

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Fletcher, Jason M.

Working Paper New Evidence on the Impacts of Early Exposure to the 1918 Influenza Pandemic on Old-Age Mortality: A Research Note

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 11715

Provided in Cooperation with:

IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Fletcher, Jason M. (2018) : New Evidence on the Impacts of Early Exposure to the 1918 Influenza Pandemic on Old-Age Mortality: A Research Note, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 11715, Institute of Labor Economics (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/185175

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Initiated by Deutsche Post Foundation

DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

IZA DP No. 11715

New Evidence on the Impacts of Early Exposure to the 1918 Influenza Pandemic on Old-Age Mortality: A Research Note

Jason M. Fletcher

JULY 2018



Initiated by Deutsche Post Foundation

DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

IZA DP No. 11715

New Evidence on the Impacts of Early Exposure to the 1918 Influenza Pandemic on Old-Age Mortality: A Research Note

Jason M. Fletcher University of Wisconsin-Madison and IZA

JULY 2018

Any opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but IZA takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The IZA Institute of Labor Economics is an independent economic research institute that conducts research in labor economics and offers evidence-based policy advice on labor market issues. Supported by the Deutsche Post Foundation, IZA runs the world's largest network of economists, whose research aims to provide answers to the global labor market challenges of our time. Our key objective is to build bridges between academic research, policymakers and society.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

IZA – Institute of Labor Economics			
Schaumburg-Lippe-Straße 5–9 53113 Bonn, Germany	Phone: +49-228-3894-0 Email: publications@iza.org	www.iza.org	

ABSTRACT

New Evidence on the Impacts of Early Exposure to the 1918 Influenza Pandemic on Old-Age Mortality: A Research Note¹

This paper provides new evidence of the impacts of early life exposure to the 1918 pandemic on old-age mortality by analyzing data from the National Longitudinal Mortality Study (n ~ 220,000). The specifications used year and quarter of birth indicators to assess the effects of timing of pandemic exposure and used Cox proportional hazard models for all-cause mortality outcomes. The findings suggest evidence of excess all-cause mortality for cohorts born during 1918 and mixed evidence for cohorts born in 1917 and 1919. Therefore, contrary to some existing research, the results suggest no consistent evidence of the importance of specific windows of exposure by gestation period.

JEL Classification:	114
Keywords:	early conditions, in utero, 1918 influenza pandemic, mortality

Corresponding author:

Jason M. Fletcher University of Wisconsin-Madison 1180 Observatory Drive Madison, WI 53706 USA

E-mail: jason.fletcher@wisc.edu

¹ Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Census Bureau. All results have been reviewed to ensure that no confidential information is disclosed.

Introduction

A growing literature has attempted to link early life conditions and old age health and mortality¹⁻⁵. A particular focus has been on the 1918 influenza pandemic due to its immense impacts on mortality and that it was unanticipated and short-lived. These features allow precision in measuring the timing of exposure and also the creation of comparison groups born only months before and after the pandemic.

While investigations of the impacts of early life exposure to the 1918 influenza pandemic have shown important effects on adult educational attainment, disability, and disease, there has been limited research using data from the United States that have tied this exposure with old age mortality⁶⁻¹¹. A key explanation for the limited mortality investigations is the lack of data the exposed cohorts are not included in many survey datasets that have a mortality follow up. An exception is Myrskyla et al. (2012)¹² (henceforth MMC), who used National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) survey data collected between 1989-2006 that was linked with the National Death Index to measure mortality. MMC measured exposure variation by quarter of birth indicators, which allow some granularity in exploring effects by trimester and early life. The authors found a suggestion of excess mortality for some of the exposed birth cohorts and attempted to link these patterns to the timing of exposure (i.e. trimester in utero or early life). However, the pattern of results was not entirely consistent and may have been shaped by at least two interrelated factors—selective mortality, since the exposed cohorts had to survive to be between 70-88 years old to be included in the analysis, and the lack of statistical power to detect differences in effects across cohorts. The current study reduces these issues by using data collected between 1973-2010 with a sample that is nearly two and a half times are large as the NHIS sample. The results suggest a consistent and statistically significant elevated mortality for those born in 1918 but inconsistent results for 1917 and 1919. Thus, contrary to MMC, there is limited evidence of clear differences by exposure window (trimester or early life).

Method

The paper used data from the National Longitudinal Mortality Study, which was collected to examine the effects of demographic and socioeconomic characteristics on differentials in mortality and described elsewhere¹³. Briefly, records from the Current Population Surveys (CPS) from years 1973-2010 are matched to mortality information from death certificates available for deceased persons through the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) with follow up through 2011. Extensive demographic, social, economic, and occupational information is collected in the CPS. Following MMC, this study limits the birth cohorts in the analysis to 1913-1924 and respondents who report being born in the United States. Following MMC this study considers early life exposures from three waves of the 1918 pandemic (1st Wave: March-July 1918, 2nd Wave: September 1918-December 1918, 3rd Wave: January 1919-March 1919) by examining old age mortality by birth year and quarter of birth. The sample size is approximately 220,000 (Census requires sample sizes to be rounded to preserve anonymity of the respondents¹⁴), approximately 73% of the sample have died by 2011.

Statistical Analysis

The paper analyzed mortality by birth cohort. Individuals were considered at-risk at the date of the interview and exited the risk set at either their date of death or at the end of 2011 if they survived through the entire observation period. Dates were measured in quarter-years and Cox proportional hazard regression models were estimated. Non-exposed cohorts (born in 1920-1924) and those born earlier than the third quarter of 1917 were combined into a single reference category. Among the exposed cohorts, we used birth quarter and birth year indicators to capture the exposure timing linked to whether exposure occurred postnatally and/or in utero. The analysis also controlled for age and age-squared at baseline survey to adjust for selective mortality (year-of-survey fixed effects were controlled in unreported analysis and produced similar results)¹⁵. A continuous birth year control was included to capture a cohort trend in morality; sex was also controlled. All analyses were conducted using Stata/SE version 14.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 presents selected summary statistics to compare with MMC and also presents their estimates (from Table 2 Column 1). The average age at baseline for the NLMS data was 65.7 with an average follow up of 16.6 years (compared with 74.5 and 9, respectively in MMC). The key results in MMC (reported in the current Table 1, Column 1) were that two of the three cohorts that were exposed late in gestation and at birth (1918 q2, 1919 q1, but not 1918 q4) experienced excess old-age mortality. A broader look at the collection of results shows a potentially surprising lack of statistically significant effects of exposure (only 2 in 10 coefficients). In contrast, the current results that use a larger dataset that is less sensitive to

selective mortality show a much more consistent pattern by quarter of birth. Interestingly, the confidence interval for the new results for the 1919 q1 cohort do not contain the MMC estimate. Altogether, the similarity in the coefficients in the present analysis do not suggest large differences based on exposure timing, contrary to this emphasis in MMC. Rather the imprecision in the MMC estimates across cohorts suggest caution in interpreting differences in effects as statistically meaningful. Future work might attempt to pool these datasets as well as additional datasets to attempt to examine the potential for impacts on cause of death and by gender and geographic area.

References

- 1. Johnson RC, Schoeni RF. Early-life origins of adult disease: national longitudinal population-based study of the United States. *American journal of public health*. 2011;101(12):2317-2324.
- 2. Van den Berg GJ, Doblhammer G, Christensen K. Exogenous determinants of early-life conditions, and mortality later in life. *Social science & medicine*. 2009;68(9):1591-1598.
- 3. Barker DJP. Development origins of chronic disease. *Public Health.* 2012;126.
- 4. Barker DJ. The fetal and infant origins of adult disease. *BMJ.* 1990;301(6761):1111.
- 5. Almond D, Currie J, Duque V. *Childhood circumstances and adult outcomes: Act II.* National Bureau of Economic Research;2017.
- 6. Almond D. Is the 1918 influenza pandemic over? Long-term effects of in utero influenza exposure in the post-1940 US population. *Journal of political Economy*. 2006;114(4):672-712.
- 7. Mazumder B, Almond D, Park K, Crimmins EM, Finch CE. Lingering prenatal effects of the 1918 influenza pandemic on cardiovascular disease. *Journal of developmental origins of health and disease.* 2010;1(1):26-34.
- 8. Cohen AA, Tillinghast J, Canudas-Romo V. No consistent effects of prenatal or neonatal exposure to Spanish flu on late-life mortality in 24 developed countries. *Demographic research*. 2010;22(20):579.
- 9. Fletcher JM. Examining the Long Term Mortality Effects of Early Health Shocks. 2014.
- 10. Azambuja MI, Levins R. Coronary Heart Disease (CHD)\-\-One or Several Diseases? Changes in the Prevalence and Features of CHD. *Perspectives in biology and medicine*. 2007;50(2):228-242.
- 11. Tate S, Namkung JJ, Noymer A. Did the 1918 influenza cause the twentieth century cardiovascular mortality epidemic in the United States? *PeerJ.* 2016;4:e2531.
- 12. Myrskylä M, Mehta NK, Chang VW. Early life exposure to the 1918 influenza pandemic and old-age mortality by cause of death. *American journal of public health*. 2013;103(7):e83-e90.
- 13. Sorlie PD, Backlund E, Keller JB. US mortality by economic, demographic, and social characteristics: the National Longitudinal Mortality Study. *American Journal of Public Health.* 1995;85(7):949-956.
- 14. Abowd J, Alvisi L, Dwork C, Kannan S, Machanavajjhala A, Reiter J. Privacy-preserving data analysis for the federal statistical agencies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:170100752.* 2017.
- 15. Rohwer G. A note on the dependence of health on age and education. *Demography.* 2016;53(2):325-335.

Table 1

All-Cause Mortality Hazard Ratios by Birth Cohort and Timing of Exposure to 1918 Influenza Pandemic: National Health Interview Survey and National Longitudinal Mortality Study 1913– 1924 Cohorts

Dataset	NHIS	NLMS
Ν	81571	~220,000
Deaths	43808	~160000
Age at Baseline	74.5	65.7
Years of mortality follow up	9	16.6
Birth Cohort, exposure timing	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
1917q3, 1st year of life	0.99 (0.92, 1.07)	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)
1917q4, 1st year of life	1.06 (0.99, 1.15)	0.98 (0.94, 1.01)
1918q1, 1st year of life	1.03 (0.96, 1.11)	1.07*** (1.03, 1.10)
1918q2, at birth and 3rd trimester	1.08** (1.00,1.16)	1.08*** (1.05, 1.12)
		1 07*** (1 02 1 10)
1918q3, 1st and 2nd trimester	0.97 (0.90, 1.05)	1.0/*** (1.03, 1.10)
1019 at high and 2nd thins stor	1.02 (0.05, 1.10)	1 05** (1 01 1 09)
1918q4, at birth and 3rd trimester	1.02 (0.93, 1.10)	1.03 (1.01,1.08)
1010a1 at birth and 3rd trimastor	1 00** (1 01 1 17)	1 00 (0 97 1 04)
1919q1, at bittil and 51d trimester	1.07 (1.01, 1.17)	1.00 (0.27, 1.04)
1919a2 1st and 2nd trimester	1 05 (0 97 1 13)	1 04* (1 00 1 08)
171792, 15t and 2nd annosol	1.00 (0.27, 1.13)	1.01 (1.00, 1.00)
1919q3. 1st and 2nd trimester	0.97 (0.90, 1.04)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)
1919q4, 1st and 2nd trimester	1.00 (0.93, 1.07)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Note. CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio. We estimated results for all-cause mortality with the Cox proportional hazards model. All models controlled for age and age squared at baseline, gender, and linear trend in birth year.

Number of observations are rounded for confidentiality in the NLMS data.

First column of results reproduced from Table 2, Column 1 in MMC