

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Fedorets, Alexandra; Stops, Michael; Lottmann, Franziska

Working Paper Job matching on connected regional and occupational labor markets

IAB-Discussion Paper, No. 35/2017

Provided in Cooperation with: Institute for Employment Research (IAB)

Suggested Citation: Fedorets, Alexandra; Stops, Michael; Lottmann, Franziska (2017) : Job matching on connected regional and occupational labor markets, IAB-Discussion Paper, No. 35/2017, Institut für Arbeitsmarkt- und Berufsforschung (IAB), Nürnberg

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/182140

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Institute for Employment Research

The Research Institute of the Federal Employment Agency



IAB-Discussion Paper 35/2017

Articles on labour market issues

Job Matching on Connected Regional and Occupational Labor Markets

Alexandra Fedorets Michael Stops Franziska Lottmann

ISSN 2195-2663

Job Matching on Connected Regional and Occupational Labor Markets

Alexandra Fedorets (DIW - German Institute for Economic Research) Michael Stops (IAB) Franziska Lottmann (BMWi – Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy)*

Mit der Reihe "IAB-Discussion Paper" will das Forschungsinstitut der Bundesagentur für Arbeit den Dialog mit der externen Wissenschaft intensivieren. Durch die rasche Verbreitung von Forschungsergebnissen über das Internet soll noch vor Drucklegung Kritik angeregt und Qualität gesichert werden.

The "IAB-Discussion Paper" is published by the research institute of the German Federal Employment Agency in order to intensify the dialogue with the scientific community. The prompt publication of the latest research results via the internet intends to stimulate criticism and to ensure research quality at an early stage before printing.

2

^{*} This paper reflects the authors' personal opinion and does not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Energy or its staff.

Contents

| Ab | ostract | 4 |
|----|--|----|
| Zu | Isammenfassung | 4 |
| 1 | | 5 |
| 2 | Empirical Matching Function with Regional and Occupational Spillover Terms | 7 |
| 3 | Data | 9 |
| 4 | Estimation of Spillovers | 11 |
| 5 | Robustness of the Occupational Spillover Estimates | 15 |
| 6 | Conclusion | 17 |
| Re | eferences | 18 |
| A | Model of Non-sequential Search | 21 |
| В | Construction of the occupational segments | 24 |

Abstract

Job mobility equilibrates disparities on local labor markets and influences the efficiency of the job matching process. In this paper, we describe a job matching model that allows for simultaneous regional and occupational mobility, predicting corresponding spillover effects on the number of matches. We estimate these spillover effects based on novel administrative German data on the number of matches, unemployed, and vacancies of local labor markets, which we define as distinct occupations in distinct regions. We specify a matching function for these local labor markets with regional spillovers, occupational spillovers, as well as combined regional and occupational spillovers of unemployed and vacancies. To construct these spillover terms, we use information on the proximity between regions and on similarities between occupations in terms of qualification requirements and tasks. We find that regional spillover effects for both vacancies and the unemployed are positive, occupational spillover effects for vacancies are positive and occupational spillover effects for the unemployed are negative. The combined regional and occupational spillover effects for both vacancies and the unemployed are positive. We conclude that neglecting regional, occupational, and combined spillovers leads to biased estimates of job matching efficiency in local labor markets.

Zusammenfassung

Die Mobilität von Arbeitnehmern kann Ungleichheiten in lokalen Arbeitsmärkten ausgleichen und beeinflusst die Effizienz des Matching-Prozesses. Dabei verstehen wir unter lokalen Arbeitsmärkten das Arbeitsangebot und die Arbeitsnachfrage in einer Region in den verschiedenen Berufen. Wir konstruieren ein Suchmodell, das sowohl regionale als auch berufliche Mobilität berücksichtigt. Mit diesem Modell beschreiben wir, wie die Jobsuche von Arbeitnehmern im eigenen und in anderen Berufen sowie im heimischen und in anderen Regionen die Anzahl der daraus resultierenden Neueinstellungen in Form von Spillovereffekten beeinflusst. Wir schätzen diese Spillover-Effekte auf der Basis detaillierter administrativer Daten aus Deutschland. Hierfür spezifizieren wir eine Matching-Funktion für lokale Arbeitsmärkte mit regionalen, beruflichen sowie kombinierten regionalen und beruflichen Spillover-Effekten für Arbeitslose und Vakanzen. Dabei treffen wir Annahmen zur Abhängigkeitsstruktur der lokalen Arbeitsmärkte, die auf regionalen Distanzen und Informationen zu Ähnlichkeiten von Berufen hinsichtlich der Qualifikations-Anforderungen und Tätigkeiten beruhen. Die Ergebnisse zeigen positive regionalen Spillover-Effekte der Vakanzen und der Arbeitslosen sowie positive berufliche Spillover-Effekte der Vakanzen, wohingegen die beruflichen Spillovereffekte der Arbeitslosen negativ sind. Die kombinierten regionalen und beruflichen Spillover-Effekte sind sowohl für die Vakanzen als auch für die Arbeitslosen positiv. Wir schlussfolgern auf der Basis eines Vergleichs mit einer herkömmlichen Matchingfunktion, dass die Vernachlässigung von Spillover-Effekten zu verzerrten Schätzungen der Job-Matching-Effizienz in lokalen Arbeitsmärkten führt.

JEL classification: C21, C23, J44, J64

Keywords: Matching function, Job mobility, Local labor markets

1 Introduction

Job mobility, i.e., workers changing jobs across regions and occupational titles,¹ is a crucial mechanism to equilibrate disparities in local labor markets and, therefore, influence the job matching process and its efficiency (e.g. see Boschma/Eriksson/Lindgren, 2014).

The literature on job matching efficiency typically relies on the estimation of job matching functions that relate the number of flows into employment to the number of vacancies and job searchers; compare with Pissarides (1979, 1985); Diamond (1982a,b); Mortensen, Dale T. (1982) and the surveys by Rogerson/Shimer/Wright (2005); Yashiv (2007). Petrongolo/Pissarides (2001) refer to the matching function as a black box because the multiple factors that can affect the hiring process are not observable at the aggregate level. Coles/Smith (1996) state that the estimation of matching efficiency at an aggregated level is substantially biased because the matching process takes place in local labor markets (Dauth/Hujer/Wolf, 2016).

There are studies complementing the explaining part of both theoretic and empirical matching functions for local labor markets with spillover terms, particularly vacancies and unemployed from related local labor markets like nearby regions or similar occupations, therefore, showing the relevance of job mobility on job matching (Burda/Profit, 1996; Fahr/Sunde, 2006; Hensen/de Vries/Cörvers, 2009; Lottmann, 2012; Stops, 2014a; Haller/Heuermann, 2016). These studies conclude that spillovers are important for estimating the direct effect of the unemployed and vacancies on the number of matches within a local labor market and should not be neglected.

Up to now, empirical studies fail to simultaneously analyze both regional and occupational job mobility, although job changes can be associated with a change of both the region and the occupation. In this paper, we incorporate regional spillovers, occupational spillovers, as well as combined regional and occupational spillovers in the matching function to study, to the best of our knowledge for the first time, how these spillovers simultaneously influence the estimates of the matching efficiency.

The notion of local labor markets in the literature is mainly related to regional labor markets. For instance, the studies of Burda/Profit (1996); Fahr/Sunde (2006); Lottmann (2012); Haller/Heuermann (2016) document the sizeable influence of the unemployed and vacancies in close local areas on matching. Nevertheless, the dependence structure of local labor markets goes beyond geography. For instance, Machin/Pelkonen/Salvanes (2008) and Hensen/de Vries/Cörvers (2009) report that educational levels significantly affect patterns of regional mobility, while Broersma/van Ours (1999) report different matching efficiencies in different sectors. Fahr/Sunde (2004) as well as Stops/Mazzoni (2010) document that matching efficiency is heterogeneous across different occupational labor markets. The

¹ A part of the literature uses the term "job title" instead of "occupational title." This literature often refers to analyses where the assignment of employment across firms is important. In this paper we use the term "occupational title" because we abstract from firms and focus instead on the assignment of employment across regions and occupations. We define occupations as groups of jobs that share extensive commonalities in terms of skill requirements and tasks.

main caveat of their notion of occupational labor markets is that they disregard possible mobility across occupational labor markets, thus potentially biasing their results.

Stops (2014a) documents the importance of spillovers between occupational labor markets. Analogously to the spatial order of regional labor markets, Stops (2014a) proposes and tests an "occupational topology" that describes groups of occupational labor markets that are assumed to be substitutes, whereas occupational labor markets in different groups cannot substitute for each other. The "borders" between these groups are defined by dissimilarities in job contents, formal requirements, and qualifications. This definition follows Matthes/Burkert/Biersack (2008) and is in line with Gathmann/Schoenberg (2010), who state that workers can transfer their human capital across occupational labor markets, particularly between occupations with similar content.

The present paper combines the theoretical models utilized by Burda/Profit (1996) for regional spillovers and Stops (2014a) for occupational spillovers. Thus, our model considers regional, occupational, as well as combined occupational and regional spillovers in the job matching process. To estimate the spillover effects, we use novel administrative data for Germany that define local labor markets as a combination of the regional and the occupational dimension. Thus, the data contain information on the number of new hires, unemployed, and vacancies for each of 131,454 local labor markets that we define as intersections of 327 occupational orders² and 402 NUTS-3 local areas. These data cover the 2000 to 2011 on a monthly basis.

Then, we construct regional spillover terms based on measures of geographical proximity between local areas. Next, we construct occupational spillover terms by using the assignment of occupations with similar task contents and job requirements to occupational segments based on previous work by Matthes/Burkert/Biersack (2008). Finally, we compute a combined spillover term by using the information of both the regional and the occupational spillover terms.

The estimation of an empirical matching function with fixed effects and spillover terms reveals that the spillovers significantly affect the matching efficiencies. Firstly, we find positive regional spillover effects of both unemployed and vacancies in nearby regions and a positive spillover effect of vacancies in similar occupations. This finding corresponds to the direct effect of additional unemployed and additional vacancies on the match probability. In contrast, we, secondly, find a negative occupational spillover effect of unemployed in similar occupations. This can be explained by a negative indirect competition effect on the matching efficiency. Both findings can be also described by our theoretical model. Lastly, we find relatively small but positive combined regional and occupational spillover effects. We conclude that neglecting one or more of the regional, occupational, and combined occupational and regional spillovers leads to biased estimates of the direct effect of the unemployed and vacancies on the number of matches within a local labor market.

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 discusses the motivation for disaggregated matching functions and the existence of spillovers between local areas and

² Occupational orders are defined according to the 3-digit code of the German occupational classifications scheme 1988 ("Klassifizierung der Berufe 1988", KldB 1988).

occupations. Section 3 presents the data, while section 4 contains our empirical strategy and the results. Section 5 presents a robustness check for the specification of occupational segments. Finally, section 6 concludes.

2 Empirical Matching Function with Regional and Occupational Spillover Terms

In the following, we discuss theoretical motivation for regional and occupational spillovers, incorporate them in the empirical matching function, and present our operationalization of regional proximity and occupational similarity.

2.1 Theoretical Considerations on Spillovers

Existence of spillovers over local labor markets can be explained in the theoretical framework of the bulletin board model (Hall, 1979; Pissarides, 1979). Burda/Profit (1996) provide a version of this model for regional labor markets, whereas Stops (2014a) provides its version for occupational labor markets. Appendix A contains the version that differentiates between regional and occupational local labor markets. In all versions, workers send multiple job applications to the vacancies both in their own and the neighboring local labor markets.

The optimal number of applications sent to different labor markets is an outcome of maximization of expected benefits from a new job given the costs. The benefits from an application to another local labor market can be higher, but they come with an additional cost that is proportionate to the distance from the worker's current location to the local labor market she applies to.

Aggregating the individual search behavior over all local labor markets, the model reveals that the job finding probability can be both positively and negatively related to the number of applications. The positive correlation stems from the scope effect of having more applications in the labor market, whereas the negative effect stems from competition of applicants for vacancies. Therefore, allowing workers to search for jobs both in their own and in neighboring labor markets can be either positive or negative, based on the interplay of the scope and competition effects. Similarly, Albrecht/Gautier/Vroman (2003), in an alternative model setting, conclude that multiple applications in a non-sequential search leads to a coordination problem, which results in additional search costs.

2.2 Spillovers in the Empirical Matching Function

In a homogeneous labor market, the matching technology between the pool of unemployed workers and existing vacancies can be described by a matching function. Without an explicit definition of the matching process, the aggregated matching function captures the technology that brings the unemployed (denoted by U) and the vacancies (denoted by V) together, resulting in a job match (denoted by M):

$$M = M(U, V) = A U^{\beta_U} V^{\beta_V}, \tag{1}$$

where A describes the factor-unrelated matching productivity or the technology parameter, respectively. The parameters β_U and β_V represent the matching elasticities of unemployed and vacancies, respectively.

Labor mobility between local areas in order to overcome discrepancies in regional supply and demand is well documented in empirical studies (Burda/Profit, 1996; Fahr/Sunde, 2006; Hensen/de Vries/Cörvers, 2009; Lottmann, 2012; Haller/Heuermann, 2016). Regional mobility varies substantially, even between European countries (van Ours, 1990). Although the overall mobility rates in Germany are moderate in international comparison, the yearly mobility rate between German districts (Kreise) rose from about five percent of all employees in the 1980s to about eight percent in mid-1990s (Haas, 2000). Arntz (2005) documents substantial mobility of the unemployed across labor market regions.

Therefore, we assume that within a regional local labor market l = 1, ..., L, the matching process involves both the unemployed and the vacancies from local area l, as well as the unemployed and the vacancies from other local areas $m = 1, ..., M, m \neq l$. We assume that less distant local areas are more related to each other in terms of job search and worker recruiting than more distant local areas. Formally, this assumption results in an extension of the matching function by the stock of the unemployed U_m with its elasticity γ_{U_r} and the number of vacancies V_m with its elasticity γ_{V_r} in closer local areas m (this approach is similar to Burda/Profit, 1996):

$$M_{l} = M(U_{l}, V_{l}, U_{m}, V_{m}) = A U_{l}^{\beta_{U}} V_{l}^{\beta_{V}} U_{m}^{\gamma_{U_{r}}} V_{m}^{\gamma_{V_{r}}}.$$
(2)

The connectedness of regional labor markets is highly non-random (Fahr/Sunde, 2006). Lottmann (2012) provides empirical tests for spatial dependency in the German labor market, also showing that spatial dependency has grown since 2000. Most studies of regional spillovers in the matching function employ a geographic spatial structure given by geographic proximity between local areas (Lottmann, 2012; Haller/Heuermann, 2016); this approach is also often used for other applications in the spatial literature (e.g., Hautsch/Klotz, 2003).³

Apart from the geographical connectedness between local areas, several other definitions of local labor markets can be applied. For instance, Broersma/van Ours (1999) document the heterogeneities in the matching technology in different industries. However, Fahr/Sunde (2004), Kambourov/Manovskii (2008) and Stops/Mazzoni (2010) observe the strongest influence on the patterns of the matching efficiency on occupational labor markets.

³ Possible alternatives would be to employ the measures of average time needed to cover the distance between local areas or other types of transport connectedness between local areas. However, these alternatives might not fulfill the condition of the spatial structure being exogenous to the matching technology. Furthermore, Haller/Heuermann (2016) empirically show that, compared to the mentioned alternatives and based on a similar German data set, regional distances turned out to be the best approximation for regional spillovers.

The connectedness of occupational labor markets is observed based on the frequency of occupational switches (see Fitzenberger/Spitz, 2004). E.g., for the German labor market, Stops (2014a) reports shares of flows in employment that involve occupational changes of 16 to 75 percent. Analogously to the spatial dependence between local areas, Gathmann/Schoenberg (2010) show that occupational mobility also follows a particular pattern that is related to content similarity between occupations.

Thus, we assume that, regarding matches in occupation i = 1, ..., I, the matching process can involve unemployed and vacancies from similar occupations j = 1, ..., J, $j \neq i$. Consequently, we extend the occupation-specific matching function with U_j with elasticity γ_{U_o} and V_j with elasticity γ_{V_o} (compare also with Stops, 2014a):

$$M_{i} = M(U_{i}, V_{i}, U_{j}, V_{j}) = A U_{i}^{\beta_{U}} V_{i}^{\beta_{V}} U_{j}^{\gamma_{U_{o}}} V_{j}^{\gamma_{V_{o}}}.$$
(3)

Job search evolves simultaneously along regional and occupational dimensions, which should be reflected in the patterns of job mobility. We conclude that the matching technology for occupation i in local area l can be further adjusted by allowing spillovers from both occupations with similar contents j and other local areas m:

$$M_{il} = M(U_{il}, V_{il}, U_{im}, V_{im}, U_{jl}, V_{jl}, U_{jm}, V_{jm})$$

$$= A \underbrace{U_{il}^{\beta_U} V_{il}^{\beta_V}}_{\text{direct}} \underbrace{U_{im}^{\gamma_{U_r}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{V_r}}}_{\text{regional}} \underbrace{U_{jl}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jl}^{\gamma_{V_o}}}_{\text{occupational}} \underbrace{U_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_{ro}}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{V_{ro}}}}_{\text{combined}}$$

$$\underbrace{U_{il}^{\beta_U} V_{il}^{\beta_V}}_{\text{effect}} \underbrace{V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_r}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{V_r}}}_{\text{regional}} \underbrace{V_{jl}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{V_o}}}_{\text{combined}}$$

$$\underbrace{U_{il}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{il}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}}}_{\text{occupational}} \underbrace{V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_{ro}}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}}}_{\text{combined}}$$

$$\underbrace{U_{il}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{il}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}}}_{\text{occupational}} \underbrace{V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}}}_{\text{combined}}$$

$$\underbrace{V_{il}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}}}_{\text{occupational}} \underbrace{V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}}}_{\text{combined}}$$

$$\underbrace{V_{in}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{im}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{jm}^{\gamma_{U_o}} V_{$$

The latter equation is an approximation of what we understand as connected regional and occupational labor markets.

3 Data

We use data on outflows from unemployment into employment and stocks of unemployed and registered vacancies. These data stem from a unique administrative panel data set for 327 occupational orders in 402 NUTS-3 local areas with 138 observation periods from January 2000 to June 2011. The occupational orders are coded according to the German occupational classification scheme (3 digits, KldB88). All the data stem from the Federal Employment Agency.

We separately compute regional and occupational lags of unemployment and vacancy stocks. For the regional lags, we define the proximity of two local areas to be represented by the distance between geographic centers measured in kilometers. Based on this information, a 402×402 weights matrix is constructed. This matrix is row-normalized and

the diagonal elements are set to 0, which corresponds to the fact that a local area cannot neighbor itself. The resulting weights matrix W^{R} is used to compute proximity-weighted averages of the stocks of unemployed and vacancies for each region in each single occupation:

$$\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{R}} \equiv (\mathbf{I}_{327} \otimes \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{R}}) \mathbf{U}$$
 and $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{R}} \equiv (\mathbf{I}_{327} \otimes \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{R}}) \mathbf{V},$ (5)

The vectors $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{R}}$ of dimension 131,454 contain the (contiguity) weighted sums of unemployment stocks, U_{im} , and registered vacancies, V_{im} , in other regions; the single elements of these vectors are denoted as U_{im} and V_{im} in equation (4). \mathbf{I}_{327} is an identity matrix of dimension 327 that corresponds to the number of occupational orders. U and V denote the vectors of dimension 131,454 containing all observations on unemployment stocks and registered vacancies, respectively.

Analogous to the regional proximity, we use an occupational "topology," which classifies occupations into groups that are similar based on their content and qualification requirements. More specifically, we use the work by Matthes/Burkert/Biersack (2008) that assigns 327 occupational orders into 21 segments with similar job requirements (see table 3 in the Appendix). The approach is similar to the study by Gathmann/Schoenberg (2010), who define content proximity between occupations based on detailed survey information on tasks performed in the jobs. The methodology of Matthes/Burkert/Biersack (2008) relies on information from the Federal Employment Agency and its Central Occupational File, which contains groups of occupations that can be regarded as close alternatives and that are actually used in recruitment decisions by firms and job searchers. The identified similarities between occupations are derived from similarities between the specific skills, licenses, certificates, knowledge requirements, as well as tasks and techniques that are typical for each occupation. Stops (2014a) already applies an occupational topology a in spatial analysis to investigate spillovers between occupations (for more details including methodological aspects of the work by Matthes/Burkert/Biersack (2008) see Stops, 2014b). His topology is constructed for two-digit occupational groups, whereas this study relies on an occupational topology that is based on detailed three-digit occupational orders.

With information on occupational proximity at hand, we construct a 327×327 first-order contiguity weights matrix, in which an entry of 1 denotes two occupational orders belonging to the same occupational segment. We row-normalize it and replace the diagonal elements with zeros. Hence, the resulting matrix W^{O} contains information on similarities between the occupational orders and it is used to compute occupational similarity-weighted averages of the stocks of unemployed and vacancies in each occupational order in each single region:

$$\overline{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{O}} \equiv (\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{O}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{402})\mathbf{U}$$
 and $\overline{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{O}} \equiv (\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{O}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{402})\mathbf{V}$ (6)

The vectors $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{O}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathbf{O}}$ of dimension 131,454 denote the occupational similarity-weighted sums of unemployment stocks and registered vacancies in other occupations; the single elements of these vectors are denoted as U_{jl} and V_{jl} in equation (4). \mathbf{I}_{402} is an identity matrix of dimension 402 that corresponds to the number of regions.

In the next step, we combine regional proximity and occupational similarity by augmenting the occupational weights matrix W^{O} with regional information. Technically, we compute the Kronecker product of W^{O} and W^{R} , thus

$$\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{OR}} = \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{O}} \otimes \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{R}}.$$
 (7)

After row-normalizing and replacing the diagonal elements with zeros, we obtain a $131,454 \times 131,454$ weights matrix $\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{OR}}$ that is now used to weight the stock of unemployed \mathbf{U} and vacancies \mathbf{V} for all occupational orders and all local areas depending on occupational similarity and regional proximity to all other observations:

$$\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathrm{OR}} \equiv \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{OR}}\mathbf{U}$$
 and $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{OR}} \equiv \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{OR}}\mathbf{V}$ (8)

Here, $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{OR}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{OR}$ contain the sums of unemployment stocks and registered vacancies from all local labor markets weighted by regional proximity and occupational similarity; these sums are denoted as U_{jm} and V_{jm} in equation (4).

Finally, to obtain unbiased matching parameter estimates, we adjust the data set with observations for occupations and NUTS-3 regions, respectively, where vacancies, unemployed or flows into employment are zero. This leads to an unbalanced panel data structure with 2,394,250 observations. Table 1 shows some descriptive statistics for all measures.

| Table 1: Descriptive statistics | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------|----------|--|--|
| Monthly averages 2000-2011 | | | | | |
| (per local area and occupational | l order) | | | | |
| | | Mean | St. dev. | | |
| Exits into employment | M_{il} | 11.2 | (22.8) | | |
| Unemployment stock | U_{il} | 156.0 | (410.8) | | |
| Regional lags of unemployment stocks | U_{im} | 125.7 | (165.9) | | |
| Occupational lags of unemployment stocks | U_{jl} | 54.6 | (126.6) | | |
| Regional lags of unemployed stocks, adjusted by | | | | | |
| occupational proximity | U_{jm} | 36.5 | (23.2) | | |
| Registered vacancies stock | V_{il} | 14.8 | (34.5) | | |
| Regional lags of registered vacancies stocks | V_{im} | 10.5 | (10.7) | | |
| Occupational lags of registered vacancies stocks | V_{jl} | 5.1 | (11.2) | | |
| Regional lags of vacancies stocks, adjusted by | U | | | | |
| occupational proximity | V_{jm} | 3.4 | (2.1) | | |

Source: Administrative data of the Federal Employment Agency 2000-2011. Own computations.

4 Estimation of Spillovers

Taking logarithms of the model described by equation (4) and adding a time index t for the month of observation yields the following specification:

$$\log M_{il,t} = \log A + \beta_U \log U_{il,t} + \beta_V \log V_{il,t} + \gamma_{U_r} \log U_{im,t} + \gamma_{V_r} \log V_{im,t} + \gamma_{U_o} \log U_{jl,t} + \gamma_{V_o} \log V_{jl,t} + \gamma_{U_{ro}} \log U_{jm,t} + \gamma_{V_{ro}} \log V_{jm,t} + \epsilon_{il,t},$$
(9)

The error term, $\epsilon_{il,t}$, consists of regional, occupations, and time fixed effects as well as a random error term, i.e., $\epsilon_{il,t} = \epsilon_l + \epsilon_i + \epsilon_t + e_{il,t}$.

Table 2 presents the results of the estimation of equation (9) using OLS and fixed effects estimators.⁴ The results of the specifications (OLS), (FE1), and (FE2) are based on a basis specification of the matching function without spillover effects. The OLS specification does not contain any of the fixed effects. The specification for the results in column (FE1) is complemented with regional and occupational fixed effects and the specification in column (FE2) additionally contains time fixed effects. Specifications (FE3)–(FE5) are stepwise complemented with only regional spillovers, whereas specifications (FE6)– (FE8) are stepwise complemented with only occupational spillovers. Specifications (FE9)– (FE11) include only the combined regional and occupational spillovers. Finally, specification (FE12) contains the full set of regional spillovers, occupational spillovers, plus the combined regional and occupational spillovers. We calculate the standard errors using White's heteroskedasticity-consistent estimator.

The matching elasticities of the unemployed and vacancies are significantly positive throughout all specifications. The estimated elasticity of matches with respect to the unemployed is higher than the matching elasticity with respect to vacancies, which is in line with the existing estimates for Germany (Burda/Wyplosz, 1994; Fahr/Sunde, 2004; Stops/Mazzoni, 2010; Stops, 2014a, 2016). These matching elasticities remain qualitatively unchanged when introducing regional, occupational, and time fixed effects (specifications FE1 and FE2).

Specifications (FE3)–(FE5) include regional spillover terms of the unemployed and vacancies in nearby regions. The coefficient of the regional spillover term of the unemployed is significantly positive and smaller than the corresponding direct effect ($|\beta_U| >> |\gamma_{U_r}|$), whereas the coefficient of the regional spillover term of the vacancies is also significantly positive but larger than the corresponding direct effect ($|\beta_V| << |\gamma_{V_r}|$). Introduction of both regional spillover terms of the unemployed and vacancies in the nearby local areas to specification (FE5) does not change the magnitude of the corresponding coefficients in the specifications that includes only one of these both spillover terms (FE3) and (FE4).

Specifications (FE6)–(FE8) include spillover terms of the unemployed and vacancies from similar occupations. The coefficients of both occupational spillover terms are substantially lower than the corresponding direct effects ($|\beta_U| >> |\gamma_{U_o}|$ and $|\beta_V| >> |\gamma_{V_o}|$). The spillover effect of the unemployed in similar occupations is significantly negative, whereas

⁴ Some of the related studies consider empirical specifications involving spatial lags of the dependent variable or the error term to empirically exploit matching efficiency on local labor markets, compare, e.g., with Lottmann (2012); Haller/Heuermann (2016). We abstain from using such specification due to their sensitivity to the real data generating process (DGP), which makes identification of the "true" model impossible (Gibbons/Overman, 2012). This implies that the real DGP should be reflected and assumptions on the real DGP should be well founded by theory (see Elhorst/Vega, 2015). In our paper, we propose such a suitable theoretical model that allows for directly deriving and estimating a matching function with spillover effects using the explaining variables. The model does not describe a matching process that involves new hires on a local labor market that (at least partly) depends on (simultaneously generated) hires in other local labor markets. Our model also does not deliver reasons for the assumption that our estimation results suffer from an omitted variable bias of spatially dependent unobservables that potentially could, beside others, result in spatially dependent error terms.

| | | | nputations. | 11. Own cor | neses).1 cy 2000-20 | ors in parenu <0.05, * p<0 yment Agen | tandaru errc <0.01, ** p< deral Emplo | Kobust s *** p< ta of the Fee | nistrative da | ource: Admi | S | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | 55,422 | | Number of id |
| 0.311 | 0.307 | 0.306 | 0.304 | 0.305 | 0.304 | 0.305 | 0.308 | 0.306 | 0.307 | 0.304 | 0.206 | 0.657 | R-squared |
| x 2 394 250 | x 2 394 250 | x 2 394 250 | x 2 394 250 | x 2 394 250 | X 2 394 250 | 2 394 250 | 2 394 250 | fixed effects Observations |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Time |
| × | × | × | × | × | × | × | × | × | × | × | × | occupationa | Regional and fixed effects: |
| -1.466*** (0.019) | -1.179*** (0.017) | -1.060*** (0.014) | -0.941*** (0.016) | -0.872*** (0.014) | -0.996*** (0.014) | -0.843*** (0.014) | -1.367*** (0.018) | -1.086*** (0.015) | -1.261*** (0.017) | -0.970*** (0.014) | -0.428*** (0.013) | -0.784*** (0.001) | Constant |
| 0.077*** (0.003) | 0.101*** (0.003) | 0.095*** (0.003) | | | | | | | | | | | γV_{ro} |
| 0.049*** (0.005) | 0.036*** (0.004) | | -0.009** (0.004) | | | | | | | | | | γU_{ro} |
| 0.017*** (0.001) | | | | 0.022*** (0.001) | 0.024*** (0.001) | | | | | | | | γV_o |
| -0.040*** (0.003) | | | | -0.056*** (0.003) | | -0.058*** (0.003) | | | | | | | γU_o |
| 0.053*** (0.002) | | | | | | | 0.071*** (0.002) | 0.073*** (0.002) | | | | | γV_r |
| 0.112*** (0.004) | | | | | | | 0.125*** (0.004) | | 0.128*** (0.004) | | | | γu_r |
| (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0000) | |
| (0.003) 0.025*** | (0.003) 0.037*** | (0.003) 0.036*** | (0.003) 0.040*** | (0.003) 0.034*** | (0.003) 0.036*** | (0.003) 0.038*** | (0.003) 0.028*** | (0.003) 0.028*** | (0.003) 0.040*** | (0.003) 0.040*** | (0.003) 0.060*** | (0.000) 0.115*** | β_V |
| 0.581*** | 0.620*** | 0.623*** | 0.624*** | 0.641*** | 0.626*** | 0.639*** | 0.566*** | 0.623*** | 0.564*** | 0.623*** | 0.514*** | 0.573*** | βU |
| (FE 12) | (FE 11) | (FE 10) | (FE 9) | (FE 8) | (FE 7) | (FE 6) | (FE 5) | (FE 4) | (FE 3) | (FE 2) | (FE 1) | (STO) | Model |

Table 2: OLS and FE estimation of a matching function across occupational and regional labor markets ī.

the spillover effect of vacancies in similar occupations is significantly positive. Considering the results of our theoretical model in appendix A, the unemployed affect the number of matches negatively because the negative indirect competition effect on the matching efficiency due to a lower individual job finding probability is stronger than the positive direct effect on the matching efficiency. The magnitudes of spillover coefficients in specification (FE6) with both occupational spillover terms of vacancies and unemployed remain virtually unchanged compared with the specifications (FE7) and (FE8) that include either the occupational spillover term of unemployed or the occupational spillover term of vacancies.

Specifications (FE9)–(FE11) include the combined regional and occupational spillover terms of the unemployed and vacancies in similar occupations in nearby regions. The combined regional and occupational spillover effect of the unemployed in similar occupations from nearby local areas is relatively small and significantly negative when it is separately included (specification FE9). The combined regional and occupational spillover effect of the vacancies in similar occupations from nearby local areas is significantly positive. In specification (FE11), with both effects, the sign of the spillover effect of the unemployed significantly changes and its magnitude is four times larger than in specification (FE9). Similar to specifications (FE3)-(FE5), the indirect effect of the unemployed in similar occupations from nearby local areas on matching elasticity is substantially smaller than the direct effect $(|\beta_U| >> |\gamma_{U_{ro}}|)$, while the indirect effect of the vacancies is notably higher than the direct effect ($|\beta_V| \ll |\gamma_{V_{ro}}|$).

In specification (FE12) we include regional spillovers, occupational spillovers plus the combined regional and occupational spillover terms. The relative size of the direct effect of the unemployed and vacancies as well as the different spillover effects is robust compared with the previous specifications. However, the size of the direct effect of the unemployed and vacancies (β_U and β_V) is affected by the introduction of the spillover terms.

Comparing β_U and β_V in (FE2) and (FE12) shows that neglecting spillover effects leads to an overestimation of the direct effects of the matching elasticity with respect to unemployed and vacancies. More specifically, comparison of (FE2) and (FE5) shows that negligence of regional spillovers results in overestimation of the matching elasticities, both with respect to unemployed and vacancies. Comparison of (FE2) and (FE8) reveals that negligence of occupational spillovers underestimates the matching elasticity with respect to the unemployed and slightly overestimates the matching elasticity with respect to vacancies.

We also conclude from specifications (FE6), (FE8), and (FE12) that the stock of unemployed from similar occupations intensifies competition among job seekers, leading to a lower efficiency of the matching technology. This corresponds to the indirect effect of the stock of unemployed on the job finding probability through the job search intensity, described by our theoretical framework in appendix A. All other spillover effects are significantly positive.5

Finally, the estimates of specification (FE12) reveal that the coefficients for regional spillovers

⁵ This includes the effects of the unemployed and vacancies in nearby local areas in specifications (FE3)-(FE5) and (FE12), of vacancies in similar occupations in specifications (FE7) and (FE8), and the unemployed and vacancies in similar occupations of nearby local areas in specification (FE9)–(FE12).

sizably exceed the coefficients for occupational spillovers. The results suggest that positive regional spillovers alleviate the negative effect of the unemployed in similar occupations on the matching elasticity. This result corresponds also with the estimates of the combined regional and occupational spillover effects.

5 Robustness of the Occupational Spillover Estimates

As shown above, the usage of the (contiguity) occupational weights matrix adopted from Matthes/Burkert/Biersack (2008) delivers robust and significant estimates for occupational spillovers. Nevertheless, we provide robustness checks for the occupational weights matrix because this matrix is not derived from a "topology" that is naturally given, as is the case for local areas. Analogous to the methodology in Stops (2014a), we conduct an additional indirect validity test for our occupational weights matrix to verify that our estimated effects of occupational spillovers are non-random. In doing so, we construct 500 random matrices of "occupational topologies" that fulfill the following conditions:

- contain the same amount of occupational segments (implying same size of segments as in the empirical "topology");
- are symmetric;
- contain zeros at their main diagonal; and
- prevent occupations from the same empirical occupational segment to be in one random occupational segment.

Next, we re-estimate the fixed effects model of the occupational spillover effects in the matching function using our (contiguity) occupational weights matrix and the constructed random matrices. The regression equation is specified like equation (9) but with one addendum: in order to overcome the potential influence of global economic shocks, we additionally control (in addition to the time fixed effects) for the yearly federal state specific cyclical component of the Gross Domestic Product. The results of the estimation are displayed in figure 1. The horizontal green lines in both charts of the figure correspond to the estimation result based on the empirical "occupational topology" based on the classification of occupations into segments by Matthes/Burkert/Biersack (2008). The solid line corresponds to the point estimates, whereas the dashed lines correspond to the 95 percent confidence intervals.

The point estimates and confidence intervals for the random matrices are substantially and significantly different from the estimates based on (contiguity) occupational weights matrix. Although the size of the coefficients differs substantially, the coefficients from the estimations with random and empirical weight matrices exhibit same direction of influence on the matching technology. In particular, the sign of the coefficient of the randomly weighted unemployed is negative, whereas the sign of the coefficient of the randomly weighted vacancies is positive. Thus, we conclude that the estimation of occupational spillovers described in section 4 captures relationships due to tasks similarities within occupational segments that result in non-random occupational mobility.



Figure 1: Test of 500 random "occupational topology" matrices in the estimation of the effect of occupational spillovers on matching efficiency.

Notes: Estimates are based on the empirical weight matrix and 500 randomly selected weight matrices. The solid horizontal green lines mark the point estimates and the dotted lines indicate the 95%-confidence interval estimates of the occupational correlations based on the empirically based weight matrix. The blue dots and the vertical red lines mark the point and 95%-interval estimates of the occupational correlations based on randomly selected weight matrices of non-similar occupational groups.

Source: Administrative data of the Federal Employment Agency 2000-2011. Own computations.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we estimate an empirical matching function with regional spillovers, occupational spillovers, as well as combined regional and occupational spillovers. Our analyses rely on a highly disaggregated data set that contains information on new matches, unemployed and vacancies in local labor markets defined as a combination of the regional and the occupational dimensions. To the best of our knowledge, we provide the first empirical evidence on the simultaneous influence of regional and occupational spillovers on job matching.

We compute regional and occupational spillovers by computing the sum of stocks of unemployed and vacancies across all labor markets weighted by their regional proximity and occupational similarity. In total, we define three types of spillovers: regional spillovers given by the vacancies and unemployed in nearby regions, occupational spillovers given by vacancies and unemployed in similar occupations, and, lastly, combined regional and occupational spillovers given by vacancies and unemployed from nearby regions and similar occupations. We incorporate these spillovers into the specification for an empirical matching function that relates inflows into employment in a local labor market to vacancies and unemployed in the same local labor market. Thus, in addition to the direct matching elasticities of unemployed and vacancies that are observed on the same local labor market like the inflows in employment, we estimate the influence of spillover effects.

Our results reveal sizeable and significant direct matching elasticities and spillover effects. In particular, we find positive regional spillover effects of both unemployed and vacancies in the nearby regions and positive occupational spillover effects of vacancies in similar occupations. In contrast, we find a negative occupational spillover effect of unemployed in similar occupations; this can be explained by the negative effect of the number of unemployed on their individual probability to find a job. However, this adverse effect is overbalanced by the positive influence of regional spillovers. We also find relative small positive combined occupational and regional spillover effects. In sum, the results suggest that local labor markets are susceptible to penetration, especially between nearby local areas. Therefore, we conclude that the estimates of the direct matching elasticities in local and occupational labor markets are biased if regional and occupational spillovers and their combination are neglected.

The presented evidence motivates future research on the multidimensional nature of labor mobility that may create competition in local labor markets. For instance, penetrability of the borders as well as symmetry of the mutual affectedness of local labor markets by each other are a matter worthy of further exploration.

References

Albrecht, James W.; Gautier, Pieter A.; Vroman, Susan B. (2003): Matching with multiple applications. In: Economics Letters, Vol. 78, No. 1, p. 67–70.

Arntz, Melanie (2005): The Geographical Mobility of Unemployed Workers - Evidence from West Germany. ZEW Discussion Papers No. 05-34, ZEW, Mannheim.

Boschma, Ron; Eriksson, Rikard H.; Lindgren, Urban (2014): Labour Market Externalities and Regional Growth in Sweden: The Importance of Labour Mobility between Skill-Related Industries. In: Regional Studies, Vol. 48, No. 10, p. 1669–1690.

Broersma, Lourens; van Ours, Jan C. (1999): Job Searchers, Job Matches and the Elasticity of Matching. In: Labour Economics, Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 77–93.

Burda, Michael C.; Profit, Stefan (1996): Matching across Space: Evidence on Mobility in the Czech Republic. In: Labour Economics, Vol. 3, p. 255–278.

Burda, Michael C.; Wyplosz, Charles (1994): Gross Worker and Job Flows in Europe. In: European Economic Review, Vol. 38, p. 1287–315.

Coles, Melvyn G.; Smith, Eric (1996): Cross-Section Estimation of the Matching Function: Evidence from England and Wales. In: Economica, Vol. 63, No. 252, p. 589–597.

Dauth, Wolfgang; Hujer, Reinhard; Wolf, Katja (2016): Do Regions Benefit from Active Labour Market Policies? A Macroeconometric Evaluation Using Spatial Panel Methods. In: Regional Studies, Vol. 50, No. 4, p. 692–708.

Diamond, Peter A. (1982a): Aggregate Demand Management in Search Equilibrium. In: The Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 90, No. 5, p. 881–894.

Diamond, Peter A. (1982b): Wage Determination and Efficiency in Search Equilibrium. In: The Review of Economic Studies, Vol. 49, No. 2, p. 217–227.

Elhorst, J. Paul; Vega, Solmaria Halleck (2015): The SLX model. In: Journal of Regional Science, Vol. 55, No. 3, p. 339–363.

Fahr, Renè; Sunde, Uwe (2006): Regional Dependencies in Job Creation: An Efficiency Analysis for Western Germany. In: Applied Economics, Vol. 38, No. 10, p. 1193–1206.

Fahr, Renè; Sunde, Uwe (2004): Occupational Job Creation: Patterns and Implications. In: Oxford Economic Papers, Vol. 56, p. 407–435.

Fitzenberger, Bernd; Spitz, Alexandra (2004): Die Anatomie des Berufswechsels: Eine empirische Bestandsaufnahme auf Basis der BIBB/IAB-Daten 1998/1999.

Gathmann, Christina; Schoenberg, Uta (2010): How General Is Human Capital? A Task-Based Approach. In: Journal of Labor Economics, Vol. 28, No. 1, p. 1–49.

Gibbons, Stephen; Overman, Henry G. (2012): Mostly pointless spatial econometrics? In: Journal of Regional Science, Vol. 52, No. 2, p. 172–191.

Haas, Anette (2000): Regionale Mobilität gestiegen. IAB-Kurzbericht No. 4, IAB, Nuremberg.

Hall, Robert E. (1979): A Theory of the Natural Unemployment Rate and the Duration of Unemployment. In: Journal of Monetary Economics, Vol. 5, p. 153–169.

Haller, Peter; Heuermann, Daniel F. (2016): Job Search and Hiring in Local Labor Markets: Spillovers in Regional Matching Functions. In: Regional Science and Urban Economics, Vol. 60, p. 125–138.

Hautsch, Nikolaus; Klotz, Stefan (2003): Estimating the Neighborhood Influence on Decision Makers: Theory and an Application on the Analysis of Innovation Decisions. In: Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 52, p. 97–113.

Hensen, Maud M.; de Vries, M. Robert; Cörvers, Frank (2009): The Role of Geographic Mobility in Reducing Education-Job Mismatches in the Netherlands. In: Papers in Regional Science, Vol. 88, No. 3, p. 667–682.

Kambourov, Gueorgui; Manovskii, Iourii (2008): Occupational Mobility and Wage Inequality. In: The Review of Economic Studies, Vol. 76, p. 731–759.

Lottmann, Franziska (2012): Spatial Dependencies in German Matching Functions. In: Regional Science and Urban Economics, Vol. 42, No. 1-2, p. 27–43.

Machin, Stephen; Pelkonen, Panu; Salvanes, Kjell G. (2008): Education and Mobility. IZA Discussion Paper No. 3845, IZA, Bonn.

Matthes, B.; Burkert, C.; Biersack, W. (2008): Berufssegmente: Eine empirisch fundierte Neuabgrenzung vergleichbarer beruflicher Einheiten. IAB-Discussion Paper No. 35, IAB, Nuremberg.

Mortensen, Dale T. (1982): Property Rights and Efficiency in Mating, Racing, and Related Games. In: The American Economic Review, Vol. 72, No. 5, p. 968–979.

Petrongolo, Barbara; Pissarides, Chrostopher R. (2001): Looking into the Black Box: A Survey of the Matching Function. In: Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. XXXIX, No. June, p. 390–431.

Pissarides, Christopher A. (1985): Short-Run Equilibrium Dynamics of Unemployment, Vacancies, and Real Wages. In: The American Economic Review, Vol. 75, No. 4, p. 676–690.

Pissarides, Christopher A. (1979): Job matchings with state employment agencies and random search. In: The Economic Journal, Vol. 89, No. 356, p. 818–833.

Rogerson, Richard; Shimer, Robert; Wright, Randall (2005): Search-Theoretic Model of the Labor Market: A Survey. In: Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. XLIII, p. 959–988.

Stops, Michael (2016): Revisiting German Labour Market Reform Effects. A Panel Data Analysis for Occupational Labour Markets. In: IZA Journal of European Labor Studies, Vol. 5, No. 14, p. 43.

Stops, Michael (2014a): Job Matching Across Occupational Labour Markets. In: Oxford Economic Papers, Vol. 66, No. 4, p. 940–958.

Stops, Michael (2014b): Job Matching Across Occupational Labour Markets – Supplementary Data. http://oep.oxfordjournals.org/content/suppl/2014/06/15/gpu018.DC1/Matching_OEP_2nd_rev_Online_Appendix.pdf.

Stops, Michael; Mazzoni, Thomas (2010): Job Matching on Occupational Labor Markets. In: Journal of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 230, p. 287–312.

van Ours, Jan C. (1990): An International Comparative Study on Job Mobility. In: Labour, Vol. 4, No. 3, p. 33–55.

Yashiv, Eran (2007): Labor Search and Matching in Macroeconomics. In: European Economic Review, Vol. 51, No. 8, p. 1859–1895.

A Model of Non-sequential Search

The "bulletin board" model proposed by Hall (1979) and Pissarides (1979) describes nonsequential job search. In the following, we combine the version by Burda/Profit (1996), which incorporates the influence of the unemployed and vacancies from nearby local labor markets, with the version by Stops (2014a), which considers mobility between different occupational markets. Thus, our model is able to explain the possible direct and indirect effects of the number of unemployed and vacancies in nearby local areas and similar occupations.

Consider an economy that is divided into L local areas, where it is possible to be employed in one of I occupations. The local areas and occupations are indexed by $l = 1, \ldots, L$ and $i = 1, \ldots, I$. Within each local area l and occupation i there are u_{il} identical unemployed workers and v_{il} identical firms. Each firm searches for one worker to employ. In the center of each local area, there is an employment office that gathers information on all vacancies in all occupations and local areas, which then brings workers and firms together. All offices receive and publish the same information at the same time, due to, e.g., the existence of supra-regional Internet-based information services. The unemployed workers apply for jobs in their occupation i or in another occupation $j \neq i$, the application can be sent either to the employment office in their residence local area l or to another local area $m \neq l$. Moreover, the workers decide about their search intensity N_{ijlm} , which can be measured by the number of applications sent for each occupation to each regional employment center.

Each application is a random draw and is associated with search costs $c + aD_{lm} + bD_{ij}$, where c, a and b are positive constants. D_{lm} is the distance between the employment offices in the local areas l and m. D_{ij} is the content dissimilarity between the current occupation of the unemployed and the occupation she applies for. Thus, the search costs linearly depend both on the net costs of applying to different local areas, as well as on the costs of gathering information to apply to another occupation. The search costs are minimum (= c) if an application is made within the current local area of residence and the current occupation, i.e., $D_{lm} = 0$ and $D_{ij} = 0$.

Following a successful search, the worker is employed in local area m in occupation j and receives wage w. The interest rate in the economy is r, such that the real wage is equal to w/r.

Given the current geographical location of the worker l and her current occupation i, she decides on the number of interviews in each local area m and occupation j. The worker knows about the probability, f_{jm} , of getting a job in local area m and occupation j. The decision on the optimal number of interviews is yielded from maximization of net total expected benefit from the search:

$$\underbrace{\left[1 - (1 - f_{jm})^{N_{ijlm}}\right] \frac{w}{r}}_{\text{total expected benefit}} - \underbrace{N_{ijlm}(c + aD_{lm} + bD_{ij})}_{\text{costs}} \xrightarrow{N_{ijlm}} \max$$
(10)

The first term in equation (10) refers to the total expected benefit of a job match between

a worker in local area l and occupation i and a vacancy in local area m and occupation j. For simplicity, we assume that unemployment does not yield any income. Furthermore, workers' search costs are assumed to be relatively small compared with expected returns to search. This implies that income effects from searches for jobs in the other local labor markets can be neglected and, therefore, workers can separately fix their optimal search intensities for each local labor market.

Solving for the optimal search intensity N^{\ast}_{ijlm} yields:

$$N_{ijlm}^* = \begin{cases} f_{jm}^{-1} \cdot \ln\left(\frac{f_{jm}\frac{w}{r}}{c+aD_{lm}+bD_{ij}}\right) & \text{if } f_{jm}\frac{w}{r} \ge c + aD_{lm} + bD_{ij}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(11)

Thus, the optimal search intensity is a positive function of the ratio of expected gains and the search costs $f_{jm}\frac{w}{r}/(c + aD_{lm} + bD_{ij})$. Further derivations show that the optimal search intensity is increasing in wages, while decreasing in interest rate, in application fixed costs, and in the introduced distance parameters.

Taking partial derivative with respect to f_{jm} yields:

$$\frac{\partial N_{ijlm}^*}{\partial f_{jm}} = f_{jm}^{-2} \left[1 - \ln \left(\frac{f_{jm} \frac{w}{r}}{c + aD_{lm} + bD_{ij}} \right) \right].$$
(12)

It follows from equation (12) that the optimal search intensity N_{ijlm}^* is decreasing in the probability to find a job in another local area f_{jm} if the expected benefits are much higher than the costs of search:

$$\frac{\partial N_{ijlm}^*}{\partial f_{jm}} < 0 \quad \text{if} \quad f_{jm} \frac{w}{r} \gg c + aD_{lm} + bD_{ij}. \tag{13}$$

With the optimal intensity of search N_{ijlm}^* , the unconditional job finding probability for a local labor market in any local area and occupation can be defined. In the "bulletin board" type of model, the vacancy is filled if it is chosen by at least one worker. We assume that all vacancies in all regions and occupations are known by all job searchers, due to the bulletin board (here, it is allowed that i = j and l = m):

$$V_{jm} = V = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_{jm}.$$
 (14)

If at least one worker chooses a vacancy, then, according to the "bulletin board" type of model, this vacancy is filled. After all unemployed make their optimal number of applications in each occupation and local area $(U_{jm} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{l=1}^{L} N_{ijlm}^* u_{il})$, the probability of a particular vacancy not being chosen is equal to:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{l=1}^{L} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{N_{ijlm}^*} \left[1 - (V_{jm} - k + 1)^{-1} \right] \right]^{u_{il}} \approx \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{l=1}^{L} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{N_{ijlm}^*} e \right]^{-\frac{u_{il}}{V_{jm}}} = \exp\left(-\frac{U_{jm}}{V_{jm}}\right).$$
(15)

Consequently, the unconditional job finding rate for each interview that is held in the targeted occupation j and the targeted local area m, is defined as the number of vacancies per job seeker weighted by their job finding probabilities:

$$f_{jm} = \frac{V_{jm}}{U_{jm}} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{U_{jm}}{V_{jm}}\right) \right].$$
(16)

Based on the previous calculations, the number of exits of unemployed into employment from occupation i and local area l, is defined as the number of vacancies per job seeker weighted by their job finding probabilities:

$$x_{il}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = u_{il}F_{il} = u_{il} \left[1 - \prod_{j=1}^{J} \prod_{m=1}^{M} (1 - f_{jm})^{N_{ijlm}^*} \right],$$
(17)

where \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are the vectors of stocks of unemployed and vacancies in all local areas and all occupations. F_{il} is the probability that an unemployed individual in occupation i and local area l receives at least one job offer.

This function of matches involves the unemployed and vacancies in all occupations and all local areas, giving rise to the possible regional and occupational spillovers:

$$\frac{\partial x_{il}}{\partial u_{il}} = F_{il} + u_{il} \cdot \frac{\partial F_{il}}{\partial u_{il}}.$$
(18)

$$\frac{\partial x_{il}}{\partial u_{jm}} = u_{il} \cdot \frac{\partial F_{il}}{\partial u_{jm}}; i \neq j \text{ and } m \neq l$$
(19)

$$\frac{\partial x_{il}}{\partial v_{jm}} = u_{il} \cdot \frac{\partial F_{il}}{\partial v_{jm}}; \text{ for all } j, m$$
(20)

According to equation (18), the direct effect of an increase of the unemployed stock in a local labor market, *il*, on the exit of unemployed into employment in the same local labor market is positive, which is a common finding in the literature on job matching. The equations (19) and (20) show us that exits into employment in occupation *i* and local area *l* are also influenced both by the stock of unemployed and vacancies in other occupations $i \neq j$ and other local areas $l \neq m$. It can be further shown that the sign of $\frac{\partial F_{il}}{\partial u_{jm}}$ depends on the sign of $\frac{\partial f_{jm}}{\partial u_{jm}}$, which determines the direct positive effect of the unemployed on the number of matches. At the same time, there is an indirect effect through N_{ijlm}^* , which can be shown to be negative under specific conditions. Thus, the model documents the relevance of occupational and regional spillovers. Nevertheless, the signs of their effects can be positive or negative and should be evaluated empirically.

Construction of the occupational segments В

Table 3: Assignment of the occupational orders to the occupational segments (Matthes et al., 2008)

| Occupa | ational segment | Occupa | Occupational group (KldB 88) | | |
|--------|----------------------------|--------|---|--|--|
| Code | Name | Code | Name | | |
| 101 | "Green" occupations | 11 | Farmers | | |
| | | 12 | Winegrowers | | |
| | | 21 | Livestock farmer | | |
| | | 22 | Fish farmer | | |
| | | 31 | Agricultural production manager | | |
| | | 32 | Agricultural engineers and advisors | | |
| | | 41 | Mixed crop and livestock farm laborers | | |
| | | 42 | Livestock and dairy producers | | |
| | | 44 | Pet groomers, animal care workers and related oc- cupations | | |
| | | 51 | Gardeners, horticultural and nursery growers | | |
| | | 52 | Garden and landscape architects and administra- tors | | |
| | | 53 | Florists | | |
| | | 61 | Forestry production managers, foresters and hunts- | | |
| | | 62 | Forestry laborers | | |
| | | 421 | Wine coopers and other wine-processing operators | | |
| | | 422 | Brewers, maltsters and other brewer machine oper- ators | | |
| | | 423 | Other beverage makers, coffee-processing- machine operators, tasters and graders | | |
| | | 424 | Tobacco preparers, products makers | | |
| 201 | Miner/chemical occupations | 71 | Miners | | |
| | | 72 | Mining shot firers and blasters | | |
| | | 81 | Stone crushers | | |
| | | 82 | Earth, gravel and sand guarry workers | | |
| | | 83 | Gas and crude oil guarry workers | | |
| | | 91 | Mineral and stone processing plant operators | | |
| | | 141 | Chemical products plant and machine operators | | |
| | | 142 | Chemical laboratory workers | | |
| | | 143 | Rubber products machine operators | | |
| | | 144 | Tire vulcanizers | | |
| | | 151 | Plastic products machine operators | | |
| | | 464 | Shot firers and blasters except mining shot firers | | |
| | | 541 | Power production plant operators | | |
| | | 542 | Winding-, conveyor- and ropeway-machine opera- tors | | |
| | | 547 | Machine maintenance operators, machinists' assis- tants | | |
| | | 548 | Boilerpersons, incinerators and related plant oper- ators | | |
| | | 604 | Cartographers and survey engineers | | |
| | | 605 | Mining, metallurgy, foundry engineers | | |
| | | 624 | Survey engineering technicians | | |
| | | 625 | Mining, metallurgy, foundry engineering techni- cians | | |
| | | 626 | Chemical and physical engineering technicians | | |
| | | 631 | Agronomy, forestry and life science technicians | | |
| | | 632 | Physical and mathematical science technicians | | |
| | | | pugo | | |

24

| Occup | ational segment | Occupational group (KIdB 88) | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Code | Name | Code | Name | |
| | | 633 | Chemical science technicians | |
| 202 | Glass, ceramic, paper production | 111 | Brick-maker and other stoneware makers | |
| | | 121 | Ceramics plant operators | |
| | | 131 | Frit makers, glass vitrifiers | |
| | | 132 | Hollow glassware makers | |
| | | 133 | Flat glass makers | |
| | | 134 | Gaffer | |
| | | 135 | Glass cutters, grinders and refiners | |
| | | 161 | Pulp and cellulose plant operators | |
| | | 162 | Packaging makers | |
| | | 163 | Bookbinding workers | |
| | | 164 | Other paper products machine operators | |
| | | 171 | Type setters, pre-press workers | |
| | | 172 | Stereotypers and electrotypers | |
| | | 173 | Book printers, letterpress | |
| | | 174 | Elat screen, gravure and intaglio printers | |
| | | 175 | Special silk-screen printers | |
| | | 176 | Hecto- and mimeo-graphers | |
| | | 170 | Printer's hands | |
| | | 51/ | Glass coramics and related descrative painters | |
| | | 514 | class, ceramics and related decorative painters, | |
| | | 004 | glass engravers and elchers | |
| | | 634 | Photo laboratory technicians | |
| | | 837 | Photographers, camera and retouching operators | |
| 203 | Textile, leather production | 331 | Spinner, fibre-preparer | |
| | | 332 | Spoolers, twisters, rope-makers | |
| | | 341 | Weaving- and knitting-machine preparers | |
| | | 342 | Weavers and weaving-machine operators | |
| | | 343 | Tufted textile-, fur- and leather-products makers | |
| | | 344 | Knitters and knitting-machine operators | |
| | | 345 | Felt and hat body makers | |
| | | 346 | Textile braiders | |
| | | 351 | Tailors and dressmakers | |
| | | 352 | Sewers and sewing-machine operators | |
| | | 353 | Lingerie tailors and sewers | |
| | | 354 | Embroiderers | |
| | | 355 | Hatters and cap makers | |
| | | 356 | Sewer and sewing-machine operators otherwise | |
| | | | undisclosed | |
| | | 357 | Other textile-products makers | |
| | | 361 | Textile dyer and dyeing-machine operators | |
| | | 362 | Textile bleaching-, cleaning-machine operators and | |
| | | | other finishers | |
| | | 371 | Tanners, cutgut string makers and other leather- | |
| | | | preparing-machine operators | |
| | | 372 | Shoe-makers | |
| | | 373 | Shoemaking-machine operators | |
| | | 374 | Saddlers, truss makers and other coarse-leather- | |
| | | 97F | Pureo hand had and other fine leather products | |
| | | 3/5 | makers | |
| | | 376 | Leather garment makers and other leather- products machine operators | |
| | | 377 | Leather glove makers | |
| | | 378 | Pelt dressers, furriers and other fur-products mak- | |
| | | 543 | Pump-, compressor-, assembly line-, boring and | |
| | | 549 | Machine-tool setters and setter-operators no further specified | |
| | | 627 | Other production technicians | |
| | | 629 | Forepersons and other operations managers | |
| | | 931 | Launderers and ironers | |
| | | | continued on the next page | |

| Occupational segment | Occupa | ational group (KIdB 88) |
|----------------------|--------|--|
| Code Name | Code | Name |
| | 932 | Textile cleaner, dyers, chemical purifiers |
| 204 Metal producer | 191 | Ore and metal furnace operators, metal melters |
| | 192 | Rolling-mill operators |
| | 193 | Metal drawers and extruders |
| | 201 | Moulders and coremakers |
| | 202 | Casters |
| | 203 | Casters of semi-finished products and other mold |
| | 200 | casters |
| | 211 | Sheet metal pressers, drawer and puncher |
| | 211 | Wire moulder, cable splicers |
| | 212 | Other motel moulders per outting deformation |
| | 213 | Metal latha aparatara |
| | 221 | Metal milling outtors |
| | 222 | Metal manage |
| | 223 | Metal planers |
| | 224 | Metal borers |
| | 225 | Metal grinders |
| | 226 | Other metal-cutting occupations |
| | 231 | Metal polishers |
| | 232 | Engravers, chasers |
| | 233 | Metal finishers |
| | 234 | Galvanizers, metal colorers |
| | 235 | Enamelers, zinc platers and other metal surface fin- ishers |
| | 241 | Welder, oxy-acetylene cutters |
| | 242 | Solderers |
| | 243 | Riveters |
| | 244 | Metal bonders and other metal connectors |
| | 251 | Steel-, black-, hammer-smiths and forging press workers |
| | 252 | Tank and container builders, coppersmiths and re- lated occupations |
| | 261 | Tinsmiths |
| | 262 | Plumbers |
| | 263 | Pipe and tube fitters |
| | 270 | Locksmiths and fitters, not further specified |
| | 271 | Building fitters |
| | 272 | Sheet metal worker plastics fitters |
| | 273 | Engine fitters |
| | 274 | Plant and maintenance fitters |
| | 275 | Steel construction fitters, steel ship builders |
| | 281 | Motor vehicle renairers |
| | 282 | Agricultural machinery repairers |
| | 283 | Aircraft mechanics |
| | 284 | Precision mechanics |
| | 285 | Other mechanics |
| | 200 | Watch- clock-makers |
| | 200 | Toolmokoro instrument mochanico |
| | 291 | Dragious fittore atherwise undicaleged |
| | 202 | Precious metal amitha |
| | 302 | Precious metal smiths |
| | 303 | |
| | 304 | Optinalmic opticians |
| | 323 | ivietal plant operators no turther specification |
| | 502 | Pattern and mold carpenters |
| | 601 | iviecnanical and automotive engineers |
| | 621 | Mechanical engineering technicians |
| | 686 | Filling station attendants |
| 205 Electricians | 311 | Electrical fitters, mechanics |
| | 312 | Telecommunications mechanics, craftsmen |
| | 313 | Electric motor, transformer fitters |
| | 314 | Electrical appliance fitters |
| | 315 | Radio, sound equipment mechanics |
| | 321 | Electrical appliance and equipment assemblers |

26

| Occup | ational segment | Occup | ational group (KIdB 88) |
|-------|------------------------------|-------|---|
| Code | Name | Code | Name |
| | | 322 | Metal-, rubber-, plastic-, paperboard-, textile and re- |
| | | | lated products assemblers |
| | | 602 | Electrical and electronics engineers |
| | | 622 | Electrical, electronics and telecommunications en- |
| | | 774 | gineering technicians |
| | | //4 | Computer scientists, equipment operators, comput- |
| | Mand and the second second | 101 | Ing and data processing professionals |
| 206 | wood occupations | 101 | Wood-processing-plant operators |
| | | 102 | operators and appropriate occupations |
| | | 183 | Wood products, brush, cork-maker |
| | | 184 | Basketry weavers and wicker worker |
| | | 305 | Musical instrument makers |
| | | 306 | Doll, model makers, taxidermists |
| | | 485 | Glaziers |
| | | 501 | Cabinetmakers, carpenters and joiners |
| | | 503 | Cartwrights, wheelwrights, coopers and tubbers |
| | | 504 | Other wood-products makers, Boat-, glider- and |
| | | | wooden sports-equipment-building experts |
| | | 512 | Goods painters and varnishers |
| | | 513 | Wood surface finishers, veneers |
| 207 | Construction | 112 | Cement and concrete block makers |
| | | 441 | Bricklayers ans masons |
| | | 442 | Steel fixers, concreters |
| | | 451 | Carpenters |
| | | 452 | Roofers |
| | | 453 | Scaffolders |
| | | 461 | Paviors, pavers |
| | | 462 | Road building experts |
| | | 403 | Land improvement maintenance and hydraulic |
| | | 405 | structure building experts |
| | | 466 | Well duct and other civil engineering building ex- |
| | | 100 | perts |
| | | 471 | Earth-moving laborers |
| | | 472 | Building construction labourers and other construc- |
| | | | tion and maintenance laborers otherwise undis- |
| | | | closed |
| | | 481 | Stuccoers, plasterers |
| | | 482 | Insulators and proofers |
| | | 483 | Tile setters |
| | | 484 | Stove setters and air heating fitters |
| | | 486 | Composition floor and terrazzo layers |
| | | 491 | Interior decorators, carpet and parquet layers |
| | | 492 | Upholsterers, mattresses makers |
| | | 511 | Construction painters, wall-paperers, varnishers |
| | | 544 | Grane and hoist plant operators |
| | | 545 | Earth-moving and related plant operators |
| | | 540 | Architecto, givil and structural angineers |
| | | 623 | Civil engineering technicians |
| | | 635 | Draftspersons |
| | | 716 | Construction and maintenance laborers: roads |
| | | | dams, bridges and similar constructions |
| | | 836 | Interior architects, visual merchandiser |
| 301 | Hotel/restaurant occupations | 391 | Bakers and baked-goods, cereal- and chocolate- |
| | | | products machine operators |
| | | 392 | Pastry-cooks and confectionery makers |
| | | 401 | Butchers and stickers |
| | | 402 | Meat- and sausage-processing-machine operators |
| | | 403 | Fish-processing-machine operators |
| | | 411 | Cooks |

| Occupational segment | Occup | ational group (KIdB 88) |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Code Name | Code | Name |
| | 412 | Ready-made meals-, fruit- and vegetable- |
| | | processing-machine operators |
| | 431 | Dairy-products machine operators, butter-, lard- |
| | | and margarine makers |
| | 432 | Grain- and spice-milling-machine operators |
| | 433 | Sugar-production machine operators, chocolate, |
| | | sweets and ice-cream makers |
| | 702 | Travel agency clerks, attendants, stewards, consul- tants, organizers and guides |
| | 805 | Disinfectors, morticians, meat and and other health inspectors |
| | 911 | Hoteliers, innkeepers, restaurateurs and manage- ment assistants in hotels and restaurants |
| | 912 | Waiters, waitresses, stewards, stewardesses and buspersons |
| | 913 | Porters, bartenders and other hotel and restaurant attendants |
| | 921 | Housekeepers and related workers |
| | 922 | Energy and other consumer advisors |
| | 923 | Valets, chambermaids and other housekeeping at- |
| | | tendants |
| | 933 | Dishwashers, room and domestic cleaners |
| | 934 | Windows, frontages and buildings cleaners |
| | 935 | Sweepers, streets and sewerages cleaners, dust- men and other waste disposal workers |
| | 936 | Car washers, vehicle cleaners, car and vehicle carers |
| | 937 | Machinery, plant, tube and container cleaners |
| 302 Storage/ transport occupations | 521 | Products testers, sorters otherwise undisclosed |
| | 522 | Product packagers, balers, wrappers, qualifiers |
| | | and other loading agents |
| | 701 | Logistics managers and transport clerks |
| | 711 | Locomotive engine, tram and subway drivers |
| | 712 | Railway brake, signal and switch operators, |
| | | shunters and railway guards and conductors |
| | 713 | Other brake, signal and switch operators, transport guides and conductors, fleet managers |
| | 714 | Car, taxi, bus, (heavy) truck and other motor vehicle drivers |
| | 715 | Cabby |
| | 721 | Navigators, nautical ships' officers and pilots |
| | 722 | Technical ship's officers, engineers, technicians and machinists |
| | 723 | Seagoing ships' deck crews |
| | 724 | Inland boatmen and related ships' decks crews |
| | 725 | Ferrymen, lock-masters, coastguards and other water traffic occupations |
| | 726 | Aircraft pilots, flight engineers and other air traffic occupations |
| | 732 | Mail carriers, sorting clerks, porters and deliverers |
| | 733 | Radio operators |
| | 741 | Stocks administrators and clerks |
| | 742 | Lift, lifting-trucks and other materials handling equipment operators |
| | 743 | Longshoremen, furniture removers |
| | 744 | Stock, loading and other transport workers |
| 303 Merchandise occupations | 681 | Wholesaler, retail salespersons and buying agents |
| | 682 | Shop, stall and market salespersons and demon- strators |
| | 683 | Publishers, management assistants in publishing and booksellers |
| | 684 | Chemists in drugstores |

28

| Occup | ational segment | Occup | ational group (KldB 88) |
|-------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| Code | Name | Code | Name |
| | | 685 | Chemist's assistants in pharmacies |
| | | 687 | Commercial sales representatives and sales |
| | | 001 | agents |
| | | 691 | Banking experts including tellers, finance clerks as |
| | | 692 | Ruilding society experts including representatives |
| | | 0.52 | as well as clerks |
| | | 693 | Health insurance experts including representatives |
| | | | as well as clerks, not social security |
| | | 694 | Life, property insurance experts including represen- |
| | | | tative as well as clerks |
| | | 704 | Finance, stock, trade, ship, real estate, insurance |
| | | | brokers |
| | | 705 | Landlords, hirers, agents, bookers, auctioneers |
| | | 706 | Cashiers, ticket agents, Debt- and vending- |
| | | | machine money collectors and ticket inspectors |
| | | 773 | Gashiers and ticket clerks |
| | | 000 001 | Hairdressers barbers wig-makers and related |
| | | 501 | workers |
| | | 902 | Beauticians, manicurists, pedicurists and related |
| | | | workers |
| 304 | White collar worker | 703 | Advertising and public relations experts |
| | | 734 | Telephone switchboard operators |
| | | 751 | Entrepreneurs, managing directors and division |
| | | 752 | Management, personnel and other business con- |
| | | 152 | sultants |
| | | 753 | Financial, tax accountants and accounting clerks |
| | | 762 | Senior and administrative state officials |
| | | 763 | Senior and administrative officials of humanitarian |
| | | | and other special-interest organizations |
| | | 771 | Calculators, calculating and counting clerks |
| | | 772 | Bookkeepers |
| | | 781 | Office clerks, otherwise undisclosed |
| | | 782 | Secretaries, stenographers and typists |
| | | 783 | Data entry operators |
| | | 784 | Scribes and other office hands |
| | | 812 | Law officers |
| | | 813 | Lawyers, notaries, legal representatives, advisors |
| | | 0.0 | and other legal professionals |
| | | 814 | Executory officers, prison guards |
| | | 863 | Housemasters, social pedagogue, deacons |
| | | 881 | Economists, psychologists, sociologists, political |
| | | | scientists, statisticians |
| 305 | Security occupations | 607 | Industrial and other operating engineers |
| | | 628 | Industrial and other operating technicians |
| | | 791 | Factories security offices, store, hotel and other de- |
| | | 700 | tectives |
| | | 792 | watchpersons, custodians, attendants and related |
| | | 702 | wulkels |
| | | 793 | Menials hellmen ushers and droundkeepers |
| | | 801 | Soldiers, border guards, police officers |
| | | 802 | Firefighters |
| | | 803 | Safety inspectors, trade controllers, gauging, and |
| | | _ | environmental protection officers |
| | | 804 | Chimney sweepers |
| 306 | Social/care occupations | 861 | Social work, welfare, health care professionals and |
| | | | workers; geriatric nurses |
| | | 862 | Housemasters, social pedagogue, deacons |

| Occup | ational segment | Occup | ational group (KIdB 88) |
|-------|---------------------------|-------|---|
| Code | Name | Code | Name |
| | | 864 | Kindergarten teachers, child care workers and pe- |
| | | | diatric nurses |
| | | 891 | Bishops, pastors, chaplains and other religious pro- |
| | | | fessionals |
| | | 892 | Nuns, friars and other religious associate profes- |
| | | | sionals |
| | Ma dia dia amin'ny finan- | 893 | Sextons, cantors and other religious assistants |
| 307 | Medical occupations | 851 | Non-medical practitioners, psychotherapists |
| | | 002 | sionals |
| | | 853 | Nurses midwifes nursing and midwifery associate |
| | | 000 | professionals |
| | | 854 | Paramedics and nursing auxiliary workers |
| | | 856 | Doctor's receptionists and assistants |
| | | 857 | Medical technical, laboratory, radiological assis- |
| | | | tants |
| 308 | Doctors | 841 | Medical doctors |
| | | 842 | Dentists |
| | | 843 | Veterinaries |
| 309 | Teacher | 871 | University, college professors and related teaching |
| | | | professionals |
| | | 872 | Grammar school teacher and related teaching pro- |
| | | | fessionals |
| | | 873 | Primary, secondary school, special education |
| | | 074 | teachers and related teaching professionals |
| | | 874 | vocational, professional college teachers and re- |
| | | 077 | Driving flying bygionic and other instructore other |
| | | 077 | wise undisclosed |
| 310 | Artists/Athletes | 101 | Stone splitters, cutters and carvers |
| 0.0 | | 102 | Precious-stone workers, jewel preparers |
| | | 831 | Composers, music directors and musicians |
| | | 832 | Film, stage and related directors, actors, singers |
| | | | and dancers |
| | | 833 | Sculptors, painters, graphic and related artists |
| | | 834 | Decorators, sign painters |
| | | 835 | Set designer, light board, image and sound record- |
| | | | ing engineers, technicians and operators |
| | | 838 | Clowns, magicians, acrobats, professional sports- |
| | | 075 | persons, mountain guides and models |
| | | 875 | Art, music and voice teachers and related teaching |
| | | 076 | PE toophore, related toophing professionale, chiling |
| | | 070 | and other sports instructors |
| 311 | Natural scientists | 606 | Other production engineers |
| 0 | | 611 | Chemists, chemical engineers |
| | | 612 | Physicists, physics engineers, mathematicians |
| | | 844 | Pharmacists |
| | | 883 | Biologists, geographers, meteorologists and other |
| | | | natural scientists, otherwise undisclosed |
| 312 | Humanities scholars | 821 | Authors, journalists, editors and announcers |
| | | 822 | Interpreters, translators |
| | | 823 | Librarians, archivists, documentalists, curators, li- |
| | | | brary and filing clerks |
| | | 882 | Philologists, historians, philosophers and other hu- |
| | | | manities scientists, otherwise undisclosed |
| 999 | Others | 531 | Helper no further specified |
| | | 982 | I nreshold workers, volunteers with occupation still |
| | | 000 | to be specified |
| | | 903 | ourseekers with occupation still to be specified |

Recently published

| No. | Author(s) | Title | Date |
|----------------|--|---|-------|
| <u>18/2017</u> | Rothe, T. extern | Where did all the unemployed go? | 6/17 |
| <u>19/2017</u> | Stockinger, B. | The effect of broadband internet on establish- ments' employment growth: evidence from Ger- many | 6/17 |
| <u>20/2017</u> | Wanger, S. | What makes employees satisfied with their working time? | 6/17 |
| <u>21/2017</u> | Kropp, P. Schwengler, B. | Stability of functional labour market regions | 7/17 |
| <u>22/2017</u> | Brunow, S. Hammer, A. Mc Cann, P. | Innovation and location in German knowledge in- tensive business service firms | 7/17 |
| <u>23/2017</u> | Gehrke, B. Weber, Enzo | Identifying asymmetric effects of labor market re- forms | 7/17 |
| <u>24/2017</u> | Brunow; S. externe | Creative and science oriented employees and firm innovation: A key for Smarter Cities? | 8/17 |
| <u>25/2017</u> | Brixy, U. Brunow, S. extern | Ethnic diversity in start-ups and its impact on in- novation | 8/17 |
| <u>26/2017</u> | Broszeit, S. Laible, MCh. | Examining the Link Between Health Measures, Management Practices and Es-tablishment Per- formance | 8/17 |
| <u>27/2017</u> | Gehrke, B. Hochmuth, B. | Counteracting unemployment in crises - non-lin- ear effects of short-time work policy | 9/17 |
| <u>28/2017</u> | Carbonero, F. Weber, E. extern | The Fall of the Labour Income Share: the Role of Technological Change and Imperfect Labour Markets | 9/17 |
| <u>29/2017</u> | Weber, E. Zimmert, F. | The creation and resolution of working hour dis- crepancies over the life course | 9/17 |
| <u>30/2017</u> | Dauth, W. externe | German Robots – The Impact of Industrial Ro- bots on Workers | 10/17 |
| <u>31/2017</u> | Peters, C. | Quantifying the effect of labor market size on learning externalities | 10/17 |
| <u>32/2017</u> | Hutter, C. Weber, E. | The Effects of Skill-Biased Technical Change on Productivity Flattening and Hours Worked | 11/17 |
| <u>33/2017</u> | Rebien, M. Stops, M. extern. | Formal Search and Referrals from a Firm's Per- spective | 11/17 |
| <u>34/2017</u> | Schierholz, M. Kreuter, F. externe | Learning from Mouse Movements: Improving Questionnaire and Respondents' User Experi- ence through Passive Data Collection | 12/17 |

As per: 2017-12-13

For a full list, consult the IAB website <u>http://www.iab.de/de/publikationen/discussion-paper.aspx</u>

Imprint

IAB-Discussion Paper 35/2017 19 December 2017

Editorial address Institute for Employment Research of the Federal Employment Agency Regensburger Straße 104 D-90478 Nuremberg

Editorial staff Ricardo Martinez Moya, Jutta Palm-Nowak

Technical completion Renate Martin

All rights reserved Reproduction and distribution in any form, also in parts, requires the permission of IAB Nuremberg

Website http://www.iab.de

Download of this Discussion Paper http://doku.iab.de/discussionpapers/2017/dp3517.pdf

ISSN 2195-2663

For further inquiries contact the author:

Michael Stops Phone +49.911.179 4591 E-mail Michael.Stops@iab.de