mortality differentiale uere mot statistically significamt but it may be of some interest to note that both fjehing communities ramked high in this respert along with the upland farmers atd the sugar worleers. Despite their very low incomesy the rice wortere fared reasomably well in this regard, perhapte because the average educationad levels found amona members of thig group are fairly high. (In comparisom, the eanar workere seore quite lew an the educetional factor, whioh may account for the paradox of high mortality levels fand for a broup that has beta franted free access to company-rum medicaj. cidmicem(3)

An item on ctronice conditiong found the fiehimg and farmint eubgroups to be experiencing mere of these than wis true for the lamdese category on average, one or more members of the small farmer and fiehing households were experiencing a chronie ijuness, the most common of which were stomach conditione seag. "ulcer"), respiratory probleme \{astima, "cough," tuberculosis), comotions aseceiated with old age (arthoitje, catarawt, and "other internal conditionc" (disbetes, bidney frotiefos). about thituten percent of ald bouseholde hed a member affactede with a depemerative dicease foancer, heart diseste, high blood preseures with wuch matterme agan being most prevalemt amomb the emall fatmer arod fawhing urcup: .

While a major sand quite appropriates emphaste of the oof hate been upan reducing levels of infant and child mortality, the abowe fimdinge chow that morbidity conditions common to the older age bracbebe can also tabe on considerable importance in the pural Fhilimpines. The high levele of out-migration among yeung
 that j! probably mot being well served ty the syetem now in placen To, tare but one oxample, approximately 60 percent of the small farming households contained at least one member who complained of "blurred vision". Tt is obvious, though, that few, if any, of these persone will ever have an opportunity to undergo a cataract operation. Many will probably not even have accese to a good peir of eyeglatere. (A)

A question on physical dicabilities revealed that these were occurrimg with far lese froquency being present in ondy about Eeven perment of all householdt. ocoupational gafety was alto relatively mempobienaticexcept for a minority sa litele lect than ome im fives of fartite wom adriculturat werfers who mere concorned about erperure to pesticides. This fear was voiced out


 than any ether fantint yrowf and (a) sxperiencing the highect devel of obin problems. As suctiot there may indeed be mone read. wroblems in thie resard.

The major catses of childhood nortality found in tyo study 'may be reabed as follows: diarrheal diseaseg "other contagious diseases" (tidmey infectiong measles, majariay rabies, tetamus), various respiontory diseases, "other causex" fa resjdual category with a variety of conditions), accidentefhomicides, dietary diseases, and comgenital conditions. The firet three of these categories acoount for mearly three quarters of all childhood deathe reported in the study. The emphasis given by the boH vo these comditions under its primary health care campaign would therefore semm to be quite proper and commendable.
rintergroup differences in cause of death were not extremely large, althoupt it is interesting to note the predomitance of diarmeal diseases for the fishimg communities. Half of all the deaths to fisherfolt children could be attributed to this cause. It could be that the more crowded living conditions found in the fisting villages have resulted in increased exposure to the pathogems astociated with this type of illness. (E)

A checkligt of es epecific medical probleme was also presented to the respondents. The reference period in this case was the month preceding the survey. Conditions which were cited most frequently jiobluded coughtcold, fever, headache, toothachey "mombrese," blurest vision and "easily fatigued." On average, the maji farmers were reporting a siguificantiy anger matar of adments per housethold than was the case for either of the other two groups. This finding is probably attributabley at least in part, to the oldar age profiles and iarger household eizes found for this group-

A followwor question on actual visite to a health care praco tioner Ehowed that jn this cate the small farmere ranled jowest whereas the landese households (who had the fewest ailmemts) came in mighest. As, such, some rather etrong differentiaje were found in terms of the proportion of all medical complaints which were subeequently referred to a medical practioner. For example, this happened 44 percent of the time in the sugar worker house... holds, as compared to a mere 9 and. 10 percent for, respectively, the cocomut and upland farm groupings.

Most comsultations (about go percent) were with a moderm medical practitiomer, i.e. a midwife, nurse or doctor. Thie patterm was most common amont the landest and least prevalent for the fisherfoll.

About half of all consultations were in a governmentorum heajth center (EHS, Euraj Health Umit, DOH hospital). Thjs pat… tern was most common among the rice worters and less noticeable anomg, the subsistemee fisherfolt and the sugar worlers. The fermer aroup had the etrongest preference for traditional healeris whide the laterer had lese need to go to the dre since they bad the option of visiting the company clinice.

Host respondente had visitud both the local whs e91 percent overall) and the mearest DOH hospital ( 64 percent) on at least one occasion. About two out every five respondente had never experjemoed semding amy family member to a hospitaj. Hospitalization levele were highest among the sugar and rubber wortere, a pattern which can no doubt be related to the wiofepread Medicare


Most Tespondente (og percent) claimed that they had experienced some sort of prematal care before undergoing their most recent birth. This pattern was most common among the jandless aroup. The tracel vemue for prenatal axe was a goverrment clinic amd the typical practioner was a jon midwifea In most oases (about 3 out of every 4), however, a traditional practitiomer was the one to serve as the birth attemdant. This patemor was most eommon for the lamdess worlere, particularly thoee employed in the rice industry.

A Jittle more than eo percemt of all children were claimed by their mothere to have been fully immunized. Immunization fevele were partioularly low (only 3l percent) for ohiderem in the Enbeistence fjuhimg community

As a grineral comment on the above findinge we can again note the somewhat unexpected patterns obtained for the landless agricutturas workers. Despite thedr apparent foverty and ffor bhe
 memt, this group was doing reasonably well in terme of such dimemsions as ferbiljty, famig plaming use, infantochild mortality visite to moderm sector health practitionersy and ute of prematal Gare. Jt ie Jikely that the younger age profile found among the andretse worters conetitutes ome reason for theep (differemtia): wut wohe other factore (e.g. accece to medicare and companymrum climics, somewhat higher ceth incomes) may also be imvelved as well J.

Some of the other obeervations which stand out from our amalysis imelude the importance of old-aberelated chronic comdi.. tions for the farming and fishing groupe, the prevajence of diarrheal disease anomg the fisherfolly and the threat of pettiaideg for the upland farmers and rice worlere. We also found the uplamd farmers to be faring badly on a momber of other indioators fang family plaming use, child mortajity, visits to health care
 were faction to the isolated living eondjtions found for the uplamodars, altheugh their low earninge and educationaj. attain… merts may alyo te contributory factors.

A mumber of qucstoms wore ashed concorning the health care beliefs and attitudes held ty the sample respondentse $n$ gereral. pattern which emerbed in this case was for the respondente to way that they preferred modern wector boalth practitioners to thoce of a more traditiomal rariety. For example, when faced with two
bypotheticai situations (a baby with "gigne of diarrhea" and a Widid with a fever and a oouth), fairly jargemajorjties (60 and co percent, reepectively) waid that they would bring the case to the attention of a doetor, even whem their attention uas explic. itay drawn to the expense which this would entail. In both casese it was the lamdess agricultural wortere who were most in faver cf maleing a visit to a phyieisan On a number of questions of Uhe eort it wee the sugar wortere who ramted himbest. The rice worters alyo whowed aterong preference for modern-sector heath nortert, a fioding whith may help to accoumb for the somevtiat dow lovelse of child mortality found for this groupe In comparisom, Gam. farmere in gemeral and the upland farmers in partiondar are leaty likely to eay that they would go to a doctor when


Sespondents were next acked to compare the services avail... जle from the boH facilities with those found in privately medical cjimice or hospitalce while generally being careful to :"euk well of the DOH Fereommel Gmajorities agreed that the DOH Gootors are "just as aoos" as thoce"imprivate practicey that



 af the respondenbs eajd that they would choese to go to a private Foswital ingtead of ome run by the DOHy asmuming, that iey that t!e fimanciaj coete for ench would somehow become "the same". Jin Efowral, it was the fieherfolt who were most vocal with regard to Lheir prefercnece for the private sector afprcach (or, comvereely, their wupieione about the qualiby of eare being offered ty the mo!!.

Howpitadization oharges are noty of coursey the same in frivatelyorun imetitutjons as in those rum by the DOH. A: such, the proportion of respondents who said they would actually go to
 hovele foumd in each retain their presently unequal status) feld bo a leved wheh wes olearjy lower than that found for the earli... er quastion. Even so, a majority of both the jandees worters (E7 fercemts) and the fisherfolt ( 74 percent) alleped that they would will choose the private hocpital, expenejue as it might be.

Are members of the rural powerty elasy litely to bypacs the boal Inte, Ghoosimg metead to ge directuy to a dont hospital?
 bucy arreed that thig was generaldy the best strabegy to


[^6]the fisherfolt, are mot particularly ready to join a militant, rlatgubued, group fighting for health care reform. For examplan, only wix percomt of the farmere and eight percent ot the lamdese wortere were willing to strongly agree with the etatement that "headth care is a bacic muman right." It was enguested that
additional wupport for rurab-based Feefleve organizationc which would sorve i" a "watchdog" oapacity could pertape help to mobilize commanty suppert for improved governmental eerwice in the Wanth care woctor. In turm, this approach might help to mitipate i川 कome way the need to resort to a completely priwatized approacti, as would imevitably raice teer etarger to a devel beyond that whimb eould be afforded by most householde in the rural powerty olase.

Heathrare Finameinn: Faterns and Frospecte Chapter Gjo hae preetnted findinge relevant to an evaluation of the efficacy of the djefferent heajuth care financing etrategies. To begin with, we firet, reviewed some very basic data on healts care expenditures. For thoes who hec hocpitalized at least one family member, the average amount epent at the time wat a3, fed. It gevms lifely that this expemse mould hawe been quite difficult for most of the swmate tousehohde to meet, Current costsy of course, must be even hidg wr.

Other medical coste were also moted ouring the month pu-m cestmg the eurver about co percent of the householde had eomeult... ed a health care practitiemer. This generally jovolved erpemees for consultamey fews, travel coste, medicines and fim rarer coses? medical tecter If the median values for the firet three of theede categorjes are added rogether they sum to nearly moon. Coste of buyimy medionine were especislly eteep in this regardy making up weil over tialf of the above figure. And titis, itt should be rememberedy represente ondy the onemonth cost for a simgle medical epiecte. "In some cases the household had two or more sice'memberte in meed of medical consultation.)

Econowic contwraints represent a definjte obstache to fully meeting the health eare needs of the rural poor. As an illustraw tion of this. one question revealed that twentr-five percent of all. respondente had experiemeed at least one oceseien when they were mot able to bring a sich family member to the doctor because the momey needed for this wat laclang. This, paterern fohioh ie no doubt an umderestimate) was most commond found for the fisherfolf and least prevalent among fhe landlece worters.

Whan hospitalization aces woons, how do kho rural poor raice the money meeded for this eervicero The mote commor stretegiex in this regard were to use murrent ealaries or saving inta pern
 loan from a friend, relative or employex (onopercemt, findina
 care (7. 3 percent). In all of the other cases (43 percent) the fanily used some combination of two, three or more of the above

जtratenaes Mot a sigtamee in thic reapeot from an employer bemefit probage, a prj-



The predomimance given over to "current silaries or gavinge" in the above tabalation should not be talaen to imply that thie is - hithly effective way of meteting heatth care coste. For ome :hjng, bise vast majority \{90. 3 percent) of our respondents admitsed that they had not been able to set aside any cast savimge at all at the time of the study. This problem was particularly prevalent among the landese worters, for whom only 3 of the 100 househofde surveyed had put aside eome momey for a "rainy day."

When tome momey has teen saved, it jes generally not Eufficient to mett all the exptemes implied by the decieion to hospi-m talize a family member. In most cases ( 74 percent the household savings were fuldy depleted by thie experience. As such, the family rot only loses their buffer againet a second illnese episode but also forfejte whatever chances for upward mobility which the savinge might have whgencered - SH most cases the
 some othor purpose, most $\quad$ ommondy for expandima or attablietang a family mosine:s or for puttimg a child through Echool.)

Sale ar mortgage of fanily property also lessene the chances for future econchac improvement. Indeed the typical seenario facod by a poverty elase family which had experienced a major mediced cmeratocy may often be one which trings with it an abeow lute dacline in living standarde. In addition, tise gatefmortgage opticin will woualy meam the lose of sefie valuable asset for a price Jower-roughly EO perbent lower acoording to our figureswowh ithe true worth. This occurs because the family cammot afford to wajt for a better price.

Anotrer strategy might be to borrow money. Our data show, however, that the poesibility of successfuljy approaching formad Jemding inetitutione (banks, eredit unions) under theee circumistamese ie generally quite slim for a poorer rural family rhis leaves, therefore, the informal money lender (usurers) or wellmaning friomds and relatives. The latter option is taten far more frequently bham the former one, an outcone which is in some waye fortutpous einee credit terme from this source are wsually
 though, moth frident and relatives of the rural foor will also be
 avatabas from bise source.

[^7]rowing trivileges．the total amounte available were not very dargen ase thewn by the median values of asoo and r300 for， respectivolyy the projected future loan and the aetual（patt） がた。

Comparimg the different poverty groupey the landlews workers were most confident about their ability to secure a loan in an
 out in this regpect．All respondente from these twe groupt an… swered the question on a frojected loan in the affirmatiowe Landese werters（in particular the Eugar and rubter wertere） also rambed higheme am the total amount which could be borrowed． Members of these groupe mere generally of the belief that they cond do to thedr employer for hedp whereate the gmadl farmere and the fixhermen were moet lifely to apforach a friend er relatavea $S$ ）

In a few cases our respondents were able to secure charita－ ble ascietamee in paying their hotpital bille．This was mot a widely wsed option，however，and it is evident that the problem of provjding heath ware fimancimg for the literally millionsef what Fidipimos who lave botow the poverty jame is far too large to bo welved by a puwely philamehrofic approach freliminary
 whatitable accictamed de mote available for the urban foor that for thesw cohortw in the berrio．

Tdealy，the rural poor could be belped by the Medicare program of the rhinimpine government．Several difficulties， however，stamd in the way of this approacha
＂Fwejal Eecurjty inetitutions．mof the cemventional type．．．．thare been designed to cater to the moderny oryan－ d．exd，and formal seeters of the economy thece．．．．have besm developed in the industrial countries of the west．．．．．（and）adopted by developing counteries where the majority poputation earn their livejihood from self－ employment or wage laber in agriculture，fishery and the the informal sector of urban areas．These types of em－ ployment are characterised by their smallecale and largely umorganised nature As such，the desidn and deljvery woctem of the exsentisity＇imported＇．．．esecian wemmoty－mrourammes are just not geared to oover the majomity populatjom．

Funthermorg，one of the fundamental comesme of
 witaty ä̈d fimancial vability of their operationc． Foretag these imstitatiome by ediet to cower the perc am the rural and informal wetere without appropriate ado juttmentem．．．．．．linely to rreate rrobleme．

From the receiving system side, the poory primary and immediate... preoceupation js that of ensurimg the wurvival needs of his family. The somebhat more dietant... - need to cover contingencies... is of much lese interest to him... Secondly, both the absolute cost of contrituxion.. -as well as 3te cost relative to the subjestive value of the benefits... as seen from the eyes of the poor are just too expersive... Added to thise ie the fact that the poor are widely seatered in location and highly mabile in terme of their habitat and omphoyment...contribute to the difficulty of reachimg them..." (Getubig, 199e, pp. 6 and 9 ).

Our data comfirm most, though not all, of these oberva… tionen A majority of the rural pocir are self-employed and moet, too, live in highly diepersed settlements. The small farmers of Northern Mindanaog particularly those in the hard-to-reach upland areas, come immediately to mind here. The fishermen, though, live in fairly compact settiemente, often within easy reach of a coastay highway. Amd some lamoless worlers (in our case the Eugar and rubber werteres are worbing for commercial agricultural wnterprjesey thereby facilitating their entry into Medicare atre of Medicare coverate for the other groupe are wery 1 ow indeed but reculte from the survey's attitudinal items wowla appear to imadeate that this is not as stromgly due to volumbary reawome ate the above. commente would indicate. Ho lese than 3 s percent; of the reepondents who were not enrolied in the program averred that the would like to ber se at wone future date. strong majoritice alto agroed with the atatement that Medicare should be expanded to eover more people, even those who do not hodd "regu-... 1ar joben"

The idea of mating a profit for even breating even) on a Fedicare program jutended epecifically for the rural poor ia indeed somewhat implatsible. When asked how much money they could comtribute to a fund "which would guarantee to help pay your medical billt if someone in your family gate gict" the median ammatal fee eugtested wats a mere 100 . This sort of reply would appear to whpport the contention that the presentwtime ("crisier) orimatation of the poor weatens their resolve to commje any subetamtial aboumt of money to a prepaid insurance scheme. (o) We have ateo moted a fair mumber of medical conditions which are presemtly left ?ntroated. care or commandty-bated prepeyment scheme it is lifely that mach of thit pent up demand would be releaced, thereby radejng syetem coste.

Low and itregular cash incomeg amomg the sexpomployed farmers and fichermen would mo doubt undermine the prospecter fer coldectins regular medicare parmente from these groupe. The
 actually monfroblematic. The ohildrem of farmere and fisherfoll
may move to the edty or drift from once job to anothes but the farmere ard fimbere per ee are actually an aging population, bept rooted in place by their attachment to their jand, their homes, their fistimg groundex Collection of a semi-ammal medical server icese tax, geared to take place during periode of peat: earmimes, mint: prove te te a not-unreasonable strategy, especially in a situation of duablution where local governmente can be heda accoumteble for the resultimg quality of eervice delivery.

Retupoing for now to the surver item an allowing medicare to
 that the two groupe $!$ enet in favor of this proposal were the wugar and rubter wortere. This would appear to indicate thaty ald other ractore boing held eonetant, it will te bhoew who are aIready covered by the syetem who will be least emthusiautio about malsing a reat affert: te expand Medicare coverage, particularly if this would imply gome lose to the wyetem that would have to be borne ite other menbete (i.en an implicit subsidy to the rural foor). This raises in turn the problem of politionj will, particularly with respect to the willingness of the urbamy middie clase groupe to push for an expanded redicare program.

The difficulties imberemt in brimging the Medicere program to the rural pocr are found even more strongly for the case of
 apomdente say this approach as useful in theory for practice, theugh, it wat fownd to be of negligible importance, no deubt because the fremiums involved are just too high.

We have noted that the eunar wertere were invariably givem free acoest to company-baser clinice. This privileme eecme to be of seme woldotial bemefit incofar as (d) it was typically extend... ed to other famiy members and ( $B$ ) jt usualy coveres both con ealtation fese and the purchase of at least some medicjmes. This approach is not widespready howevery Eince it is yirtundyy momexistent for the ether seven groupe.

Commaidty-based health care financing programs do mot appar to be oferating jn ary of the areas covered by thas etudy a mene five personte waimed to belong to any such group. (Even in theme casee it was mot at ali clear that a heath rare fimanciag ocheme was actually in maces Nor was there great bnowledgeability about thite approamt. Thus, only two ether respondents has even
 tat! uced mothes from this wouree to pay for their moet recent

rbere woul appear to be a mumber of reasons for axpettor
 iteolf in the poorter vallages of Horthern mindarao. For one
 health cate fimancing and a good tract record for mobidiaing

 the ase日ption, mot the rule. For amother, we found a substamtjal.
 a

The tach of tuideing a sente of unity and cocperation among commanity retidemteminht also encounter its ommeet of difficultien. True, a 1 arge majority 60 to 97 percent said that either they or their husbamd would be witling to wort on a commemity projecty but other eurver items also showed many respondents to be holding a eqmewhat sumpicious attitude towarde some of theif Fellow baramgey recidente. (1. Even most troublesome in this regard $i s$ the fact that mest reepondente had to anewer "mone at ali": whem they were acted if they had any speciad still which they could contribute to a community froject. This should mot be too surprising when we consider that the groups being interviewed include darge mumbers of adder and pocery educated personsy few of whom have had much experience with formal-tecter wort settimbe. AE Euch. it would setm that those combumity-based ethemee which would allow the poor to barter their labor for health care woverage may mot wort too woothly in practice.

Ocoupational differentiale on these items wore not etrong. The fietrerfolt mere comewhat lest willing to que toejr timeto a wommanty project, perhape because of theic lomger working hours. They ajeo saleng with the landess worteres showed lower member… whip rates im local communty groupe. Willingmese to pay a sugateted amount ©swo pesocs of health care coverage was gemerally lower in the poorer and cash-strapped groups, i.e. the oorn farmerc, the uplasd farmere, the subetstence fishere and the rice werbere.

A fimal topic relates to the imposition of user charges upon rereone who gote ome or amother of the por facilities. The main reason for tating this approach is to help provent the erosion of service etanderde in the government hospitals \{j.e. by radeing cast inflowes. However, it can also tate on a redistributive character when weer fees are eomputed according to the cident e atility to pay User fees can aleo help to shift what are now a werset of implicjt govermment subeidies for curative eervicese towards the mors sfficient (and egalitarian) ajternative of impestimg in preventive heajth care measures \{eag- commumity =anitation; mosquito woraying for malaria control.).

Studie: $\boldsymbol{S B}$ Third World coumtries have often found relatively

 loat moct ationts, abeluting the poory would etill purchase the
 mumbtamtialy


#### Abstract

neta from the present etudy Eend mixed sinmale in this regard. We have already noted how a quarter of the resporidentes admitem that there had been at least one occasion when they bad not gome to a doetor because they could not afford the resulting expense. The fact that about 40 fercent of the households had mever hoepitalized a family member would ahso seem to point towards a eiminar comclusiom, i.e. to the totea that a fadry barge mumber of incidents must tiave taten place jn which the family decided got to Eetk hospitalization, even when it was called for. Sumh wases, we would argue are protatily the result of an imability to afford hoepitalization charges on the part of the rural poot. (do) A third strand of evidence eupporting this perepective hats alea been noted. When asted if they would go to a publece or a private hoepital if the chargee were "the gameg!" albogt woeryome chose the latter option Fut when the question wes rephrased to eliminate the hypothetical equadity of user oharges, about 30 percent of the reppondents ehifted their anewer to Eay they would go to the publice facidjty-


Thie je met to say, however, that a zerowprice eystem ie the best alternative for the DoH. Actually, the rural poor are willaing to malde fome paymente if they feel that it will be to their adwantage to do wo. Thus, a littue more than half of the कewnomems te the aboveroted hosfitalization question stilu posited to the privete facility as the one to se welectede We Have alro wemphow attitudimal questions generaly eljojted a etromg prefereace for doctors fin womparieon to traditionad benders) over when-the respondentse attention was explieitiy dwan to tho h : gher coete emtailed by a viejt to a physiciam.

The wostibjlity of jmposing ueer charges for por viexte was rated explioithy in the fondowing guestions

> "N" tome wountries, people fay money when they see a govermmert health worker, lifee a midwife or a doetor.
> : They bejieve that this helpe to improve the goverament
> - health services. hould you be willing to do this if it could mase our qovermment health eervices better?י"

[^8]noted bere. The poor Ean afford to pay some wer charges but they should only be acted to do so undpr the aseumption that locallevel health ware proviexon will imdeed by upgraded by this approach. (1.4)

## Comelumions and wolicy Impticatione

At the end $;$ f the second millemium approaches, Frospecte do wot seem fuvoratie for achieving the AlmanAta objective of "headth for all by the year aoon " Certainly this ig true for the Whilippine rural poor, a group which the present etudy has shown to be experiemejng high levele of infantsondo mortality and imadequate access to even the most basic of health care services. Indeed, if the first goal of the study has been to aseess the difficulties now faced by this group, the resultes can certainly be said to confirm our worst suspicione in this regard. To wit,

1. Incomes earmed by the rural poor are low, irregular, awd jowariably insuffictent to met the neede of the ratter large households which they have formed,
 aese than rax, ood on average.
2. About hadf of all households have experienced the death of ome or more of their chjudremy a fighre which i. alteady figher than that found among the finiljppine poor sin generad and therefore substantially greater than amy comparable statietic for middien or upper... clase householde.
3. Most of these childhood deaths could have been prevented, stemming as they did from such conditions ate acute respiratory infections, diaroheal disease, meacjemy or tetanuen
E. Health ware expenditures are quite high, relative to the group ${ }^{4}$ ability to pay. Fesent indnesese requirimg a vieft to a physician frequentiy involved espmaditures af piso or more, an amount which represents more than sem pereent of the averaje monthly incone earacd by asme or our wabyroupe. Hospitadization coste were greater :till. AE are rety decicione were often made to forgo medical carte atogether or to seete out a
 heath eare werter) other than the one oridinelty prew ferred.
©. Farticipation im various types of insurance sehemes wat generally how (as in the ease of Medicare) or negligibje (private sector schemes, community-based programes)


#### Abstract

We have aleo noted, in response to the second set of study questione rajeed by the study, tifat the headth eare situation of the rural poor can vary widely from one powerty group to another. AE such, the conceptual distinction between (and within) the categories of smell farmers, landese apricultural laborers and fieherfolk is certainly one which should be kept in mind for policy formulation exercises and future research studiece Ferhape the major finding along this line relates to the more favorable poeition found for the phametion worters than for these landese workerg whe have besin forced to geth out their employment on maill familywated farme devoted to the cultivation of wiee. Foth the sugar and rubber wortsere enjoy fairly tigh incomes, more predictable wort routimes \{i.e lese underemployment , increased opportunitiee to borrow money during a medjeal emergemeyy and widespread accese to Medicare privileges. The sugar fantatione also mem to be offering free medical clinice for employees and thejr dependente These findings would appear to support, on Eocial welfare grounde, the move towards high value crope and comporate agricultural erterprisesy debatable as these changee might be on other grounde.

Te a general conclusion, the fisherfoll communties were not farsmb well on mott of cur study indicstors Mortality levede awo highestr for thite group, perfape because of sanitation prob-u lems brought on by theit nore demsely crowded living coaditions. Tncomes were low, as was participation in commanty projerte and im nomgovermmentab organizations, Frograms focussing on the rural poor should rot overlook the numerous problems faced by thje jmportant ! coup.


Upland farmers were also folind to be experiencing a mumber ef Epecjaj problems. As experted, eervice accese was low for thas group. Theomes and asset ownership were alse rather minimaly while fertility rates and infantohild mortality were both above the overall average found for the study.

The, age profiles of teveral groups were found to include a relatively tiog concentration of older persons. This was true for the coma ard cocomut farmerey as well as among the eubsjetence fishermen. $\quad$ tt is our general impression that the rural outreach programs of the DoH have not paid adequate attention to thje emerning iswuea (ts)

Our third objective was to compare rultural and economice paradigme for fhe wxplamation of health gare utilization pattertis. ardadly bpeating, the 1 atter approach eetemed to be the rate usefu? one of the twen Our respondente were penerat ly chown
 wate. Thue, whom a choice could be made between traditionat and baderm aector practitioners, it was generaliy the second of these two optione which was chosen. (te) Fespondente gave a high priori-ty to headth eare issues, and were holding favorable opinions
toward a wide range of behaviors which could fit with amproved
 tenrolling in the Medicare program or frivate sector insuramee schemes, purchasimg a prepaid medical card or participating in community, projectsu They reported themeejves as not being particularly intimidated by DoH doctors and seemed to be relatively wedx-informed about wome of the most basiceruses for good health seag. the danger of ofelaying too long when a ohilos js exck, the benefits from inetallimg a water-eealed toilety. Indeed, one is almost tempted to argue that the deseription offered by Caldwell,
 Lamban mothers fwhom these authors portray as "higtiy mencitive to indnest, and the meed for treatment within a modern medieal
 our own study commonithes.

All the日e "correct" responees, and yet the childrem arer dying. Why might that be? welly in some case the cultural sor "dideational") paradigm may as yet offer some ineiphts into this. Our analysis of the landest rice worbers, a group which sufferts from exceedingly low incomes and which cannot iumithe the Eugar eane outtors? bring their aige child to a confany olinic, suggests in the firet place that parentaj educatiomal attamment Gtill bas a coucial role to play in determining levele of infant
 worlere were found to extideit one of the lowest jevels of ohild... hood mostality. They were also doing fairly well in terme of recemt visute to a mederm :wecor health worleer, use of prenatal care, immumization levele and contraceptive prevajeneo. we have hypotheejaed that the abovewaversge levels of educational attain ments found for this group could be a major reason for these natterme: \{17\}

Social and eultural factors may also raise certain difficulties. whem jt comes to the question of initiating and sustainimg community projecte. Fecause they are poorly educated, most ruraj. folk feel that they do not have any viable ehill whioh they could contribute to such efforts. There also seems to be a certain residue of Eutpicion towarde the other residente of the baranyay, a patterm which is in some ways reminiscent of Foster, (196s) thesis of the pervative semee of "limited good" found in peasant \%ocietiew.

All in all, however, the evidence in favor of tha economic
 the dow jomomes band minimal borrobing powers of the rural poor as compared bo the epirajijmg coete of medicel cari io apparent for all te eee. As such, the paradox that modernwrype treatment
 particulary s\%o widely preferred in thatory but often bypaesed in practice may how be resolved. The housebold in question simply could not afford to seet out what it very well knows to be the best approach to the protlem. The low rates of hospitaliadtion
and the tibnificant number of respondents who say there have been occasions when they bad to decide against bringimg a loved one to the doctor attetet to the veracity of this conclusion. so aleo whth private insurance echemes and prepurchased medical cards. Mest refpondemts are willing in principle to adopt such inova… tionsy unfortumately, the amount of moneyttrey can put up for this prividege je almoet alwaye going to be far too smala to be of much practiond use"

An example may serve to illustrate the later point. The Davad-baced Medical Mistion Eroup hat ett up what has now become क\%e of the bestwhown Cogferative Health Furde in the country. Goon after vjoitimg this facility, secretary flavier himesif procladmed the cocperatiobe approach as the "wave of the fature" as. far as the DoH facijities are now comeerned sarguillas, 1993b). Let us remember, though, that members of the Fund were

 average houtebold ejze of hearly six members (the norm for our present study), this comes to about three thousand pesoes per year ä amount which is moro bian eightegntimeg as large as the aver age amoumt of momey which our rural reepondente felt they could contributey on an aminual basieg in order to belong to a fund "which would quarantee to help pay your medical bille if someore an your family qute eicf."(1g) Little wonder, tmen, why an evalum atjon etudy of two gimjar projecte carried out im buzon comelud... od dacjebvely that this approach "iss appropriate omly for some feople. It js umeut table for people who have no regular ource of income amd who are im a dire :tate of poverty" \{oorra, 1950, p.28).

The iewhe raiede in the atove paragraph has already brought us. into the realm of our fourth study otjectivey noniely that of assexsing the viatility of some of the different and newer ap proaches to teesth care finamoing which are mow being sumpested. As one way of opening up the topic; we can perhaps fo on to quote a : Econd gheervation made by Gorra, which is that "a commanity tased... (project will not immediately attract members beeause people are reductant to part with their money on an untried amd untested undertalsing" (Gorras 1990, f. eg). Data from the present thudy provide come support for this Ferepective in the sence that the enomb of money which the reepondente would be willing to denate incraseed momewhat when a second question was ached about muchasemg "special oard" that is "already being used in other coumtries. " raft wat in comparisen to the rather vagut referenco on the first question to gome unmamed and noncontextualiaged ristiGhawimg furd.

The above diEcuseion is relevant for our asemement of the Medicare program in the eemse bhat this approach elicote almest umiversaj. recomidtion and approval on the part of the rural pocr. On the one hand, Medicare is not as completely absent from the rural eceme as we might have initially sperulated, given the
widespread participation within it of plantation sugar and rubber) wortert. And for those who are not now covered, opinions wre remartably favorable, with very strong ( 9 b percent) agreement with the proposal that they, too, would become a part of the program.

Sugqeetions to extend Medidare to berrio ressodente might therefore be waid to have some merit. Of coursey bemefits may have to be kept rather low, given the meager amounts which rural foll will tywically be able to afford. Jt is aloo litely that premium collections will encounter Eome difficulties, given the fluctuating quality ef rural insomes and the dieperecd inature of wommtryside settlement patterns. The former problem could perhaps be doalt with by worlimg through exieting HGOE while the later would, Eefm to indicate a need for gradualy phaging in the sys. Eem. Initiat efferts could be made jn the coastal areas and farming communities losated along major transportation arteries. These places will mot only be more acceseible to collection agenta but may adeo be expected to enjoy higher living standerds as well (Costello, tsag).

The role of commanity organimatione in the approach suguest... ma above will be eritical and in some ways problentaige lt will. asmerally be meeceseary for am expanded fedicare program to affilliate with rebatively atge angregates of perple ineofar at a ctodetty individual and voluntary approacth might tend to ateract only those houswholos whioh are adready experiencing the moct fintense medical probleme. This implies that membership in the mrobran wsuld have to be made mandatory for all orgamjational members, a dictum that will no doubt be Eomewhat controversiad. Hopefulyy the rather positive sentiments now associated with the medicare program could overcome most doubts in this regerd.

Mgiany the major problems are litely to etem from, firety the collection of premiums and, secondly, uneven doverage. The NGOs wil. probably have to be granted some eort of finamcial. overhead to males it worth thejr while to search for new members and to heep remimaing the old ones about their payments. Those groupg which are already benefitting their menbers in some tangible manner so-g. strong marleting coops for farmers, agrarian reform yroups which hold out to the landess the promise of eventual farmstead ounerahip) will probably encounter the most shecese in this regard. Ho one should expect, however, that coverane rates will be universal. This is unfortunate, especially gifce the disaffiliated households could well turn out to be the
 by wavodabalea
mowt of the atove commente are axso appideable to the combun ajty-bated sehemas. In general, though, we have greater hotitation about enctorsing this approach than for the medicare comeept. ne Sorra hat neted, rural foll might be reluctant to get involved in a program that a annot bring with it the "bramd name" qualjty
ascociated with Medicare. The numberi of beneticturies for a strietly local approach will aleo be too enall jrimany casect to gencerate the economies of seale needed for a successful ritheharimg program. A particularly bad year healthwise could dead to losese large enough to drive the froject out of business. Suggestions that rural folt could pay thejripremiume in tind or through babor exchange agreemente also strile us as nave and impractical.

In shorty a strictiy "bottoms up" approach is lifely to prove difficult to implememt in practice. A better strategy might te to begim by capturing the membership of those larger rarad WGOs that can clam to reach teyond one or two communitieter Thies might include, for example, some of the federations of local cooperatives, the landlest beneficiary groupe, or even the churches. Fembere will pay increased dues to theme organizations, obtaining in return certain liedicare privilegec.

Froblems of personnel will no doutt tate on a critical role in such a semmario. Much has been madem-and rightly go--of the Department: of Heabth"g "Doctor to the farrio" program but thje fe actually only a wimge component of a much larger problem- In general, the more talerted rural vouth are unwilining to wtay in Whe villages where they were borin (Cogtello, 19g\%). Whether this
 cyrarome is not completedy olear at this point. what ie evident, theughy $i$ ethat there ig a rerying need for a greatiy increased number of communty orpariaters to eerve as catalytic agente for the WGOE, heat th education specialists to help the ouprburdened midujves implement the primary health care program, agriculturalo ists and extension worters to. Etimulate froductivity and enomonic growthy and even acoountants to insure the fiecal integrity of any agrewdupen collection system. And ald of these roles ghould of course be etaffed by persons who are truly ruralobased bat present, many NGOs tasted with spearheading rural development are based in cities, thereby implying that thejr personnel are actuaIly "outsiders'), to gay mothimg of being enthusiastice, honest and intelligent to boot! Gurely a tall order, and ane which brimgs us out of the realm of health care finameing and into the larger spheres of sociocultural change and political ecomony. For who is to blame the more perceptive of our rural youth from optimg out of a milifen in which the opportunities for wuceese are be few and the urban-based goeped of consumerism so widely preachede True, wum questions are far too large and umajeldy to be settabe by the present etudy, but it is apparent rometheless What they hijl have to be acdresededif any gort of lomguterm sohution to ths "rural problem" is goimg to emergen

Fropocals have alao been mate tor the DOU te imfore wome wotem of weer oharges. In generaly we feel that thie is a good idea, albeit come which Ebould be implemented carefullyn Fural folk are willing to pay some amoumt for their medical care ard they are quite aware that the feerfor-serve etrategy is etorondy linted to the better quality of eervice now available from the private practitioners.

The major problem in this case is equity This could be dealt with if Dof persomel could only discern with some accuracy the income ards ownerehip profile of each fersom coming in for treatment. No perfect system of this sort can ever be set up, of course, but a kegiming might be made by taking certain obvieus factore into acoount geographic location eresidente of towns will usually be poorer than those, jn cities, eo also for the barpio va, [otiacion comparisons, occupation, lard ownerstip, wducational attadmmemt and age \{eag. by offering special discount cards for "wenior eitizens"). The move towards devolution could be an asedt in this cate tinee lacal tiealth officiale might be expected te tee more bhowledgeable about the bactarounds amd
 administrater in Mamila er the provincial capital. (1g) we are aseuming that some sort of residency requirement would be imposed in this ouse. It might aleg prove pogsitie to provide special medical care coupons or consultation hours for the very poorest groupe. fuse of euch mechamisms tend to be stetus degrading on the part of the cliemt. This lowers the probability that the nomindigemt poor would want to take advantage of the free or reduced obargas wffered in this mammer.)

IT © Josimg, we may perhaps point to a recert review of the
 coneluded that there are now "many good prospecte for achieving health eare financing reforms" in the country. While this may be true for the coumtry ats ahole, we canmet help but be somewhat mose peseimistic for the eperial case of the rural poor. Attempte to completely, overhauj tine present system merely as a meane of complying with the stringent requiremente of structural adjustment propratie wiju curely prove onerous to the great bujk of smallatime agriculturajists and municipal fieferfolke fo one meede a sophjeticated input-output model to show that the govern ment cidnice will go on lowing money as long as they provide frees or heavily subijutaed servjues to the massec, but so also is jt obvious that the landese rice worbers of Farangay Dumarait are bot going to be ableto afford anything approaching decent mediail care on an average income of less than a thousand pesos per month. Yes, user ebarges can be coneidered for thise group, as eam prepaymont erhemes or cooperative realth funds. Fut a subsidy is going to be weeded, and one which bat been funded dargely from Whe eathing of those who are better off in life: the landed



Abtualy, bíe wultural dimancion ig paramount, fut in this case we are talling more of the well heteled urbamites than of their

 wocial justioerather than a contimal striving for figher watarise or bigger eommiseione. For the trichae dewn procese is a painfuldy. glow one and the child who is wick or humgry the child who may be drimg, comnot wait. (20)

## Endrotes

Fecent statermente ty the oof (Arguijitag 1993ay Arguiluas 1.993b), for temple, have indicated strong support for such, notions as cooperative hospitale and cooperativer health fundew The cucemewful experiwnce of the Medical Miswion oroup Hospjtale
 peinted to in this rewpect. And yet this approach raises certain wther questicomy mowt prominemt of whimet whether the hard eore rural poor swho may be earming only a third, or lese, of the imoome brought in by a typical mabibc member) will be able to afford the premiume charged by these inctitutions.

2
Whem the sample ar Herrin and Facelie is limited to the poorest thite percemb of Nowthern Mindanao's populationg an average household size of 5 , of persoms was found, as oompared to E. ©4 in our wtudy. Kural households in the Fhiliffines are gemeralty smaller than thowe foumd in ditien So some differemce would be wxpected im this ease insofar as Herrin and Facelie were amalyatig both rurat arg urban householdea) for poverty elacs

 etudy"。

The mationwide data on family formation patterme ofowed am

 Gomen tedin current usere of contraceptisn. Comparative estamates

 family plamising devele thus appear to be cageeg although
 latter finding seems appropriate since other studies have shown thits problem to be partioularly sericue in Northern lindanao fof Fijemer, Abenoja and Lim, 1981). It ie aleo jndicative of reatonably good data quality ineofar as the typical problem in this regard ie an winderstatement of infantrotido mortality levele (e. a- Madigan, Abernathy, Herrim and Tam, 1976).

3
A rouiew of factors correlated with infant mortality in
 Etrongly related to this fatetor than any other social or economic अariatue (costello, 19BO).

$$
4
$$

The older age protile foumd among the farmers and fishers


$\Xi$
A subsequent item which asked about the presence of diarTheal diseate in the household during the month preceding the survey foumd the fishing commonities to rant significantiy (p (.001) higher in this regard than was the case for either the emall furmers or the landless agricultural workers. $G$

The subsjetence figherfolk aleo ranked high in terme of hospitalization pattems. It is not very clear why this might be 5as

7
This, by the way, is not necesserily an "irrational" response on the part of the poor. Considering the sad etate of most marangay Health stations sho professional persommed on call, no available medicine the decision to proceed directly to the public efector hospital may wall be the most cost-effective approach for this aroup to take. (For further details on the under utilization of farangay Health Stations, cf. Falma-Sealza, 1993.) $a$
The near complete dependence which municipal fishermen have upon ruch sources for their credit meeds hat been moted by Gamonte and ortega :1992. These authors go on to observe that "the exieting eredit delivery gyetem ic inadequate for lendins te waill fienermen....Inetitutional credit has often failed because it has not been able to cover all the services offered to fisherw men by the trader financier." They argue that this situation could be alleviated by eetting up a syetem whereby the bante would lend to fishermen' e cooperatives which would then chamel. these furde, on a more flexible bacie, to individual fiehing hougeholds. While Samonte and Ortega are evidently thinking in twre of production loane for the figherfolt, resuts from the present study would suggest that such a system might also be extended to cover certajn emergency loans.

9
It is noteworthy that a majority ( 6 a percent) of those pertome who are covered by Hedicare answered in the negative when they were asted if they would like to have a larger sum deducted from their regular ealary "in order to have more benefits from Medicare."

10
A I . F Getubig (1992, $p .11$ notes, "HGOg and self-help orgamigations have beem able to reach enly a limited...number of poor. Amom the major reasons for this are their lact of financial regources...and their meal adminictrative base, being limit wd onty to a mmath area." 1.
ror exampla, more than 40 percent of the reapondents gaid ahat they felt that only gome of the residente of their barangay were "peod amd helpfal."

12
The above statement is based on two premises. First, that the typieal rural family must have experienced a mumber of occasions in which hospitalization was indicated. This geeme lifely considering the relatively old age profile of the respondente ci.e. more vearg for an accident to take place or a severe illnese to develop), their high rates of fertility (more children to fall jul) and the high levels of infant/chilat mortaljty whieh they have experienced. A second assumption is that there are nos cultural obetacles constraining the rurad poor from entering the hoepital. Tnsefar ate a majority of our respondemts atred in one imetames with the idea of bypassimg the local health olinio (EMS) in order to go directly to a DOH hospital, we would argue that this proposition, tog, is basically correct. 13

The comparable percentades in favor of user charges were 93. 3 percent for the fisherfolty 92.5 percent for the landess and Bo.. 1 percent for the small farmers. these differences were
 $\theta=0.1 E$ 。

14
For a belpful diecuseion of the user charges questiong cif Oriffin (m.d.). AE
"Emeridng" because migration patterme durimg the 1900 and 1.770s were wtill brimging large mumbers of newcomers foho widy qenerally be in the ycung adult ages) to rural areas of Mindana. Current patterne, however, show a Etreng pattern of rural-tow urban mingation withim the region (Costedio and Ferrer, ages), theteby ancuritu that thote left behind will include disproportionate numbers of the elderly population.

16
The lome exception to this rule is found for the case of childbirthy an which cate the traditional birth attemdante are wtill quite widely wed. 17

It is pertiaps worthy of mote that the Sri Lankan study wited above almopaced preat emphasis on the role of education ith reducims mortendity rates. 10

In point of fact the sitution is even sifighty more problematio than the above etatistice indicate gefore asking the fubetion an the amount which could be contributed for acpuiding Guct, coverage, reepondente were firet atsed if they wantod to amoteipate in the fund at all. Eight percenteaid that they did aot, probatiy becatese of fimancial conetrainte.

13
The idea of allowing rural folk to fay less in user charges than those living in the cities, howevery does not fit too well into a completely devolvod eetwup. Ideally, some of the taxes rajesed at the mational level should be epportiomed out to the hedith offices of the poorer and more, isolated towns and provimees. wesides helping to improve the medical tervices available im these areas, this approach mjatte atoo eerve in some way to reduce the magritude of the rural-tombran migration !tweam.

## 20

In his commemes on an eardier version of this chaptery dr. Mario hamberte sug口ected that we shauld discuss three contrasting
 poolding mectranism to reduce cost to concumere," ( $Q$ ) a pronram whish is "fully subsidized ty the govermment through the price deldvery system," and (3) a "party subsidized ristr-poolimg meehanism." While foregoing tections of this report tave looted at this: question from many angles, it is perhaps true that we have not been texplicit enough about our broadmbased conclusions on the wubejuy, jesume.

In generat, we support the last of these three options. Ful. -coet pricing, as we have seen, will not work to bring any Gorte of dement health care to the rural poor thear inomet are winfly too low and variable. The amount which they could pay in premiums for any concejvatie health care financing sebene mould not be atequate to allow any real coverage, especially in cases of an Emergemuy or a geriout illomes. fote that we have qualified our above conolusion as applying to the case of the rural. Focr, a grouf whioh we belbeve to be sinnificantly worse orf than heir youmer, betwer educated, better organized, and more fiman… oially secure cohorts in the urban plums. tt is perhaps poseible that the fuljocost pricing approach could wort for those members of the urban poverty class who are receiving some sort of fairly steady imeobe.

Full subeidization by the government is also a less-athanideal. solutiong although it should not be forgeten that there will alway be some truly indigent familjes who are incapable of contributimg agythimb for the payment of their health care maintenamee reedte most rural folk, howevery will be able to come up with somethingy if mot from their own immediate earnigge them at least by borpowing a little money from a friend or relative. In genteral, paymert for eervices rendered can become a form of Embuetment since it means that the relationship between health vorlew and patiemt has become more egalitarian and reciprocal. To wome extent the headth wortor will now be accountable for his of
 ahout "quatity of ware" (mruce, dgot iseues. This is probatyy why the attitudimad iteme in this Eurvey revealed (1) a benerah preference for private sector medical services and (a) a willingo. mese, on the part of most respondemte, to pay for fimproved) DoH services.
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Appendix I : Variables Measured by Health Care Financing Survey of Fiural Foverty Groups

## I. Eaclegroind Factors

1. Fural poverty eommunity
a. Househald size
2. Children ever born
3. Varjous characteristice of the household head
a. Ane
b. Gex
c. Marital Status
d. Currently pregnant?
e. Currently going to school?
f. Highest grade completed
4. Usual oceupation
5. Seconclary occupation
6. Various characteristics of spouse csame as I. 4 . a through I. A. H)
G. Frovince of birth
a. Fespondent
b. Husband
7.- Year moved to current resjodence
*. Fespondent
b. Husband
a. Flans to move away to another place
a. Freference to move/stay
b. Flace where F would litie to move
c. Reason for desiring to move away
7. Marital status of F
8. Year of marriage
9. Lamguage epotem at home
1.2. Feligion
a. Feligious affiliation
b. Frequency of ehurch attendance
O. Does F belong to a religious group?
d. Type of group (firet group)
a
"R" used herein as an abbreviation for "respondent".
```
13. Ability to speale English
14.* Abidjuty to speat: Tagalog
1G. Media use' (frequency)
    A. Fiadjo
    b. Televieion
    c. Hewspapers
16. Housing status
    a. Temancy status (thouse)
    B. Monthly rental eosts (house)
    e. Temency ettatus, (homelot)
    d. Monthly rental costis (homelot)
17. Ownership of lamd other than homelot
    a. Is some land owmed?
    b. Size of other lot(E)
1a. Does R'e Home have electricitv?
1.9. Type of cooljing facjuitiese
20. Source of drintsing watwr
ad. Type of toidet
2e. Housemg materialm
    a. Foof
    b. Walle
    &. Floor
23. Ownership of consumer durables
    a* Electricegas mtove
    b. Electric fam
    G. Facljo
    d. Television
    e. Sewjug machine
    f. Fefrimerator
    g- Karaobee
    H. Sala met
    i. Camera
    j. F゙hoto al.bum
    t: Wall cloct:
24. Current wort eituation of household head
a. He. of monthes on the jot
b. Job Etatuc
c. Daye wortsed on job during weet preceding survey
```

```
25. Earmings of housthold head
    a. Amonint earned last month
    b. Were last month's earnings typical?
    c. Fieasom why earnings were atypjoal
    d. Contributions from earninge for housetoold expenses
@6. Tjme allocation of household timad
    a. Job activities
    b. Household chores
97. Current worl situation of spouse (Same as I- 己Aa throunh
    I.24-c?
Ea. Earmings of spouse (Same as T. 25.a through T. as.d)
37. Time allocation of spouse (Same as I.eg.a and I. 2G.b.)
30. Employment Etatus of all household members
    a. Ho. Employed (total)
    b. No. of employ"ed who are permanent employees
    c. Ho. of employed who are casual employees
    d. Wo. of employed who are selfowemployed
    #. Total no. of daye worked, all employed
    f. Totad no. of hour!s worked per dayy all employed
    日. No. of cmployed contributing all their earmimas
    to household expenges
31. Family membere Jivong and wortinng elsewhere
    a. Total number
    b. Age of (firety second, third) member
    & Amount of money remitted by members
32." Total wonthly income for the householod (typical month)
33. Dwnership of productive assets
    A. Motor vehicle
    b. Fesidgential. land
    c. Farminand
            i. cultivated
            ii. uncultivated
    d. Fishponds
    e. Farm equipment
    f. Livestock
    g* Foultery
34. Totaj worth of all aseete
```

```
35. Farm-related variables (Small farmer subsample)
    a. Size of farm
    b. Amount of farmland irrigated
    C. Main crop
    d. Hectares planted to main reop
    #. Harvests per year, majn crop
    f. Cavans per harvest, main erop
    In. Cultivation of other erops
    h. Tenancy status
    i. Is the farmlot tithed? (Owners)
    j. Does R possess other papers for farmlot {e.g. CLT)?
    &- Temancy arrangement (Woncownere)
    1. Rental costs for farmlot
    mi. Farm impute
        i. fertilizer
        ii. pesti.cides
        iii, herbicides *
        iv. certified seeds
36. Work-related variables (agricultural worterg)
    a. Agricultural jobs done by household head
        i. plowin!
        ii. planting
        iii. weeding
        i.V repair jobs
        v. Marvesting
        vi. rubber tapping
        vii. other jobs
    b. Ho. of wortiiry days per month, household head
    <. Circulatjon
        i. Doeg househol.d head wort elsewhere?
        ii. Filace where household head worlss (Fiural/
                        urban)
                iiia No. of months spent in that place
                ivn Type of job dome there
    d. Agricultural jobs done by spouse (Same as I. 36. a.j
        through I. 36.a.vii)
    E. No. of working days per month, spouse
    f. Does spouse sometines work elsewhere?
    In. Mo. of other household members who are agrieultural
        worbere
    H. No. of worting days per month, other household,mem-
        bers
    i. No. of other household members who wort elsewhere
    j. Seaconality of household income
        i. Does income fluctuatee by seasons?
        ii. Monthe during which income is Jow
        iif. Coping Etrategies when income is low
```

37．Wort：－related variables 〈fisherfollt〉
a．Total no．of household members who are fishermen
E．Roat ownership
i．Does family own boat？
ii．Size of boat
iii．Does boat tave an engine？
iv．value of the boat
©．Owmership of a net
d．Ownership of other fishing gears
i．First gear mentioned
ii．Second bear
iii．Third gear
e．Does family member buy／sell fish？
f．Sugatested gumt．project to help fisherfolk
日．Seasomality of household incomes（Same as I．3G．日． 1


39．Time allocation of K
a．Wort for wages
b．Wort on farm or garden
C．Searohing for food or finel
a．Wort in own business
© Houserhold chores
f．Civie activities
b
II．Heal th Care Imputs
1．Does Fiboij thejr drinking water？
m．Method of garbage disposal
3．Oceupational safety
a．Does household head wort at a dangerous job？
b．Fieasom why job is dangerous
c．Does ary other household member work at a dangerous job：＇
d．Fieason why job is dangerous
e．Total mumber of family members working at a danger－ ous job

```
    b
    Also see T.ED (Source of srinting water), I.et (Type of
toilet), and l.e巳 (Housing materiate).
```

4. Visites to health worker last month for various syngtoms <Total mumber of visjte by all householg members. For symptoms sef III. 1e.a through TIT. 12.w.)
W. Visits to health worker last month for any other medical problem
a. Total number of visits, all household members
B. Reason for first such visit
c. Feasen for second visit
c. Conditions Eurrounding visit of some family member to health wort:er last month (first three cases coded)
а. Symptom(
b. First visit or follow-up?
© Type of health worker consulted
d. Flace of consultation (type of facility)
E. Location (geographic) of consultation place
f. Travel cost to facility
5. Consultancy fee
H. Furchased medicine for the case?
i. frount wpent on medicine
j. Tests carried out for the case?
\&. Anount epent on tests i
6. Number of additional consuldations on case
7. Nost recent case when someone from the family was hospital ixed
a. Year in which this occurred
b. Fereon hospitalized (relation to household head)
c. Illness of the person
d. Amount epent on hospitalization
a, Medical advice
a. Ferson $k$ goes to for this
b. Reason for preferring that person
C. Fieason why $F i$ does not go to Earangay Health Center for advice (if applicable)
Э. Frenatal care
a. Flace/facility where $R$ went
b. Feason for not going to prenatal (if applicable)
e. Health worker visited for prenatal
d. Month of pregnancy when prenatal eare was first availect of
8. Firth of most recent child
a. Fhace of birth
b. Health worter in attendance
1.t. Immunization
a. Was yourgest child immunized?
b. Keason for not immunizing
c. Filace of immunization
9. Use of family planning
an Current use
B. Kewton for not using
©. Type of method used.
d. Fance where riwent for supplies
10. Health-related mttitudes/beliefe
a. Fublic vs. private phyeicians
b. Use of herbal medicime
©. Use of "mimisaya" medicime
d. Do rich have fewer health problems?
e. Fublic vis. private hospital (two iteme)
f. Heajth insurance

日. Medicare (three ittems)
h. Is health care a basic human right?
i. Delay before going to doctor?
j. Do DOH persomel treat patjents with respeet?
K. Is F shy in presence of dectors?

m. Doctor ve. hilot
i. which is better?
iis why?
14. Uee of Earampay Heal th Center
a. Knowledge of its locatjom
b. Has K ever visited?
e. Opinion on its services
d. Feason for feeling this way
13. Use of DOH hospital (See It. 14 .a through fir i4.d)
16. Three health eare decisions (What would foro in each situation?)
a. Child with diarrhea
b. Child with counh and fever
e. Wuy toilet or television
d. Reasom for choosing toilet/television

## TIT. Health Care Dutpute

1. Children ever dying.
E. Sex of the first four dead children
2. Age at death, first four dead ehildren
3. Cause of death, first four dead whildren
E. Fedical protelems of household head a. Type of disability
B. Type of chronic condition
4. Medical problems of spouse (Same as IIT. 5.. a and III. S.b)
5. Total number of household menbers with a disability
Q. Type of disability of household members other than household head or spouse
a. Finct other member
b. Gecond other member
a. Third other member
6. Total mumber of household members with a chronic condition
7. Type of chronde condition of household members other than household head or spouse
an First other member.
b. Second other member
c. Third other mamber
d. Fourth other member
e. Fifth other member
8. Tob-related injuries
a. Was household head ever injured at his job?
B. Type of injury, household head
e. Was any other member injured?
d. Type of injury
e. Total number of injured members
9. Various symptoms/il. nesses experienced last month (coded for household head and total number of household members)
a. headache
b. dizziness
c. loss of consoioushess
d. fever
E. fatigue
f. weight loss
10.     - lose of appetibe
h. eystytumor
i. Elsin allergies
j. blurered vistom
f. Ear discharge
I. sore throat
m. counh/cold
m. chest pair
O. mumbrese
p- lower bact: pains
11. stomach pains
$r$. mauseen
E. diarrhea
t. frequent urimation ;
1.1. Finimful urimation
V. tocthache

> Ty. Healthcare Finameinn Factore

1. Does E row busong to a commumity group or NGo?
2." Type of community group
a. Firet group
b. Second rroup
2. Did li formerly belong to a community group/rino?
3. Type of group which $k$ belonged to
S. Feason for leaving the group

## a


 activities).
G. Ability of $\begin{aligned} & \text { to borrow money in an emergency }\end{aligned}$
a. Could F borrow?
B. From whom?
©. Amount which could be borrowed
7. Frevious loans
a. Has fi borrowed?
b. From whom?
©. Amount berrowed
d. Amount of joterest paid on loan
Q. Savings
*. Doess fore cash savings?
b. Location of savings
c. Interest earned on savings
9. Eelfohelp projects
a. Fis opinion on these
B. Feason for holding this opimion
c. Froferred leader for such projecte
d. Gex of preferred leader

世. Level of education of preferred leader
f. Widilingmess to join such projects
i. respondent
ii. husband
iiin children aged 1.5.t
日. Hours per week available for such projects
i. respondent
ii. humband
idi. shildrem aged ifit
h. Gleille which could be contributed
i. respondent
ii. husband
iiia children aged $15+$
10: Finameing decisions, most recent case when someone from the famid. was hospitalized
a. Source of money
b. Amount from savings
e. Losses from savings-as a result
d. Froperty sold/mortgaged
e. Amount raised by salefmortgage
f. Losses on property as a result
11. Amount borrowed
H. Detajas of loan
i. Gource
i.i. time to repay
iji. interest charges
iv- collateral required
i. Amount covered by fedicare
j. Time loseses from Medicare i. time to file claim ii . time before reimbursement arrived
\&. Amount covered by private health insurance (p.h.i.)

1. Time losees from $P$-h...i.
i. time to file claim
ii. time before reimbursement arrived
m. Did F pay entire bill?
ia. Amount from till stiju owed
1.t. Ifedicare coverang
a. Wo. of household members covered
b. Ts household head covered?
©. Ho. of times Medjcare was used
1色, Coverage by prjvate health ingurance
a. Name of company
b. Ho. of household members govered
2. Medical benefits extended by employer
a. Does any housthold member enjoy these?
b. Comditions of coverage
C. Coverane extended to whole frmjuy?

I4. Health care cooperatives
a. Does any member belong to one of theser?
b. Has $A$ heard of this?

C- Keason for not joining coop
d. Organization sponsorimg the health care coop
E. Source of organization't funds
f. Other activities carried out by coop

日. Length of time $k$ was in coop
h. Manner in which contributions are made
i. Feason for joining
j. Amount contributed last year
f. Availment of coop benefite
i. When?
ii. What sort of benefits?
iij. Fest thing about organization
iv. Worst thing about organization
$1 \%$ Fast coverance of household members by any type of fimanein
insurance wilan
a. mincone covered?
t. Type of plan (first three cases)
©. Year plan diccontinued (fjrst three cases)
d. Feasom for ajscontimumg (first bhree (ases)

```
16. Hast cases when there was no money to treat sick household
    member
    a. Mo. of times this oceurred
    b. Disposition of the case (first three cases)
17. Attitudes toward saving
    a. Approval/disapproval
    b. Fanking of various purposes for saving
        funemployment vs. illmessy retirement, education
        and housing)
    13. Attitudes toward health care fund
            a. Willling to contribute?
    b. Amount willing to give (yearly)
    c. Can R afford faso per year?
19. Willingness to pay user charges, DOH
20. Gource of financing, health care emergency
21. Government funding for hospital expenses (attitudes)
    a. Should gumt. help?
    b. How much for room and board?
    G. llow i..lcl, for dottor'e fee?
    d. How much for operation?
    e. How much for medicine?
巴2. Opinion on the people who live in R"s barangay
23. Willingreas to help on a community project when others are
        not helping
巴4. Should the barangay captain require all residents to work
    a community project?
```
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