

Wenger, Kai; Leschinski, Christian; Sibbertsen, Philipp

**Working Paper**

## The memory of volatility

Hannover Economic Papers (HEP), No. 601

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

School of Economics and Management, University of Hannover

*Suggested Citation:* Wenger, Kai; Leschinski, Christian; Sibbertsen, Philipp (2017) : The memory of volatility, Hannover Economic Papers (HEP), No. 601, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Wirtschaftswissenschaftliche Fakultät, Hannover

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/172855>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

# The Memory of Volatility

Kai Wenger, Christian Leschinski, Philipp Sibbertsen<sup>1</sup>,

Institute of Statistics, Faculty of Economics and Management,  
Leibniz University Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

This version: July 31, 2017

---

## Abstract

The focus of the volatility literature on forecasting and the predominance of the conceptually simpler HAR model over long memory stochastic volatility models has led to the fact that the actual degree of memory estimates has rarely been considered. Estimates in the literature range roughly between 0.4 and 0.6 - that is from the higher stationary to the lower non-stationary region. This difference, however, has important practical implications - such as the existence or non-existence of the fourth moment of the return distribution. Inference on the memory order is complicated by the presence of measurement error in realized volatility and the potential of spurious long memory. In this paper we provide a comprehensive analysis of the memory in variances of international stock indices and exchange rates. On the one hand, we find that the variance of exchange rates is subject to spurious long memory and the true memory parameter is in the higher stationary range. Stock index variances, on the other hand, are free of low frequency contaminations and the memory is in the lower non-stationary range. These results are obtained using state of the art local Whittle methods that allow consistent estimation in presence of perturbations or low frequency contaminations.

*JEL-Numbers:* C12, C22, C58, G15

*Keywords:* Realized Volatility · Long Memory · Perturbation · Spurious Long Memory

---

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author:  
Phone: +49-511-762-3783  
Fax: +49-511-762-3923  
E-Mail: sibbertsen@statistik.uni-hannover.de

# 1 Introduction

Modeling and forecasting asset volatility is one of the central topics of financial econometrics. While the early literature has focused on short memory GARCH models, today it is well established that financial market volatility typically exhibits long memory. Standard models that capture the long-memory feature are, for example, ARCH( $\infty$ ) and LARCH models (see [Giraitis et al. \(2007\)](#), [Giraitis et al. \(2009\)](#)), as well as stochastic volatility models that make use of ARFIMA processes. The conceptually simpler HAR model of [Corsi \(2009\)](#) can also approximate long memory by using a regression with overlapping averages of past volatilities. While in the HAR model the actual degree of memory remains unknown, the other models provide estimates of the memory parameter  $d$ .

However, a problem arises when the volatility series are contaminated by level shifts or deterministic trends, known as low frequency contaminations. In this case standard estimation methods for  $d$  are positively biased.

The issue is that both long memory and mean shifts generate similar time series features such as significant autocorrelations at large lags or a pole in the periodogram at Fourier frequencies local to zero (cf. for example [Diebold and Inoue \(2001\)](#), [Granger and Hyung \(2004\)](#), or [Mikosch and Stărică \(2004\)](#)). If long memory is falsely detected in a short-memory time series subject to low frequency contaminations, it is referred to as 'spurious long memory'. However, recently several methods have been proposed that allow for robust estimation of  $d$  under these circumstances (see [Iacone \(2010\)](#), [McCloskey and Perron \(2013\)](#), [Hou and Perron \(2014\)](#)).

Another issue frequently discussed for volatility series is the effect of perturbations. [Deo and Hurvich \(2001\)](#) and [Arteche \(2004\)](#) show that standard estimation methods are negatively biased if a noisy volatility proxy is used. Local Whittle based methods that reduce this bias are proposed of [Hurvich et al. \(2005\)](#) and [Frederiksen et al. \(2012\)](#), among others.

Several studies have estimated the memory parameter in index- and exchange rate variance. However, most of them use standard estimation methods that do not account for the issues discussed above. The estimates achieved are roughly in the range of  $0.4 < d < 0.6$ , so in the higher stationary or in the lower non-stationary region (c.f. [Andersen et al. \(2003\)](#), [Hurvich and Ray \(2003\)](#), [Martens et al. \(2009\)](#), among others). It is an important question whether  $d > 0.5$  since the features of the underlying processes are substantially different. In particular, if  $d > 0.5$  the variance of the variance series is infinite, so that the kurtosis of the returns does not exist. To see this, denote the continuously compounded asset returns by  $r_t$  and assume that they are mean zero with

conditional heteroscedasticity of the form

$$r_t = \sigma_t \eta_t,$$

where  $\sigma_t$  denotes the volatility at day  $t$  and it is assumed that  $\eta_t \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0,1)$  with finite kurtosis  $K_\eta$ . Then the return kurtosis ( $K_r$ ) can be decomposed into the kurtosis of the volatility process ( $K_\sigma$ ) and that of the innovation sequence as follows

$$K_r = \frac{E(r_t^4)}{E(r_t^2)^2} = \frac{E(\sigma_t^4)}{E(\sigma_t^2)^2} \frac{E(\eta_t^4)}{E(\eta_t^2)^2} = K_\sigma K_\eta.$$

If  $d > 0.5$ , we have

$$\text{Var}(\sigma_t^2) = E[\sigma_t^4] - E[\sigma_t^2]^2 = \infty$$

with  $E[\sigma_t]^4 = E[\sigma_t^2]^2 < \infty$ . This implies

$$K_r = \left( \frac{\text{Var}(\sigma_t^2)^2}{E[\sigma_t^2]^2} + 1 \right) K_\eta = \infty.$$

Here, we provide a comprehensive analysis of the memory of a wide range of international stock indices and exchange rates using recently published robust estimation methods. We find that the variance of exchange rates is in the higher stationary range while the variance of stock indices is in the lower non-stationary range. Additionally, we find that exchange rates are likely to be subject to low frequency contaminations which bias standard estimation methods upwards, whereas the stock index variances are free of spurious long memory.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we review the methodological issues associated with the estimation of long memory in realized volatility time series. This motivates the use of robust estimation methods reviewed in Section 3. Here, we also provide a Monte Carlo simulation that analyzes the performance of the robust estimation methods if there is potential for both - low frequency contaminations and perturbations. Section 4 contains our empirical contribution that analyzes the memory parameters of a large set of international stock indices and exchange rates. Finally, Section 5 concludes.

## 2 The Effect of Perturbations and Level Shifts

ARCH-type models usually assume that the daily variance  $\sigma_t^2$  is some function of the past squared returns, so that  $\sigma_t^2 = h(r_{t-1}, r_{t-2}, \dots)$ . On the contrary, the stochastic volatility

literature usually assumes that the log-variance  $\log \sigma_t^2$  is a function of the lagged returns as well as an additional innovation sequence  $\varepsilon_t$  that is specific to the volatility process

$$\log \sigma_t^2 = g(r_{t-1}, r_{t-2}, \dots, \varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-1}, \dots).$$

To fit these models, one either has to rely on complicated unobserved component models or it is necessary to employ a proxy for the unobserved volatility process  $\sigma_t$ .

Since high frequency data has become widely available, it has become standard practice to use realized variance as a proxy. Realized variance was popularized (among others) by Andersen et al. (2001) and Andersen et al. (2003). Recent examples of long memory models for realized variance include Deo et al. (2006), Martens et al. (2009), and Chiriac and Voev (2011).

Let the log-price  $p_t$  of an asset be observed at regular intervals -  $N$  times per trading day - and denote the  $i$ -th intraday log-return by  $r_{i,t} = p_{i,t} - p_{i-1,t}$ , then the realized variance is given by

$$z_t = \sum_{i=1}^N r_{i,t}^2,$$

so that  $z_t = \sigma_t^2(1 + w_t)$ , for some error sequence  $w_t$  and therefore

$$\log z_t = \log \sigma_t^2 + \log(1 + w_t) \approx \log \sigma_t^2 + w_t, \quad (1)$$

for small  $w_t$ . It is clear from (1) that  $z_t$  can be regarded as a perturbed version of the underlying volatility process. The influence of this estimation error in the volatility proxy on the accuracy of the estimated memory parameter is an important topic in the long memory stochastic volatility literature.

Note however, that Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard (2002) show that  $\text{plim } z_t = \sigma_t^2$ , as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $w_t \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $z_t$  is a relatively precise estimate of  $\sigma_t^2$  and it is sometimes treated as if it was a direct observation of the variance process. Nevertheless, a careful analysis of the memory of volatilities as intended here should take these effects into account.

Another issue that has to be taken into account is the possible presence of low frequency contaminations such as level shifts or deterministic trends. Especially log-squared returns have been a prominent example in the literature on spurious long memory, from early contributions such as Granger and Ding (1996), Mikosch and Stărică (2004), or Granger and Hyung (2004), to more recent contributions such as Lu and Perron (2010), or Xu and Perron (2014).

We therefore consider the following model for the realized variance

$$z_t = c + y_t + u_{T,t} + w_t, \quad (2)$$

where the variance process  $z_t$  consists of a short- or long-memory process  $y_t$ , a constant  $c$ , a so called low frequency contamination  $u_{T,t}$  (e.g. a level shift process or trend), and the additive, mean zero, short memory noise term  $w_t$  with variance  $\sigma_w^2 < \infty$ .

The low frequency contamination  $u_{T,t}$  is assumed to be a random level shift process as given by

$$u_{T,t} = \sum_{i=1}^T \delta_{T,i}, \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_{T,i} = \pi_{T,i} \xi_i, \quad (3)$$

with  $\xi_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\xi^2)$  and  $\pi_{T,i} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} B(p/T, 1)$ , for  $p \geq 0$ . Here,  $\pi_{T,i}$  and  $\xi_i$  are mutually independent and they are also independent of  $y_t$  and  $w_t$ . To estimate the unknown memory parameter  $d$  in applications, it is common to use the local Whittle estimator of [Künsch \(1987\)](#) and [Robinson \(1995a\)](#). Compared to ARFIMA models this semiparametric approach has the advantage that it is consistent irrespective of the form of the short run dynamics. Furthermore, the asymptotic variance of the local Whittle estimator is smaller than that of the log-periodogram estimator of [Geweke and Porter-Hudak \(1983\)](#) and [Robinson \(1995b\)](#). The discussion in this paper is therefore focused on the local Whittle estimator that is discussed in detail in the next section.

In absence of low frequency contaminations in model (2) - that is if  $u_{t,T} = 0$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$  - [Arteche \(2004\)](#) shows that the local Whittle estimator is biased downwards. Bias corrected versions of the estimator have been proposed, among others, by [Hurvich et al. \(2005\)](#) and [Frederiksen et al. \(2012\)](#).

Similarly, in absence of perturbations in (2) - that is when  $w_t = 0$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$  - [Perron and Qu \(2010\)](#) and [McCloskey and Perron \(2013\)](#) show that the periodogram of  $z_t$  can be decomposed into

$$\begin{aligned} I_{z,T}(\lambda_j) &= I_{y,T}(\lambda_j) + I_{u,T}(\lambda_j) + I_{yu,T}(\lambda_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T y_t e^{i\lambda_j t} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi T} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T u_{T,t} e^{i\lambda_j t} \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{2\pi T} \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s=1}^T y_t u_{T,t} \cos(\lambda_j(t-s)). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\lambda_j = o(1)$  they show that

$$I_{z,T} = O_p\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{2d}}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{T\lambda_j^2}\right) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}\lambda_j^{1+d}}\right). \quad (4)$$

It follows that the part corresponding to the random level shift process  $u_{T,t}$  dominates for  $j = o(T^{(1-2d)/(2-2d)})$  while the part corresponding to the short- or long-memory process  $y_t$  dominates for  $jT^{(2d-1)/(2-2d)} \rightarrow \infty$ .

Therefore, local Whittle estimates are biased upwards especially when small bandwidths are used. This results in the aforementioned effect of spurious long memory.

For the model in (2), it is therefore well established that there are potential effects that cause both upwards bias as well as downwards bias in the estimated memory parameters if standard methods such as the local Whittle estimator are used.

### 3 Robust Long Memory Estimation

As argued in the previous section, it is likely that volatility measures are perturbed (even though the perturbation is less pronounced when the realized variance is used) and subject to low frequency contaminations. Therefore, robust methods against these issues have to be used to estimate  $d$ .

The spectral density function  $f_z(\lambda)$  of the perturbed volatility measure process under low frequency contaminations in (2) at frequency  $\lambda$  is given by

$$f_z(\lambda) = \phi_y(\lambda)\lambda^{-2d} + \phi_w(\lambda) + \phi_u(\lambda)\lambda^{-2}/T \quad (5)$$

where  $\phi_a$  with  $a \in \{y, w, u\}$  corresponds to the spectral density of the short run components in  $y_t$ ,  $w_t$ , and  $u_{t,T}$ . All local Whittle estimation methods are based on the local log-likelihood as given by

$$R_a(d, \theta) = \log \widehat{G}_a - \frac{2d}{m-l+1} \sum_{j=1}^m \log \lambda_j + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \log(g_a), \quad (6)$$

where  $\widehat{G}_a$  approximates the spectral density local to zero,  $m = \lfloor T^b \rfloor$  is the bandwidth,  $l$  is a trimming parameter which is equal to one except for the trimmed local Whittle estimator,  $\lambda_j = (2\pi j/T)$  are the Fourier frequencies,  $g_a$  is a function that controls for perturbations and/or low frequency contaminations, and  $a \in \{LW, LPWN, mLW, tLW\}$ .

Depending on whether perturbations, low frequency contaminations, or both are present in the volatility series, the spectral density in (5) has to be approximated differently local to zero. Therefore, several estimators can be derived.

For the standard local Whittle estimator it is assumed that there are no perturbations and low frequency contaminations. Hence, the spectral density of the series  $z_t$  in (5) is approximated by a constant  $G$ . It follows that

$$\widehat{G}_{LW} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{2d} I_z(\lambda_j) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{LW}(d, \theta, \lambda) = 0,$$

where the periodogram  $I_z(\lambda)$  is given by  $I_z(\lambda) = (2\pi T)^{-1} |\sum_{t=1}^T z_t e^{it\lambda}|^2$ . The standard local Whittle estimator suggested by [Künsch \(1987\)](#) is then given by

$$\widehat{d}_{LW} = \arg \min_d R_{LW}(d). \quad (7)$$

For  $1/m + m/T \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $d \in (-0.5, 0.5)$  consistency of  $\widehat{d}_{LW}$  is shown by [Robinson \(1995a\)](#). Under strengthened assumptions (especially on the bandwidth choice) it is also shown that  $\sqrt{m}(\widehat{d}_{LW} - d) \overset{a}{\sim} N(0, 1/4)$ . [Velasco \(1999\)](#) extends these results and shows that the local Whittle estimator is consistent for  $d \in (-0.5, 1]$  and asymptotically normal for  $d \in (-0.5, 0.75]$ .

In the case where the volatility measure exhibits short memory dynamics and perturbations, the local polynomial Whittle with noise (LPWN) estimator of [Frederiksen et al. \(2012\)](#) can be applied. They extend the idea of [Andrews and Sun \(2004\)](#) who approximate the spectral density local to zero by a polynomial instead of a constant to reduce the finite sample bias of the local Whittle estimator. [Frederiksen et al. \(2012\)](#) add an additional polynomial to approximate the spectrum  $\phi_w(\lambda)$  of the perturbation in (5). Other approaches that try to approximate the perturbation by a constant rather than a polynomial are, for example, made by [Hurvich and Ray \(2003\)](#) who proposed the local polynomial Whittle estimator with noise (LWN). This estimator is nested in the LPWN estimator if the polynomials are chosen of order zero.

Precisely, [Frederiksen et al. \(2012\)](#) fit the following two polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \log \phi_y(\lambda) &\simeq \log G + h_y(\theta_y, \lambda) \\ \log \phi_w(\lambda) &\simeq \log G + \log \theta_p + h_w(\theta_w, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

to approximate the logarithms of  $\phi_y(\lambda)$  and  $\phi_w(\lambda)$  in (5). Here  $\theta = (\theta'_y, \theta'_p, \theta'_w)'$ ,  $\theta_p = \phi_w(0)/\phi_y(0)$  is the long-run signal-to-noise ratio,  $h_a(\theta_a, \lambda) = \sum_{r=1}^{R_a} \theta_{a,r} \lambda^{2r}$ , and  $a \in \{y, w\}$ .



Therefore,

$$\widehat{G}_{LPWN} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j^{2d} I_z(\lambda_j)}{g_{LPWN}(d, \theta, \lambda_j)}$$

and  $g_{LPWN}(d, \theta, \lambda) = \exp(h_y(\theta_y, \lambda)) + \theta_\rho \lambda^{2d} \exp(h_w(\theta_w, \lambda)).$

The estimator is given by

$$(\widehat{d}_{LPWN}, \widehat{\theta}) = \arg \min_{d \in [d_1, d_2], \theta \in \Theta} R_{LPWN}(d, \theta),$$

where  $0 < d_1 < d_2 < 1$  is assumed to be stationary, and  $\Theta$  is a compact and convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^{R_y} \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{R_w}$ . For a properly chosen  $m$  and  $d \in (0, 1)$  [Frederiksen et al. \(2012\)](#) show in their Theorem 2 under some regularity conditions that the memory estimator is consistent. They further show for  $d \in (0, 0.75)$  that it converges in distribution to the normal distribution when perturbations are present. Compared with the local Whittle estimator the asymptotic variance increases by a multiplicative constant, but the bias through perturbation and short memory dynamics is reduced.

In case that the volatility series is subject to level shifts or other low frequency contaminations, the trimmed local Whittle (tLW) estimator of [Iacone \(2010\)](#) and the modified local Whittle (mLW) estimator of [Hou and Perron \(2014\)](#) can be applied.

The idea of the trimmed local Whittle estimator of [Iacone \(2010\)](#) is to use a trimming of the  $l$  lowest frequencies where the contaminations have their biggest effect on the spectral density of the series according to (4). Therefore, we obtain

$$\widehat{G}_{tLW} = \frac{1}{m-l+1} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{2d} I_z(\lambda_j) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{tLW}(d, \theta, \lambda) = 0,$$

where  $1 \leq l < m \leq \lfloor T/2 \rfloor$ , so that

$$\widehat{d}_{tLW} = \arg \min_d R_{tLW}(d).$$

In case  $l = 1$  the estimator is reduced to the standard local Whittle. Under suitable assumptions on the bandwidth and trimming parameter [Iacone \(2010\)](#) shows consistency and asymptotic normality of  $\widehat{d}_{tLW}$  for  $d \in (0, 0.5)$ . Its asymptotic variance is the same as that of the local Whittle estimator.

The modified local Whittle estimator uses another approach to achieve consistent estimates of  $d$  under low frequency contaminations. It adds an additional term to account for  $\phi_u(\lambda) \lambda^{-2}/T$  - the influence of the low frequency contamination in the spectral density function of the variance  $z_t$ . [Hou and Perron \(2014\)](#) also provide an additional exten-

sion of the modified local Whittle estimator to account for both the perturbation and the low frequency contamination. In this case they approximate the spectral density  $\phi_w(\lambda)$  of the perturbation by a constant term following the approach of [Hurvich et al. \(2005\)](#). Denoting  $\theta = (\theta_w, \theta_u)'$  as the signal-to-noise ratios of the perturbation and the low frequency contamination the estimator uses

$$\widehat{G}_{mLW} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{I_z(\lambda_j)}{g_{mLW}(d, \theta, \lambda_j)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{mLW}(d, \theta, \lambda) = (\lambda^{-2d} + \theta_w + \theta_u \lambda^{-2}/T)$$

and is given by

$$(\widehat{d}_{mLW}, \widehat{\theta}) = \arg \min_{d, \theta} R_{mLW}(d, \theta).$$

If  $\theta_w = 0$ , we have the modified local Whittle estimator (mLW) and if  $\theta_w \neq 0$  we have the modified local Whittle plus noise estimator (mLWN). For  $\theta_w = 0$ , a properly chosen  $m$  which needs to be larger than  $T^{5/9}$  and  $d \in (0, 0.5)$ , [Hou and Perron \(2014\)](#) show consistency and under strengthened assumptions asymptotic normality of the estimator. The estimator possesses the same asymptotic variance as the local Whittle estimator. The consistency of these methods for  $d > 0.5$  is addressed in our simulations below.

A prominent test to distinguish true from spurious long memory is the Lagrange multiplier-type test of [Qu \(2011\)](#). Its null hypothesis incorporates all second-order stationary short- or long-memory processes. Under the alternative, the process is contaminated by some low frequency contamination, for example a random level shift as given in (3). The test statistic uses the difference between the rates given in (4) and it is based on the local Whittle likelihood function. It is given by

$$W = \sup_{r \in [\epsilon, 1]} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m v_j^2 \right)^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{[mr]} v_j \left( \frac{I_z(\lambda_j)}{G(\widehat{d}_{LW}) \lambda_j^{-2\widehat{d}_{LW}}} - 1 \right) \right|, \quad (8)$$

with  $v_j = \log \lambda_j - (1/m) \sum_{j=1}^m \log \lambda_j$ , and a small trimming parameter  $\epsilon$ . [Qu \(2011\)](#) derives the consistency and the limiting distribution of (8) for  $d \in (0, 0.5)$ . [Sibbertsen et al. \(2017\)](#) show via simulations that the test also works in the low non-stationary range for  $d$ . [Qu \(2011\)](#) reports critical values for  $\epsilon \in \{0.02, 0.05\}$ , where the first value is recommended for sample sizes  $T > 500$ . It is further recommended to use  $m = \lfloor T^{0.7} \rfloor$  frequency ordinates. The test of [Qu \(2011\)](#) has several desirable properties such as not requiring Gaussianity, allowing for conditional heteroskedasticity, not requiring a precise specification of the low frequency contamination due to its score-type nature and displaying high finite sample power results compared to competing tests (c.f. [Leccadito et al. \(2015\)](#)).

|      | $d$<br>$\sigma_w/\sigma_\eta$ | Bias  |       |       |       |       |       | standard deviation |      |      |      |      |      |
|------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
|      |                               | 0.4   |       |       | 0.6   |       |       | 0.4                |      |      | 0.6  |      |      |
|      |                               | 0     | 1     | 2     | 0     | 1     | 2     | 0                  | 1    | 2    | 0    | 1    | 2    |
| LW   | 0                             | 0.00  | 0.08  | 0.17  | 0.00  | 0.04  | 0.10  | 0.03               | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
|      | 0.1                           | 0.00  | 0.08  | 0.17  | 0.00  | 0.04  | 0.10  | 0.03               | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
|      | 0.25                          | -0.01 | 0.07  | 0.16  | -0.02 | 0.03  | 0.09  | 0.03               | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
|      | 0.5                           | -0.03 | 0.05  | 0.14  | -0.06 | -0.02 | 0.05  | 0.03               | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
| mLW  | 0                             | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.02  | 0.02               | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
|      | 0.1                           | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.03 | -0.02 | 0.01  | 0.02               | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
|      | 0.25                          | -0.03 | -0.03 | -0.03 | -0.08 | -0.08 | -0.08 | 0.02               | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 |
|      | 0.5                           | -0.07 | -0.07 | -0.08 | -0.20 | -0.22 | -0.24 | 0.02               | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| tLW  | 0                             | -0.01 | 0.01  | 0.04  | -0.01 | 0.01  | 0.04  | 0.03               | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
|      | 0.1                           | -0.01 | 0.01  | 0.04  | -0.01 | 0.00  | 0.03  | 0.03               | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
|      | 0.25                          | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.03  | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.00  | 0.03               | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
|      | 0.5                           | -0.06 | -0.05 | -0.02 | -0.15 | -0.14 | -0.10 | 0.03               | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| LWN  | 0                             | 0.02  | 0.12  | 0.23  | 0.01  | 0.06  | 0.12  | 0.03               | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
|      | 0.1                           | 0.02  | 0.12  | 0.23  | 0.01  | 0.06  | 0.12  | 0.03               | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
|      | 0.25                          | 0.01  | 0.12  | 0.23  | 0.01  | 0.06  | 0.13  | 0.04               | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
|      | 0.5                           | 0.01  | 0.13  | 0.24  | 0.01  | 0.06  | 0.13  | 0.04               | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| mLWN | 0                             | 0.01  | 0.03  | 0.11  | 0.01  | 0.05  | 0.11  | 0.03               | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
|      | 0.1                           | 0.01  | 0.03  | 0.11  | 0.01  | 0.04  | 0.11  | 0.03               | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.09 |
|      | 0.25                          | 0.00  | 0.03  | 0.11  | 0.00  | 0.03  | 0.10  | 0.04               | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.10 |
|      | 0.5                           | -0.01 | 0.02  | 0.11  | -0.01 | 0.03  | 0.11  | 0.05               | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.11 |

**Table 1:** Bias and standard deviation of the long-memory estimators.

To evaluate the finite sample performance of the estimators discussed above in the situation we are facing in our empirical application, we conduct a small Monte Carlo simulation. The data generating process (DGP) is based on (2), where  $y_t$  is a fractionally integrated process of order  $d$ ,  $w_t$  is white noise with variance  $\sigma_w^2$ ,  $p = 5$ , and  $T = 4000$ , which approximately mirrors the sample sizes in our empirical application. Since the variance of the perturbations can be expected to be small, we set  $\sigma_w \in \{0, 1/10, 1/4, 1/2\}$ , whereas  $y_t$  is scaled so that the variance of the process is one. Furthermore, we set  $\sigma_\eta^2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , since we do not have any a priori knowledge about the magnitude of potential mean shifts. The bandwidth parameters are chosen as in the empirical application following the recommendations of the authors who proposed the respective methods. For the local Whittle estimator we set  $m = \lfloor T^{0.7} \rfloor$ .

The results reported in Table 1 are based on 5000 Monte Carlo replications. Starting

with the local Whittle estimator, we can observe the expected result that there is a positive bias if level shift components are present and the perturbations cause only a slight negative bias due to their moderate scale. It is worth noting that the bias of the local Whittle estimator is smaller for  $d = 0.6$  than for  $d = 0.4$ , so that all the estimated  $d$ s are in the range between 0.5 and 0.7.

Turning to the mLW estimator of [Hou and Perron \(2014\)](#), we observe that the estimator successfully mitigates the bias caused by the level shift components. However, with increasing magnitude of the perturbation, the estimator suffers a strong negative bias - much stronger than the original local Whittle estimator.

Similar results hold true for the tLW estimator of [Iacone \(2010\)](#), but the magnitude of the perturbation bias is considerably smaller than that of the mLW estimator.

The LWN estimator behaves similarly, but in the contrary direction. It successfully mitigates the downward bias caused by perturbations, but it suffers from a stronger upward bias in case of level shift components than the local Whittle estimator.

Finally, the mLWN estimator seems to be mitigating the perturbation bias, but it does not control the spurious long memory bias to its full extend.

The results of the mLW and tLW estimators for  $d = 0.6$  show that the consistency extends to the lower non-stationary region - exactly like that of the LW and LPWN.

With regard to the variation of the estimators, we can observe that all methods become increasingly variable as the influence of the level shifts increases. The mLW estimator turns out to have slightly less variance than the tLW estimator of [Iacone \(2010\)](#) for  $d = 0.4$ , but higher variance for  $d = 0.6$ . The LWN estimator is more variable than the LW estimator and the mLWN estimator is extremely volatile in presence of level shifts in a stationary long-memory sequence with  $d = 0.4$ .

## 4 The Memory of Realized Volatility

We consider daily realized variances of 41 major stock indices and 10 nominal exchange rates relative to the US Dollar. The data for the indices is obtained from the 'Oxford-Man Institute's realised library' and was compiled by [Heber et al. \(2009\)](#). The series start between 1996 and 2000 and they end on 9 June 2017. An overview of the symbols is given in Table 5 and a summary of the start and end dates as well as the length of the series is given in Table 7 in the appendix.

To construct similar series for the exchange rates, we use 5-minute returns obtained from the Thomson Reuters Tick History database. The data is cleaned following the recommendations of [Barndorff-Nielsen et al. \(2009\)](#) to account for the typical high frequency data quality issues. Similar to the procedure of [Heber et al. \(2009\)](#), some additional manual edits are made so that the data is suitable for statistical inference. Since there

is no market closure for exchange rates the log-realized variance is calculated based on all 5-minute log-returns within each 24-hour period. As for the indices, an overview of the meaning of the symbols is given in Table 6 and starting dates of the resulting series and the number of observations are given in Table 8. The last observation of all exchange rate series is from 31 January 2017.

The results of the different long-memory estimators applied to the log-realized variances  $\log z_t$  of the indices are given in Tables 2 and 3. Starting with the local Whittle estimates, we observe that they tend to decrease as the bandwidth increases from  $m = \lfloor T^{0.6} \rfloor$  to  $m = \lfloor T^{0.8} \rfloor$ . Even though this decrease has a magnitude of up to 0.2 for some of the series, it is moderate for the majority of them. Nevertheless, this could be seen as an indication for low frequency contaminations in the respective series. The intuition behind this is given in Section 2: the long-memory component of a contaminated series dominates the low frequency contamination at higher frequencies such that the positive bias of the estimated  $d$  decreases if a larger bandwidth is chosen.

Nearly all point estimates are within the lower non-stationary region between 0.5 and 0.6 and the vast majority of asymptotic confidence intervals are completely in the non-stationary region as well. If the impact of perturbations and low frequency contaminations is low, this is strong evidence that the memory of the indices is larger than 0.5.

Turning to the LPWN estimates, we first observe that the estimates are very stable across the different specifications of the estimator. The level of the estimates tends to be higher than that of the local Whittle estimates. Most of them are in the range between 0.6 and 0.7. This further supports the previous finding that the index variances possess non-stationary long memory and points to the fact that the measurement error in the log-realized variance still has a magnitude so that it causes downward bias in the local Whittle estimator.

The right hand side of Tables 2 and 3 shows the results of the mLW and tLW estimators of Hou and Perron (2014) and Iacone (2010) as well as the mLWN estimator. In all cases the mLW estimates are smaller than the local Whittle estimates and in some series the memory drops by a considerable amount. The same holds true for the tLW estimator of Iacone (2010), but the reduction in memory compared to the local Whittle estimates is of a smaller magnitude. This could be seen as evidence for low frequency contaminations in the variances. However, the results of the mLWN estimator are more in line with those of the LPWN estimators and the test of Qu (2011) fails to reject the null hypothesis of true long memory for the vast majority of index series. At the 5% significance level the test of Qu (2011) only rejects for GSPTSE, HSI, MSCIBE, MSCIDE, and MSCIJIP.

Having in mind that the mLW and tLW estimators are severely downward biased in the presence of moderate perturbations, we therefore conclude that there is no evidence for spurious long memory. Hence, the LPWN estimator is most suitable to give the best

|         | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>      | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      | LWN                    | LPWN(1,0)              | LPWN(0,1)              | LPWN(1,1)              | mLW                    | mLWN                   | tLW                    | Qu <sub>0.75</sub> |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| AEX     | 0.625<br>(0.542 0.709) | 0.635<br>(0.581 0.688) | 0.577<br>(0.543 0.612) | 0.659<br>(0.624 0.694) | 0.661<br>(0.626 0.696) | 0.670<br>(0.636 0.705) | 0.669<br>(0.634 0.704) | 0.568<br>(0.533 0.602) | 0.658<br>(0.623,0.693) | 0.567<br>(0.506,0.627) | 0.575              |
| AORD    | 0.553<br>(0.469 0.637) | 0.586<br>(0.532 0.640) | 0.460<br>(0.426 0.495) | 0.650<br>(0.615 0.685) | 0.611<br>(0.576 0.646) | 0.617<br>(0.582 0.652) | 0.611<br>(0.576 0.646) | 0.344<br>(0.309 0.379) | 0.648<br>(0.613,0.683) | 0.444<br>(0.383,0.505) | 1.061              |
| BVSP    | 0.549<br>(0.464 0.633) | 0.521<br>(0.467 0.575) | 0.475<br>(0.440 0.510) | 0.561<br>(0.526 0.597) | 0.530<br>(0.495 0.565) | 0.522<br>(0.487 0.558) | 0.530<br>(0.495 0.565) | 0.462<br>(0.426 0.497) | 0.561<br>(0.526,0.597) | 0.484<br>(0.423,0.545) | 0.555              |
| DJI     | 0.622<br>(0.538 0.706) | 0.586<br>(0.533 0.640) | 0.534<br>(0.499 0.569) | 0.624<br>(0.589 0.659) | 0.594<br>(0.559 0.629) | 0.572<br>(0.537 0.607) | 0.594<br>(0.559 0.629) | 0.488<br>(0.453 0.523) | 0.624<br>(0.589,0.659) | 0.539<br>(0.479,0.600) | 0.610              |
| FCHI    | 0.605<br>(0.521 0.688) | 0.624<br>(0.571 0.678) | 0.564<br>(0.529 0.599) | 0.643<br>(0.609 0.678) | 0.646<br>(0.611 0.681) | 0.656<br>(0.621 0.691) | 0.655<br>(0.620 0.689) | 0.518<br>(0.483 0.552) | 0.642<br>(0.607,0.677) | 0.550<br>(0.490,0.610) | 0.616              |
| FTSE    | 0.650<br>(0.566 0.733) | 0.640<br>(0.586 0.694) | 0.569<br>(0.534 0.604) | 0.672<br>(0.637 0.707) | 0.677<br>(0.643 0.712) | 0.691<br>(0.656 0.726) | 0.691<br>(0.656 0.726) | 0.487<br>(0.452 0.522) | 0.671<br>(0.636,0.706) | 0.544<br>(0.483,0.604) | 0.677              |
| FTSEMIB | 0.600<br>(0.517 0.684) | 0.607<br>(0.553 0.660) | 0.547<br>(0.512 0.582) | 0.632<br>(0.597 0.667) | 0.626<br>(0.591 0.661) | 0.631<br>(0.596 0.666) | 0.627<br>(0.592 0.662) | 0.522<br>(0.488 0.557) | 0.631<br>(0.596,0.665) | 0.548<br>(0.487,0.609) | 0.557              |
| GDAXI   | 0.653<br>(0.569 0.736) | 0.637<br>(0.584 0.691) | 0.564<br>(0.529 0.598) | 0.663<br>(0.629 0.698) | 0.667<br>(0.632 0.702) | 0.728<br>(0.693 0.762) | 0.728<br>(0.694 0.763) | 0.471<br>(0.436 0.506) | 0.662<br>(0.627,0.697) | 0.499<br>(0.438,0.559) | 0.722              |
| GSPTSE  | 0.603<br>(0.514 0.691) | 0.565<br>(0.509 0.622) | 0.490<br>(0.453 0.527) | 0.642<br>(0.605 0.679) | 0.647<br>(0.610 0.684) | 0.639<br>(0.602 0.676) | 0.651<br>(0.614 0.689) | 0.375<br>(0.338 0.412) | 0.593<br>(0.556,0.630) | 0.451<br>(0.387,0.515) | <b>1.264*</b>      |
| HSI     | 0.640<br>(0.554 0.726) | 0.557<br>(0.502 0.613) | 0.503<br>(0.467 0.539) | 0.640<br>(0.604 0.676) | 0.646<br>(0.610 0.682) | 0.649<br>(0.613 0.685) | 0.649<br>(0.613 0.686) | 0.384<br>(0.348 0.420) | 0.638<br>(0.602,0.674) | 0.446<br>(0.383,0.509) | <b>1.461*</b>      |
| IBEX    | 0.593<br>(0.509 0.677) | 0.596<br>(0.542 0.649) | 0.545<br>(0.510 0.580) | 0.611<br>(0.576 0.645) | 0.617<br>(0.583 0.652) | 0.632<br>(0.597 0.666) | 0.631<br>(0.597 0.666) | 0.510<br>(0.475 0.544) | 0.576<br>(0.541,0.611) | 0.525<br>(0.465,0.586) | 0.858              |
| IXIC    | 0.644<br>(0.560 0.728) | 0.598<br>(0.545 0.652) | 0.552<br>(0.517 0.587) | 0.628<br>(0.593 0.662) | 0.623<br>(0.588 0.657) | 0.579<br>(0.544 0.614) | 0.625<br>(0.590 0.660) | 0.493<br>(0.458 0.527) | 0.625<br>(0.590,0.660) | 0.542<br>(0.481,0.603) | 0.535              |
| KS11    | 0.692<br>(0.607 0.776) | 0.622<br>(0.567 0.676) | 0.548<br>(0.512 0.583) | 0.686<br>(0.651 0.721) | 0.691<br>(0.656 0.726) | 0.718<br>(0.683 0.753) | 0.743<br>(0.708 0.778) | 0.407<br>(0.372 0.442) | 0.684<br>(0.649,0.720) | 0.510<br>(0.448,0.571) | <b>1.181</b>       |
| MIB30   | 0.608<br>(0.516 0.700) | 0.613<br>(0.553 0.673) | 0.550<br>(0.511 0.590) | 0.662<br>(0.623 0.702) | 0.656<br>(0.617 0.695) | 0.661<br>(0.622 0.700) | 0.657<br>(0.618 0.696) | 0.510<br>(0.471 0.549) | 0.661<br>(0.622,0.700) | 0.562<br>(0.458,0.596) | 0.640              |
| MIBTEL  | 0.667<br>(0.562 0.773) | 0.622<br>(0.552 0.692) | 0.532<br>(0.486 0.579) | 0.735<br>(0.689 0.782) | 0.686<br>(0.640 0.733) | 0.693<br>(0.646 0.739) | 0.687<br>(0.640 0.733) | 0.343<br>(0.296 0.389) | 0.735<br>(0.689,0.782) | 0.536<br>(0.493,0.630) | 1.002              |
| MID     | 0.712<br>(0.620 0.804) | 0.637<br>(0.577 0.697) | 0.564<br>(0.525 0.603) | 0.727<br>(0.687 0.766) | 0.730<br>(0.691 0.770) | 0.754<br>(0.714 0.793) | 0.754<br>(0.714 0.793) | 0.373<br>(0.333 0.412) | 0.726<br>(0.687,0.765) | 0.530<br>(0.453,0.618) | <b>1.160</b>       |
| MSCIAU  | 0.659<br>(0.555 0.762) | 0.606<br>(0.538 0.674) | 0.499<br>(0.454 0.545) | 0.731<br>(0.685 0.776) | 0.698<br>(0.653 0.743) | 0.701<br>(0.655 0.746) | 0.698<br>(0.653 0.743) | 0.297<br>(0.252 0.343) | 0.728<br>(0.682,0.773) | 0.470<br>(0.460,0.599) | 0.721              |
| MSCIBE  | 0.730<br>(0.628 0.832) | 0.656<br>(0.589 0.723) | 0.536<br>(0.492 0.581) | 0.805<br>(0.760 0.849) | 0.738<br>(0.693 0.782) | 0.733<br>(0.688 0.777) | 0.790<br>(0.745 0.834) | 0.160<br>(0.116 0.204) | 0.804<br>(0.759,0.848) | 0.501<br>(0.389,0.550) | <b>1.349*</b>      |
| MSCIBR  | 0.629<br>(0.511 0.747) | 0.567<br>(0.488 0.645) | 0.501<br>(0.448 0.555) | 0.677<br>(0.624 0.730) | 0.670<br>(0.617 0.723) | 0.671<br>(0.618 0.724) | 0.675<br>(0.622 0.729) | 0.358<br>(0.304 0.411) | 0.675<br>(0.622,0.728) | 0.503<br>(0.422,0.580) | 0.628              |
| MSCICA  | 0.681<br>(0.572 0.789) | 0.614<br>(0.543 0.686) | 0.503<br>(0.454 0.551) | 0.765<br>(0.716 0.813) | 0.680<br>(0.632 0.728) | 0.683<br>(0.635 0.731) | 0.676<br>(0.628 0.724) | 0.215<br>(0.167 0.263) | 0.763<br>(0.715,0.811) | 0.512<br>(0.407,0.599) | 0.636              |
| MSCICH  | 0.730<br>(0.629 0.832) | 0.676<br>(0.609 0.743) | 0.565<br>(0.521 0.610) | 0.782<br>(0.738 0.827) | 0.724<br>(0.680 0.768) | 0.720<br>(0.675 0.764) | 0.719<br>(0.675 0.764) | 0.263<br>(0.218 0.307) | 0.782<br>(0.737,0.826) | 0.546<br>(0.426,0.598) | 1.103              |

**Table 2:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized variances for different indices. Theoretical confidence intervals are given in brackets below. For the Qu-test bold-faced values indicate significance at the nominal 10% level; an additional \* (\*\*) indicates significance at the nominal 5% (1%) level.

estimate of the true memory of the variances of the stock indices under consideration. We therefore find, that the memory of stock index variance is non-stationary. Most stock index variances display memory parameters in the range between 0.6 and 0.7, which is far in the non-stationary region.

In Table 4 we report the results for the realized variance of exchange rates. Here, we observe some major differences compared to the results for the variances of indices, discussed above. First of all, the local Whittle estimates decrease heavily when the bandwidth increases. Again, this can be seen as an indication of low frequency contaminations by the same arguments as discussed above. The assertion that the exchange rate variances exhibit spurious long memory is further supported by the fact that both the mLW estimator of Hou and Perron (2014) and the tLW estimator of Iacone (2010) are reduced compared to the local Whittle estimates. But most importantly, the Qu (2011)

|          | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>       | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      | LWN                    | LPWN(1,0)              | LPWN(0,1)              | LPWN(1,1)              | mLW                    | mLWN                   | tLW                    | Qu <sub>0.75</sub> |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| MSCIDE   | 0.680<br>(0.578 0.782) | 0.639<br>(0.573 0.706)  | 0.521<br>(0.476 0.565) | 0.781<br>(0.737 0.826) | 0.695<br>(0.650 0.739) | 0.670<br>(0.626 0.715) | 0.680<br>(0.635 0.724) | 0.191<br>(0.147 0.236) | 0.780<br>(0.736,0.825) | 0.493<br>(0.467,0.625) | <b>1.468*</b>      |
| MSCIES   | 0.640<br>(0.539 0.742) | 0.623<br>(0.556 0.690)  | 0.519<br>(0.474 0.563) | 0.716<br>(0.672 0.761) | 0.653<br>(0.608 0.697) | 0.656<br>(0.611 0.700) | 0.651<br>(0.606 0.695) | 0.359<br>(0.314 0.403) | 0.714<br>(0.669,0.758) | 0.524<br>(0.414,0.572) | 0.616              |
| MSCIFR   | 0.656<br>(0.554 0.758) | 0.619<br>(0.553 0.686)  | 0.522<br>(0.477 0.566) | 0.761<br>(0.717 0.806) | 0.680<br>(0.635 0.724) | 0.645<br>(0.601 0.690) | 0.680<br>(0.635 0.724) | 0.237<br>(0.193 0.281) | 0.761<br>(0.717,0.806) | 0.537<br>(0.445,0.603) | 1.054              |
| MSCIGB   | 0.693<br>(0.591 0.794) | 0.643<br>(0.576 0.710)  | 0.544<br>(0.499 0.588) | 0.773<br>(0.729 0.817) | 0.697<br>(0.652 0.741) | 0.687<br>(0.643 0.731) | 0.676<br>(0.631 0.720) | 0.246<br>(0.202 0.290) | 0.772<br>(0.728,0.816) | 0.542<br>(0.458,0.615) | 1.013              |
| MSCITT   | 0.633<br>(0.532 0.735) | 0.628<br>(0.562 0.695)  | 0.532<br>(0.488 0.577) | 0.728<br>(0.684 0.773) | 0.674<br>(0.630 0.718) | 0.680<br>(0.635 0.724) | 0.673<br>(0.629 0.718) | 0.352<br>(0.307 0.396) | 0.727<br>(0.683,0.772) | 0.532<br>(0.463,0.621) | 1.113              |
| MSCILP   | 0.685<br>(0.581 0.790) | 0.568<br>(0.499 0.637)  | 0.514<br>(0.468 0.560) | 0.619<br>(0.573 0.665) | 0.626<br>(0.580 0.672) | 0.691<br>(0.645 0.737) | 0.696<br>(0.650 0.742) | 0.437<br>(0.391 0.483) | 0.594<br>(0.548,0.640) | 0.455<br>(0.454,0.611) | <b>1.372*</b>      |
| MSCIKR   | 0.695<br>(0.591 0.800) | 0.625<br>(0.556 0.694)  | 0.534<br>(0.488 0.580) | 0.691<br>(0.645 0.737) | 0.638<br>(0.592 0.684) | 0.639<br>(0.593 0.685) | 0.625<br>(0.579 0.671) | 0.407<br>(0.361 0.453) | 0.689<br>(0.643,0.735) | 0.512<br>(0.373,0.536) | 0.785              |
| MSCIMX   | 0.669<br>(0.552 0.786) | 0.603<br>(0.525 0.681)  | 0.494<br>(0.441 0.547) | 0.768<br>(0.715 0.821) | 0.705<br>(0.652 0.758) | 0.709<br>(0.656 0.762) | 0.714<br>(0.661 0.767) | 0.184<br>(0.132 0.237) | 0.767<br>(0.715,0.820) | 0.491<br>(0.431,0.593) | 0.967              |
| MSCINL   | 0.672<br>(0.571 0.774) | -0.635<br>(0.569 0.702) | 0.534<br>(0.490 0.579) | 0.772<br>(0.728 0.817) | 0.704<br>(0.660 0.749) | 0.631<br>(0.586 0.675) | 0.704<br>(0.660 0.749) | 0.208<br>(0.163 0.252) | 0.772<br>(0.727,0.816) | 0.468<br>(0.396,0.585) | 0.729              |
| MSCIWO   | 0.600<br>(0.494 0.707) | 0.528<br>(0.457 0.599)  | 0.445<br>(0.398 0.493) | 0.661<br>(0.614 0.708) | 0.582<br>(0.534 0.629) | 0.582<br>(0.535 0.630) | 0.591<br>(0.543 0.638) | 0.291<br>(0.243 0.338) | 0.658<br>(0.610,0.705) | 0.468<br>(0.495,0.652) | 0.482              |
| MXX      | 0.575<br>(0.491 0.659) | 0.503<br>(0.449 0.557)  | 0.441<br>(0.406 0.476) | 0.601<br>(0.566 0.636) | 0.576<br>(0.541 0.611) | 0.576<br>(0.541 0.611) | 0.624<br>(0.589 0.659) | 0.343<br>(0.308 0.378) | 0.599<br>(0.564,0.634) | 0.418<br>(0.357,0.479) | 0.848              |
| N2252    | 0.618<br>(0.533 0.703) | 0.557<br>(0.502 0.611)  | 0.514<br>(0.478 0.549) | 0.589<br>(0.554 0.625) | 0.598<br>(0.563 0.633) | 0.636<br>(0.601 0.672) | 0.636<br>(0.601 0.672) | 0.477<br>(0.442 0.513) | 0.588<br>(0.553,0.624) | 0.504<br>(0.442,0.565) | 0.949              |
| NSEI     | 0.572<br>(0.484 0.660) | 0.515<br>(0.458 0.572)  | 0.497<br>(0.460 0.534) | 0.537<br>(0.500 0.574) | 0.549<br>(0.512 0.586) | 0.601<br>(0.564 0.638) | 0.617<br>(0.580 0.654) | 0.470<br>(0.433 0.507) | 0.465<br>(0.428,0.502) | 0.455<br>(0.390,0.520) | 0.622              |
| RUA      | 0.646<br>(0.554 0.738) | 0.583<br>(0.524 0.643)  | 0.539<br>(0.499 0.578) | 0.663<br>(0.623 0.702) | 0.664<br>(0.624 0.703) | 0.667<br>(0.627 0.706) | 0.665<br>(0.626 0.704) | 0.440<br>(0.400 0.479) | 0.662<br>(0.622,0.701) | 0.541<br>(0.385,0.552) | 0.534              |
| RUI      | 0.644<br>(0.551 0.736) | 0.580<br>(0.521 0.640)  | 0.537<br>(0.498 0.577) | 0.657<br>(0.618 0.697) | 0.660<br>(0.621 0.700) | 0.665<br>(0.626 0.705) | 0.664<br>(0.625 0.703) | 0.444<br>(0.405 0.484) | 0.657<br>(0.618,0.697) | 0.539<br>(0.472,0.611) | 0.520              |
| RUT2     | 0.549<br>(0.465 0.633) | 0.551<br>(0.497 0.605)  | 0.501<br>(0.466 0.536) | 0.574<br>(0.539 0.609) | 0.549<br>(0.515 0.584) | 0.527<br>(0.492 0.562) | 0.549<br>(0.515 0.584) | 0.471<br>(0.436 0.506) | 0.573<br>(0.538,0.608) | 0.494<br>(0.434,0.555) | 0.658              |
| SPTSE    | 0.681<br>(0.581 0.781) | 0.600<br>(0.534 0.665)  | 0.508<br>(0.464 0.551) | 0.742<br>(0.698 0.785) | 0.660<br>(0.617 0.704) | 0.643<br>(0.599 0.687) | 0.652<br>(0.608 0.696) | 0.275<br>(0.231 0.318) | 0.742<br>(0.698,0.785) | 0.485<br>(0.470,0.608) | <b>1.169</b>       |
| SPX2     | 0.623<br>(0.539 0.707) | 0.586<br>(0.532 0.640)  | 0.548<br>(0.514 0.583) | 0.610<br>(0.575 0.645) | 0.591<br>(0.556 0.626) | 0.573<br>(0.538 0.608) | 0.568<br>(0.533 0.603) | 0.534<br>(0.499 0.569) | 0.608<br>(0.573,0.643) | 0.546<br>(0.485,0.607) | 0.562              |
| SSMI     | 0.656<br>(0.572 0.740) | 0.671<br>(0.617 0.725)  | 0.590<br>(0.555 0.625) | 0.694<br>(0.659 0.729) | 0.695<br>(0.660 0.730) | 0.707<br>(0.672 0.742) | 0.705<br>(0.670 0.740) | 0.581<br>(0.546 0.616) | 0.693<br>(0.659,0.728) | 0.573<br>(0.512,0.634) | <b>1.119</b>       |
| STOXX50E | 0.591<br>(0.507 0.675) | 0.594<br>(0.540 0.647)  | 0.527<br>(0.492 0.562) | 0.613<br>(0.578 0.647) | 0.618<br>(0.584 0.653) | 0.637<br>(0.603 0.672) | 0.637<br>(0.602 0.672) | 0.480<br>(0.445 0.514) | 0.611<br>(0.576,0.646) | 0.494<br>(0.433,0.554) | 0.828              |

**Table 3:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized variances for different indices. Theoretical confidence intervals are given in brackets below. For the Qu-test bold-faced values indicate significance at the nominal 10% level; an additional \* (\*\*) indicates significance at the nominal 5% (1%) level.

test rejects strongly for all currencies considered. This is clear evidence for the presence of spurious long memory. Only the mLWN estimator does not show evidence for a lower degree of memory. However, the results show a very high variability and we know from the simulations in the previous section that the estimator fails to control the spurious long memory bias, if the level shift component is large. Finally, the LPWN estimates are much higher compared to the local Whittle estimates, which is also consistent with the observation that the LPWN estimators have a larger spurious long memory bias than the standard local Whittle estimator, as shown in our simulations.

If we now consider the mLW and tLW estimators that are most likely to give consistent estimates in this setup, we observe that all estimates are in the stationary region. In particular the estimator of [Iacone \(2010\)](#) gives estimates that lie consistently between 0.3 and 0.4. The results for the [Hou and Perron \(2014\)](#) estimator are a bit more variable and are found to be in the range between 0.05 and 0.4.



|     | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>      | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      | LWN                    | LPWN(1,0)              | LPWN(0,1)              | LPWN(1,1)              | mLW                     | mLWN                   | tLW                    | Qu <sub>0.75</sub> |
|-----|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| AUD | 0.648<br>(0.575 0.722) | 0.558<br>(0.512 0.605) | 0.404<br>(0.375 0.433) | 0.782<br>(0.753 0.811) | 0.625<br>(0.596 0.655) | 0.507<br>(0.477 0.536) | 0.889<br>(0.859 0.918) | 0.051<br>(0.022 0.080)  | 0.782<br>(0.753,0.811) | 0.367<br>(0.317,0.417) | <b>2.765**</b>     |
| BRL | 0.615<br>(0.530 0.699) | 0.554<br>(0.500 0.608) | 0.465<br>(0.430 0.500) | 0.635<br>(0.600 0.670) | 0.641<br>(0.606 0.677) | 0.630<br>(0.595 0.665) | 0.640<br>(0.605 0.675) | 0.329<br>(0.293 0.364)  | 0.634<br>(0.599,0.670) | 0.400<br>(0.339,0.461) | <b>1.924**</b>     |
| CAD | 0.676<br>(0.603 0.749) | 0.560<br>(0.514 0.606) | 0.438<br>(0.408 0.467) | 0.766<br>(0.737 0.795) | 0.693<br>(0.663 0.722) | 0.680<br>(0.651 0.709) | 0.838<br>(0.809 0.867) | 0.140<br>(0.111 0.170)  | 0.765<br>(0.735,0.794) | 0.335<br>(0.285,0.385) | <b>3.447**</b>     |
| CHF | 0.586<br>(0.513 0.659) | 0.522<br>(0.476 0.568) | 0.406<br>(0.377 0.435) | 0.674<br>(0.645 0.703) | 0.601<br>(0.572 0.630) | 0.597<br>(0.567 0.626) | 0.678<br>(0.649 0.707) | 0.222<br>(0.192 0.251)  | 0.673<br>(0.644,0.703) | 0.384<br>(0.334,0.434) | <b>2.542**</b>     |
| EUR | 0.619<br>(0.544 0.695) | 0.508<br>(0.460 0.555) | 0.372<br>(0.342 0.403) | 0.765<br>(0.734 0.795) | 0.646<br>(0.616 0.677) | 0.602<br>(0.571 0.632) | 0.794<br>(0.764 0.825) | 0.090<br>(0.059 0.120)  | 0.764<br>(0.733,0.794) | 0.316<br>(0.264,0.369) | <b>3.132**</b>     |
| GBP | 0.662<br>(0.590 0.735) | 0.566<br>(0.520 0.611) | 0.406<br>(0.377 0.435) | 0.824<br>(0.794 0.853) | 0.713<br>(0.684 0.742) | 0.676<br>(0.647 0.705) | 0.835<br>(0.806 0.864) | 0.023<br>(-0.006 0.052) | 0.823<br>(0.794,0.852) | 0.355<br>(0.305,0.405) | <b>3.388**</b>     |
| INR | 0.517<br>(0.436 0.597) | 0.498<br>(0.447 0.550) | 0.445<br>(0.412 0.478) | 0.539<br>(0.506 0.572) | 0.549<br>(0.516 0.582) | 0.596<br>(0.563 0.629) | 0.626<br>(0.593 0.659) | 0.375<br>(0.342 0.408)  | 0.380<br>(0.347,0.413) | 0.384<br>(0.327,0.441) | <b>2.259**</b>     |
| JPY | 0.561<br>(0.488 0.633) | 0.462<br>(0.417 0.508) | 0.416<br>(0.387 0.445) | 0.558<br>(0.529 0.587) | 0.499<br>(0.470 0.528) | 0.462<br>(0.433 0.491) | 0.499<br>(0.470 0.528) | 0.342<br>(0.313 0.371)  | 0.555<br>(0.526,0.584) | 0.405<br>(0.356,0.455) | <b>1.466*</b>      |
| RUB | 0.708<br>(0.617 0.798) | 0.567<br>(0.509 0.626) | 0.503<br>(0.464 0.541) | 0.708<br>(0.669 0.746) | 0.707<br>(0.669 0.746) | 0.852<br>(0.813 0.890) | 0.919<br>(0.881 0.957) | 0.286<br>(0.248 0.324)  | 0.703<br>(0.664,0.741) | 0.334<br>(0.267,0.401) | <b>2.908*</b>      |
| ZAR | 0.758<br>(0.682 0.833) | 0.679<br>(0.631 0.727) | 0.538<br>(0.508 0.569) | 0.842<br>(0.811 0.872) | 0.751<br>(0.721 0.782) | 0.726<br>(0.696 0.757) | 0.886<br>(0.856 0.916) | 0.113<br>(0.083 0.143)  | 0.842<br>(0.811,0.872) | 0.481<br>(0.429,0.534) | <b>3.178**</b>     |

**Table 4:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized variances for different exchange rates. Theoretical confidence intervals are given in brackets below. For the Qu-test bold-faced values indicate significance at the nominal 10% level; an additional \* (\*\*) indicates significance at the nominal 5% (1%) level.

To ensure the robustness of our findings, we consider a variety of alternative specifications. The results of these exercises are given in the appendix. First, we consider realized kernels instead of realized variances for the indices. Realized kernels are a measure of variance that is more robust to market microstructure effects. The results are given in Tables 9 and 10 in the appendix. Furthermore, in Tables 11 and 12, we construct confidence intervals for the local Whittle estimator using the frequency domain bootstrap procedure of Arteche and Orbe (2016). Finally, we apply the trimmed log-periodogram regression of McCloskey and Perron (2013) as an alternative to estimate the memory robust to spurious long memory. These results can be found in Tables 13 and 14. The results of all these analyses are remarkably similar to those presented here, which highlights the robustness of our findings.

Considering these results, we are able to establish a number of key findings. First of all, there is a considerable difference between the behavior of stock index variances and exchange rate variances. The stock index variances exhibit true long memory in the non-stationary range between 0.6 and 0.7. In contrast to that, the exchange rate variances show clear signs of spurious long memory and the true long memory of the series are only around 0.3.



## 5 Conclusion

In Section 2 we discuss the effect of measurement error and level shifts on estimates of the memory parameter in log-realized variances using the local Whittle estimator of [Künsch \(1987\)](#) and [Robinson \(1995a\)](#). In the recent literature a large number of new local Whittle estimators has been proposed that are robust to these effects, most importantly those of [Hurvich et al. \(2005\)](#) and [Frederiksen et al. \(2012\)](#), [Iacone \(2010\)](#) and [Hou and Perron \(2014\)](#). These are discussed in Section 3, where we also conduct a simulation study to evaluate the performance of these methods if both of these complications are incurred at the same time. We find that, while the estimators are successful in mitigating the bias they are build to address, they become more vulnerable to the bias they do not account for. That means the LPWN estimator has a larger bias due to spurious long memory than the standard local Whittle estimator and the modified and trimmed local Whittle estimators have a larger bias in presence of perturbations. In our empirical application we are able to establish some new stylized facts about the memory in realized variances. Considering a wide range of stock indices, we find that the index variances are true long-memory processes with a memory parameter between 0.6 and 0.7, which is in the non-stationary range. As discussed in the introduction, this means that long memory stochastic volatility models are able to reproduce the finding that the kurtosis of stock market returns is infinite. Exchange rate variances, however, exhibit spurious long memory and the true memory parameters are between 0.3 and 0.4, which is far in the stationary region.

## 6 Appendix

|         |                         |          |                    |
|---------|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| AEX     | AEX Index               | MSCIDE   | MSCI Germany       |
| AORD    | All Ordinaries          | MSCIES   | MSCI Spain         |
| BVSP    | Bovespa Index           | MSCIFR   | MSCI France        |
| DJI     | Dow Jones Industrials   | MSCIGB   | MSCI UK            |
| FCHI    | CAC 40                  | MSCIIT   | MSCI Italy         |
| FTSE    | FTSE 100                | MSCIJP   | MSCI Japan         |
| FTSEMIB | FTSE MIB                | MSCIKR   | MSCI South Korea   |
| GDAXI   | German DAX              | MSCIMX   | MSCI Mexico        |
| GSPTSE  | S&P/TSX Composite Index | MSCINL   | MSCI Netherlands   |
| HSI     | Hang Seng               | MSCIWO   | MSCI World         |
| IBEX    | Spanish IBEX            | MXM      | IPC Mexico         |
| IXIC    | Nasdaq 100              | N2252    | Nikkei 250         |
| KS11    | KOSPI Composite Index   | NSEI     | S&P CNX Nifty      |
| MIB30   | Milan MIB 30            | RUA      | Russell 3000       |
| MIBTEL  | Italian MIBTEL          | RUI      | Russell 1000       |
| MID     | S&P 400 Midcap          | RUT2     | Russell 2000       |
| MSCIAU  | MSCI Australia          | SPTSE    | S&P TSE            |
| MSCIBE  | MSCI Belgium            | SPX      | S&P 500            |
| MSCIBR  | MSCI Brazil             | SSMI     | Swiss Market Index |
| MSCICA  | MSCI Canada             | STOXX50E | Euro STOXX 50      |
| MSCICH  | MSCI Switzerland        |          |                    |

**Table 5:** Identification codes of the indices.

|     |                        |
|-----|------------------------|
| AUD | USD/Australian Dollar  |
| BRL | USD/Brazilian Real     |
| CAD | USD/Canadian Dollar    |
| CHF | USD/Swiss Franc        |
| EUR | USD/Euro               |
| GBP | USD/British Pound      |
| INR | USD/Indian Rupee       |
| JPY | USD/Japanese Yen       |
| RUB | USD/Russian Rouble     |
| ZAR | USD/South African Rand |

**Table 6:** Identification codes of the exchange rates.

| Symbol  | start date | obs  | Symbol   | start date | obs  |
|---------|------------|------|----------|------------|------|
| AEX     | 03-01-2000 | 4440 | MSCIDE   | 02-07-1999 | 2427 |
| AORD    | 04-01-2000 | 4358 | MSCIES   | 02-07-1999 | 2441 |
| BVSP    | 03-01-2000 | 4266 | MSCIFR   | 02-07-1999 | 2416 |
| DJI     | 03-01-2000 | 4360 | MSCIGB   | 09-06-1999 | 2448 |
| FCHI    | 03-01-2000 | 4441 | MSCIIT   | 02-07-1999 | 2443 |
| FTSE    | 04-01-2000 | 4379 | MSCIJP   | 05-12-1999 | 2430 |
| FTSEMIB | 03-01-2000 | 4398 | MSCIKR   | 06-12-1999 | 2231 |
| GDAXI   | 03-01-2000 | 4413 | MSCIMX   | 07-10-2002 | 2253 |
| GSPTSE  | 02-05-2002 | 3772 | MSCINL   | 02-07-1999 | 1602 |
| HSI     | 03-01-2000 | 4026 | MSCIWO   | 12-02-2001 | 2447 |
| IBEX    | 03-01-2000 | 4406 | MXX      | 03-01-2000 | 4361 |
| IXIC    | 03-01-2000 | 4362 | N2252    | 04-01-2000 | 4225 |
| KS11    | 04-01-2000 | 4290 | NSEI     | 06-01-2000 | 3780 |
| MIB30   | 03-01-1996 | 3261 | RUA      | 03-01-1996 | 2091 |
| MIBTEL  | 04-07-2000 | 3289 | RUI      | 03-01-1996 | 3262 |
| MID     | 03-01-1996 | 2176 | RUT      | 03-01-2000 | 4359 |
| MSCIAU  | 05-12-1999 | 3258 | SPTSE    | 04-01-1999 | 3262 |
| MSCIBE  | 02-07-1999 | 2314 | SPX      | 03-01-2000 | 4357 |
| MSCIBR  | 07-10-2002 | 2435 | SSMI     | 04-01-2000 | 4364 |
| MSCICA  | 13-02-2001 | 1577 | STOXX50E | 03-01-2000 | 4417 |
| MSCICH  | 10-06-1999 | 2003 |          |            |      |

**Table 7:** Starting dates and available observations of the indices.

| Symbol | start date | obs  |
|--------|------------|------|
| AUD    | 01-01-1996 | 6700 |
| BRL    | 27-10-2000 | 4311 |
| CAD    | 02-01-1996 | 6754 |
| CHF    | 02-01-1996 | 6781 |
| EUR    | 05-05-1998 | 6090 |
| GBP    | 01-01-1996 | 6856 |
| INR    | 01-01-1998 | 5001 |
| JPY    | 02-01-1996 | 6866 |
| RUB    | 06-01-2005 | 3483 |
| ZAR    | 15-02-1996 | 6087 |

**Table 8:** Starting dates and available observations of the exchange rates.

|         | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>      | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      | LWN                    | LPWN(1,0)              | LPWN(0,1)              | LPWN(1,1)              | mLW                    | mLWN                   | tLW                    | Qu <sub>0.75</sub> |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| AEX     | 0.618<br>(0.535 0.702) | 0.630<br>(0.576 0.683) | 0.576<br>(0.541 0.610) | 0.654<br>(0.619 0.689) | 0.652<br>(0.618 0.687) | 0.656<br>(0.621 0.691) | 0.654<br>(0.619 0.688) | 0.566<br>(0.531 0.601) | 0.654<br>(0.619,0.689) | 0.573<br>(0.513,0.634) | 0.561              |
| AORD    | 0.555<br>(0.471 0.639) | 0.593<br>(0.539 0.647) | 0.471<br>(0.436 0.506) | 0.647<br>(0.612 0.682) | 0.613<br>(0.578 0.648) | 0.619<br>(0.584 0.654) | 0.613<br>(0.578 0.648) | 0.364<br>(0.329 0.399) | 0.645<br>(0.610,0.680) | 0.458<br>(0.398,0.519) | 1.003              |
| BVSP    | 0.548<br>(0.464 0.633) | 0.522<br>(0.468 0.577) | 0.475<br>(0.440 0.510) | 0.566<br>(0.531 0.601) | 0.532<br>(0.496 0.567) | 0.530<br>(0.495 0.565) | 0.532<br>(0.496 0.567) | 0.458<br>(0.423 0.493) | 0.566<br>(0.531,0.601) | 0.482<br>(0.421,0.544) | 0.521              |
| DJI     | 0.631<br>(0.547 0.715) | 0.605<br>(0.551 0.659) | 0.561<br>(0.526 0.596) | 0.631<br>(0.596 0.666) | 0.610<br>(0.576 0.645) | 0.590<br>(0.555 0.624) | 0.610<br>(0.576 0.645) | 0.548<br>(0.513 0.583) | 0.630<br>(0.595,0.665) | 0.568<br>(0.507,0.629) | 0.730              |
| FCHI    | 0.608<br>(0.525 0.692) | 0.623<br>(0.569 0.676) | 0.553<br>(0.518 0.587) | 0.645<br>(0.610 0.680) | 0.648<br>(0.613 0.683) | 0.659<br>(0.624 0.693) | 0.658<br>(0.623 0.692) | 0.494<br>(0.459 0.528) | 0.644<br>(0.609,0.678) | 0.536<br>(0.476,0.597) | 0.668              |
| FTSE    | 0.650<br>(0.566 0.733) | 0.650<br>(0.596 0.704) | 0.591<br>(0.557 0.626) | 0.673<br>(0.638 0.707) | 0.674<br>(0.639 0.709) | 0.671<br>(0.636 0.706) | 0.671<br>(0.636 0.706) | 0.578<br>(0.543 0.613) | 0.671<br>(0.636,0.706) | 0.588<br>(0.527,0.649) | 0.663              |
| FTSEMIB | 0.597<br>(0.513 0.680) | 0.624<br>(0.570 0.677) | 0.555<br>(0.520 0.589) | 0.643<br>(0.608 0.678) | 0.622<br>(0.588 0.657) | 0.630<br>(0.595 0.665) | 0.622<br>(0.588 0.657) | 0.532<br>(0.497 0.566) | 0.642<br>(0.607,0.676) | 0.565<br>(0.504,0.625) | 0.581              |
| GDAXI   | 0.649<br>(0.566 0.733) | 0.643<br>(0.590 0.697) | 0.573<br>(0.538 0.607) | 0.668<br>(0.634 0.703) | 0.673<br>(0.638 0.707) | 0.715<br>(0.680 0.749) | 0.715<br>(0.681 0.750) | 0.487<br>(0.452 0.522) | 0.667<br>(0.633,0.702) | 0.524<br>(0.464,0.585) | 0.714              |
| GSPTSE  | 0.612<br>(0.524 0.701) | 0.578<br>(0.521 0.635) | 0.509<br>(0.472 0.546) | 0.640<br>(0.603 0.677) | 0.648<br>(0.611 0.685) | 0.653<br>(0.616 0.690) | 0.653<br>(0.616 0.690) | 0.404<br>(0.367 0.441) | 0.563<br>(0.526,0.600) | 0.462<br>(0.398,0.527) | <b>1.281*</b>      |
| HSI     | 0.641<br>(0.555 0.727) | 0.561<br>(0.506 0.617) | 0.522<br>(0.486 0.558) | 0.616<br>(0.580 0.652) | 0.624<br>(0.588 0.660) | 0.669<br>(0.633 0.705) | 0.701<br>(0.665 0.737) | 0.433<br>(0.397 0.470) | 0.503<br>(0.467,0.539) | 0.460<br>(0.397,0.523) | <b>1.261*</b>      |
| IBEX    | 0.593<br>(0.509 0.676) | 0.596<br>(0.542 0.650) | 0.552<br>(0.517 0.586) | 0.608<br>(0.574 0.643) | 0.615<br>(0.581 0.650) | 0.624<br>(0.589 0.659) | 0.624<br>(0.589 0.659) | 0.518<br>(0.483 0.553) | 0.604<br>(0.570,0.639) | 0.533<br>(0.473,0.594) | 0.763              |
| IXIC    | 0.657<br>(0.573 0.741) | 0.608<br>(0.554 0.661) | 0.570<br>(0.535 0.605) | 0.634<br>(0.599 0.669) | 0.625<br>(0.590 0.660) | 0.594<br>(0.559 0.629) | 0.603<br>(0.568 0.638) | 0.545<br>(0.510 0.580) | 0.584<br>(0.549,0.619) | 0.562<br>(0.501,0.623) | 0.578              |
| KS11    | 0.699<br>(0.614 0.783) | 0.632<br>(0.578 0.686) | 0.558<br>(0.523 0.594) | 0.680<br>(0.644 0.715) | 0.684<br>(0.649 0.719) | 0.735<br>(0.699 0.770) | 0.739<br>(0.704 0.774) | 0.430<br>(0.395 0.465) | 0.678<br>(0.643,0.713) | 0.518<br>(0.457,0.579) | <b>1.420*</b>      |
| MIB30   | 0.601<br>(0.509 0.694) | 0.605<br>(0.545 0.665) | 0.530<br>(0.491 0.569) | 0.671<br>(0.632 0.711) | 0.651<br>(0.612 0.690) | 0.657<br>(0.618 0.696) | 0.650<br>(0.611 0.690) | 0.444<br>(0.405 0.483) | 0.670<br>(0.631,0.709) | 0.541<br>(0.466,0.604) | 0.653              |
| MIBTEL  | 0.659<br>(0.554 0.765) | 0.611<br>(0.541 0.681) | 0.513<br>(0.466 0.559) | 0.737<br>(0.691 0.784) | 0.686<br>(0.640 0.733) | 0.693<br>(0.647 0.740) | 0.686<br>(0.639 0.732) | 0.297<br>(0.251 0.344) | 0.736<br>(0.689,0.782) | 0.515<br>(0.472,0.610) | 1.038              |
| MID     | 0.713<br>(0.621 0.806) | 0.634<br>(0.575 0.694) | 0.560<br>(0.521 0.600) | 0.729<br>(0.690 0.768) | 0.733<br>(0.693 0.772) | 0.756<br>(0.717 0.796) | 0.756<br>(0.716 0.795) | 0.362<br>(0.323 0.401) | 0.728<br>(0.689,0.768) | 0.524<br>(0.433,0.598) | <b>1.204</b>       |
| MSCIAU  | 0.638<br>(0.535 0.742) | 0.588<br>(0.520 0.656) | 0.476<br>(0.431 0.521) | 0.727<br>(0.682 0.773) | 0.684<br>(0.639 0.729) | 0.687<br>(0.642 0.732) | 0.683<br>(0.638 0.728) | 0.265<br>(0.220 0.311) | 0.724<br>(0.679,0.770) | 0.445<br>(0.455,0.593) | 0.696              |
| MSCIBE  | 0.715<br>(0.613 0.817) | 0.631<br>(0.564 0.698) | 0.511<br>(0.466 0.555) | 0.793<br>(0.749 0.837) | 0.731<br>(0.687 0.776) | 0.731<br>(0.686 0.775) | 0.757<br>(0.712 0.801) | 0.154<br>(0.109 0.198) | 0.792<br>(0.747,0.836) | 0.467<br>(0.364,0.525) | <b>1.240</b>       |
| MSCIBR  | 0.603<br>(0.485 0.720) | 0.548<br>(0.469 0.626) | 0.490<br>(0.437 0.543) | 0.644<br>(0.590 0.697) | 0.649<br>(0.596 0.703) | 0.654<br>(0.601 0.707) | 0.653<br>(0.600 0.706) | 0.382<br>(0.329 0.435) | 0.641<br>(0.588,0.694) | 0.481<br>(0.388,0.546) | 0.447              |
| MSCICA  | 0.660<br>(0.551 0.769) | 0.598<br>(0.526 0.670) | 0.483<br>(0.435 0.531) | 0.749<br>(0.701 0.797) | 0.673<br>(0.624 0.721) | 0.677<br>(0.629 0.726) | 0.670<br>(0.622 0.718) | 0.223<br>(0.175 0.271) | 0.747<br>(0.699,0.795) | 0.484<br>(0.385,0.577) | 0.641              |
| MSCICH  | 0.706<br>(0.604 0.807) | 0.646<br>(0.579 0.713) | 0.537<br>(0.492 0.581) | 0.772<br>(0.727 0.816) | 0.720<br>(0.675 0.764) | 0.722<br>(0.677 0.766) | 0.743<br>(0.698 0.787) | 0.237<br>(0.192 0.281) | 0.771<br>(0.727,0.815) | 0.505<br>(0.398,0.570) | <b>1.125</b>       |

**Table 9:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized kernels. Theoretical confidence intervals are given in brackets below. For the Qu-test bold-faced values indicate significance at the nominal 10% level; an additional \* (\*\*) indicates significance at the nominal 5% (1%) level.

|          | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>       | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      | LWN                    | LPWN(1,0)              | LPWN(0,1)              | LPWN(1,1)              | mLW                    | mLWN                   | tLW                    | Qu <sub>0.75</sub> |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| MSCIDE   | 0.666<br>(0.564 0.768) | 0.630<br>(0.563 0.696)  | 0.502<br>(0.457 0.546) | 0.779<br>(0.734 0.823) | 0.711<br>(0.666 0.755) | 0.707<br>(0.663 0.752) | 0.761<br>(0.717 0.806) | 0.179<br>(0.135 0.224) | 0.778<br>(0.733,0.822) | 0.443<br>(0.426,0.584) | 0.678              |
| MSCIES   | 0.628<br>(0.526 0.730) | 0.604<br>(0.537 0.671)  | 0.499<br>(0.454 0.543) | 0.706<br>(0.662 0.751) | 0.654<br>(0.609 0.698) | 0.657<br>(0.613 0.702) | 0.653<br>(0.609 0.698) | 0.339<br>(0.295 0.384) | 0.703<br>(0.658,0.747) | 0.488<br>(0.364,0.522) | 0.673              |
| MSCIFR   | 0.649<br>(0.548 0.751) | 0.614<br>(0.548 0.681)  | 0.501<br>(0.457 0.546) | 0.767<br>(0.723 0.811) | 0.679<br>(0.635 0.724) | 0.675<br>(0.631 0.720) | 0.726<br>(0.682 0.770) | 0.194<br>(0.150 0.239) | 0.766<br>(0.722,0.810) | 0.490<br>(0.409,0.568) | <b>1.302*</b>      |
| MSCIGB   | 0.674<br>(0.572 0.776) | 0.629<br>(0.562 0.696)  | 0.524<br>(0.480 0.568) | 0.765<br>(0.720 0.809) | 0.696<br>(0.651 0.740) | 0.696<br>(0.652 0.741) | 0.720<br>(0.676 0.765) | 0.237<br>(0.193 0.281) | 0.764<br>(0.719,0.808) | 0.514<br>(0.411,0.568) | 1.113              |
| MSCITT   | 0.625<br>(0.524 0.727) | 0.609<br>(0.542 0.676)  | 0.510<br>(0.466 0.555) | 0.725<br>(0.680 0.769) | 0.672<br>(0.628 0.717) | 0.677<br>(0.633 0.722) | 0.675<br>(0.631 0.720) | 0.315<br>(0.271 0.360) | 0.723<br>(0.679,0.767) | 0.496<br>(0.436,0.593) | <b>1.260*</b>      |
| MSCILP   | 0.673<br>(0.568 0.777) | 0.568<br>(0.499 0.637)  | 0.508<br>(0.462 0.554) | 0.628<br>(0.582 0.674) | 0.634<br>(0.588 0.680) | 0.682<br>(0.636 0.728) | 0.686<br>(0.640 0.732) | 0.457<br>(0.411 0.503) | 0.624<br>(0.578,0.670) | 0.453<br>(0.417,0.575) | <b>1.362*</b>      |
| MSCIKR   | 0.678<br>(0.574 0.782) | 0.602<br>(0.533 0.671)  | 0.519<br>(0.473 0.564) | 0.673<br>(0.628 0.719) | 0.621<br>(0.575 0.667) | 0.605<br>(0.559 0.651) | 0.601<br>(0.555 0.647) | 0.400<br>(0.354 0.446) | 0.671<br>(0.625,0.716) | 0.494<br>(0.371,0.534) | 0.925              |
| MSCIMX   | 0.640<br>(0.523 0.757) | 0.576<br>(0.499 0.654)  | 0.470<br>(0.417 0.523) | 0.748<br>(0.696 0.801) | 0.669<br>(0.616 0.722) | 0.673<br>(0.620 0.725) | 0.678<br>(0.626 0.731) | 0.189<br>(0.136 0.242) | 0.747<br>(0.695,0.800) | 0.464<br>(0.414,0.575) | 0.930              |
| MSCINL   | 0.662<br>(0.561 0.764) | -0.621<br>(0.555 0.688) | 0.522<br>(0.478 0.566) | 0.755<br>(0.711 0.800) | 0.678<br>(0.634 0.722) | 0.641<br>(0.597 0.685) | 0.678<br>(0.634 0.722) | 0.252<br>(0.208 0.296) | 0.755<br>(0.710,0.799) | 0.532<br>(0.370,0.559) | 0.825              |
| MSCIWO   | 0.596<br>(0.490 0.703) | 0.526<br>(0.455 0.597)  | 0.428<br>(0.381 0.475) | 0.675<br>(0.628 0.722) | 0.599<br>(0.552 0.646) | 0.600<br>(0.553 0.647) | 0.597<br>(0.550 0.644) | 0.247<br>(0.200 0.294) | 0.672<br>(0.625,0.719) | 0.432<br>(0.454,0.611) | 0.540              |
| MXX      | 0.585<br>(0.501 0.669) | 0.522<br>(0.468 0.576)  | 0.447<br>(0.412 0.482) | 0.620<br>(0.585 0.655) | 0.629<br>(0.594 0.664) | 0.625<br>(0.590 0.660) | 0.634<br>(0.599 0.669) | 0.329<br>(0.294 0.364) | 0.618<br>(0.583,0.653) | 0.404<br>(0.343,0.465) | 0.692              |
| N2252    | 0.627<br>(0.542 0.712) | 0.552<br>(0.498 0.607)  | 0.512<br>(0.477 0.548) | 0.579<br>(0.543 0.614) | 0.588<br>(0.552 0.623) | 0.643<br>(0.607 0.678) | 0.643<br>(0.607 0.678) | 0.498<br>(0.462 0.533) | 0.577<br>(0.542,0.613) | 0.496<br>(0.434,0.558) | 1.005              |
| NSEI     | 0.579<br>(0.492 0.667) | 0.518<br>(0.461 0.575)  | 0.523<br>(0.486 0.560) | 0.539<br>(0.502 0.576) | 0.552<br>(0.515 0.589) | 0.596<br>(0.559 0.633) | 0.611<br>(0.574 0.648) | 0.500<br>(0.463 0.537) | 0.500<br>(0.462,0.537) | 0.492<br>(0.427,0.557) | 0.628              |
| RUA      | 0.648<br>(0.556 0.741) | 0.582<br>(0.523 0.642)  | 0.540<br>(0.501 0.579) | 0.659<br>(0.620 0.699) | 0.664<br>(0.625 0.703) | 0.669<br>(0.630 0.709) | 0.668<br>(0.629 0.707) | 0.444<br>(0.404 0.483) | 0.659<br>(0.620,0.699) | 0.539<br>(0.348,0.516) | 0.558              |
| RUI      | 0.646<br>(0.554 0.738) | 0.580<br>(0.520 0.640)  | 0.538<br>(0.498 0.577) | 0.655<br>(0.616 0.694) | 0.660<br>(0.621 0.700) | 0.668<br>(0.628 0.707) | 0.667<br>(0.627 0.706) | 0.446<br>(0.406 0.485) | 0.655<br>(0.616,0.694) | 0.536<br>(0.470,0.608) | 0.555              |
| RUT      | 0.567<br>(0.483 0.651) | 0.564<br>(0.510 0.618)  | 0.514<br>(0.479 0.549) | 0.589<br>(0.554 0.624) | 0.563<br>(0.529 0.598) | 0.540<br>(0.505 0.575) | 0.563<br>(0.529 0.598) | 0.479<br>(0.444 0.514) | 0.587<br>(0.552,0.622) | 0.506<br>(0.445,0.567) | 0.654              |
| SPTSE    | 0.672<br>(0.572 0.772) | 0.589<br>(0.523 0.655)  | 0.503<br>(0.459 0.547) | 0.729<br>(0.685 0.773) | 0.653<br>(0.609 0.697) | 0.633<br>(0.589 0.676) | 0.641<br>(0.597 0.685) | 0.290<br>(0.246 0.333) | 0.728<br>(0.684,0.772) | 0.479<br>(0.467,0.606) | 0.909              |
| SPX      | 0.628<br>(0.544 0.712) | 0.596<br>(0.542 0.650)  | 0.564<br>(0.529 0.599) | 0.618<br>(0.583 0.653) | 0.603<br>(0.568 0.638) | 0.588<br>(0.553 0.623) | 0.581<br>(0.546 0.616) | 0.550<br>(0.516 0.585) | 0.617<br>(0.582,0.652) | 0.567<br>(0.506,0.628) | 0.480              |
| SSMI     | 0.658<br>(0.574 0.742) | 0.688<br>(0.634 0.741)  | 0.615<br>(0.580 0.650) | 0.706<br>(0.671 0.741) | 0.705<br>(0.670 0.740) | 0.714<br>(0.679 0.749) | 0.712<br>(0.677 0.747) | 0.560<br>(0.525 0.595) | 0.706<br>(0.671,0.741) | 0.611<br>(0.550,0.672) | 0.861              |
| STOXX50E | 0.598<br>(0.514 0.681) | 0.594<br>(0.541 0.648)  | 0.533<br>(0.499 0.568) | 0.614<br>(0.579 0.648) | 0.619<br>(0.585 0.654) | 0.638<br>(0.604 0.673) | 0.639<br>(0.604 0.673) | 0.484<br>(0.450 0.519) | 0.612<br>(0.577,0.647) | 0.503<br>(0.443,0.564) | 0.678              |

**Table 10:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized kernels. Theoretical confidence intervals are given in brackets below. For the Qu-test bold-faced values indicate significance at the nominal 10% level; an additional \* (\*\*) indicates significance at the nominal 5% (1%) level.

|         | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>      | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      |          | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>       | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| AEX     | 0.625<br>(0.525 0.721) | 0.635<br>(0.580 0.693) | 0.577<br>(0.540 0.613) | MSCIDE   | 0.680<br>(0.616 0.818) | 0.639<br>(0.607 0.742)  | 0.521<br>(0.525 0.612) |
| AORD    | 0.553<br>(0.466 0.629) | 0.586<br>(0.526 0.635) | 0.460<br>(0.424 0.498) | MSCIES   | 0.640<br>(0.583 0.788) | 0.623<br>(0.574 0.711)  | 0.519<br>(0.463 0.573) |
| BVSP    | 0.549<br>(0.450 0.626) | 0.521<br>(0.466 0.587) | 0.475<br>(0.440 0.509) | MSCIFR   | 0.656<br>(0.531 0.732) | 0.619<br>(0.555 0.683)  | 0.522<br>(0.460 0.571) |
| DJI     | 0.622<br>(0.535 0.696) | 0.586<br>(0.527 0.637) | 0.534<br>(0.502 0.569) | MSCIGB   | 0.693<br>(0.533 0.763) | 0.643<br>(0.546 0.688)  | 0.544<br>(0.466 0.573) |
| FCHI    | 0.605<br>(0.526 0.681) | 0.624<br>(0.569 0.676) | 0.564<br>(0.530 0.599) | MSCIIT   | 0.633<br>(0.596 0.792) | 0.628<br>(0.570 0.713)  | 0.532<br>(0.493 0.593) |
| FTSE    | 0.650<br>(0.559 0.730) | 0.640<br>(0.579 0.693) | 0.569<br>(0.533 0.606) | MSCIJP   | 0.685<br>(0.541 0.728) | 0.568<br>(0.560 0.691)  | 0.514<br>(0.479 0.581) |
| FTSEMIB | 0.600<br>(0.512 0.686) | 0.607<br>(0.549 0.656) | 0.547<br>(0.510 0.584) | MSCIKR   | 0.695<br>(0.571 0.794) | 0.625<br>(0.488 0.641)  | 0.534<br>(0.466 0.560) |
| GDAXI   | 0.653<br>(0.544 0.757) | 0.637<br>(0.573 0.697) | 0.564<br>(0.523 0.601) | MSCIMX   | 0.669<br>(0.596 0.794) | 0.603<br>(0.555 0.687)  | 0.494<br>(0.492 0.581) |
| GSPTSE  | 0.603<br>(0.508 0.681) | 0.565<br>(0.506 0.621) | 0.490<br>(0.452 0.528) | MSCINL   | 0.672<br>(0.553 0.777) | -0.635<br>(0.523 0.675) | 0.534<br>(0.436 0.550) |
| HSI     | 0.640<br>(0.546 0.724) | 0.557<br>(0.500 0.604) | 0.503<br>(0.467 0.541) | MSCIWO   | 0.600<br>(0.562 0.765) | 0.528<br>(0.573 0.695)  | 0.445<br>(0.480 0.590) |
| IBEX    | 0.593<br>(0.498 0.668) | 0.596<br>(0.542 0.651) | 0.545<br>(0.504 0.576) | MXX      | 0.575<br>(0.506 0.661) | 0.503<br>(0.448 0.558)  | 0.441<br>(0.406 0.470) |
| IXIC    | 0.644<br>(0.564 0.708) | 0.598<br>(0.545 0.648) | 0.552<br>(0.516 0.586) | N2252    | 0.618<br>(0.509 0.701) | 0.557<br>(0.497 0.609)  | 0.514<br>(0.474 0.549) |
| KS11    | 0.692<br>(0.604 0.767) | 0.622<br>(0.570 0.672) | 0.548<br>(0.510 0.582) | NSEI     | 0.572<br>(0.475 0.656) | 0.515<br>(0.456 0.567)  | 0.497<br>(0.464 0.533) |
| MIB30   | 0.608<br>(0.537 0.703) | 0.613<br>(0.511 0.633) | 0.550<br>(0.491 0.567) | RUA      | 0.646<br>(0.489 0.729) | 0.583<br>(0.429 0.615)  | 0.539<br>(0.381 0.511) |
| MIBTEL  | 0.667<br>(0.509 0.681) | 0.622<br>(0.551 0.670) | 0.532<br>(0.512 0.589) | RUI      | 0.644<br>(0.558 0.722) | 0.580<br>(0.517 0.641)  | 0.537<br>(0.502 0.575) |
| MID     | 0.712<br>(0.571 0.746) | 0.637<br>(0.558 0.683) | 0.564<br>(0.475 0.582) | RUT      | 0.549<br>(0.459 0.625) | 0.551<br>(0.497 0.603)  | 0.501<br>(0.468 0.534) |
| MSCIAU  | 0.659<br>(0.626 0.802) | 0.606<br>(0.574 0.701) | 0.499<br>(0.526 0.603) | SPTSE    | 0.681<br>(0.554 0.719) | 0.600<br>(0.517 0.634)  | 0.508<br>(0.500 0.571) |
| MSCIBE  | 0.730<br>(0.547 0.741) | 0.656<br>(0.540 0.672) | 0.536<br>(0.452 0.544) | SPX      | 0.623<br>(0.540 0.693) | 0.586<br>(0.528 0.638)  | 0.548<br>(0.516 0.581) |
| MSCIBR  | 0.629<br>(0.636 0.823) | 0.567<br>(0.594 0.714) | 0.501<br>(0.490 0.577) | SSMI     | 0.656<br>(0.561 0.742) | 0.671<br>(0.618 0.718)  | 0.590<br>(0.558 0.624) |
| MSCICA  | 0.681<br>(0.552 0.753) | 0.614<br>(0.489 0.642) | 0.503<br>(0.448 0.557) | STOXX50E | 0.591<br>(0.498 0.685) | 0.594<br>(0.541 0.644)  | 0.527<br>(0.491 0.560) |
| MSCICH  | 0.730<br>(0.542 0.782) | 0.676<br>(0.524 0.689) | 0.565<br>(0.444 0.574) |          |                        |                         |                        |

**Table 11:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized variances. Confidence intervals using the bootstrap procedure of [Arteche and Orbe \(2016\)](#) are given in brackets below.

|         | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>      | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      |          | LW <sub>0.6</sub>      | LW <sub>0.7</sub>       | LW <sub>0.8</sub>      |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| AEX     | 0.618<br>(0.512 0.711) | 0.630<br>(0.573 0.687) | 0.576<br>(0.536 0.611) | MSCIDE   | 0.680<br>(0.616 0.818) | 0.639<br>(0.607 0.742)  | 0.521<br>(0.525 0.612) |
| AORD    | 0.555<br>(0.464 0.635) | 0.593<br>(0.534 0.647) | 0.471<br>(0.436 0.507) | MSCIES   | 0.640<br>(0.583 0.788) | 0.623<br>(0.574 0.711)  | 0.519<br>(0.463 0.573) |
| BVSP    | 0.548<br>(0.459 0.620) | 0.522<br>(0.468 0.577) | 0.475<br>(0.436 0.510) | MSCIFR   | 0.656<br>(0.531 0.732) | 0.619<br>(0.555 0.683)  | 0.522<br>(0.460 0.571) |
| DJI     | 0.631<br>(0.539 0.702) | 0.605<br>(0.548 0.655) | 0.561<br>(0.525 0.599) | MSCIGB   | 0.693<br>(0.533 0.763) | 0.643<br>(0.546 0.688)  | 0.544<br>(0.466 0.573) |
| FCHI    | 0.608<br>(0.518 0.692) | 0.623<br>(0.569 0.676) | 0.553<br>(0.515 0.587) | MSCIIT   | 0.633<br>(0.596 0.792) | 0.628<br>(0.570 0.713)  | 0.532<br>(0.493 0.593) |
| FTSE    | 0.650<br>(0.552 0.728) | 0.650<br>(0.590 0.701) | 0.591<br>(0.556 0.627) | MSCIJP   | 0.685<br>(0.541 0.728) | 0.568<br>(0.560 0.691)  | 0.514<br>(0.479 0.581) |
| FTSEMIB | 0.597<br>(0.509 0.683) | 0.624<br>(0.565 0.672) | 0.555<br>(0.519 0.590) | MSCIKR   | 0.695<br>(0.571 0.794) | 0.625<br>(0.488 0.641)  | 0.534<br>(0.466 0.560) |
| GDAXI   | 0.649<br>(0.53 0.763)  | 0.643<br>(0.577 0.702) | 0.573<br>(0.533 0.612) | MSCIMX   | 0.669<br>(0.596 0.794) | 0.603<br>(0.555 0.687)  | 0.494<br>(0.492 0.581) |
| GSPTSE  | 0.612<br>(0.508 0.688) | 0.578<br>(0.522 0.630) | 0.509<br>(0.470 0.545) | MSCINL   | 0.672<br>(0.553 0.777) | -0.635<br>(0.523 0.675) | 0.534<br>(0.436 0.550) |
| HSI     | 0.641<br>(0.543 0.715) | 0.561<br>(0.509 0.609) | 0.522<br>(0.486 0.556) | MSCIWO   | 0.600<br>(0.562 0.765) | 0.528<br>(0.573 0.695)  | 0.445<br>(0.480 0.590) |
| IBEX    | 0.593<br>(0.493 0.675) | 0.596<br>(0.544 0.646) | 0.552<br>(0.514 0.587) | MXX      | 0.575<br>(0.506 0.661) | 0.503<br>(0.448 0.558)  | 0.441<br>(0.406 0.470) |
| IXIC    | 0.657<br>(0.577 0.722) | 0.608<br>(0.552 0.659) | 0.570<br>(0.536 0.602) | N2252    | 0.618<br>(0.509 0.701) | 0.557<br>(0.497 0.609)  | 0.514<br>(0.474 0.549) |
| KS11    | 0.699<br>(0.608 0.777) | 0.632<br>(0.576 0.679) | 0.558<br>(0.526 0.591) | NSEI     | 0.572<br>(0.475 0.656) | 0.515<br>(0.456 0.567)  | 0.497<br>(0.464 0.533) |
| MIB30   | 0.601<br>(0.495 0.676) | 0.605<br>(0.542 0.661) | 0.530<br>(0.489 0.564) | RUA      | 0.646<br>(0.489 0.729) | 0.583<br>(0.429 0.615)  | 0.539<br>(0.381 0.511) |
| MIBTEL  | 0.659<br>(0.560 0.738) | 0.611<br>(0.545 0.672) | 0.513<br>(0.458 0.557) | RUI      | 0.644<br>(0.558 0.722) | 0.580<br>(0.517 0.641)  | 0.537<br>(0.502 0.575) |
| MID     | 0.713<br>(0.624 0.796) | 0.634<br>(0.566 0.693) | 0.560<br>(0.518 0.597) | RUT      | 0.549<br>(0.459 0.625) | 0.551<br>(0.497 0.603)  | 0.501<br>(0.468 0.534) |
| MSCIAU  | 0.638<br>(0.535 0.727) | 0.588<br>(0.521 0.646) | 0.476<br>(0.430 0.518) | SPTSE    | 0.681<br>(0.554 0.719) | 0.600<br>(0.517 0.634)  | 0.508<br>(0.500 0.571) |
| MSCIBE  | 0.715<br>(0.607 0.814) | 0.631<br>(0.564 0.695) | 0.511<br>(0.462 0.553) | SPX      | 0.623<br>(0.540 0.693) | 0.586<br>(0.528 0.638)  | 0.548<br>(0.516 0.581) |
| MSCIBR  | 0.603<br>(0.526 0.713) | 0.548<br>(0.471 0.627) | 0.490<br>(0.436 0.548) | SSMI     | 0.656<br>(0.561 0.742) | 0.671<br>(0.618 0.718)  | 0.590<br>(0.558 0.624) |
| MSCICA  | 0.660<br>(0.521 0.771) | 0.598<br>(0.517 0.670) | 0.483<br>(0.423 0.544) | STOXX50E | 0.591<br>(0.498 0.685) | 0.594<br>(0.541 0.644)  | 0.527<br>(0.491 0.560) |
| MSCICH  | 0.706<br>(0.607 0.793) | 0.646<br>(0.582 0.708) | 0.537<br>(0.494 0.583) |          |                        |                         |                        |

**Table 12:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized kernels. Confidence intervals using the bootstrap procedure of [Arteche and Orbe \(2016\)](#) are given in brackets below.

|         |                         |          |                         |
|---------|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| AEX     | 0.570<br>(0.525, 0.614) | MSCIDE   | 0.510<br>(0.537, 0.651) |
| AORD    | 0.433<br>(0.385, 0.481) | MSCIES   | 0.507<br>(0.452, 0.569) |
| BVSP    | 0.467<br>(0.420, 0.514) | MSCIFR   | 0.541<br>(0.448, 0.566) |
| DJI     | 0.559<br>(0.514, 0.604) | MSCIGB   | 0.601<br>(0.484, 0.597) |
| FCHI    | 0.569<br>(0.525, 0.614) | MSCIIT   | 0.557<br>(0.544, 0.658) |
| FTSE    | 0.554<br>(0.510, 0.599) | MSCIJP   | 0.538<br>(0.500, 0.614) |
| FTSEMIB | 0.557<br>(0.512, 0.601) | MSCIKR   | 0.566<br>(0.478, 0.597) |
| GDAXI   | 0.573<br>(0.529, 0.618) | MSCIMX   | 0.509<br>(0.507, 0.625) |
| GSPTSE  | 0.458<br>(0.409, 0.508) | MSCINL   | 0.563<br>(0.438, 0.579) |
| HSI     | 0.503<br>(0.455, 0.550) | MSCIWO   | 0.401<br>(0.506, 0.620) |
| IBEX    | 0.557<br>(0.513, 0.602) | MXV      | 0.444<br>(0.397, 0.491) |
| IXIC    | 0.575<br>(0.531, 0.620) | N2252    | 0.487<br>(0.440, 0.534) |
| KS11    | 0.541<br>(0.496, 0.586) | NSEI     | 0.478<br>(0.429, 0.527) |
| MIB30   | 0.578<br>(0.522, 0.623) | RUA      | 0.569<br>(0.332, 0.469) |
| MIBTEL  | 0.548<br>(0.528, 0.629) | RUI      | 0.567<br>(0.518, 0.619) |
| MID     | 0.579<br>(0.488, 0.608) | RUT2     | 0.506<br>(0.460, 0.552) |
| MSCIAU  | 0.487<br>(0.528, 0.629) | SPTSE    | 0.513<br>(0.517, 0.618) |
| MSCIBE  | 0.545<br>(0.427, 0.547) | SPX2     | 0.564<br>(0.520, 0.609) |
| MSCIBR  | 0.481<br>(0.488, 0.602) | SSMI     | 0.596<br>(0.551, 0.641) |
| MSCICA  | 0.503<br>(0.408, 0.553) | STOXX50E | 0.526<br>(0.481, 0.570) |
| MSCICH  | 0.594<br>(0.439, 0.567) |          |                         |

**Table 13:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized variances applying the estimator of [McCloskey and Perron \(2013\)](#). Theoretical confidence intervals are given in brackets below.



|     |                |
|-----|----------------|
| AUD | 0.406          |
|     | (0.366, 0.447) |
| BRL | 0.441          |
|     | (0.394, 0.489) |
| CAD | 0.390          |
|     | (0.349, 0.431) |
| CHF | 0.352          |
|     | (0.310, 0.394) |
| EUR | 0.260          |
|     | (0.211, 0.309) |
| GBP | 0.313          |
|     | (0.269, 0.357) |
| INR | 0.433          |
|     | (0.388, 0.478) |
| JPY | 0.401          |
|     | (0.361, 0.441) |
| RUB | 0.423          |
|     | (0.370, 0.476) |
| ZAR | 0.506          |
|     | (0.467, 0.546) |

**Table 14:** Estimated long-memory coefficients of the log-realized variances applying the estimator of [McCloskey and Perron \(2013\)](#). Theoretical confidence intervals are given in brackets below.

## References

- Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F. X., and Labys, P. (2001). The distribution of realized exchange rate volatility. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 96(453):42–55.
- Andersen, T. G., Bollerslev, T., Diebold, F. X., and Labys, P. (2003). Modeling and forecasting realized volatility. *Econometrica*, 71(2):579–625.
- Andrews, D. W. and Sun, Y. (2004). Adaptive local polynomial whittle estimation of long-range dependence. *Econometrica*, 72(2):569–614.
- Arteche, J. (2004). Gaussian semiparametric estimation in long memory in stochastic volatility and signal plus noise models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 119(1):131–154.
- Arteche, J. and Orbe, J. (2016). A bootstrap approximation for the distribution of the local whittle estimator. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 100:645–660.
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Hansen, P. R., Lunde, A., and Shephard, N. (2009). Realized kernels in practice: Trades and quotes. *The Econometrics Journal*, 12(3).
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. and Shephard, N. (2002). Econometric analysis of realized volatility and its use in estimating stochastic volatility models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 64(2):253–280.
- Chiriac, R. and Voev, V. (2011). Modelling and forecasting multivariate realized volatility. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 26(6):922–947.
- Corsi, F. (2009). A simple approximate long-memory model of realized volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, 7(2):174–196.
- Deo, R., Hurvich, C., and Lu, Y. (2006). Forecasting realized volatility using a long-memory stochastic volatility model: estimation, prediction and seasonal adjustment. *Journal of Econometrics*, 131(1):29–58.
- Deo, R. S. and Hurvich, C. M. (2001). On the log periodogram regression estimator of the memory parameter in long memory stochastic volatility models. *Econometric Theory*, 17(4):686–710.
- Diebold, F. X. and Inoue, A. (2001). Long memory and regime switching. *Journal of Econometrics*, 105:131–159.

- Frederiksen, P., Nielsen, F. S., and Nielsen, M. Ø. (2012). Local polynomial whittle estimation of perturbed fractional processes. *Journal of Econometrics*, 167(2):426–447.
- Geweke, J. and Porter-Hudak, S. (1983). The estimation and application of long memory time series models. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 4(4):221–238.
- Giraitis, L., Leipus, R., and Surgailis, D. (2007). Recent advances in arch modelling. In *Long Memory in Economics*, pages 3–38. Springer.
- Giraitis, L., Leipus, R., and Surgailis, D. (2009). Arch infinity models and long memory properties. In *Handbook of Financial Time Series*, pages 71–84. Springer.
- Granger, C. W. and Ding, Z. (1996). Varieties of long memory models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 73(1):61–77.
- Granger, C. W. and Hyung, N. (2004). Occasional structural breaks and long memory with an application to the s&p 500 absolute stock returns. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 11(3):399–421.
- Heber, G., Lunde, A., Shephard, N., and Sheppard, K. (2009). Oxford-man institute’s realized library, version 0.2. *Oxford-Man Institute, University of Oxford*.
- Hou, J. and Perron, P. (2014). Modified local Whittle estimator for long memory processes in the presence of low frequency (and other) contaminations. *Journal of Econometrics*, 182(2):309–328.
- Hurvich, C. M., Moulines, E., and Soulier, P. (2005). Estimating long memory in volatility. *Econometrica*, 73(4):1283–1328.
- Hurvich, C. M. and Ray, B. K. (2003). The local whittle estimator of long-memory stochastic volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, 1(3):445–470.
- Iacone, F. (2010). Local whittle estimation of the memory parameter in presence of deterministic components. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 31(1):37–49.
- Künsch, H. R. (1987). Statistical aspects of self-similar processes. *Proceedings of the First World Congress of the Bernoulli Society*, 1:67–74.
- Leccadito, A., Rachedi, O., and Urga, G. (2015). True versus spurious long memory: Some theoretical results and a monte carlo comparison. *Econometric Reviews*, 34(4):452–479.

- Lu, Y. K. and Perron, P. (2010). Modeling and forecasting stock return volatility using a random level shift model. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 17(1):138–156.
- Martens, M., Van Dijk, D., and De Pooter, M. (2009). Forecasting S&P 500 volatility: Long memory, level shifts, leverage effects, day-of-the-week seasonality, and macroeconomic announcements. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 25(2):282–303.
- McCloskey, A. and Perron, P. (2013). Memory parameter estimation in the presence of level shifts and deterministic trends. *Econometric Theory*, 29(06):1196–1237.
- Mikosch, T. and Stărică, C. (2004). Nonstationarities in financial time series, the long-range dependence, and the igarch effects. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 86(1):378–390.
- Perron, P. and Qu, Z. (2010). Long-memory and level shifts in the volatility of stock market return indices. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 28(2):275–290.
- Qu, Z. (2011). A test against spurious long memory. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 29(3):423–438.
- Robinson, P. M. (1995a). Gaussian semiparametric estimation of long range dependence. *The Annals of Statistics*, 23(5):1630–1661.
- Robinson, P. M. (1995b). Log-periodogram regression of time series with long range dependence. *The Annals of Statistics*, 23(3):1048–1072.
- Sibbertsen, P., Leschinski, C., and Busch, M. (2017). A multivariate test against spurious long memory. *Journal of Econometrics (forthcoming)*.
- Velasco, C. (1999). Gaussian semiparametric estimation of non-stationary time series. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 20(1):87–127.
- Xu, J. and Perron, P. (2014). Forecasting return volatility: Level shifts with varying jump probability and mean reversion. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 30(3):449–463.