

Yi, Zheng; Heng, Chen; Wong, Wing-keung

## Article

# China's stock market integration with a leading power and a close neighbor

Journal of Risk and Financial Management

## Provided in Cooperation with:

MDPI – Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, Basel

*Suggested Citation:* Yi, Zheng; Heng, Chen; Wong, Wing-keung (2009) : China's stock market integration with a leading power and a close neighbor, Journal of Risk and Financial Management, ISSN 1911-8074, MDPI, Basel, Vol. 2, Iss. 1, pp. 38-74,  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm2010038>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/178519>

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

## Terms of use:

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

## **China's Stock Market Integration with a Leading Power and a Close Neighbor**

**Zheng Yi<sup>a</sup>, Chen Heng<sup>b</sup>, Wing-Keung Wong<sup>c</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> *School of Finance Southwestern, University of Finance and Economics*

<sup>b</sup> *Fortune SGAM Fund Management Company*

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Economics, Hong Kong Baptist University*

### **ABSTRACT**

Current integration and co-movement among international stock markets has been boosted by increased globalization of the world economy, and profit-chasing capital surfing across borders. With a reputation as the fastest growing economy in the world, China's stock market has continued gaining momentum during recent years and incurred growing attention from academicians, as well as practitioners. Taking into account economic and geographical considerations, the US and Hong Kong are considerably the most comparable stock markets to China. The usual vector error correction model (VECM) could overlook the long memory feature of cointegration residual series, which can in turn exert bias on the resulting inferences. To overcome its limitations, we employ a fractionally integrated VECM (FIVECM) in this paper to investigate the long-term cointegration relations binding China's stock market to the aforementioned stock markets. In addition, by augmenting the FIVECM with multivariate GARCH model, the return transmission and volatility spillover between market return series were revealed simultaneously. Our empirical results show that China's stock market is fractionally cointegrated with the two markets, and it appears that China's stock market has stronger ties with its neighboring Hong Kong market than with the world superpower, the US market.

**KEYWORDS:** Stock markets, Cointegration, FIVECM and MGARCH

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** This paper is funded by a research grant at Southwestern University of Finance and Economics ( 211 Project, Phase III ).

Correspondence author: Wing-Keung Wong, Department of Economics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong. Tel: (852)-3411-7542, Fax: (852)-3411-5580, Email: awong@hkbu.edu.hk

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Globalization has been gaining momentum and has become irreversible regardless of sporadic oppositional influences. This phenomenon is a direct result of increased interaction between world economies, including both developing and developed countries. The stock market is one of the forefront players in this unprecedented spectacle in history, whilst the examination of integration within the world stock markets is one of the most important issues in finance.

A large number of studies have been examining integration among the world's stock markets. In an era of increasing globalization where there is a substantial capital flows across countries, integration among world stock markets has important practical relevance for both investors and financial policy makers. An important determinant of interdependence among stock markets across countries is economic integration in the form of trade and investment flows. The dividend discount model suggests that the current share price equals the present value of future cash flows, which depends on the earnings growth of a company. On the other hand, earnings growth also depends on the macroeconomic conditions of the domestic market as well as the macroeconomic conditions in countries with which a country trades and sources its investment flows (Shamsuddin and Kim, 2003). Thus, interdependence in stock markets may also reflect geographical proximity among markets with economically close ties. They are expected to exhibit high levels of market linkages because of the presence of similar investor groups and cross-listed companies.

However, greater financial integration implies reduced opportunities for international portfolio diversification. Co-movements among markets can result in contagious effects where in an effort to form a complete information set, investors incorporate price changes in other markets into their trading decisions, inferring that shocks and errors in one market can be transmitted to other markets. Such contagious effects have been

exacerbated by major events which have affected world stock indices in recent decades such as the 1987 stock market crash, Asian financial crisis in 1997, and the recent world financial crisis in 2008. Correspondingly, individual countries' monetary and fiscal schemes are being designed to tackle possible external infections.

This paper focuses on examining relations between China's stock market and world markets partially represented by the US and Hong Kong markets. We attempt to detect the relations between China and these two particular markets because these two markets are believed to have stronger relations with China than other markets in the world. The US market is the leading and most influential market in the world, it is also the largest trading partner of and the biggest foreign direct investment source for China. Hong Kong, on the other hand, is China's closest market due to economic, political, and geographical factors. Interdependence in stock markets may also reflect geographical proximity between markets, like China and Hong Kong, whom have economically close ties which are expected to exhibit high levels of market linkages because of the presence of similar investor groups and cross-listed companies. Conclusions from the interactions of China's stock market with the US and Hong Kong markets may depict the main topology of China's market in the world, the former shows the hierarchical importance of the world's superpower, and the latter exhibits a close neighbor in the evolution of China's stock market. Inferences could be valuable to international portfolios coving these markets.

In addition, this paper makes a methodological contribution to extend the vector error correction model (VECM) to the fractionally integrated VECM (FIVECM) in examining the co-movements of the China's market with the US and HK markets. Using FIVECM enables investors not only to reveal the existence of a long-run equilibrium relationship and short-run dynamics among cointegrated variables, it also accounts for possible long memory in the cointegration residual series which may otherwise bias the estimation and draw misleading inference. Furthermore, conditional heteroskedasticity is often observed in market return series due to ever-changing underlying economic conditions over time. Accordingly, we augment the FIVECM with a GARCH-type model to capture the second

moment autocorrelations in the return series. In particular, we employ the BEKK(1,1) model proposed by Engle and Kroner (1995) to model the evolution of conditional variances. Since there are no restrictions<sup>1</sup> imposed on the coefficient matrices of conditional mean and conditional variance equations, lead-lag relations in the return series and the possible volatility spillover effects are simultaneously revealed in this model.

Our empirical findings clearly demonstrate that China's stock market is fractionally cointegrated with both US and Hong Kong markets. Though the volatility spillover between China and the US markets is not clear, in this paper we discovered information transmissions between China and Hong Kong markets. Overall, our empirical evidence demonstrates that China's stock market has a closer relation with Hong Kong market than the US market. This finding reflects the fact that China's stock market and financial market as a whole is still under-liberalized and regulated, which renders it operating relatively independent of world's leading market. The close nexus between China's and Hong Kong markets is also attributable to the strong dependency of the HK economy to mainland China. We further divided the sample into two sub-samples marked by the East Asian Financial Crisis in 1998 and the recent world financial crisis in 2008 to the financial integration, in order to study the possible structural breaks caused by the crises. However, the estimation results did not show much difference for the two sub-samples before and after the crisis. Therefore, we will skip the discussion of the results for the sub-periods and stick to one sample investigation.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews the major literatures and states the motivations for this paper. Section 3 describes the data and methodology employed. Section 4 presents the empirical results and implications.

---

<sup>1</sup> Except mild restrictions imposed on the elements of coefficients matrices in order to ensure identification of BEKK(1,1) model. Readers may refer to Propositions 2.1-2.3 of Engle and Kroner (1995) for more information.

Conclusions are made in Section 5.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND MOTIVATIONS**

The basic tenant of portfolio theory is that international investors should diversify assets across countries, provided that returns to stocks across countries are not highly correlated. The seminal studies of market interdependence and portfolio diversification include Grubel (1968), Levy and Sarnat (1970), Ripley (1973), and Lessard (1974). These studies have investigated integration between developed markets, integration between emerging markets, and integration between one or more developed markets and several emerging markets.

Most of the early studies used correlation analysis to examine short-run linkages between markets. However since the beginning of the 1990s, several studies, of which Kasa (1992) is one of the earliest, have used cointegration methods to examine whether there are long-run benefits from international equity diversification. Whether stock markets are cointegrated carries important implications for portfolio diversification. Cointegration between markets imply that there is a common force, such as arbitrage activity, to bring the movements of stock markets together in the long run, inferring that testing for cointegration is a test of the level of arbitrage activity in the long-run. In theory, if stock markets are not cointegrated, arbitrage activity to bring the markets together in the long-run is zero, inferring that investors can potentially obtain long-run gains through international portfolio diversification (Masih and Masih, 1997, 1999). On the other hand, if the markets are cointegrated, the predictability of each stock market can be enhanced through using information contained in the other stock markets. In this situation, the potential for making supra-normal profits through international diversification in the cointegrated markets is limited in the long run. This is because supra-normal profits will be arbitrated away in the long-run and, in the absence of

barriers or potential barriers generating country risk and exchange rate premiums, one would expect similar yields for financial assets of similar risk and liquidity irrespective of nationality or location (von Furstenberg and Jeon, 1989).

Granger (1986) suggests that cointegration between two prices reflects an inefficient market on the basis that if two prices share a common trend in the long run, this implies predictability of each price's movement, which in turn indicates that one market may be affected by another. The more accepted view, however, is that cointegration does not necessarily imply anything about efficiency (Dwyer and Wallace, 1992). For example, Masih and Masih (2002) suggest that a market is inefficient only if by using the predictability, investors can earn risk-adjusted excess returns, but predictability itself does not necessarily say anything about risk-adjusted excess rates of return.

Most studies testing for long-run relationships between markets have typically used the method of cointegration pioneered by Engle and Granger (1987) and Johansen (1988). Fernandez and Sosvilla (2001, 2003) examined stock market integration between the Japanese market and Asia Pacific markets, and United States market and Latin American markets, respectively, using the Johansen (1988) and Gregory and Hansen (1996) approaches to cointegration and found more evidence of cointegration allowing for a structural shift in the cointegration vector. On the other hand, Siklos and Ng (2001) considered whether stock markets in the Asia-Pacific region were integrated with each other, and with the United States and Japan using the Gregory and Hansen (1996) approach to testing for cointegration, and found that the 1987 stock market crash and 1991 Gulf War were turning points in the degree of integration.

Other common approaches to analyze co-movement include VAR analysis and Bayesian approach. For example, using VAR analysis, Eun and Shim (1989) found evidence of co-movements between the United States stock market and other world equity markets. Investigating the dynamics of stock market returns of the US, Japan and

Asia-Pacific stock markets, Cheung and Ng (1992) found that the United States market was a dominant global force from 1977 through 1988. However, not all research supports cointegration among international stock markets. Using Bayesian methods, Koop (1994) concluded that there are no common stochastic trends in stock prices across selected countries. Due to the significance of October 1987 crash of the US market, Lee and Kim (1994) examined and found that national stock markets became more integrated after the crash. Similarly, using a VAR and impulse response function analysis, Jeon and Von-Furstenberg (1990) showed a stronger co-movement among international stock indices after the 1987 crash. There is a large literature on integration among the Asia-Pacific markets or integration between major world equity markets and Asia-Pacific markets. For example, Ng (2002) and Daly (2003) examined market linkages between Southeast Asian stock markets. There are several studies which consider whether the Japanese and/or United States market is cointegrated with Asia-Pacific markets (Cheung and Mak, 1992; Chung and Liu, 1994; Pan et al. 1999; Johnson and Soenen, 2002).

When a set of variables are cointegrated, the well-known Granger representation theorem yields vector error correction model (hereafter VECM) as the proper model to incorporate both cointegration relation and short-run dynamics among cointegrated variables. Most of cointegration studies listed above employs VECM to reveal the relations between underlying variables. The key aspect of VECM is that cointegration residual or error which is supposed to be  $I(0)$  process exerts correction effect to the long-run dynamics of underlying series. Specifically, when cointegrated variables deviate from the long-run relation, the immediately past period cointegration error acts as a force to pull the drifting variable back toward the equilibrium. This adjustment mechanism is based on the key assumption that the cointegration error follows a stationary  $I(0)$  process. However, the cointegration error between economic and financial series has been found to exhibit long memory feature which is consistent with neither stationary  $I(0)$  nor nonstationary  $I(1)$  processes. This special stochastic process is termed  $I(d)$  process with  $d$  being fractional real number, see, for example, Baillie (1996). When the cointegration error follows  $I(d)$  process which is found to be case for this study, long history of lagged



cointegration errors also have correction effect to the dynamics of cointegrated variables. FIVECM has been applied to optimizing dynamic hedging ratios in derivatives market, like Lien and Tse (1999), but rare in studies on cointegration of equity markets.

Since China's market has a short history, the interactions and relations between China's market and other world markets have not been extensively investigated. One exception is Huang et al (2000) who examined whether there is a long-run relationship between the stock markets of the United States, Japan and the South China Growth Triangle using the Gregory and Hansen (1996) method and found that the only markets among these which are cointegrated were Shanghai and Shenzhen. However, China's stock market, initiated in early 1990s, has made a leaping progress during its only fifteen years' presence, the total capitalization has reached US\$464.29 billion, 1378 companies are listed and more than 72 million investors are registered across the country (as of date Feb. 2005). Today, China is widely considered the most promising developing market. China's rising stock market echoes its fast-growing economy and its increasing interaction with the world in terms of trade. China's GDP has more than tripled from 1993 to 2003, the total amount of foreign trade (imports plus exports) of China jumped from US\$115 billion in 1991 to US\$1100 billion in 2004. China's astonishing economic achievements during the last two decades are largely attributed to open and market-oriented economic policies implemented from early 1980s. As China's economy is increasingly integrated with the world economy, China has also stepped up reforms and liberalizations of its financial market. Especially prior to and after joining the WTO, China accelerated the deregulation in financial market, meaning a great deal of the previous restrictions imposed on financial markets were lifted. Within 3-5 years, China's financial market will be completely open to foreign investors. International investment funds are also preparing to the fully take advantage of the lucrative opportunities offered by China's market. It is worthwhile at this critical point to assess the integration of China's stock market with the world market proxied by the US and Hong Kong markets in this paper. The resulting inferences could yield some valuable insights to investors as

well as policy makers.

### 3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1. Data description


We employed weekly data for the period from January 1998 through May 2009, giving 595 observations, in our study. Downloaded from Datastream, the stock price indices are the Shanghai All Shares Index ( $SHH_t$ ) for China<sup>2</sup>, Hangseng Index ( $HS_t$ ) for Hong Kong, and S&P 500 ( $SP_t$ ) for the United States. To avoid the ‘day-of-the-week effect’ which suggests that the stock market is more volatile on Mondays and Fridays, we use the Wednesday indices, readers may refer to Lo and MacKinlay (1988) and Chen, et al. (2008) for the details. The sample covers the whole history of the Chinese stock market up to the commencement of this study.

The normalized indices with starting value for each index is set to be 100 are displayed in Figure 1, revealing that the Shanghai All Shares index is more volatile than the other two indices. This is also confirmed by the summary statistics of the data shown in Table 1. From the table, we notice that the standard deviation for the Shanghai All Shares index is 0.389, higher than those of S&P 500 and Hangseng indices, revealing higher variability in the China’s stock market. The most striking feature of the S&P 500 index from the figure is its continuous growth from early 2003 through mid 2007 when it reached a peak and then fell due to the subprime crisis which triggered the global financial crisis in 2008. Compared with S&P 500, Shanghai and Hangseng indices exhibit more large short-lived ups and downs in our studying period.

---

<sup>2</sup> Shanghai All Shares index is the most commonly quoted index to represent China’s stock market, because most large (especially state-owned) companies are listed in Shanghai stock exchange (SHSE). The market capitalization of Shenzhen Stock Exchange is about half that of SHSE, and thus, it is less used to represent China’s stock market.

**Figure 1: Stock indices of China, USA and Hong Kong**



Notes : CHN SHH, HK HS, and US SP represent the normalized values of the Shanghai All Shares index, Hang Seng Index, and S&P 500, respectively. All indices are normalized so that they start at 100.

**Table 1: Descriptive statistics of data**

Statistics	$SHH_t$	$SP_t$	$HS_t$
Min:	6.928	6.569	8.833
Mean:	7.475	7.076	9.537
Max:	8.705	10.353	7.354
Std Dev.:	0.389	0.165	0.296
Skewness:	1.303	-0.582	0.371
Kurtosis:	1.244	-0.318	-0.224

Notes:  $SHH_t$ ,  $HS_t$ , and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index, Hangseng index, and S&P 500 index, respectively. The kurtosis is the excess kurtosis.

### 3.2: Methodology

To examine the existence of the cointegration relationship between stock price indices, we employ the Granger two-step procedure. In the first step, we fit the following dynamic ordinary least squares (DOLS) model to each pair of stock price indices:

$$y_{1t} = \alpha + \beta y_{2t} + \sum_{j=-p}^p \omega'_j \Delta y_{2t-j} + \eta_t \quad (1)$$

Here,  $(y_{1t}, y_{2t})$  are the pair of stock indices chosen from  $SHH_t$ ,  $HS_t$ , and  $SP_t$ .

Regression (1) is superior to the ordinary least squares, because the estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  from (1) is found by Stock and Watson (1993) to be super-consistent<sup>3</sup> and asymptotically efficient.

The estimated cointegration residual ( $\hat{z}_t$ ) can then be constructed by:

$$\hat{z}_t = y_{1t} - \hat{\beta} y_{2t} \quad (2)$$

The definition of the cointegration approach suggests that some linear combinations of a set of I(1) variables could turn out to be stationary I(0) processes<sup>4</sup>. However, as first noted in early 1980's, the characteristics of auto-dependence in cointegration residuals are found to comply with neither I(1) nor I(0) process. Baillie (1996) points out that the dichotomy between I(1) and I(0) could be too restrictive. Thereafter, researchers turn attention to investigate the process in the halfway between I(1) and I(0); that is, the fractionally integrated I(d) process with fractional real number  $d$ . In econometrics, the focus of study is on the I(d) process with  $-0.5 < d < 0.5$ , which is stationary and invertible and has the following representation:

$$(1 - B)^d Y_t = a_t \quad ,$$

---

<sup>3</sup> This means that the estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  converges to true value  $\beta$  at faster rate than usual OLS estimate.

<sup>4</sup> This is actually one particular cointegration called C(1,1) as termed in Granger (1986). The general concept of cointegration is that if  $I(d)$  random vector  $y_t$  has a linear combination  $\alpha'y_t$  which is  $I(b)$  process,  $0 < b \leq d$ , then the component series of  $y_t$  are said to be cointegrated, denoted as C(d,b).

$$(1-B)^d = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(d+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(d-k+1)} (-1)^k B^k, \quad (3)$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is a Gamma function and  $a_t$  is a covariance stationary process with zero mean. When  $d < 0.5$ , the process is weakly stationary and has long memory in the sense that its auto-dependence is more persistent than that of any stationary process. Whereas, when  $d > -0.5$ , the process is invertible and its autocorrelation is negative and decays slowly to zero. Specifically, for  $-0.5 < d < 0.5$  with large lags, the autocorrelation function of the process is shown to follow:

$$\rho_k \approx c * k^{2d-1}. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, the autocorrelation of fractionally integrated process decays at hyperbolic rate which is lower than exponential rate as in the case of being stationary process. When the cointegration residual  $\hat{z}_t$  from (2) is fractionally integrated, the underlying series  $y_{1t}$  and  $y_{2t}$  are said to be fractionally cointegrated.

On the other hand, the long memory process was first investigated in the area of hydrology by Hurst (1951), who proposed a statistic of rescaled range (R/S) to test for long memory in time series. Thereafter, a few methods have been proposed to estimate the fractional difference parameter  $d$  based on either time domain or frequency domain, and the research in this line is still going on, see, for example, Shimotsu and Phillips (2005). This paper employs fractionally integrated ARMA (ARFIMA) model to estimate  $d$  with approximate MLE<sup>5</sup> because ARFIMA model is more flexible to capture both long memory and short-run dynamic in time series.

In the second step we apply R/S test to the  $\hat{z}_t$  series obtained from (2) to test for possible long memory. If the cointegration residual follows a long memory  $I(d)$  process

---

<sup>5</sup> The Approximate MLE is based on the procedure of Haslett & Raftery (1989) which essentially approximates infinite autoregressive coefficients in ARFIMA model by asymptotic values. The log-likelihood function for estimation is actually the concentrated one.

with  $-0.5 < d < 0.5$ , the series  $y_{1t}$  and  $y_{2t}$  are fractionally cointegrated. We then proceed on to fit an autoregressive fractionally integrated moving average (ARFIMA) model to each residual series to estimate the fractional difference parameter  $d$  from:

$$\Phi(B)(1-B)^d \hat{z}_t = \Psi(B)a_t \quad (5)$$

Here,  $\Psi(B)$  and  $\Phi(B)$  represent the MA and AR polynomials, respectively,  $B$  is a backward shift operator, and  $\{a_t\}$  is an *i.i.d.* white noise series, which will be interpreted as the equilibrium error in the vector error correction model as discussed later. Once a long-run relationship among the variables is established, Engle and Granger (1987) showed that a *vector error correction model* (VECM) is an appropriate method to model the long-run as well as short-run dynamics among the cointegrated variables. We expand the VECM to FIVECM to account for fractional integration in the  $\hat{z}_t$  series by using the ARFIMA model displayed in Equation (5). Following Granger (1986), the bivariate FIVECM can be depicted in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y_{1t} &= c_1 + \alpha_1[(1-B)^d - (1-B)]\hat{z}_t + \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_{11}^i \Delta y_{1t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_{12}^i \Delta y_{2t-i} + \varepsilon_{1t}, \\ \Delta y_{2t} &= c_2 + \alpha_2[(1-B)^d - (1-B)]\hat{z}_t + \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_{21}^i \Delta y_{1t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_{22}^i \Delta y_{2t-i} + \varepsilon_{2t}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Delta y_t = (\Delta y_{1t}, \Delta y_{2t})'$  is the differenced series vector or return vector of  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta SP_t)'$  or  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta HS_t)'$ .  $\hat{z}_{t-1}$  is estimated by Equation (2) in which the estimate of  $\hat{\beta}$  is obtained by applying regression (1) to fit on the respective pair of stock index vectors. We employ the VAR( $m$ ) structure for the VECM model with  $m=1$  in this study in which  $\varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{1t}, \varepsilon_{2t})'$  is the error vector, the coefficients  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)'$  capture the reactions of the series when they are deviated from the long-run equilibrium, while the magnitudes of the  $\alpha_i$ 's represent the speeds of the adjustment. The lagged terms in Equation (4) account for the autoregressive structures of the  $\Delta y_t$  series and, at the same

time, reflect the return transmissions between different stock markets.

In the context of cointegration, as fractionally integrated series  $\hat{z}_t$  has infinite autoregressive representation in (3), the FIVECM model in (6) shows that the dynamics of  $\Delta y_t = (\Delta y_{1t}, \Delta y_{2t})'$  are affected by all of the past values of  $\hat{z}_{t-i}, i \geq 1$ . This structure implies, in principle, that the cointegration errors between two bound series have long-run contribution to the adjustment of series towards equilibrium, although the impact of distantly past errors may be negligible in terms of magnitude. Comparing with one time adjustment shown by the VECM model, this gradual adjustment mechanism in the FIVECM to long-run cointegration relations seems to be more realistic. The gradual adjustment could manifest the virtue of VECM model in capturing the long-run as well as the short-run interactions among involved series. Therefore, when the cointegration error series possesses long memory feature, conventional VECM is mis-specified, as it only allows  $\hat{z}_{t-1}$  to exert correction function in the system.

As it is often observed that the conditional volatilities of financial return series exhibit time varying characteristics, in this study we improve the estimation further by employing a multivariate GARCH (MGARCH) model to capture the heteroskedasticity in the second moment of series. In other words, we model the conditional mean and conditional variance of the return series simultaneously. To do so, we let

$H_t \equiv \text{cov}_{t-1}(\varepsilon_t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_t^{11} & \sigma_t^{12} \\ \sigma_t^{21} & \sigma_t^{22} \end{pmatrix}$  denote the variance-covariance matrix of  $\varepsilon_t$ ,

conditioning on the past information. The most flexible MGARCH model is the BEKK model proposed by Engle and Kroner (1995) in the following form<sup>6</sup>:

$$H_t = A_0 A_0' + \sum_{i=1}^p A_i (\varepsilon_{t-i} \varepsilon_{t-i}') A_i' + \sum_{j=1}^q B_j H_{t-j} B_j', \quad (7)$$

<sup>6</sup> The complete form of BEKK in Engle and Kroner (1995) accommodates more generality, interested readers are referred to the original paper; the model (7) here is essentially BEKK(1,p,q) in their paper.

where  $A_0$  is a lower triangular matrix,  $A_i$ 's and  $B_j$ 's are unrestricted coefficient matrices, and  $H_t$  is symmetric and positive semi-definite. Allowing  $p=1$  and  $q=1$  suffices for modeling volatility in most of the financial time series. With this formulation, the dynamics of  $H_t$  are fully displayed in the sense that the dynamics of the conditional variance as well as the conditional covariance are modeled directly, thereby allowing for volatility spillovers across series to be observed. The volatility spillover effect is indicated by the off-diagonal entries of coefficient matrices  $A_1$  and  $B_1$ . This can be clearly seen from the expansion of BEKK(1,1) into the following individual dynamic equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_t^{11} &= (A_0^{11})^2 + [A_1^{11}\varepsilon_{1t-1} + A_1^{12}\varepsilon_{2t-1}]^2 + \left[ (B_1^{11})^2 \sigma_{t-1}^{11} + 2B_1^{12}B_1^{11}\sigma_{t-1}^{12} + (B_1^{12})^2 \sigma_{t-1}^{22} \right], \\ \sigma_t^{22} &= (A_0^{21})^2 + (A_0^{22})^2 + [A_1^{21}\varepsilon_{1t-1} + A_1^{22}\varepsilon_{2t-1}]^2 + \left[ (B_1^{21})^2 \sigma_{t-1}^{11} + 2B_1^{21}B_1^{22}\sigma_{t-1}^{21} + (B_1^{22})^2 \sigma_{t-1}^{22} \right], \\ \sigma_t^{12} &= A_0^{11}A_0^{21} + A_1^{11}A_1^{21}\varepsilon_{1t-1}^2 + (A_1^{11}A_1^{22} + A_1^{12}A_1^{21})\varepsilon_{1t-1}\varepsilon_{2t-1} + A_1^{12}A_1^{22}\varepsilon_{2t-1}^2 + B_1^{11}B_1^{21}\sigma_{t-1}^{11} + \\ &\quad (B_1^{12}B_1^{21} + B_1^{11}B_1^{22})\sigma_{t-1}^{12} + B_1^{12}B_1^{22}\sigma_{t-1}^{22}.\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

The above equation system is more complicated than a univariate GARCH model because it allows interactions among the two conditional variances and residuals. In the case of student- $t$  distribution which is assumed for the error vector of (6) as used in this paper, the log likelihood of the bivariate FIVECM-MGARCH model as shown in (6) and (8) is:

$$\begin{aligned}\log L(\theta, v | Y) &= T * G(v) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T |H_t| - \frac{v+2}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \ln \left[ 1 + (v-2)^{-1} \varepsilon_t' H_t^{-1} \varepsilon_t \right], \\ G(v) &= \ln(\Gamma(v+2)/2) - \ln(\pi(v-2)) - \ln(\Gamma(v/2)),\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

where  $\theta$  denotes the parameter vector (in both mean and variance equations),  $\varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{1t}, \varepsilon_{2t})'$  is the error vector obtained from (6),  $H_t \equiv \text{cov}_{t-1}(\varepsilon_t)$  is the conditional variance-covariance matrix of error vector,  $T$  is sample size,  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is Gamma function, and  $v$  is the degree of freedom of the bivariate student- $t$  distribution. The parameters in conditional mean and variance equations enter the likelihood function through  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $H_t$ , respectively. Since the conditional variance matrix  $H_t$  can be recursively



evaluated according to equation (7) or equation (8), the log likelihood function equation in (9) can be calculated without extreme complexity. The log likelihood function is then maximized to obtain the estimates of parameters, conditioning on the starting value of conditional variance, the popular optimization algorithm BHHH is employed in maximizing likelihood. By estimating jointly with the FIVECM-BEKK model, the coefficient estimates are more efficient and the relationships among the return series are delineated more accurately (Bauwens et al, 2006).

At last, we note that the time-varying correlation coefficient between two return series can be obtained from the conditional variances and covariances after the model is estimated. The stationarity condition for the volatility series in a BEKK (1,1) model is that the eigenvalues of matrix  $A_1 \otimes A_1 + B_1 \otimes B_1$  are all less than unity in modulus, where  $\otimes$  stands for Kronecker product of matrices<sup>7</sup>.

## 4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

### 4.1 Cointegration setup

Before modeling cointegration, it is necessary to examine the non-stationarity properties of the stock price indices. To test for non-stationarity, we apply both augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) unit root tests to examine the logarithmic values of  $SHH_t$ ,  $HS_t$ , and  $SP_t$ . The results are presented in Table 2. All the indices are found to be integrated of order one using both unit root tests<sup>8</sup>. This finding is consistent with the results of previous studies on stock price indices, see, for example, Narayan and Smyth (2005).

---

<sup>7</sup> Readers may refer to Proposition 2.7 of Engle and Kroner (1995) for detailed discussion on covariance stationarity conditions for the general BEKK model.

<sup>8</sup> We then test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : I(2)$  versus the alternative hypothesis that  $H_1 : I(1)$  but the results reject  $I(2)$ .

**Table 2: Unit root tests for the index series.**

Test Index	ADF		PP	
	t-statistic	p-value	t-statistic	p-value
$SHH_t$	-3.377	0.9198	-4.633	0.8456
$SP_t$	-1.744	0.4085	-1.781	0.3899
$HS_t$	-1.969	0.6166	-2.164	0.5081

**Notes:**  $SHH_t$ ,  $HS_t$ , and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index, Hangseng index, and S&P 500 index, respectively. The ADF tests applied on both  $SHH_t$  and  $HS_t$  are with constant, trend, and lag length of 1. The ADF test applied on  $SP_t$  is with constant and lag length of 1. The lag length selection for ADF tests is chosen by data dependent procedure stated in Ng and Perron (1995). The corresponding PP tests have the same structure without terms, using Bartlett window with bandwidth 6.  $H_0: I(1)$  versus the alternative hypothesis  $H_1: I(0)$ .

Next, we test for long-run relationships between pairs of stock price indices  $(SHH_t, SP_t)'$  and  $(SHH_t, HS_t)'$  by fitting the DOLS model in Equation (1) with lag length  $p=2$ . The estimated model coefficients are presented in Table 3. The results suggest that all the estimated  $\hat{\beta}$  for the two regression models are highly significant.


**Table 3: DOLS model estimates, dependent variable is “ $SHH_t$ ”**

Ind.var Coef.	$SP_t$		$HS_t$	
	estimate	p-value	estimate	p-value
$\alpha$	0.6846	0.2849	-2.0020	0.000
$\hat{\beta}_2$	0.9593	0.000	0.9937	0.000
$\hat{\omega}_{-2}$	-1.3290	0.0196	-2.2519	0.0247
$\hat{\omega}_{-1}$	-1.3561	0.0168	-2.1282	0.0337
$\hat{\omega}_0$	-1.6552	0.0036	-2.4078	0.0164
$\hat{\omega}_1$	-0.6862	0.2244	0.5229	0.6012
$\hat{\omega}_2$	-0.5698	0.3130	0.7335	0.4636


Notes:  $SHH_t$  ,  $HS_t$  , and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index, Hangseng index, and S&P 500 index, respectively. The DOLS model is defined in equation (1).

In order to confirm the existence of a long-run relationship between the series in each pair, we test the stationarity for the cointegration residuals. We construct the  $\hat{z}_t$  series by applying Equation (2) on each pair of series using the estimated cointegration coefficient  $\hat{\beta}$  from the corresponding DOLS model. These constructed cointegration residual series are denoted as  $z_{sp}^{shh}$  and  $z_{hs}^{shh}$ , respectively, in which the superscript stands for the dependent variable and the subscript for the independent variable. To informally examine the auto-dependence in the cointegration residual series, we graph in Figures 2 and 3 the sample autocorrelation function of both  $z_{sp}^{shh}$  and  $z_{hs}^{shh}$  series.

**Figure 2: Sample autocorrelation of cointegration residual series between China and US**



**Figure 3: Sample autocorrelation of cointegration residual series between China and HK**



Notes: The two dashed lines denote the 95% confidence interval of the SACF

The pattern of decay for the sample autocorrelation coefficients in both Figures 2 and 3 resembles neither  $I(1)$  nor  $I(0)$  process for the series being studies in this paper. It decays faster than autocorrelation of  $I(1)$  process and exhibits the feature of stationary process, but its autocorrelation has long persistence and cyclic fluctuations. Next, to formally test the possible long range dependence, the R/S test for long memory is applied to these two residual series. The results, which are presented in Table 4, confirm that all the two residual series are fractionally integrated processes presenting long memory property.

**Table 4: Stationarity and long memory tests on cointegration residuals**

Test Residuals	Range Over Standard Deviation (R/S) test	
	Test statistic	P-value
$z_{hs}^{shh}$	2.7647	<0.01
$z_{sp}^{shh}$	3.104	<0.01

**Notes:**  $SHH_t$ ,  $HS_t$ , and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index, Hangseng index, and S&P 500 index, respectively. The residual series are constructed by using Equation (2) in the text based on the corresponding DOLS model in Table 3. The bandwidth used in R/S test is integral part of  $4(N/100)^{1/4}$ , and  $N$  is sample size. The null hypothesis in R/S test is “No long-term dependence.”

Thereafter, we proceed to fit an ARFIMA model as stated in Equation (5) to each of the residual series to estimate the fractional difference parameter in the cointegration residuals. The results are shown in Table 5. From the table, the estimates of  $d$  confirm that the two cointegration residual series are fractionally integrated.

**Table 5: ARFIMA fit results**

Estimates Parameters	$z_{hs}^{shh}$		$z_{sp}^{shh}$	
	Value	P-value	Value	P-value
d	0.0847	0.0170*	0.0775	0.0168*
AR(1)	0.9665	0.0000**	0.978	0.0000**

**Notes:**  $SHH_t$ ,  $HS_t$ , and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index, Hangseng index, and S&P 500 index, respectively. The series  $z_{hs}^{shh}$  and  $z_{sp}^{shh}$  are constructed by using equation (2). The superscript stands for dependent variable, while the subscript denotes the independent variable. The choice of AR lags is based on an examination of the ACF and PACF. \*, \*\* significant at the 0.5, 0.01 levels.

To further confirm the results, we test whether the resulting  $\{a_t\}$  series obtained from

applying the ARFIMA model in (5) are  $I(0)$  processes<sup>9</sup>. From the table, the above results confirm that both of two pairs of stock indices, namely  $(SHH_t, SP_t)'$  and  $(SHH_t, HS_t)'$ , are fractionally cointegrated.

#### **4.2 Empirical results for China's and US markets**

After the cointegration relations being established in previous section, we proceed to fit the FIVECM model augmented by the MGARCH model. Specifically, we fit the FIVECM-BEKK(1,1) model to the two pairs of differenced index series in logs, i.e. pair of Shanghai All Shares Index and S&P 500; and pair of Shanghai All Shares Index and Hangseng Index; the differenced series are actually the return series of the respective markets. The variable sequences in the fitting FIVECM are  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta SP_t)'$  and  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta HS_t)'$  in both conditional mean and conditional variance equations, where  $\Delta SHH_t$  is dependent variable in both models. An AR(1) structure is employed in FIVECM equations, and multivariate student- $t$  distribution is assumed for the error series of the FIVECM-BEKK (1, 1) models. The fitted model estimates for  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta SP_t)'$  are exhibited in Table 6.

---

<sup>9</sup> The ADF and PP tests confirm the results.

**Table 6: Estimated coefficients for FIVECM-BEKK(1,1) fitted on  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta SP_t)'$** 

Parameters	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
C(1)	0.0006	0.0012	0.5318	0.5950
C(2)	0.0010	0.0009	1.1117	0.2667
AR(1; 1, 1)	1.3566	0.5104	2.6580	0.0008***
AR(1; 2, 1)	0.4766	0.3518	1.3545	0.1761
AR(1; 1, 2)	-1.1648	0.5100	-2.2838	0.0227**
AR(1; 2, 2)	-0.5968	0.3456	-1.7267	0.0848*
$\alpha_1$	-1.3188	0.5208	-2.5323	0.0011***
$\alpha_2$	-0.5275	0.3530	-1.4943	0.1356
A(1, 1)	0.0068	0.0018	3.7191	0.0000***
A(2, 1)	0.0024	0.0014	1.7540	0.0799*
A(2, 2)	0.0001	0.0599	0.0011	0.9991
ARCH(1; 1, 1)	0.2496	0.0474	5.2639	0.0000***
ARCH(1; 2, 1)	-0.0043	0.0133	-0.3263	0.3721
ARCH(1; 1, 2)	0.0366	0.0671	0.5449	0.5860
ARCH(1; 2, 2)	0.2734	0.0439	6.2276	0.0000***
GARCH(1; 1, 1)	0.9481	0.0199	47.5456	0.0000***
GARCH(1; 2, 1)	0.0005	0.0052	0.1034	0.4589
GARCH(1; 1, 2)	-0.0025	0.0217	-0.1163	0.9074
GARCH(1; 2, 2)	0.9592	0.0138	69.190	0.0000***


**Notes:**  $SHH_t$ ,  $HS_t$ , and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index, Hangseng index, and S&P 500 index, respectively. The estimated model is FIVECM-BEKK(1,1) as shown in (6) and (8), the dependent variable is  $\Delta SHH_t$ , the error structure is bivariate  $t$ -distribution, and the estimated degrees of freedom are 6.965 with standard error 1.026. The use of the ARFIMA (1,d,0) model reduces the actual sample size to 729. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* significant at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels.

In Table 6, the conditional means  $C(i)$ ,  $i=1,2$  are the constant terms in the conditional mean equation,  $AR(i, j, k)$ ,  $i=1, j=1,2, k=1,2$ , stand for the AR term coefficients, and  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i=1,2$ , represent the adjustment speed parameters in the FIVECM model displaying in equation (6). The estimates of AR coefficients show that both return series presents serial dependence which is verified by the significant  $AR(1;2,2)$  estimates. In particular, the  $\Delta SP_t$  series displays the mean-reversion property as  $AR(1;2,2)$  is negative. It is noteworthy to point out that the  $AR(1; 1,2)$  is significant, inferring that there is return transmission between stock markets of China and US. In other words, US market Granger-causes China's market. Conforming to cointegration theory, the sign of the first adjustment speed parameter estimate is correct. The negative value of  $\alpha_1$  implies that the Shanghai stock index,  $SHH_t$ , indeed adjusts back to the long-run equilibrium. However, the US stock index,  $SP_t$ , seems not to be bound by the cointegration relation between the two markets, or the adjustment scheme is only unilateral.

Now, we turn to analyze the conditional variance equation in which  $A(i, j)$  denotes the elements of the constant matrix  $A_0$ , whereas  $ARCH(1;i,j)$  and  $GARCH(1;i,j)$  stand for the elements of the ARCH and GARCH coefficient matrices  $A_1$  and  $B_1$ , respectively. From the table, we observe that all the diagonal elements of coefficient matrices are highly significant, while all the off-diagonal elements are not significant at a conventional significance level. The fitted MARCH model, BEKK(1,1), acts just as the diagonal multivariate volatility model. This result indicates that the GARCH (including ARCH) effects are substantial in the return vector series  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta SP_t)'$ , which is consistent with usual conclusions about return series. In addition, according to the non-zero values of  $A(1, 1)$ , the unconditional variances of both return series are not zero, which is confirmed by the Figure 4 for the fitted conditional standard deviation of two return series that show China's stock market to be much more volatile than the US market.




**Figure 4: Conditional Standard Deviations for  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta SP_t$**



Notes:  $SHH_t$  and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index and S&P 500 index, respectively.

The estimated results from the figure indicate no volatility spillover or shock transmission between China and US stock markets. Although there is a long-run cointegrating relationship between the two markets, the information on one market does not immediately influence the other. This could be due to the institutional distinctions, because one is the leading mature market, while the other is a new fledgling one born from the highly-centralized economy. Although the fundamental gaps render the two markets still acting on their own information, the links between the two markets have surely been strengthened by the two increasingly integrated economies. In addition, the elevating contemporaneous relation between China and the US stock markets can be further verified by the evolution of fitted conditional correlation coefficients, as shown in Figure 5.

**Figure 5: Conditional correlation between return series  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta SP_t$**



Notes:  $SHH_t$  and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index and S&P 500 index, respectively.

Figure 5 shows that there is no obvious long-lasting trend in the conditional correlation over time, howbeit it reveals that the correlation between two market return series has moved upwards a little bit after 2000, interrupted at the late 2002 when there was a collapse on China's market. At that time, the China's government attempted to convert huge volume of non-tradable shares (most of them are state-owned shares) to tradable shares<sup>10</sup>, which could induce panic and crash in the market.

Finally, the eigenvalues of  $\hat{A}_1 \otimes \hat{A}_1 + \hat{B}_1 \otimes \hat{B}_1$  ( $\hat{A}_1$  and  $\hat{B}_1$  are estimated ARCH and GARCH coefficient matrices, respectively) are 0.997, 0.984, 0.981, and 0.972; all are less than unity. Therefore, the conditional volatilities of two stock return series are stationary. The model adequacy diagnostics are listed in Table 7. Specifically, Ljung-Box test of white noise is applied to both standardized residuals and squared standardized residuals to test for possible remaining serial correlation in the first and second moments of

<sup>10</sup> Non-tradable shares, which are of the form of state shares and legal entity shares alike, account for about two thirds of the total shares issued on China's domestic exchanges.

residuals. The number of lags employed in both Ljung-Box tests is 12, indicating that the test statistics follow a Chi-square distribution with 12 degree of freedom. All the tests are applied to the two individual residual series separately. The test statistics show that the fitted model is adequate and successful in capturing the dynamics in the first as well as second moments of index return series.

**Table 7: Model diagnostic statistics for  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta SP_t$**

Test Series	Normality test (Jarque-Bera)		White noise test (Ljung-Box)		GARCH effect test (Ljung-Box)	
	statistic	p-value	statistic	p-value	statistic	p-value
$\Delta SHH_t$	22563	0.0000*	9.8954	0.1291	29.110	0.5118
$\Delta SP_t$	1029	0.0000*	5.0439	0.5382	26.5266	0.6480

**Notes:**  $SHH_t$  and  $SP_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index and S&P 500 index, respectively. The Jarque-Bera statistic asymptotically follows a Chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom. The other normality tests like Shapiro-Wilk's test were also employed, the conclusion is essentially same. These results corroborate the assumption of student- $t$  for the error terms which is based on normality test of original series. \* significant at the 1% level.

#### 4.3: Empirical results for China and Hong Kong markets

Table 8 exhibits the coefficient estimates for the FIVECM-BEKK(1,1) fitted on the other pair of return series, i.e.  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta HS_t)'$ , representing China and Hong Kong markets.

**Table 8: Estimated coefficients for FIVECM-BEKK(1,1) fitted on  $(\Delta SHH_t, \Delta HS_t)'$** 

Parameters	Value	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
C(1)	0.0006	0.0001	0.5295	0.5966
C(2)	0.0018	0.0013	1.3873	0.1659
AR(1; 1, 1)	0.9468	0.2593	3.6506	0.000***
AR(1; 2, 1)	-0.0352	0.2581	-0.1367	0.8913
AR(1; 1, 2)	-0.8387	0.2683	-3.1255	0.0018***
AR(1; 2, 2)	-0.0095	0.2637	-0.0359	0.9713
$\alpha_1$	-0.9248	0.2674	-3.4582	0.0000***
$\alpha_2$	-0.0331	0.2617	-0.1263	0.8995
A(1, 1)	0.0077	0.0018	4.0790	0.0000***
A(2, 1)	0.0019	0.0021	0.8992	0.3689
A(2, 2)	0.0000	0.1503	0.0003	0.9997
ARCH(1; 1, 1)	0.2683	0.0510	5.2569	0.0000***
ARCH(1; 2, 1)	0.1033	0.0523	1.9729	0.0489**
ARCH(1; 1, 2)	-0.0296	0.0438	-0.6754	0.4997
ARCH(1; 2, 2)	0.2192	0.0549	3.9899	0.0000***
GARCH(1; 1, 1)	0.9318	0.0257	36.1752	0.0000***
GARCH(1; 2, 1)	0.0138	0.0069	2.0222	0.0217**
GARCH(1; 1, 2)	0.0137	0.0127	1.0748	0.2829
GARCH(1; 2, 2)	0.9773	0.0148	65.709	0.0000***


**Notes:**  $SHH_t$  and  $HS_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index and Hangseng index, respectively. The estimated model is FIVECM-BEKK(1,1) as stated in (6) and (8): the dependent variable is  $\Delta SHH_t$ , the error structure is bivariate  $t$ -distribution, and the estimated degrees of freedom are 7.029 with standard error 1.142. The use of an ARFIMA (1,d,0) model reduces the actual sample size to 729. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* significant at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels.

Overall, the results in Table 8 show a stronger relationship between China and Hong Kong markets than that between China and US markets, this is unsurprising because the two economies are closely related and interdependent on each other. For the AR terms, the significance of AR(1; 1, 1) indicates there is serial correlation in China's stock market while the opposite is true for Hong Kong's stock market because AR(1; 2,2) is insignificant. The significant AR(1;1,2) signifies that there is return transmission from Hong Kong to China's market. This could be due to the exemplary role of Hong Kong to China's Stock market, as Hong Kong has historically been a good reference for China in establishing and toning its stock market. Next, the highly significant  $\alpha_1$  with right sign dictates that China's stock index is also restricted by the long-run equilibrium with Hong Kong market. Again, the adjustment to the cointegration relation is unilateral, as Hong Kong market appears not to respond to disequilibrium between the two markets.

Finally, the estimates for the conditional variances show an interesting interaction between the volatility processes of the two markets. In particular, the significance of both GARCH(1; 2, 1) and ARCH(1; 2, 1) suggests that the volatility spillover goes from China's market to Hong Kong's market. Furthermore, the information transmission is unidirectional because the other two off-diagonal coefficients are not statistically different from zero. The information flow may reflect the fact that the economy of Hong Kong heavily relies on mainland China, and a substantial part of foreign direct investment (FDI) in mainland China is from Hong Kong. Thus, information about macroeconomic conditions and policies as well as micro-market structures in the mainland would certainly exert a great deal of repercussions on the Hong Kong stock market. In addition, many large state-owned inland companies listed in the Hong Kong exchange (some of them are cross-listed in both markets) may also contribute to passing market shocks from mainland China to Hong Kong. In this sense, China's stock market leads in comparison to the Hong Kong market in information absorption. Also, the significant diagonal elements of ARCH and GARCH matrices confirm the property of

conditional heteroskedasticity of two return series. The fitted conditional standard deviations of two series are shown in Figure 6 which also affirms the higher variability of mainland China's market than Hong Kong market.


**Figure 6: Conditional Standard Deviations for  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta HS_t$**



Notes:  $SHH_t$  and  $HS_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index and Hangseng index, respectively.

In addition, Figure 7 below describes the dynamics of contemporaneous correlation between the two index return series,  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta HS_t$ . The fitted conditional correlation between the two return series was quite volatile before mid-2001, whereas afterwards it is less volatile and gradually stabilizes in the positive range with few interruptions, a typical example of this is in late 2002 when China's stock market collapsed. This pattern coincides with the increasing institutional and economic links between the two sides after the sovereignty of Hong Kong was returned to China.

**Figure 7: Conditional correlation between return series  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta HS_t$**



Notes:  $SHH_t$  and  $HS_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index and Hangseng index, respectively.

Comparing Figure 7 with Figure 5, it is obvious that the positive range of conditional correlation between China and Hong Kong markets is larger than that between China and the US markets. Indeed, the median of the former contemporaneous correlation is 0.087, whereas that of the latter is -0.032. The model diagnostic below shows the adequacy of the FIVECM-BEKK(1,1) model fitted on  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta HS_t$ .

**Table 9: Model diagnostic statistics for  $\Delta SHH_t$  and  $\Delta HS_t$** 

Test Series	Normality test (Jarque-Bera)		White noise test (Ljung-Box)		GARCH effect test (Ljung-Box)	
	statistic	p-value	statistic	p-value	statistic	p-value
$\Delta SHH_t$	1098	0.0000	44.278	0.0450	30.214	0.4547
$\Delta HS_t$	49.73	0.0000	30.460	0.4422	22.4701	0.8364

**Notes:**  $SHH_t$  and  $HS_t$  are the logs of the weekly Shanghai All Shares index and Hangseng index, respectively. The Jarque-Bera statistic asymptotically follows Chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom. The other normality tests like Shapiro-Wilk's test has also been employed, the conclusion is essentially same. These results corroborate the assumption of student- $t$  for the error terms which is based on normality test of original series.

Again, as the eigenvalues of  $\hat{A}_1 \otimes \hat{A}_1 + \hat{B}_1 \otimes \hat{B}_1$  are 0.996, 0.977, 0.977, and 0.970; all are less than unity, the fitted conditional volatilities of China and Hong Kong stock return series are again confirmed to be stationary.

## 5. CONCLUSION

This paper employed the FIVECM model to investigate the cointegration relations between China and the US stock markets, and between China and Hong Kong stock markets. Applying the Engle-Granger two-step procedure to estimate and construct the cointegration vector, this paper set up the FIVECM in the general VAR framework which can be used to reveal the long-run equilibrium, short-run dynamic movement, as well as lead-lag relations between the index return series. Furthermore, by augmenting the FIVECM model by MGARCH model, the dynamic dependences in the second conditional moments of index return series are also brought into the picture.

The empirical results confirm our conjecture that there are fractional cointegration



relations, or long-run equilibria between China and the US stock markets, and between China and Hong Kong stock markets. However, according to the estimates, only China's market appears to be bound by the cointegration relations; the other two markets do not make adjustments in response to the deviations from the equilibrium. The US and Hong Kong markets are also found to lead China's market in first conditional moments; that is, there are return transmissions running from both US and Hong Kong markets to China's market. This finding is expected, as both the US and Hong Kong are more developed and mature markets, China's stock market investors are likely to follow precedent and trail after what their counterparts have done previously in the US and Hong Kong. However, volatility spillover effect is shown by estimates to flow from China's market into Hong Kong's market; in other words, there is information transmission from mainland China into the Hong Kong market. This may well be due to the heavy dependence of the economy of Hong Kong on the mainland, and the increasing number of cross-listed companies on both markets.

The evolution of the two fitted conditional correlation series reveal that China's stock market has been experiencing stronger and more stable ties with both the US and Hong Kong markets in recent years. Moreover, judging from the magnitude of dynamic correlation coefficients, China's market appears to be closer to Hong Kong's market than the US market, specifically- China's stock market is more positively correlated with its close neighboring market than with the world's leading superpower. This finding provides important information to international portfolio managers investing on these three markets.

Overall speaking, although China's stock market has a long-run cointegration relation with the world market, represented by the US and Hong Kong markets, the short-run interactions, namely return and volatility transmissions, between China's market and the other markets are not profuse. We believe that the ongoing liberalization and deregulation in China's financial market may increase the integration of its stock market further into world markets. In terms of future research, this paper only exploits the stock market

indices and their differenced series; incorporating other relevant exogenous variables into the model may shed some additional light on the results.

## REFERENCES

- Baillie, R.T.(1996), Long memory processes and fractional integration in econometrics, *Journal of Econometrics*, 73, 5-59
- Baillie,R.T., et al(1996), Analyzing Inflation by the Fractionally Integrated Arfima-GARCH model, *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, Vol.11, No.1,1996, 23-40
- Baillie, R.T. and T. Bollerslev (1994), Cointegration, fractional cointegration and exchange rate dynamics, *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 49, No. 2, 737-745
- Bauwens, L, S.Laurent and J.Rombouts (2006), Multivariate GARCH models: a survey, *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 21/1, 79-109
- Chen, H., R. Smyth and W.K. Wong (2008), Is Being a Super-Power More Important Than Being Your Close Neighbor? Effects of Movements in the New Zealand and United States Stock Markets on Movements in the Australian Stock Market, *Applied Financial Economics*, 18(9), 1-15.
- Cheung, Y. and K. Lai (1993), A fractional cointegration analysis of purchasing power parity, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 11, 103-112.
- Cheung, Y.L. and Mak, S.C. (1992), The international transmission of stock market fluctuation between the developed markets and the Asian-Pacific markets, *Applied Financial Economics*, 2, 43-47.
- Cheung, Y.W and Ng, L.K. (1992), Stock price dynamics and firm size: an empirical investigation, *Journal of Finance*, 47, 1985-1997.
- Chou, W. and Y. Shih, (1997), Long-run purchasing power parity and long-term memory: evidence from Asian newly industrialized countries, *Applied Economics Letters*, 4, 575-578.
- Chung, P.J. and Liu, D.J. (1994), Common stochastic trends in Pacific Rim stock markets, *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 34, 241-59.
- Corhay, A., A. Rad and J. Urbain (1995), Long-run behavior of Pacific-Basin stock prices, *Applied Financial Economics*, 5, 11-18.

Daly, K.J. (2003), Southeast Asian stock market linkages : evidence from pre-and post-October 1997, *ASEAN Economic Bulletin*, 20, 73-85

Dickey, D.A. and W.A. Fuller (1979), Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74, 427-431.

Dickey, D.A. and W.A. Fuller (1981), Likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root, *Econometrica*, 49, 1057-1072.

Dwyer, G. and Wallace, M. (1992), Cointegration and market efficiency, *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 11, 318-27.

Engle, R. and C.W.J. Granger (1987), Cointegration and error correction: representation, estimation and testing, *Econometrica*, 55, 251-276.

Engle R.F. and Kroner F.K. (1995), Multivariate simultaneous generalized ARCH, *Econometric Theory* 11, 122-150;

Eun, C.S. and S. Shim (1989), International transmission of stock market movements, *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 24, 41-56.

Fernandez-Serrano, J.L. and Sosvilla-Rivero, S.(2001), Modelling evolving long-run relationships: the linkages between stock markets in Asia, Japan and the World Economy, 13, 145-60.

Fernandez-Serrano, J.L. and Sosvilla-Rivero, S. (2003), Modelling the linkages between US and Latin American stock markets, *Applied Economics*, 35, 1423-34.

Fong, W.M., Wong, W.K. and Lean H.H. (2005), Stochastic dominance and the rationality of the momentum effect across markets, to appear in *Journal of Financial Markets*, 8, 89-109.

Geweke J. and S. Porter-Hudak (1983), The estimation and application of long memory time series models, *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 4, 221-238.

Granger, C.W.J. (1981), Long memory relationships and the aggregation of dynamic models, *Journal of Econometrics*, 14, 227-238.

Granger, C. W. J. (1986). Developments in the study of cointegrated economic variables. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 48, 213–228.

Granger, C.W.J. (1988), Some recent developments in a concept of causality, *Journal of Econometrics*, 39, 213-228.

Granger, C.W.J., B.N. Huang and C.W. Yang (2000), A bivariate causality between stock prices and exchange rates: evidence from recent Asian flu, *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 40, 337-354.

Gregory, A.W. and Hansen, B.E. (1996), Residual-based tests for cointegration in models with regime shifts, *Journal of Econometrics*, 70, 99-126.

Grubel, H.G. (1968), Internationally diversified portfolios: welfare gains and capital flows, *American Economic Review*, 58, 1299-1314.

Haslett, J. and Raftery, A. E. (1989), Space-time Modeling with Long-Memory Dependence: Assessing Ireland's Wind Power Resource, *Journal of Royal Statistical Society Series C*, 38, 1-21

Hsiao, C. (1979), Autoregressive modeling of Canadian money and income data, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74, 553-560.

Hsiao, C. (1981), Autoregressive modelling of money income causality detection, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 7, 85-106.

Huang, B.N., Yang, C.W. and Hu, J.W.S. (2000), Causality and cointegration of stock markets among the United States, Japan and the South China Growth Triangle, *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 9, 281-97

Hurst, H.E., (1951), Long-term storage capacity of reservoirs, *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers* 116, 770-799.

Johansen, S. (1988a), The mathematical structure of error correction models, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 8, 359-86.

Johansen, S. (1988b), Statistical analysis of cointegration vector, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 12, 231-254.

Johansen, S. (1995), *Likelihood-inference in cointegrated vector autoregressive models*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Johnson, R. and Soenen, L. (2002), Asian economic integration and stock market comovement, *Journal of Financial Research*, 25, 141-57.

Kasa, K. (1992), Common stochastic trends in international stock markets, *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 29, 95-124.

Koop, G. (1994), An objective Bayesian analysis of common stochastic trends in international stock prices and exchange rates, *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 1, 343-364.

Lee, S.B. and K.J. Kim (1994), Does the October 1987 crash strengthen the co-movement in stock price indexes, *Quarterly Review of Economics and Business*, 3, 89-102.

Lessard, D.R. (1974), World, national and industry factors in equity returns, *Journal of Finance*, 29, 379-391.

Levy, H. and Sarnat, M. (1970), International diversification of investment portfolios, *American Economic Review*, 60, 668-675.

Lien, D. and Y. Tse, (1999), Fractional Cointegration and Futures Hedging, *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 19, 457-474

Lo, A.W. and A.C. MacKinlay (1988), Stock market prices do not follow random walks: Evidence from a simple specification test, *Review of Financial Studies*, 1, 41-66.

Masih, A.M.M. and Masih, R. (1997), Dynamic linkages and the propagation mechanism driving major international stock markets: an analysis of the pre-and-post-crash eras, *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 37, 859-88.

Masih, A.M.M. and Masih, R. (1999), Are Asian stock market fluctuations due mainly to intra-regional contagion effects? Evidence based on Asian emerging stock markets, *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 7, 251-82.

Masih, A.M.M. and Masih, R. (2002), Propagative causal price transmission among international stock markets: evidence from the pre-and-post globalization period, *Global Finance Journal*, 13, 63-91.

Narayan, P.K. and Smyth, R. (2005), Are OECD stock prices characterized by a random walk? evidence from sequential trend break and panel data models, *Applied Financial Economics*, 15, 547-556.

Ng, T.H. (2002), Stock market linkages in Southeast Asia, *Asian Economic Journal*, 16, 353-377.

Ng S., and P. Perron (1995), Unit Root Tests in ARMA Models with Data-Dependent Methods for the Selection of the Truncation Lag, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 90, 268-281;

Pan, M.S., Liu, Y.A. and Roth, H.J. (1999), Common stochastic trends and volatility in Asian-Pacific equity markets, *Global Finance Journal*, 10, 161-72.

Phillips, P.C.B. and Perron, P. (1988), Testing for a unit root in time series regression, *Biometrika*, 75, 335–346.

Ripley, D.M. (1973), Systematic elements in the linkage of national stock market indices, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 55, 356-361.

Shimotsu, K. and P.C.B. Phillips (2005), Exact Local Whittle Estimation of Fractional Integration, *The Annals of Statistics*, 33(4), 1890-1933

Siklos, P.L. and Ng, P. (2001), Integration among Asia-Pacific and international stock markets: common stochastic trends and regime shifts, *Pacific Economic Review*, 6, 89-110.

Sims, C. and J.H. Stock (1990), Inference in linear time series models with some unit roots, *Econometrica*, 58, 113-144.

Stock, J.H. and Watson, M.W. (1993). A simple estimator of cointegrating vectors in higher order integrated systems, *Econometrica*, 61: 783–820.

Von Furstenberg, G.M. and Jeon, B.N. (1989), International stock price movements: links and messages, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1, 125-79.