

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Kanniainen, Vesa; Södersten, Jan

### **Working Paper**

Undervaluation of Corporate Shares Revisited: A Note

ETLA Discussion Papers, No. 370

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

The Research Institute of the Finnish Economy (ETLA), Helsinki

Suggested Citation: Kanniainen, Vesa; Södersten, Jan (1991): Undervaluation of Corporate Shares Revisited: A Note, ETLA Discussion Papers, No. 370, The Research Institute of the Finnish Economy (ETLA), Helsinki

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/187068

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# Keskusteluaiheita - Discussion papers

No. 370

Vesa Kanniainen\* - Jan Södersten\*\*

# UNDERVALUATION OF CORPORATE SHARES REVISITED: A NOTE

- \* University of Helsinki and the Research Institute of the Finnish Economy
- \*\* University of Uppsala

This series consists of papers with limited circulation intended to stimulate discussion. The papers must not be referred to or quoted without the authors' permission.

ISSN 0781-6847 27.06.1991

KANNIAINEN, Vesa - SÖDERSTEN, Jan, UNDERVALUATION OF CORPORATE SHARES REVISITED: A NOTE. Helsinki: ETLA, Elinkeinoelämän Tutkimuslaitos, The Research Institute of the Finnish Economy, 1991. 9 p. (Keskusteluaiheita, Discussion Papers, ISSN 0781-6847; no. 370).

ABSTRACT: The paper shows that the well-known result on undervaluation of corporate shares is inapplicable for the tax systems prevailing in a majority of the OECD-countries. It is proved that the relative undervaluation is determined by the tax rate on profits and the extent to which dividends receive preferential tax treatment with respect to interest income.

KEY WORDS: undervaluation of shares, taxation



#### I Introduction

It has been one of the most celibrated results in the theory of capital income taxation ever since the late 1970's that the equilibrium stock market valuation of shares of incorporated firms should fall short of the replacement value of their assets to the extent that the overall taxation of distributed and undistributed profits is non-harmonized. The mechanism behind this result, which was independently reached in a number of studies (Bergström and Södersten (1977), Auerbach (1979), Bradford (1979), King (1977), Sinn (1987)), is as follows. One unit, say \$1, of profits retained in the firm is worth  $1-\tau_d$  for a shareholder when her personal (marginal) tax rate on dividends is  $\tau_d$ . Hence, if  $\tau_C$  is the tax rate at which the appreciation of the share value is taxed, appreciation may be limited to  $(1-\tau_d)/(1-\tau_C) < 1$  to provide a return of  $1-\tau_d$  on an after-tax basis. Appreciation is less than unity if  $\tau_C < \tau_d$ .

This note proves that this result is inappropriate for most of the corporate tax systems prevailing in the OECD countries. In contrast, it is shown that the relative undervaluation is determined by the tax rate on corporate profits and the extent to which dividends receive preferential tax treatment with respect to interest income.

#### II Model

A distinctive feature of the corporate taxation in most OECD-countries is the close connection between taxable income and book profits. Due to the legally required use of <u>uniform reporting</u><sup>2</sup>, corporations actually face a dividend constraint limiting the maximum amount of dividends payable as taxable income (net of taxes).<sup>3</sup> The reason why this constraint has not obtained sufficient attention in the earlier literature seems to be that much of the relavant theory in this area has been developed for the Anglo-Saxian case where uniform accounting is not enforced.

The dividend constraint is especially important when the rate of tax depreciation (say g) can exceed the economic depreciation ( $\delta$ ) as is often the case in the OECD area. Let K = capital, C = book capital and B = corporate debt stand for the state variables in an optimal control problem of the firm. Normalize all prices equal to one. Let I = the rate of investment and dB/dt = net issue of debt stand for the controls. Then the flow of dividends satisfies

(1) 
$$D = (1-\tau)[F(K) - iB] + \tau gC + dB/dt - I$$
  
 $\leq (1-\tau)[F(K) - iB - gC]$ 

where i = debt interest,  $\tau$  = tax rate on corporate profits, both distributed and undistributed, and gC = the depreciation allowance

claimed by the firm.  $^4$  The standard non-arbitrage condition (1- $\tau_d$ )D + (1- $\tau_c$ )dV/dt = i(1- $\tau_p$ )V with V = the market value of shares and  $\tau_p$  = the tax rate on interest income provides the valuation of shares as

(2) 
$$V(K_t, C_t, B_t) = (1-\tau_d)/(1-\tau_c) \int_t^{\infty} D(s) \exp[-r(s-t)] ds$$

with r = i(1- $\tau_p$ )/(1- $\tau_c$ ) as the cost of retained profits. Define the associated costate variables as  $\mu_K$ ,  $\mu_C$  and  $\mu_B$  and n  $\geq$  0 as the Kuhn-Tucker price associated with the contraint (1). The Hamiltonian then reads as

(3) 
$$H = D(1-\tau_d)/(1-\tau_C) + \mu_K(dK/dt) + \mu_C(dC/dt) + \mu_B(dB/dt) + n[(1-\tau)(F(K) - iB - gC) - D]$$

where K and C evolve as  $dK/dt = I - \delta K$ , dC/dt = I - gC. Assuming that the unique optimal control solution exists, it has to satisfy the following first-order conditions

(4a) 
$$\theta - \mu_{K} - \mu_{C} - n = 0$$

(4b) 
$$(\mu_K + \mu_C + n)(1-\tau)F_K - (r + \delta)\mu_K = -d\mu_K/ds$$

(4c) 
$$\mu_{K} + \mu_{C} + \mu_{B} = 0$$

(4d) 
$$-(\mu_K + \mu_C + n)(1-\tau)i - \mu_B r = -d\mu_B/ds$$

(4e) 
$$(\mu_K + \mu_C)\tau g - \mu_C(r + g) - n(1-\tau)g = -d\mu_C/ds$$
.

We have set  $\theta = (1-\tau_d)/(1-\tau_C)$ . The marginal valuation of equity

q from (4c) is  $q = -\mu_B = \mu_K + \mu_C$ , i.e. the sum of the marginal valuation of capital and the present value of the tax shields. Then (4a) gives  $q = \theta - n$ , or  $d\mu_B/ds = dn/ds$ . From (4d) one can solve  $n = \theta[r - (1-\tau)i]/r$ . The value of n thus depends on the relative costs of financing. The tax systems in the vast majority of the OECD-countries are structured in such a way that debt is preferred to equity as the marginal source of funds, cf. Sinn (1987) fig. 3.1 or OECD (1991), Ch.2. According to the latter source, this holds for 21 out of the 24 countries included in the study. In other words, the total tax rate on retained profits exceeds the tax rate on interest income,  $(1-\tau)(1-\tau_C) < 1-t_D$ . This implies  $r > (1-\tau)i$  and suggests that the constraint (1) is actually binding, n > 0. Eliminating r, the marginal valuation of equity reads as  $q = (1-\tau)(1-\tau_D)/(1-\tau_D)$ .

In most of the OECD-countries interest income and dividend income are taxed at the very same rate,  $\tau_d$  =  $\tau_p$ . Hence,

(5) 
$$q = 1-\tau$$
.

In contrast, the conventional undervaluation result  $q=\theta$  is obtained only when the tax schedules allow for the existence of a Miller equilibrium, with no equilibrium tax advantage to issuing debt. In our notation, this requires that  $\tau + \tau_C(1-\tau) = \tau_p$ , which implies that n=0 and hence  $q=\theta$ . If this holds, the constraint (1) is not binding. Thus,

Theorem 1. If the financial decisions of the firms are effectively constrained by a rule which prohibits firms from paying dividends in excess of their taxable profits, the equilibrium undervaluation of equity relative to the replacement cost of assets is solely determined by the corporate tax rate  $\tau$ , and the extent to which the tax on dividends differs from that on interest income. []

Theorem 2. For the standard undervaluation result (dictated by the personal tax rates on dividends and capital gains) to hold, it is necessary that the tax rates on interest and dividends satisfy the conditions for a Miller equilibrium in capital markets . []

The conditions (4a)-(4e) can be utilized to derive the following expressions for the cost of capital:<sup>5</sup>

(6a) 
$$F_K = (r+\delta)(i+g)/(r+g) \qquad (n > 0)$$

(6b) 
$$F_{K} = (r+\delta)[1 - \tau g/(r+g)]/(1-\tau) \qquad (n = 0).$$

Expression (6b) is the conventional result. Equation (6a) points to neutrality of the corporation tax under debt financing at the margin as claimed by Stiglitz (1973) a long time ago. Indeed, if  $g = \delta$ , one obtains in this case  $F_K = i + \delta$ .

#### III Final Remarks

Intuitively, the role of the corporation tax rate in share

valuation with a binding constraint (1) follows from the need to pay more corporate taxes if the dividend payout ratio is raised. The celibrated "trapped equity" view loses its relevance in this case: It only re-emerges if the mechanisms behind the Miller equilibrium are taken seriously. The latter is far from being warranted given the tax reforms in the 1980's which led to both substantially reduced marginal tax rates and preserved incentives for issuing debt.

#### Footnotes:

- 1. Homogeneity of the production technology is sufficient for this result to hold for the whole share capital.
- 2. Separate reporting is allowed in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Denmark, Ireland, the United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. On the other hand, uniform reporting is required in Germany, France, Italy, Greece, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Spain, Switzerland, Belgium, Portugal, Luxembourg, Japan and Turkey. Cf. the OECD Report (1986).
- 3. Current dividends may be paid out of past accumulated profits.

  This can nonetheless be at most a temporary phenomenon. They

  cannot be "financed", for example, by borrowing.
- 4. In many countries (including Finland and Sweden) the tax code only states the upper limit for the rate of tax depreciation, which hence is non-predetermined. In other countries, firms have no choice as to the rate of tax depreciation. In the present model, this distinction is unessential, however, since the firm will always have an incentive to maximize its use of depreciation allowances. As proved by Sinn (1987) p.381, this is the case also when there is a rivalry between deduction of debt interest and accelerated depreciation, provided that  $\tau_{\rm p} > \tau_{\rm C}$ .

5. Use first (4e) to show that  $\mu_C = \theta g(1-\tau)(i-r)/r(r+g)$  when n > 0 and  $\mu_C = \tau g \theta/(r+g)$  when n = 0. Use then (4a) to solve for  $\mu_K$  and substitute into (4b).

.

References:

Accounting for taxes on income. Report of the subgroup on income tax accounting. OECD, Paris, 1986.

Auerbach A.J.: "Wealth maximization and the cost of capital", Quarterly Journal of Economics 94, 1979, 433-436.

Bergström V. and J.Södersten, "Double Taxation and Corporate Capital Costs", A paper presented at the Econometric Society Meeting, Vienna, September 6-9, 1977.

Bradford D.F.: "The incidence and allocation effects of a tax on corporate distributions", Journal of Public Economics 15, 1981, 1-22.

King M.A.: Public Policy and the Corporation (Chapman and Hall, London), 1977.

Sinn H.-W.: Capital Income Taxation and Resource Allocation (North-Holland, Amsterdam), 1987.

Stiglitz J.E.: "Taxation, corporate financial policy, and the cost of capital", Journal of Public Economics 2, 1973, 1-34.

Taxing profits. The experience of OECD countries. Forthcoming, OECD, Paris, 1991.

## ELINKEINOELÄMÄN TUTKIMUSLAITOS (ETLA)

THE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE FINNISH ECONOMY LÖNNROTINKATU 4 B. SF-00120 HELSINKI

Puh./Tel. (90) 609 900 Int. 358-0-609 900 Telefax (90) 601 753 Int. 358-0-601 753

#### KESKUSTELUAIHEITA - DISCUSSION PAPERS ISSN 0781-6847

- No 342 KARI ALHO, Identification of Barriers in International Trade under Imperfect Competition. 21.11.1990. 27 p.
- No 343 JUSSI RAUMOLIN, The Impact of Technological Change on Rural and Regional Forestry in Finland. 22.11.1990. 84 p.
- No 344 VEIJO KAITALA MATTI POHJOLA OLLI TAHVONEN, Transboundary Air Pollution and Soil Acidification: A Dynamic Analysis of an Acid Rain Game between Finland and the USSR. 23.11.1990. 29 p.
- No 345 ROBERT MICHAEL BERRY, Deep Waters Run Slowly. Elements of Continuity in European Integration. 10.12.1990. 31 p.
- No 346 ANTHONY J. VENABLES, New Developments in the Study of Economic Integration. 17.12.1990. 30 p.
- No 347 JUSSI RAUMOLIN, Euroopan Yhteisön ympäristöpolitiikka. 20.12.1990. 52 s.
- No 348 VESA KANNIAINEN, Optimal Production of Innovations Under Uncertainty. 07.01.1991. 39 p.
- No 349 KARI ALHO, Bilateral Transfers and Lending in International Environmental Cooperation. 16.01.1991. 24 p.
- No 350 VESA KANNIAINEN, Yritysten rahoituspolitiikka: selvitys Suomen pörssiyhtiöistä 1983-87. 24.01.1991. 19 s.
- No 351 MARI HARNI JUKKA LASSILA HEIKKI VAJANNE, Transformation and Graphics in ETLAs Economic Database System. 25.01.1991. 12 p.
- No 352 JUHA KETTUNEN, Heterogeneity in Unemployment Duration Models. 31.01.1991. 22 p.
- No 353 PENTTI VARTIA, Experiences from Growth and Transformation in the Post-war Period The Country Study for Finland. 12.02.1991. 20 p.
- No 354 VEIJO KAITALA MATTI POHJOLA OLLI TAHVONEN, An Analysis of SO<sub>2</sub> Negotiations between Finland and the Soviet Union. 18.02.1991. 17 p.

- No 355 JUHA KETTUNEN, Transition Intensities from Unemployment. 27.02.1991. 24 p.
- No 356 MARKKU KOTILAINEN, Exchange Rate Unions: A Comparison to Currency Basket and Floating Rate Regimes. 15.03.1991. 54 p.
- No 357 SYNNÖVE VUORI, Returns to R&D in Nordic Manufacturing Industries, 1964 to 1983. 20.03.1991. 42 p.
- No 358 VEIJO KAITALA MATTI POHJOLA OLLI TAHVONEN, A Finnish-Soviet Acid Rain Game: "Club Solutions", Noncooperative Equilibria and Cost Efficiency. 22.03.1991. 18 p.
- No 359 JUHA KETTUNEN, Occupational Mobility of Unemployed Workers. 25.03.1991. 29 p.
- No 360 JUSSI RAUMOLIN, Logistiikan näkymiä yhdentyvässä Euroopassa. 25.03.1991. 17 s.
- No 361 MARKKU OLLIKAINEN, Kestävä kehitys ongelmia ja tulkintoja. 08.04.1991. 24 s.
- No 362 PEKKA ILMAKUNNAS, Working Time, Productivity and Labor Demand in Finnish Manufacturing. 22.04.1991. 40 p.
- No 363 JUHA KETTUNEN, Time-Dependent Effects of Unemployment Benefits. 24.04.1991. 29 p.
- No 364 GEORGE F. RAY, Long-Term Propsects for Industrial Materials. 30.04.1991. 45 p.
- No 365 ROBERT HAGFORS TOIVO KUUS, The Structure and Distribution of Income in Estonia and Finland. 07.05.1991. 30 p.
- No 366 T.R.G. BINGHAM, The Foreign Exchange Market: Structure, Intervention and Liquidity. 10.05.1991. 29 p.
- No 367 Elinkeinoelämän Tutkimuslaitoksen toiminta vuonna 1990. 24.05.1991. 30 s.
- No 368 JUHA KETTUNEN, The Effects of Education on the Duration of Unemployment. 06.06.1991. 38 p.
- No 369 ROBERT HAGFORS ROLF MAURY, Suomalaisten kotitalouksien rakennekehityksen arviointia vuosille 1960-1985. 18.06.1991. 47 s.
- No 370 VESA KANNIAINEN JAN SÖDERSTEN, Undervaluation of Corporate Shares Revisited: A Note. 27.06.1991. 9 p.

Elinkeinoelämän Tutkimuslaitoksen julkaisemat "Keskusteluaiheet" ovat raportteja alustavista tutkimustuloksista ja väliraportteja tekeillä olevista tutkimuksista. Tässä sarjassa julkaistuja monisteita on rajoitetusti saatavissa ETLAn kirjastosta tai ao. tutkijalta. Papers in this series are reports on preliminary research results and on studies in progress; they can be obtained, on request, by the author's permission.

E:\sekal\DPjulk.chp/27.06.1991