

Index

- actors 6–7, 15, 20, 271–3
 - decision makers 7, 271
 - ‘honest broker’ 107–8
 - knowledge brokers 7, 272
 - knowledge producers/providers 7, 272
 - NGO analysts 165, 168
 - policy consultants 165, 169
- agenda setting 4–11, 18, 37–9, 81–3, 94, 105, 146, 289
- assessment techniques
 - Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) 149
 - strategic environmental assessment (SEA) 149–50
- asylum applications/targets 231–3, 240
 - see also* immigration control
- ‘bounded rationality’ 36
- Brundtland report: *Our Common Future* 65
- Capacities
 - definition of 22–3
- China 131, 236
- climate change (and the) 233–7, 241 *see also* United Kingdom (UK)
 - Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution 65
 - Intergovernmental Panel on 65
 - Kyoto Protocol 234–6
 - reduction of greenhouse-gas emissions 241
 - Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change (Stern, 2007) 146
- computer models used in practice
 - (and) 109–16
 - model impact and utility in ‘real world’ policy formulation activities 109
 - practical lessons learned in matching process 113–16 *see also* SEAMLESS
 - when and how used 111–13
- computerized models 100–120 *see also*
 - model-based future studies conclusions for 116–18
 - feeding knowledge into policy formulation 107–8 *see also* scientist, four roles of
 - linked to other policy formulation tools 106–7
 - and policy formulation tasks 104–6 *see also* policy formulation purposes of 101–4
 - use of in different policy formulation venues 245–64 *see also* MARKAL energy model
 - used in different policy formulation venues *see* MARKAL energy model
 - used in practice *see* computer models used in practice
- Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution 65
- cost–benefit analysis (CBA) (and) 14, 62, 142–57 *see also* India
 - conclusions for 156–7
 - cost–benefit analysis in the real world 150–55
 - influence of 152–5
 - use and quality of 150–52
 - definition of 144
 - discussion of/conclusions 156–7
 - indicators 16
 - other decision-making procedures 148–50
 - as policy formulation tool 144–6
 - policy venues 146
 - rationale for 143
- cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) 63

- critical notions 35–6
- Cyprus 191, 193, 195–7
- defence procurement 237–40
 - and MoD performance reports, targets and PIs 238
 - overall judgement of (at end of 2000s) 239–40
- Defence Procurement Agency (DPA) 237
- Defence Logistics Organisation (DLO) 237
- DEFRA 146, 234–5
 - PSA and the Future* 234
- Delphi methods 58
- de Jouvenel, H. 54, 57
- Denmark 191, 193–8, 200
- DG Research 113–16 *see also* SEAMLESS
- differentiation and unification 37, 39, 275
- The Discovery of the Future* 64
- Drivers–Pressures–State–Impacts–Responses (DPSIR) scheme 58
- Ecological Indicators 89
- EIONET (Environment Information and Observation Network) 65 *see also* FLIS
- Essay on the Principle of Population* 64
- EURURALIS model 109–10
- European Commission (EC) (and) 66, 195–8
 - cost–benefit analysis (CBA) 147
 - Evaluation of Socio-Economic Development* (EC Guide 2013) 133
 - Framework Programme funding 185
 - Guidelines Annex 11 on tools/ models for assessing impact 194
 - Jacques Delors and Forward Studies Unit (1989) 65
- European Policy Advisers, Bureau of (BEPA) 65
- European Union (EU) (and)
 - Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) 110
 - mid-term review of 73
 - Lisbon Process competitiveness indicators 78
 - multi-criteria analysis (MCA) 132
 - Regional Policy 157
 - Structural and Cohesion Funds and CBA 147–8
 - Water Framework Directive 34
- Finland 191, 193, 195–7, 200
- FLIS (Forward-Looking Information and Scenarios) working group 65
- Forecasting
 - normative 63
- Forecasts
 - definition of 53
- Foresight
 - definition of 53
- future studies
 - definition of 52–3
- futures studies
 - model based: projections, predictions, speculation and exploration 104
- Greece 191, 193, 195–7
- Habermas, J. 35, 41
 - and ‘communicative rationality’ 83
- immigration control 230–33, 241
- India (and) 205–9 *see also* World Bank
 - cases of tool use 208–12
 - cost–benefit analysis (CBA) in dam building policy 208–9
 - the design of CBA 209
 - the execution of CBA 209–10
 - key issues in water allocation policy 211–12
 - outcomes of CBA 210
 - tool design for water allocation policy 212
 - tool execution for water allocation policy 212
 - use of participatory tools in water allocation policy 211
- independent regulatory agencies (IRAs) 207–8
- legislation: the MWRRA Act (2005) 211, 214–15
- Maharashtra Water Resources Regulatory Authority (MWRRA) 211, 215

- State Water Policy Policy 211
- tool use by IRAs 214–19
 - design of participatory tool 215–16
 - execution of participatory tool 216–18
 - policy outcomes of tariff regulations 219
- Water Resources Department (WRD) 211
- indicators 76–99
 - as governance tools 76–7
 - and influence of performance measurement: paradoxes and dilemmas 91–3
 - conclusions for 94–6
 - instrumental, conceptual and political functions of 83–4
 - in practice *see* indicators in practice
 - theoretical approaches 90–91
 - types and purposes of 77–84 *see also* indicator types
- indicators in practice (and) 84–91
- indicator constituencies 89–90
- indicator use and indicator influence 85
- institutionalization, codification and mandatory use 88–9
- lack of intended use of indicators and ways of enhancing use 85–8
- unanticipated consequences of indicators 90
- indicator types (and)
 - descriptive, performance and composites 78, 80–81
 - intended functions of indicators 79
 - level of governance 81–2
 - and policy formulation 82–3
- International Institute for Applied systems Analysis (IIASA) 67
- and GAINS model 67
- International Monetary Fund 66
- International Multi-Criteria Decision Society (IMCDM) 121
- Ireland 191, 193–7
- knowledge creation 16
- Kyoto Protocol of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change *see* climate change
- Limits to Growth*: report to Club of Rome 64
- London's Supersewer, evaluation of 153–4
- lumpiness thesis (Voyer) 166
- MARKAL energy system model (and) 245–64
 - as a boundary object 256–9
 - conclusions for 259–60
 - its changing use in UK policy development 246–8
 - theoretical perspectives 248–9
 - variation and change in the use of (by) 250–56
 - and the 2003 Energy White Paper 251–3
 - and the 2007 Energy White Paper 253–4
 - academic policy modellers 250
 - in relation to the Climate Change Act 254–5
 - the UK Committee on Climate Change 255–6
 - other policy venues 256
 - UK government departments 250–51
- MCA *see* multi-criteria analysis
- modelling 63
 - and role of stakeholders in model development 113
- models *see also* computerized models
 - EURARALIS 109–10
 - GAINS 67
 - MARKAL energy system model *see subject entry*
 - NRM 109
 - use of computerized models in different policy formulation venues 245–64 *see also* MARKAL energy model
- multi-criteria analysis 121
 - conclusions for 136–7
 - and goal programming 121
 - in policy practice *see* multi-criteria analysis in policy practice
- multi-criteria analysis: methodological aspects 122–9
- evaluating policy options 125–6

- identifying objectives and criteria 124–5
- identifying policy options to achieve set of objectives 125
- interactive methods 127–8
- multi-attribute utility/value methods 126–7
- outranking methods 127
- sensitivity and robustness analysis 128–9
- multi-criteria analysis in policy practice (and) 129–36
 - formal requirements prescribing MCA 131–2
 - main policy areas 130–31
 - quality and legitimacy of MCA in practice (and) 133–6
 - opening up the policy formulation process 133–4
 - results as directly and indirectly policy-relevant 135–6
 - reasons for choosing MCA 132–3
 - users 129–30
 - venues 129
- National Ecosystems Assessment (2011) 146
- Netherlands
 - Central Planning Bureau (CPB) 68–9
 - Dutch Scientific Council for Policy (WRR) 56
 - economic, social and environmental planning offices 65
 - Environmental Assessment Agency/ RIVM 69–70
 - Sustainability Outlook 70
- Netherlands Scientific Council for Government Policy 110–11
- New Public Management agenda 15–16, 77, 198
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 16, 65, 77–8, 86, 89, 151, 184, 198, 225, 271, 278–9
 - best practices 276
 - country reviews 86
 - development of environmental policy instruments 77
- Environmental Performance Indicators 78
- Facing the Future: Mastering the Probable and Managing the Unpredictable* 65
- policy appraisal systems in OECD countries 184
- report on/use of CBA 151, 278
- Service Delivery Agreements (SDAs) 227
- targets and PIs under New Labour (2000–2010) 227–30
- tool use in 16
- participatory assessment 33–51
 - conclusions for 45–6
 - methods and tools 39–42
 - agenda setting and problem conceptualization 39–40
 - decision making 42
 - identification and appraisal of potential policy options 40–41
 - specification of policy objectives 40
 - and the policy formulation process 37–9
 - in practice 42–5
 - tools 33–7 *see also* tools
 - origins and rationales of 34–7
 - performance indicators (PIs) 225–7
 - performance measurement 91–3 *see also* indicators
- Poland 191, 193, 195–7
- policy formulation *see also* actors
 - definition of 6–7, 17–20
 - Howlett 227
 - and models 104–7
 - processes and tasks 8–10
 - tool 19, 269
 - tools of *see* tools of policy formulation
 - venues 10–12, 20, 22, 273–7 policy analysis, new subfield of *see* tools of policy formulation
- policy formulation, advice and appraisal
 - analytical tools and policy analysis 163–5
 - conclusions for 176–9

- data and methods 166–9 *see also*
 - surveys
- distribution of analytical tools 166
- findings 169–76
 - distribution of capacities within
 - government: venues and tools 169–73
 - overall distribution of capacity
 - between governmental/non-governmental actors 173–6
 - ‘lumpy’ hypothesis: (uneven)
 - distribution of policy analysis across government 165–6
- policy formulation tool use in
 - emerging policy spheres (and) 205–24 *see also* India
 - conclusions for 219–20
 - future prospects for 221–2
- policy formulation tools and appraisal
 - motivations in practice (and) 191–6
 - tool use patterns: guidance vs practice 193–4
 - tool use patterns and jurisdiction-level motivations for appraising 194–6
 - tool use in practice 191–3
- policy formulation tools in policy appraisal (and) 184–204
- conclusions for 196–201
- new directions 196–201
- policy formulation tools and appraisal motivations in practice *see subject entry*
- understanding the relationship
 - between tools and appraisal motivations 187–90
- Potočník, J. 52 (EU Environment Commissioner)
- Public Service Agreements (PSAs) 226–41
- regulatory impact assessment 16, 164
- Rio Conference (1992) 94
- Royal Dutch Shell 64
- scenarios (and/or their) 52–75
 - conclusions for 71–3
 - definition of 53
 - expertise/knowledge needed in
 - development of 61–2
 - credibility, legitimacy, saliency 62
 - exploratory 63
 - historical evolution as policy
 - influencing tool 64–6
 - international environmental
 - negotiations: trans-boundary air pollution 66
 - links with other policy formulation
 - tools 62–3
 - model-based 63
 - performance of policy formulation
 - tasks 60–61
 - reasons for importance of 53–4
 - selection and design of 56–9
 - problem characterization 57
 - problem conceptualization 57–8
 - scenario assessments 59
 - scenario description 59
 - scenario framing 58–9
 - story-and-simulation 63
 - strategic planning 64
 - surprising futures 59–60
 - three types of 56
 - uncertainty and complexity 54–6 *see also* definitions
 - use in international policy venues 66
 - use in national policy venues 67–71
 - used in Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, definition of 55
- scientist, Pielke’s four roles of 107–8
 - issue advocate 107–8
 - pure scientist 107
 - science arbiter 107
 - The Honest Broker 107–8
- SEAMLESS (DG Research) 113–16
- social indicators 88–9, 94
- SMART targets 227
- surveys (on)
 - census-of-1937 people undertaking
 - policy-related work (2006–07) 167
 - internal Canadian policy analysts (2006–2009) 165
 - National Capital Region-based (Ottawa-Hull) policy employees (2010, 2009) 167
 - sustainability (RIVM, 2004) 70–71

- sustainable development 70–71, 73, 77, 81, 85–6, 90, 94, 108, 234
- System of Integrated Environmental and Economic Accounts (SEEA) 89
- System of National Accounts (SNA) 89
- Systems Analysis Unit (US Defense Department) 13–15
- Programme Planning and Budgeting System (PPBS) 13–15
- tools (and/or) *see also* participatory
 - assessment *and* tools of policy formation
 - analytical tools 3
 - citizens' and science courts 35
 - consensus conferences 35
 - 'dealing with an angry public' 35
 - decision support/analyticentric 4
 - deliberative tools in urban planning (Germany) 35
 - discursive representation:
 - Q methodology 39–40
 - Repertory Grid Technique 39–40
 - empowering, learning and legitimating 33–4
 - focus groups 35
 - Participatory Action Research* 35
 - participatory assessment 33–7
 - participatory methods 39–42
 - Participatory Rural Appraisal* 35
 - policy-analytic methods 3
 - science mediation 35
 - simulation and gaming 35
- tools of policy formulation (and/or the) 3–6, 12–25
 - analyticentric turn in policy analysis 12–14
 - analytical framework 20–25
 - actors 20
 - capacities 22–3
 - effects 23
 - plan of the book 23–5
 - venues 20, 22
 - definition and typology 19–20
 - and policy formulation tasks 19–21
 - Laswellian perspective on 14
 - existing literature 17–19
 - turn away from 14–15
 - turn back to 16–17
- tools of policy formulation: new perspectives and new challenges (and) 267–93
 - actors 271–3 *see also* actors, capacities 277–9
 - conclusions for 287–90
 - definitions and typologies 268–71
 - effects of 279–80
 - theorizing: reassembling the pieces 281–7
 - policy formulation as control 283–5
 - policy formulation as rationality 281–3
 - policy formulation tools as institutions 285–7
 - venues 273–7
 - of use: by whom, for what purposes, in what form tools used? 273–5
 - of use: what factors shape selection and deployment of tools 275–7
 - trade-off analysis 63
 - trade-offs 6, 58, 71, 81, 86–7, 91–2, 102, 107–8, 116–7, 127, 132, 246
 - 'type 3 error' 36
- uncertainty 93, 103–4, 106, 125–8, 145, 230, 255, 276, 282
 - analysis 114–15
 - management 63, 67
- United Kingdom (UK) (and) 195, 197–9
 - 2000 PSA and 2002 Service Delivery Agreement 230
 - 2012 Summer Olympic Games (London) 154
 - Border Agency (UKBA) 230
 - Cabinet Office review on use of analysis in policymaking 16–18
 - cases of policy formulation *see* climate change; defence procurement *and* immigration control
 - Central Policy Review Staff 13
 - Climate Change, Office of (OCC) 235

- Climate Change Act (2008) 233
- Comprehensive Spending Review (1998) 234
- cost–benefit analysis as mandatory in 194
- effects of targets and indicators (PIs)
 - on policy formulation (and) 225–44
 - conclusions for 240–42
- Energy and Climate Change, Department of (DECC) 233
- Environment Agency 146
- Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Department for (DEFRA) 233
- Environment, Transport and the Regions, Department of the (DETR) 233
- Foresight Horizon Scanning Centre 65
- Home Office 230
 - and asylum seekers 231–3
 - Departmental Report (2004–2005) on asylum applications 231
- House of Commons
 - Home Affairs Committee 232
 - Public Administration Select Committee 232–3
 - Treasury Select Committee 228
- Ministry of Defence (MoD) 237–41
- National Audit Office (NAO) 226, 229, 232, 237
- Public Administration Select Committee 229
- Public Service Agreements (PSAs) 226, 227–9
- Service Delivery Agreements (SDAs) 227
- targets and PIs under New Labour (2000–2010) 227–30
- Thames Water: London’s sewage system/‘Supersewer’ 153
- training on Standard Cost Model 194
- Treasury 116, 227–8, 234–5 *see also* climate change
 - PSA Delivery Agreement* 27 (2007) 236
 - Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution 236
- United Nations
 - Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 132
 - Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) 65, 132
 - High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) 33
- United States (US)
 - Negotiated Rulemaking Act 42
 - RAND (military think tank) 64
 - Systems Analysis Unit (US Defense Department) 13 *see also subject entry*
- Utopia* 64
- ‘venue shopping’ 10–11
- wicked, ill-structured or unstructured problem 36
- World Bank 33, 278
 - Operational Policy on the Economic Evaluation of Investment Operations* 148
 - use of CBA in 150–52
 - and water reform processes in India 211–12
- World Food Programme 33

